



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 18 AM 10:07

BY

Ben Rosenfield
Controller
Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

November 17, 2008

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
Room 244, City Hall


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall

Re: Office of Economic Analysis Impact Report for File Numbers 081332 and 081333

Dear Madam Clerk and Members of the Board:

The Office of Economic Analysis is pleased to present you with its economic impact report on file numbers 081332 and 081333, "SoMa Youth and Family Zone/Mission Housing and PDR Replacement Program: Economic Impact Report." If you have any questions about this report, please contact me at (415) 554-5369.

Best Regards,


Ted Egan
Chief Economist

cc Linda Laws, Committee Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis

SOMA Youth and Family Zone / Mission Housing and PDR Replacement Program: Economic Impact Report

Office of Economic Analysis

November 17, 2008

Item #081332/081333



Introduction

- SoMa Youth and Family Zone legislation creates a district within East SoMa that requires:
 - Higher mix of larger housing units: 20% 2 BR, 20% 3 BR, 20% 4BR.
 - Higher affordable housing requirements.
- Mission Housing and PDR Replacement Legislation applies to UMU, RTO and NCT zones in the Mission and requires:
 - Higher affordable housing requirements
 - a replacement fee for converting Production, Distribution, and Repair (PDR) space to other uses.



City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis


SOMa Youth and Family Zone: Height Map



City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis

Affected Mission Zones: Height Map



Economic Impact Factors

- Changing the unit mix and affordability requirement of new housing can affect the financial feasibility of new housing development.
- Requiring a replacement fee on existing PDR uses also limits the feasibility of new housing development, although it also preserves PDR space.



Higher Unit Mix and Affordability Requirements

- Most affected parcels in both neighborhoods are residential units at present.
- Increased heights could spur new housing, but development above 40 feet requires demolition and rebuild.
- It is not economically feasible to demolish existing housing and rebuild new housing, even at newly permitted heights, under the current or proposed legislation.
- Other lower-value current uses could convert to housing in the future – difficult to generalize.



City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis

Pro-Forma Illustration

Sample East SoMa parcel up-zoned from 40 to 85 feet, currently 27% inclusionary.

	Current Legislation	Proposed Legislation
Parcel Area	8,800	8,800
Current permitted stories	4	4
New permitted stories	8	8
Maximum Units - Rebuild @ new height	41	36
Sales Price (\$ M)	\$33.6	\$35.8
Demolition, Development, Sales Costs (\$M)	\$23.6	\$26.3
In Lieu fee @ 27% requirement (\$M)	\$3.2	\$3.1
Impact Fee @ \$8/sq.ft. (\$M)	\$0.4	\$0.4
Residual Value	\$6.4	\$6.1
Current property value @ current height	\$14.9	\$14.9
Profit	-\$8.5	-\$8.8



Impacts on Housing Feasibility

- Under current market conditions, new housing development is generally not feasible under the current or proposed legislation.
- In general, for parcels where conversion from a low-value use to housing is feasible, the proposed legislation makes it less likely, because larger units generate less revenue per square foot, and higher inclusionary requirements raise costs.
- The impact of the proposed legislation on housing development is likely to be marginal, and not felt for a number of years.



Potential Unintended Consequences

- Larger unit size requirements lead to lower inclusionary requirements.
- Inclusionary requirements are figured on a per-unit basis.
- Even a feasible project would lead to fewer affordable units under the proposed legislation.
- In addition, no in-lieu fee is currently set for four-bedroom units, which are required by the SoMa legislation.



Impact of PDR Replacement Fee

- The PDR replacement fee acts like a tax on the conversion of PDR.
- The current market does not favor the construction of new housing, even in lieu of any PDR replacement fee. However, when the market recovers, the PDR fee will be far more damaging to feasibility than either the in-lieu or impact fee.
- Demand for PDR space has been declining for many years, and new PDR zones will likely absorb much of the remaining demand. Impact on PDR employment of this fee is therefore likely to be negligible.

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis

Pro-Forma Illustration

Sample Mission UML parcel up-zoned from 40 to 65 feet, currently 25% inclusionary

	Current Legislation	Proposed Legislation
Parcel Area	15,000	15,000
Typical PDR square footage	22,500	22,500
Current permitted stories	4	4
New permitted stories	6	6
Maximum Units - Rebuild @ new height	52	52
Sales Price (\$ M)	\$42.9	\$42.9
Demolition, Development, Sales Costs (\$M)	\$32.4	\$32.4
In Lieu fee @ 25/27% requirement (\$M)	\$3.8	\$4.1
Impact Fee @ \$8/sq.ft. (\$M)	\$0.5	\$0.5
PDR Replacement Fee	\$0.0	\$2.8
Residual Value	\$6.2	\$3.1
Current property value @ current PDR cap rate	\$3.0	\$3.0
Profit	\$3.2	\$0.1
Return on Costs	9%	0%



Risk Mitigation

- The City can reduce the risk of an adverse economic impact on new market-rate and affordable housing development by:
 - Establishing an in lieu fee for 4 bedroom units.
 - Limiting the PDR replacement fee to buildings that currently host PDR businesses.
 - Applying any PDR replacement fee on a per-employee, not per-square foot, basis to better reflect the true economic cost.



City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – Office of Economic Analysis

Staff Contacts

- Ted Egan, Chief Economist (415) 554-5268
ted.egan@sfgov.org
- Kurt Fuchs, Senior Economist (415) 554-5369
kurt.fuchs@sfgov.org





orig c-page c:LE
2. Sup Dufty

MEMORANDUM

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Honorable Supervisor Bevan Dufty

FROM: Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

DATE: November 20, 2008

SUBJECT: Reference No. 20080916-006

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 21 AM 8:54
BY

Inquiry: Supervisor Dufty requests the assistance of the Controller's audit management team in evaluating the challenges facing the Immune Enhancement Project (IEP). Specifically, DPH funding for IEP was reduced 50% and there may also be problems with state Medi-Cal reimbursements for IEP. The Controller's review should outline financial and other challenges to IEP's continued operation and services.

In response to your request, this memo serves as notification that a full report will be provided to you by mid-December 2008.

Please do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions at 554-7500.

2

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 21, 2008
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Conflict of Interest Code Review

The Political Reform Act requires every local government agency to review its conflict of interest code biennially. Each agency must submit to the Board of Supervisors a notice indicating whether or not an amendment is necessary by October 1, 2008.

This is to inform you that the following City departments and agencies have submitted their conflict of interest code notice to my office:

- Mayor's Office of Community Investment (formerly Mayor's Office of Community Development).
- Dept. of Building Inspection - Board of Examiners
- Human Rights Commission
- Office of Small Business
- Treasure Island Development Authority

Nov. 17. 2008 3:10PM

No. 1463 P. 1

Municipal Transportation Agency
Purchasing Department
One South Van Ness, Room 3097
San Francisco, CA 94103


**City and County of
San Francisco**

Memo

Date: November 17, 2008

To: Clerk, Board of Supervisors


(415) 554-5163

From: Hermilo Rodis, Purchaser 
S.F. Municipal Transportation Agency

Subject: Award of Purchase Order to Non-Compliant Vendor (Equal Benefits)
(Reference RQPT09018202 / ITSF09000288)

This memo serves as notification that an award of a purchase order for "Vital Timer Relay/ Signal & Communication" to Safetran Systems Corporation will be made upon approval of the "No Potential Contractors Comply Waiver" by the Human Rights Commission.

Please reference the attached copies of the waiver request and supporting justification.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 17 PM 4:01
BY 

4



No. 1463 P. 2
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
 (HRC Form 201)

► **Section 1. Department Information**

Department Head Signature: _____

Name of Department: Muni

Department Address: One South Van Ness, Rm 1058, San Francisco, CA 94103

Contact Person: Hermilo Rodis/Bart Murphy

Phone Number: (415) 701-4705

Fax Number: (415) 701-4729

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

► **Section 2. Contractor Information**

Contractor Name: Safetran Systems Corp.

Contact Person: Jeb Echols

Contractor Address: 2400 Nelson Miller Parkway, Louisville, KY 40223

Vendor Number (if known): 23065

Contact Phone No.: (502) 618-8779

► **Section 3. Transaction Information**

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 11/17/2008

Type of Contract: Purchase Order

Contract Start Date: When approved
 \$8,716.89

End Date: 90 days ARO

Dollar Amount of Contract:

► **Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)**

- ☒ Chapter 12B
- ☐ Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

► **Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)**

- ☐ A. Sole Source
- ☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- ☐ C. Public Entity
- ☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 11/17/2008
- ☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- ☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- ☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- ☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____
DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

Nov. 17. 2008 3:10PM

No. 1463 P. 3

Municipal Transportation Agency
Purchasing Department
One South Van Ness, Room 3097
San Francisco, CA 94103

**City and County of
San Francisco**

Memo

Date: November 17, 2008

To: Tamra Winchester

FAX (415) 431-5764

From: Hermilo Rodis, Purchaser 

S.F. Municipal Transportation Agency

Subject: Waiver Request for Safetran Systems Corp.: ITSF09000288/SQ - RQPT09018202

On Oct. 31, 2008, the Office of Contract Administration publicly solicited for "Vital Timer Relay/ Signal & Communication", a repair part for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency. The sole bid received was from Safetran Systems Corporation, a non-compliant vendor.

The vendor was sent a 10-day notification of NON-RESPONSIVENESS stating that they had to comply in 10-days with the requirements of San Francisco regarding the requirements of Admin. Code 12B. As of today's date, they did not respond and therefore continue to be non-compliant to the City's requirements.

To proceed with these requirements for the SFMTA, it is necessary to request that the Human Rights Commission grant a waiver per the requirements of Chapter 12B of the Admin. Code.

Once approved, please fax the waiver to my attention at 701-4729.

Thank You.

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Department of Public Health

November 17, 2008

Ms Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Human Rights Commission's instructions, the Department of Public Health (DPH) wishes to notify the Board of Supervisors that DPH has requested the following waiver(s) from compliance with Chapter 12B of the City's Administrative Code:

- **Laboratory Corporation of America (Lab Corp):** To renew the contract to provide clinical lab services for clients of the Community Behavioral Health Services (CBHS) Section that include, but not limited to: chemistry, drug testing, hematology, serology, urinalysis and blood counts associated with Cocaine (Coaxial). Contractor is required to have sufficient drop-in specimen collection and blood draw site locations throughout San Francisco to facilitate client participation in, or near, their residential neighborhoods, and to provide testing supplies and specimen collection at CBHS clinical facilities.

The attached 12B Waiver(s) were prepared in accordance with the instructions from the Human Rights Commission.

Please contact Harry Mar at 554-2839 should you have questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jacquie Hale".

Jacquie Hale
Director, Office of Contract Management and Compliance

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 18 PM 12:07
BY _____

5



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY

Request Number:

➤ Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: _____

Name of Department: Public Health

Department Address: 101 Grove St. Rm. 307 San Francisco, CA 94102

Contact Person: Jacquie Hale

Phone Number: 554-2607 Fax Number: 554-2555

➤ Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA Vendor No.: C07466

Contractor Address: 5601 OBERLIN DR #100, SAN DIEGO CA 92121

Contact Person: _____ Contact Phone No.: _____

➤ Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: NOV 12 2008 Type of Contract: Lab Tests

Contract Start Date: 12/1/2008 End Date: 11/30/2010 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 190,160

➤ Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

☒ Chapter 12B

☐ Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

➤ Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

☒ A. Sole Source

☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)

☐ C. Public Entity

☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 11-18-08

☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of this request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____

☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____

☐ G. Subcontracting Goals

☐ H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)

HRC ACTION

12B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____

14B Waiver Granted: _____
14B Waiver Denied: _____

Reason for Action: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Director: _____ Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: _____ Contract Dollar Amount: _____



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chris Iglesias, Executive Director, Human Rights Commission

THROUGH: Mitch Katz, M.D., Director of Health *[Signature]*

FROM: Jacquie Hale, Director, DPH Office of Contracts Management *[Signature]*

DATE: November 5, 2008

SUBJECT: 12B Sole Source Waiver Request

The Department of Public Health (DPH) respectfully requests approval of the attached 12B Sole Source waiver for the following:

Laboratory Corporation of America

Purpose: To renew the contract for lab services for Community Behavioral Health Services (CBHS) Section that includes providing testing supplies and specimen collection at CBHS clinical facilities, and having sufficient drop-in specimen collection and blood draw site locations throughout San Francisco such that clients have access to sites in, or near, their residential neighborhoods. CBHS clinical lab services will include, but will not be limited to the following areas: chemistry, drug testing, hematology, serology, urinalysis and blood counts associated with Cocaine (Coaxial).

Amount: Utilization is estimated at \$190,160 for a two-year term.

Fund Source: General Fund

Term: December 1, 2008 through November 30, 2010

Rationale for this 12B Sole Source Waiver request is that Laboratory Corporation of America (Lab Corp), who is currently providing clinical lab services for CBHS, is willing to continue providing such services to CBHS; making lab Corp the only such provider willing to do business with CBHS.

- RFP-3-2008 for clinical lab services was first published on March 4, 2008, and received no response. As there were no responses, the RFP was amended, simplified and reissued as RFP-3-2008 Amended and Reissued.
- RFP-3-2008 Amended and Reissued was published on June 11, 2008, and, once again, received no response.
- Both solicitations were posted on OCA's contract opportunities website, advertised in the City's official newspaper, and outreach made to vendors known to have interest in such a contract.
- A new RFP for clinical lab services for CBHS will be solicited in 24 months.

For questions concerning this waiver request, please call Harry Mar at 554-2839 or Robert Longhitano at 554-2659.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gavin Newsom | Mayor

Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman

Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman

Cameron Beach | Director

Shirley Breyer Black | Director

Malcolm Heinicke | Director

Jerry Lee | Director

Bruce Oka | Director

Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

MEMORANDUM

Date: November 19, 2008

To: Chris Iglesias, Executive Director
Human Rights Commission

From: Ben Kawamura
Procurement Manager

Subject: Request for 12B Waiver

This memo comes to request a waiver from Chapter 12B requirements for a firm named AVO Training Institute, the only proposer on Request for Proposals #SFMTA 2008-10 issued on October 24, 2008.

The SFMTA Overhead Lines Department was mandated by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to train MUNI operators on safe work practices to be used when handling the high voltage (catenary) overhead lines that power the street cars and trolleys.

In response to this mandate, the agency issued an RFP and solicited proposals from three companies, AVO Training Institute, American Trainco and National Technology Transfer. We received one proposal in response to the RFP from AVO Training Institute.

AVO has completed the 12B Declaration (copy attached) and indicated that they provide some benefits to common law spouses, but not same sex domestic partners. The Account Manager, Greg Rice, informed me by email that the company cannot comply. A copy of the email is attached.

The SFMTA Overhead Lines Department needs to contract with AVO to provide this training because of the State PUC audit finding that cited the agency for not meeting CAL/OSHA mandated training requirements.

To our knowledge, there are no potential contractors that comply with Chapter 12B requirements who are also able to meet the training requirements established by the State PUC. (Reference Cal. Admin Code, Title 8, Subchapter 5, Group 2 (High Voltage Electrical Safety Orders).

We therefore respectfully request a waiver from Chapter 12B requirements based on the facts described above.

cc: David Treanor, HRC
Larry Brinkin, HRC
Tom Kennedy, Deputy Director, MUNI Maintenance of Way
Trinh Nguyen, Sr. Manager, MUNI Operations

Attachments: 12B Declaration
HRC Form 201
Email from AVO Training Institute



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B & 12C
DECLARATION: NONDISCRIMINATION IN CONTRACTS AND BENEFITS

(HRC-12B-101)

► Section 1. Vendor Information

Name of Company: Avo Training Institute, Inc.

Name of Company Contact Person: Vickie Davis / Greg Rice

Phone: 214-330-3209 / 214-330-3557 Ext.: Fax: 214-331-7333

E-mail Address: Vickie.davis@megeer.com

Vendor Number (if known): 71921 greg.rice@avotraining.com

Federal ID or Social Security Number: 75-1615916

Approximate Number of Employees in the U.S.: 280

Are any of your employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement or union trust fund? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Union name(s):

► Section 2. Compliance Questions

Question 1. Nondiscrimination – Protected Classes

A. Does your company agree it will not discriminate against its employees, applicants for employment, employees of the City, or members of the public on the basis of the fact or perception of a person's membership in the categories listed below? *Please note: a "YES" answer is required for compliance. Please answer yes or no to each category.*

- | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • Race | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Sex | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Color | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Sexual orientation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Creed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Gender identity (transgender status) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Religion | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Domestic partner status | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • National origin | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Marital status | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Ancestry | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Disability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Age | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • AIDS/HIV status | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| • Height | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | • Weight | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

B. Does your company agree to insert a similar nondiscrimination provision in any subcontract you enter into for the performance of a substantial portion of the contract you have with the City? *Please note: you must answer this question even if you do not intend to enter into any subcontracts.*

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2. Nondiscrimination – Equal Benefits for Employees with Spouses and Employees with Domestic Partners

A. Does your company provide or offer access to any benefits to employees with spouses or to spouses of employees?

☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Does your company provide or offer access to any benefits to employees with (same or opposite sex) domestic partners* or to domestic partners of employees?

☐ Yes ☒ No Common law spouses only

Questions 2A and 2B should be answered YES even if your employees pay some or all of the cost of spousal or domestic partner benefits.

*The term "Domestic Partner" includes both same-sex and opposite-sex couples who have registered with any state or local government domestic partnership registry. See S.F. Admin. Code Ch. 12B.1(c).

If you answered "NO" to both Questions 2A and 2B, go to Section 4, complete and sign the form, filling in all items requested.

If you answered "YES" to either or both Questions 2A and 2B, please continue to Question 2C.

(OVER)

Question 2. (continued)

C. Please check all benefits that apply to your answers above and list in the "other" section any additional benefits not already specified. Note: some benefits are provided to employees because they have a spouse or domestic partner, such as bereavement leave; other benefits are provided directly to the spouse or domestic partner, such as medical insurance.

BENEFIT	Yes for Employees with Spouses	Yes for Employees with Domestic Partners	No, this Benefit is Not Offered	Documentation of this Benefit is Submitted with this Form
• Health Insurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Dental Insurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Vision Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Retirement (Pension, 401(k), etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Bereavement Leave	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Family Leave	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Parental Leave	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Employee Assistance Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Relocation & Travel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Company Discount, Facilities & Events	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Credit Union	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Child Care	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Dependent Life Insurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note: If you can't offer a benefit in a nondiscriminatory manner *because of reasons outside your control*, (e.g., there are no insurance providers in your area willing to offer domestic partner coverage) you may be eligible for Reasonable Measures compliance. To comply on this basis, you must agree to pay a cash equivalent, submit a completed Reasonable Measures Application Form (HRC-12B-102) with all necessary attachments, and have your application approved by the HRC. For more information, see Rules of Procedure section II B or contact the HRC.

➤ **Section 3. Required Documentation**

YOU MUST SUBMIT SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

to verify each benefit marked in Question 2C. Without proper documentation, your company cannot be certified as complying with Chapters 12B & 12C. For example, to document medical insurance submit a statement from your insurance provider or a copy of the eligibility section of your plan document; to document leave programs, submit a copy of your company's employee handbook. If documentation of a particular benefit does not exist, attach an explanation. For more information see the Quick Reference Guide at <http://www.sfgov.org/site/uploadedfiles/sfhumanrights/forms/quickref.pdf> or contact the HRC.

Have you submitted supporting documentation for each benefit offered? ☐ Yes ☐ No

➤ **Section 4. Executing the Document**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am authorized to bind this entity contractually.

Executed this 18th day of November, in the year 2008, at Dallas, TX
(City) (State)

Signature

Vickie E. Davis

Name of Signatory (please print)

Director, HR

Title

Mailing Address

4271 Bronze Way

City, State, Zip Code

Dallas TX 75237

➔ **Submit this form and supporting documentation to:** HRC, 25 Van Ness Ave., Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94102-6033, or to the City department that sent it to you if the department so requests.

✓ **Resource Materials** and additional copies of this form may be found at: www.sfhrc.org.

☎ **For assistance** please contact the Human Rights Commission at 415-252-2500 (TTY: 415-252-2550).

HRC-12B-101 (11/05)



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B

WAIVER REQUEST FORM

(HRC Form 201)

► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature] For K. McDonald.

Name of Department: Municipal Transportation Agency

Department Address: One S. Van Ness Ave., 7th floor

Contact Person: Ben Kawamura

Phone Number: 701-5408

Fax Number: 701-4736

FOR HRC USE ONLY

Request Number: _____

► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: AVO Training Institute

Contact Person: Greg Rice

Contractor Address: 4271 Bronze Way, Dallas, Texas 75237-1019

Vendor Number (if known): 71921

Contact Phone No.: (214) 330-3557

► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: Nov 19, 2008

Type of Contract: Training Services

Contract Start Date: TBD

End Date: TBD

Dollar Amount of Contract: \$100,000

► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

☒ Chapter 12B

☐ Chapter 14B *Note:* Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

☐ A. Sole Source

☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)

☐ C. Public Entity

☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 11/19/08

☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)

☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION

12B Waiver Granted: _____

14B Waiver Granted: _____

12B Waiver Denied: _____

14B Waiver Denied: _____

Reason for Action: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Director: _____ Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: _____ Contract Dollar Amount: _____

Kawamura, Ben

From: Rice, Greg [Greg.Rice@avotraining.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2008 1:00 PM
To: Kawamura, Ben
Subject: AVO / RE: 12B Domestic Partners Compliance
Attachments: 11-18-2008.pdf

Hello Ben,

Our Human Resources Manager has completed the form you requested. As I understand AVO is only able to recognize domestic partners as defined by Texas law. It appears we will be unable to comply with the requirements 12B & 12C.

Please let me know what is required in order to proceed.

Best Regards,
Greg

Greg Rice
Account Executive
AVO Training Institute, Inc.
(A SUBSIDIARY OF MEGGER)
4271 Bronze Way
Dallas, Texas 75237-1019
Direct Line: (214) 330-3557
Fax: (214) 331-7363
Email: greg.rice@avotraining.com
Website: www.avotraining.com

From: Kawamura, Ben [mailto:Ben.Kawamura@sfmta.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2008 1:50 PM
To: Rice, Greg
Cc: Kennedy, Thomas; Aranas, Ted; Nguyen, Trinh
Subject: 12B Domestic Partners Compliance

Hello Greg:

Per our conversation this morning, I am in receipt of your proposal to provide Catenary Safe-Work Practices training for the SFMTA.

In connection with the tentative award of this contract to your firm, the City of San Francisco requires all contractors to be in compliance with our Equal Benefits Ordinance, Chapters 12B and 12C of the SF Administrative Code.

In order to determine whether your firm is willing to comply, please complete the 12B Declaration attached above. I have also attached a Quick Reference Guide that will provide some background information on the Ordinance.

If for some reason, your firm is unable to comply, please let me know.

11/19/2008

More information on the Equal Benefits Ordinance is available on-line at:
http://www.sfgov.org/site/sfhumanrights_index.asp?id=4584

The City's Human Rights Commission (HRC) administers this program. The determination whether your firm complies rests with that agency.

Feel free to call me if I can answer any questions about the procedures for submitting the form. Please send the 12B Declaration to me, and I will forward to the appropriate staff person at the HRC.

Kind Regards,

Ben Kawamura
Procurement Manager
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
Office of Contracts and Procurement
(415) 701-5408
ben.kawamura@sfmta.com

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses by MessageLabs.

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses by MessageLabs.

C: c page



Municipal Transportation Agency

RECEIVED

2008 NOV 21 PM 3:33

BY

Re

Gavin Newsom | Mayor

Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman

Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman

Cameron Beach | Director

Shirley Breyer Black | Director

Malcolm Heinicke | Director

Jerry Lee | Director

Bruce Oka | Director

Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

November 21, 2008

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: 20081021-003

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

This is in response to Supervisor Sean Elsbernd's October 23, 2008 inquiry requesting the feasibility of establishing a STOP sign at 16th Avenue and Wawona Street.

Based on our review we do not recommend STOP signs at this intersection. Although presently uncontrolled, the right-of-way at this T-intersection is clearly defined. Drivers approaching this intersection from southbound 16th Avenue must yield to through traffic on Wawona Street. Furthermore, a review of collision information indicates that this intersection has been operating safely, with no reported collisions over the last five years according to the San Francisco Police Department reports.

If you have any questions, please contact Cesario Agudelo of my staff at 701-4596.

Sincerely,

Bond M. Yee

Bond M. Yee
Director, Parking and Traffic

c: The Honorable Sean Elsbernd, Member, Board of Supervisors
Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency

BMV:TF:CA
082214

7



OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS
SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION

*C: Rules comm m.
C: C page*



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

Memorandum

DATE: November 21, 2008

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Rule Committee

FROM: Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Acting Director, Office of Small Business *RD*

RE: Updating listing of Boards, Commissions, Committees and Task Forces

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Please note that Commission David Chiu, Seat #2 has resigned from his seat effective November 10, 2008. His resignation letter is attached. This seat is now vacant and can be posted as a vacancy.

The Small Business Commission did meet more than once every four months as per the Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.27.

8

San Francisco
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Date Printed: November 3, 2008

Date Established: December 5, 2003

Active

SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION

Contact and Address:

Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Contact Person
Small Business Commission
City Hall, Room 448
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-6481

Fax: (415) 558-7844

Email: regina.dick-endrizzi@sfgov.org

Authority:

Proposition D, November 4, 2003. (Charter Section 4.134) Certified by Secretary of State on 12/5/03.

Board Qualifications:

The Small Business Commission consists of seven members, who shall serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority. The Board of Supervisors shall appoint three members and the Mayor shall appoint four members.

Two of the Mayor's appointments and one of the Board of Supervisors appointments shall serve for an initial two-year term. Thereafter, all commissioners shall serve for four-year terms.

At least five of the seven individuals appointed to the Commission shall be owners, operators, or officers of San Francisco small businesses. One of the individuals appointed to the Commission may be either a current or former owner, operator, or officer of a San Francisco small business. One member of the Commission may be an officer or representative of a neighborhood economic development organization or an expert in small business finance.

Mayoral appointments handled as Charter Section 3.100.17 per City Attorney's Option letter dated 3/31/04.

Compensation: None

Reports: None

Sunset Date: None

"R Board Description" (Screen Print)

no changes

* * * For Internal Distribution Only * * *

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Summary - All Seats by Board

SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION

Seat #:	Seat Holder:	District:	Term:	Expires:	Represents:
1	Michael O'Connor <i>510 Grove Street San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 771-1420 h:</i>	5	4	1/6/2012	Board of Supervisors
2	David Chiu <i>Resigned 11/10/08</i> <i>1635 Clay Street #1 San Francisco, CA 941109 (415) 633-1148 h:(415) 793-5462</i>	0	4	1/6/2010	Board of Supervisors
3	Janet Clyde <i>2526 Bryant St. San Francisco, CA 94110 (415) 362-3370 h:(415) 595-9313</i>	9	4	1/6/2012	Board of Supervisors
4	Gus Murad <i>2524 Mission Street San Francisco, CA (415) 550-9055 h:</i>	9	4	1/6/2010	Mayor
5	Irene Yee Riley <i>190 Palo Alto Avenue San Francisco, CA 94114 h:(415) 238-3368</i>	0	4	1/6/2012	Mayor
6	Darlene Chiu <i>674 Commercial Street, #3 San Francisco, CA 94111 h:</i>	2	4	1/6/2012	Mayor
7	Richard Ventura <i>703 Market Street, Suite 611 San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 278-9611 h:</i>	0	4	1/6/2010	Mayor



"David Chiu"
<david@grassroots.com>
11/10/2008 02:18 PM

To "Regina Dick-Endrizzi" <Regina.Dick-Endrizzi@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc
Subject RE: November 10 Commission Meeting

History: This message has been forwarded.

To my fellow commissioners,

Due to the outcome of Election Day last week, I will be resigning from the Small Business Commission, effective today.

I have thoroughly enjoyed my time on the commission, both because of the commission's important mandate and also because it has been a pleasure to work with all of you. I have often said that our commission has worked well to build consensus to move forward issues important to the small business community, and wish that all city bodies could work as well together.

Rest assured that I plan to move forward these issues as Supervisor, and I look forward to working with the Commission in my new capacity.

All my best,

David Chiu

-----Original Message-----

From: Regina Dick-Endrizzi [mailto:Regina.Dick-Endrizzi@sfgov.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 10:18 PM
To: commissionerirene.riley@gmail.com; darchiu@yahoo.com;
darlene.chiu@gmail.com; David Chiu; divisadero628@earthlink.net;
gusmurad1@yahoo.com; ireneriley8@aol.com; janet@vesuvio.com;
richard@sfhcc.com
Subject: November 10 Commission Meeting

Dear Commissioners,
Attached is the draft agenda. Ted Egan is drafting an impact analysis for the Board of Supervisor on freezing the fees that small business pay. This report will not be ready for this meeting. File # 081118 Blight Ordinance was originally sent to you in September. You weren't able to hear it at the October meeting. I will like be before the full board on Tuesday, Nov. 18.

Kindly,
Regina Dick-Endrizzi
Acting Director
Office of Small Business
City Hall, Room 110
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: 415.554-6481

Fax: 415.558-7844
regina.dick-endrizzi@sfgov.org

"Offering solutions for San Francisco small businesses"

(See attached file: 11_08agenda.doc) (See attached file:
081118 Blight Ordinance.pdf) (See attached file: 081250-massage.pdf) (See
attached file: 081337 -bicycle.pdf)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 20 PM 3:38

BY SW

OFFICE OF THE TREASURER

José Cisneros
TREASURER

PAULINE MARX
Chief Assistant Treasurer

Newlin Rankin
Chief Investment Officer

November 14, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity (for fiscal year to date) of the portfolios under Treasurer's management.


Portfolio Statistics from July 1, 2008 to October 31, 2008:

Interest Received	Pooled \$32,470,157	All \$32,470,157
Total Net Earnings	\$27,720,552	\$28,270,636
Earned Income Yield	2.813%	2.834%
Average Age of Portfolio	240 Days	238 Days

Total cost of the securities on hand as of October 31, 2008 was \$2,954,269,618 with a market value of \$2,958,483,629 plus fixed assets accrued interests of 5,420,692. The earned income yield for the month of October 2008 is 2.918%.

In accordance with provisions of California State Government Code Section 53646, we are forwarding herewith computer printouts detailing the City's investment portfolio as of October 31, 2008. These investments are in compliance with California Code and our statement of investment policy, and provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months.

Very truly yours,


José Cisneros
Treasurer
Enc.

cc: Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst (w/Enc.)

Ben Rosenfield, Controller (w/Enc.)

Controller – Internal Audit Division -YTD-All Funds, YTD-Pooled Funds

Oversight Committee: R. Sullivan, Dr. Don Q. Griffin, J. Grazioli, T. Rydstrom, P. Marx

Transportation Authority – David Murray, San Francisco Public Library – 2 copies

Office Copy

City Hall Rm.140, #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA. 94102

(415) 554-4478

9

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

ALL FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	32,087,887.32	.00	382,269.38	N/A	32,470,156.70
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	27,876,601.99	.00	394,033.75	N/A	28,270,635.74
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,923,543,081.83	.00	36,216,260.16	N/A	2,959,759,341.99
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.830	.000	3.229	N/A	2.834
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,914,069,618.08	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,954,269,618.08
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	2,912,524,764.97	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,952,724,764.97
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.738	.000	3.209	N/A	2.744
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	237.64	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	237.64	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.834

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	32,087,887.32	.00	382,269.38	N/A	32,470,156.70
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	27,326,518.66	.00	394,033.75	N/A	27,720,552.41
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,888,543,081.83	.00	36,216,260.16	N/A	2,924,759,341.99
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.807	.000	3.229	N/A	2.813
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,879,069,618.08	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,919,269,618.08
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	2,877,524,764.97	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,917,724,764.97
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.715	.000	3.209	N/A	2.721
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	240.37	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	240.37	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.813

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

FUND: 9702 SFUSD TRANS 07-08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:57:31

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	.00	.00	.00	N/A	.00
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	550,083.33	.00	.00	N/A	550,083.33
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					4.664

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

ALL FUNDS

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:56:26

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	6,382,305.80	.00	97,472.22	N/A	6,479,778.02
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	6,698,028.55	.00	109,567.79	N/A	6,807,596.34
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,706,763,130.89	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,746,963,130.89
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.914	.000	3.209	N/A	2.918
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,914,069,618.08	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,954,269,618.08
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	2,912,524,764.97	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,952,724,764.97
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.788	.000	3.209	N/A	2.794
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	237.64	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	237.64	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.918

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:56:25

FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	6,382,305.80	.00	97,472.22	N/A	6,479,778.02
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	6,559,389.66	.00	109,567.79	N/A	6,668,957.45
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,671,763,130.89	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,711,963,130.89
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.891	.000	3.209	N/A	2.895
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,879,069,618.08	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,919,269,618.08
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	2,877,524,764.97	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	2,917,724,764.97
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.765	.000	3.209	N/A	2.771
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	240.37	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	240.37	.00	93.44	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.895

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

FUND: 9702 SFUSD TRANS 07-08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:56:26

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	.00	.00	.00	N/A	.00
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	138,638.89	.00	.00	N/A	138,638.89
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					4.664

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M.R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

INVESTMENT MATURITY DISTRIBUTION
AS OF 10/31/08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/05/08 08:37:20

ALL FUNDS

CALL/MATURITY	DATE RANGE	NO OF INV	COST	%	CUM %
1 TO 2 MONTHS	11/01/08-12/31/08	23	637,417,319.95	21.6	21.6
2 TO 3 MONTHS	01/01/09-01/31/09	16	514,309,126.33	17.4	39.0
3 TO 4 MONTHS	02/01/09-02/28/09	4	158,153,669.44	5.4	44.3
4 TO 5 MONTHS	03/01/09-03/31/09	8	398,976,663.26	13.5	57.8
5 TO 6 MONTHS	04/01/09-04/30/09	2	69,681,966.67	2.4	60.2
6 TO 12 MONTHS	05/01/09-10/31/09	15	447,458,194.67	15.1	75.3
12 TO 18 MONTHS	11/01/09-04/30/10	14	564,735,177.76	19.1	94.5
18 TO 24 MONTHS	05/01/10-10/31/10	2	82,625,000.00	2.8	97.3
24 TO 36 MONTHS	11/01/10-10/31/11	0		.0	97.3
36 TO 48 MONTHS	11/01/11-10/31/12	0		.0	97.3
48 TO 60 MONTHS	11/01/12-10/31/13	2	80,912,500.00	2.7	100.0
60 TO 72 MONTHS	11/01/13-10/31/14	0		.0	100.0
72 TO 84 MONTHS	11/01/14-10/31/15	0		.0	100.0
84 TO 120 MONTHS	11/01/15-10/31/18	0		.0	100.0
120 TO *** MONTHS	11/01/18-	0		.0	100.0
GRAND TOTALS		86	2,954,269,618.08		

Total number of funds represented: 2

SUMMARY DESCRIPTION		CUPN	TRDNG	BOOK	PAR VALUE	BOOK VALUE
		RATE	YIELD	PRICE	SHARES	
(Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS	7.46%(C)	1.369	1.383	99.007	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89
(Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES	12.45%(C)	3.354	2.265	101.504	358,100,000.00	363,486,050.85
(Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	7.89%(C)	3.566	3.454	100.190	230,000,000.00	230,437,000.00
(Inv Type) 23 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN.	1.15%(C)	4.300	3.605	101.086	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00
(Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	.88%(C)	3.120	3.120	100.000	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00
(Inv Type) 30 FHLMC Bonds	2.77%(C)	4.351	4.099	101.076	79,950,000.00	80,810,000.00
(Inv Type) 31 FHLMC FLOATER QTR ACT-360	18.82%(C)	3.134	3.171	99.996	549,500,000.00	549,476,468.00
(Inv Type) 33 FPCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	1.71%(C)	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 35 FHLMC FLOATER MONTHLY	.86%(C)	3.160	3.160	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 36 FHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-360	2.35%(C)	4.161	4.100	100.055	68,500,000.00	68,537,476.35
(Inv Type) 41 FHMA DISCOUNT NOTES	9.48%(C)	2.509	2.538	98.887	280,000,000.00	276,882,808.33
(Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES	1.60%(C)	2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67
(Inv Type) 43 FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC NOTES	1.69%(C)	2.440	2.468	98.861	50,000,000.00	49,430,666.66
(Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES	7.79%(C)	2.667	2.697	98.902	230,000,000.00	227,473,847.23
(Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC	17.08%(C)	2.950	2.987	98.762	505,000,000.00	498,748,161.10
(Inv Type) 82 COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BEARING	1.20%(C)	3.370	3.370	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S	3.43%(C)	2.945	2.945	100.000	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT	.18%(C)	2.787	2.787	100.000	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00
(Inv Type) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY	1.20%(C)	3.221	3.221	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
REPORT TOTALS		2.961	2.821	99.732	2,927,100,000.00	2,919,269,618.08
ASSETS	FIXED					

SUMMARY DESCRIPTION		CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE	
(Inv Type)	11 TREASURY BILLS	7.37%(C)	1.369	1.383	99.007	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89
(Inv Type)	12 TREASURY NOTES	12.30%(C)	3.354	2.265	101.504	358,100,000.00	363,486,050.85
(Inv Type)	22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	7.80%(C)	3.566	3.454	100.190	230,000,000.00	230,437,000.00
(Inv Type)	23 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN.	1.13%(C)	4.300	3.605	101.086	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00
(Inv Type)	28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	.87%(C)	3.120	3.120	100.000	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00
(Inv Type)	30 PHLMC Bonds	2.74%(C)	4.351	4.099	101.076	79,950,000.00	80,810,000.00
(Inv Type)	31 PHLB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	18.60%(C)	3.134	3.171	99.996	549,500,000.00	549,476,468.00
(Inv Type)	33 PFCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	1.69%(C)	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
(Inv Type)	35 PHLB FLOATER MONTHLY	.85%(C)	3.160	3.160	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
(Inv Type)	36 PHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-360	2.32%(C)	4.161	4.100	100.055	68,500,000.00	68,537,476.35
(Inv Type)	41 PNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	9.37%(C)	2.509	2.538	98.887	280,000,000.00	276,882,808.33
(Inv Type)	42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES	1.58%(C)	2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67
(Inv Type)	43 FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC NOTES	1.67%(C)	2.440	2.468	98.861	50,000,000.00	49,430,666.66
(Inv Type)	44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES	7.70%(C)	2.667	2.697	98.902	230,000,000.00	227,473,847.23
(Inv Type)	81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC	16.88%(C)	2.950	2.987	98.762	505,000,000.00	498,748,161.10
(Inv Type)	82 COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BEARING	1.18%(C)	3.370	3.370	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
(Inv Type)	91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S	4.57%(C)	3.374	3.374	100.000	135,000,000.00	135,000,000.00
(Inv Type)	1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT	.18%(C)	2.787	2.787	100.000	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00
(Inv Type)	1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY	1.18%(C)	3.221	3.221	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
REPORT TOTALS			2.981	2.842	99.736	2,962,100,000.00	2,954,269,618.08
ASSETS							
FIXED							

1
(STATRP)
CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

ALL FUNDS

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 TO 10/31/08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:42:57

DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTED AVERAGE RATE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE BOOK VALUE	PERIOD END YIELD TO MAT	WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MAT	PAR VALUE
TREASURY BILLS	1.68	61,389,044.62	1.38	237.20	220,000,000.00
TREASURY NOTES	3.48	283,526,373.43	2.27	335.35	358,100,000.00
FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3.67	184,469,258.06	3.45	503.51	230,000,000.00
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN.	4.30	33,510,009.00	3.60	419.00	33,150,000.00
FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3.12	25,700,000.00	3.12	503.00	25,700,000.00
FHLMC Bonds	4.34	80,810,000.00	4.10	1045.24	79,950,000.00
FHFB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	3.13	549,476,468.00	3.17	278.07	549,500,000.00
FFCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	2.02	50,000,000.00	2.02	360.00	50,000,000.00
FHFB FLOATER MONTHLY	3.16	25,000,000.00	3.16	423.00	25,000,000.00
FHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-360	4.16	68,537,476.35	4.10	325.00	68,500,000.00
FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	2.50	232,210,953.49	2.54	82.46	280,000,000.00
FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES	2.35	18,100,912.90	2.36	67.00	47,000,000.00
FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC NOTES	2.38	86,269,314.51	2.47	52.00	50,000,000.00
FMC DISCOUNT NOTES	2.66	218,544,271.96	2.70	95.20	230,000,000.00
COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC	2.87	623,251,306.62	2.99	66.96	505,000,000.00
COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BEARING	3.37	35,000,000.00	3.37	39.00	35,000,000.00
NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S	3.35	130,967,741.94	3.37	21.33	135,000,000.00
PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT	2.79	5,200,000.00	2.79	267.52	5,200,000.00
PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY	3.22	35,000,000.00	3.22	67.57	35,000,000.00
TOTAL FIXED INCOME	3.06	2,746,963,130.89	2.84	235.68	2,962,100,000.00
GRAND TOTAL FIXED INCOME	3.06	2,746,963,130.89	2.84	235.68	2,962,100,000.00

1
CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
(STATRP)

FUND 100 POOLED FUNDS

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 TO 10/31/08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:42:57

DESCRIPTION	WEIGHTED AVERAGE RATE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE BOOK VALUE	PERIOD END YIELD TO MAT	WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MAT	PAR VALUE
TREASURY BILLS	1.68	61,389,044.62	1.38	237.20	220,000,000.00
TREASURY NOTES	3.48	283,526,373.43	2.27	335.35	358,100,000.00
FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3.67	184,469,258.06	3.45	503.51	230,000,000.00
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN.	4.30	33,510,009.00	3.60	419.00	33,150,000.00
FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3.12	25,700,000.00	3.12	503.00	25,700,000.00
FHMC Bonds	4.34	80,810,000.00	4.10	1045.24	79,950,000.00
FHFB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	3.13	549,476,468.00	3.17	278.07	549,500,000.00
FPCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	2.02	50,000,000.00	2.02	360.00	50,000,000.00
FHFB FLOATER MONTHLY	3.16	25,000,000.00	3.16	423.00	25,000,000.00
FHMC FLOATER MO ACT-360	4.16	68,537,476.35	4.10	325.00	68,500,000.00
FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	2.50	232,210,953.49	2.54	82.46	280,000,000.00
FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES	2.35	18,100,912.90	2.36	67.00	47,000,000.00
FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC NOTES	2.38	86,269,314.51	2.47	52.00	50,000,000.00
FMC DISCOUNT NOTES	2.66	218,544,271.96	2.70	95.20	230,000,000.00
COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC	2.87	623,251,306.62	2.99	66.96	505,000,000.00
COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BEARING	3.37	35,000,000.00	3.37	39.00	35,000,000.00
NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S	2.89	95,967,741.94	2.94	24.25	100,000,000.00
PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT	2.79	5,200,000.00	2.79	267.52	5,200,000.00
PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY	3.22	35,000,000.00	3.22	67.57	35,000,000.00
TOTAL FIXED INCOME	3.04	2,711,963,130.89	2.82	238.35	2,927,100,000.00
GRAND TOTAL FIXED INCOME	3.04	2,711,963,130.89	2.82	238.35	2,927,100,000.00

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/05/08 08:37:19

NOT FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		TOTAL
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	.00	.00	.00	N/A	.00
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	138,638.89	.00	.00	N/A	138,638.89
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	4.664	.000	.000	N/A	4.664
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	13.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					4.664

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 42064	T BILL	912795J93	100	01/29/09	08/20/08	000	1.750	1.764	99.213	50,000,000.00	49,606,250.00
A 42098	T BILL	912795L58	100	04/23/09	10/31/08	000	.940	.944	99.546	50,000,000.00	49,772,833.34
A 42099	T BILL	912795L58	100	04/23/09	10/31/08	000	.940	.944	99.546	20,000,000.00	19,909,133.33
A 42094	T BILL	912795S44	100	10/22/09	10/29/08	000	1.480	1.502	98.528	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11
A 42095	T BILL	912795S44	100	10/22/09	10/29/08	000	1.480	1.502	98.528	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS											
							7.37*(C)			220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89
A 41697	T NOTE (98)	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	07/23/07	000	3.375	4.860	98.016	5,000,000.00	4,900,781.25
A 41698	T NOTE (98)	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	07/23/07	000	3.375	4.866	98.008	10,000,000.00	9,800,781.25
A 41699	T NOTE (98)	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	07/23/07	000	3.375	4.907	97.953	5,000,000.00	4,897,656.25
A 41700	T NOTE (98)	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	07/23/07	000	3.375	4.901	97.961	5,000,000.00	4,898,046.88
A 41738	T NOTE (98.11)	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	08/06/07	000	3.375	4.668	98.320	8,000,000.00	7,865,625.00
A 41740	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	12/15/08	08/07/07	000	3.375	4.583	98.426	5,000,000.00	4,921,289.06
A 41662	T NOTE (99.19)	912828BT6	100	12/31/08	06/08/07	000	4.750	5.028	99.570	20,000,000.00	19,914,062.50
A 42003	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	03/31/09	04/09/08	000	4.500	1.682	102.715	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90
A 42013	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	03/31/09	04/09/08	000	4.500	1.682	102.715	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90
A 41870	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	05/15/09	10/26/07	000	4.875	3.797	101.609	5,000,000.00	5,080,468.75
A 41841	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	05/15/09	10/26/07	000	4.875	3.797	101.609	10,000,000.00	10,096,468.75
A 41862	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	07/31/09	10/23/07	000	4.625	3.864	101.285	5,100,000.00	5,165,542.97
A 41933	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	02/28/10	03/31/08	000	2.000	1.677	100.605	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38
A 41994	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	02/28/10	03/31/08	000	2.000	1.677	100.605	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38
A 42096	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	06/30/10	10/31/08	000	2.875	1.459	103.281	50,000,000.00	51,640,625.00
A 42097	T NOTE	912828BT6	100	06/30/10	10/31/08	000	2.875	1.459	103.281	30,000,000.00	30,984,375.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES											
							12.30*(C)			358,100,000.00	363,486,050.85
A 42090	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42091	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42092	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
A 42093	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 41988	FEDERAL HOME LN BKS	3133XMP4	100	10/23/09	10/27/08	000	3.125	3.125	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,384,500.00
A 41950	FEDERAL HOME LN BKS	3133XMP4	100	11/13/09	03/18/08	000	4.500	3.531	101.538	30,000,000.00	30,052,500.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK											
							7.80*(C)			230,000,000.00	230,437,000.00
A 42033	FEDERAL NATL MTG ASSN	31398AKU5	100	12/24/09	05/08/08	000	4.300	3.605	101.086	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 23 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN 1.13*(C)											
							4.300	3.605	101.086	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00
A 41986	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	31331YU18	100	03/18/10	03/18/08	000	3.120	3.120	100.000	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK .87*(C)											
							3.120	3.120	100.000	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00

INVT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A	42045 F H L M C	3128X7N91	100	07/14/09	07/14/08	000	3.250	3.250	100.000	29,950,000.00	29,950,000.00
A	41973 FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP 3128X6VZ6		100	12/19/12	02/15/08	000	5.000	4.598	101.720	50,000,000.00	50,860,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 30 FILMC Bonds											
							2.74%(C)	4.351	4.099	101.076	79,950,000.00
											80,810,000.00
A	42016 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNZA2	100	01/08/09	04/18/08	000	4.089	4.267	99.955	50,000,000.00	49,977,500.00
A	42018 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNZA9	100	01/14/09	04/21/08	000	4.619	4.798	99.955	50,000,000.00	49,977,358.00
A	42019 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNZA9	100	01/14/09	04/21/08	000	4.619	4.798	99.955	30,000,000.00	29,986,410.00
A	42020 FILB FLOATER QTR	3133XNPA0	100	01/28/09	01/25/08	000	3.346	3.346	100.000	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00
A	42021 FILB FLOATER QTR	3133XNPA0	100	01/28/09	01/25/08	000	3.346	3.346	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	41915 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	12/07/07	000	2.626	2.651	99.969	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00
A	41916 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	12/07/07	000	2.626	2.651	99.969	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00
A	41924 F H L B FLOATER	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	12/28/07	000	2.626	2.586	100.050	50,000,000.00	50,024,900.00
A	41937 F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	2.626	2.610	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41938 F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	2.626	2.610	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41939 F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	2.626	2.610	100.020	4,500,000.00	4,500,900.00
A	41940 F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	2.626	2.610	100.020	50,010,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41941 F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNPA1	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	2.626	2.610	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 31 FILB FLOATER QTR ACT-360											
							18.60%(C)	3.134	3.171	99.996	549,500,000.00
											549,476,468.00
A	42065 FPGB FLOATER QTR	31331YEX3	100	10/26/09	08/26/08	000	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 33 FPGB FLOATER QTR ACT-360											
							1.69%(C)	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00
											50,000,000.00
A	42076 F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY	3133XRZ8	100	12/28/09	09/18/08	000	3.160	3.160	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 35 FILB FLOATER MONTHLY											
							.85%(C)	3.160	3.160	100.000	25,000,000.00
											25,000,000.00
A	42100 F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	3128X7CN2	100	09/21/09	09/22/08	000	4.161	4.100	100.055	18,500,000.00	18,510,121.35
A	42101 F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	3128X7CN2	100	09/21/09	09/22/08	000	4.161	4.100	100.055	50,000,000.00	50,027,355.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 36 FILMC FLOATER MO ACT-360											
							2.32%(C)	4.161	4.100	100.055	68,500,000.00
											68,537,476.35
A	42036 F N M A	313588N92	100	11/10/08	06/03/08	000	2.250	2.273	99.000	50,000,000.00	49,500,000.00
A	42037 F N M A	313588N92	100	11/10/08	06/03/08	000	2.250	2.273	99.000	50,000,000.00	49,500,000.00
A	42061 F N M A	313589BV4	100	02/13/09	08/28/08	000	2.790	2.827	98.690	50,000,000.00	49,345,125.00
A	42068 F N M A	313589BV4	100	02/13/09	09/02/08	000	2.770	2.805	98.738	30,000,000.00	29,621,433.33
A	42088 FMMA DISCOUNT NOTES	313589DD2	100	03/17/09	10/15/08	000	2.550	2.578	98.916	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO. (TICKER)	MATURITY DATE	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE	SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 42089	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	313589DD2	100	03/17/09	10/15/08	000	2.550	2.578	98.916	50,000,000.00		49,458,125.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES 9.37% (C)												
							2.509	2.538	98.887	280,000,000.00		276,882,808.33
A 42087	FARMER MAC DISCOUNT	313151AF5	100	01/06/09	10/20/08	000	2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00		46,760,691.67
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES 1.58% (C)												
							2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00		46,760,691.67
A 42042	F H L B DISCOUNT	313396CU3	100	12/22/08	07/07/08	000	2.440	2.468	98.861	50,000,000.00		49,430,666.66
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 43 FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC NOTES 1.67% (C)												
							2.440	2.468	98.861	50,000,000.00		49,430,666.66
A 42038	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313396Q30	100	11/20/08	06/04/08	000	2.250	2.274	98.944	50,000,000.00		49,471,875.00
A 42085	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397BK6	100	02/03/09	10/02/08	000	2.950	2.980	98.984	30,000,000.00		29,695,166.66
A 42086	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397BK6	100	02/03/09	10/02/08	000	2.950	2.980	98.984	50,000,000.00		49,491,944.45
A 42082	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397CZ2	100	03/13/09	10/03/08	000	2.650	2.682	98.815	50,000,000.00		49,407,430.56
A 42083	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397CZ2	100	03/13/09	10/03/08	000	2.650	2.682	98.815	50,000,000.00		49,407,430.56
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES 7.70% (C)												
							2.667	2.697	98.902	230,000,000.00		227,473,847.23
A 42062	WELLS FARGO C P	9497F0LR1	100	11/25/08	08/28/08	000	2.680	2.698	99.337	20,000,000.00		19,867,488.89
A 42039	AIG C P	00137EM93	100	12/09/08	06/26/08	000	3.000	3.042	98.617	50,000,000.00		49,308,333.33
A 42040	AIG C P	00137EM93	100	12/09/08	06/26/08	000	3.000	3.042	98.617	50,000,000.00		49,308,333.33
A 42063	WELLS FARGO C P	9497F0M90	100	12/09/08	08/28/08	000	2.680	2.701	99.233	20,000,000.00		19,846,644.44
A 42075	UNION BANK C P	90485JN91	100	12/09/08	09/26/08	000	3.640	3.667	99.252	25,000,000.00		24,812,944.44
A 42048	ING C P	4497W0MP0	100	12/23/08	07/22/08	000	2.890	2.926	98.764	50,000,000.00		49,381,861.11
A 42049	ING C P	4497W0MP0	100	12/23/08	07/22/08	000	2.890	2.926	98.764	25,000,000.00		24,690,930.56
A 42054	Commerzbank CP	20260AN63	100	01/06/09	07/30/08	000	2.980	2.928	98.716	40,000,000.00		39,486,222.22
A 42058	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	100	01/06/09	06/05/08	000	2.980	3.018	98.725	50,000,000.00		49,362,611.11
A 42070	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	100	01/06/09	09/03/08	000	2.915	2.945	98.988	50,000,000.00		49,493,923.61
A 42052	TOYOTA C P	89233GN16	100	01/20/09	07/25/08	000	2.750	2.788	98.633	25,000,000.00		24,658,159.72
A 42066	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	100	03/03/09	09/05/08	000	2.955	2.999	98.531	50,000,000.00		49,265,354.17
A 42067	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	100	03/03/09	09/05/08	000	2.955	2.999	98.531	50,000,000.00		49,265,354.17
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC 16.88% (C)												
							2.950	2.987	98.762	505,000,000.00		498,748,161.10
A 42069	WELLS FARGO C P	9497P6VH8	100	12/09/08	09/29/08	000	3.370	3.370	100.000	35,000,000.00		35,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 82 COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BEARING 1.18% (C)												
							3.370	3.370	100.000	35,000,000.00		35,000,000.00
A 42025	CHASE N C D	16144RGN7	100	11/10/08	04/30/08	000	2.500	2.500	100.000	25,000,000.00		25,000,000.00

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO. (TICKER)	MATURITY DATE	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 42026	CHASE N C D	16144RGNT	100	11/10/08	04/30/08	000	2.500	2.500	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 41869	BANK OF AMERICA MCD	06050G2C3	9702	11/13/08	10/25/07	000	4.600	4.600	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
A 42084	CITIGROUP N C D	1730D1K60	100	01/06/09	10/06/08	000	4.280	4.280	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S							3.374	3.374	100.000	135,000,000.00	135,000,000.00
A 41892	MISSION AREA CREDIT UN		100	11/03/08	11/02/07	000	3.500	3.500	100.000	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00
A 42044	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU		100	07/16/09	07/16/08	000	3.900	3.900	100.000	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00
A 42055	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD		100	07/31/09	07/31/08	000	2.750	2.750	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT							2.787	2.787	100.000	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00
A 41925	CITIBANK PTD		100	01/02/09	01/03/08	000	3.750	3.750	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A 42059	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/04/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A 42060	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/01/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A 41948	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONT		100	01/18/09	01/19/08	000	3.850	3.850	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY							3.221	3.221	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
REPORT TOTALS							2.981	2.842	99.736	2,962,100,000.00	2,954,269,618.08
ASSETS							=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 41892	MISSION AREA CREDIT UN		100	11/03/08	11/02/07	000	3.500	3.500	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
A 42044	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU		100	07/16/09	07/16/08	000	3.900	3.900	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
A 42055	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD		100	07/31/09	07/31/08	000	2.750	2.750	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Bank) 19 BANK OF NEW YORK								2.787	2.787	100.000	5,200,000.00
REPORT TOTALS											
ASSETS							FIXED	2.787	2.787	100.000	5,200,000.00

CITY / COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M. R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(EIS / EREIS)

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY

10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

SORT KEYS ARE FUND IC# MATD

FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/04/08 08:41:49

INV NO.	PURCHASER DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD / 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42064	08/20/08	1.7500	T BILL	01/29/09	50,000,000.00	49,606,250.00	1.788			75,347.23
42098	10/31/08	.9400	T BILL	04/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,772,833.34	.957			1,305.56
42099	10/31/08	.9400	T BILL	04/23/09	20,000,000.00	19,909,133.33	.957			522.22
42094	10/29/08	1.4800	T BILL	10/22/09	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11	1.523			6,166.67
42095	10/29/08	1.4800	T BILL	10/22/09	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11	1.523			6,166.67
SUBTOTAL (IC#)	11	TREASURY BILLS	7.37% (C)	237 DAYS	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89	1.717		.00	89,508.35
41697	07/23/07	3.3750	T NOTE (98)	12/15/08	5,000,000.00	4,900,781.25	4.880			20,312.17
41698	07/23/07	3.3750	T NOTE (98)	12/15/08	10,000,000.00	9,800,781.25	4.886			40,671.75
41699	07/23/07	3.3750	T NOTE (98)	12/15/08	5,000,000.00	4,897,656.25	4.929			20,501.75
41700	07/23/07	3.3750	T NOTE (98)	12/15/08	5,000,000.00	4,898,046.88	4.923			20,478.06
41738	08/08/07	3.3750	T NOTE (98.11)	12/15/08	8,000,000.00	7,865,625.00	4.683			31,284.26
41740	08/07/07	3.3750	T NOTE	12/15/08	5,000,000.00	4,921,289.06	4.597			19,212.47
41662	06/08/07	4.7500	T. NOTE (99.19)	12/31/08	20,000,000.00	19,914,062.50	5.007			84,684.63
42003	04/09/08	4.5000	T NOTE	03/31/09	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90	1.683			73,418.41
42013	04/09/08	4.5000	T NOTE	03/31/09	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90	1.683			73,418.41
41870	10/26/07	4.8750	T NOTE	05/15/09	5,000,000.00	5,080,468.75	3.739			16,133.77
41841	10/16/07	4.8750	T NOTE	05/31/09	10,000,000.00	10,096,484.38	4.227			36,247.11
41862	10/23/07	4.6250	T NOTE	07/31/09	5,100,000.00	5,165,542.97	3.813			16,729.51
41993	03/31/08	2.0000	T NOTE	02/28/10	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38	1.690			72,209.38
41994	03/31/08	2.0000	T NOTE	02/28/10	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38	1.690			72,209.38
42096	10/31/08	2.8750	T NOTE	06/30/10	50,000,000.00	51,640,625.00	1.410			1,994.95
42097	10/31/08	2.8750	T NOTE	06/30/10	30,000,000.00	30,984,375.00	1.410			1,196.97
SUBTOTAL (IC#)	12	TREASURY NOTES	12.30% (C)	335 DAYS	358,100,000.00	363,486,050.85	2.495		.00	600,702.98
42090	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.332			136,944.44
42091	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.332			136,944.44
42092	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	3.332			68,472.22
42093	10/27/08	3.1250	F H L B	10/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.535			17,361.11
41988	03/18/08	4.5000	FEDERAL HOME LN BKS	11/13/09	25,000,000.00	25,384,500.00	3.435			74,048.35
41950	01/31/08	4.2000	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS	01/28/13	30,000,000.00	30,052,500.00	4.079			104,107.73
SUBTOTAL (IC#)	22	FEDERAL HOME LOA	7.80% (C)	503 DAYS	230,000,000.00	230,437,000.00	3.433		.00	537,878.29
42033	05/08/08	4.3000	FEDERAL NATL MTG ASSN	12/24/09	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00	3.515			100,030.73
SUBTOTAL (IC#)	23	FEDERAL NATIONAL	1.13% (C)	419 DAYS	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00	3.515		.00	100,030.73
41986	03/18/08	3.1200	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	03/18/10	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00	3.061			66,820.00
SUBTOTAL (IC#)	28	FEDERAL FARM CRE	.87% (C)	503 DAYS	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00	3.061		.00	66,820.00

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M. R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(EIS / ERMESIS)

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY

10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08

SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD

FUND: 100

POOLED FUNDS

PAGE: 2
RUN: 11/04/08 08:41:49

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD / 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42045	07/14/08	3.2500	F H L M C	07/14/09	29,950,000.00	29,950,000.00	3.189			81,114.58
41973	02/15/08	5.0000	FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP	12/19/12	50,000,000.00	50,860,000.00	4.474			193,262.67
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 30 PHLMC Bonds 2.74%(C) 1045 DAYS 79,950,000.00 80,810,000.00 3.998 .00 274,377.25										
42016	04/18/08	4.0890	F H L B FLOATER	01/08/09	50,000,000.00	49,977,500.00	3.867		331,072.00	164,122.34
42018	04/21/08	4.6190	F H L B FLOATER	01/14/09	50,000,000.00	49,977,358.00	3.883		330,688.58	164,821.50
42019	04/21/08	4.6190	F H L B FLOATER	01/14/09	30,000,000.00	29,986,410.00	3.883		198,413.02	98,893.33
42020	01/25/08	3.3460	PHLB FLOATER QTR	01/28/09	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	2.756		100,624.95	35,107.87
42021	01/25/08	3.3460	PHLB FLOATER QTR	01/28/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.756		335,416.50	117,026.22
41915	12/07/07	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00	2.679			113,725.40
41916	12/07/07	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00	2.679			113,725.40
41924	12/28/07	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,024,900.00	2.635			111,954.84
41937	01/09/08	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	2.651			112,610.67
41938	01/09/08	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	2.651			112,610.67
41939	01/09/08	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	4,500,000.00	4,500,900.00	2.651			10,134.96
41940	01/09/08	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	2.651			112,610.67
41941	01/09/08	2.6260	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	2.651			112,610.67
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 31 PHLB FLOATER QTR 18.60%(C) 278 DAYS 549,500,000.00 549,476,468.00 2.957 1,296,215.05 1,379,954.54										
42065	08/26/08	2.0200	PRCB FLOATER QTR	10/26/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.179		201,694.50	92,527.83
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 33 PRCB FLOATER QTR 1.69%(C) 360 DAYS 50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00 2.179 201,694.50 92,527.83										
42076	09/18/08	3.1600	F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY	12/28/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	3.618		75,604.00	76,821.36
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 35 PHLB FLOATER MON .85%(C) 423 DAYS 25,000,000.00 25,000,000.00 3.618 75,604.00 76,821.36										
42100	09/22/08	4.1613	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	09/22/09	18,500,000.00	18,510,121.35	3.512		47,204.42	55,215.36
42101	09/22/08	4.1613	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	09/22/09	50,000,000.00	50,027,355.00	3.512		127,579.50	149,230.72
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 36 PHLMC FLOATER MO 2.32%(C) 325 DAYS 68,500,000.00 68,537,476.35 3.512 174,783.92 204,446.08										
42036	06/03/08	2.2500	F N M A	11/10/08	50,000,000.00	49,500,000.00	2.304			96,875.00
42037	06/03/08	2.2500	F N M A	11/10/08	50,000,000.00	49,500,000.00	2.304			96,875.00
42061	08/28/08	2.7900	F N M A	02/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,345,125.00	2.866			120,125.00
42068	09/02/08	2.7700	F N M A	02/13/09	30,000,000.00	29,621,433.33	2.844			71,558.33
42088	10/15/08	2.5500	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	03/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00	2.614			60,208.33
42089	10/15/08	2.5500	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	03/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00	2.614			60,208.33
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NO 9.37%(C) 82 DAYS 280,000,000.00 276,882,808.33 2.565 .00 505,849.99										
42087	10/20/08	2.3500	FARMER MAC DISCOUNT	01/06/09	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67	2.395			36,816.67

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY

10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

PAGE: 3
RDN: 11/04/08 08:41:49

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD / 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS			
SUBTOTAL (IC#)				42	FARM CREDIT DISC	1.58%(C)	67	DAYS	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67	2.395	.00	36,816.67
42009	04/08/08	2.1300	F H L B	10/03/08	50,000,000.00	49,473,416.67	2.183	MATURED	526,583.33	5,916.67			
42010	04/08/08	2.1300	F H L B	10/03/08	50,000,000.00	49,473,416.67	2.183	MATURED	526,583.33	5,916.67			
42011	04/08/08	2.1300	F H L B	10/03/08	25,000,000.00	24,736,708.33	2.183	MATURED	263,291.67	2,958.33			
42043	07/11/08	2.3600	F H L B DISCOUNT	10/10/08	50,000,000.00	49,701,722.22	2.407	MATURED	298,277.78	29,500.00			
42053	07/11/08	2.3600	F H L B DISCOUNT	10/10/08	50,000,000.00	49,701,722.22	2.407	MATURED	298,277.78	29,500.00			
42042	07/07/08	2.4400	F H L B DISCOUNT	12/22/08	50,000,000.00	49,430,666.66	2.502			105,055.55			
SUBTOTAL (IC#)				43	FEDERAL HOME LOA	1.67%(C)	52	DAYS	50,000,000.00	49,430,666.66	2.441	1,913,013.89	178,847.22
42038	06/04/08	2.2500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	11/20/08	50,000,000.00	49,471,875.00	2.306			96,875.00			
42085	10/02/08	2.9500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	02/03/09	30,000,000.00	29,695,166.66	3.022			73,750.00			
42086	10/02/08	2.9500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	02/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,491,944.45	3.022			122,916.67			
42082	10/03/08	2.6500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	03/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56	2.719			106,736.11			
42083	10/03/08	2.6500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	03/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56	2.719			106,736.11			
SUBTOTAL (IC#)				44	FMC DISCOUNT NOT	7.70%(C)	95	DAYS	230,000,000.00	227,473,847.23	2.732	.00	507,013.89
42017	04/16/08	2.7600	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	10/14/08	25,000,000.00	24,653,083.33	2.838	MATURED	346,916.67	24,916.67			
42047	07/21/08	2.3400	WELLS FARGO C P	10/14/08	50,000,000.00	49,723,750.00	2.386	MATURED	276,250.00	42,250.00			
42077	04/28/08	2.8000	BANK OF AMERICA C P	10/14/08	50,000,000.00	49,342,777.78	8.425	10/02/08	618,055.55	11,388.89			
42079	04/29/08	3.0000	INTL LEASE FINANCE C P	10/24/08	50,000,000.00	49,258,333.33	3.087	MATURED	741,666.67	95,833.33			
42039	05/01/08	2.7600	ING C P	10/27/08	25,000,000.00	24,656,916.67	2.837	MATURED	343,083.33	49,833.33			
42032	05/05/08	2.0200	NESTLE C P	10/28/08	40,000,000.00	39,604,977.78	2.068	MATURED	395,022.22	60,600.00			
42062	08/28/08	2.6800	WELLS FARGO C P	11/25/08	20,000,000.00	19,867,488.89	2.735			46,155.56			
42039	06/26/08	3.0000	AIG C P	12/09/08	50,000,000.00	49,308,333.33	3.084			129,166.67			
42040	06/26/08	3.0000	AIG C P	12/09/08	50,000,000.00	49,308,333.33	3.084			129,166.67			
42063	08/28/08	2.6800	WELLS FARGO C P	12/09/08	20,000,000.00	19,846,644.44	2.738			46,155.56			
42075	09/26/08	3.6400	UNION BANK C P	12/09/08	25,000,000.00	24,812,944.44	3.718			78,361.11			
42048	07/22/08	2.8900	ING C P	12/23/08	50,000,000.00	49,381,861.11	2.967			124,430.56			
42049	07/22/08	2.8900	ING C P	12/23/08	25,000,000.00	24,690,930.56	2.967			62,215.28			
42054	07/30/08	2.8900	Commerzbank CP	01/06/09	40,000,000.00	39,486,222.22	2.968			99,544.45			
42056	08/05/08	2.9800	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	01/06/09	50,000,000.00	49,362,611.11	3.060			128,305.55			
42070	09/03/08	2.9150	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	01/06/09	50,000,000.00	49,493,923.61	2.986			125,506.95			
42052	07/25/08	2.7500	TOYOTA C P	01/20/09	25,000,000.00	24,658,159.72	2.827			59,201.39			
42066	09/05/08	2.9550	BANK OF AMERICA C P	03/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17	3.041			127,229.17			
42067	09/05/08	2.9550	BANK OF AMERICA C P	03/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17	3.041			127,229.17			
SUBTOTAL (IC#)				81	COMMERCIAL PAPER	16.88%(C)	66	DAYS	505,000,000.00	498,748,161.10	2.961	2,720,994.44	1,567,490.31

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND IC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

PAGE: 4
RUN: 11/04/08 08:41:49

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD / 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42069	09/29/08	3.3700	WELLS FARGO C P	12/09/08	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	3.417			101,568.05
SUBTOTAL (ICC#)	82	COMMERCIAL PAPER	1.18%(C)	39 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	3.417		.00	101,568.05
42025	04/30/08	2.5000	CHASE N C D	11/10/08	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	2.535			53,819.45
42026	04/30/08	2.5000	CHASE N C D	11/10/08	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.535			107,638.89
42084	10/06/08	4.2800	CITIGROUP N C D	01/06/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	4.339			77,277.78
SUBTOTAL (ICC#)	91	NEGOTIABLE C.D.'s	3.38%(C)	24 DAYS	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	2.929		.00	238,736.12
41892	11/02/07	3.5000	MISSION AREA CREDIT UN	11/03/08	100,000.00	100,000.00	3.549			301.39
42044	07/16/08	3.9000	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU	07/16/09	100,000.00	100,000.00	3.954			335.84
42055	07/31/08	2.7500	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD	07/31/09	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	2.788		381.94	11,840.28
SUBTOTAL (ICC#)	1010	PUBLIC TIME DE	.18%(C)	267 DAYS	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00	2.825		381.94	12,477.51
41925	01/03/08	3.7500	CITIBANK PTD	01/02/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	3.802		32,291.67	32,291.67
42059	08/04/08	2.8000	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT	01/06/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	2.839		24,111.11	24,111.11
42060	08/01/08	2.8000	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT	01/06/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	2.839		24,111.11	24,111.11
41948	01/19/08	3.8500	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONT	01/18/09	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	3.903		16,576.39	16,576.39
SUBTOTAL (ICC#)	1011	PUBLIC TIME DE	1.18%(C)	67 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	3.266		97,090.28	97,090.28
SUBTOTAL (FUND)	100	POOLED FUNDS	- ASSETS	238 DAYS	2927100000.00	2919269618.08			6,479,778.02	6,668,957.45
SUBTOTAL (FUND)	100	POOLED FUNDS	- NET		2927100000.00	2919269618.08			6,479,778.02	6,668,957.45
FUND STATISTICS			ASSETS			LIABILITIES				
AVERAGE DAILY INVESTMENT BALANCE			:	2,711,963,130.89						
EARNED INTEREST YIELD THIS PERIOD			:	2.895		.000				
WEIGHTED AVG YIELD AT END OF PERIOD			:	2.771		.000				
TOTAL INTEREST EARNED FOR FUTURE RECEIPT:			:	14,084,269.07						

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M.R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(EIS / ERNEIS)

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY
10/01/08 THROUGH 10/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND IC# MATD
FUND: 9702 SFUSD TRANS 07-08

PAGE: 5
RUN: 11/04/08 08:41:49

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME	
									RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
41869	10/25/07	4.6000	BANK OF AMERICA MCD	11/13/08	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	4.664			138,638.89
SUBTOTAL (IC#) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.				13 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	4.664		.00	138,638.89
SUBTOTAL (FUND) 9702 SFUSD TRANS 07-08				ASSETS	13 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00		.00	138,638.89
SUBTOTAL (FUND) 9702 SFUSD TRANS 07-08				NET		35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00		.00	138,638.89
FUND STATISTICS										
				ASSETS			LIABILITIES			
AVERAGE DAILY INVESTMENT BALANCE				:	35,000,000.00				.000	
EARNED INTEREST YIELD THIS PERIOD				:	4.664					
WEIGHTED AVG YIELD AT END OF PERIOD				:	4.664					
TOTAL INTEREST EARNED FOR FUTURE RECEIPT:				:	1,668,138.89					

GRAND TOTAL 100.00%(C) 235 DAYS 2962100000.00 2954269618.08 2.918 6,479,778.02 6,807,596.34

CITY / COUNTRY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PAGE: 1
RUN: 11/05/08 08:29:47

(RPTMT)

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 10/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SKARES BOOK	MARKET PRICE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	INT UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
A 42064	T BILL 08/20/08 01/29/09	912795J93	19	100	1.7500	50,000,000.00	49,953,125.00	177,430.56	169,444.44
A 42098	T BILL 10/31/08 04/23/09	912795L58	52	000	1.7639	49,606,250.00	99,906,250,000.00	SUNWARD	7,111.10
A 42099	T BILL 10/31/08 04/23/09	912795L58	19	100	.9400	50,000,000.00	49,781,250.00	SUNWARD	2,844.45
A 42094	T BILL 10/29/08 10/22/09	912795S44	54	000	.9443	19,909,133.33	99,562,500,000.00	SUNWARD	89,097.22
A 42095	T BILL 10/29/08 10/22/09	912795S44	54	000	1.5021	49,264,111.11	98,718,750,000.00	SUNWARD	89,097.22
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS									357,594.43
A 41697	T NOTE (98) 07/23/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	19	100	3.3750	5,000,000.00	5,017,187.50	64,088.11	116,406.25
A 41698	T NOTE (98) 07/23/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	45	000	4.8598	4,900,781.25	100,343,750,000.00	SUNWARD	233,593.75
A 41699	T NOTE (98) 07/23/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	40	000	4.8657	9,800,781.25	100,343,750,000.00	SUNWARD	119,531.25
A 41700	T NOTE (98) 07/23/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	19	100	3.3750	5,000,000.00	5,017,187.50	SUNWARD	119,140.62
A 41738	T NOTE (98.11) 08/08/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	43	000	4.9013	4,898,046.88	100,343,750,000.00	SUNWARD	161,875.00
A 41740	T NOTE 08/07/07 12/15/08	912828BT6	89	000	4.6679	7,865,625.00	8,027,500.00	SUNWARD	95,898.44
A 41662	T. NOTE (99.19) 06/08/07 12/31/08	912828GB0	45	000	4.5833	4,921,289.06	100,343,750,000.00	SUNWARD	210,937.50
A 42003	T NOTE 04/09/08 03/31/09	912828GL8	19	100	5.0376	19,914,062.50	100,625,000,000.00	SUNWARD	-638,671.90
A 42013	T NOTE 04/09/08 03/31/09	912828GL8	47	000	1.6817	51,357,421.90	101,437,500,000.00	SUNWARD	-638,671.90
A 41870	T NOTE 10/26/07 05/15/09	912828FE5	19	100	4.8750	5,000,000.00	5,096,875.00	SUNWARD	16,406.25
A 41841	T NOTE 10/16/07 05/31/09	912828GY0	40	000	4.6250	5,165,542.97	102,375,000,000.00	SUNWARD	112,890.62
A 41862	T NOTE 10/23/07 07/31/09	912828HS2	40	000	2.0000	50,000,000.00	50,328,125.00	SUNWARD	55,582.03
A 41993	T NOTE 03/31/08 02/28/10	912828HS2	19	100	1.6772	50,302,734.38	100,656,250,000.00	SUNWARD	25,390.62
A 41994	T NOTE 03/31/08 02/28/10	912828HS2	47	000	1.6772	50,302,734.38	100,656,250,000.00	SUNWARD	25,390.62
A 42096	T NOTE 10/31/08 06/30/10	912828JCS	19	100	2.8750	50,000,000.00	51,140,625.00	SUNWARD	-19,531.25
A 42097	T NOTE 10/31/08 06/30/10	912828JCS	47	000	1.4593	30,984,375.00	102,281,250,000.00	SUNWARD	-11,718.75

CITY / COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

PAGE: 2
RUN: 11/05/08 08:29:47

(REPMKT)

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 10/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/ SHARES	BOOK	MARKET PRICE	MARKET VALUE	CURR ACCR INT	INT UNREALIZED GAIN
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES											
			12.26%(M)								
					3.3554	358,100,000.00	362,701,750.00	2,697,658.75	1,293,042.95		
					2.2668	363,486,050.85	101.2850460000		-1,308,593.80		
A 42090 F H L B	10/02/08 10/02/09	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	50,000,000.00	50,062,500.00	136,944.44	62,500.00		
			47	000	3.4000	50,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD			
A 42091 F H L B	10/02/08 10/02/09	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	50,000,000.00	50,062,500.00	136,944.44	62,500.00		
			47	000	3.4000	50,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD			
A 42092 F H L B	10/02/08 10/02/09	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	25,000,000.00	25,031,250.00	68,472.22	31,250.00		
			47	000	3.4000	25,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD			
A 42093 F H L B	10/02/08 10/02/09	3133XSJP4	19	100	3.1250	50,000,000.00	50,062,500.00	17,361.11	62,500.00		
			47	000	3.1250	50,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD			
A 41988 FEDERAL HOME LN BKS	03/18/08 11/13/09	3133XWMP2	19	100	4.5000	25,000,000.00	25,007,812.50	525,000.00	-376,687.50		
			76	000	3.5312	25,384,500.00	100.0312500000	SUNGARD			
A 41950 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS	01/31/08 01/28/13	3133XP4T8	19	100	4.2000	30,000,000.00	29,850,000.00	325,500.00	-202,500.00		
			47	000	4.1607	30,052,500.00	99.5000000000	SUNGARD			
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK 7.78%(M)											
					3.5658	230,000,000.00	230,076,562.50	1,210,222.21	218,750.00		
					3.4540	230,437,000.00	100.0332880000		-579,187.50		
A 42033 FEDERAL NATL MTG ASSN	05/08/08 12/24/09	31398AKU5	19	100	4.3000	33,150,000.00	33,222,515.63	502,867.08	-287,493.37		
			47	000	3.6047	33,510,009.00	100.2187500000	SUNGARD			
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 23 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTG 1.12%(M)											
					4.3000	33,150,000.00	33,222,515.63	502,867.08	.00		
					3.6047	33,510,009.00	100.2187500000		-287,493.37		
A 41986 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	03/18/08 03/18/10	31331YYL8	19	100	3.1200	25,700,000.00	25,643,781.25	95,775.33	-56,218.75		
			47	000	3.1200	25,700,000.00	99.7812500000	SUNGARD			
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BAN .87%(M)											
					3.1200	25,700,000.00	25,643,781.25	95,775.33	.00		
					3.1200	25,700,000.00	99.7812500000		-56,218.75		
A 42045 F H L M C	07/14/08 07/14/09	3128X7N91	19	100	3.2500	29,950,000.00	29,987,437.50	289,308.68	37,437.50		
			53	000	3.2500	29,950,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD			
A 41973 FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP BONDS	02/15/08 12/19/12	3128X6VZ6	19	100	5.0000	50,000,000.00	50,171,875.00	916,666.67	-688,125.00		
			87	000	4.5985	50,860,000.00	100.3437500000	SUNGARD			
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 30 FHLMC Bonds 2.71%(M)											
					4.3514	79,950,000.00	80,159,312.50	1,205,975.35	37,437.50		
					4.0987	80,810,000.00	100.2618040000		-688,125.00		
A 42016 F H L B FLOATER	04/18/08 01/08/09	3133XNKA2	19	100	4.0890	50,000,000.00	50,078,125.00	136,300.00	100,625.00		
			47	000	4.2670	49,977,500.00	100.1562500000	SUNGARD			

(RPTMKT)

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 10/31/08

MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	FUND CPN RATE	PAR/ SHARES	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
A 42018	F H L B FLOATER 04/21/08 01/14/09	31333XNYB9	19	100	4.6190	50,000,000.00	50,125,000.00	115,475.00	147,642.00
A 42019	F H L B FLOATER 04/21/08 01/14/09	31333XNYB9	47	000	4.7984	49,977,358.00	100,250,000,000	SUNGARD	88,590.00
A 42020	FHLB FLOATER QTR 01/25/08 01/28/09	31333XPAY0	19	100	3.3460	15,000,000.00	15,004,687.50	5,576.67	4,687.50
A 42021	FHLB FLOATER QTR 01/25/08 01/28/09	31333XPAY0	87	000	3.3460	50,000,000.00	50,015,625.00	18,588.89	15,625.00
A 41915	F H L B FLOATER 12/07/07 11/23/09	31333XNF61	19	100	2.6260	50,000,000.00	49,812,500.00	255,305.56	-172,200.00
A 41916	F H L B FLOATER 12/07/07 11/23/09	31333XNF61	47	000	2.6508	49,984,700.00	99,625,000,000	255,305.56	-172,200.00
A 41924	F H L B FLOATER 12/28/07 11/23/09	31333XNF61	19	100	2.6260	50,000,000.00	49,812,500.00	255,305.56	-212,400.00
A 41937	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360 01/09/08 11/23/09	31333XNF61	19	100	2.6260	50,000,000.00	49,812,500.00	255,305.56	-197,500.00
A 41938	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360 01/09/08 11/23/09	31333XNF61	47	000	2.6097	50,010,000.00	99,625,000,000	255,305.56	-197,500.00
A 41939	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360 01/09/08 11/23/09	31333XNF61	19	100	2.6097	50,010,000.00	99,625,000,000	22,977.50	-17,775.00
A 41940	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360 01/09/08 11/23/09	31333XNF61	47	000	2.6260	50,000,000.00	49,812,500.00	255,305.56	-197,500.00
A 41941	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360 01/09/08 11/23/09	31333XNF61	19	100	2.6260	50,000,000.00	49,812,500.00	255,305.56	-197,500.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 31 FHLB FLOATER QTR ACT-36 18.54%(M)									
					3.1343	549,500,000.00	549,469,062.50	2,155,341.98	357,169.50
					3.1713	549,476,468.00	99.812386000000	-1,364,575.00	
A 42065	FFCB FLOATER QTR 08/26/08 10/26/09	31331Y6X3	19	100	2.0200	50,000,000.00	49,578,125.00	16,833.33	-421,875.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 33 FFCE FLOATER QTR ACT-36 1.68%(M)									
					2.0200	50,000,000.00	49,578,125.00	16,833.33	.00
					2.0200	50,000,000.00	99.156250000000	-421,875.00	
A 42076	F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY 09/18/08 12/28/09	31333XRR28	19	100	3.1600	25,000,000.00	24,937,500.00	8,777.78	-62,500.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 35 FHLB FLOATER MONTHLY									
					3.1600	25,000,000.00	24,937,500.00	8,777.78	.00
					3.1600	25,000,000.00	99.750000000000	-62,500.00	
A 42100	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	19	100	4.1613	18,500,000.00	18,482,656.25	23,522.62	-27,465.10
A 42101	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	47	000	4.1005	18,510,121.35	99.906250000000	SUNGARD	63,574.65
A 42101	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	19	100	4.1613	50,000,000.00	49,953,125.00	63,574.65	-74,230.00
A 42101	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	47	000	4.1005	50,027,355.00	99.906250000000	SUNGARD	-74,230.00

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
M R. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

PAGE: 4
RUN: 11/05/08 08:29:47

(RPTMKT)

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 10/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	FUND CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/ SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	INT UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 36 PHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-36 2.31%(M)									
A 42036 F N M A	06/03/08 11/10/08	313588N92	19	100	2.2500	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	471,875.00	28,125.00
			47	000	2.2727	49,500,000.00	100,000,000,000	UPRICE	
A 42037 F N M A	06/03/08 11/10/08	313588N92	19	100	2.2500	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	471,875.00	28,125.00
			47	000	2.2727	49,500,000.00	100,000,000,000	UPRICE	
A 42061 F N M A	08/28/08 02/13/09	313589BV4	19	100	2.7900	50,000,000.00	49,750,000.00	251,875.00	153,000.00
			54	000	2.8270	49,345,125.00	99,500,000,000	UPRICE	
A 42068 F N M A	09/02/08 02/13/09	313589BV4	19	100	2.7700	30,000,000.00	29,850,000.00	138,500.00	90,066.67
			87	000	2.8054	29,621,433.33	99,500,000,000	UPRICE	
A 42088 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	10/15/08 03/17/09	313589DD2	19	100	2.5500	50,000,000.00	49,605,000.00	60,208.33	86,666.67
			40	000	2.5779	49,458,125.00	99,210,000,000	UPRICE	
A 42089 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	10/15/08 03/17/09	313589DD2	19	100	2.5500	50,000,000.00	49,605,000.00	60,208.33	86,666.67
			76	000	2.5779	49,458,125.00	99,210,000,000	UPRICE	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES 9.42%(M)									
					2.5090	280,000,000.00	278,810,000.00	1,454,541.66	472,650.01
					2.5375	276,882,808.33	99,575,000,000		
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NO 1.59%(M)									
A 42087 FARMER MAC DISCOUNT	10/20/08 01/06/09	313151AF5	19	100	2.3500	47,000,000.00	46,926,758.33	36,816.67	129,249.99
			40	000	2.3620	46,760,691.67	99,844,166,667	SUNGARD	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 43 FEDERAL HOME LOAN DISC 1.69%(M)									
A 42042 F H L B DISCOUNT	07/07/08 12/22/08	313396U35	19	100	2.4400	50,000,000.00	49,975,208.33	396,500.00	148,041.67
			53	000	2.4681	49,430,666.66	99,950,416,667	SUNGARD	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES 7.73%(M)									
A 42038 FREDDIE DISCOUNT	06/04/08 11/20/08	313396Q30	19	100	2.2500	50,000,000.00	49,990,763.89	468,750.00	50,138.89
			40	000	2.2740	49,471,875.00	99,981,527,778	SUNGARD	
A 42085 FREDDIE DISCOUNT	10/02/08 02/03/09	313397BK6	19	100	2.9500	30,000,000.00	29,847,250.00	73,750.00	78,333.34
			54	000	2.9803	29,695,166.66	99,490,833,333	SUNGARD	
A 42086 FREDDIE DISCOUNT	10/02/08 02/03/09	313397BK6	19	100	2.9500	50,000,000.00	49,745,416.67	122,916.67	130,555.55
			54	000	2.9803	49,491,944.45	99,490,833,333	SUNGARD	
A 42082 FREDDIE DISCOUNT	10/03/08 03/13/09	313397CZ2	19	100	2.6500	50,000,000.00	49,615,000.00	106,736.11	100,833.33
			47	000	2.6818	49,407,430.56	99,230,000,000	SUNGARD	
A 42083 FREDDIE DISCOUNT	10/03/08 03/13/09	313397CZ2	19	100	2.6500	50,000,000.00	49,615,000.00	106,736.11	100,833.33
			47	000	2.6818	49,407,430.56	99,230,000,000	SUNGARD	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES 7.73%(M)									
					2.6674	230,000,000.00	228,813,430.56	878,888.89	460,694.44
					2.6970	227,473,847.23	99,484,100,000		

CITY / COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PAGE: 5
RUN: 11/05/08 08:29:47

(RPTMKT)

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 10/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	FUND CPN RATE	PAR/S SHARES	MARKET PRICE	MARKET VALUE	CURR ACCR PRICE SOURCE	INT UNREALIZED GAIN	LOSS
A 42062	WELLS FARGO C P 08/28/08 11/25/08	9497F0LR1	19	100	2.6800	20,000,000.00	19,962,533.33	96,777.78	SUNGARD	-1,733.34	
A 42039	AIG C P 06/26/08 12/09/08	00137EM93	19	100	3.0000	50,000,000.00	49,851,694.44	533,333.34	SUNGARD	10,027.77	
A 42040	AIG C P 06/26/08 12/09/08	00137EM93	19	100	3.0000	50,000,000.00	49,851,694.44	533,333.34	SUNGARD	10,027.77	
A 42063	WELLS FARGO C P 08/28/08 12/09/08	9497F0M90	19	100	2.6800	20,000,000.00	19,940,677.78	96,777.78	SUNGARD	-2,744.44	
A 42075	UNION BANK C P 09/26/08 12/09/08	90485JM91	19	100	3.6400	25,000,000.00	24,925,847.22	91,000.00	SUNGARD	21,902.78	
A 42048	ING C P 07/22/08 12/23/08	4497W0M90	19	100	2.8900	50,000,000.00	49,797,055.56	409,416.67	SUNGARD	5,777.78	
A 42049	ING C P 07/22/08 12/23/08	4497W0M90	19	100	2.8900	25,000,000.00	24,898,527.78	204,708.33	SUNGARD	2,888.89	
A 42054	Comerzbank CP 07/30/08 01/06/09	20260AN63	19	100	2.8900	40,000,000.00	39,771,200.00	301,844.45	SUNGARD	-16,866.67	
A 42058	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P 08/05/08 01/06/09	06478GN67	19	100	2.9800	50,000,000.00	49,714,000.00	364,222.22	SUNGARD	-12,833.33	
A 42070	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P 09/03/08 01/06/09	06478GN67	19	100	2.9150	50,000,000.00	49,714,000.00	238,868.06	SUNGARD	-18,791.67	
A 42052	TOYOTA C P 07/25/08 01/20/09	89233GNL6	19	100	2.7500	25,000,000.00	24,826,666.67	189,062.50	SUNGARD	-20,555.55	
A 42066	BANK OF AMERICA C P 09/05/08 03/03/09	0660P0Q30	19	100	2.9550	50,000,000.00	49,412,027.78	233,937.50	SUNGARD	-87,263.89	
A 42067	BANK OF AMERICA C P 09/05/08 03/03/09	0660P0Q30	19	100	2.9550	50,000,000.00	49,412,027.78	233,937.50	SUNGARD	-87,263.89	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC 16.97%(M)											
					2.9496	505,000,000.00	502,077,952.78	3,527,219.47		50,624.99	
					2.9866	498,748,161.10	99,421,377,000.00			-248,052.78	
A 42069	WELLS FARGO C P 09/29/08 12/09/08	9497P0VH8	19	100	3.3700	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00*	108,120.83	BOOK	0.00	
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 82 COMMERCIAL PAPER INT BE 1.18%(M)											
					3.3700	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	108,120.83		.00	
					3.3700	35,000,000.00	100,000,000.00				
A 42025	CHASE N C D 04/30/08 11/10/08	16144RGN7	19	100	2.5000	25,000,000.00	24,998,372.75	321,180.56	SUNGARD	-1,627.25	
A 42026	CHASE N C D 04/30/08 11/10/08	16144RGN7	19	100	2.5000	50,000,000.00	49,996,745.50	642,361.11	SUNGARD	-3,254.50	
A 41869	BANK OF AMERICA NCD 10/25/07 11/13/08	06050G2C3	19	9702	4.6000	35,000,000.00	35,022,370.00	1,668,138.89	SUNGARD	22,370.00	
			40	000	4.6000	35,000,000.00	100,063,914,2947		SUNGARD		

* MARKET = BOOK LESS PURCHASE INTEREST

Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV

11/19/2008 07:58 PM

To Peggy Nevin/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Monday Nov. 24th Land-Use meeting Item 081202 CU
on reduction of recreational space.

cpage

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV on 11/19/2008 08:00 PM -----



Aaron Goodman

11/18/2008 12:44 PM

To linda.laws@sfgov.org, angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

cc gerardo.sandoval@sfgov.org, sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org,
aaron.peskin@sfgov.org, daniel phillips
>, chris.daly@sfgov.org,

lena.gomes@sfgov.org

Subject Monday Nov. 24th Land-Use meeting Item 081202 CU on
reduction of recreational space.

Supervisors, c/o Clerk of the Board

I send to you the photo of the original open space (courtesy of the "outsidelands" website www.outsidelands.org) and recreational area of Parkmerced, in relation to the Land-Use meeting Item 081202, as I will not be in town to attend and comment on the meeting item from supervisor daly. We strongly support the legislation in regards to institutional growth and its affects on community ammenities like parks and recreation space, whether it be current or future possible open space and park development. (such as the 800 Brotherhood Way site annexed for development instead of open space)


The SFSU Masterplan annexed and purchased for development Parkmerced's open recreational space and community facilities. These ammenities, were not mentioned in the Memorandum of Understanding Between the city and CSU SFSU negotiations, and the lack of community input on possible mitigation or payment, rent-reduction or alternative open space provision was not discussed or even mentioned.

We strongly support the legislation in the hope that our neighborhood ammenities and the proposed Creative Arts Center proposed must undergo CU approval, and be reviewed in terms of the overall masterplan of parkmerced as a possible national landmark candidate. The Cultural Landscape Foundation noted recently its nomination of parkmerced as a "marvel of modernism" landscape @ risk. The current lack of maintenance, and locking of areas of the open space to community use, is a loss-of use to community members. The attached photo is of the original brochure emphasizing the open space amenity to tenants.

Sincerely,



Aaron Goodman VP @ PRO parkmarced-broch4.jpg



Large, well-maintained recreation area



City and County of San Francisco

Master Report

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 081202	File Type: Resolution	Status: Pending Committee Action
Enacted:	Effective:	
Version: 1	Reference:	In Control: Land Use and Economic Development C
File Name: Interim Zoning Requiring Conditional Use Authorization for Change in Use or Reduction of 15,000 square feet or more of Recreational Space		Introduced: 9/9/2008
Requester:	Cost:	Date Passed:
Comment No Economic Impact	Title: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls establishing a requirement for conditional use authorization for a change in use or reduction in size of any recreational space of 15,000 square feet or more including indoor or outdoor facilities for a six (6) month period and making a determination of consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.	
Indexes:	Sponsors: Daly	

History of Legislative File		081202				
Ver	Acting Body	Date	Action	Sent To	Due Date	Pass/Fail
1	President	9/9/2008	RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED	Land Use and Economic Development Committee		
1	Clerk of the Board	9/16/2008	REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT			
	<i>Referred to Planning Department for environmental review.</i>					
1	Planning Department	9/19/2008	RESPONSE RECEIVED			
	<i>Planning Department - exempt from environmental review, non-physical per Section 15060(c)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines.</i>					
1	Land Use and Economic Development Committee	11/17/2008	CONTINUED			Passed
	<i>Heard in Committee. Speaker: Sue Hestor</i>					
	<i>Continued to November 24, 2008.</i>					

Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV

11/20/2008 03:06 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND
PAST DUE NOTICE #20080916-005

Done

Peggy, Subject should be #20080916-005 instead of #20090916-005

Thanks

Lolita

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

11/20/2008 02:44 PM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Alistair
Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc

Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST
DUE NOTICE #20090916-005

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.

http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 11/20/2008 02:47 PM -----



"Moore, Grace"

<Grace.Moore@sfdpw.org>

11/19/2008 12:02 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank
W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>

Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST
DUE NOTICE #20090916-005

Locations inspected and citations issued where appropriate as follows:

San Francisco Bay Guardian
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post
Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter
9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)
7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)
Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore

7-Nov

7-Nov

12-Nov

12-Nov

12-Nov

11

Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight	12-Nov	
San Francisco Chronicle		
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes	7-Nov	
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore	12-Nov	
Irving and 9th Avenue	12-Nov	
Irving and 7th Avenue	12-Nov	
Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton	5-Nov	
Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic	5-Nov	
Examiner		
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes	7-Nov	
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore	12-Nov	
SF Daily		
Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough		7-Nov
City Star		
Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough		7-Nov
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight	12-Nov	
SF Weekly		
Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight	5-Nov	
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmo	12-Nov	

Regular inspections are ongoing.

Grace L. Moore
 News Rack Program Coordinator
 The Department of Public Works
 News Rack Program
 875 Stevenson St., Room 460
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 ph 415.554.5892
 cl 415.254.5767

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors
 Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2008 2:07 PM
 To: Reiskin, Ed
 Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE
 If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
 For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin
 Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
 DATE: 11/6/2008
 REFERENCE: 20080916-005
 FILE NO.

Due Date: 10/18/2008
 Reminder Sent: 10/23/2008
 Past Due Notice Sent: 10/30/2008

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 9/16/2008 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting the Department of Public Works to report on the status of removing graffiti from newsstands at the following locations:

San Francisco Bay Guardian
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post
Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter
9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)
7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)
Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

San Francisco Chronicle
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
Irving and 9th Avenue
Irving and 7th Avenue
Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton
Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic

Examiner
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
SF Daily
Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough

City Star
Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

SF Weekly
Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV

11/20/2008 03:07 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject Re: Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
#20081104-001

Done

Thanks!
L. Espinosa

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

11/20/2008 02:44 PM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Alistair
Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081104-001

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.

http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 11/20/2008 02:48 PM -----



"Vaing, Jonathan"
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>
>

11/19/2008 01:14 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
"Black, Sue" <SBlack@sfgov.org>, Board of Supervisors
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Brown, Vallie"
<Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"
<Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W"
cc <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed"
<Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pollock, Jeremy"
<Jeremy.Pollock@sfgov.org>, "Reiskin, Ed"
<Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"
<Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081104-001

Here's the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:

SR # Abated

12

503 Haight	857980
11/8/08	
378 Fillmore	857984
11/8/08	
388 Fillmore	857987
11/7/08	
437 Webster	857990
11/7/08	
301 Webster	857991
11/7/08	
445 Webster	858000
11/7/08	
480 Grove	858005
11/7/08	
430 Baker	858008
11/7/08	
501 Fillmore	858009
11/8/08	
799 Haight	858011
11/7/08	

Jonathan C. Vaing
 SF-DPW Graffiti Unit
 Operation Act. Supervisor II
 Office: 415-695-2181
 Fax: 415-641-2640
 Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W
 Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2008 3:10 PM
 To: Vaing, Jonathan
 Cc: Rodis, Nathan; Nuru, Mohammed; Hines, Timothy
 Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081104-001

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi.
 Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and
 me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,
 Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors
 Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2008 2:07 PM
 To: Reiskin, Ed
 Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
 For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 11/6/2008
REFERENCE: 20081104-001
FILE NO.

Due Date: 12/6/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 11/4/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:
503 Haight
378 Fillmore
388 Fillmore
437 Webster
301 Webster
445 Webster
480 Grove
430 Baker
501 Fillmore
799 Haight

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 12/6/2008

Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV

11/20/2008 03:08 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject Re: Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
#20081028-005

Done

Thanks!
L. Espinosa

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

11/20/2008 02:45 PM

Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Alistair
To Gibson/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rana
Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081028-005

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.

http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 11/20/2008 02:49 PM -----



"Vaing, Jonathan"
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>
>

11/19/2008 01:32 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
"Black, Sue" <SBlack@sfgwater.org>, Board of Supervisors
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Brown, Vallie"
<Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"
<Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W"
cc <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed"
<Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pollock, Jeremy"
<Jeremy.Pollock@sfgov.org>, "Reiskin, Ed"
<Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"
<Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081028-005

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the public property located at the
following
locations:

Utility Boxes:

Northeast corner of Buchanan and Oak - SR# 854516

Abated 10/31/08

Southeast corner of Baker and Hayes - SR# 854525 Abated 10/31/08
Southeast corner of Haight and Octavia - SR# 854531 Abated 10/31/08
Northeast corner of Scott and Waller - SR# 854534 Abated 10/31/08
Southwest corner of Hermann and Octavia (?) Street Do Not Cross??

Bus Shelters:

Southside corner of Grove and Laguna SR#857352 E-mail to 311 for Clear Channel

Northwest corner of Haight and Fillmore SR#857352 E-mail to 311 for Clear Channel

All bus shelters on Haight and Fillmore need power washing SR#857352
E-mail to 311 for Clear Channel

Emergency Boxes:

Southeast corner of Grove and Baker - SR#854538 Abated 10/31/08

Jonathan C. Vaing
SF-DPW Graffiti Unit
Operation Act. Supervisor II
Office: 415-695-2181
Fax: 415-641-2640
Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2008 6:33 PM
To: Vaing, Jonathan
Cc: Nuru, Mohammed; Hines, Timothy; Rodis, Nathan
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20081-28-005

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi.
Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,
Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2008 9:30 AM
To: Reiskin, Ed
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board

DATE: 10/30/2008
REFERENCE: 20081028-005
FILE NO.

Due Date: 11/29/2008:

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 10/28/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the public property located at the following locations:

Utility Boxes

Northeast corner of Buchanan and Oak

Southeast corner of Baker and Hayes

Southeast corner of Haight and Octavia

Northeast corner of Scott and Waller

Southwest corner of Hermann and Octavia

Bus Shelters

Southside corner of Grove and Laguna

Northwest corner of Haight and Fillmore

All bus shelters on Haight and Fillmore need power washing

Emergency Boxes

Southeast corner of Grove and Baker

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 11/29/2008

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 1

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 19 PM 3:31

BY

SW

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support community choice energy, so you should too!

-Matt Barnes

95355

(14)

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

P. 2

Dear Board of Supervisors :

I support Community Choice Energy.!

Sincerely,
Brian Connell
9461

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.3

Dear Board of Supervisors

I support Community Choice Energy

Jayann Lemoin

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 4

Dear Board of Supervisors

We need to support community choice energy.

John Dorfman.

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.5

Dear Board of Supervisors I support solar energy

Tony V

ca 945

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 6

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support solar energy ☺.

A handwritten signature, possibly reading "Star", written in dark ink.

94555

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

P.7

Dear BOS.

I support Solar Energy

Joe Wall 95129

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 8

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Community Choice Energy.

Thanks!

Marjorie Langdon 95337

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.9

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Community Choice Energy!

Sincerely,
Sarah Bresko
95404

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

P.10

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I want to urge you to follow through
on your commitment to Solar Energy and
Community Choice Energy to leave no
roof untouched.

Thank you, Catherine
9/4/27

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 11

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Community Choice
Energy.

Hilary Brown
a1377

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 12

Dear Board & Supervisor

I support Community Choice Energy

94044

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "J. L. ...", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.A handwritten mark or signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized "7" or "L" shape with a small dot above it.

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 13

Board of Supervisors,

Please continue to support
community choice energy;
help make SF a leader
in solar!

Thank you,

Whitney Sutterby

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 14

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please move forward with the
Community Choice Energy Plan ASAP!

Alasdair Gardner

#94066

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 15

Dear Board of Supervisors

Please Support Community
Choice Energy. Invest in a
Solar future for San Francisco
and all of the Bay Area

Sincerely,

Sonya Roth

Nov 19 2008 4:14PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.16

Dear Board of Supervisors,
Please support Community Choice Energy
and help make San Francisco a leader in
solar energy. Thank you.

-Megan Dickey
Zip code: 94127

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

11/20/2008 11:19 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,

cc


bcc

Subject Support Reform of SF Zoo

The Board of Supervisors email address has received 80 letters of support for reform at the SF Zoo.

Letters may be viewed in the Clerks office during daily office hours, 8am-5pm

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548



Hold hearings to carefully evaluate the purpose and practices of the SF Zoo. Make animal welfare concerns a top priority in their evaluation. Put pressure on replacing existing zoo management with more progressive professionals who are dedicated to transforming the zoo into one that puts the animals' needs first. And redefine the zoo's mission to focus on rescue and rehabilitation of animals, and not on exploitation and entertainment.

Then visit our Web site at www.idausa.org for more information about how you can get involved.

Mrs. Lillian F. Taylor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 20 AM 11:14
BY *[Signature]*



NOVEMBER 14, 2008

File 81224
c: BOS
orig: gail
c: cpage

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 18 AM 11:18

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I AM A CONCERNED CITIZEN FOR THE WELFARE OF THE ANIMALS AT THE SAN FRANCISCO ZOO.

THE ELEPHANT EXHIBIT FINALLY CLOSED AS IT WAS CRUELTY TO ELEPHANTS. HOWEVER, MANY ELEPHANTS SUFFERED AND ONLY ONE IS ALIVE AT THE PAWS SANCTUARY IN SAN ANDREAS, CA WHEN THERE COULD HAVE BEEN MORE IF ACTION HAD BEEN TAKEN SOONER.

I HEARD ON THE NEWS THAT TATIANA, THE SIBERIAN TIGER'S EXHIBIT, WAS SUB STANDARD. THE TIGER'S MAULING OF A YOUNG MAN COULD HAVE BEEN AVOIDED.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS LETTER IS TO REQUEST THAT HEARINGS BE HELD TO CAREFULLY EVALUATE THE PURPOSE AND PRACTICES OF THE SF ZOO. IT NEEDS TO BE REDEFINED WITH MORE FOCUS ON RESCUE AND REHABILITATION OF ANIMALS AND NOT EXPLOITATION.

THANK YOU FOR READING THIS.

SINCERELY,
ALEXANDRA ESCHER
NATIVE SAN FRANCISCAN

File 81224
orig: Gail, BOS
c/c page

14. NOV. 2008

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It has come to our attention that the San Francisco Zoo has not worked to improve its conditions since the horrible incident in Dec. 07.


We were frequent visitors to the S.F. Zoo while living on the west coast and even then, they had problems... dead or dying animals who were in their care! This is now 2008.

It is outrageous to make money off the animals especially if they are treated inhumanely or have to live in inhumane environments.

Please do what you can to put pressure on replacing zoo employees & management... the animals' needs should come first!

Thank you.

Sincerely,
The Pascus Family

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 18 AM 11:16
BY 

15

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

11/20/2008 11:40 AM

To Aaron Peskin/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chris
Daly/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Gerardo
Sandoval/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jake

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

The Board of Supervisors email address has received 137 letters in favor of restoring Sharp Park similar to the letter below.

These letters may be reviewed in the Clerk of the Board office during daily working hours 8am-5pm.

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.

http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 11/20/2008 11:38 AM -----



Margaret Dyre

<

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

11/18/2008 02:57 PM

cc

Please respond to

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Margaret Dyre

16



Jill Elliott

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

11/19/2008 09:39 PM

cc

Please respond to

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Jill Elliott



John Fiore

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

11/19/2008 09:55 PM

cc

Please respond to

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. We strongly urge San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species. Our City's reputation with regard to this national treasure is embarrassing and unworthy of us.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems. There have, naturally, been problems with flooding and drainage since opening due to this inappropriate destruction of a vital natural area. Parks has astonishingly and ignorantly continued to create new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland and kills two federally listed species--the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide much-needed hiking trails, picnicking spots, and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives are not only the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area, but the most ethical as well.

Restore Sharp Park for Life on Earth and the dignity of Bay Area citizens. Stop the boondoggle and the killing. This is not befitting the reputation of San Francisco as being an intelligent, forward-looking, ecologically aware city situated in one of the 7 most important bioregions on Earth!

John Fiore

San Francisco, CA 94122

Ugo Nardi

November 13, 2008

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 19 AM 11:14

BY

[Signature]

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City & County
1 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94113

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are considering the appropriateness of relatively high salaries paid to chief executive officers of non-profit organizations.

Point in fact, the question of excessive compensation paid to chief executive officers in general is a matter of national interest.

Some time ago I addressed the question and wrote an article I am respectfully submitting herewith.

The article is entitled "Are They Gods?" I think you will find it amusing as it is written with a sense of humor.

Thank you for your forbearance.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ugo Nardi

ARE THEY GODS?

By Ugo Nardi

Many years ago the Vatican newspaper "Osservatore Romano" criticized an Italian movie star for demanding what it considered an outrageous amount of money to make a film. The newspaper asked rhetorically what was the comparative worth of the actress as a human being to value herself so highly, what was her contribution to humanity.

Today in America some chief executive officers are paid in one day as much as their employees are paid in one year.

Such huge difference in compensation elicits the question whether such officers are truly worth hundreds of times their employees, whether their contribution to humanity is so high above the charts to justify their compensation, their responsibilities greater than those of the president of the United States.

The next question, which I have been pondering for sometime, is whether these officers are human beings or, maybe, gods.

Thus, I decided to call on the Archbishop and asked him the question: "Your Excellency, are they gods?"

The clergyman demurred. "Only the Pope" he said "may answer your question." And so, he arranged for me to have a papal audience.

I went to the Holy See and was ushered before His Holiness.

"Holy Father," I said, "are they gods?" His Holiness paused for a moment: "Only God knows and only God can say."

Then, through the Vatican travel office, however they do it, the Pope arranged for me to visit with God.

St. Peter was waiting for me at the pearly gates. "St. Francis, the prince of poverty, will lead you to the presence of the Lord."

Ecstatic at the divine vision of God I fell on my knees. "Lord," I begged the question, "are they gods?"

The Lord looked at me benignly nodding His head: "Yes, my son, they are gods indeed the gods of greed. I should send them to hell, but I have a problem." Then He picked up the remote and clicked on channel "H" – what it stands for I could only guess.

On a giant screen appeared the gates of hell and Lucifer standing by. What a horrible and frightening sight! Lucifer was frowning, his arms crossed, while his tail lashed back and forth, nervously, back and forth.

"I have a problem," repeated the Lord. "I cannot let these people in purgatory let alone in heaven. And Lucifer does not want them either; he is afraid that they may go after his job and take over. That is why Lucifer is so nervous. The poor devil is worried. Besides, hell is bad enough as it is. I have a big problem," the Lord kept repeating shaking His head, "a heck of a problem."

I had my answer. I wanted to ask Lucifer a question, but I could not: The system was not interactive.

And there was Lucifer standing by the gates of hell, frowning, his arms crossed, his tail lashing, nervously, back and forth, back and forth. He was visibly worried. Poor devil!

C: cpage


LEVY, RAM & OLSON LLP
ATTORNEYS

November 18, 2008

Ms. Leigh Kienker
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mr. Glenn Cabreros
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Harding Theater
616 Divisadero Street
Planning Dept Case No. 2005.0911CEZ

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 19 AM 11:13
BY 


Dear Ms. Kienker and Mr. Cabreros:

On behalf of the Friends of 1800, I request immediate notification of any further proceedings and hearings in these cases, including any appeals taken from the Planning Commission's November 13 decision to uphold the Friends' appeal of the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Without timely notification, the Friends and the public will be unable to respond, and their rights to public participation may be prejudiced.

Thank you for your past and expected continued cooperation.

Very truly yours,



Arthur D. Levy

ADL:cfp

cc: Hon. Ross Mirkarimi
Hon. Aaron Peskin
Angela Cavillo ✓
Friends of 1800

F:\DOCS\986-03\KIEUNKER 11-18-08 LTR.DOC

18



"James Chaffee"

11/18/2008 09:22 PM

To: <Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org>, <Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org>,
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,
<Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org>, <Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>,

cc

bcc

Subject: Chaffee -- Graphics in Public Comment Inoperable Again

Dear Supervisors,

Today, November 18, 2008, I again prepared graphics to display with my public comment and again the graphics were not available in the broadcast record of the meeting on SFGTV or the video-on-demand record of the meeting available on the website archive.

This is getting more and more disturbing. It should not be necessary for me to outline the reasons why I prepare graphics. It should be sufficient to point out that I feel that the graphics provide both a counterpoint and an emphasis for my comments. What I have to say has a certain meaning that is different when the graphics cannot be seen.

I verified during my comment that graphics were running on the computer and were visible on the confirmation screen.

The media services department has been receptive to my questions and somewhat accommodating. My understanding was that a city-owned computer was implemented so that technical compatibility issues could be solved once and for all.

I have been told directly by Jack Chin of SFGTV, and I quote, "We do not cooperate with the public." It has now become clear that this is emphatically true. Now the question becomes whether this is acceptable.

Since I spoke today about Sunshine laws and open government during my comment, this is particularly apt. The whole idea of open meetings and broadcast of meetings is that public exposure of the process of government will make the government accessible and accountable to the people. You may have heard of the principles of "government of the people, by the people and for the people."

While I am at it, the intolerance that the Supervisors feels comfortable in displaying to speakers is also becoming alarming. I go to Library Commission meetings, so I am no stranger to tactics that show contempt for the public, but I would have expected the Supervisors to set a higher standard of democracy, as well as of responsibility.

Very truly yours,

James Chaffee

19

JOANNE HAYES-WHITE
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



File
081401

orig - Linda Laws
cc: c page
C: Sup. Maxwell Amador
Sandoval
GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

November 24, 2008

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 26 AM 10:07
BY SW

Re: File 081401, Renaming of Chelsea Place

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

The San Francisco Fire Department is in receipt of your request for comments regarding Supervisor Aaron Peskin's proposed resolution to rename Chelsea Place to Timothy Pflueger Place.

The Fire Department has no objections to the proposal and is in support of Supervisor Peskin's resolution.

When the resolution is passed, my recommendation is that the Division of Emergency Communications (DEC) be contacted in order for the street name change to be entered into the Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) system.

If I can provide additional information regarding this issue, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 558-3401.

Very truly yours,

Joanne Hayes-White
Chief of Department

cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin, President, Board of Supervisors
Linda Laws, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

10

JOANNE HAYES-WHITE
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



File 081402 orig: Linda Laws
c: SFP Maxwell, Sandoval
+ Ammann
c: page GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

November 24, 2008

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 26 AM 10:07
BY SW

Re: File 081402, Adding Street Name to Merchant Street

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

The San Francisco Fire Department is in receipt of your request for comments regarding Supervisor Aaron Peskin's proposed resolution to add the name Harold "Bud" Moose Lane to Merchant Street.

The Fire Department has no objections to the proposal and is in support of Supervisor Peskin's resolution.

When the resolution is passed, my recommendation is that the Division of Emergency Communications (DEC) be contacted in order for the street name change to be entered into the Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) system.

If I can provide additional information regarding this issue, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 558-3401.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joanne Hayes-White".

Joanne Hayes-White
Chief of Department

cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin, President, Board of Supervisors
Linda Laws, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

21

Member
District #9
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco




*c: Mary Red, Eng Eng Chan
A.C. C page*
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
TOM AMMIANO
2008 NOV 26 AM 8:41
BY AM

To Whom It May Concern,

I write to inform you of my resignation from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
effective December 1, 2008.

Kind Regards,


Tom Ammiano

22

Member
District #9
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco




C: Mary Red, Eng Eng Chan
GGB, Ac c page
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 26 AM 8:41
BY PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I write to inform you of my resignation from the Golden Gate Bridge Board, effective December 1, 2008.

Kind Regards,


Tom Ammiano

Member
District #9
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco




C: Mary Red, Eng Long Chan
mtc, Ac
e page
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
TOM AMMIANO
2008 NOV 26 AM 8:42

BY PN

To Whom It May Concern,

I write to inform you of my resignation from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, effective December 1, 2008.

Kind Regards,


Tom Ammiano

CHRIS DALY

**Member, Board of Supervisors
District 6**



C: AC, L Wong
[Signature]
COMMITTEES

Vice Chair, Budget and Finance Committee

MEMBER

Bay Area Air Management District
Transbay Joint Powers Committee
Transportation Authority
Treasure Island Development Authority Ex Officio
Urban Counties Caucus

MEMO

DATE: November 24, 2008
TO: Angela, Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
FROM: Supervisor Chris Daly
RE: My resignation from ABAG and LAFTCO

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 24 PM 12:58
BY *[Signature]*

Please accept this memo as my letter of resignation to both AGAB and LAFTCO effective January 8, 2009.

Sincerely,

Chris Daly

25

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: November 26, 2008
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

Zach Tuller, Aide to Supervisor Ammiano
Tom Ammiano, Supervisor

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: December 1, 2008

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

Pilar Schiavo, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Ammiano



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Division of Emergency Communications
Phone: (415) 558-3800 Fax: (415) 558-3843

Division of Emergency Services
Phone: (415) 558-2700 Fax: (415) 503-2098



Vicki L. Hennessy
Executive Director

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

November 25, 2008

RE: Response to Your Letter of November 3, 2008

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Thank you for your letter which outlined the expectations of the members of the Board of Supervisors in the event of a large emergency. Specifically, your letter poses the question of how the Department of Emergency Management will incorporate the role and expectations of the members of the Board of Supervisors into the City's Emergency Operations Plan.

Your stated expectations include:

- Providing visible leadership;
- Having first-hand access to information via multiple modes of communication;
- Being prepared to fulfill their duties to review and, if appropriate, approve the declaration of emergency and actions taken to meet the emergency;
- Being able to convey to their constituents that an appropriate and effective response is being provided to maintain or restore public safety.

The President of the Board typically provides Board representation on the Mayor's advisory Policy Group comprised of key department heads, selected by the Mayor, who have roles in response and recovery as outlined in the Emergency Response Plan. A mandated role of the full Board during response to a large emergency is to review and approve, (if appropriate) emergency declarations and actions. The City charter states in part, "*In meeting an emergency, the Mayor shall act only with the concurrence of the Board of Supervisors, or a majority of its members immediately available if the emergency causes any member of the Board to be absent. The Mayor shall seek the Board's concurrence as soon as is reasonably possible in both the declaration of an emergency and in the action taken to meet the emergency. Normal notice, posting and agenda requirements of the Board of Supervisors shall not be applicable to the Board's actions pursuant to these provisions;*" therefore, at the onset of a large disaster, DEM would expect the Supervisors to make themselves available to convene at City Hall or other designated location, at the call of the President of the Board, to be briefed and provide concurrence as noted above.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 25 PM 2:11
BY C. B. GOS

27

Response to Letter of November 3, 2008
November 25, 2008


We also understand and recognize the obligation of the Supervisors to assist the response by providing visible leadership and reassurance to their constituents. As we learned during the Cosco Busan oil spill, effective communication is the key to ensuring that all City officials, and primarily, Board members, have the required up-to-date information necessary to prepare them to participate in approving necessary declarations and actions. To that end, in addition to development of a full Joint Information Center, we have designated an Emergency Operations Center liaison position whose responsibility will be to interact with the Board members and their staffs on a regular basis using available communication vehicles including in-person briefings, telephone conference calls, emails, and text messaging. I have assigned policy and planning manager Amiee Albertson Alden as the primary staff member in that role (amiee.alden@sfgov.org; 415-558-3803). You and your staff should feel free to contact her at any time.

In addition, the ability of the Supervisors to interact with their constituents and, when possible, establish a presence in their districts, will be critical – especially in the immediate aftermath of an event. Experience shows that it typically take 48-72 hours for the government to shift from immediate disaster response by first responders addressing the site specific areas in critical distress, to more citywide support. Therefore, we look forward to working with you on a plan that is intended to describe how we can enable neighborhood level initiatives to solve problems, especially in this interim period when residents will be looking for local leadership. This role will be described in more detail as we complete our Emergency Response Plan and add the Community Annex. In addition, as we move from response to recovery, the Supervisors will each play a critical role in the process of rebuilding our City.

Finally, the Department of Emergency Management is in the process of developing training for the Board of Supervisors that is intended to give members more information on the City's Emergency Response plans as well as brief new members regarding expectations in potential emergencies, of any scope, that would prompt an emergency declaration. Our goal is to develop a short presentation that we could begin to personally deliver to each member beginning in late January 2009. We hope and expect that training to result in meaningful discussions with each Board member that will result in a better overall plan for the City.

Until that time, please feel free to contact me, Rob Dudgeon, or Amiee Alden with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,



Vicki Hennessy
Acting Executive Director

Cc: Mayor Newsom
Steve Kawa
Rob Dudgeon
Amiee Alden



**CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO**

*C: BOS
orig page*
**RECEIVED
RISK MANAGEMENT
PROGRAM**
ADVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 25 AM 11:10

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

BY PN

MEMORANDUM

TO: HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THROUGH: ED LEE
CITY ADMINISTRATOR

FROM: MATT HANSEN
DIRECTOR *Matt Hansen*

DATE: November 17, 2008

RE: INDEMNIFICATION QUARTERLY REPORT
JANUARY – OCTOBER 2008

This report is submitted to the Board of Supervisors as per Administrative Code Section 1.24, wherein the Risk Manager is required to maintain a record of all indemnification agreements approved under the authority granted to the Risk Manager by said Code and to submit quarterly reports of such approvals.

There were no indemnification agreements approved by this office for the period of January through October 2008.

Copy of this report will be furnished to the City Attorney and City Controller as per ordinance, and forwarded to the San Francisco Main Library for filing.

cc: Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
SF Main Library, Government Section

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2000 NOV 24 AM 8:25

BY

SW

C. Bos

COPY

Presented Before the Municipal Transportation Agency

July 1, 2008

By Emil Lawrence mba

COPY

Application and Supporting Documents for the Posting:
Director San Francisco Taxi & Accessible Services Commission

29

July 15, 2008

Ms. Claudia Ma
Human Resource Associate
Municipal Transportation Authority MTA
401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 320
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Class 1824 Administrative Analyst Post: Open on a Continuous Basis

Ms. Ma:

I have enclosed one of many rejection letters from your agency regarding Administrative Analyst 1824 posts at the MTA. I have applied for over a dozen of these positions, in the past two years, but have been turned down for all of them. With an extensive background in transportation issues and commercial driving, in the City and County of San Francisco, multiple occupations of mine, I have yet to get one interview by your office. While Human Resources at MTA continues to accept Class 1824 Administrative Analyst applications, as listed on www.sfgov.org. Is there a problem with my application?

Two weeks ago I submitted an application for the posting: Director San Francisco Taxi & Accessible Services Commission, to Mr. Lance and the MTA Commission on July 1, 2008. Within a couple of days of this application, one of the ex-girl friends of the Mayor obtained this post. I had the posting process, at the SF Taxi Commission, investigated by the Civil Service Commission, because Ms. Thigpen obtained the Deputy Director's job without any experience in the taxi industry, whatsoever. Now, 18 months later, Director.

"For the post as Deputy Director at the Taxi Agency, Ms. Thigpen's sole qualifications were: Good friends with the past SF Taxi Commission Director & present Mayor."

Based on this information, it is my belief that Mayor Gavin Newsom has interfered with the Civil Service System in this appointment, for the second time, by allowing the SF Taxi Commission to be a dumping ground for his ex-girl friends. So, here is another application, for the 1824 post. I am still here and will present these findings, on live closed circuit TV to the MTA Commission

Sincerely

Emil Lawrence

San Francisco, CA 94128

COPY *TH*

Gavin Newsom | Mayor
Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman
Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman
Cameron Beach | Director
Shirley Breyer Black | Director
Malcolm Heinicke | Director
Jerry Lee | Director
Bruce Oka | Director
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

April 16, 2008

Emile Lawrence

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109-3302

Dear Emile:

This is to notify you as to the status of the Class 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst position in which you expressed an interest.

After a careful review and consideration, we offered the position to the candidate we thought was best fit for our position.

Thank you for your interest in working with our department.

We wish you the best of luck in your future employment endeavors.

Sincerely,



Claudia Ma
Human Resources Associate
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

cc: Referral File
Chron file

[Return to SF Muni Job Info Overview](#)[SF Muni Job Classifications](#)[How to apply for a job at SF Muni](#)powered by
NEOGOV

Job Code: 9179

Job Title: Manager V, Municipal Transportation Agency

Closing Date/Time: Continuous

Salary: \$49.46 - \$63.14 hourly
\$3,957.00 - \$5,051.00 biweekly
\$8,573.50 - \$10,943.83 monthly
\$102,882.00 - \$131,326.00 annually

Job Type: Permanent-Exempt

Location: San Francisco, California

[Print Job Information](#) |

Description	Benefits
Filing Deadline: Open Until Filled	
<p>Under general administrative direction, the Finance Manager is responsible for the management of the cost allocation program and for supervising the management of grant revenue and expense activities that support the mission and goals of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency. The incumbent will be responsible for the implementation and ongoing management of accounting and reporting requirements as they relate to new transit and revenue enhancement programs and parking initiatives.</p>	
<p>Example of Duties: Develop, implement and oversee a structured program to maximize cost recovery to the SFMTA; implement and monitor cost recovery rates to support the SFMTA's Transit First Policy; ensure rate application and allocation are consistent with federal and state requirements; ensure proper accounting, auditing, system control and cash handling procedures over grant revenues and expense activities; recommend and implement policy changes that cross division functions within the SFMTA and other city agencies; develop, implement, and monitor program and procedures to optimize resources and streamline manual processes; develop procedures to regularly monitor agency's fund equity to ensure that it is properly and accurately reflected in the city's financial system; evaluate and make recommendations to automate business processes that cross division and agency functions; interact with internal and external stakeholders effectively, prepare correspondence, and represent the department in public meetings, governmental and regulatory agency hearings, peer working groups, Board of Supervisors and Commission meetings.</p>	
Minimum Qualifications:	

Desirable Qualifications: Possession of a baccalaureate degree from an accredited college or university preferably in Finance, Business Administration, Economics, Public Policy or other closely related field; and ten (10) years of professional experience in finance, administration, and strategic planning for a large and /or complex city department or organization.

How To Apply:

Submit resume and cover letter describing how you meet the desired qualifications to: MTA Merit and Comparability Section, Attn: 9179 Manager V – Finance Manager Position, 401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 304, San Francisco, CA 94102. Responses may also be emailed to Merit.recruitment@sfmta.com.

Selection Procedures:

Applications will be screened for relevant qualifying experience. Additional screening mechanisms may be implemented in order to determine candidates' qualifications. Only those applicants who most closely meet the needs of the Agency will be invited to participate in the selection process.

Qualified applicants with disabilities requiring reasonable accommodation in the selection process must contact the department by phone at (415) 554-6899 or (415) 554-6898 (TDD); or in writing at the address listed above as soon as possible. For further information, contact (415) 554-6899.

**MINORITIES, WOMEN AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ARE ENCOURAGED TO
APPLY
AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER**

June 29, 2008

Mr. James Lance, Director
SF Municipal Transportation Agency
MTA Commission & Recruitment Office
401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 304
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Job Posting: Director of Taxi and Accessible Services (SF Taxi Commission)

Mr. James Lance:

I am forwarding this introductory letter to your office, to inform you, the MTA and the Commissioners that I am very interested in this post. And, within the City and County of San Francisco, I am probably the most qualified person you will find for the position. I have enclosed all the documents, which you will need to make a determination.

First, I am on the Human Resource Registry in five placements, with over 1000 points in each classification. These job classes are: Administrative Analyst 1822, Senior Administrative Analyst 1823, Principal Administrative Analyst 1824 and Chief Principal Analyst 1825. Over the past 18 months, I have filled out over a half a dozen 1823 & 1824 applications for posts at the MTA, which I did not obtain. Also, Human Resources at SFO, which takes in many ground transportation issues, rated my application with questions and answers at 1035 points for their 9255 Economic Planner post. I was informed that this position still on the table. It was the highest rating the SFO HR had obtained for the post. At this moment, I believe, the post is still open.

Second, I am the only taxi driver or "A" cardholder in San Francisco with an AA, BA and MBA. Plus almost 90 post MBA college semester units in office software, tax accounting and paralegal requirements. In the past four years, I have updated my resume with three 30-semester unit certificates, one being in micro computerized accounting, Microsoft's full Office Suite. Another in California Real Estate, all the courses to be an real estate agent, broker and mortgage banker. I have the real estate licenses. Last, the (ABA) American Bar Association approved "Paralegal" certificate. I am four courses short of completing this certificate. Also, there is 21 college units in tax accounting.

Third, the important education, which only comes from actually being in the job, I have eleven years of taxi background in San Francisco. In this time I worked for eight cab firms. And, since I have accounting and auditing background, I can state categorically what (GAAP) Generally Applied Accounting Principles cab firms are not using. It is my opinion, the skim alone, in the cab business could solve a big part of Muni's problem. And, also I am a registered handicapped vehicle driver with the City and County. I have accommodated handicapped riders since the beginning of this year, after being certified to drive a wheel chair access ramp van. Ramp van driving brings me closer to the problems in the industry. I am on the taxi medallion list for both the ramp van and sedan taxi medallion.

Fourth, I have also enclosed a few of my previous introduction letters to MTA posts, which I did not obtain. By reading these you will find that I have been riding and studying muni problems for maybe three decades. I ride the muni and talk to drivers, weekly. And, back in the eighties, when I was a securities broker at Morgan Stanley Dean Witter, I attempted to get Muni to hedge diesel fuel with crude oil, there is a definable relationship. But, these discussions went nowhere.

Today, in light of present crude oil and diesel fuel prices, I feel, biodiesel and the diesel engine is the best option for the future. Rudolf Diesel invented the diesel engine for the poor dirt farmer. Farmers in the in the middle of nowhere, that could not afford petroleum products, but could squeeze cotton, olive, soybean or any other oil based plant seeds and put this vegetable oil-fuel in their tractor's Diesel fuel tank. The past 75 years of petroleum product marketing has abrogated this fact. I can show Muni and the county how to cut their fuel costs permanently. The diesel engine is designed to run on any kind of vegetable oil. It will run well on filtered used frying or cooking oil, which up to recently was given away for free or poured into city sewers. The oil industry does not want you to get your for nothing, but "yes, you can."

I know I have the ability to communicate effectively in writing and orally. I am an effective speaker and writer and have stood before the Board of Supervisors, Taxi Commission, the Municipal Transportation Agency and other Commissions, as well.

Sincerely,

Emil Lawrence

San Francisco, CA
94128

cc: MTA Commissioners

Resume

Mr. Emile Lawrence

San Francisco, CA 94128

Objective: 2008

Position: Principal or Senior Administrative Analyst

Work History: 1/26/ 04--11/23/06

Data Base Specialist / Accountant: US Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD. Produced Word documents, Excel accounting sheets and Access data mining projects with PowerPoint displays for the Regional Director. (Director for Region IX appointed by the President of the USA.) Worked with HUD Web Designer. Part-time non-permanent position, funded by non-profit through the Dept of Commerce DOC. Income \$57,000 p/y

Work History: 6/6/96-11/4/2001---9/5/1996-11/24/2004

Auditor /Journalist: Arthur Anderson /Hearst Corporation: Auditor, journalist, accountant, investigator, columnist, courier, commercial driver & undercover security officer. Wrote magazine/newspaper stories as a columnist/journalist while employed in multiple occupations. While employed at Hearst Corporation worked twenty hours a week as an accountant and auditor for A. Anderson. Cumulative annual income for two posts \$54,000-\$74,000 p/y.

Work History: 11/20/1985-6/6/1996

Chief Financial Officer/General Partner CFO: Butler, Savin & Lawrence, LLP, SF CA and ING Bank, Chicago, Ill. Derivatives & Securities Clearing. Strategist and Market Analyst and Compliance Officer for hedge fund portfolio services firm registered with the SEC, NFA & the CFTC. Analyzed takeover targets and traded in t bonds, t-bills, currencies, stocks, and options, futures, derivatives, securities, gold, silver and precious metals bullion. Firm closed due to management problems associated with new capital requirements. Many clients associated with the Commercial Bank of San Francisco. Monitored Anti-Money Laundering Act AML. CFO/ Income \$150,000+ p/y

Work History: 3/3/1983-11/20/1985

Financial Advisor: Morgan Stanley Dean Witter. Account Executive, Registered Investment Advisor RIA, in securities, derivatives, futures & investment banking. Marketed investments in bonds, securities, t-bills, precious metals, tax products, annuities, futures, derivatives to public funds and individuals. Manager: Henry Auwinger. Dean Witter, Reynolds merged with Morgan Stanley. www.morganstanley.com Income \$75000+ p/y

Real Estate, Law, M&A and Tax Education, Licenses and Present Training: 2007-9

D.R.E. California Department of Real Estate, "Real Estate Agent's Exam" 2007

C.T.E.C. "California Tax Education Council, Certified California Tax Preparer" 2008

C.F.A. Chartered Financial Analyst Program CFA, "Level One & Two" 2007-9

Updated Skills, Certifications & Continuing Education: 2004-2009

W.V.C. West Valley College, Saratoga, CA, *Certificate* ABA American Bar Association, "Paralegal" 2009

C.C.S.F. City College San Francisco, San Francisco CA, *Certificate* "Business Office Technology" 2008

C.C.S.F. City College San Francisco, San Francisco CA, *Certificate* "California Real Estate" 2006

C.C.S.F. City College San Francisco, San Francisco CA, *Certificate* "Micro Computerized Accounting" 2004

(Certificates approximately 30 college semester units each "Pocket Master's Degrees")

Federal Education, Training & Licensing: 1982-1994

N.F.A. / C.F.T.C. Commodity Trading Advisor, CTA, License--1992-1994

S.E.C. / N.A.S.D. /N.F.A. Securities Exchange Commission, Federal Exam & License, *Blue Sky*--1984

S.E.C. / N.A.S.D. Securities Exchange Commission, Federal Exam & License, *Series VII*--1983

N.F.A. National Futures Association, Federal Exam & License, *Series III*--1982

General Academic Education: 1975-1979

S.F.S.U. San Francisco State University, San Francisco CA, Business Administration-*MA*-1979

S.F.S.U. San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA, Philosophy-Corporate Finance-*BA*-1977

C.C.S.F. City College San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, Finance-Business-*A.S.* 1975

SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

LAWRENCE, EMIL

PAGE 1 OF 5
213-460-748

DATE OF BIRTH 06/02/1947

DEGREE/CREDENTIALS EARNED AT SFSU

Bachelor Of Arts January 13, 1976
Major: Philosophy
Secondary Major: Business (International Business Management)
Master Of Business Administration May 27, 1978
Major: Business Administration

ADMITTED: SPRING 1973 UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT

ALL COLLEGE			SFSU TOTALS		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

COMPLETE RECORD: C

TRANSFER CREDIT EVALUATION

INSTITUTION	U/ATT	UE	GP	U/ACC
Golden West Comm Clg	7.5	7.5	11.0	7.5
City Clg Sn Francisco CC	52.0	52.0	145.0	52.0

ALL COLLEGE			SFSU TOTALS		
59.5	59.5	156.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SPRING 1973			UNIT	GRD	PTS
ECON	101	INTRO ECON ANAL II	3.0	B	9.0
BUS	101	PRINC MGMT ACCTG	3.0	W	0.0
PHIL	320	BASIC PROBS PHIL	3.0	B	9.0
PHIL	380	PHIL OF LAW	3.0	B	9.0
MATH	103	ELEM ALGEBRA	3.0	C	6.0

ALL COLLEGE			SFSU TOTALS			UA	UE	GP
71.5	71.5	189.0	12.0	12.0	33.0	12.0	12.0	33.0

SUMMER 1973 EXT ED			UNIT	GRD	PTS
BUS	S 101	PRIN MGMT ACCTG	3.0	NC	0.0

ALL COLLEGE			SFSU TOTALS			UA	UE	GP
71.5	71.5	189.0	12.0	12.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

FALL 1973			UNIT	GRD	PTS
ECON	311	STAT METH+INTERP. I	3.0	W	0.0
BUS	101	PRINC MGMT ACCTG	3.0	C	6.0
BUS	431	MARKETING	3.0	B	9.0
PHIL	530	COMPAR MYST	3.0	B	9.0

ALL COLLEGE			SFSU TOTALS			UA	UE	GP
80.5	80.5	213.0	21.0	21.0	57.0	9.0	9.0	24.0

07/19/74 GRADE CORR

SEE NEXT PAGE

PRINT DATE: APRIL 12, 2005



Suzanne Day
Registrar

City College of San Francisco

Display Transcript

@00119276 Emil Lawrence
Jul 31, 2007 04:05 pm



This is NOT an official transcript. Courses which are in progress may also be included on this transcript.

If you see a grading symbol of **RD**, your grade has not been reported to the Admissions Office.

[Click here to see GPA calculation](#)

Institution Credit Transcript Totals

Transcript Data

STUDENT INFORMATION

Student Name : Emil Lawrence

DEGREES AWARDED

Undergraduate Award: Certificate of Completion Degree Date: Dec 21, 2004

Primary Degree

Major: Microcomputer Accounting

Undergraduate Award: Certificate of Completion Degree Date: May 26, 2006

Primary Degree

Major: California Real Estate

Fall 2003

Fall 2003					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
ACCT	1	Financial Accounting	B	4.000	12.00		
ACCT	50	Introduction to Accounting	B	4.000	12.00		
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points
							GPA
Current Term:			8.000	0.000	8.000	8.000	24.00 3.00
Cumulative:			8.000	0.000	8.000	8.000	24.00 3.00

Spring 2004

Spring 2004					Unofficial	Transcript		
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R	
ACCT	53	Accounting on Microcomputer	C	3.000	6.00			
ACCT	59	Federal Income Tax	B	3.000	9.00			
BSMA	68	Mathematics for Business	B	3.000	9.00			
MABS	60	Microcomputer Applications-Bus	C	3.000	0.00		E	
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			12.000	0.000	9.000	9.000	24.00	2.66
Cumulative:			20.000	0.000	17.000	17.000	48.00	2.82

Summer 2004

Summer 2004					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
ACCT	2	Managerial Accounting	W	4.000	0.00		
R E	181	Principles Of Real Estate	A	3.000	12.00		
		Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA

				Hours	Points	End Dates		
ACCT	2	Managerial Accounting	W	4.000	0.00			
R E	181	Principles Of Real Estate	A	3.000	12.00			
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			7.000	4.000	3.000	3.000	12.00	4.00
Cumulative:			27.000	4.000	20.000	20.000	60.00	3.00

Fall 2004

Fall 2004					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
LERN	62	Successful Job Search Techn.	A	1.000	4.00		
MABS	101	Spreadsheets/Business/Excel	B	3.000	9.00		
MABS	202	Business Graphics: Powerpoint	A	1.000	4.00		
R E	184	Real Estate Practice	C	3.000	6.00		
R E	185	Legal Aspects of Real Estate	B	3.000	9.00		
WDPR	79	Speedbuilding: Keyboarding	A	1.000	4.00		
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points
Current Term:			12.000	0.000	12.000	12.000	36.00 3.00
Cumulative:			39.000	4.000	32.000	32.000	96.00 3.00

Spring 2005

Spring 2005					Unofficial	Transcript		
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R	
L A	66	Intellectual Property	B	3.000	9.00			
R E	191	Real Estate Appraisal	B	3.000	9.00			
R E	192	Advanced Real Estate Appraisal	B	3.000	9.00			
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			9.000	0.000	9.000	9.000	27.00	3.00
Cumulative:			48.000	4.000	41.000	41.000	123.00	3.00

Summer 2005

Summer 2005					Unofficial	Transcript		
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R	
PE	50B	Fitness Center Super Circuit	CR	1.000	0.00			
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			1.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.00	0.00
Cumulative:			49.000	4.000	42.000	41.000	123.00	3.00

Fall 2005

Fall 2005					Unofficial	Transcript		
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R	
R E	182	Escrow Fundamentals	A	3.000	12.00			
R E	186	Prin. of Real Estate Finance	A	3.000	12.00			
R E	193	Real Estate Comp Applications	A	3.000	12.00			
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			9.000	0.000	9.000	9.000	36.00	4.00
Cumulative:			58.000	4.000	51.000	50.000	159.00	3.18

Spring 2006

Spring 2006					Unofficial	Transcript		
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R	
ACCT	59B	California Income Tax	B	1.000	3.00			
R E	187	Inv Anal & Tax Conseq of R E	B	3.000	9.00			
R E	189	Real Estate Economics	B	3.000	9.00			
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:			7.000	0.000	7.000	7.000	21.00	3.00
Cumulative:			65.000	4.000	58.000	57.000	180.00	3.15

Summer 2006

Summer 2006					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
CNIT	30	Internet Safety	CR	1.000	0.00		
CNIT	70	WIFI Basics	B	1.000	3.00		
			Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points
							GPA
Current Term:			2.000	0.000	2.000	1.000	3.00 3.00
Cumulative:			67.000	4.000	60.000	58.000	183.00 3.15

Fall 2006

Fall 2006					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
MABS	61	Advanced Microsoft Office	B	3.000	9.00		
		Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:		3.000	0.000	3.000	3.000	9.00	3.00
Cumulative:		70.000	4.000	63.000	61.000	192.00	3.14

Spring 2007

Spring 2007					Unofficial	Transcript	
Subject	Course	Title	Grade	Credit Hours	Quality Points	Start and End Dates	R
MABS	60	Microcomputer Applications-Bus	B	3.000	9.00		I
		Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Current Term:		3.000	0.000	3.000	3.000	9.00	3.00
Cumulative:		73.000	4.000	66.000	64.000	201.00	3.14

TRANSCRIPT TOTALS (CREDIT CLASSES) Unofficial Transcript -Top-

	Attempt Hours	Non Value Hours	Earned Hours	GPA Hours	Quality Points	GPA
Total Institution:	73.000	4.000	66.000	64.000	201.00	3.14
Total Transfer:	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00
Overall:	73.000	4.000	66.000	64.000	201.00	3.14

RELEASE: 7.2A



everyone's
city college:
a place of
opportunity

City College of San Francisco
50 Phelan Avenue, S193
San Francisco, CA 94112

www.ccsf.edu

Emil Lawrence

San Francisco, CA 94109-5233

Completed Programs City College San Francisco 2003-2007:

Micro computerized Accounting Certificate

Accounting 50	4 Units	
Accounting 1	4 Units	
Accounting 53	3 Units	
Accounting 59	3 Units	
Accounting 59B	1 Unit	
Bus Math 68	3 Units	
Computer Laboratory	0 Units	(Required)
MABS 30 Speed Typing	1 Unit	
MABS 60 Off Comp Applied	3 Units	
MABS 61 Advanced Office	3 Units	
MABS 101 Excel/Spreadsheets	3 Units	
MABS 202 MS PowerPoint	<u>3 Units</u>	
TOTAL	<u>31 Units</u>	

California Real Estate Certificate

Real Estate 181 Principles	3 Units	
Real Estate 182 Escrow	3 Units	
Real Estate 183 property Mgmt	3 Units	
Real Estate 184 Practice	3 Units	
Real Estate 185 Legal Aspects	3 Units	
Real Estate 186 Finance	3 Units	
Real Estate 187 Invest/Tax	3 Units	
Real Estate 189 Economics	3 Units	
Real Estate 191 Appraisal	3 Units	
Real Estate 192 Adv/Appraisal	3 Units	
Real Estate 193 Comp Software	<u>3 Units</u>	
TOTAL	<u>33 Units</u>	

Courses Completed for Certificates.

Registrar

December 10, 2007



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Philip A. Ginsburg
Human Resources Director

September 13, 2006

Emile Lawrence

San Francisco CA 94109

Dear Emile Lawrence :

We are pleased to inform you that your application was accepted for **Class 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst**. Your name has been placed in the employment register for this class and will remain active for one year, or until you are selected for a permanent appointment, whichever comes first.

The supplemental application and experience/skills assessment survey that you submitted were evaluated in order to score and rank your application. Your score and rank are indicated below. Please note that the certification rule for this list is Rule of the List and all names in the employment register will be referred to hiring departments.

FUNCTIONAL AREA	TOTAL POINTS	RANK ON LIST
Financial	1000	1

Departments with vacancies are supplied with names and addresses of all candidates in the employment register. Candidates must notify the Department of Human Resources of any change of address. You may choose to respond to any department that contacts you by providing the requested documentation by the deadline date indicated from that department. If you choose not to respond, your name will still remain in the employment register for future referrals.

Departments will conduct further selection procedures that may include oral interviews, written exercises, or other testing devices. If you receive an offer of employment from a department and accept that offer, your name will be removed from the employment register and placed on an eligible list for permanent appointment to that department.

If you are not contacted by a department with a vacancy while you are active in the employment register, or otherwise do not succeed in obtaining a permanent position as an 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst by **September 13, 2007**, you may reapply at that time.

If you have any questions, you may contact my office at (415) 557-4854.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Patricia Duncan
Senior Personnel Analyst
(415) 557-4854

Dear Emile Lawrence:

We are pleased to inform you that your application has been accepted For Class 1822 Administrative Analyst. Your name has been placed on the employment register for this class, and will remain active for one year, through August 5, 2008, or until you are appointed to a permanent position in Class 1822, whichever occurs first.

Your score and rank on the register, based on the information in your application and supplemental questionnaire is:

-----+-----+-----		
SPECIALTY AREA(S)	TOTAL POINTS	RANK ON LIST
-----+-----+-----		
Budget, Economics,	1,000	1
Financial and Management		
Policy		
-----+-----+-----		

When hiring departments have vacancies, they will be provided with the names and contact information for all active candidates in the top three available ranks on the register. They will contact eligible candidates directly with information about their position(s). Questions about their positions and/or their process should be directed to the hiring department. You may choose to respond to a department that contacts you by providing the information they request by their deadline date. If you are not interested in that position and choose not to respond, your name will remain on the register for future referrals.

Hiring departments will conduct further selection processes, which may include resume and/or application review, oral interviews, written exercises, or other testing procedures. If you receive an offer of employment and accept that offer, your name will be removed from the employment register and be placed on an eligible list for permanent appointment. If you do not obtain a permanent position as an 1822 Administrative Analyst by August 5, 2008, you may reapply at that time, or you may send a written request to the Department of Human Resources that your eligibility be extended for another year.

If you have any questions, you may contact me at (415) 557-4950.

Sincerely,

Grace Tam
Department of Human Resources
Tel: (415) 557-4950 Fax: (415) 557-4870



San Francisco International Airport

December 14, 2007

P.O. Box 8097

San Francisco, CA 94128

Tel 650.821.5000

Fax 650.821.5005

www.flysfo.com

Mr. Emile Lawrence

San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Mr. Lawrence:

We are pleased to advise you that you have passed the Position Based Testing examination for Class 9255 Airport Economic Planner – Financial Planning and Analysis at the San Francisco International Airport. The certification rule applied to this examination is Rule of Three Scores, and the duration of the eligible list is 12 months.

AIRPORT
COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO

ELIGIBLE LIST SCORE: 1060

RANK ON THE LIST: 1

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

You have been placed under General Waiver. Your name will not be referred to the hiring departments until you submit the requested verification of your qualifying work experience as stipulated on the official announcement.

LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT

LINDA S. CRAYTON
VICE PRESIDENT

We have attached for your review the computation of your score as reflected on the Summary of Training and Experience Computation Scoring Worksheet. Please note that your score is based on your responses on your supplemental application. Any computation errors must be brought to my attention during the officially designated review of rating period from December 14 through December 21, 2007. In addition, you must notify us and the Department of Human Resources of any change to your address, telephone numbers and/or e-mail address.

CARYL ITO

ELEANOR JOHNS

RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME

JOHN L. MARTIN
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

Please retain copies of this letter and the accompanying evaluation form for your records. Congratulations and we wish you the very best in your career endeavors.

Sincerely,

Amy Woo
Personnel Analyst
Human Resources – Airport Commission
Phone: (650) 821-2032

List ID: 053254

Important Employment Information for Position Based Testing Examinations for the City and County of San Francisco, which specifies announcement and application policies and procedures including applicant's appeal rights, can be obtained at http://www.sfgov.org/site/dhr_page.asp?id=41734. Copies of this information can also be obtained at 44 Gough Street, San Francisco, CA.

Candidate's Name: Emile Lawrence

ID#: LAW-62-0748

Announcement#: 9255 - 053254

**9255 Airport Economic Planner, Financial Planning and Analysis, SFIA – PBT
Summary of Training and Experience Points Awarded For Each Section of The Exam Process**

		Summary of Total Points Awarded
Section - I	Meeting The Minimum Qualifications: Qualified = 700pts Not Qualified = 0pts	700
Section - II	What best describes your experience with the following types of analysis? (84 maximum points)	76
	I have experience with performing studies or data analysis in the following: (60 maximum points)	50
	In your work experience, which of the following best describes your relationship with other working outside of your work group in performing studies or analysis? (60 maximum points)	60
	In your work experience, have you made decisions based on facts while considering possible impact or ramifications of your decisions? (44 maximum points)	44
	Which of the following best describes your experience with writing narratives related to data analysis? (26 maximum points)	26
	Which of the following best describes your experience with developing summary data tables and graphs based on complex and/or detailed data for use in written reports? (26 maximum points)	26
	SUB-TOTAL POINTS	982
Section - III	Award of Additional Points	
	Veteran Preference Points	35
	Candidate's Total Exam Score	1017

Instructions: To calculate your score, add the points assigned to each section of this exam process to get your total exam score. To determine your final grouping score and rank, please use the schematic below:

If your Total Exam Score is in this range	This is your Final Grouping	This is your Rank
1001 – 1060	1060	1
946 – 1000	1000	2
891 – 945	945	3
836 – 890	890	4
781 – 835	835	5
726 – 780	780	6
700 – 725	700	7



City of San Francisco
Conviction History Form
(DHR-CHF)

Position I am applying for: Class# 1822 Title Administrative Analyst		Department SFO	
Last Name LAWRENCE		First Name EMILE	Middle Initial -----
Street Address		Apt. No. 108	Home Telephone Number () -
City SAN FRANCISCO	State CA	Zip Code 94109	Other Telephone Number
E-mail Address EMILF			

Social Security Number

Important Reminder: You will be asked to submit a copy of this form each time you are being considered for a position. Please keep a copy for your records.

1. Do any of the following situations apply to you regarding arrests:

☐ YES ☒ NO

- i) an arrest for which you are currently out on bail or out on your own recognizance pending trial;
- ii) if you are applying for a position with regular access to patients at a health care facility (as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 1250), you are required to disclose an arrest under any section specified in Penal Code Section 290; or
- iii) if you are applying for a position with access to drugs and medication at a health facility (as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 1250), you are required to disclose an arrest under any section specified in Health and Safety Code Section 11590.

2. Have you been convicted of any crime by any court, including a military court, except as provided below?

☐ YES ☒ NO

A conviction includes a plea, verdict or other finding of guilt. This question includes any conviction for which you have received a pardon. However, you do not need to disclose any conviction that falls within one of the categories identified below. If you have more than one conviction, and they all fall within one of the categories identified below, you should check "no" to this question.

- i) any record regarding a referral to or participation in any pretrial or post trial diversion program;
- ii) any conviction where you have successfully completed a deferred entry of judgment program. If you are currently participating in a deferred entry of judgment program, you must disclose that conviction;
- iii) a conviction where the Court has ordered the record sealed, expunged or statutorily eradicated;
- iv) a conviction for a traffic offense where the fine was less than \$400;
- v) a misdemeanor conviction for which probation was successfully completed or otherwise discharged and the case has been judicially dismissed under Penal Code Section 1203.4;
- vi) a conviction that is more than two years old and is for one of the following violations: (i) Health & Safety Code Section 11357 (b) or (c), or any statutory predecessor to that section; (ii) Health & Safety Code Section 11360(c), or any statutory predecessor to that section; or (iii) Health & Safety Code Sections 11364, 11365, and 11550 as they relate to marijuana prior to January 1, 1976, or any statutory predecessors to those sections; or
- vii) any conviction while a juvenile (under 18 years old), unless the job announcement identifies particular convictions that must be disclosed for that particular classification or position, regardless of age when convicted.

If you answered "yes" to questions 1 or 2, please provide the following information for each arrest or conviction. You may voluntarily provide any explanation that you wish to have considered as part of your application, including any evidence of rehabilitation. If you have more than one arrest or conviction that you must disclose, please use an additional piece of paper and attached it to this form.

Violation: _____

Court: _____

Date and place of arrest or conviction: _____

Dec 2007
Date 6/30/08
Signature

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO VETERAN'S PREFERENCE APPLICATION



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Eligible 5% _____
Eligible 10% _____
Not Eligible _____
Analyst _____ Date _____

Position you are applying for: Class# Title Administrative Analyst		Your Social Security Number
Your Last Name LAWRENCE	Your First Name EMILE	Middle Initial ---

Check One:

- ☒ I am applying as an Eligible Veteran, as defined in CSC Rule 111.36 (complete items 1, 2, and 6).
- ☐ I am applying as a Disabled Veteran, as defined in CSC Rule 111.37 (complete items 1, 2, 3 and 6).
- ☐ I am applying as the un-remarried widow/widower or surviving domestic partner of an Eligible Veteran per CSC Rule 111.38.1 (complete items 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6).
- ☐ I am applying as the un-remarried widow/widower or surviving domestic partner of a Disabled Veteran per CSC Rule 111.38.2 (complete items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6).

1. Period of Veteran's Qualifying Service: (attach legible copy of DD214)

DATE ENTERED ACTIVE DUTY	DATE SEPARATED FROM ACTIVE DUTY	TYPE OF SEPARATION/ CHARACTER OF DISCHARGE
MAY 19 1967	May 19, 1973	HONORABLE

- 2. Have you ever been awarded Veteran's Preference on a City and County of San Francisco eligible list?** No Yes ☐
If Yes, indicate class number(s) and approximate date(s): Since August-September 2006
Classes 1820, 1822, 1823, 1824

- 3. Disabled Veteran Preference:** Complete this section if the Eligible Veteran has suffered a permanent service-connected disability that is of record in the United States Veteran's Administration.
Attach a copy of the award letter.

Claim number used by U.S. Veteran's Administration C - _____
Veteran's Administration Office where claim is now filed _____

4. Deceased Veteran's Information:

Veteran's Last Name	Veteran's First and Middle Name	
Veteran's Date of Birth	Veteran's Social Security Number	Veteran's Military Serial Number

- 5. Your relationship to deceased veteran at time of his/her death** _____
Have you subsequently remarried or entered into another domestic partnership? _____
Documentation of relationship must be submitted with this application, such as marriage certificate, registration as domestic partner, veteran's death certificate, etc.

6. CERTIFICATION OF APPLICANT (read carefully): I hereby certify that all statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false, incomplete, or incorrect statement, regardless of when it is discovered, may result in my disqualification or dismissal from employment with the City and County of San Francisco.

Date August 31, 2006	Signature of Applicant
-------------------------	----------------------------

May 31, 2007

Ms. Claudia Ma
Human Resources Office
Municipal Transportation Agency
401 Van Ness Avenue
Room 320
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

Ms. Claudia Ma:

This letter is in response to the Class 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst application process. This job candidate will answer the Position Description and the Desirable Qualifications statements as posted, point-by-point as a focus for an introduction.

~~First, although this job candidate has sound financial and~~
accounting credentials, he also has technology education and practical experience in electronics repair. In the United States Navy your posting candidate spent one year in electronics and electrical engineering school. This electronics engineering education was at the US Naval Interior Communications "A" School in San Diego, California.

In the United States Navy, your position candidate was an electronics and electrical system engineer. He knows how to use electronic and electrical repair and test equipment. In addition to this technical knowledge, he has 30 college semester units in Microsoft office software programs with a technical understanding of Wi Fi systems. His electronics technical background can only enhance MTA's Technology Planning Division. This candidate understands electronics applications and can read electronic schematic charts. With this background, at this post, he can be an effective liaison between Human Resources and Technology Planning.

This posting candidate has spent some time in the investments arena, also. In securities and derivatives brokerage operations, the job candidate has worked and learned to manage tight work schedules and deadlines on a daily basis, in finance and budgets. He has worked under,

what appeared to be, chaotic market conditions. Working under "tight deadlines" is not new stuff.

This job candidate also has very strong interpersonal and written communication skills. He has as many as 15 years in financial reporting and financial analysis. Between the years 1986 and 1996, in a investment banking and financial services, he was President and the chief speaker for the Securities and Derivatives Association SSA of San Francisco, California. In this period and at this post he made 48 public and private presentations before chief financial officers, traders and clients. All presentations related to the financial aspects of securities, derivatives and municipal bond finance and revenue formats. I know municipal finance applications and can discuss the finer points, before a broad based audience or a group of professionals. This job candidate does well in public speaking, in public relations as a spokesman; he can defend the agency against adverse public comment, when needed.

As a candidate at MTA, I am well versed on transportation problems. Over the last ten years, on City transportation issues, this job candidate has spoken before the Board of Supervisors and the SF Taxi Commission. At these Commission and Board hearings he has made specific points related to public transportation issues. Having been a resident of San Francisco for a number of years, this job candidate knows Muni's transit problems in detail. Your position candidate is a user of public transportation in San Francisco. His diverse traffic knowledge in the City can help Muni management solve many transportation dilemmas.

And, here is a specific and major point. Although this job candidate has academic and professional credentials, he was also a professional or commercial taxi driver in the County for eight years. Due to this unique background, he knows most City bus routes and has talked to hundreds of Muni passengers, who took a cab when they got tired of waiting. Many Muni riders take a taxi, when they have no alternative, after they absolutely gave up on a coming bus. My thoughts and perspective on Muni problems come from all directions. And, one direction is Muni fuel costs. Your posting candidate knows how fuel affects transportation costs.

Back in the mid 1980s, while working at Morgan Stanley at the offices in 101 California Street, this job candidate

Back in the mid 1980s, while working at Morgan Stanley at the offices in 101 California Street, this job candidate approached Muni to discuss fuel hedges for a reduction in diesel fuel costs in operating buses. I have written articles on the subject of fuel hedges for the airline industry. If United Airlines, US Airways and other carriers had used jet plane fuel hedges appropriately, possibly, they may have avoided bankruptcy proceedings. Although fuel costs remain a major problem today, the discussions with Muni at that time were not productive.

With an electronics background, commercial driving experience and finance credentials, this job candidate has the financial skills to monitor and develop a budget for presentation to the Commission, the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors. Or I can help to produce this budget. Your position candidate has produced many operating budgets in the past. As such, he has the ability to work with any professional liaison group, whether from the Mayor's Office or the Board of Supervisors, to produce a budget, today. This job candidate has worked with Federal officials doing audits in regulating securities and derivatives compliance operations. He, also, has experience working with the Federal government and for the Federal government. This job candidate also was in Data Base Management and did accounting at the Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD for a year.

To conclude, working with State and Federal agencies would present no insurmountable problems.

Sincerely,

Emile Lawrence

Unit 108
San Francisco, CA
94109

April 14, 2007

Municipal Transportation Agency

Human Resources Office

401 Van Ness Avenue

Room 320

San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

Ms. Claudia Ma:

This letter is in response to the Class 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst application process. This job candidate will answer the Position Description and the Desirable Qualifications statements as posted, point-by-point as a focus for an introduction.

First, although this job candidate has sound financial and accounting credentials, he also has technology education and practical experience in electronics repair. In the United States Navy this job candidate spent one year in electronics and electrical engineering school. This electronics engineering education was at the US Naval Interior Communications "A" School in San Diego, California.

In the United States Navy, this job candidate was an electronics and electrical system repairman. He knows how to use electronic repair and test equipment. In addition to this technical knowledge, he has 30 college semester units in Microsoft office software programs with a technical understanding of Wi Fi systems. His electronics technical background can only enhance MTA's Technology Planning Division. This candidate understands electronics applications and can read electronic schematic charts. With this background, at this post, he can be an effective liaison between Human Resources and Technology Planning.

This job candidate has spent some time in the investments arena. In securities and derivatives brokerage operations, the job candidate has worked and learned to manage tight work schedules and deadlines on a daily basis, in finance. He has worked under, what appeared to be, chaotic market conditions. Working under "tight deadlines" is not new stuff to him.

This job candidate also has very strong interpersonal and written communication skills. He has as many as 15 years in financial reporting and financial analysis. Between the years 1986 and 1996, in a completely different business, he was President and the chief speaker for the Securities and Derivatives Association SSA of San Francisco. In this period and at this post he made 48 public and private presentations before chief financial officers, traders and clients. All presentations related to the financial aspects of securities, derivatives and municipal bond finance and revenue. He knows municipal finance applications and can discuss the finer points here, before a broad based audience. This job candidate does well in public speaking, in public relations as a spokesman; he can defend the agency against adverse public comment, when needed.

He is well versed on transportation problems. Over the last ten years, on City transportation issues, this job candidate has spoken before the Board of Supervisors and the SF Taxi Commission. At these Commission and Board hearings he has made specific points related to public transportation. Having been a resident of San Francisco for a number of years, this job candidate knows Muni's transit problems in detail. The job candidate is a user of public transportation. His diverse traffic knowledge in the City can help Muni management solve many transportation dilemmas.

And, this following is a major point. Although this job candidate has academic and professional credentials, he was also a professional commercial taxi driver in the County for eight years. Due to this unique background, he knows most City bus routes and has talked to hundreds of Muni passengers. Muni riders only take a cab when they absolutely gave up on the bus. His thoughts and perspective on Muni problems come from all directions. And, one of these is Muni fuel costs. He knows how fuel affects transportation costs.

Back in the mid 1980s, while working at Morgan Stanley in the offices of 101 California Street, your job candidate approached Muni to discuss fuel hedges for a reduction in diesel fuel costs in operating buses. This job candidate has written articles on the subject of fuel hedges for the airline industry. If United Airlines, US Airways and other

carriers had used jet plane fuel hedges appropriately, possibly, they may have avoided bankruptcy proceedings. Although fuel costs remain a major problem today, the discussions with Muni at that time were not productive.

With an electronics background and driving experience, this job candidate also has the financial skills to monitor and develop a budget for presentation to the Commission, the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors. Or he can help to produce this budget. This job candidate has produced many operating budgets in the past. As such, he has the ability to work with any professional liaison group, whether from the Mayor's Office or the Board of Supervisors, to produce a budget, today. This job candidate has worked with Federal officials doing audits in regulating securities and derivatives compliance operations. He has experience working with the Federal government and for the Federal government. This job candidate also was in Data Base Management and did accounting at the Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD for a year.

To conclude, working with State and Federal agencies would present no insurmountable problems.

Sincerely,

Emile Lawrence

Unit 108
San Francisco, CA
94109

1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

June 2, 2007

Executive, Claudia Ma
Human Resources Office
Municipal Transportation Agency
401 Van Ness Avenue
Room 320
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Class 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

Ms. Claudia Ma:

This document is in response to the Class 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst application process. This job candidate will answer the Position Description and the Desirable Qualifications statements as posted, point-by-point as a focus for an introduction.

First, although this job candidate has sound financial and accounting credentials, he also has technology education and practical management experience. In the United States Navy your posting candidate spent one year in electronics and electrical engineering school. This electronics engineering education was at the US Naval Interior Communications "A" School in San Diego, California.

In the United States Navy, your position candidate was an electronics and electrical system repair engineer. He knows how to use electronic and electrical repair and test equipment. He worked on diesel submarines and diesel oil tankers or ships. In addition to this technical diesel mechanics and electronic knowledge, he has 30 college semester units in Microsoft office software programs with a technical understanding of Wi Fi systems. His electronics technical background can only enhance MTA's Technology Planning Division. This candidate understands electronics applications and can read electronic schematic charts. With this background, at this post, he can be an effective liaison between Human Resources and Technology Planning.

This posting candidate has spent some time in the investments arena, also. In securities and derivatives brokerage operations, the job candidate has worked and learned to manage tight work schedules and deadlines on a daily basis, in finance and budgets. He has worked under,

1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

what appeared to be, chaotic market conditions. Working under "tight deadlines" is not new stuff.

This job candidate also has very strong interpersonal and written communication skills. He has as many as 15 years in financial reporting and financial analysis. Between the years 1986 and 1996, in an investment banking and financial services, he was President and the chief speaker for the Securities and Derivatives Association SSA of San Francisco, California. In this period and at this post he made 48 public and private presentations before chief financial officers, traders and clients. All presentations related to the financial aspects of securities, derivatives and municipal bond finance and revenue formats. I know municipal finance applications and can discuss the finer points, before a broad based audience or a group of professionals. This job candidate does well in public speaking, in public relations as a spokesman; he can defend the agency against adverse public comment, when needed.

As a candidate at MTA, I am well versed on transportation problems. Over the last ten years, on City transportation issues, this job candidate has spoken before the Board of Supervisors and the SF Taxi Commission. At these Commission and Board hearings he has made specific points related to public transportation issues. Having been a resident of San Francisco for a number of years, this job candidate knows Muni's transit problems in detail. Your position candidate is a user of public transportation in San Francisco. His diverse traffic knowledge in the City can help Muni management solve many transportation dilemmas.

And, here is a specific and major point. Although this job candidate has academic and professional credentials, he was also a professional or commercial taxi driver in the County for eight years. Due to this unique background, he knows most City bus routes and has talked to hundreds of Muni passengers, who took a cab when they got tired of waiting. Many Muni riders take a taxi, when they have no alternative, after they absolutely gave up on a coming bus. My thoughts and perspective on Muni problems come from all directions. And, one direction is Muni fuel costs. Your posting candidate knows how fuel affects transportation costs.

Back in the mid 1980s, while working at Morgan Stanley at the offices in 101 California Street, I approached Muni to

1824 Principal Administrative Analyst

discuss fuel hedges for a reduction in diesel fuel costs in operating buses. I had written articles on the subject of fuel hedges for the airline industry. High fuel costs add to operating costs. If United Airlines, US Airways and other carriers had used jet plane fuel hedges appropriately, possibly, they may have avoided bankruptcy proceedings. Although fuel costs remain a major problem today, the discussions with Muni at that time were not productive.

With an electronics background, commercial driving experience and finance credentials, this job candidate has the financial skills to monitor and develop a budget for presentation to the Commission, the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors. Or I can help to produce this budget. Your position candidate has produced many operating budgets in the past. As such, he has the ability to work with any professional liaison group, whether from the Mayor's Office or the Board of Supervisors, to produce a budget, today. This job candidate has worked with Federal officials doing audits in regulating securities and derivatives compliance operations. He, also, has experience working with the Federal government and for the Federal government. This job candidate also was in Data Base Management and did accounting at the Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD for a year.

To conclude, working with State and Federal agencies would present no insurmountable problems.

Sincerely,

Emile Lawrence

Unit 108
San Francisco, CA
94109

RAMP TAXI OPERATORS TRAINING CLASS

This certifies that

Emile Lawrence

*has successfully completed the requirements for Ramp
Taxi Operators Training , on this fifth day of January,
2008. Valid through January, 2011*

Cheryl Damico

Cheryl Damico
Certified Sensitivity PASS
Trainer

Laurie Graham

Laurie Graham
Certified Ramp Taxi PASS Trainer

Ramp



Qualified

Certificate #
2290

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION

REVISED 7/3/06

Submit Completed Application to the Address
Indicated on the Job Announcement



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Analyst _____ Date _____
☐ Qualified
☐ Hold - must submit:

by: _____
☐ Rejected for: ☐ experience
☐ education ☐ late filing
☐ verification ☐ other:

ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS - TYPE OR PRINT IN INK
READ THE EXAMINATION ANNOUNCEMENT BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

Position I am applying for: Class# 1824 Title Principal Administrative Analyst		Specialty (if required by announcement) Financial Analyst and Auditor	
Last Name Lawrence		First Name Emile	Middle Initial -----
Street Address		Apt. No.	Home Telephone Number () -
City San Francisco	State CA	Zip Code 94128	Other Telephone Number
E-mail Address			

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER:

Other name(s) I have used:
None

You may ☒ may not ☐ contact my present employer.

I am currently, or have been previously, employed by the City and County of San Francisco: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, Class Number and Title: _____

Start Work Date in this class: _____

Department: _____

Unit or Division: _____

Employment Status: ☐ Permanent Civil Service (from a list)
☐ Provisional (not from a list)

☐ Temporary Civil Service (from a list)
☐ Exempt from Civil Service

Permanent Class Number if other than a class listed above: _____ Starting Date: _____

Do you claim veteran's preference as an entrance applicant? ☐ No ☒ Yes (If yes, complete a Veteran's Preference application form and provide verification of eligibility.)

As part of the selection process you are required to complete a Conviction History Form and submit it when requested by the City and County of San Francisco. The form can be obtained at 44 Gough Street or on the web at www.sfgov.org/site/dhr_index.asp. Please do not submit the Conviction History Form with your application unless directed to do so in the job announcement. I understand that I will be required to submit the Conviction History Form when requested.

Initial: EL

CERTIFICATION OF APPLICANT (read carefully): I hereby certify that all statements made in this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false, incomplete, or incorrect statement, regardless of when it is discovered, may result in my disqualification or dismissal from employment with the City and County of San Francisco. I hereby authorize all my employers and schools (unless otherwise noted) to release any and all information concerning me, including information of a confidential or privileged nature. I hereby release any and all employers from any liability or damage which may result from furnishing the information requested.

Date 06/30/08	Signature of Applicant
------------------	------------------------

PRINT LAST NAME

LAST NAME

FIRST NAME

M.I.

Check appropriate box if you possess one of the following

☒ High School Diploma☐ G.E.D. Certificate☐ California High School Proficiency Certificate

COLLEGE: BUSINESS/TRADE SCHOOLS: SPECIAL TRAINING: NAME AND LOCATION	COURSE OF STUDY/MAJOR	UNITS COMPLETED	DATE DEGREE/ CERTIFICATE AWARDED	TYPE DEGREE/ CERTIFICATE
CCSF City College San Francisco	ACCT/BUS/SOFTWARE, R.E. & PARALEGAL LAW	120	1973/2004- 2008	A.A./CERT ICATES
SFSU San Francisco State University	PHIL/BUS/ACCOUNTING ADMIN/FINANCE	120	1977	B.A.
SFSU San Francisco State University	WORLD AND CORP. ACCOUNTING/FINANCE	30	1979	M.B.A.

I can speak ☐ read ☒ write ☐ the following language(s) besides English: SpanishI have a Driver License ☐ No ☒ Yes--If yes. **Number:** S0286970 **Class:** C-M1 **State:** CA **Expiration:** 2008-06-02-

If required for this job, list license, certificate and/or registration (for example, Registered Nurse License, Engineer's Certificate, etc.):

TitleIssued ByNumberDate IssuedDate Expires

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY - YOU MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION. Do not attach a resume as a substitute. **Begin with your most recent experience, starting with your current job.** Be sure to include all experience, regardless of dates, which demonstrates that you meet the minimum requirements as shown on the announcement for the position. **Attach additional sheets if you need more space to describe duties or list former employers.** Describe your duties as completely as possible. Incomplete information may cause a delay in processing your application. If you supervise(d) employees, include the number of employees you supervise(d). If you held more than one position with the same employer, list each separately.

MO/YR 1/05 TO HOURS PER WEEK: 20	MO/YR 11/05	EMPLOYER NAME AND ADDRESS HUD Department of Housing and Urban Development 600 Harrison Street. SF CA SUPERVISOR MS CELIA ROBERTS AT 415-489-6404 POSITION FUNDED BY NON-PROFITS THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. ON A MONTH TO MONTH BASIS	TITLE OF YOUR POSITION DATA BASE ADMINISTRATION/ ACCOUNTANT DUTIES PERFORMED: DATA BASE ADMIN- ACCOUNTING-WORD DOCUMENTATION- SEMINAR PACKAGING-POWERPOINT PRESENTATIONS- WEB TECHNICALS
SALARY: \$20/p/h REASON FOR LEAVING: NON PERMANENT			
MO/YR 5/96 TO HOURS PER WEEK: 80	MO/YR 12/04	EMPLOYER NAME AND ADDRESS HEARST CORPORATION, 901 MISSION STREET. SF CA EDITOR PHIL BRONSTEIN VICE PRESIDENT AT 415-777-7762, ARTHUR ANDERSON, LLP, 101 THIRD STREET AND EMBARCADERO CENTER III, SF CA 94111. JS RINGHOLD, FIRM WAS CLOSED IN LATE 2001 BY THE DEPART OF JUSTICE	TITLE OF YOUR POSITION inCOLUMNIST-ACCOUNTANT-WRITER-AUDITOR DUTIES PERFORMED: WROTE NEWSPAPER COLUMNS WHILE DOING VARIOUS JOBS IN ACCOUNTING, SECURITY, AUDITING, ANTI MONEY LAUNDERING. WROTE OVER TWO HUNDRED COLUMNS FOR NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES IN THE USA & THE EU
SALARY: PER DIEM REASON FOR LEAVING: POOR COMPENSATION			
MO/YR 11/85 TO HOURS PER WEEK: 80+	MO/YR 6/96	EMPLOYER NAME AND ADDRESS BUTLER, LAWRENCE AND SAVIN LLC, 369 PINE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA EXCHANGE BLOCK BUILDING. FIRM BANKED, HEDGE FUND PORTFOLIO SERVICES, THROUGH ING BANK, CHICAGO, ILL. AND COMMERCIAL BANK OF AND SAN FRANCISCO, SF CA. CLOSED IN 1996	TITLE OF YOUR POSITION CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND GENERAL PARTNER DUTIES PERFORMED: ACCOUNTING- COMPLIANCE-BANKING-AUDITING- MANAGEMENT-OPERATIONS FOR SECURITIES, DERIVATIVES IN BUY/SELLS FOR HEDGE FUND PORTFOLIO SERVICES FIRM
SALARY: 175K+ REASON FOR LEAVING: FIRM CLOSED			
MO/YR 3/83 TO HOURS PER WEEK: 70	MO/YR 11/85	EMPLOYER NAME AND ADDRESS MORGAN STANLEY AT WWW.MORGANSTANLEY.COM ORIGINALLY DEAN WITTER REYNOLDS. FIRM TAKEN OVER BY MORGAN STANLEY. DEAN WITTER'S OFFICES AT 101 CALIFORNIA STREET AND HEADQUARTERED IN NEW YORK CITY AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTER TWIN TOWERS IN MANHATTAN	TITLE OF YOUR POSITION REGISTERED INVESTMENT ADVISOR DUTIES PERFORMED: ADVISED CLIENTS ON BUYING AND SELLING OF SECURITIES, BONDS, TAX SHELTERS, MUTUAL FUNDS, DERIVATIVES, FUTURES, PRECIOUS METALS, IPO OR INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS, MONEY MARKET FUNDS AND TREASURY BILLS, AND NOTES
SALARY: 75K REASON FOR LEAVING: OPEN HEDGE FUND			



"Christian Holmer"

11/23/2008 01:02 PM

Please respond to

To "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>, "Frank Darby BOS / SOTF / IT
Specialist" <Frank.Darby@sfgov.org>, "Chris \ (More
SOTF Staff - LESS Sunshine?) Ruston "
<sotf@sfgov.org>, "Kristin Murphy Chu"
, "Ernest Llorente"
<Ernest.Llorente@sfgov.org>, <

bcc

Subject SOTF Orders of Determination: Never Ignore Or Marginalize
Precedent: SOTF Outstanding Request Still Below In Red...

Thanks For These SOTF Orders Of Determination Filed By A Variety of Public (Not Private) Citizens (And The Extremely Revealing SOTF Digital Audio Recording Posted Here http://www.archive.org/details/SOTF_FULL_20081028) I Can See Why The Current SF Ethics Commission Would Be Hesitant to Follow Your Trail-Blazing Example In This Regard.

And The Respondents Were? The City Attorneys Office, Taxi Commission, Planning Department, Arts Commission, Ethics Commission, Department of Human Services, Supervisor McGoldrick, Supervisor Peskin & BOS, Animal Welfare Commission, Rec and Park Commission, Rec and Park Department, and San Francisco Public Library. We Know These Entities Well.

Some Departments / Commission EMPLOYEES May Be More Tech Savvy BUT MOST CITY OFFICES HAVE THE SAME TECHNOLOGY (Computers, Software, Servers, Copiers, Fax, Phone, Blackberries, Cell Phones Etc.) PURCHASED IN PART TO FURTHER ECONOMIZE AND ENHANCE GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY. And For Goodness Sake - Once A City Attorney Has Advised A City Employee (Ex: Bevan Duffy Text Messages) Are In The Public Domain That Should Be Enough For The City Attorney To Cease and Desist Advising Against It And Certainly Enough For the SOTF Regarding City Attorney Word Documents Which Use The Word Redaction Tool.

If Multiple DCA's (Or Even The Supervisor of Records) Have Ruled One Type/kind of Public Document Public Nine (9) Times In a Row and the One (1) Time They Come Before The SOTF On That Issue *Their Story Changes* The SOTF's Question Should Be What About Those Other Nine (9) Times? At the SFSM, Pro-SF and The Sunshine Posse Thats The Type Of Info We Collect. This Type of Info Has Prevented Many SOTF Cases From Materializing Because It Tends to Convince Request Respondents Rather Quickly "...Well The City Attorney (Whomever) Says This" Etc.

I've Had One Complaint With the Task Force In Four Years. The SFSM System Works And We Will Continue Hosting Subsequent Determination On Our Private Servers While Your Clerks Frank and Chris Continue Searching for Space On San Franciscos Public Servers.

Your Welcome And Happy Thanksgiving ;-)

Christian Holmer: SFSM Information Clearinghouse: SFSM 2008 Sunshine Data Request
SFSM 2008 Sunshine Data Request Related Correspondence

30

Pursuant to BOS Resolution #040694

We'll Place These With the Rest Of Them Here <http://www.csrsf.com/>

Still Outstanding Readable Copy of Last File Attached. The File Is Named "This File Unreadable".
For The 7th Time You Have to Drop The E-Mail Files You Sent Me Then IN A WORD DOCUMENT FIRST. For
Your Further Convenience I've Attached An Empty Word Document With The Title "Chris Rustoms Very Own
Empty Word Document." Just Add Metadata and Hoila....

ALSO ON AN ONGOING BASIS CC Me On ALL SOTF Determinations When Issued. As You Know The SFSM
Archives Every SOTF Determination Permanently AND We Are Quietly Doing The Same With DCA Advice,
Opinion, Memorandas, Statements Addressing Open Government Matters Etc.

Christian Holmer: SFSM Information Clearinghouse: SFSM 2008 Sunshine Data Request
SFSM 2008 Sunshine Data Request Related Correspondence
Pursuant to BOS Resolution #040694

P: _____
C: _____
- - - - -
E: _____
W: _____

-----Original Message-----

From: SOTF [<mailto:sotf@sfgov.org>]
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2008 2:26 PM

Subject: Orders of Determination.

Mr Holmer,

Attached are the Orders of Determination responsive to your verbal request.

(See attached file: 08021_Kimo Crossman vs CAO.pdf)(See attached file:
08020_Peter Witt vs Taxi Commission.pdf)(See attached file: 08047_Peter Witt vs Taxi Commission.pdf)(See
attached file: 08023_Anonymous v Planning Department.pdf)(See attached file: 08024_Michael Addario v Arts
Commission.pdf)(See attached file: 08029_Barry Taranto v Taxi
Commission.pdf)


(See attached file: 08031_Kimo Crossman vs Ethics Commission.pdf)(See attached file: 08032_Kimo Crossman v
CAO.pdf)(See attached file:
08033_Charles Pitts v Human Services.pdf)(See attached file: 08034_Thomas Picarello v Supervisor
McGoldrick.pdf)(See attached file: 08037_Kimo Crossman v Sup. Peskin & BOS.pdf)(See attached file: 08038_Kin
Tso v Animal Welfare Commission.pdf)

(See attached file: 08039_Allen_Grossman_v_City_Attorney's_Office.pdf)(See
attached file: 08040_Eula Walters v Rec & Park Commission.pdf)(See attached
file: 08046_Karl Beale v Public Library, Rec & Park Dept.pdf)

Chris Rustom
Asst. Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
SOTF@SFGov.org
OFC: (415) 554-7724
FAX: (415) 554-7854

Complete a SOTF Customer Satisfaction Survey by clicking the link below.

 http://www.sfgov.org/site/sunshine_form.asp?id=34307 08021_Kimo Crossman vs CAO.pdf

 08020_Peter Witt vs Taxi Commission.pdf  08047_Peter Witt vs Taxi Commission.pdf  08023_Anonymous v Planning Department.pdf

 08024_Michael Addario v Arts Commission.pdf  08029_Barry Taranto v Taxi Commission.pdf

 08031_Kimo Crossman vs Ethics Commission.pdf  08032_Kimo Crossman v CAO.pdf  08033_Charles Pitts v Human Services.pdf

 08034_Thomas Picarello v Supervisor McGoldrick.pdf  08037_Kimo Crossman v Sup. Peskin & BOS.pdf

 08038_Kin Tso v Animal Welfare Commission.pdf  08039_Allen_Grossman_v_City_Attorney's_Office.pdf

 08040_Eula Walters v Rec & Park Commission.pdf  08046_Karl Beale v Public Library, Rec & Park Dept.pdf

cl page
orig L. Laws

File # D81377

SFLC San Francisco Labor Council



1188 Franklin St., Suite 203, San Francisco, CA 94109 • Phone: (415) 440-4809 • Fax: (415) 440-9297 • www.sflaborcouncil.org

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
TIM PAULSON

PRESIDENT
MIKE CASEY
UNITE HERE 2

SECRETARY TREASURER
JOE MOONEY
SEIU 1021

VICE PRESIDENT FOR POLITICAL ACTIVITIES
CONNOR FORD
OPERA 3

VICE PRESIDENT FOR AFFILIATE SUPPORT
LARRY MAZZOLA, SR.
Plumbers 38

VICE PRESIDENT FOR COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES
HOWARD WALLACE
Pride at Work

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
ALAN BENJAMIN
OPERA 3

RAFAEL CABRERA
TWU 250-A

F.A. CROWLEY
IATSE 16

DANITA DAVIS-HOWARD
SEIU 1021

OSCAR DE LA TORRE
Laborers 261

ALLAN FISHER
AFT 2121

ART GONZALEZ
IAM 1414

MARY RUTH GROSS
SEIU UNIV

JOHN HANLEY, III
SF Firefighters 799

MICHAEL HARGREMAN
Sign & Display 510

DENNIS KELLY
United Educators of San Francisco

GUNNAR LUNDBERG
Sailors Union of the Pacific

ROSA FAYE MARSHALL
CLUW

FRANK MARTIN DEL CAMPO
LOLAA

LARRY MAZZOLA, JR.
Plumbers 38

OLGA MIRANDA
SEIU 37

ROBERT MORALES
Teamsters 350

JOHN O'Rourke
SEIU 6

FRED PECKER
UWU 5

CRISS ROMERO
IFPTE 21

MICHAEL SHARPE
UFCW 649

MICHAEL THERIAULT
SF Building Trades Council

JOHN ULRICH
UFCW 101

BILL WONG
Carpenters 22

JAMES WRIGHT
SEIU 1877

SERGEANT AT ARMS
HELEN KELLY
United Educators of San Francisco

TRUSTEES
VIN BEANE
Teamsters 856

HOWARD GRAYSON
SEIU UNIV

CLAIRE ZIVANSKI
IFPTE 21

SECRETARY TREASURER EMERITUS
WALTER L. JOHNSON

October 27, 2008

President Aaron Peskin
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

re: Financing Plan for Integrated Development of the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point

Dear President Peskin:

This letter states the San Francisco Labor Council's support of the integrated development of the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point (the "Project") and is delivered in connection with your consideration of the Financing Plan on or about November 3, 2008.

The San Francisco Labor Council worked with numerous District 10 community stakeholders, including the Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee, the Bayview Project Area Committee, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Office of Workforce and Economic Development, and the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, to assess the needs of the Bayview Hunters Point community. We also conducted an extensive review of the project development plan and its financial feasibility.

In May of 2008, we entered into a Core Community Benefits Agreement ("CCBA") with the developers of this important Project. The legally-binding CCBA contains the developers' unprecedented commitments for the provision of community benefits related to affordable housing, workforce development and employment.

We are proud to join with so many community-based organizations and leaders, particularly Bayview Hunters Point organizations, in support of this Project which will speed the environmental clean-up of the Shipyard while bringing affordable homes, economic opportunities and new parks and open space to the Bayview.

The San Francisco Labor Council and the other community-based organizations that signed the CCBA believe that the Project provides strong, enforceable commitments on issues of major importance to the community.

UNITY IS STRENGTH!

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 NOV 24 AM 11:01

BY *Re*

31

The San Francisco Labor Council therefore urges the developer, the City and County of San Francisco, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, and all community members to resolve all issues in a way that addresses the needs of Bayview Hunters Point and allows this important project to be built in a financially feasible manner.

The Bayview Hunters Point community has waited long enough for the substantial benefits of this Project. We hope you will join with us in support of this community-supported plan.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tim Paulson", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Tim Paulson
Executive Director



November 20, 2008

RECEIVED
SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
NOV 24 AM 11:01

Supervisor Aaron Peskin
Board of Supervisors Land Use and Economic Development Committee
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

re: Financing Plan for Integrated Development of the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point

Dear Supervisor Peskin:

This letter states The San Francisco Organizing Project's support of the integrated development of the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point (the "Project") and is delivered in connection with your consideration of the Financing Plan on or about October 27, 2008.

SFOP worked with numerous District 10 community stakeholders, including the Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee, the Bayview Project Area Committee, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Office of Workforce and Economic Development, and the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, to assess the needs of the Bayview Hunters Point community. We also conducted an extensive review of the project development plan and its financial feasibility.

In May of 2008, we entered into a Core Community Benefits Agreement ("CCBA") with the developers of this important Project. The legally-binding CCBA contains the developers' unprecedented commitments for the provision of community benefits related to affordable housing, workforce development and employment.

We are proud to join with so many community-based organizations and leaders, particularly Bayview Hunters Point organizations, in support of this Project which will speed the environmental clean-up of the Shipyard while bringing affordable homes, economic opportunities and new parks and open space to the Bayview.


SFOP and the other community-based organizations that signed the CCBA believe that the Project provides strong, enforceable commitments on issues of major importance to the community. SFOP therefore urges the developer, the City and County of San Francisco, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, and all community members to resolve all issues in a way that addresses the needs of Bayview Hunters Point and allows this important project to be built in a financially feasible manner.

The Bayview Hunters Point community has waited long enough for the substantial benefits of this Project. We hope you will join with us in support of this community-supported plan.

Sincerely,

Erika Katske,
Executive Director

TELEPHONE (415) 626-2000



**UNITED ASSOCIATION OF JOURNEYMEN AND APPRENTICES
OF THE PLUMBING AND PIPE FITTING INDUSTRY**

LOCAL UNION NO. 38

1621 MARKET STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

November 15, 2008

The Honorable Sophie Maxwell
Chair, Land Use and Economic Development Committee
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4689

RE: Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point Revitalization

Dear Supervisor Maxwell:

On behalf of the United Association of Plumbers, Pipefitters and Journeymen, Local 38, I write to ask that you approve the finance plan Hunter's Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point development plan scheduled for November 17, 2008 at the Land Use and Economic Development Committee. Our union will directly benefit from this project, which will provide good jobs at good wages to the working men and women we represent.

The economic crisis currently facing our country, state and city can only be eased by providing work for our members and the community at large. The once-thriving neighborhood where the project will be developed is long overdue for new investments in housing, infrastructure, parks and open space. We believe this project is vital to sustaining San Francisco's economy in challenging economic times and offers a great deal for working families we represent.

The agreement with the project sponsor, Lennar, contains the largest community benefits package of any development in San Francisco history, including:

- **Approximately 1,600 new affordable rental units**, including those at the Alice Griffith public housing development, for people earning up to 60 percent of the area median income, which is currently \$64,207 for a family of four.
- **Approximately 1,600 affordable new for-purchase units** for people making earning between 80 and 160 percent of the area median income.
- **Family housing**, by requiring an average size across those 3,200 units of 2.5 bedrooms.


- **A \$27 million Community Housing Fund**, set aside by Lennar over the next seven years to be managed by an approved foundation and used to fund job training programs for neighborhood residents.
- **An \$8.5 million Job Training Fund**, set aside by Lennar over the next seven years to be managed by an approved foundation and used to fund job training programs for neighborhood residents.
- **Union-friendly Policy** a Project Labor Agreement with the San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council and under which certain employers in the hotel, restaurant, grocery and service industries will be required to comply with the City's card check policies.
- **Rebuilding of Alice Griffith Housing Project** in the first phase of construction and a commitment to keep residents on-site throughout the project and help cover the moving costs to the new Alice Griffith units.

The Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point development is very important to the economic vitality of San Francisco. The Building Trades community appreciated your support for the conceptual framework at the Board of Supervisors in May, 2007, and we encourage you to strongly support the next steps for this important development project.

As the approval process for the project moves forward, our members want to reiterate our strong support, and the support of San Francisco voters who overwhelmingly approved Proposition G in June 2008.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue and ongoing support for jobs and progress and revitalization of this historic San Francisco.

Sincerely,



LARRY MAZZOLA, SR.
Bus.Mgr. & Fin.Secty-Treas.

cc:

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, Committee Member and President, Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Gerardo Sandoval, Committee Member
The Honorable Tom Ammiano
The Honorable Bevan Dufty
The Honorable Michela Alioto-Pier
The Honorable Jake McGoldrick
The Honorable Sean Elsbernd
The Honorable Carmen Chu
The Honorable Ross Mirkarimi

November 21, 2008

Board of Supervisors
Room 250
City Hall
San Francisco, CA

File # 081383
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2008 NOV 24 AM 8:25
BY SW

C. B. S.
Aug. 10
C. Page

Re: Integration of the SF Taxi Commission into MTA, as required by Proposition
Discussion: New Taxi Commission Post: Taxi & Accessible Services Commission

Board Chairman & Supervisors:

I have enclosed this brief based on the Full Board meeting which will take on these issues on November 25, 2008. The reason I am submitting these documents, is based on the present facts in this agency transition. It is my belief; this transaction is more like a "hijacking" and "collision" with the Municipal Transportation Agency MTA. The plan being put forth by the MTA is short on facts and substance. Essentially is it no plan at all. And, the problem lays with Debra Johnson the MTA's Chief of Staff and Nathaniel Ford, the Director. Ms Johnson comes to the task as the MTA ex-Human Resource Director. She has absolutely no skills in dealing with a group this diverse. As such, she refuses to meet with actual taxi drivers, and like the previous Taxi Director, Ms. Heidi Machen, has made denigrating statements about them. Ms. Machen in a news magazine stated, "They are ex-cons," For the Taxi Advisory Group TAG, she has picked one non medallion holding driver out of nine posts, and this driver has problems with the English language. And, I have never seen him speak at a Taxi Commission Hearing.

First, for the past fifty years, since the time that most of the present cab companies were formed in San Francisco, the lease paying taxi drivers in this City (ones that do not own a medallion), have been without unemployment insurance, medical and dental, days off with pay, grievance procedures and any sort of retirement pension, and have been subsidizing the City with their income. There are five to seven thousand (5000-7000) drivers in this class which Ms. Johnson and Nathaniel Ford want, again, to ignore. The reality, most do not make \$20,000 a year driving full time for the City. These drivers do not own cab companies, hotels, restaurants or have medallions, while they eke out a living that cannot support a household here. And, in these dire economic times, this shortage of income is becoming dearer. Yet, taxicabs Presidents, hotel and restaurant owners and Restaurant Association Members, Taxi Medallion Holders, comprise the majority of the MTA plan. You cannot have service if the driver cannot pay his rent, driving.

Second, the MTA is bankrupt and becoming more so under the Direction of Nathaniel Ford. And, the reason for it, is simple and plain. Mr. Ford has no academic background in finance or accounting. Neither does his Chief of Staff, which at present is his constant companion. And, Muni On-time-records have gone down for the last two years, and he has been here, for three. I do not know how this happened, but Mr. Ford is an ex-New York City Subway Driver, bus driver and truck driver. He has had no formal training in management, administration or finance when he was picked for the post in Atlanta. He then led Atlanta's Municipal Transportation System into the biggest bankruptcy in their history. And, was plucked from the job of administration of this post by Mayor Newsom. His college background, his one and only BA

degree would never be recognized by any accredited agency in the State of California, because one third of his courses, for which he got credit, had nothing to do with academics. He was given college credit for bus driving and stamping transit passes. When he left Atlanta, there were allegations of massive fraud against Mr. Ford, among them, there was the one charge where he was accused of running up a MasterCard bill of \$150,000 for himself and his secretary, before he left, during the time he knew he was leaving. These charges are tantamount to embezzlement under the law. After adding almost 22 million dollars to MTA's overhead, he is planning to use the same tactic for the Taxi Agency. This financial scam is not what the public voted on.

Last, I am a taxi driver that is on the medallion list for both the wheelchair access and regular sedan. I am trained to and have picked up the handicapped, and know what the real problems are here. I have been driving a taxi in San Francisco for twelve years. I am on five Administrative Analyst Human Resource Registry Lists and one for the 9255 Economic Planner in this City, and have been rated by the County and City of over 1000 points, in these six classes, and although I have applied for 16 posts at the MTA, over a period of two years, I have not received one letter of acknowledgement that I was qualified to sweep the floors. Ms. Johnson was the HR Director during this period. And, in this present economic climate, many drivers average \$50 a day.

In 2006, I applied for the Deputy Director Post at the SF Taxi Commission, which was an Administrative Analyst position, Class 1823, an accounting and audit position, but was blocked by Mayor Gavin Newsom when he picked his ex-campaign funds aide for the job. I have been applying for posts ever since, at this Agency and almost every agency in San Francisco, for which I have filled out over 176 applications to date. Since 2006, I have been batting "0".

At present, there is this opening at the MTA, as the *Director of the Taxi and Accessible Services Commission*, and as a spokesman for the taxi drivers which own nothing within this system, since July 3, 2008 when I gave my application to the MTA Commission; I have not heard one word from the MTA on it. They want to pick someone, again, that does not have my academic and taxi background in this very unique taxi transportation issue. As of this date, my complaints to the City Human Resource Department Head and the Civil Service Commission have gone nowhere. These agencies will get a copy of this request

So, at this time, it is my hope that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, vote on this matter in the best interests of the public that they serve. And, that they look at the issue of this new Accessible Services Appointment, as well.

Sincerely,


Emile Lawrence

94128

cc: SF Ethics Commission,
SF Human Resource Director, SF Civil Service Commission

October 28, 2008

Ms. Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director
Dept of Human Resources
44 Gough Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

COPY

Re: Class 922 Manager I (Mgr. Taxpayer Assist)
Re: 170 Other City Job Applications: Last three years
Re: Director, San Francisco Taxi & Accessible Services Commission
Re: The Civil Service Registry List

Ms. Callahan:

I am enclosing a letter that I received from Beverly Lee in Human Resources with reference to the Class 922 Manager post, where I filled out one of many post applications. And, I am sending you this letter because I have been filling out applications for posts at City Hall and other Departments in San Francisco County, since 2006. (I kept all the records since 2006, although these applications really go back to 2005) Although I started filling out these applications in the boom times, three to four years later I am still looking for a City Post. For the posts that I have been rated 1000 points or more, a number (1) by civil service procedures, I have been batting zero. The Human Resource Department in San Francisco has a built in "firewall" for "certain" Civil Service Registry List applicants. Although I have been rated with these number one category points in six classes on Civil Service Examinations for Administrative Analysts 1820, 1822, 1823, 1824, 1825 & 9255 Economic Planner for SFO, since 2006 I have had one job interview. And, according to the San Francisco Examiner, this job interview was in a city department where it was reported: Twenty percent of the employees are related to one another. To resolve the issue, the city hired a PhD from India or Pakistan to control it.

Also, I have enclosed Beverly Lee's letter because it is the type of post reply I have been getting (when I get a letter back) for the last three years. In my application for the post, I sent Ms. Lee 22 documents which included transcripts, ratings by City Departments, resumes, copies of letters to past employers, DD-214s for Veteran status and more. I sent her copies of all my tax courses. I sent her letters of requests from employers requesting more documents, Stuff that was supposed to be requested after the hire not for each and every application. As time rolls on, many of these firms are changing hands. And, then Ms. Lee put up this smoke screen about customer service programs and claimed, I did not provide any written verification of my experience. Ms. Lee must feel that customer service programs in San Francisco are reserved for the foreign born and are the equivalent to Astro Physics. This is the math it takes to land a rocket on Titan, 13 years from today. Maybe for the post she is looking for PhD from China or India that showed up on these shores, last month. Customer service programs can be learned, quickly, by men or women whose number one language is English. And, really, you do not need

someone with an AA, BA, MBA and 60 college semester units in Microsoft Office software, for the post. Customer Service is a people skill, so Ms. Lee can get someone that speaks Cantonese. As to what specific, exact, right on the money, working knowledge of all customer service programs in their entirety, that Ms. Lee wanted, well, who knows? Maybe it would take a couple of weeks to learn all of these programs. Or maybe, this City in its hunt for absolute complete diversity is not looking for US military veterans with Anglo surnames. Maybe, Ms. Lee, while working her "Firewall" is looking just for people that are Cantonese, Spanish, Paki/Indian or any other new American that needs a post. I know that this new "diversity" means it excludes me. The SFO Commission has gone on record, building a "firewall" under the banner of "diversity." I have absolutely nothing against the Chinese or other Asians, but every human resource person I have dealt with in this County, is only Chinese.

This year, San Francisco International Airport (SFO), which is owned by the City and County, was hit with a reverse-discrimination suit ruling. The City denied the allegation, but paid a million dollars to get rid of it, anyway. What the hell, Civil Service procedures are just that, made to be violated, manipulated, corrupted and massaged: And, due to this format, the Civil Service System in San Francisco may be rigged? For three years I have been getting letters from Human Resource representatives like the one from Ms. Lee, with last names like Chung, Woo, Fong, Wong, Lee and Ma. For these representatives, possibly, I could fill out applications until I dropped dead. If three years is not long enough, to do so, maybe five years of filling out applications would be better. I have lived in San Francisco for 35 years, so I have some knowledge of what is taking place, the new exclusion. I have been to City Hall long enough to know that many of their hires have problems with English, but passed Ms. Lee's qualification check with flying colors.

Over the last five years, while I have been looking for a City post and have been polishing my resume. In the interim, in a non-professional occupation, I have been driving a taxi for good funds. Weekly, I speak before the San Francisco Taxi or Municipal Transportation Commission (MTA), on the corruption in the city taxi business. San Francisco taxi firms, under each and every Mayor, skim more that the MTA loses each year. And, through these speaking engagements, I have become very well versed on the politics at City Hall.

Since 2006, I also have had a long running battle with the Mayor of this City. In 2006, Mayor Gavin Newsom did what many human resource departments do every day, "as a city official," he picked one of his close companions for a post at the San Francisco Taxi Commission. He did so, after I filled out three applications for this job. I was the most qualified to obtain it. The original SF Taxi Commission Deputy Director posting called for a Class 1823 Analyst, someone with a BA, MBA and someone with extensive office software capabilities. And, in a half page statement, it called for a person with extensive background in the taxi business. There is only one driver in San Francisco with this unique background. That is this applicant. But, weeks later, I watched the posting to change and morph into a call for a young attorney right out of law school, one that worked on Gavin Newsom's re-election Committee. So, I complained to the Civil Service Commission. But, I also filled out five more applications at this agency and never even

got a reply to any of them. This is due to the premise that the SF Taxi Commission is massaged and manipulated by Gavin Mayor Newsom. The agency has been an employment agency for his friends. This year, the agency is folding into the MTA.

At the MTA, over the past three years, I applied for 16 or 17 posts when Debra Johnson was its Human Resource Director. And, at the MTA three years later she is still drubbing me. Ms. Claudia Ma is their associate there. Early July, in a Commission Hearing, I applied for the new post, "Director, San Francisco Taxi & Accessible Services Commission. I am not into filing Civil Complaints, but maybe I should look into it. Because, since July, this agency has not even bothered to respond to the application or two others related to Class 1823 and 1824 Administrative Analysts. And, in July, I presented seven copies of this one Director Application with supporting documents before the MTA Commission. There are 7000 taxi drivers in this City that have no pensions, medical or dental insurance, and or unemployment benefits with grievance procedures.

But, the MTA does not want to hear this decent employment noise; they are losing millions of dollars already and are planning to hire someone else. The problem is, based on the San Francisco taxi business; there is absolutely no one in this City more qualified than I am for this post, as well. Having lived in San Francisco since 1970, with two daughters who were born here, raised here, and went to school here, I know most real transportation issues. I have worked in the financial district, lived the inner Sunset, the outer Mission and the Marina. I have used every Muni line in this City at one time. And, as a cab driver, I have worked and driven for nine firms, driven across 47 square miles and know they do not have a clue to what Generally Applied Accounting Principles (GAAP) are. So, these firms skim millions. Ms. Lee knows, I work part time as a California Tax Preparer. As such, I know most California & federal tax rules & laws.

And, I am still here applying for these posts.

Sincerely,

Emile Lawrence

San Francisco, CA
94128

cc: Beverly Lee, Human Resources

September 3, 2008

Mr. Martin Lasden, Editor
California Lawyer
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 250
San Francisco, CA
94104

**Re: ~ Section, In Pro Per, "Taxiing Onward," By Heidi Machen, California
Lawyer, August 2008, Page 64**

Mr. Lasden:

I know that San Francisco for aspiring lawyers may be like heaven on earth. But, it seems that in this City many lawyers live a fantasy. A few weeks back, Heidi Machen's myopic view of the San Francisco taxi scene, as published in your great magazine, the California Lawyer was mailed to me by another graduate of UC Hastings College of the Law, my brother Alexander Lawrence. He is licensed attorney that has worked on Union and Human Resource Law. Days later, I read Ms. Machen's story in disbelief, and then one more time for that "shock and awe." Although the application of law in itself is an honorable profession, the many individuals that study it to become barristers, are not really interested in law at all. The facts are, today, many of these law school graduates are short on ethics and other values. Heidi Machen, in your In Pro Per section is one of these individuals. The ex-Mayor of San Francisco, Willie L. Brown, a Hastings alumna is another. And, this is not a reflection of the fine institution, which Hastings is; it is just that these graduates use the institution as a "license to steal." I am not going to waste much time writing about Willie Brown, but Heidi and Willie share the same values. They used their public service to feed their friends.

"I know Hastings is a great institution; I use its Law library to work on an ABA approved paralegal certificate. And, armed with a Community College card, I am narrowing in on Intellectual Property Law and extracting multiple cases related to 'unique copyright theft,' at this institution."

Ms. Heidi Machen may have been a graduate of Hastings, but it did not help her role as the Director of the San Francisco Taxi Commission. As Director she was a colossal disaster. Machen did not decide to leave her position as she states, because I am the one most responsible for having her dragged to the guillotine. I discovered she was afflicted with a Marie Antoinette syndrome. She had no idea how little a "lease-paying-taxi-driver made," yet she spent her time attempting force more rules and regulations on these cab drivers. During Machen's reign, she had no idea that most drivers do not make enough "bread" to pay their San Francisco rent. Almost all drivers have other incomes, or they fade away. First, they get kicked out of their apartments for not making enough to pay the rent. This is San Francisco, not downtown Bagdad. I have two other part time jobs. A major problem for Machen was, she could never see the forest or the trees, only the weeds and the dirt. At one point Heidi Machen became so paranoid, she spent tens of thousands in City funds to fortify her bank vault kingdom. Then, she pushed to expand

her kingdom's budget and hire more investigators to investigate lease-paying-taxi-drivers. Yes, she investigated others, but not with much success or zeal. I observed Machen's behavior at Taxi Commission Hearings. She had my statements about her, the Commission and the Mayor, removed from the public record. She practiced this illegal censorship until I complained in front of the Commission. As Director of the SF Taxi Commission, Heidi specialized in the exclusion of lease-paying-taxi-drivers from any decision about their income. Ms. Machen actually strived to become the female version of Elliott Ness, within the San Francisco taxi industry. In the dark never land of the murky San Francisco taxi business, she enjoyed being quoted, talked about and linked to our flaming metrosexual mayor. She did not need a tattoo on her forehead; she had one on her rear. One of the most powerful forces on this earth: The Power of Denial possessed her. If Ms. Machen thought her story in the California Lawyer was the truth, she should see a doctor.

"The Ex-SF Taxi Director's Fabrications, Lies & Felonious Appointments"

By Emile Lawrence, August 2008

The above caption is my take on Ms. Heidi Machen as an elite bureaucrat in San Francisco's corrupt every day politics. She works with many pretending to "do good." Sometime back, Vladimir Putin was quoted in a paper. He stated his biggest fear, with the transformation of the Soviet economy, was that one day he would be driving a taxi in Moscow. Due to many reasons, there are a lot of dispossessed Russians driving taxis in Moscow and in San Francisco, too. Mr. Gorbachev has an apartment in this town, and if chance played another role Putin could have one here, too. In her piece, Heidi called SF taxi drivers ex-cons. The late Tim Russert drove a cab, once. And, coalition forces in Iraq could not find Saddam Hussein for a while, because this leader drove an orange taxi. Charles Taylor, the ex-dictator of Liberia drove a taxi in Boston, before heading to Liberia. And, Bill Clinton was Senator Fulbright's limousine driver, at one time. These ex-cons are part of the absent big picture. And, Ms. Machen never had any guilt feelings about her salary, though, while at the Commission.

Ms. Machen made ~\$140,000 a year, as Director, while the majority of the 7000 licensed non medallion holding lease-paying-drivers in her kingdom made, maybe, \$17,000. Many drivers are too humble to admit what they really make, so they lie and tell their friends they make much more. As one of her routine chores, Heidi Machen helped promote the fraudulent lease-paying-taxi-driver income story. She allowed fabrications and untruths about taxi driver income into the public record. These stories were promoted by the equipment leasing companies, the taxi firms that paid no unemployment, medical, dental or pension money for their workers, which are always certified as independent contractors. And, most large and small cab firms skim more money from the industry than the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) in San Francisco, loses each year. As the Commission added hundreds of extra cabs to the streets, listening to squeaks and hollers from the hotel and restaurant industry, lease-paying-cab-driver income plunged to lows not seen since the Great Depression. And, with more taxi medallions on the street, the bread and butter of cab companies, firm income exploded. This happened under

Machen's reign. She never had any idea what a lease-paying-cab-driver went through on any given day, to make a living. The blue collar job has lines of drivers willing to work only for a fist-full of dollars, and the turnover of drivers on an annual basis in this town is greater than any other industry in America. The driver with the bullhorn at Heidi's window, one night, was not crazy when I knew him. Ms. Machen with her "holier-than-thou" policies drove him crazy. Under Draconian rules and regulations, fines and citations for doing one's job, the Kaufman dude just flipped out. But, other drivers have done the same thing and never made the papers. Some committed suicide. I have run into ex-drivers begging on these City streets. Under Machen, taxi driver conditions became pretty pathetic.

In 2006, Heidi Machen was terminated as the Director of the San Francisco Taxi Commission, for what appeared to be fraud. The information on this fact was left out of her story. She helped prepare and approve fraudulent employment documents for her housemate, house partner, bed partner or client of record. With Mayor Gavin Newsom's help or OK, she trashed the City's Civil Service System. She tossed five applications of mine without as much as a letter. Mr. Gavin Newsom learned how to work this Civil Service System from his mentor; Willie L. Brown. And, Ms. Machen's house partner was the ex-con she talked about in her tale. She lived with the ex-con and was his attorney of record for 16 years. The San Francisco Taxi Commissioners found out about it and canned her during a closed-door session. Then, Mayor Gavin Newsom the Governor-to-be stepped in. He re-instated Machen and terminated the Commissioners which fired her. At the time, the SF Mayor made a public statement: The crime was just a one-time event. The official spin being that Heidi's housemate was one honest dude. Heidi Machen's live-in felon was a cab driver at one time. *And, please sit down for this statement.* He used to take fares to SFO, San Francisco International Airport and go back to their house and empty it. Ms. Machen made the bandit, the Director of Finance for the San Francisco Taxi Commission. This Finance Director's 16-year crime wave also consisted of bouncing checks across America for computer equipment he ordered. I have the sixty-page file for anyone that needs a copy of Heidi and a man that went by an assortment of names. I think Alan Cohen was one of them. According to one source, Ms. Machen also hired her ex-con playmate as an auditor at the SF Water Department when she worked there. What the hell, Daniel Steel married an ex-con. The very honorable SF Mayor Newsom had no problem with the issue when he re-instated Ms. Machen. When another SF Supervisor accused Mayor Newsom of being on drugs, to me, it made sense. This Mayor is big on hair gel and Hollywood style make-up. At times, he may have sniffed some of it. So, in order to get our Mayor to roll Heidi's head into the horse cart, I supplied the Mayor's Office, the District Attorney, the City Attorney and the twelve members Board of Supervisors their own copies of a dossier of crimes on this Director's man, at my expense.

When Ms. Machen got her job back, the felonious housemate resigned. Ms. Machen hired him as Deputy Director at ~\$80,000 a year, but now there was an opening. In order to apply for this post, as stated in the posting, one had to be on the Human Resource Registry. I am on it in five different classes, such as Administrative Financial Analysts 1820, 1822, 1823, 1824 & 1825. I am the only taxi driver in San Francisco with an MBA,

advanced certificates in Microsoft Office Suite and ten years on the streets. As a matter of fact, for two years I have been at the top of these five classes with a rating of 1000 pts. Not that this rating has done me any good. Just to get on this list, one needed an MA in business, accounting or some other related financial expertise. I was a securities and derivatives broker for fifteen years. For this Taxi Commission posting one also needed extensive taxi background. I filled out three applications for the post. And, as of this date, I have filled out over 160 City applications for posts and have had two interviews for my efforts. One was in a Department where 20 % of the workers are related to one another. And, I go on record stating, again, the Mayor of San Francisco has interfered in the civil service process, with respect to my applications, in more than one occasion. I may have to request an FBI or Department of Justice probe due to what he has done.

For this new Deputy Director position one application went to the Mayor, another to Machen and a third to the Taxi Commission. The taxi post and Class 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst opened and closed five times in two and a half months. Twice, it was open and not listed as being opened. But, one night in October of that year at a SF Taxi Commission Hearing we had this announcement by Machen; she had picked a new assistant to the Commission. This person is now the present Director Ms. Jordanna Thigpen, an attorney that worked on the Mayor's re-election Campaign Fund. But, the official post did not call for an attorney. I complained to the Civil Service Commission, but they told me everything was fair and above board. Later, I was shown a new copy of the posting, one which was never posted, one that called for an attorney without any taxi background at all. *Many feel the San Francisco Civil Service System is rigged, and it sure looks like it.* Heidi just followed what Gavin did and he learned to manipulate this job system from his mentor, Willie. When Willie was asked by a phone caller during his radio show, "Why aren't you in jail, yet?" He replied by saying, "I can only be accused of feeding my friends at the county trough." According to the investigative journalists, Mayor Willie Brown may have hired up to ~700 or more assistant Mayors with the statement, "you white guys have been doing this for 150 years, so why are you looking at me?"

Late this year, if everything goes according to schedule, the SF Taxi Commission is going to be merged into the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) and I have spoken about the policy of lease-paying-taxi-driver exclusion at both agencies. But, it looks like this driver exclusion will continue. Debra Johnson, the chief of Staff for MTA, recently put together a passive Taxi Advisory Group known as TAG, to help the merger of the Taxi Commission within the MTA. And, like every Director at the Taxi Commission, Ms. Johnson followed the same venue and excluded lease-paying-taxi-drivers from their own affairs, again. There is one lease-paying-taxi-driver in a group of nine posts. Her group is weighed down with hotel workers, cab firm owners, restaurant impresarios and medallion holders, individuals with a history of squeezing the lease-paying-taxi-driver of income and benefits. People that treat the lease-paying-taxi-driver as if he is an ex-con. San Francisco Mayors have always liked it that way. Recently, Senator Feinstein, an ex-San Francisco Mayor has stated she might run for governor. I cannot wait. There have been rumors floating in this City since the bankruptcy of Yellow Cab in 1978, when Di-Fi was Mayor that she lifted 9 cab medallions for herself and they are hidden under a

layer of names. The corruption within the cab system is due to the taxi medallion system of pre and post K medallions. The pre-K medallion holder does not drive and the post K holder does drive. By my estimates, pre K medallion holders get about \$2400 a month, per medallion which they own. I sent U. S. Senator Feinstein a letter about this medallion rumor four years ago, but she did not respond. So, here is to "waiting for her to run." And, as for Heidi deciding to leave the agency, she left this fact out of her story. Mayor Newsom asked for all the Department Head's Resignation letters, when he got re-elected: To clean house, the Mayor accepted the letter by Director Heidi Machen.

Ms. Machen manipulated the civil service system with her post without remorse or punishment. The only thing I have against Jordanna Thigpen her successor is this: She bumped me for a post the civil service system showed I was the most qualified to obtain. And, in the process; she became tainted like foul or rotten meat. The City Attorney should have prosecuted Ms. Machen for the incident, but he is a Mayor Newsom lap dog. The City Attorney is gutless, spineless and is as foul and despicable as they come.

In a weekly newspaper story, Ms. Machen claimed: The Mayor allowed her to hire her friends. But, Ms. Machen's house partner never graduated from college and had no professional financial background or credentials, when she hired him as an auditor. Then, Machen hired Ms. Jordanna Thigpen for this vacated audit post, after being fired and rehired. I have filled out five applications at the SF Taxi Commission and fifteen or more at the MTA for Administrative Analysts positions. And, another application for the new post at the MTA related to the Taxi Commission Directorship once the merger is complete. Officially, I am still waiting for some "word." Machen left a transportation structure, where the taxi firms with corporate and other medallions still dictate fees, make the rules and milk the system for millions. As an effective spokesman for this de facto-lease paying-taxi-driver group, on Taxi and MTA closed circuit SFTV, I am now recognized by County citizens from time to time for my vigorous orations at these hearings. One fare recently told me, "you are too articulate to be a driver; you should run for something, a guy like you needs to be in charge. We need someone like you to run these charlatans out of town." Maybe this man is right.

Through a policy of total exclusion, lease-paying-taxi-drivers in San Francisco have no real wages or benefits. They will never ever be able to buy a home here. And, these San Francisco taxi drivers are still the "beasts of burden," with no voice in their own affairs, as they subsidize City hotels, restaurants and the MTA. This is Ms. Machen's legacy and the real taxi story, here.

Sincerely

Emile Lawrence, m.b.a.

San Francisco, CA
94128

cc: Municipal Transportation Commission
San Francisco Taxi Commission
Phillip Bronstein
Clinton Reilly
Alexander Lawrence

October 31, 2008

Mr. Nathaniel P. Ford
Executive Director & CEO
Municipal Transportation Agency
7th floor
One South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: The Post, Director: San Francisco Taxi & Accessible Services Commission

Director Ford:

There are a number of reasons for why I have decided to send you a direct and personal letter. One reason is this: We are on the opposite side of the fence. And, at the moment, I have nothing to lose. The Mayor has blocked me from more posts in this City, than any man alive. And, I have a lot less to lose than you. I am paid nothing for my mission and the Mayor cannot terminate me. So, with this voice for justice and equity, I come to you. At present, I am looking at the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) and you are sitting there. But, also, I am looking at a post at the MTA which is engulfed in politics. A post where the Mayor is standing over your should and there is no one more qualified than this candidate, for it. So, maybe we should have a private meeting.

It is known, I know more about the taxi business in this City than any person you will ever meet. And, with this information, I can actually help you, bring home the butter. I know Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), investigative or forensic auditing, and investigative journalism. With my MA in financial ratios, I have an extensive background in corporate finance. It took me 12 months to put together a dossier on Heidi Machen. And, then it took another 12 months for her head to roll into a cart.

Under these present taxi rules and economic conditions, most taxi drivers in this City have a tough time paying their rent. While the cab firms steal the ham. The "medallion holders are a cartel" that squeezes the firms. Then, the cab firms squeeze us. That is the system. I will show you where the money is. I will fill you in on the taxi-cash-con-game.

Under the Sunshine Laws, by speaking before the various Commissions such as the Police, Ethics, Civil Service, SFO and MTA, I have learned about politics at City Hall. Two years ago, I started attacking the Mayor and the previous Taxi Commission Director, when Newsom bumped me from the Deputy Director post, when I was the most qualified for it, and installed Jordanna Thigpen, one of his liaisons. The Civil Service Commission investigated the event for me. Since then, Mayor Gavin Newsom has not been able to shut me up. And, at the MTA, I am going to be speaking until next year, as his political career comes to a close. Many Muni drivers on the buses now recognize me. So do many reporters.

So, if you would like to carry this discussion further, let me know. We can keep it private and official. No e-mails or phone calls, except by your aides. I believe, under your leadership, we can help the MTA fill a financial hole. I will show you the system.

Last, in the mid 1980s, while working at Dean Witter/Morgan Stanley, I attempted to get Muni to hedge crude oil for diesel fuel. Back then, after many discussions, we never got to first base. No one at Muni had a clue or foresight to determine what crude oil hedges could do for them. In the 1980s, oil was \$12 to \$18 a barrel and Diesel fuel was around .90 cents a gallon. Today, the new deal is bio-diesel and we could discuss this too. This City you could produce its own fuel at less than a dollar a gallon.

Sincerely,

Emile Lawrence

San Francisco, CA
94128



"Sumchai, Ahimsa"

<

>

11/22/2008 02:49 PM

To board_of_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us,

cc

bcc

Subject Lennar Corporation "penny stocks" plummet to \$3.60 per share

Do not sign any binding agreements with Lennar Corporation. Allow Lennar to proceed into bankruptcy and restructure before signing any binding agreements with this rogue financial entity.

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai, M.D.

C: BOS

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

11/26/2008 09:38 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Parking on Fulton between Gough and Franklin (It is not better)

P----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 11/26/2008 09:42 AM -----



Kenny Cowan

11/26/2008 08:23 AM

To james_lee@SFMTA.com, camille.dawkins@sfgov.org,
SFPDNorthernStation@ci.sf.ca.us,
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, DPT.Website@sfgov.org,
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org

cc

Subject Parking on Fulton between Gough and Franklin (It is not better)

This has been going on for too long....

I have been working with the Department of Parking and Traffic to clear the white zone in front of the apartment building of which I live with a disabled partner whom uses a mobility scooter. The hotel, Inn at the Opera that applied for the white zone is totally misusing the white zone in front of the buildings and DPT seems to be unable to enforce the law.

Last night I called DPT around 6:15 because the white zone was full of parked cars. When DPT came out they called me to come down stairs to verify that the cars parked in the white zone were the same cars I called about (even though I had given them the make, license number and color of each vehicle). Of course, me coming downstairs at the request of DPT put a face to the person that has been trying to get DPT to enforce the laws of the white zone and it started a confrontation between me and the hotel staff. I am holding DPT responsible if any vandalism that might be done to my car as the result of DPT letting the hotel staff know who was complaining. The hotel staff knows me and what I drive. What ever happened to the privacy of the person calling in about the law being broken.

The hotel thinks they own the white zone because of the annual fee they pay and the application they submitted to DPT the hotel staff even stated it to the officer and that the parked cars in the white zone were cars of hotel guests, all cars in the white zone had a valet parking tag laying on the dash.

Around 8:00 p.m. I went to the gym and the white zone was again full of cars, I called DPT who said they would again send someone out, I let them know I would not be at home, that I was going out. I have no idea if they came out or not. When I returned an hour later the white zone was still full of cars, I tried getting through to DPT but I was on hold for over 20 minutes and decided I had again had enough.

Here is the law on white zones from the DPT website.

White Zones

34

White zones are for passenger loading and unloading with a time limit of 5 minutes. The effective time of white zones vary and are indicated by signs or by stencils on the curb. **(the curb in front of my building has not time indication)** The driver must remain with the vehicle at all times (limited exceptions apply at preschools and hospitals.) **(every time I have called DPT, the cars are parked, no one is in them or around them except for maybe the valet standing on the sidewalk)**

White zones are NOT intended for private parking. Such zones are intended for establishments that have active passenger loading and unloading needs.

And none of this is dealing with the Valet Parking Permit the Hotel has and the way they park most of the valet cars in public parking spaces on the street. I have a great picture on my phone showing how they start early in the evening taking parking spaces along the street to be used for their valet parking. All it would take is some foot work and someone actually watching the business to stop all of this from taking place. I see it, and it's not like I stand around outside the apartment building all day.

This is becoming very frustrating.

Kenny Cowan
Program Manager
The Salvation Army
REACH Program
Golden State Division



"Diana Verhalen"

11/25/2008 08:04 PM

To <Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org>,
<Tom.Ammiano@sfgov.org>, <Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org>,
<Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>, <Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject Limits on ED salaries? Wasting time

There are so many challenges in the world I don't understand why any time would be spent on limiting the salaries of non-profit leaders. The reality is the vast majority of leaders are paid ridiculously below their for-profit counterparts. There are too many who think that working to serve others in a non-profit context means you must remain overworked, underpaid, under-appreciated and self-sacrificing beyond sustainability and outside the best interests of you, your family and your community.

Please do not send a message reinforcing this gap between the social-improvement minded and those who might be (so as not to stereotype all for-profit businesses) just out for the big bucks. Let the non-profit boards and the donors handle salaries and focus your attention on what needs our best attention.

Diana Verhalen

Certified Somatic Coach

Fiore Consulting & Coaching - Wisdom in Action

"The future—by whom will it be built? By all those whom the struggle has touched and marked. And so the question of how it marks them is not irrelevant. The future will be built even, in part, by those who have fought on the losing side." Barbara Deming, *On Revolution and Equilibrium*

35



julie gallegos

11/18/2008 11:56 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject: No more polluting power plants

Dear Supervisor,

Please be a global environmental champion and vote 'NO' on the ICC combustion turbine peaker project. Then call for a 2008 Energy Action Plan to close the existing Mirant power plant by 2010, relying only on renewable energy, efficiency, and the Transbay Cable.

Thank You!

Julie Gallegos
Glen Park

36



Eliza van Gerbig

11/20/2008 06:27 PM

To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject: ~~no more polluting power plants!~~

Dear Supervisor,

Please be a global environmental champion and vote 'NO' on any combustion turbine peaker project. Then call for a 2009 Energy Action Plan to close the existing Mirant power plant by 2010, relying only on renewable energy, efficiency, and the Transbay Cable.

Thank You,
Eliza van Gerbig

SF, CA 94117



"Ashley Murphy"

>

11/23/2008 06:29 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Please Vote No on combustion turbine peaker project!

Dear Supervisor,

Please vote 'NO' on any combustion turbine peaker project, and call for a 2009 Energy Action Plan to close the existing Mirant power plant by 2010, relying only on renewable energy, efficiency, and the Transbay Cable.

Thank You!

Regards,

Ashley.

File
080520

C: Linda Laws
C: committee (Linda Laws)
C: cpage

LUEDC Nov 24th, 2008
080520

Dear LUEDC:

My husband and I are of one of the parties that are represented by Heidi Timken and Leslie Johnson, and we are very aware of the negotiations since last August. We give thanks to MOH for being willing to negotiate since you instructed them to do so that more owners would come back today happier owners.

Unfortunately, this is not the case. Today there are more owners that are Uphappier. Owners have shown great willingness to come agreement on a fair buyout agreement. Much progress had been shown until MOH's last proposal response. Not only are their buy out figures too much, MOH's current proposal re low income owners would have then buy out up to the tune of \$500K—we submit to you, this is not in the spirit of affordable housing.

Look closely at this proposal. It is way too much for owners to pay, and there is no basis to justify this. Prior to this proposal MOH was in discussion re a buy out figure that would settle the matter---and seemed open to this. MOH's current proposal is surprising—and will create tremendous hardship to low and moderate income housing owners who have shown great willingness to come to a fair agreement. While we understand the idea of replacement, it is Not the point -- because this rationale is based on the idea that the City owns our homes—and they do not. The City does not own our homes and never has. They have never put one dime into maintenance or improvement.

Please instruct MOH to go back to the negotiations table for another important round. We, as bmr owners know that affordable housing is the holy grail in SF. And we are totally behind the goal of affordable housing. But we have been part of a program that has been flawed for over 30 years. Like Barack Obama, we believe in a future of productive change. The Condo Conversion Program has been broken for so many years. It is time to change this. An infusion of funds from a fair buyout from current owners would fuel a productive change toward the goals of affordable housing in SF. It would provide ample funding for low and moderate income families to obtain loans to buy homes in SF AND finally make it right for owners who have been bearing the brunt for the program's historical ineffectiveness.

Please request that MOH go back to the negotiations table for a last round and finally do what is fair and right. The buy out numbers need to be reasonable given the low/moderate status of the owners, and their expectations at the time of purchase. If they are not, the City will be sued --and they will lose. The proposed ordinance will be invalidated, and owners will recover damages.

Expect print and tv media to bring public many years of the City's ineffectiveness. If the City is unwilling to come to an agreement with current owners, expect SF to become very

aware of the dirty laundry of the last 30 years of the CCP program and its current ineffectiveness. Respectfully, Laura Carroll and Michael Marx



Dee Modglin

11/24/2008 11:57 AM

To Madeleine Licavoli <Madeleine.Licavoli@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Letter for LUED meeting today RE: Proposed Ordinance
080520

Hi Madeleine

Would you be so kind and add this letter to the agenda of today's LUED meeting RE: Proposed Ordinance #05, which is last on today's agenda list to be heard. I will also hand delivery a copy of my letter as well as Laura Carroll's letter to the LUED.

Thank you.

Dee Modglin
Concerned Citizens for Reform of
Condo Conversion Program



ModglinLtrToLUEDNov24_08.doc

LUEDC Nov 24th, 2008
080520

**RE: VOTE NO ON 080520 URGE MOH AND THE
CITY ATTORNEY TO SETTLE WITH CURRENT
LMI CCP OWNERS TO AVOID LITIGATION**

Dear LUEDC:

I was a renter at the Goldmine Hill Complex when the conversion from apartments to moderate income condominiums was approved by the City back in the 80's. Our GMH complex at that time had a Redevelopment Agency (RA) recordation against it and was in the past considered undesirable land. RA for decades had a 20-year resale restriction on low and moderate designated properties and more than 500 Low and Moderate Income (LMI) units were released from the resale restrictions long before the 20 year term limit expired. Although some buyers of LMI conversion units were allowed to make an in-lieu fee to the City and then were released from the LMI program, I was not given this same offer. Had such offer been extended to me, I would have gladly agreed to pay a 10% in-lieu fee at the time of my purchase.

I believe the 10% in-lieu fee should be offered today to resolve the current crisis of mismanagement and the City's failure to properly disclose such in-perpetuity restrictions. This offer should only be allowed if the owners maintain and or personally live in the LMI unit for 20 years or more and this is the most fair and equitable solution to the mess that this program is in. The LUED has recommended that MOH and the City Attorney come up with a fair solution and more recently, we were shocked to see that MOH and the City Attorney's proposal demands exorbitantly high fees that I pay the City approximately 2 times of what my unit cost me in 1986 to seek release from the program. Had in-perpetuity resale restriction and limitations of inheritance rights been to me at the time of my purchase, I would have NEVER purchased my rental unit. I was also not given the option to remain as a renter in my unit and either had to buy or be forced to leave my unit and be displaced. This was never the intent of the program and my rights as a renter and subsequent owner continue to be violated by the City. The City was conspicuously absent at the time of my purchase leaving the subdivider as their agent to disclose any known restrictions. The representations made to me at the time of my purchase by the subdivider/sellers and City literature gave no indication that I would be compelled to remain in the program in perpetuity. In fact, marketing materials disseminated by the City for decades conveyed that there were term limits for LMI properties. Also, my loan documents indicated that the **City had only 2 years to buy back my unit.**

The hard facts and reality by MOH's own admissions are that for decades the City has mismanaged and failures to properly monitor, oversee and failed to disclose our property rights. Contributing to the loss of more than 500 LMI CCP units to be released without paying a cent back into the program. The focus and responsibility and burden to make the program viable has now been placed squarely on the backs of those of us who had nothing to do with the oversight and management. This is not fair and this is no way to

treat long time San Francisco residents who have been law abiding tax payers. The City must be responsible for their mismanagement and not take it out on myself and others in the LMI CCP.

Our City needs to maintain affordable housing and I support changes and improvements to the LMI CCP but not as is proposed in Ordinance 080520 which will in effect rob Peter (LMI owners) to pay Paul (The City). The fact that the City allowed more than 500 units to be released from the program without getting any funds from these sellers to keep supporting the LMI program is not my fault and so I should not be responsible to bear the burden now, as MOH would like you to believe, to replace dollar for dollar another LMI unit in exchange for allowing my unit to be released. I am willing to make a huge increase in my offer to seek release by paying 10 times the amount that I should be allowed to pay had I been allowed to pay a 10% in-lieu fee.

Perhaps if the City is not willing to such reasonable offers being made by myself and many others in the program, and the City continues to reject an infusion of a potential funds that total in excess of over \$30 Million dollars from the 500+ unit owners in the LMI CCP, as well as tens of millions of dollars of additional property tax sales of such units, then you force myself and others to litigate against the City. Such litigation may likely result in the City having to not only release all of our units, but also may require that we be paid for damages and legal costs. It is not sound thinking to not accept my generous offer as the reality is you will NEVER have the right to my unit anyway as I plan to leave it to my heirs and not to the City for LMI use. After all, my property is in my name, and I have exclusively paid for all taxes and upkeep without a dime of City subsidies. It is my property and the City can't keep changing the rules of my property rights after the fact.

MOH's buy out proposal declares 50% of our profit of our unit yet no offer is being made by the City to contribute 50% of the financial burden to maintain the property. One would only wish the real estate and stock market had such 50% on ZERO investment as I'm sure all would be lining up around the globe to get such return on zero investment dollars. I would have NEVER purchased my unit had I been told that the City would make such an outrages claim against my property. I and hundreds of others in the program have been deceived and duped by the city that we were homeowners and this program is a SCAM and in reality we are nothing but glorified grounds keepers for the City while paying for our property taxes and improvements to maintain our property which the City is attempting to rob me of. This is a blatant violation of my rights as there were no policies and procedures in place for the LMI CCP until many years after I purchased my unit and it is illegal to retroactively change such terms of the purchase agreement without my approval. The facts are the City failed to properly and clearly disclose that there were in-perpetuity resale restrictions against my property. Ordinance 080520 continues to strip away my property rights and I refuse to sign on to such legislation.

I urge you to **VOTE NO ON 080520** and not support this ordinance until and unless MOH and the City Attorney accept our generous offer to contribute to the city my settlement offer as well as the settlement offers made by many others in the program. I

respectfully urge you to insist that ALL such settlement funds collected by the City shall only be used towards building LMI housing, not to be used for administrative fees "General Funds" by MOH & the City.

It's time for a CHANGE and we need the City and the LMI program and an infusion of funds to build affordable LMI housing and that changes are made that fully disclose resale restrictions at the time of purchase. The new administration at MOH has done a lot in the past year to provide full disclosure and educate new first time buyers. However, they have yet to come to a fair and equitable resolution with those of us who are long time owners who did not receive such full disclosure at the time of purchase.

Alternatively, should the City not accept my buyout-offer, I am prepared, along with many others in the LMI CCP, to litigate against the City.

Dee Modglin

Co Chair of the Reform for the Low and Moderate Income Program



john nelson

11/19/2008 12:17 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Leave funding for the Community Justice Center as is

Dear Supervisors:

If I remember correctly, the voter pamphlet argued that the ballot measure to insure funding for the Community Justice Center was unnecessary, because the funding was already in place. Therefore, a vote against Measure L may not mean that the voter was against the Community Justice Center itself.

I urge you to leave the funding for the Community Justice Center in place. In a budget as large as San Francisco's one million dollars is small potatoes. (And the \$984,000 federal grant should not be rejected.) Also, work has already started on the Center.

Regular taxpaying citizens in San Francisco deserve to live in a crime/nuisance free environment. The Tenderloin area is quite poor. Even our poorest citizens deserve to live in an area that is free of drug dealing, public urination/defecation, etc. The poor are the people who will benefit most from making sure that the areas they call home are as free of antisocial behavior as possible. (In other words - they deserve as much consideration from you as wealthier parts of the City take for granted.)

Is it so awful to refer people performing antisocial acts to public services rather than allowing them to self destruct on our streets? Can you explain to me how this helps anyone at all?

Thank you,

Sincerely,

John B. Nelson

Access your email online and on the go with Windows Live Hotmail. [Sign up today.](#)

38



john nelson

11/19/2008 02:29 PM

To <chris.daly@sfgov.org>, <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, Michela Alioto-Pier <michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org>
cc <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>
bcc

Subject RE: Leave funding for the Community Justice Center as is

Dear Supervisor Daly:

Thank your for your prompt resonse to my email.

You have young children, who I am sure you love deeply. I also know you have dedicated your time in office to defending the folks you believe are the most unfortunate in our city. Clearly, we have a responsibility to all these people, but have they no responsibilities in return - even to themselves? Does it help anyone to give them a free pass when their behavior is unmistakably self-destructive?

Do you believe it is good for young children living in poverty in San Francisco to have to step over passed out drunks and drug addicts to get to their schools? Are you happy when your children experience that? Many doorways in many city neighborhoods are regularly used as if they were public urinals - something that never seems to happen in the entranceways to City Hall.

Why are you against a program that would refer people performing antisocial acts to services that might encourage them to alter their behavior and become productive citizens contributing to our great city? Are you comfortable watching the very sad folks who have come to San Francisco as the end of the line - their last stop? There are people on our streets who are committing slow motion suicide in front of all of our eyes. A city with a conscience does not allow that to happen.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

John B. Nelson

San Francisco, CA 94109-5456

Subject: Re: Leave funding for the Community Justice Center as is
From: Chris.Daly@sfgov.org
Date: Wed, 19 Nov 2008 13:33:47 -0800
To: johnbn55@hotmail.com; Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Jake.McGoldrick@sfgov.org; Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org; Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org
CC: Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org; Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org; Tom.Ammiano@sfgov.org

The proponent's argument for Prop L was that it was needed to ensure the Board (and Chris Daly) wouldn't cut the funding.

With the budget deficit, we have to make cuts. Given the voters chose not to protect the CJC, and given the Mayor's own instructions to cut new programs first, this is the best place for us to start saving money.

~~I am sure Nancy Pelosi has the power to redirect the grant. Maybe we can use the money to keep Tenderloin Health open.~~

Thanks.

Chris

----- Original Message -----

From: john nelson

Sent: 11/19/2008 08:28 PM GMT

To: Aaron Peskin; Jake McGoldrick; Carmen Chu; Ross Mirkarimi

Cc: Chris Daly; Sean Elsbernd; Bevan Dufty; Tom Ammiano

Subject: FW: Leave funding for the Community Justice Center as is

From: j

To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Subject: Leave funding for the Community Justice Center as is

Date: Wed, 19 Nov 2008 20:17:35 +0000

Dear Supervisors:

If I remember correctly, the voter pamphlet argued that the ballot measure to insure funding for the Community Justice Center was unnecessary, because the funding was already in place. Therefore, a vote against Measure L may not mean that the voter was against the Community Justice Center itself.

I urge you to leave the funding for the Community Justice Center in place. In a budget as large as San Francisco's one million dollars is small potatoes. (And the \$984,000 federal grant should not be rejected.) Also, work has already started on the Center.

Regular taxpaying citizens in San Francisco deserve to live in a crime/nuisance free environment. The Tenderloin area is quite poor. Even our poorest citizens deserve to live in an area that is free of drug dealing, public urination/defecation, etc. The poor are the people who will benefit most from making sure that the areas they call home are as free of antisocial behavior as possible. (In other words - they deserve as much consideration from you as wealthier parts of the City take for granted.)

Is it so awful to refer people performing antisocial acts to public services rather than allowing them to self destruct on our streets? Can you explain to me how this helps anyone at all?

Thank you,

Sincerely,

John B. Nelson

San Francisco, CA 94109-5456

Access your email online and on the go with Windows Live Hotmail. [Sign up today.](#)

Color coding for safety: Windows Live Hotmail alerts you to suspicious email. [Sign up today.](#)

Get more done, have more fun, and stay more connected with Windows Mobile®. [See how.](#)



11/23/2008 06:24 PM

To : <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject: Public input for Board of Supervisors

Submitted on: 11/23/2008 6:24:44 PM

name: Eric

phone:

comments: I am curious why Gap is allowed to still use plastic bags to put in merchandise. These bags aren't even recyclable. I thought plastic bags were outlawed.

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE_ADDR) : 75.36.190.194
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP_X_FORWARDED_FOR) :

39

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

NOV 20 AM 11:22

10 November 2009

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 NOV 20 AM 11:22
BY *[Signature]*

BART Directors
Caltrain Directors
MTC Commissioners

RE: BART + Caltrain = BRAIL

Let's merge BART and Caltrain into a single 5-county San Francisco Bay Rail District; call it Bay Rail, or BRAIL for short. Run BART east, north, and around the Bay, and bullet trains on both sides of the Bay linking major cities and their airports. The plan:

*Widen and grade separate Caltrain to four tracks minimum:

- x Two for high speed and bullet trains, San Jose to downtown San Francisco; ←
- x South from Millbrae, convert local Caltrain to BART;
- x North of Millbrae, build SF Muni (with an SFO rail joint station); ←

*Multi-track and grade separate B, A, D, L, and E lines in the East Bay:

A water-level freight corridor between the Central Valley and the ports;
Run Capitol Corridor via Mulford, with **no** grade crossings;
Mulford to Fruitvale consider running Capitol Corridor west of I-880;

*Widen East Bay freeways for median BART:

I-580: Dublin/Pleasanton to the Altamont (Greenville Road);
Extend later to Mountain House, Tracy, Banta, Lathrop, and Manteca;
SR-4 and By-Pass: Bay Point through Antioch;
Extend later to Brentwood and Stockton;
I-80: El Cerrito del Norte to Crockett;

- x *Consider a San Francisco BART subway via Oak/Masonic to the Golden Gate Bridge; ←
- *Eliminate all passenger train grade crossings in the region;
- *Capitol Corridor: consider a tunnel to by-pass the Benicia drawbridge;
- *Consider a BART tunnel between SFO and OAK;
- *Consider a BART West Oakland by-pass with an intermodal at Magnolia;
- *Consider San Ramon Valley BART via I-680 and the former SP BO line;
- *Run BART to Santa Clara at grade along Caltrain instead of under Stockton Avenue.

Impossible? Not for California voters. A \$33 million bond in depression 1930 built the Golden Gate Bridge. A \$792 million bond in 1962 brought BART. (Adjusted for population and inflation, that equals about \$16 billion today!) The recent state-wide \$9.95 billion Measure 1-A bond issue. Don't say it can't be done!

Seamless BRAIL would unify the region, enhance safety, conserve fossil fuel, curb the region's auto dependence, and reduce freeway congestion and air pollution. It's a real winner! Please agenda the concept and let me know the time and place in advance.

[Signature: Robert S. Allen]
Robert S. Allen
BART Director (1974-1988)

Cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors/Muni
UP RR - Roseville

40



<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

11/19/2008 10:37 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Clerk of the Board Customer Satisfaction Form

Submitted on: 11/19/2008 10:37:52 AM

Additional_Comments: I realize budget constraints have forced alternate week street cleanings. However, taking into consideration holidays, people who can't figure out which week of the month it is, and just plain scofflaws, there have been several instances of no street cleaning for up to a month.

Name: Alex von Hauffe

Number:

Mailing_

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE_ADDR) : 149.136.25.254

Client IP via Proxy (HTTP_X_FORWARDED_FOR) :



Janette Barroca

11/17/2008 03:37 PM

Please respond to

To Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org, Tom.Ammiano@sfgov.org,
Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org,
Bevan.Duffy@sfgov.org, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,
contact@savethepresidio.org,

bcc

Subject Proposed Presidio Structures !

Urge SF Supervisors to Oppose the Presidio Museum & Hotel

SAVETHEPRESIDIO.ORG

Every Voice Counts!

November 17, 2008

Dear Friend of The Presidio,

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors will vote, tomorrow, on a resolution making it city policy to oppose the Presidio's plan to build a **large, contemporary art museum & hotel** on the Main Post.

The resolution states: "The massive modern art museum and bulky hotel complex proposals likely violate the Presidio Trust Act, because they fail to preserve and protect the cultural and historic integrity of the Presidio for public use and further fail to recognize its significant role in the history of the United States."

Please urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution

Send Email to the Board of Supervisors

Please send email TODAY to the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

The current plans for the museum and hotel would threaten the Presidio's historical integrity and natural beauty.

The email address for the the Board of Supervisors is:

"Board of Supervisors" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc: contact@savethepresidio.org

For more information on the proposed resolution:

[http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/SF Board of Supervisors on Presidio fight](http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/SF_Board_of_Supervisors_on_Presidio_fight)

My message below:

42

To Members of the SF Board of Supervisors

The So-Called '*Modern Marvels*' are NIGHTMARES !!

- A huge, predominantly **white, two-story glass, stone and steel building**
- The **100,000-square-foot building** would include 55,000 sq.ft. of
- gallery space
- **Photography studio and ceramics workshop**
- **Art lessons and art lectures**
- **Underground parking for 100 vehicles**
- **Hotel Complex**

These would ruin the historic and peaceful integrity of The Presidio!

The Presidio is already financially self-sufficient so the Presidio Trust cannot use the excuse that they need *MORE REVENUE* !

The job of the Presidio Trust is:

'to preserve & protect The Presidio'.

The main Post does not NEED to be REVITALIZED ~~ it's just fine

the way it is, and has historically been. We need the parking area where people can park their cars and go off for hikes or picnics in the surrounding area or visit the exhibits at *The Officers' Club* and the new *Disney Museum* . Enough already!!

We want to keep the YMCA Gym & the Bowling Alley!

PLEASE ~ NO new museum! NO new hotel (there are structures already in place that can be converted to museums and/or hotels if needed)!

Respectfully submitted by:

J. Barroca

SF Resident

C: Bos, Rana



Manuel Barroca

11/17/2008 09:56 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject

Ladies and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of San Francisco, who has lived near the "post" and known the beautiful and historical Presidio for over sixty years, I urge you please to vote for the support of the resolution making it city policy to oppose the Presidio's plan to build a large contemporary art museum and hotel on the Main Post. Not only would this concept destroy one of the most important places in the Post -- the parade grounds -- where I and other decorated veterans of W.W.II were "thanked" by our government, but one of the most central locations in the Presidio.

I like museums and am a member of some. However, this is not the appropriate location for one and a hotel complex. Look in other areas of the Presidio, if you must.

Respectfully ,

M. B.Barroca



Marjorie Goody

<

11/17/2008 05:56 PM

To "Board of Supervisors" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc contact@savethepresidio.org

bcc

Subject Don't ruin the Presidio

To Members of the SF Board of Supervisors

The So-Called 'Modern Marvels' are NIGHTMARES !!

- A huge, predominantly **white, two-story glass, stone and steel building**
- The 100,000-square-foot building would include 55,000 sq.ft. of
- gallery space
- **Photography studio and ceramics workshop**
- **Art lessons and art lectures**
- **Underground parking for 100 vehicles**
- **Hotel Complex**

These would ruin the historic and peaceful integrity of The Presidio!
The Presidio is already financially self-sufficient so the Presidio Trust cannot use the excuse that they need **MORE REVENUE !**

The job of the Presidio Trust is:

'to preserve & protect The Presidio'.

The main Post does not **NEED** to be **REVITALIZED** ~~ it's just fine the way it is, and has historically been. We need the parking area where people can park their cars and go off for hikes or picnics in the surrounding area or visit the exhibits at The Officers' Club and the new Disney Museum. Enough already!!

We want to keep the YMCA Gym & the Bowling Alley!

PLEASE ~ NO new museum! NO new hotel (there are structures already in place that can be converted to museums and/or hotels if needed)!

Respectfully submitted by:

Dr. Marjorie Goody

SF Native



11/17/2008 06:01 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

Submitted on: 11/17/2008 6:01:25 PM

name: George Meserve

phone:

comments: Ladies and Gentlemen, While going through training and later being stationed Castle AFB (near Merced), my wife and I used to visit San Francisco fairly often and we enjoyed it. We have now decided that we no longer look upon your city as a place to visit. Your motto appears to be, "do anything you want". It is not attractive to us and neither are the results of that policy. We are shredding our SFO city maps and will never return.

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE_ADDR) : 71.105.51.166

Client IP via Proxy (HTTP_X_FORWARDED_FOR) :



BERNARD CHODEN

11/19/2008 04:26 PM

Please respond to

To Board.of.Supervisors@stgov.org, Hiroshi Fukuda

cc

bcc

Subject Policies Proposals for the Housing Element review.

To: City County of San Francisco Department of City Planning
Fr: Bernard Choden
Re: Policies Proposals for the Housing Element Required under current State Law

Nov. 19, 2008

The following documents are submitted for your consideration of policies for the Housing Element.

1. Prospectus for Affordable Housing, a policy proposal document.
2. San Francisco Tomorrow Housing Plan 2000.
3. "The question of the Sale of Development Rights."
4. Comments to the Planning Commission regarding the requirements, program and objectives, June 2, 03 & Oct. 9, 02.
5. Comments to the Plan. Dept. EIR scoping meeting of Nov. 6, 08 reiterated.

The use of the redevelopment process is necessary for an effective implementation of the Housing Element. As an added policy, I also suggest the redevelopment process be made, uniquely, as a trustworthy agency that would conform to state law in full protection of the equitable rights of our citizens and the safeguarding of the city's social and economic interests. As oversight, I suggest the creation of an urban development "ombudsperson."

The legal and professional definition of "policy" is "an administrative directive that is intended to be enforced." Policy proposals that do not include an implementation means are not policies. Such means must include legal and codified basis, resources and institutional aegis. Anything less is a wish without procreation.

44



"Peter Goggin"

11/19/2008 08:51 PM

-- aaron.peskin@sfgov.org, sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org,

Gerardo.sandoval@sfgov.org,
cc board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, rm@well.com,
n, bill.lee@flysfo.com,

bcc

Subject KEEP Middle Income Housing in the Mission District

TO: President Olague, Supervisor Maxwell, Supervisor Peskin,

I do NOT support removing the middle income housing alternative in the Mission, and urge you to keep the middle income alternative.

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY:

The middle income housing strategy that the City has created relies on the Seifel Study -- an economic feasibility study that offers a practical approach that balances desired outcomes with what builders can reasonably build, and that was before the economic recession. MAC's legislation is NOT based on any study and represents an irresponsible end run at this late date.

NEIGHBORHOOD DIVERSITY:

The Mission's economic and cultural diversity will suffer as middle income residents have fewer housing alternatives, and the Mission, which is already heavily low-income, will ghetto-ize low income residents.

MIDDLE INCOME LEFT-OUT

With SF's median housing prices at around \$800K, the City's inclusionary (affordable housing) program produces subsidized units priced, on average between \$180,000 for a studio to \$237,000 for a two bedroom. Unfortunately, a large proportion of our City, its middle class, earns too much money to qualify for subsidized housing, but cannot afford market-rate housing. Leaving out the middle-income class will make San Francisco a city of only poor and rich, removing a valuable swatch of families from the mix.

The middle income housing program proposed by the Planning Department could create housing priced at \$320,000 for a 1-bedroom home and \$370,000 for a 2-bedroom home. There is almost no housing being built in San Francisco at these prices.

Middle income housing is housing for working San Francisco families. 135% of San Francisco Median Income, the target range, describes a family of three, two working parents and a child, with each worker earning around \$50,000 a year. That income band includes a big part of the City, such as nurses and teachers, construction workers and executive assistants, all the types of folks at risk of not being able to live here.

Much of our most vital workforce is increasingly being forced to live far from San Francisco

because of the high cost of housing. We are currently doing virtually nothing to assure that middle-income families can afford to live in San Francisco. This is a policy failure we cannot afford to continue.

CONCLUSION

I urge you to NOT support removing middle income housing alternative in the Mission.

Sincerely,

Peter Goggin
Mission District, San Francisco