

Petitions and Communications received from July 2, 2011, through July 11, 2011, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 19, 2011.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted.

From concerned citizens, regarding saving the Sharp Park Wetlands. 10 letters (1)

*From concerned citizens, submitting support for the Commission on Animal Control and Welfare's humane pet acquisition proposal in defense of animals. 78 letters (2)

From Bob Planthold, regarding resolution opposing the Golden Gate National Recreation Area's proposed preferred alternatives for dog management. (3)

From Jennifer Ziegler, urging the Board to continue funding the NERT Program. Copy: Each Supervisor, Budget and Finance Committee Clerk (4)

From Clerk of the Board, the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement: Erica Maybaum, Legislative Aide - Assuming (5)

From James Chaffee, regarding the Ethics Commission meeting on July 11, 2011. (6)

From Department of Public Health, submitting the June 2011 Hazardous Materials Spill Report. (7)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of six cellular antennas to be installed at 123 Townsend Street. Copy: Each Supervisor (8)

From Luis Cancel, submitting his resignation as the Director of Cultural Affairs and the Arts Commission. (9)

From Annie Sage, regarding the proposed Initiative Ordinance concerning "Circumcision." (10)

From Patrick Monette-Shaw, regarding two Civil Grand Jury Reports. (11)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding families leaving San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor (12)

From Office of Contract Administration, submitting notice that the Office of Contract Administration plans to extend the MOU with Recology. Copy: Each Supervisor (13)

From Richard Magary, submitting support for the appointment of Gill Sperlein to the Entertainment Commission. (14)

From Arlene Mowles, submitting support for the AT&T network upgrades. File No. 110344 (15)

From J. Ovenden, submitting support for saving Sharp Park Golf Course. Copy: Each Supervisor (16)

From National Recreation and Park Association, regarding the proposed Initiative Ordinance concerning equal public access to parks and recreation facilities. File No. 110775, Rules Committee Clerk (17)

From Clerk of the Board, regarding the Diversity Tracking System. (18)

From City Hall Building Management, submitting notice that the Recreation and Park Department will be showing this summer's Women's World Cup at Civic Center. (19)

From SF Labor Council, submitting resolution in support of more affordable housing for transitionally aged youth. Copy: Each Supervisor (20)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the FY2011-2012 Work Plan Report. (21)

From concerned citizens, urging the Board to end the sidewalk Sit-Lie Ordinance. 9 letters (22)

*From Superior Court, 2010-2011 San Francisco County Civil Grand Jury, submitting its report to the public entitled "Whistling In The Dark: The San Francisco Whistleblower Program." Copy: Each Supervisor (23)

*From Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, submitting the FY2011-2012 Annual Report. (24)

From John Madden, regarding the proposed Charter Amendment concerning retirement and health care benefits. File No. 110058 (25)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting Notice of Receipt of 3 appointments by the Mayor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding the 2010-2011 San Francisco County Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Whistling In The Dark: The San Francisco Whistleblower Program." 2 letters (27)

From Steven Aiello, submitting support for California Pacific Medical Center's efforts to build earthquake safe hospitals at the St. Lukes campus and at Van Ness and Geary. (28)

From Anthony An, submitting opposition to the AT&T network upgrades. File No. 110344 (29)

From Eileen Boken, submitting opposition to the proposed Charter Amendment allowing amendments to or repeals of initiative ordinances and declarations of policy. File No. 110401 (30)

From Jack Barry, regarding the ten-year landfill disposal agreement with Recology. (31)

From Gerald Cauthen, regarding the Central Subway. (32)

From Clerk of the Board, the following departments have submitted their FY2010-2011 Sole Source Contracts: (33)

Department of Public Health

Department of Building Inspection

Mayor's Office of Housing

Health Service System

Office of the Assessor-Recorder

Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector

*(An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall.)



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Restore Sharp Park into a National Park

The Clerk's Office has received 6 form emails like the one below.

64
10

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/01/2011 11:48 AM -----

From: Faye Godwin <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/01/2011 11:25 AM
Subject: Restore Sharp Park into a National Park

Greetings,

Sharp Park Golf Course is owned by San Francisco but located in Pacifica, California. With a glut of golf courses around the Bay Area, we are working to transform Sharp Park from a money-losing, endangered species-killing golf course into a new National Park that provides recreational amenities everyone can enjoy. By partnering with the National Park Service, San Francisco can redirect the money it saves back to neighborhood parks and community centers, and we all get a new National Park! Let us collectively support the restoration of Sharp Park so valuable species can thrive and all people can enjoy the beautiful gifts nature has to offer.

Faye Godwin
Hillsborough, NC

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/restore-sharp-park. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.

①



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Please Save The Sharp Park Wetlands

From: Joan m=Milford <joanmilford@gmail.com>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/04/2011 08:12 PM
Subject: Please Save The Sharp Park Wetlands

Dear Board of Supervisors

I am writing to urge the City of San Francisco to turn the Sharp Park Golf Course over to its next door neighbor, the National Park Service. The Sharp Park Wetlands provide critical habitat for the endangered California Red-Legged Frog and a variety of other wildlife. Both frogs and wetlands are rapidly disappearing in California and worldwide, so it is disconcerting that the City of San Francisco is currently using taxpayer dollars to pump the Sharp Park Wetlands dry, killing endangered frogs in the process, and violating state and federal laws.

The Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental and economic troubles, and the time has clearly come for the City of San Francisco to change course. By closing the golf course and handing the land over to the National Park Service, the City of San Francisco would relieve itself of its current financial, legal and environmental burden, and it would also clearly mark itself as a world leader in environmental protection efforts.

The restored Sharp Park Wetlands would be a safe haven for threatened wildlife and would provide valuable recreational opportunities to San Francisco residents and tourists alike. This would not only improve the quality of life for San Francisco's residents, it would increase the long-term economic value of the property.

On behalf of all those who enjoy nature and wildlife, thanks for your consideration.

Joan m=Milford

mansfield, TX 76063
USA



Please Support the Avalos Ordinance on Sharp Park
Mateo to: board.of.supervisors, mayoredwinlee

07/07/2011 11:49 AM

	Mateo	Please Support the Avalos Ordinance on Sharp Park
--	-------	---------------------------------------------------

Hi--

I'm writing to urge you to support Supervisor Avalos's ordinance for San Francisco to work with the GGNRA to promote the nature restoration of Sharp Park Golf Course. This is a beautiful site for a park, but today has only limited appeal to a small segment of San Franciscans--many San Franciscans can't afford to play golf, but anyone can afford to go for a walk. Additionally, golf courses are notoriously retrograde in terms of energy use and environmental damage.

Plus, golf is really stupid.

Please work to change Sharp Park into a natural resource for ALL San Franciscans.

Thank you,

Mateo Burtch
San Francisco

(1)



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Restore Sharp Park into a National Park

From: Mary Hubbard <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/04/2011 03:50 PM
Subject: Restore Sharp Park into a National Park

Greetings,

Sharp Park Golf Course is owned by San Francisco but located in Pacifica, California. With a glut of golf courses around the Bay Area, we are working to transform Sharp Park from a money-losing, endangered species-killing golf course into a new National Park that provides recreational amenities everyone can enjoy. By partnering with the National Park Service, San Francisco can redirect the money it saves back to neighborhood parks and community centers, and we all get a new National Park! Let us collectively support the restoration of Sharp Park so valuable species can thrive and all people can enjoy the beautiful gifts nature has to offer.

Mary Hubbard
Detroit, MI

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/restore-sharp-park. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.

From: Robin Kivett <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/04/2011 09:20 PM
Subject: Restore Sharp Park into a National Park

Greetings,

Sharp Park Golf Course is owned by San Francisco but located in Pacifica, California. With a glut of golf courses around the Bay Area, we are working to transform Sharp Park from a money-losing, endangered species-killing golf course into a new National Park that provides recreational amenities everyone can enjoy. By partnering with the National Park Service, San Francisco can redirect the money it saves back to neighborhood parks and community centers, and we all get a new National Park! Let us collectively support the restoration of Sharp Park so valuable species can thrive and all people can enjoy the beautiful gifts nature has to offer.

Robin Kivett
Webb City, MO

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/restore-sharp-park. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal

The Clerk's Office has received 57 form emails this week like the one below.

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

From: Debra Johnson <rjjhlj@charter.net>
To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/07/2011 02:50 PM
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal
Sent by: In Defense of Animals <takeaction@idausa.org>

Jul 7, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco voter and supporter of In Defense of Animals (IDA), I strongly encourage you to support San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal.

There is an oversupply of adoptable pets in the city, requiring ACC to unnecessarily euthanize many adoptable animals at taxpayers' expense. Meanwhile, "new" pets are bred in often horrible conditions and then sold in this city at pet stores and from small breeders, all for profit. This is grossly inconsistent with how the city of St. Francis of Assisi feels towards animals, yet most San Franciscans aren't aware of this when they decide to purchase a pet.

San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's proposal focuses on having San Franciscans adopt our pets rather than purchasing them. This will result in:

- More adoptions and less euthanasia
- A decrease in cost for Animal Control and Welfare
- Pet stores as partners in reducing euthanasia
- Healthier pets with fewer behavioral problems

Sec. 48 of the San Francisco Health Code already prohibits the sale of rabbits and certain birds as pets. Other cities like Albuquerque, Austin, Los Angeles, and South Lake Tahoe have already prohibited the sale of dogs and cats. So San Francisco has several precedents that support strong and decisive action for all species.

Please support the San Francisco Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal and make San Francisco a leader in animal welfare.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Johnson
1425 Algoma St
New London, WI 54961-2103



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal

The Clerk's office has received 15 for emails like the one below.

Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 (415) 554-5184
 (415) 554-5163 fax
 Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/01/2011 11:47 AM -----

From: SHARI WELSH <welshshari@gmail.com>
 To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
 Date: 07/01/2011 09:37 AM
 Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal
 Sent by: In Defense of Animals <takeaction@idausa.org>

Jul 1, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco voter and supporter of In Defense of Animals (IDA), I strongly encourage you to support San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal.

There is an oversupply of adoptable pets in the city, requiring ACC to unnecessarily euthanize many adoptable animals at taxpayers' expense. Meanwhile, "new" pets are bred in often horrible conditions and then sold in this city at pet stores and from small breeders, all for profit. This is grossly inconsistent with how the city of St. Francis of Assisi feels towards animals, yet most San Franciscans aren't aware of this when they decide to purchase a pet.

San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's proposal focuses on having San Franciscans adopt our pets rather than purchasing them. This will result in:

- More adoptions and less euthanasia
- A decrease in cost for Animal Control and Welfare
- Pet stores as partners in reducing euthanasia
- Healthier pets with fewer behavioral problems

Sec. 48 of the San Francisco Health Code already prohibits the sale of rabbits and certain birds as pets. Other cities like Albuquerque, Austin, Los Angeles, and South Lake Tahoe have already prohibited the sale of dogs and cats. So San Francisco has several precedents that support strong and decisive action for all species.

Please support the San Francisco Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal and make San Francisco a leader in animal welfare.

Sincerely,

Mrs. SHARI WELSH
 734 Brown Ct
 Marina, CA 93933-4755

2



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal

Today the Clerk's Office received 7 form emails like the one below.

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/08/2011 06:36 PM -----

From: Cheyenne Bueno <triani9023@gmail.com>
To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/08/2011 01:25 PM
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal
Sent by: In Defense of Animals <takeaction@idausa.org>

Jul 8, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco voter and supporter of In Defense of Animals (IDA), I strongly encourage you to support San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal.

There is an oversupply of adoptable pets in the city, requiring ACC to unnecessarily euthanize many adoptable animals at taxpayers' expense. Meanwhile, "new" pets are bred in often horrible conditions and then sold in this city at pet stores and from small breeders, all for profit. This is grossly inconsistent with how the city of St. Francis of Assisi feels towards animals, yet most San Franciscans aren't aware of this when they decide to purchase a pet.

San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's proposal focuses on helping San Franciscans adopt our pets rather than purchasing them. This will result in:

- More adoptions and less euthanasia
- A decrease in cost for Animal Control and Welfare
- Pet stores as partners in reducing euthanasia
- Healthier pets with fewer behavioral problems

Sec. 48 of the San Francisco Health Code already prohibits the sale of rabbits and certain birds as pets. Other cities like Albuquerque, Austin, Los Angeles, and South Lake Tahoe have already prohibited the sale of dogs and cats. So San Francisco has several precedents that support strong and decisive action for all species.

Please support the San Francisco Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal and make San Francisco a leader in animal welfare.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheyenne Bueno
408 E 61st St
Odessa, TX 79762-3668



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal

The Clerk's Office has received nine form emails like the one below.

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/11/2011 02:13 PM -----

From: Rebecca Guaraldi <becka.robinson@gmail.com>
To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/11/2011 04:31 AM
Subject: Please Support Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal
Sent by: In Defense of Animals <takeaction@idausa.org>

Jul 11, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco voter and supporter of In Defense of Animals (IDA), I strongly encourage you to support San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal.

There is an oversupply of adoptable pets in the city, requiring ACC to unnecessarily euthanize many adoptable animals at taxpayers' expense. Meanwhile, "new" pets are bred in often horrible conditions and then sold in this city at pet stores and from small breeders, all for profit. This is grossly inconsistent with how the city of St. Francis of Assisi feels towards animals, yet most San Franciscans aren't aware of this when they decide to purchase a pet.

San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare's proposal focuses on having San Franciscans adopt our pets rather than purchasing them. This will result in:

- More adoptions and less euthanasia
- A decrease in cost for Animal Control and Welfare
- Pet stores as partners in reducing euthanasia
- Healthier pets with fewer behavioral problems

Sec. 48 of the San Francisco Health Code already prohibits the sale of rabbits and certain birds as pets. Other cities like Albuquerque, Austin, Los Angeles, and South Lake Tahoe have already prohibited the sale of dogs and cats. So San Francisco has several precedents that support strong and decisive action for all species.

Please support the San Francisco Humane Pet Acquisition Proposal and make San Francisco a leader in animal welfare.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rebecca Guaraldi
184 Summer St # 2
New Bedford, MA 02740-5302

?Truth, evidence, compassion and decency from the 10 anti-disability SF Supes.? // An "immoderate" resolution?

Bob Planthold

to:

board.of.supervisors, Scott.Wiener, 'David Chiu', mark.farrell, Carmen.Chu, Ross Mirkarimi, Jane Kim, David Campos, malia.cohen, John Avalos

07/07/2011 03:19 PM

Cc:

gillian.e.gillett, Adam.Taylor, victor.lim, catherine.rauschuber, judson.true, Eric Mar, myrna.melgar, catherine.stefani, margaux.kelly, katy.tang, cammy.blackstone, vallie.brown, robert.selna, Rick Galbreath, matthias.mormino, april.veneracion, Viva Mogi, hillary.ronen, Sheila Chung Hagen, "Megan.Hamilton@sfgov.org", jon.lau, frances.hsieh, raquel.redondiez

Show Details

Security:

To ensure privacy, images from remote sites were prevented from downloading. Show Images

[[What follows are two verbatim excerpts from members of a discussion group composed of people concerned about the Supes. anti-disability GGNRA resolution.

Bob Planthold]

1]

As long as the doggers are so well organized that they have all the supes lining up to kiss their butts, truth, evidence, and even compassion and decency are irrelevant. This is about politics, after all, and whom you get on your side, something SFDOG sussed out long ago.

We look like a bunch who exist only to spoil sweet woofy woofy's playtime, spouting our facts that the doggers merely shout down with lies. And from what I can see, some of these people ...

live in an alternate universe at which their dog is the center and anyone who tries to limit the dog's interests is like an infidel to a religious fanatic, or like promoting evolution to a creationist.

2]

"I'm beginning to wonder if it matters at all how much evidence we submit about this problem.

It is an unhappy fact of politics that victory goes to the pressure that will not let up.

Belief in an immoderate purpose is always stronger than belief in a moderate purpose."

—

**JENNIFER ZIEGLER
2255 LYON STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115
(415) 440-9300**

BOS-11 B/F club
page

BY

2011 JUL -5 PM 3:28

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

June 28, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I understand that the Budget & Finance Committee is considering cutting over half of the proposed budget for the San Francisco Neighborhood Emergency Response Team (NERT) for 2012. Such a cut will devastate the NERT Program. As a member and supporter of NERT, I urge you not to take this step.

San Francisco needs civilians who are prepared to take care of themselves after a disaster. NERT is the only program in our city that offers free, hands-on disaster preparedness training taught by professional first responders from the San Francisco Fire Department. Our NERT instructors are outstanding teachers who live what they teach us. It is their knowledge and training that keeps us safe as volunteer disaster responders after a severe emergency in San Francisco.

I ask you to re-consider a cut as this is an important program.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Ziegler

4

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: July 5, 2011
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *ACC*
Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement:

Erica Maybaum, Legislative Aide, Assuming

Chaffee -- Calling All Activists -- Ethics Commission, July 11

James Chaffee

to:

board.of.supervisors, Carmen.Chu, David Campos, David Chiu, Eric L. Mar, Jane Kim, John.Avalos, Malia Cohen, Mark Farrell, Ross.Mirkarimi, Scott Wiener, Sean.Elsbernd

07/03/2011 11:19 AM

Show Details

Dear Friends,

Calling All Activists

The very first open hearing before the Ethics Commission on enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance will take place on Monday, July 11, 2011, at 5:30 p.m. in City Hall, Room 408. The complaint to be heard is Sue Cauthen v. San Francisco Library Commission, No. 01-100115. This case promises to set the precedent for the future perception of the Sunshine Ordinance and how it is enforced.

It is well known to many activists, but fairly invisible to the citizens of San Francisco at large, that the Sunshine Ordinance in this City is less than an empty threat. The Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, influenced by the City Attorney, enforces private influence and bureaucratic power by tying up any potential activist in a wasteful morass and an energy drain from which he will likely never recover.

The New York Times, by and through their local affiliate, the Bay Citizen, first blew the whistle on March 17, 2011, in its article, "Sunshine Ordinance, Now 17 Years Old, Still Has Baby Teeth" pointing out that in "27 instances of serious violations" there has not been a single followup by the Ethics Commission. That was the article that quoted the official spokesman for the City Attorney's office that the Task Force was a "rogue, lawless jury" that tried to get "conscientious public employees fired."

The San Francisco Civil Grand Jury issued a report on the Ethics Commission and found that between 2004 and 2010 there were 18 cases that the Sunshine Task Force forwarded to the Ethics Commission requesting enforcement. In those 18 cases not only was there not a single enforcement, there was not a single hearing. It turns out that the Ethics Commission does not list enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance among its official duties.

This first hearing on Sue Cauthen v. Library Commission should be the ideal opportunity to set the precedent for enforcement.

We have an egregious violation, actually preventing someone from speaking, so egregious that the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force described it as a willful violation. The Ethics Commission's Staff Report stated it, "falls below the standard of decency, good faith and right action impliedly

required of all public officials.”

We have a serial, recalcitrant, and contumacious violator, the Library Commission, whose only defense against the indefensible is to state that it “stands behind [its] actions.” The Library Commission just had another violation referred by the Sunshine Task Force to the Ethics Commission for enforcement on June 28, 2011, and it received the same open disdain. The Library Commission openly mocks the idea of openness with a “public comment fund” and as far as they are concerned being found guilty of sunshine complaints provides credibility with corporate privatizers and philanthropists.

We have a respectable complainant, Sue Cauthen, who does not self-identify as an activist or even a critic, and who at the time of the violation was the chair of the Library Citizens Advisory Committee appointed to advise on library issues by the Board of Supervisors. It should not matter who the complainant is because the rights of a citizen belong to all of us, especially the dissenter and those with alternate views, but a counterattack on the dignity of the complainant is always the opening gambit.

This should be an example of the proverbial “perfect storm” for enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance. If there is not going to a strong action of enforcement in this case, when is there going to be? The activist community, advocates of open government, and anyone who cares about continuing a standard for public participation and democracy in our community cannot afford to let this chance for enforcement slip away.

I have attached my standard flyer (printed on yellow paper) as a pdf.

James Chaffee

“below the standard of decency, good faith and right action impliedly required of all public officials.”*

But, Business as Usual for the Library Commission

⇒ A complaint against the Library Commission will be heard before the Ethics Commission on Monday, July 11, 2011, to determine whether a violation of the right to make public comment was a sufficiently egregious abridgment of open government to constitute “official misconduct.” The referral by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force found “willful failure” to comply with the Sunshine Ordinance. The Library Commission response is that it “stands behind its actions.”

⇒ The Ethics Commission's staff examined the facts and issued its report which stated that the Library Commission’s conduct ***“falls below the standard of decency, good faith and right action impliedly required of all public officials.”*** To have civil public discourse, the “standard of decency” must be taken seriously.

⇒ The Library Commission has a long history of preventing public comment and a wide panoply of violations of openness, public records violations, and a contempt for public process. This is necessary for the conversion of public library assets to private fund-raising. They don’t claim it is an isolated incident because they can’t.

⇒ The self-styled aristocrats of the Library Commission until now have been able to deflect accountability by a counter-attack of slander and denigration of the citizens who rely on democracy. The fact that this history of counter-attacks has been largely successful is, in itself, an outrage and a scandal. Every schoolchild knows that a respect for democracy must necessarily involve a respect for the dissenting view and the minority opinion. Enforcement has gotten this far because the victim of this abuse was a distinguished chair of the Library Citizen's Advisory Committee and active in the landmarking controversy over North Beach Branch, so the motivation and the flagrancy of the violation were obvious.

⇒ There is always a justification for a denial of rights, hence, the common saying, a denial of rights for one, is a denial of rights for all. If we can't defend decency now, they will do it to ***you*** next. **Oral or Written Comments Encouraged.**

***Ethics Commission - Complaint No. 01-100115**

Hearing: Monday, July 11, City Hall, Rm 408, 5:30 p.m.



City and County of San Francisco
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SECTION

Gavin Newsom, Mayor
 Mitchell Katz, M.D.
 Director of Health

HAZARDOUS WASTE RELEASE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date : June 30, 2011

Date of Illegal Release: 6/29/11

Location of Illegal Release : 1370 Wallace St

- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil | <input type="checkbox"/> Sewer | <input type="checkbox"/> Air |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Waterway | <input type="checkbox"/> Garbage | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Sidewalk |

Name of Person or Business Causing Illegal Release: PG&E
 Address: Howard Pickersgill, PG&E, 2641 North State Street, Ukiah CA 95482

Type of Hazardous Waste Released:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oils | <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides | <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Solvents | <input type="checkbox"/> Acids | <input type="checkbox"/> Radioactive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fuels | <input type="checkbox"/> Caustics | <input type="checkbox"/> Explosive/Reactive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PCB's | <input type="checkbox"/> Heavy Metals | <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |

Physical State of Waste:

- Liquid Solid Gas

Quantity Released:

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> less than 1 gallon | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 to 10 gallons | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 to 50 gallons |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 50 to 250 gallons | <input type="checkbox"/> more than 250 gallons | <input type="checkbox"/> more than 100 lbs. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 10 lbs. | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 to 100 lbs. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown | | |

Information Source:

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Observation | <input type="checkbox"/> Report from public employee |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report from business | <input type="checkbox"/> Public complaint |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | |

Has Another Public Agency Responded to this Incident?

- NO YES If yes, which agencies:

Comments: PG&E pole top transformer failed spilling mineral oil onto the sidewalk.

Report prepared by:

Submit to:

Name: Patrick Fosdahl

Rajiv Bhatia
 1390 Market Street, Suite 210
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Department: Dept. of Public Health

Position: Sr. Environmental Health Inspector


and

Phone Number: (415) 252.3904

Angela Calvillo, Clerk
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Template/Prop 65.dot/03.05.99 rcv'd _____ pi _____ may _____ dir _____

RECEIVED
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 SAN FRANCISCO
 2011 JUL - 5 AM 9:35
 BY *PL*



Richard Lee/DPH/SFGOV
06/30/2011 09:44 AM

To Patrick Fosdahl/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Hazardous Materials Spill Report: Cal EMA Control #:11-3922

Patrick'

Can you fill out a hazardous waste release form for this? thanks

richard

Richard J. Lee, MPH, CIH, CSP, REHS
Director of Env. Health Regulatory Programs
Environmental Health
San Francisco Dept. of Public Health
1390 Market St., Suite 210
San Francisco, CA 94102
phone: (415) 252-3992
fax: (415) 252-3959
email: richard.lee@sfdph.org

----- Forwarded by Richard Lee/DPH/SFGOV on 06/30/2011 09:43 AM -----



Warning.Center@ops.calema
.ca.gov
06/29/2011 07:28 PM

To richard.lee@sfdph.org
cc
Subject Hazardous Materials Spill Report: Cal EMA Control #:11-3922

California Emergency Management Agency
Hazardous Materials Spill Report

DATE: 06/29/2011 | RECEIVED BY Cal EMA: Darren Mitchell | Cal EMA
CNTRL #:11-3922
TIME: 1925 | RECEIVED BY OSPR: | NRC#:

1.a. PERSON NOTIFYING Cal EMA
1. NAME: Howard Pickersgill | 2. AGENCY: PG&E
3. PHONE #: 707-499-2964 | 4. EXT: | 5. PAGER #:

1.b. PERSON REPORTING SPILL (If different from above):
1. NAME: | 2. AGENCY:
3. PHONE #: | 4. EXT: | 5. PAGER #:

2. SUBSTANCE TYPE:

a. SUBSTANCE: / b.QTY: / Amount / Measure / c. TYPE / d. OTHER / e.
Vessel Over => 300 tons

1. Mineral Oil (non- PCB) / = / 3 / Gal(s) / PETROLEUM / / No

2.

3.

e. DESCRIPTION: A pole top transformer suffered mechanical failure
which resulted in the product spilling onto sidewalk and asphalt.

f. CONTAINED: Yes | g. WATER INVOLVED: No

h. WATERWAY: | i. DRINKING WATER IMPACTED:

j. KNOWN IMPACT: None

3.a. INCIDENT LOCATION: 1370 Wallace St.

b. CITY: San Francisco | c. COUNTY: San Francisco County | d.
ZIP:

4. INCIDENT DESCRIPTION:

a. DATE: 6/29/2011 | b. TIME(Military): 1600 | c. SITE: Residence
| d. CAUSE: Mechanical

e. INJURIES: No | f. FATALITY: No | g. EVACUATIONS: No | h.
CLEANUP BY: Contractor

e. INJURIES #: | f. FATALS #: | g. EVACS #:

5. SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY:

a. NAME: Howard Pickersgill | b. AGENCY: PG&E

c. PHONE#: 707-499-2964 | d. EXT:

e. MAIL ADDRESS: 2641 North State Street

f. CITY: Ukiah | g. STATE: CA | h. ZIP: 95482

6. NOTIFICATION INFORMATION:

a. ON SCENE: | b. OTHER ON SCENE:

c. OTHER NOTIFIED: San Francisco County Public Health

d. ADMIN. AGENCY: San Francisco County Health Department

e. SEC. AGENCY:

f. ADDITIONAL COUNTY: | g. ADMIN. AGENCY:

h. NOTIFICATION LIST: DOG Unit: | RWQCB Unit: 2

AA/CUPA , DFG-OSPR , DTSC , RWQCB , US EPA , USFWS

Created by Warning Center on 6/29/2011 7:25:34 PM
Modified by Warning Center on 6/29/2011 7:28:11 PM

Last

California State Warning Center
California Emergency Management Agency
Phone: (916) 845-8911
Warning.Center@oes.ca.gov

BOS-11



T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION a
Delaware Corporation
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, CA 94520

June 29, 2011

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL -5 PM 12:56
BY *[Signature]*

**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C).
Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53446A.**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approvals for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should the Commission or the local government agency have any questions regarding this project, or if anyone disagrees with the information contained herein, please contact Rana Christie Manager 3 for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5886, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at 415-703-2699.

Sincerely,

Rana Christie
Manager 3

T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION a Delaware corporation

Enclosed: Attachment A

CC:

City and County of San Francisco, City Administrator, 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place,, San Francisco, CA 94102

City and County of San Francisco, Planning Director, 1650 Mission St., Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

City and County of San Francisco, Clerk of the Board of Supervisor, 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF53446A
Site Name: Manchester Bldg.
Site Address: 123 Townsend St.
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 3794-010
Latitude: 37° 46' 46.86"N
Longitude: 122° 23' 25.62"W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: Six (6) antennas
Tower Design: Existing Building
Tower Appearance: Antennas mounted on six-story office building
Tower Height: 89' (top of antenna on building)
Size of Building: N/A

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City and County of San Francisco, City Administrator, 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place,, San Francisco, CA 94102
City and County of San Francisco, Planning Director, 1650 Mission St., Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
City and County of San Francisco, Clerk of the Board of Supervisor, 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: Conditional Use Authorization approved by Planning Commission on April 28, 2011.

Land Use Permit #: 2009.0718C

If Land use Approval was not required: N/A



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Changes at the San Francisco Arts Commission

From: San Francisco Arts Commission <sfaclists@gmail.com>
To: board_of_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us
Date: 07/05/2011 05:22 PM
Subject: Changes at the San Francisco Arts Commission

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)

A Message from Luis R. Cancel

Dear Friends and Colleagues,

Today, Mayor Edwin Lee announced that he has accepted my resignation as the Director of Cultural Affairs and the head of the San Francisco Arts Commission (SFAC) effective Friday, July 8th, 2011.

"Mr. Cancel has informed me of his desire to return to New York City and I want to thank him for his leadership of the San Francisco Arts Commission over the last three-and-a-half years. While he began his tenure in 2007, Mr. Cancel agreed to stay on long enough for a smooth transition," said Mayor Ed Lee. "We wish him well and appreciate his work to increase awareness of the importance of the cultural sector to our City's economy."

You can read the [Mayor's press release](#) at your leisure.

It has been an honor and pleasure to serve the administrations of two Mayors, who equally appreciate how the arts in San Francisco are core assets in defining the City as a cultural destination. Today, the Arts Commission moved into expanded office space that will allow the agency to welcome its 80th Anniversary Year in 2012 with better facilities to

9

serve the residents of San Francisco. It's time for my family and I to return to my native city, and I want to thank my colleagues and the citizens of San Francisco for the privilege of having the best job in government.

My wife Regina Miranda and I have been preparing our return to New York City since Mayor Gavin Newsom was elected Lieutenant Governor, and we will be moving there at the end of the month. I always accept these positions of public service fully understanding that one occupies the post for a short period of time and its best to get things accomplished in the finite window that is handed to you.

During my tenure, the Arts Commission completed its first Strategic Plan, helped to revitalize The Mexican Museum, launched the television program CultureWire, and saw the introduction and utilization of social media tools to communicate with San Franciscans.

It has been a pleasure to serve such a passionate arts community and you can rest assured that Regina and I will remember this city fondly.

Warm regards,

Luis R. Cancel

Forward email

This email was sent to board_of_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us by sfaclists@gmail.com |
[Update Profile/Email Address](#) | Instant removal with [SafeUnsubscribe™](#) | [Privacy Policy](#).
San Francisco Arts Commission | 25 Van Ness Ave | Suite 240 | San Francisco | CA | 94102



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: circumcision

From: Annie Sage <gingin95405@yahoo.com>
To: "Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Date: 07/02/2011 10:19 PM
Subject: circumcision

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors,

I don't live in San Francisco but I do have an opinion regarding your proposal to make it against the law for circumcisions to be performed within your city limits.

People don't have circumcisions done because they want to be cruel to their children, it goes back to the original covenant between God and Abraham. It is very important to Jews.

When you decide what you think is good for a Jewish child you begin to have the behaviors of people who have controlled Jews and have forced Jews to do things against their will. The Nazi's did the same thing.

The man that wants to have this law passed is not a practicing Jew, he said so himself so he hasn't a clue as to how important this covenant is, and I suspect he doesn't care.

I care. My children were circumcised many years ago and it is important to me that I did that for my children. It wasn't about hurting them, it was about respecting what God wanted of his people enough to make that bond with Him.

Now, you may not believe in God, but thousands of people do and when you infringe upon their right to have this procedure performed on their children, you

10

are taking their religious rights away from them.

I ask that you respect the rights of people who believe in God, believe in the covenant with God and end this bill that would so unjustly force the Jews to conform to your non-Jewish ignorances.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely yours,
Ann Sage

HOWDY!

ENCLOSED IS AN ARTICLE
FROM TODAY'S SEATTLE TIMES
RE CIRCUMCISION WHICH
YOU SEEM TO BE THINKING
OF OUTLAWING (?). PLEASE
RECONSIDER — THANKS

Phil STANAT



Mr Philip Stanat
20719 7th Pl S
Des Moines, WA 98198-3416

A friendly greeting and a special wish

to have yourself a very

HAPPY BIRTHDAY!



10

CIRCUMCISION IS A SACRED RITE AND SHOULD NOT BE A CRIME

Faith & Values

Rabbi Mark S. Glickman
Special to The Seattle Times



One morning not long ago, I went to a congregant's home for a special celebration. Mike and Lisa Cohen had just had their first baby, a boy, and now, on the baby's eighth day, it was time for an ancient ceremony called Brit Milah, or Bris, the "Covenant of Circumcision."

It was a joyous event. Friends and family poured into the Cohens' home bearing trays of food for the celebration, and gifts for the baby. There were hugs and laughter, and soon there would be bagels and cream cheese for all.

Brit Milah ceremonies such as this one are profoundly important religious

celebrations, hallowed by Jewish law and centuries of Jewish tradition, yet if a group of San Francisco activists have their way, the event we celebrated that day will soon be illegal in their city.

At the appointed time, I greeted the group gathered in the living room, and Mike's parents came down the stairs holding the baby. They hand-

ed him to Dan, one of Mike and Lisa's close friends, who sat on a special chair in front.

The person who performs circumcisions is a mohel — often a physician with special training in the Jewish aspects of the procedure.

Mike and Lisa recited a prayer praising God for having instructed them to bring their child into the covenant of the Jewish people. The mohel recited a blessing of his own, then opened the baby's diaper, circumcised him, bundled him back up, and handed him to Lisa.

The procedure took about two minutes, and within another couple of minutes, the baby had quieted down and was resting comfortably in his mother's arms. In part, that might have been because we let him suck on a wine-soaked piece of gauze to soothe him while the mohel did his work.

"This child is known by

the name David Samuel Cohen," I said. "And let him also be known among our people by the name "Daveed Shmuel son of Micha'el and Leah. May it be a name that brings honor to his family and the Jewish people."

I know that the idea of circumcision may sound barbaric. But the practice is not. It is a loving way of bringing a boy into an ancient covenantal relationship with God and the Jewish people, and of marking his participation in that covenant in his very flesh. In the Bible, it seems to have been linked to fertility — it was only after Abraham circumcised himself that his wife Sarah became pregnant with Isaac and Ishmael. Unlike female genital mutilation, Jewish circumcision is not a way to limit or control the child, and it does not destroy sexual desire.

Many find the practice troubling, I believe, because

it so dramatically distinguishes religious values from commonly accepted modern American ones. America idealizes nature; Judaism and other religions try to control it and improve it. America sees happiness as the greatest goal, Judaism and other religions see holiness as far more important. Americans identify good parents as those who protect their children from all suffering; Judaism welcomes children into Jewish life with a moment of suffering, and then assures them that, with a hug from mom and a little sweet wine on their lips, it will be all OK very, very soon.

After the ceremony, everyone ate bagels and lox, and little David got more wet, sloppy kisses than his little cheeks knew what to do with. That day, the Cohen family forged one more link in an ancient and deeply hallowed chain of Jewish tradition.

I doubt I can change the

minds of those who oppose this procedure, but I want to encourage them to look at this sacred Jewish ritual in its entirety. Do you really think this is the work of barbarians? Do you really think we should see such events as criminal activity? And at the end of the day, do you really think powerful moments of celebration and sanctity such as these make the world worse than it would otherwise be?

You might say yes, but I have a feeling that, years from now, when David Cohen stands at his own son's Brit Milah ceremony, he'll feel glad to live in a nation that affords him the freedom to participate in such a meaningful rite of passage.

Rabbi Mark S. Glickman leads Congregation Kol Shalom on Bainbridge Island and Congregation Kol Ami in Woodinville. Readers may send feedback to faithcolumns@seattletimes.com



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Happy Fourth-of-July: Watchdogs, Whistleblowers, and Grand Juries [City Attorney Dennis Herrera's Rotten Sunshine Record]

From: pmonette-shaw <Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>
To: undisclosed-recipients;
Date: 07/03/2011 08:52 PM
Subject: Happy Fourth-of-July: Watchdogs, Whistleblowers, and Grand Juries [City Attorney Dennis Herrera's Rotten Sunshine Record]

In press at WestsideObserver.com: "Watchdogs, Whistleblowers, and Grand Juries."

The article will be available soon on news racks and on-line, and is available now at www.stopLHHdownsize.com.

The Grand Jury asserts in its report *San Francisco's Ethics Commission: The Sleeping Watchdog*, that the Ethics Commission hasn't enforced the Sunshine Ordinance and allows Sunshine Task Force rulings to be ignored by City officials. Ethics Commission executive director John St. Croix reviews all cases to Ethics to determine merits and disposition of cases.

Lurking behind St. Croix, is City Attorney Dennis Herrera. Some observers suspect the City Attorney is the ultimate barrier to open government in San Francisco.

A second Grand Jury report — *Hunters Point Shipyard: A Shifting Landscape* — suspects San Francisco's Department of Public Health may have rampant conflict-of-interest violations.

One question is whether the Ethics Commission itself is weakening the goal of open government. There are other questions.

Happy Fourth-of-July, readers. Don't forget to celebrate having the First Amendment!

Patrick

To unsubscribe, send me an e-mail.





To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: response: ken garcia - "Social Experiments Driving SF Families Away in Drovers"

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
To: letters@sfexaminer.com
Cc: kgarcia@sfexaminer.com
Date: 07/03/2011 08:13 AM
Subject: response: ken garcia - "Social Experiments Driving SF Families Away in Drovers"

Ken Garcia refuses to look at history and the solutions created, housing for the wealthy has been created consistently in San Francisco, with only occasional spurts of affordable or low-middle class housing alternatives being proposed. The essential need for a roof over ones head is a primary issue for many families when they lose a job, gain another family member, or even lose a family member and have to adjust there living situation. The FLEXIBILITY and options in the housing market REQUIRES the option of RENTING vs. just BUYING into new housing stock. The lack of affordable rental units citywide was a similar situation when Parkmerced was built for that purpose. The current Parkmerced project ignores the SOUNDNESS of the existing units and offers ZERO proof of the stated "dilapidation" you note. Many families have attended the hearings noting how they LOVE there units currently and would not prefer the dense proposal shown. The term "infill" housing is being mis-used by Parkmerced developers and city proponents, and the opposition to the development being consistently castigated by the lobbyists for the project as opposing any growth. I have repeatedly noted my support for development as long as there is a proposal that includes infill, adequate up front transit linkage (to daly city bart for the SFSU, Parkmerced and future Stonestown proposals) as leverage to get the funding needed for up fron transit money. To propose \$248 million for street repairs, propose 1:1 underground parking and regrading of Parkmerced's site without looking at carbon impacts and water use, and ignore the un-sustainable demolition of sound housing, over 1500 trees and open space acres for density that could just as well be situated through a plan of dispersal at Stonestown, and along EXISTING corridors of transit along Ocean, West Portal, Taraval and Judah means we are not developing sound public housing strategy that allows for denser development and increased population housing that is lacking since Parkmerced's development. The Parkmerced ownership's motives have been seriously questioned, and the lead Architec Craig Hartman of SOM has more than one frying pan in the fire (treasure island/america's cup/parkmerced I am sure that if we went back to his original concept of dealing with the existing un-retrofitted towers, an promoted a proposal that linked transit, developed equitably on multiple properties and neighborhoods, promoted infill, and looked at a slightly changed plan inclusive of the "preservation" model of sustainable adaptive re-use and infill we ALL would be more approving of the project, and not out collecting signatures to overturn ill-thought projects, while more families keep looking for housing that costs less than 50% of there income. Until we meet that issue head-on we will continue to see families leave, and create more tension between those that have a roof over their heads, and those that are trying to stay close to jobs, and reduce there commute costs. The right type of housing is why Parkmerced was originally built, what is being proposed needs to be re-thought, and the introduction of legislation preventing the destruction of sound housing is a drift I catch like the daily fog of San Francisco....

Aaron Goodman

BOS-11
page



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Memo to the Board of Supervisors re: extension of Recology MOU

From: Jennifer Browne Snyder/ADMSVC/SFGOV
To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
Cc: Jaci Fong/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Amy Brown/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Naomi Kelly/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 06/30/2011 04:57 PM
Subject: Memo to the Board of Supervisors re: extension of Recology MOU

Attached, please find a memo to the Board of Supervisors from OCA regarding the exercising of the first 1-year Option Period for the MOU between the City and Recology for collection/disposal of refuse from City Department offices. Please contact OCA if you have any questions or comments.

Thank you.



BOS_Memo_062811.pdf

Jennifer Browne
Assistant Director, Office of Contract Administration
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall - Room 430, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
phone: (415) 554-4751 email: jennifer.snyder@sfgov.org

13



Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Jaci Fong
Acting Director
Purchasing

June 28, 2011

To: Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors

Through: Amy Brown, Acting City Administrator; Naomi Kelly, Acting Deputy City Administrator

From: Jaci Fong, Acting Director *JF*

Subject: MOU with Recology Golden Gate for Refuse Collection

The Office of Contract Administration (OCA) intends to extend the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City and County of San Francisco and Sunset Scavenger Company and Golden Gate Disposal Company (both now known as Recology Golden Gate) dated April 1, 2007 and authorized by Board of Supervisor's Resolution #147-07 dated March 21, 2007 for the first of two available Option Periods. This will extend the MOU term from June 30, 2011 until June 30, 2012.

According to Section 2 of the MOU;

"the Purchaser may, in its sole discretion extend this Agreement for two (2) additional one (1) year periods (the "Option Periods" and each an "Option Period"); provided that the compensation rates for any services provided during any Option Period be set forth in Section 5. The Purchaser may exercise this option by providing written notice to the Companies at least six months prior to any applicable termination date for the Initial Term or the first Option Period, as applicable, and shall notify the City's Board of Supervisors of such extension."

Please consider this memo as formal notification of OCA's intent to extend this MOU for one (1) Option Period. I can be contacted directly at 415-554-6701 with any questions.

Rules Cmte, Entertainment Commission, GILL SPERLEIN

Richard Magary

to:

Board.of.Supervisors

07/03/2011 12:01 PM

Cc:

David.Chiu, Victor.Lim, Catherine.Rauschuber, Judson.True, Mark.Farrell, Catherine.Stefani, Margaux.Kelly, Jane.Kim, Matthias.Mormino, Sean.Elsbernd, Olivia.Scanlon, Alexander.Volberding, Linda.Wong, Gill
Show Details

President David Chiu (and his staff)

Members of the Board of Supervisor Rules Committee (and their staff)

Members of the Board of Supervisors

Linda Wong, Office of the Clerk, Secretary to Rules Committee

Ms. Wong and her colleagues in the Clerk's Office, please assure that this message is in the Rules Committee file and made available to Rules Committee members before their meeting to consider this matter, then subsequently to any other Committee(s) hearing the matter, and then to the full Board.

Honorable Supervisors,

I have had the honor of meeting and working with several of you, in my role as Steering Committee Chair of the Buena Vista Neighborhood Association (BVNA) which has over 400 currently-paid Members, and serves about 4,500 households around Buena Vista Park in San Francisco.

I am writing to personally **SUPPORT the application of GILL SPERLEIN for Seat #1 as a Neighborhood Representative on the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.**

I understand that Mr. Sperlein's application is on the Agenda to be heard by the Board's Rules Committee at its meeting on July 7 at 1:30pm.

I have had the pleasure of working with Gill Sperlein on a number of issues which have benefited our neighborhood and other parts of The City. He was a leading, patient, consistent and active member of a working group facilitated by SFMTA and BVNA, to develop Traffic Calming solutions for a busy, dangerous (to pedestrians and vehicles alike) stretch of Roosevelt Way in our neighborhood. Most notably, the respect Gill has earned from his neighbors was a major element while he worked with the Traffic Calming group, helping to bring consensus on an issue with potentially divisive dynamics. He has regularly and generously given of his time, talent and personal energies to volunteer also on other projects supporting our neighborhood's Levant Hillside public space and formation of a *Friends of Corona Heights Park* local support group, also in our neighborhood. He is an active volunteer with *Castro Community on Patrol (CCOP)*, a valued element which helps to maintain public responsibility and safety on the busy streets in The Castro neighborhood.

Gill's professional credentials and experience and his additional contributions and experience relevant to occupying a Neighborhood Representative Seat 1 on the Entertainment Commission are outlined in the Cover Letter accompanying his formal Application for the appointment. He has the knowledge, commitment, and objectivity this Seat requires. Please seriously consider his appointment.

Please contact me if you have questions about my SUPPORT for Gill Sperlein.
Thank you for your consideration.

Richard Magary
555 Buena Vita West #601; San Francisco CA 94117-4143
415/431-2359
MagaryR@ix.netcom.com
7/3/2011 11:45pdt
cc: Gill Sperlein

The future -- please support it.

arlenem

to:

Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

07/07/2011 12:48 PM

Show Details

July 7, 2011

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo

City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Angela Calvillo,

As a San Francisco resident I am requesting the city to bring in the benefit of providing fiber optic internet and phone line service to all SF residents through AT&T Uverse.

Sincerely,

Arlene Mowles

639 Ellsworth Street

san francisco, CA 94110-6048

15

July 5, 2011

To: SF Board of Supervisors
& Mayor Edwin Lee

Bay Chapter Sierra Club

I am writing to say that my sister, a long-time Pacifica resident and newish senior golfer, has long been upset by the totally unneeded attack on their Sharp Park Golf Course and their pleasant, low-key town. And no, they do not want the intrusion of private enterprise.

The golfers are and will do whatever is needed to protect the snakes and frogs. Golfers hit balls - not animals. They appreciate the garter snake expert and biological conservationist, Karen Swain, who recommended a plan that protects the animals and golf course.

This golf course is a pleasant, out-of-the mainstream, less-costly course. 70 % of the users are seniors on fixed incomes. Thus, it is a haven for retired seniors despite the mostly foggy weather. And no, Sharp Park does not need anyone to "bring it new life". The GGNRA has done excellent work in the area that has made the total area more open to nature lovers.

Thank you for anything you can do to defuse this situation and return the area to its foggy, low-key existence.

J. Ovenden
San Francisco resident
Sierra Club member

P. S. Some residents feel that Brent Plater, the environmental law attorney instigator, is just supplementing his teaching job income by billing EASA \$125 per hour or more for his unwanted and unneeded "services".

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL - 7 PM 3:20
BY [Signature]

16



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Letter to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

File 110775
cpage
Rules Clerk

From: Michele Bennett <mbennett@nrpa.org>
To: Board of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Date: 07/07/2011 01:31 PM
Subject: Letter to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Clerk of the Board,

Please find the attached letter address to President David Chiu.

Thank you,

Michele Bennett
Executive Office Assistant
NRPA
703-858-2144



letter to SF board of Supervisors.pdf



National Recreation and Park Association

July 7, 2011

President David Chiu
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu,

The proposal to enact an Ordinance to amend the Parks Code by adding Section 12.49 "to ensure equal public access to parks and recreation facilities and to prevent privatization of public parks and facilities" has a worthy purpose, but if adopted, may result in a number of unintended negative consequences, some of which that could be detrimental to the very park system which the Council wishes to protect.

The National Recreation and Park Association has closely followed the impacts of the recession on public parks and recreation agencies across America. Park and recreation departments at all levels of government are under significant stress from flat or declining budgets, and there is a high likelihood that tax-supported revenues will be restricted for the foreseeable future.

As we observe similar conditions facing other departments around the country, it is evident that park and recreation agencies need greater flexibility to enter into new cooperative agreements and reasonably adjust set fees in order to meet changing conditions and to address the extraordinary pressures caused by reduced budgets and declining tax-supported revenues. Park and recreation agencies need more flexibility rather than less to meet continuing challenges posed by the economy while providing the best possible service to the public.

We respectfully encourage the City Council to reconsider the provisions of this proposed ordinance, allowing the Department of Parks and Recreation appropriate flexibility to meet changing conditions, but with adequate oversight and accountability for decisions made. We encourage the council to join with citizens in seeking long-term sustainable funding mechanisms that allow the Department of Parks and Recreation the necessary flexibility to meet new challenges, but which maintain the high level of services that have made your City's park and recreation system admired throughout the nation.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Barbara Tulipane".

Barbara Tulipane, CAE
Chief Executive Officer

About NRPA: The National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization composed of more than 18,000 professionals, citizen advocates, educators, and students. The mission of NRPA is to advance parks, recreation and environmental conservation efforts that enhance the quality of life for all people. NRPA is a leading voice advocating for the essential importance of parks and the provision of recreational opportunities for all citizens.

cc: *Board Member John Avalos*
Board Member David Campos
Board Member Eric Mar
Board Member Ross Mirkarimi
Board Member Carmen Chu
Board Member Malia Cohen
Board Member Sean Elsbernd
Board Member Mark Farrell
Board Member Jane Kim
Board Member Scott Wiener
Mayor Edwin Lee
Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 1, 2011
To: Mayor's Office
Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Diversity Tracking System
Administrative Code, Section 12D.A.18(D)

The Board of Supervisors entered into an agreement for Budget & Legislative Analyst services effective January 1, 2010 with Harvey M. Rose Associates, LLC, Debra A. Newman and Louie & Wong, LLP, A Joint Venture.

The term of the agreement is from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011 with an option to renew for an additional two year term ending December 31, 2013.

Contractor	Budget Amount	Compliance	Responsible Person
Harvey M. Roses Associates, LLC, Debra A. Newman and Louie & Wong, LLP, A Joint Venture	\$2,050,000	MBE/WBE	Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors


c: Human Rights Commission

18



Women's World Cup - Civic Center Plaza
City Hall Building Management to:
Sent by: Nick Majeski

07/07/2011 10:50 AM



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



The Recreation and Parks Department will be showing five games of this summer's Women's World Cup. Just like last year, the event will feature a large Jumbotron screen set up on the Polk Street edge of Civic Center Plaza with delicious food, soccer activities and special programming for kids. The schedule for the matches is as follows:

- Saturday July 9: Quarterfinal matches at 9am and 11:45am
- Wednesday, July 13: Semifinal matches at 9am and 11:45am
- Sunday, July 17: Women's World Cup Championship Match at 11:45am

For more information, please visit <http://worldcupsf.com/>

San Francisco City Hall Building Management
City Hall, Room 008
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4933
Become a 'fan' of City Hall, on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on Twitter @SFCity_Hall

19



BOS-11
cpaye

Executive Director

Tim Paulson

President

Mike Casey
Unite Here 2

Secretary Treasurer

Olga Miranda
SEIU 87

VP for Political Activities

Conny Ford
OPEIU 3

VP for Affiliate Support

Larry Mazzola, Sr.
Plumbers 38

VP for Community Activities

Howard Wallace
Pride at Work

Executive Committee

Alan Benjamin
OPEIU 3

Rafael Cabrera
TWU 250-A

Vince Courtney
Laborers 261

FX. Crowley
IATSE 16

Sanjay Garla
AFSCME 3299

Gus Goldstein
AFT 2121

Art Gonzalez
IAM 1414

Maria Guillen
SEIU 1021

Michael Hardeman
Sign & Display 510

Dennis Kelly
United Educators of SF

Gunnar Lundeberg
Sailors Union of the Pacific

Rosa Faye Marshall
CLUW

Frank Martin del Campo
LCLAA

Larry Mazzola, Jr.
Plumbers 38

Robert Morales
Teamsters 350

Bob Muscat
IFPTE 21

Ken Oku
Operating Engineers 3

Thomas O'Connor
IAFF 798

John O'Rourke
IBEW 6

Fred Pecker
ILWU 6

Eileen Prendiville
California Nurses Association

Michael Sharpe
UFCW 648

Michael Theriault
SF Building Trades Council

John Ulrich
UFCW 5

James Wright
SEIU 1877

Sergeant at Arms

Hene Kelly
United Educators of SF

Trustees

Ron Lewis, IBEW 6
David Williams, SEIU 1021
Claire Zvanski, IFPTE 21

Secretary Treasurer Emeritus

Walter L. Johnson

Educate. Empower.

July 6, 2011

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Enclosed please find a resolution in support of more affordable housing for transitionally aged youth. It was adopted by the Executive Committee of the San Francisco Labor Council on July 5, 2011.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding this issue.

Sincerely,

Tim Paulson
Executive Director

opeiu3afl-cio(11)

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL -7 PM 3:19
RC

20





Educate. Empower.

Executive Director

Tim Paulson

President

Mike Casey
Unite Here 2

Secretary Treasurer

Olga Miranda
SEIU 87

VP for Political Activities

Conny Ford
OPEIU 3

VP for Affiliate Support

Larry Mazzola, Sr.
Plumbers 38

VP for Community Activities

Howard Wallace
Pride at Work

Executive Committee

Alan Benjamin
OPEIU 3

Rafael Cabrera
TWU 250-A

Vince Courtney
Laborers 261

F.X. Crowley
IATSE 16

Sanjay Garla
AFSCME 3299

Gus Goldstein
AFT 2121

Art Gonzalez
IAM 1414

Maria Guillen
SEIU 1021

Michael Hardeman
Sign & Display 510

Dennis Kelly
United Educators of SF

Gunnar Lundeborg
Sailors Union of the Pacific

Rosa Faye Marshall
CLUW

Frank Martin del Campo
LCLAA

Larry Mazzola, Jr.
Plumbers 38

Robert Morales
Teamsters 350

Bob Muscat
IFPTE 21

Ken Oku
Operating Engineers 3

Thomas O'Connor
IAFF 798

John O'Rourke
IBEW 6

Fred Pecker
ILWU 6

Eileen Prendiville
California Nurses Association

Michael Sharpe
UFCW 648

Michael Theriault
SF Building Trades Council

John Ulrich
UFCW 5

James Wright
SEIU 1877

Sergeant at Arms

Hene Kelly
United Educators of SF

Trustees

Ron Lewis, IBEW 6
David Williams, SEIU 1021
Claire Zvanski, IFPTE 21

Secretary Treasurer Emeritus

Walter L. Johnson

Resolution urging the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to prioritize providing more affordable housing for transitionally aged youth, particularly their support of the goal set forth by the Mayor's Office of Housing of building 400 new units of low-income housing for this population of by the year 2015

WHEREAS, As of 2006, there are 80,000 transitional aged youth, defined as those persons between the ages of 16 and 24, living in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Studies have shown that, 5-10% of this population will not, and are at risk of becoming homeless, jobless or involved with the criminal justice program; and

WHEREAS, The challenges facing this population of transitionally aged youth are great: a majority will have recently cycled out of public systems, such as foster care, or have grown up in unsafe communities without sufficient access to educational opportunities or supportive families; and

WHEREAS, In 2005, the San Francisco Youth Commission passed a resolution urging the Mayor to ordain a taskforce to study and make recommendation regarding the issues that face transitionally aged youth; and in 2007 the taskforce released a report titled "A Roadmap to Improve the Life Changes of San Francisco's Most Vulnerable Young Adults," including sixteen main recommendations, key among which was the need for more accessible housing for this population; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing took up this issue, and proposed the construction of 400 new housing units for transitionally aged youth by the year 2015; and

WHEREAS, Although the Mayor's Office of Housing has approved plans for the building of 88 new units for this age group, with only five years left before the proposed deadline, the city has not improved upon the 350 housing units designated to shelter an estimated 1,600 homeless youth—the same number of units available when the TAY Taskforce wrote its 2007 report; and

WHEREAS all of the major affordable housing projects completed in 2010 were designated for either senior, family—none were completed for youth; and

WHEREAS two of the planned projects—The Booker T. Washington Center and Edward II are in the process of securing approvals through city government process; and

WHEREAS these planned projects represent a substantial amount of jobs construction and permanent work in social services, and maintenance sectors; and



WHEREAS both developers of these projects have strong records of respecting Union construction labor and intend to do so in these instances; and

WHEREAS Community Housing Partnership, developer of the Edward II project is a Union Shop represented by the Service Employees Union 1021 and;

WHEREAS, Service Employees Union 1021 has taken a position in support of these projects; and


WHEREAS the San Francisco Labor Council strongly commends the Mayor's Office of Housing both for the initiative they took in taking up this project as well as the progress they have made,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors approve all the affordable housing projects designated for transitionally aged youth put forth by the Mayor's Office of Housing and support this agency in their work to improve the living situations of many TAY youth; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors make accomplishing the other recommendations of the Transitionally Aged Youth Task Force a priority, especially the creation of a citywide directive to coordinate the various services already in place for this age group.


Submitted by James Tracy, SEIU 1021, and adopted by the Executive Committee of the San Francisco Labor Council on July 5, 2011.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tim Paulson", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

Tim Paulson
Executive Director

OPEIU3 AFL-CIO 11



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Controller City Services Auditor FY11-12 Work Plan

From: Controller Reports/CON/SFGOV
To: Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, BOS-Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV, BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV, Steve Kawa/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Greg Wagner/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Christine Falvey/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Starr Terrell/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jason Elliott/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Francis Tsang/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jennifer Entine Matz/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, ggiubbini@sftc.org, Severin Campbell/BudgetAnalyst/SFGOV@SFGOV, Debra Newman/BudgetAnalyst/SFGOV@SFGOV, sfdocs@sfpl.info, gmetcalk@spur.org, Department Heads/MAYOR/SFGOV, Tara Collins/CTYATT@CTYATT, home@prosf.com, CON-Media Contact/CON/SFGOV, CON-EVERYONE/CON/SFGOV, CON-Finance Officers/CON/SFGOV
Date: 06/30/2011 04:01 PM
Subject: Controller City Services Auditor FY11-12 Work Plan
Sent by: Kristen McGuire

The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor Division, is pleased to provide its annual Work Plan for Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Charter Appendix F, approved by voters in November 2003, sets out the role of the City Services Auditor (CSA) and mandates a comprehensive effort to analyze, audit and work to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of San Francisco's public services. The Work Plan describes CSA's priorities for the coming fiscal year and lists the specific audits and projects that will be undertaken.

To view the Work Plan, please visit: <http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1296>

You can also access the report on the Controller's website (<http://www.sfcontroller.org/>) under the News & Events section.

This is a send only email address.

For questions regarding this report, please contact Peg Stevenson at peg.stevenson@sfgov.org or 415-554-7522 or Tonia Lediju at tonia.lediju@sfgov.org or 415-554-5393,

Thank you.

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

CITY SERVICES AUDITOR

ANNUAL WORK PLAN, FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012



June 30, 2011

ANNUAL WORK PLAN, FISCAL YEAR 2011-12

Introduction

The City Services Auditor (CSA) develops its Annual Work Plan by considering audits and other responsibilities mandated by the City Charter and municipal codes, the results of a limited risk assessment, and input from City management, leadership, and stakeholders. The Work Plan may change during the fiscal year as circumstances dictate. Quarterly reassessment of risk, requests from City leadership, changes within City organizations or operations, and available CSA staff resources can result in changes to the plan.

MISSION

The mission of the Office of the Controller (Controller's Office) of the City and County of San Francisco is to ensure the City's financial integrity and promote efficient, effective, and accountable government. The Controller's Office's vision is to be a model for good government and to make the City a better place.

The City Services Auditor (CSA) was created within the Controller's Office through a 2003 Charter amendment that envisions a broad effort to measure, audit, and report on San Francisco's public services and government performance. The mandate is to analyze the City's public service delivery, compare and benchmark San Francisco to best practices nationwide, provide information to citizens in new ways, and help drive improvements in City government. The City Services Auditor is among the most ambitious voter-approved efforts of its kind in local government.

AUTHORITY

CSA has broad authority for:

- Reporting on the level and effectiveness of San Francisco's public services and benchmarking the City to other public agencies and jurisdictions.
- Conducting financial and performance audits of City departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of processes and services.
- Operating a whistleblower hotline and website and investigating reports of waste, fraud, and abuse of City resources.
- Ensuring the financial integrity and improving the overall performance and efficiency of City government.

RESOURCES

CSA is funded through a commitment of two tenths of one percent of the City's annual budget. In fiscal year 2011-2012, approximately \$11.6 million is budgeted for the City Services Auditor functions under the Charter requirement. CSA has approximately 50 full time equivalent staff including auditors, performance analysts, project managers, and operations staff. CSA conducts its work pursuant to professional auditing and analysis standards which require competence, integrity, objectivity, and independence.

CSA performs a variety of important services for city government. Our audit group has a wide range of expertise in performance auditing and financial and compliance auditing, and a growing ability to audit large data sets and information technology systems. Our performance group does evaluation, business process analysis, policy analysis, and organizational development and implementation. We are able to procure expert professional services where needed and manage technical and consultant contracts efficiently on behalf of other city departments. Where multiple city agencies or the city as a whole have similar needs or challenges, we work to deliver common solutions and reduce duplication of effort.

Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Accomplishments

AUDITS UNIT

During fiscal year 2010-2011, the Audits Unit completed, or made significant progress on many of its initiatives. For example, the Audits Unit:

- Received a rating of "full compliance" on its External Quality Control Review (peer review) issued by the Association of Local Government Auditors.
- Successfully completed a comprehensive survey of all departments to document all cash collection locations throughout the City and developed a cash receipts program in which ten locations were audited to assess the cash handling processes.
- Completed a payroll audit of the Fire Department, which highlighted inconsistent, erroneous and informal practices of the payroll operations within the Department, exposed unauthorized additional benefits some public safety employees receive upon retirement and demonstrated limitations in the City's payroll system.
- Issued an audit of the Sustainable Streets Division of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency which identified more than a half million dollars in savings that could be realized each year if the City were not leasing parking garages to nonprofit corporations.
- Completed an audit of the City's Care Not Cash program and the effect that the implementation of this program has had on the overall delivery of services to the homeless population.

CITY PERFORMANCE UNIT

During fiscal year 2010-2011, the City Performance and Operations Units' work highlights include:

- As an outgrowth of our work with the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and Police Commission we created new databases to track incidents on Muni routes so that SFPD resources can be deployed to reduce crime incidence on public transit. We created, installed and trained SFPD users on a shared database to manage casework and related information among the investigative units.
- Worked with the Department of Public Health (DPH) on an ambitious project to bring together primary care treatment and mental health treatment into a single integrated system of care. Analysis of all the DPH clinics was completed in FY11 and training in new and innovative patient care models is well underway for the clinical and behavioral health staff who are delivering these services.
- In partnership with the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA), CSA moved through the next stages of the Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) by completing an implementation plan and starting the environmental analysis needed to carry out the TEP. This project lays out critical improvements to MUNI's routes, systems and service delivery for the next five years and beyond.
- Worked to make City services and public policy issues more accessible to citizens by publishing a bimonthly *Government Barometer* of critical performance measures and a report of survey results on how people view the City's street cleaning and maintenance efforts.
- Coordinated development of the City's Five Year Financial Plan by working with a dozen large city agencies and the Mayor's Office to project future public service needs, model revenue and expenditure trends and problem-solve for the gaps that can be predicted over the coming five year period. The plan is required by a new Charter amendment and is a first for San Francisco.

Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Priorities

CSA's highest priority initiatives for FY 2011-12 include:

1. Capital Improvement Audit Program

CSA continues to develop its capacity to provide audit, oversight, and technical assistance services related to the City's significant portfolio of capital improvement programs, including voter-approved bond programs.

CSA's work involving the City's capital programs will include: audits of construction management and general construction contracts; audits of the operations of relevant City contract administration units; analysis of project performance tracking and reporting; and follow-up reviews of audit recommendations published by CSA in recent years. We will be analyzing the best practices followed by city departments managing construction programs and working to ensure that their systems and lessons benefit other projects. We plan to publish an easy-to-read summary of the status of capital projects that informs the public and stakeholders about whether capital programs are achieving scope, schedule and budget as planned.

2. Municipal Transportation Agency Audits and Projects

MTA Overview and Division Audit – CSA will conduct the second of a series of division audits called for in MTA's three-year Audit Plan, focusing on the Enforcement section of the Safety, Training, Security and Enforcement Division.

Transit Effectiveness Project Implementation – CSA will continue work on the Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) in coordination with the MTA by establishing a consulting contract for TEP environmental review and proceeding through the California Environmental Quality Act analysis needed to move forward with planned changes to the system, routes and services delivered by Muni.

3. Substance Abuse Evaluation

An ongoing analysis project will determine whether the City's substance abuse treatment services are optimally effective in responding to the long-term nature of recovery and addiction, and make recommendations to improve the system. This evaluation is assessing current and former clients three times over 18 months and examining how they access, participate in and sustain services; the typical pathways of recovery/relapse; and related impacts on the City's health, human, and public safety services. The first data and analytical reports from the evaluation will be published in FY12.

4. Public Health Services and Cost Recovery

CSA continues to collaborate with the Department of Public Health to implement a new public health care delivery model which integrates behavioral health with the primary care system. Integrated care is being implemented in all DPH primary care clinics and has led to significant changes in patient treatment, intake, staffing, training, and information technology in the City's clinics. Integrated care improves health outcomes, patient access to care, and the efficiency and cost of the public health system. In a related project, CSA is working with the department to enable more nonprofits to become certified as Medi-Cal providers and increase revenues that can be claimed for mental health services.

5. General Hospital Audits and Projects

CSA has previously worked with San Francisco General Hospital on analyses of revenue, improving the rate of cost recovery by the hospital and improving purchasing and materials cost management. In FY12, we will expand this area of work to help the City understand and control additional major drivers of SFGH's costs. Looking ahead to the move to the new hospital facilities that are now under construction, DPH will be exploring new programs, staffing configurations, financial management and many other redesign needs. SFGH is already a leader in patient and health outcomes and with the new facilities can become a best-in-class hospital for financial and cost management. The goal of this work is to keep the acute care hospital accessible and affordable for the City's needs over the long run while maintaining the highest standards of care.

6. City Employee and Retiree Health Benefits Analysis

The Controller's Office, working together with the Health Services System, Human Resources Department, and other city agencies and stakeholders will undertake an analysis of the City's employee and retiree health benefits. Looking towards Health Care Reform mandates and the need to reduce the unsustainable growth rate of the City's medical benefit costs, we will examine an array of options to manage both costs and outcomes, including health and wellness programs, accountable care organizations, plan design options, administrative and contractor management efficiencies, and others.

7. Contracting Process Improvement Program

The Controller's Office, the Office of Contract Administration (OCA), and the Human Rights Commission (HRC) along with other city agencies and stakeholders are working to enhance the quality and efficiency of City contracting. The project seeks to reduce the complexity and length of the City's contracting process through: (1) streamlining contracting compliance requirements and processes; (2) building the City's ability to achieve policy and business-related outcomes through its contracts; and (3) improving quality and decreasing costs through increased vendor competition and other efforts. In FY12, the next stages of this project will seek to leverage the City's buying power with new contracts and use new data analyses to control costs and insure contract compliance.

8. Whistleblower Program

The City's Whistleblower Program receives, investigates or refers, and tracks complaints about employee misconduct, the quality and delivery of government services, wasteful and inefficient City government practices, misuse of City government funds and resources, and other improper activities by City government vendors and contractors.

9. Performance Management and Measurement

Charter Appendix F charges CSA with measuring the level, efficiency, and effectiveness of San Francisco's public services, benchmarking San Francisco to comparable organizations, and reporting on the City's ability to attain established performance standards. Benchmarking is central element of this mandate and in FY11-12 CSA will develop a new ongoing performance report comparing San Francisco services to peer governments. The report will become a regular part of the Division's performance measurement, reporting and data validation efforts. CSA also has ongoing programs to rate, against established performance standards, San Francisco's street and sidewalk cleanliness, including graffiti incidence and litter, and park cleanliness, covering playing fields, playgrounds, bathrooms, and many other features.

10. City Survey 2011

The biennial Controller's City Survey report will be issued in the summer of 2011. The survey is designed to help improve the City's service delivery by measuring resident satisfaction and community opinion. The survey asks residents about a variety of the most common services that people use or experience day to day such as public safety, public transportation, street and sidewalk cleanliness, pavement condition, services for children, recreation and parks, libraries, and access to technology.

11. Revenue Control Audits

Citywide Cash Collection Points – CSA will continue its annual audit program which tests ten or more cash collection locations across the City to assess the oversight of cash handling on a citywide basis.

Public Utilities Commission Billing Process – CSA will conduct an audit of the Public Utilities Commission's (PUC) billing processes and procedures with a focus on data mining and analysis techniques to probe PUC water and sewer customer database records for appropriateness of customer-type designation.

Airport Property and Operations Management – CSA will conduct multiple concession audits of airport tenants, including airlines and retail shops, to confirm they are accurately tracking and reporting their revenues and making appropriate revenue-sharing payments to the City in compliance with their leases.

12. Payroll Audits

CSA maintains an ongoing program of auditing the payroll operations of departments across the City. These audits analyze various aspects of the time reporting and payment procedures of departments including timekeeping by staff, use of differential pay, premium pay, overtime, per diems, and other special pay types. Payroll audits are planned for Laguna Honda Hospital and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

13. Nonprofit Accountability and Evaluation

CSA will continue ongoing work to improve accountability, capacity, and results among the City's nonprofit service providers as they cope with reduced budgets and increased service needs in the current economic climate. CSA's Nonprofit Monitoring and Capacity Building Program establishes fiscal and compliance standards, coordinates City monitoring of its contractors, and provides training and technical assistance for contractors and City staff. Training topics include board governance, budgets, financial reporting, and cost allocation. In FY2011-12, CSA is also evaluating effectiveness of, and working to improve performance measurement in, non-profit organizations contracted by the City in the areas of violence prevention and youth workforce development.

14. Public Safety Services

State law changes and a variety of other factors are projected to increase the demands on San Francisco's public safety services in FY12 including Police, Courts, Sheriff (jail population) and Probation. CSA will work with the public safety departments to understand and predict service needs, reduce costs-per-case and improve outcomes for the City and the people involved in the justice system.

15. Redevelopment Agency Audits

The Redevelopment Agency will provide funding for CSA to conduct audits of its operational procedures and the outcomes of its work related to specific project areas in the Western Addition and Bayview Hunters Point neighborhoods. CSA may also assist the agency in a review of its planning for, and issuance of, debt that funds its activities.

AUDIT AND PROJECT LISTING

The table following provides a listing of major planned audits and projects for FY2011-2012.

Controller – City Services Auditor Major Planned Audits and Projects FY11-12

Department	Audit or Project Description
Airport	Airline Compliance Audits
Airport	Polaris - BART Credit Cards Audit
Airport	Terminal 2 Concessionaire Build-out Close-out Audit
Children, Youth & Family	Public Education Enrichment Fund Budget and Annual Reports
Children, Youth & Family	Violence Prevention Grant Outcome Evaluation
Citywide/Multiple Departments	City Contracting Reform Program
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Citywide Nonprofit Monitoring and Capacity Building Program
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Citywide Performance Measurement Program
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Consolidated Inspector Program Analysis
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Construction Contract Audit Management
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Construction Contract Closeout - Citywide
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Contract Compliance Audit Program
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Disaster Recovery Systems Audit
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Earthquake Safety Emergency Response Bond Audit
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Enterprise License Agreement Analysis
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Health Benefit Reform Measure Analysis
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Payroll Audit Program
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Prop Q Political Activity Compliance Audit
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Public Health Community Programs Primary Care/Behavioral Health Integration
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Risk Assessment Model
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Shared Rehabilitation Services Analysis
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Visitation Valley Capacity Building and Assessment
Citywide/Multiple Departments	Whistleblower Program
Controller/General Fund	Citywide Emergency Cost Recovery Planning
Controller/General Fund	Criminal Justice Projects
Controller/General Fund	Fiscal Impact of Prison and Probation Services Re-Alignment
Controller/General Fund	Five Year Plan Update and Implementation
Controller/General Fund	Health Service System Employee Benefits Audit
Controller/General Fund	Juvenile or Adult Probation Caseload Management Audit
Controller/General Fund	Juvenile Probation Payroll and Workers Compensation Audit
Controller/General Fund	Labor Negotiations Support Citywide FY11-12
Controller/General Fund	Local Business Enterprise Joint Venture and Prime Contractors Audit
Controller/General Fund	Master Vendor File Audit
Controller/General Fund	PG&E Franchise Fee Audit
Controller/General Fund	Police Department Incident Reporting System Business Process Mapping
Controller/General Fund	Retirement Benefit Payments Audit
Controller/General Fund	Senate Bill 90 Claiming Project
Human Services Agency	Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment Medi-Cal Funding Analysis
Human Services Agency	Information Technology and Online Access Efficiency Project
Human Services Agency	Long Term Care Funding Analysis
Human Services Agency	Nonprofit Healthcare Benefits Audit
Human Services Agency	Social Security Income/Federal Program Advocacy
Human Services Agency	Supportive Housing Review

Controller – City Services Auditor Major Planned Audits and Projects FY11-12

Department	Audit or Project Description
Municipal Transportation Authority	Audit Follow up
Municipal Transportation Authority	Cash Collection Controls: Over the Counter - Customer Service
Municipal Transportation Authority	Enforcement Performance Audit
Municipal Transportation Authority	Garage Audits
Municipal Transportation Authority	Inventory Management Tools Audit
Municipal Transportation Authority	Ridership Profile Project
Municipal Transportation Authority	Transit Effectiveness Project - Implementation and Environmental Review
Municipal Transportation Authority	Trapeze Post-Implementation Systems Review
Port	Port Concession Audits
Public Health	Community Health Insurance Survey
Public Health	Community Programs Short Doyle Revenue Enhancement
Public Health	Coordinated Case Management System Implementation
Public Health	General Hospital Materials Management Contracting Analysis
Public Health	General/Professional Services Contract Audit(s)
Public Health	Laguna Honda Organizational Effectiveness
Public Health	Materials and Supplies Purchasing Practices Audit
Public Health	Pharmacy Cost Audit
Public Health	General Hospital Construction Contract Audit Program
Public Health	General Hospital Cost and Productivity Analysis
Public Health	General Hospital Management Report Analysis
Public Health	Substance Abuse Treatment Services Evaluation
Public Utilities Commission	Fleet/Fuel Logistics - Controls & Disaster Preparedness
Public Utilities Commission	Information Technology Audit #2
Public Utilities Commission	Invoice Review
Public Utilities Commission	Job Order Contracts
Public Utilities Commission	Payroll/Direct Deposit Audit
Public Utilities Commission	Performance Measurement Capital Program / Water System Improvement Program
Public Utilities Commission	Phase 2 Network Penetration Test
Public Utilities Commission	Power Enterprise - Warehouses and Inventory Control Audit
Public Utilities Commission	Revenue Bond Oversight Committee Audit Program
Public Utilities Commission	Santa Clara Gravel & Sand Audit
Public Utilities Commission	Water System Improvement Program: Change Orders Review
Public Utilities Commission	Water System Improvement Program: Regional Construction Audit
Public Works	Construction Contract Audit Management
Public Works	Street Inspection Program
Recreation and Parks Department	Construction Bond Audit Program
Recreation and Parks Department	Park Inspections
Redevelopment Agency	Redevelopment Plan Performance Audit-Bayview Hunters Point
Taxi Commission	Taxi Program - Analytical Support



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Overturn San Francisco's Discriminatory Sidewalk Sitting Ban

The Clerk's Office has received four form emails like the one below.

+59
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/07/2011 05:02 PM -----

From: Heather Buttle <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/07/2011 01:47 AM
Subject: Overturn San Francisco's Discriminatory Sidewalk Sitting Ban

Greetings,

As you know, after the San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted 8-3 against a measure to ban sitting on city sidewalks in June 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom took Proposition L, better known as the sit-lie ordinance, to the ballot.

Supporters, especially businesspeople in the Haight-Ashbury neighborhood, said it would curb loitering and aggressive panhandling. But since the police acknowledge that enforcement will be "complaint-driven," opponents are sure it will be unfairly used against homeless people.

Penalties for repeat offenders include 30-day jail sentences and \$500 fines. Officials can go ahead and add to that jail sentence, since \$500 might as well be \$1,000,000 for many of the city's homeless. It makes no sense to put people in jail, costing taxpayers money, because they can't pay a fine.

Please take action once again to end this discriminatory sidewalk sitting ban.

Heather Buttle
Wing, United Kingdom

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/overturn-san-franciscos-discriminatory-sidewalk-sitting-ban. To

respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: End the Sidewalk Sit-Lie Ordinance

The Clerk's Office has received 4 form emails like the one below.

From: Lukas Martinelli <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/11/2011 07:49 AM
Subject: End the Sidewalk Sit-Lie Ordinance

Greetings,

It has been a year after the prohibition against sitting or lying on San Francisco sidewalks and police officers have begun enforcing the law known as Sit/Lie. Being that the Board of Supervisors mission is to "respond to the needs of the people of the City and County of San Francisco..." it is very contradicting that this law is even in place.

It is extremely important to emphasize on the real needs of many of the residents in San Francisco. This law is targeting the innocent act of sitting or lying and it happens that the population that is being targeted is primarily homeless individuals. Many of the individuals whom are homeless are recent immigrants, seniors, mentally ill, addictively ill, veterans, and working poor. Many of them are poor and homeless who are trying to adapt to a new language and environment, live off the little income they receive, lack the appropriate health care services, and/or barely make it through with their wages. Taking that into consideration it is very conflicting and irrational that fining \$50 to \$500 and possibly even jail time is going to address the needs of the community.

Having police officers give out warnings and citations is not helping address the real problem. Please consider an attempt to end the discriminatory sidewalk sit-lie ordinance and focus on the outreach and provide services for those who chronically sit or lie on public sidewalks.

Lukas Martinelli
Pleasant Hill, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/end-the-sidewalk-sit-lie-ordinance. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Overturn San Francisco's Discriminatory Sidewalk Sitting Ban

From: Elizabeth Stevens <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 06/30/2011 05:41 PM
Subject: Overturn San Francisco's Discriminatory Sidewalk Sitting Ban

Greetings,

As you know, after the San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted 8-3 against a measure to ban sitting on city sidewalks in June 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom took Proposition L, better known as the sit-lie ordinance, to the ballot.

Supporters, especially businesspeople in the Haight-Ashbury neighborhood, said it would curb loitering and aggressive panhandling. But since the police acknowledge that enforcement will be "complaint-driven," opponents are sure it will be unfairly used against homeless people.

Penalties for repeat offenders include 30-day jail sentences and \$500 fines. Officials can go ahead and add to that jail sentence, since \$500 might as well be \$1,000,000 for many of the city's homeless. It makes no sense to put people in jail, costing taxpayers money, because they can't pay a fine.

Please take action once again to end this discriminatory sidewalk sitting ban.

Elizabeth Stevens
Inez, KY

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/overturn-san-franciscos-discriminatory-sidewalk-sitting-ban. To

respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.

From: Danielle Mulvihill <mail@change.org>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 06/30/2011 06:06 PM
Subject: Overturn San Francisco's Discriminatory Sidewalk Sitting Ban

Greetings,

As you know, after the San Francisco Board of Supervisors voted 8-3 against a measure to ban sitting on city sidewalks in June 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom took Proposition L, better known as the sit-lie ordinance, to the ballot.

Supporters, especially businesspeople in the Haight-Ashbury neighborhood, said it would curb loitering and aggressive panhandling. But since the police acknowledge that enforcement will be "complaint-driven," opponents are sure it will be unfairly used against homeless people.

Penalties for repeat offenders include 30-day jail sentences and \$500 fines. Officials can go ahead and add to that jail sentence, since \$500 might as well be \$1,000,000 for many of the city's homeless. It makes no sense to put people in jail, costing taxpayers money, because they can't pay

22

a fine.

Please take action once again to end this discriminatory sidewalk sitting ban.

Danielle Mulvihill
Iowa City, IA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on [Change.org](https://www.change.org/petitions/overturn-san-franciscos-discriminatory-sidewalk-sitting-ban), viewable at www.change.org/petitions/overturn-san-franciscos-discriminatory-sidewalk-sitting-ban. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GRAND JURY

BOS-11
orig, GAO clerk
O: COB, Leg Dep
cpage

OFFICE
400 MCALLISTER ST., ROOM 008
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
TELEPHONE: (415) 551-3605

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL -7 AM 8:32

July 6, 2011

Supervisor David Chiu, President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
#1 Dr. Carleton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Chiu:

The 2010-2011 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury will release its report to the public entitled "Whistling In The Dark: The San Francisco Whistleblower Program" on Monday, July 11, 2011. Enclosed is an advance copy of this report. Please note that by order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Katherine Feinstein, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code section 933.05 requires the responding party or entity identified in the report to respond to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, within a specified number of days. You may find the specific day the response is due in the last paragraph of this letter.

For each Finding of the Civil Grand Jury, the response must either:

- (1) agree with the finding; or
- (2) disagree with it, wholly or partially, and explain why.

Further as to each recommendation made by the Civil Grand Jury, the responding party must report either:

- (1) that the recommendation has been implemented, with a summary explanation of how it was implemented;
- (2) the recommendation has not been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, with a time frame for the implementation;
- (3) the recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation of the scope of that analysis and a time frame for the officer or agency head to be prepared to discuss it (less than six months from the release of the report); or

Annual Report of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner
Fiscal Year 2009-2010

Amy Hart to: Edwin Lee
Cc: Amy Brown, Board of Supervisors

07/02/2011 03:54 PM



Amy Hart

Annual Report of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner Fiscal Year 2009-

**Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall**

Partners and Friends,

The work of the people of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner during Fiscal Year 2009-2010 is summarized in the attached Annual Report. The people of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner strive to produce accurate and timely investigations into sudden, unexpected and violent deaths in the City and County of San Francisco. The Forensic Laboratory of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner provides human performance drug testing for agencies in the City and County of San Francisco. The investigative results bring closure to families and information to agencies and policy makers regarding the health and safety of our community.



2009-2010 Annual Report 6-16-11.pdf

Respectfully submitted,

Amy P. Hart, M.D
Chief Medical Examiner
Office of the Chief Medical Examiner
850 Bryant Street, North Terrace
San Francisco, California 94103
(415) 553-1799

24



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution, Linda Wong/BOS/SFGOV,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: File 110058: Charter amendment File 110058

From: madden10@comcast.net
To: Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Date: 07/08/2011 10:42 AM
Subject: Charter amendment File 110058

Re: File No. 110058
Charter Amendment revising employee and retiree benefits

Dear Clerk of the Board of Supervisors:

The proposed charter amendment on the Board Calendar for July 12, 2011 came from prolonged negotiations between the City and employee unions to reduce long term benefit costs.

Since the City provides nearly 83% of the funding for the System's benefits and 100% of the cost of its administration, the City bargained for a change in the Health Service Board's composition.

The amendment revises the makeup of the Health Service Board so that the City has 3 votes (an insurance expert, a medical expert and a Supervisor), City employees and retirees elect 3 members and the seventh member is appointed, subject to the approval of the previously mentioned 6 members, by the Controller. Many retirees oppose changing the Board composition in this manner. I, along with numerous other retirees do not.

I have been a member of the Health Service System for over 51 years - 39 plus as an employee, primarily in the Office of the Controller, and 12 as a retiree. For 44 of those 51 years the System was administered by 4 City appointees - an insurance expert, a medical expert, a Supervisor and the City Attorney - and 3 elected by employees and retirees. The Retirement System Board is similarly governed - 3 Mayoral appointees with specific expertise, a Supervisor and 3 elected members.

During the period 1957 through 2004 with the City having a majority of votes on the Board, employees and retirees were fairly treated, received excellent benefits and enjoyed generally reasonable health and vision care premiums. In 2004 the City Attorney was replaced on the Health Service Board by an additional elected member. However, I can think of no instance where members and dependents have either suffered from or been advantaged by the change - though our co-pays and prescription drug costs have increased significantly since the elected members gained the majority.


Some of my fellow retirees feel that the change proposed in the legislation before you is not in their best interests. I disagree because: 1) the various funding mechanisms that keep retiree out of pocket premiums at a reasonable level are unchanged; 2) the 10-County survey which sets the amount the City contributes is retained; 3) parity that matches retiree premiums with active employee premiums is retained; 4) Charter provisions that credit retiree Medicare payments against our HSS premiums and the provision for the City paying 50% of any of our remaining premium costs remain unchanged.

I am a loss to understand how we retirees are adversely affected by this change and I would respectfully urge you to send the Charter amendment to the voters as it is currently written.

Sincerely,

John W. Madden
Retired City Employee

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



BOS-11, COB
Rules Clerk, Dep (leg)
page

EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

July 8, 2011

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

It is my great pleasure to advise you that, pursuant to Section 13.110(d) of the San Francisco Charter, I hereby appoint Myong Leigh, Marily Mondejar, and Sonia Melara to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force.

I am confident that Mr. Leigh's, Ms. Mondejar's, and Ms. Melara's skills will allow them to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Edwin M. Lee".

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Cc: Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
John Arntz, Director of Elections
Jon Givner, Deputy City Attorney
Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors
Gail Johnson, Secretary, Redistricting Task Force

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL -8 PM 1:56
RSD



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Civil Grand Jury and QED on why the RBOC must not enter into an MOU with the Controller?

From: "brian@h2oecon.com" <brian@h2oecon.com>
To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Cc: Jane.Kim@sfgov.org, david.chiu@sfgov.org, "Dennis.Herrera" <cityattorney@sfgov.org>
Date: 07/11/2011 10:56 AM
Subject: Civil Grand Jury and QED on why the RBOC must not enter into an MOU with the Controller?

Civil Grand Jury on Whistle Blower legislation - 2003 Proposition C
(please read - just issued) Comments relevant to 2011 MOU between RBOC
and CSA?

<http://www.sfsuperiorcourt.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=2884>

I have challenged from the start the 2011 MOU between the Controller's City Service Auditor (2003 Prop C) and the Revenue Bond Oversight Committee. The RBOC (2002 Prop P) is supposed to use outside consultants and be independent. Read 2002 Proposition P.

In 2011 the RBOC the issued an MOU with the CSA. Basically turning the entire RBOC contracting process over to the Controller's office (CSA). This also would apparently allow an elected official of the RBOC to bypass the need for an agendized item (debate and public input) to issue a work or purchase orders. This relationship is wrong for many, many reasons, especially the complete wiping away of the mandate of the RBOC to remain independent, use outside consultants, and be representative of all stakeholders. This MOU, in effect, puts the General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee over the RBOC (see URL and quote below). Never intended by 2002 Proposition P. Read C.

The CSA is funded by 1/5th of 1% of all City budgets including the SFPUC. Dependence. On its own dime the CSA can investigate any and all committees and organizations in SF. It does not need to capture the RBOC nor take RBOC debt funding (specifically excluded in 2003 C). For the RBOC to remain true to P (independent and use outside consultants) it must abandon this MOU with the CSA and return to the path of hiring such independent, outside, and capable entities as the University of California at Berkeley (contract process mysteriously terminated in early 2011).

Quote from the Civil Grand Jury (see URL above)

"With the passage of Proposition C in 2003, CGOBOC's duties greatly expanded. Under authority of the Charter (Appendix § F1. 111 11), the nine-member committee was assigned a secondary function as "Citizens Audit Review Board" (CARB), effective July 1, 2004. Serving in that capacity, in addition to existing duties, the committee was tasked with oversight of the City Services Auditor division of the Controller's Office."

Brian Browne



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: On Eve of Release of New Civil Grand Jury Report on San Francisco's Whistleblower Program, Hearing Transcript Reveals Serious Problems

From: pmonette-shaw <Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>
To: undisclosed-recipients::
Date: 07/10/2011 06:45 PM
Subject: On Eve of Release of New Civil Grand Jury Report on San Francisco's Whistleblower Program, Hearing Transcript Reveals Serious Problems

On the eve of release of a new Civil Grand Jury report concerning San Francisco's whistleblower program expected to be released tomorrow, I thought I'd share with you a transcript of the April 28 meeting of the Citizen's General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee (CGOBOC), which hearing was to focus on the whistleblower program's processes and policies.

Not once during the meeting did this committee discuss the number of whistleblower complaints filed each year, nor did they discuss how many of the complaints filed involved "high risk," "medium-risk," or "low risk" cases, as if the CGOBOC committee member assigned to act as a "liaison" between CGOBOC and the City Controller's Office — Mr. John Madden — never bothered to ask these questions himself. Maybe he didn't want to ask these questions, since Madden is one of two appointees to CGOBOC appointed by the City Controller himself. Maybe Madden concluded that there's no point biting the hand that appoints you to a plum Committee.

Sadly, it took CGOBOC seven full years after the passage of Proposition "C" in 2003 to even create the subcommittee authorized by Prop C to act as an oversight committee over the City's whistleblower program. After just two or three CGOBOC meetings where the whistleblower program has even been discussed, Madden's pathetic report, and the CGOBOC member's lame hearing on April 28, sheds doubt on the effectiveness of the program.

Some highlights of this transcript include:

- In his folksy style, Madden believes the Controller shouldn't go around shutting off water in cases involving City gardeners accused of wasting water.
- Madden stated that the Whistle \blower Program is set up to bring ineptitude and outright larceny to the public eye. After bringing it to the public's attention, he believes resolution to any problems are outside the purview of the Controller. Huh? This is completely contrary to what voters thought they were approving in 2003.
- Madden notes that whistleblowers who are seen as having "finked" on a coworker may experience various forms of retaliation, including having "sand put

in your sandwich," or being moved to a smaller cubicle, but that retaliation is difficult to prove. Madden never discussed that retaliation often involves wrongful employment termination of whistleblowers.

- Madden offered no explanation about what he meant when he said that the whistleblower protections built into the law are "not absolute."
- Madden says that whistleblower complaints referred to a City department for resolution "stops somewhere, I guess." When did it become OK for a subcommittee charged with oversight to resort to "guessing"?
- Tonia Lediju, the manager of the City Services Auditor program and the Controller's Whistleblower Program, acknowledged that the Controller's Office only does random follow-up on cases referred to City Departments for investigation.

[As an aside, Lediju conveniently did NOT admit to CGOBOC during this hearing (as she admitted on December 3, 2010), that the Whistleblower Program had NOT investigated Drs. Kerr's and Rivero's whistleblower complaint on the LHH patient gift fund, and for his part, Mr. Madden didn't mention that the Whistleblower Program had not investigated the Kerr-Rivero patient gift fund complaint, either. Maybe Lediju didn't want to have to answer any questions about just how "random" the whole program is.]

- Madden admits he did not select the three whistleblower cases he reviewed; instead he asked the Controller's office to select the three cases for him [how many times do we have to confront the fox guarding the henhouse?]. He subsequently stated during the hearing that he had NOT reviewed the Controller's investigative file of the Laguna Honda Hospital patient gift fund whistleblower complaint; he only "looked at" the Controller's November 22, 2010 audit report of the patient gift fund.

Kerr's and Rivero's testimony during this hearing is compelling reading.

The transcript illustrates the many, many problems with San Francisco's Whistleblower Program, and that (so far), the oversight body charged with keeping tabs on the program simply isn't prepared to make recommendations for substantial reform to the program.

Let's see what the Grand Jury has to say about all of this.

Patrick

To unsubscribe, send me an e-mail.



Transcript of CGOBOC Meeting April 28 2011.pdf

I support the CPMC rebuilds

Steven Aiello

to:

MayorEdwinLee, board.of.supervisors, john.rahaim, barbara.garcia, c_olague, rm, wordweaver21, plangsf, mooreurban, hs.commish, rodney

07/10/2011 06:31 PM

Show Details

Dear President Olague, Vice-President Miguel and Members of the Planning Commission:

I support California Pacific Medical Center's efforts to build earthquake safe hospitals at the St. Luke's campus and at Van Ness and Geary. I have lived in San Francisco for two decades and know that modern, updated and seismically safe hospitals are needed to serve our City, both now and into the future. I hope you will agree with my conclusion.

My daughter Ruby was born at the CPMC California campus, and I am grateful for the professional, caring and supportive physicians and nursing staff that guided her mom and me as she came into this world almost six years ago. As well, I am grateful that the CPMC California campus facilities matched the impressive quality of the people that work within them. Expanding this professional quality to the proposed Van Ness location is a major benefit of substantial importance to the City as a whole, and I oppose any counter-efforts that threaten its feasibility.

On a side note, my grandmother, Mrs. Elneth Merrill, performed her nursing training at the original St Luke's facility on Valencia way back in the days of her young adulthood. She went on to become the Head Nurse of the trauma ward at SF General, retiring from that position in the early 1970's. I know if she was still with us, she too would support this effort to modernize St Luke's and improve the citywide healthcare system and the facilities that make such a system possible.

I sincerely appreciate your time and attention in considering my personal views on this important matter of appropriate land use and improved healthcare access in San Francisco.

Best regards,

Steven Aiello

1417 Palou Avenue, SF 94124

415-308-6056

(attached is a pdf record of the same above)

28



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: I urge you to stop AT&T who is putting up ugly boxes without input from the City

From: "Anthony B. An, Ph.D." <tonyanbusiness@gmail.com>

To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Date: 07/10/2011 10:32 AM

Subject: I urge you to stop AT&T who is putting up ugly boxes without input from the City

Dear Supervisors,

I am an AT&T costumer, and I know first hand that their signal is lousy in the City. However, I am appalled that they can just run around the City and put up hundreds of ugly boxes everywhere on the sidewalks, without any environmental review or input from the City, the government, and the residents.

If AT&T wants to compete, they should come up better way, instead of destroy the beauty of the city. We don't allow graffiti on MUNI, how come AT&T can do the similar things all over the City?

I strongly urge you to stop their practice, with all authorities you have. If you don't, put a measure on the ballot, and we give you that power to stop this kind of practices.

Thank you.

Anthony An
1335 Pacific Ave. #303
San Francisco, CA 94109

29



To: Linda Wong/BOS/SFGOV,

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: File 110401: Urging Opposition to BOS Agenda Item #34 Charter Amendment - Allowing Amendments to or Repeals of Initiative Ordinances & Declarations of Policy (file #110401)

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/11/2011 01:38 PM -----

From: AEBOKEN Boken <aeboken@msn.com>
To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>, <david.campos@sfgov.org>, <david.chiu@sfgov.org>, <eric.l.mar@sfgov.org>, <jane.kim@sfgov.org>, <john.avalos@sfgov.org>, <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>, <mark.farrell@sfgov.org>, <rick.caldeira@sfgov.org>, <ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, <scott.weiner@sfgov.org>, <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>
Date: 07/10/2011 04:40 AM
Subject: Urging Opposition to BOS Agenda Item #34 Charter Amendment - Allowing Amendments to or Repeals of Initiative Ordinances & Declarations of Policy (file #110401)

Dear Board of Supervisors members,

I am urging you to oppose BOS agenda item #34 Charter Amendment - Allowing Amendments to or Repeals of Initiative Ordinances & Declarations of Policy (file #110401).

Eileen Boken
District 4 resident

30



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: garbage in the city..... Any rebuttals, gentlemen and women.

From: Jack Barry <jack@barryhillrealtors.com>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Date: 07/11/2011 09:29 AM
Subject: garbage in the city..... Any rebuttals, gentlemen and women.
Sent by: jackbarry99@gmail.com

Reply to this

Bay Wolf

wrote on 07/11/2011 at 9:24 a.m. PDT

Yesterday, I tried to gather signatures on the Petition.

Half the people said "Oh, I just signed that one" I walked around and found a bunch of folks gathering signatures and email addresses on a "Save Recology" Letter" <so to speak>..

The folks circulating it would not show me it, as I had the real Initiative in my hands.. It seems that RECOLOGY has had an "unintended side effect" of flooding the sidewalk with "Fran Club Membership" sign-ups... : They accidentally confused the people out there who like recycling, leading to their not signing the real deal.

There will be more on this, and other recent happenings, soon. (It is ugly.).

IF OUR SUPERVISORS HAD NOT ENDORSED THE "NO BID" APPROACH , THIS UGLINESS WOULD NOT OCCUR. It is really outrageous to have a "no bid monopoly" doing a \$350,000,000 a year job for the City... But, what the hell? This is the City where the head of "Rec and Park" tells a potential bidder for the food concession at Stow Lake : "Go to see "Joe, the fixer", if you want to win the bid.

...so the bidder sees "Joe" and wins the bid... and the Supervisors learn of this, and what happens?

Not a god damned thing...!

jack barry in the Sunset.

Source: The Bay Citizen (<http://s.tt/12D2r>)

Jack Barry Jack@BarryHillRealtors.com

Barry Hill Realtors 415-564-0225/415-235-7897cell

DRE#00696713 Sign up for our - NEWSLETTER

31



To: BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Central Subway - a Time for Caution

From: Cautn1@aol.com
To: Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org, john.avalos@sfgov.org, sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org,
Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Jane.Kim@sfgov.org, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org,
david.campos@sfgov.org, carmen.chu@sfgov.org, Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org,
Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org, David.Chiu@sfgov.org
Cc: wongaia@aol.com
Date: 07/11/2011 06:47 AM
Subject: Central Subway - a Time for Caution

Dear Supervisors:

From reading the newspapers one gets the impression that the availability of State and federal subsidies is increasingly "iffy".

Absent guarantees of full federal and State participation, approving a \$233.5 million tunneling contract could therefore prove to be either very expensive or very risky or both. If the bidders have been asked to hold their bids until next December it could account for the \$8 million overrun of the EE. If the plan is to certify the Contract and issue the Notice to Proceed without waiting for either full State participation or the Full Funding Grant Agreement, the premium price would be replaced by a very large risk to CCSF. I'm sure this comes as no surprise to any of you.

Sincerely,

Gerald Cauthen

32

July 11, 2011

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has received Sole Source Contracts for FY2010-2011 from the following departments:

San Francisco Department of Public Health
Department of Building Inspection
Mayor's Office of Housing
Health Service System
Office of Assessor-Recorder
Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector



City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco Department of Public Health


Barbara A. Garcia, MPA
Director of Health

MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 8, 2011

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

THROUGH: Anne Okubo, Deputy Financial Officer, Department of Public Health

FROM: Jacquie Hale, Director, Office of Contracts Management and Compliance 


RE: Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2010-11

Please find enclosed our annual list of sole source contracts during the 2010-11 fiscal year.

If you have any questions on this report, please contact me or Jacquie Hale, Director the DPH Office of Contract Management and Compliance (554-2609).

Thank you.

cc: Gregg Sass, Chief Administrative Officer, DPH

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 JUL -8 PM 3:57
BY 

The mission of the San Francisco Department of Public Health is to protect and promote the health of all San Franciscans.

We shall ~ Assess and research the health of the community ~ Develop and enforce health policy ~ Prevent disease and injury ~
~ Educate the public and train health care providers ~ Provide quality, comprehensive, culturally-proficient health services ~ Ensure equal access to all ~

Jacquie.hale@sfdph.org – office 415-554-2609 fax 415 554-2555

101 Grove Street, Room 307, San Francisco, CA 94102

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 21.5(b): Other Purchases; Commodities or services available only from a sole source				
Medical and Related Services:				
01/01/09	12/31/12	Blood Centers of the Pacific	\$ 9,950,000	Blood and related products
07/01/06	06/30/11	Compumed, Inc.	\$ 87,999	ECG machines and ongoing interpretations
07/01/10	06/30/11	Medical Staff Services Registry	\$ 168,000	Temp medical staff credentialing services; extension to allow time to conduct RFP
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco Community Clinic Consortium	\$ 201,600	Americorp and Vista interns
07/01/11	12/31/11	SourceCorp Deliverex	\$ 305,000	Medical records storage and management; extension to allow time to conduct RFP
Immunization and Related Services:				
07/01/08	06/30/11	San Francisco Study Center, Inc.	\$ 422,988	FI services for the Immunization Program
Healthy San Francisco				
07/01/11	06/30/14	San Francisco Community Health Authority	\$ 48,000,000	Healthy San Francisco payments to private providers as part of the provision of care, as needed. The San Francisco Health Authority acts as third party administrator for Healthy San Francisco, as specified in Chapter 69 of the SF Administrative Code.
07/01/11	06/30/14	San Francisco Community Health Authority	\$ 19,800,000	Health San Francisco program; the San Francisco Health Authority acts as third party administrator for Healthy San Francisco, as specified in Chapter 69 of the SF Administrative Code.
06/01/07	06/30/12	The Center to Promote Healthcare Access	\$ 1,828,341	One-e-App, the web-based eligibility screening and determination system for Healthy San Francisco. The California HealthCare Foundation, owns One-e-App software, and granted exclusive licensing rights in California to The Center to Promote HealthCare Access in order to provide no-cost, royalty-free licenses to counties and other local organizations. The Center provides the license to the City at no cost.
City College of San Francisco:				
01/01/10	12/31/11	City College of San Francisco	\$ 500,000	Substance abuse counselor certification program; developed in collaboration with the City to increase professionalization of substance abuse counselors working for City funded direct service providers

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
State of California:				
11/01/07	12/31/11	San Francisco Superior Court	\$ 2,518,125	Court monitoring and supervision data for defendants who are potentially eligible for substance abuse treatment services funded by the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 (SACPA), also referred to as Proposition 36 and/or Prop 36 as specified in the San Francisco County's SACPA County Plan.
07/01/09	06/30/11	State of California Department of Health Services	\$ 648,000	Implementation and monitoring of the AB 2968 pilot project to provide a community-living support benefit to eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries in San Francisco. AB 2968 requires that the State Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) develop and implement a program to provide a community living support benefit to Medi-Cal beneficiaries residing in the City and County of San Francisco who would otherwise be homeless, living in shelters, or institutionalized. It also mandates that DHCS submit a new Medicaid waiver application, modify an existing Medicaid waiver, or amend the Medicaid State Plan to provide the community living support benefit.
Regents of the University of California (UCSF):				
03/01/09	06/30/13	Regents of the University of California	\$ 225,000	HIV Return to Work - Legal Services for clients who are currently receiving, or recently, enrolled in, service programs provided by AHP.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California	\$ 25,000	Perinatal and neonatal consulting
07/01/09	06/30/12	Regents of the University of California	\$ 150,000	For the provision of laboratory, clinical and translational research, and to develop laboratory systems for molecular diagnostics of antimicrobial resistant pathogens and expand azithromycin-resistance in syphilis.
07/01/10	06/30/13	Regents of the University of California	\$ 134,300	Vocational Rehabilitation Services (VRS) in to improve the quality of formerly homeless individuals by providing a range of pre-vocational and vocational services that help them maintain long-term housing.
08/01/10	09/30/13	Regents of the University of California	\$ 360,377	Ward 86 Clinic
08/01/10	09/30/13	Regents of the University of California	\$ 334,197	360 Positive Care Program
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - Center on Deafness	\$ 1,272,553	Mental health and substance abuse services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 540,000	Medical and psychiatric clinical services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 5,350,285	Infant, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (ICAP)

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 5,350,285	Providing mental health assessment, treatment, and consultation for children, adolescents, and their families who have experienced psychological trauma related to child maltreatment, domestic violence, catastrophic injury, physical assault, exposure to community violence, or debilitating chronic disease. The program also provides mental health consultations at three shelter settings to young children who share the experience of lives disrupted by constant transition, usually accompany or have precipitated their families' homeless status, including substance abuse, mental illness, trauma, immigration, and domestic violence.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 1,223,300	Child and Adolescent Support Advocacy and Resource Center (CASARC)
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 676,454	Stimulants Outpatient Treatment Program (STOP) and Stonewall Projects
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 7,656,858	Methadone Maintenance
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 5,350,285	Mental health consultation for children and their families who have experienced psychological trauma
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 676,454	Mental Health Citywide Case Management
10/01/09	09/30/14	Regents of the University of California - SFGH Psychiatry Department	\$ 1,196,160	Infant Parent Program
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of UC on Behalf of the UCSF Medical Center/Group	\$ 3,823,264	Trauma Recovery Center (TRC) at SFGH
07/01/09	12/31/11	Regents of UC on Behalf of the UCSF Medical Center/Group	\$ 5,100,000	Tertiary Care Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of UC on Behalf of the UCSF Medical Center/Group	\$ 100,000	SFGH Maternity package plan
07/01/09	06/30/11	Regents of UC on Behalf of the UCSF Medical Center/Group	\$ 60,000	Prenatal and neonatal preceptorships
07/01/09	06/30/11	UCSF AIDS Health Project	\$ 1,930,329	AIDS Health Project at SFGH
07/01/09	06/30/11	UCSF Clinical Practice Group - Community Focus Program	\$ 48,342,365	(1) Single Point of Responsibility (SPR) program: MH services for high-end patients of the SFGH Psychiatry Ward using Assertive Case Management model, and intensive case management services for clients of the Jail Psychiatric unit; (2) Crisis Resolution Team (CRT): Short-term case management Psychiatric Emergency Services unit of SFGH
07/01/08	06/30/11	UCSF Committee on Human Research	\$ 150,000	Internal Review Board for Human Rights Research Protocol
07/01/08	06/30/11	UCSF Department of Pediatrics	\$ 500,000	HIV/AIDS pediatric primary care services
07/01/09	06/30/11	UCSF Langlay Porter Psychiatric Institute	\$ 263,950	Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Training Program (CAPTP)

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
Non-profit Organizations:				
01/01/10	06/30/11	Alternative Family Svc Inc	\$ 2,352,000	Outpatient Therapeutic Visitation Services .
07/01/10	12/31/11	Brothers Against Guns, Inc.	\$ 260,400	For the continued provision of court ordered intensive home-based supervision services to the youth ages 12 to 25, to prevent or reduce crime violence, delinquency, and recidivism; and help build pro social and resiliency skills that keep them from engaging in destructive activities or entering or returning to the criminal justice system.
07/01/09	06/30/14	Children's Health Council	\$ 336,000	Mental Health Services for one child in response to court order
02/01/11	06/30/15	Devereux Foundation	\$ 604,800	Mental Health Services for one child with unique needs
07/01/10	06/30/15	Providence Foundation of San Francisco	\$ 544,480	On site supportive housing services at 3500 3rd St. Vendor is part of the MOU and application that will develop the site and was identified as the vendor to supply onsite services.
07/01/11	12/31/12	Realizing Youth as Leaders, Inc. (ROYAL)	\$ 100,800	PPN provider converted to system of care provider, providing mental health services in Tagalog, Visayan, and English
S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 21.30: Software Licenses, Support, Escrow, Finance, and Equipment Maintenance Agreements				
07/01/08	06/30/12	Andrew J. Wong, Inc.	\$ 461,216	access to a web based application
07/01/08	12/31/13	BAT Technologies	\$ 30,000	PH Lab license, maintenance for LabBilSys system
01/01/10	12/31/12	Common Cents Systems	\$ 104,000	PH Lab software maintenance for the Apollo LEMS
07/01/10	06/30/11	Dataway	\$ 1,104,007	Network security services
01/01/09	12/31/13	Delta Health Technologies LLC	\$ 522,710	Health at Home maintenance, support, remote server
07/01/09	06/30/11	Echo Consulting Services of California	\$ 1,164,101	Software maintenance services
12/01/08	12/31/12	EMS Systems LLC	\$ 122,396	DPH Emergency Medical Services Agency (EMSA): maintenance, support of EM Resource Electronic Inventory and Resource Management System
09/01/10	06/30/11	GE Healthcare IITS USA	\$ 35,000	Software maintenance and support for the Centricity (ORMIS) system install at San Francisco General Hospital (SFGH) that is used to manage the scheduling of Operating Room procedures and to document the clinical care provided to surgery patients 7 days a week and 24 hours a day.
07/01/09	06/30/14	Genisys Decision Corp.	\$ 268,800	CHN Budget Office maintenance services
07/01/08	06/30/11	INFOMC Inc	\$ 932,541	Software maintenance
07/01/08	06/30/15	Legacy Systems Solutions	\$ - 470,000	Software Maintenance
03/01/07	06/30/12	McKesson	\$ 1,953,161	Pathways Material Management System license, maintenance
02/01/10	06/30/15	McKesson	\$ 405,000	Healthy SF Care license, maintenance for Nurse Advice line
04/01/08	06/30/11	Morrisey Associates	\$ 165,000	Medical Staff Offices physician credentialing licenses, maintenance, upgrade and support services
08/01/08	07/31/10	Performance Logic	\$ 72,800	SFGH Quality Management Health Commander licenses, technical support, and training
07/01/06	06/30/11	Per-Se Technologies, Inc.	\$ 246,000	Per-Se Technologies provides software support for their proprietary nurse staffing system called One Staff

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
01/01/09	12/31/14	Philips Healthcare	\$ 318,300	SFGH Intensive Care and Coronary Care Units maintenance, support
01/01/09	12/31/14	Philips Healthcare	\$ 441,700	SFGH Critical Care CareVue Chart licenses, upgrade, and support
01/01/10	12/31/10	Philips Healthcare	\$ 625,000	SFGH Bedside monitors
07/01/10	06/30/15	Quadramed	\$ 455,920	Medical Records Quantum system
07/01/09	06/30/13	RTZ Associates, Inc.	\$ 2,427,456	LHH community placement SF Get Care
01/01/09	12/31/15	SearchAmerica, Inc.	\$ 1,200,000	Access to the proprietary database for CHN Patient Financial Services; sole provider authorized to link with the Siemens LCR
07/01/10	06/30/17	Siemens Medical Solutions USA	\$ 33,820,487	Consolidation of the PPS and RCO contracts. Ongoing service and licenses for existing clinical, and financial applications
S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 21.42: Professional Services Contracts for Health and Behavioral Health Services and Support				
01/01/10	12/31/10	A Better Way, Inc.	\$ 1,760,640	Outpatient Therapeutic Visitation Services for children and their families
03/01/07	02/28/13	AIDS Community Research Consortium	\$ 670,905	Client Advocacy and Treatment Adherence to People of Color
03/01/09	06/30/13	AIDS Emergency Fund	\$ 5,500,000	Emergency Assistance HIV Services
01/01/10	06/30/11	Alternative Family Services	\$ 2,352,000	Outpatient Therapeutic Visitation Services .
01/01/11	06/30/12	Asian American Recovery Services	\$ 340,000	Comprehensive Outreach Project for Pacific Islanders and Asian Substance Abusers (COPPASA)
07/01/07	06/30/11	Asian Pacific Islander Wellness Center	\$ 2,580,000	TRANS program
03/01/08	06/30/11	Asian Pacific Islander Wellness Center	\$ 336,000	Outreach and Case Management - San Mateo County
07/01/09	06/30/11	Baker Places, Inc.	\$ 4,175,409	Ferguson Place, Supportive Living and Residential Subsidies
03/01/10	06/01/13	Black Coalition On AIDS	\$ 1,633,902	Rafiki and Brandy Moore
07/01/09	06/30/11	Boys and Girls Club of San Francisco	\$ 94,684	Mental health and substance abuse services for youth
05/01/08	12/31/10	California Family Health Council	\$ 33,600	Chlamydia Infertility Prevention Activities
12/01/09	06/30/13	California Pacific Medical Center	\$ 660,000	To provide in-home integrated comprehensive HIV/AIDS case management and direct medical and mental health care services.
03/01/08	06/30/13	Catholic Charities CYO	\$ 1,254,000	Attendant Care - Leland House and Peter Claver
07/01/08	06/30/11	Catholic Charities CYO	\$ 336,229	Rita da Cascia housing services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Catholic Charities CYO	\$ 491,731	Assisted Housing
07/01/09	06/30/11	Catholic Charities CYO	\$ 992,136	Peter Claver Community
07/01/07	06/30/13	Catholic Healthcare West dba St. Mary's Medical Center	\$ 5,100,000	Integrated Case Management services that include case management, peer advocacy and treatment advocacy services to persons with HIV and Primary Care services.
03/01/09	06/30/13	Catholic Healthcare West dba St. Mary's Medical Center	\$ 2,200,000	Primary Care Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Center for Human Development	\$ 333,151	SA primary prevention Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Center on Juvenile & Criminal Justice	\$ 644,688	BASN, EPSDT
07/01/09	06/30/11	Central City Hospitality House	\$ 2,309,052	MH Peer Based Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Central City Hospitality House	\$ 2,933,168	MH Socialization, Wellness, Housing for community homeless
07/01/08	08/31/11	Chinatown Community Development Corporation	\$ 179,424	Direct Access to Housing for chronic alcoholics at William Penn Hotel
07/01/09	06/30/11	Chinatown Community Development Corporation	\$ 1,158,555	SRO Collaborative in Chinatown and SRO families united

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
07/01/09	06/30/11	Chinatown Community Development Corporation	\$ 52,954	Supportive Housing at Cambridge Hotel
07/01/09	06/30/12	Chinese Hospital	\$ 30,000	Immunization Services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Community Awareness & Treatment Services, Inc.	\$ 750,000	Residential Mental Health for AA Women
07/01/08	08/31/11	Community Awareness & Treatment Services, Inc.	\$ 210,034	Direct Access to Housing for chronic alcoholics at Eddy St. Apts.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Community Youth Center of San Francisco	\$ 500,864	Adopt and tailor a MultiSystemic Therapy (MST)
03/01/07	06/30/13	Dolores Street	\$ 1,385,722	Richard Cohen - Nurse Case Management Services
07/01/09	06/30/15	Eldergivers	\$ 160,800	Art Program of residents of LHH
07/01/09	06/30/11	Episcopal Community Svcs of S F Inc.	\$ 1,304,822	Substance abuse and mental health services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Families First Inc.	\$ 572,723	Provide Day Rehabilitative and Treatment services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Fred Finch Youth Center	\$ 308,016	Adolescent Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Friendship House Assoc of American Indians	\$ 915,640	Substance Abuse Treatment Program
01/01/10	06/30/15	Glide Community Housing, Inc.	\$ 2,196,000	on site client support services and property management services
03/01/07	12/31/10	Glide Foundation	\$ 426,255	HIV Counseling, Testing and Linkages (CTL) services
01/01/10	12/31/10	Golden Bear Associates	\$ 118,020	Technical assistance for children youth and family system of care
07/01/06	12/31/10	Haight Ashbury Free Clinic	\$ 271,333	Primary healthcare services to individuals living in SF who are low income or have no income
01/01/09	12/31/12	Harm Reduction Coalition	\$ 347,460	contract for overdose prevention, education, and support services provided through the Drug Overdose Prevention and Education (DOPE) Project, created as a unique collaboration
07/01/09	06/30/11	Hearing and Speech Center of Northern California	\$ 49,900	Audiology services for LHH residents
07/01/09	06/30/11	Homeless Prenatal Program	\$ 295,617	Substance abuse and mental health services for homeless pregnant women
07/01/09	06/30/11	Huckleberry Youth Programs Inc.	\$ 360,416	Mental Health and substance abuse services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Immune Enhancement Project	\$ 900,000	Complementary Therapy Services
03/01/10	06/30/14	Instituto Familiar de la Raza	\$ 575,000	Out Patient Mental Health Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Japanese Community Youth Council	\$ 1,691,023	Asian Youth Prevention Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Jewish Family and Children's Services	\$ 713,025	Mental Health services
01/01/06	12/31/10	John Muir Behavioral Health Center	\$ 778,931	Back up inpatient MH Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	La Casa De Las Madres	\$ 89,554	Mental Health and Substance Abuse services for survivors of domestic violence in shelters
03/01/07	06/30/10	Larkin Street Youth Services	\$ 1,797,402	Comprehensive Housing & Attendant Care (CARE I, GF)
07/01/09	06/30/11	Larkin Street Youth Services	\$ 367,366	Youth Services, Collaboration with other agencies
07/01/09	06/30/11	Larkin Street Youth Services	\$ 1,467,590	Comprehensive Housing and Attendant Care (GF)
07/01/09	06/30/11	Larkin Street Youth Services	\$ 2,329,279	Housing, Peer Based Services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Latino Commission	\$ 850,000	Substance Abuse Services
09/01/09	03/31/10	Lavender Youth and Information Center (LYRIC)	\$ 196,826	Special Projects Involving Research, Action and Learning (SPIRAL)
07/01/09	06/30/11	Lincoln Child Center	\$ 187,750	MH day treatment intensive, mediation support and residential services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Lutheran Social Services	\$ 1,700,000	HIV Health Services - Financial Services and Assistance
07/01/08	06/30/12	Lutheran Social Services	\$ 1,528,603	Third party rent payment and money management

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
07/01/09	06/30/11	Lutheran Social Services	\$ 588,840	Mosaica and Polk supportive housing
03/01/10	06/30/14	Lutheran Social Services	\$ 1,200,000	HIV/AIDS Client - Money Management - Financial Svcs
07/01/06	12/31/10	Lyon Martin Women's Health Center	\$ 379,184	Primary Healthcare to un-insured and underinsured lesbian, bisexual and transgender people
03/01/08	06/30/11	Maitri AIDS Hospice	\$ 4,201,500	Residential Nursing
07/01/09	06/30/11	Mission Council on Alcohol Abuse/Spanish	\$ 254,615	Substance Abuse
03/01/09	06/30/13	Mission Neighborhood Health Center	\$ 2,500,000	HIV Health Services - Centers of Excellence
07/01/09	06/30/12	Mission Neighborhood Health Center	\$ 90,000	Immunization Services
01/01/10	12/31/10	Mission Neighborhood Health Center	\$ 339,000	Primary Health Care Services and prevention measure to eligible patients.
07/01/10	06/30/14	Mission Neighborhood Health Center	\$ 2,688,000	HIV Early Intervention Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Morrisania West Inc.	\$ 450,834	Therapeutic counseling and supporting services.
04/01/08	06/30/11	Morrisey Associates	\$ 165,000	Medical Staff Offices physician credentialing licenses; maintenance, upgrade and support services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Mt. St. Joseph-St Elizabeth	\$ 1,871,372	SA and MH for Families in recovery OP adult and children
07/01/09	06/30/11	National Council on Alcoholism	\$ 553,563	Substance abuse services
03/01/09	06/30/13	Native American Health Center	\$ 680,000	HIV Health Services - Centers of Excellence
03/01/10	06/30/14	New Leaf	\$ 738,000	Out Patient Mental Health Services
07/01/09	06/30/12	North East Medical Services	\$ 150,000	Immunization Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	North of Market Senior Svc DBA Curry Sen	\$ 1,568,000	Comprehensive, integrated primary health care to older adults
07/01/10	12/31/11	North of Market Senior Svc DBA Curry Sen	\$ 1,495,200	Behavioral health outpatient, case management, supportive housing, outreach services for older adults.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Oakes Children's Center	\$ 165,747	Mental Health and Substances Abuse services
11/01/05	06/30/14	Plaza Apartments Associates LP	\$ 5,998,314	For rental subsidies in order to secure a minimum of 106 supportive housing units in the newly constructed Plaza Hotel Plaza Apartments, for homeless clients of the DPH Direct Access to Housing (DAH) program.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Positive Directions Equals Change Inc	\$ 1,440,452	Outpatient substance abuse treatment services
03/01/09	06/30/13	Positive Resource Center	\$ 270,000	HIV Return to Work - Legal Services
03/01/07	06/30/13	Project Open Hand	\$ 7,142,177	Delivered Meals Grocery Center
03/01/10	06/30/14	Project Open Hand	\$ 5,376,000	HIV Primary - Food Services
09/30/09	09/29/13	Public Health Foundation Enterprises	\$ 450,000	Support for HIV Research projects
01/01/09	12/31/12	Public Health Foundation Enterprises, Inc.	\$ 2,400,000	Fiscal Intermediary services to support STD Prevention and Control Programs
01/01/09	05/31/14	Public Health Foundation Enterprises, Inc.	\$ 6,000,000	Fiscal intermediary services for HIV Prevention
07/01/09	06/30/11	Rebekah Children's Services	\$ 59,669	Inpatient mental health services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Richmond Area Multi-Services, Inc.	\$ 3,588,293	PAES Counseling, pre-voc. services, SSI Advocacy
07/01/09	06/30/11	SAGE Project, Inc.	\$ 1,141,181	Behavioral health services for transgender individuals
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 7,874,364	Rental Subsidies
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 7,874,364	Rental Subsidies
07/01/09	06/30/12	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 1,325,567	HIV Client Advocacy and Benefits Counseling Services
07/01/09	06/30/12	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 1,325,567	HIV Client Advocacy & Benefits Counseling Services
01/01/10	12/31/12	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 360,000	HIV Research - Magnet - Planning, providing RNA/4th generation EIA testing to confidential clients

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
07/01/11	06/30/16	San Francisco AIDS Foundation	\$ 1,288,745	Non medical case managemt services
01/01/10	12/31/12	San Francisco AIDS Foundation - Magnet	\$ 360,000	HIV Research - Planning, providing RNA/4th generation EIA testing to confidential clients
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco Center For Psychoanalysis	\$ 279,222	Mental Health services
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco Community Clinic Consortium	\$ 201,600	Americorp and Vista interns
03/01/08	06/30/11	San Francisco Food Bank	\$ 336,000	Food Solicitation, Distribution and Liquid Supplements
07/01/07	06/30/15	San Francisco Mental Health and Education Fund	\$ 2,424,750	To provide staff support for the san Francisco mental health board
07/01/08	06/30/11	San Francisco Study Center, Inc.	\$ 422,988	FI services for the Immunization Program; named in grant.
03/01/09	06/30/13	San Francisco Suicide Prevention	\$ 520,000	Nightline Phone Crisis
07/01/09	06/30/11	San Francisco Suicide Prevention	\$ 1,051,180	Crisis mental health Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	SF Community Clinic Consortium	\$ 201,600	Americorp and Vista interns
07/01/07	06/30/15	SF Mental Health and Education Fund	\$ 2,424,750	Provide staffing for San Francisco Mental Health Board, per local and State mandates
07/01/10	06/30/13	SF Network Ministries Housing Corp.	\$ 180,500	Safehouse for women leaving prostitution
03/01/08	06/30/11	Shanti Project	\$ 1,300,000	HIV Planning Council Support Services
07/01/08	06/30/11	Shanti Project	\$ 691,615	Services in support of the Lifelines program and Mobile Mammography Van
07/01/09	06/30/14	Shanti Project	\$ 861,248	HIV/AIDS Emotional and Practical Support Services
07/01/07	06/30/12	Siemens Medical Solutions USA	\$ 8,768,815	Products and professional services contract
07/01/07	06/30/12	Siemens Medical Solutions USA	\$ 9,858,327	Remote Computing Option
07/01/09	06/30/11	Special Service for Groups	\$ 446,880	Cultural and age-appropriate interventions to elementary school-aged children
07/01/08	08/31/11	St. Vincent de Paul Society of SF	\$ 466,502	Direct Access to Housing for chronic alcoholics at Arlington Hotel
04/01/09	12/31/11	Stop AIDS Project	\$ 300,000	Assessing internet users preferences for structural and network interventions
07/01/09	06/30/11	Sunny Hills Services	\$ 568,311	Mental Health and Substance Abuse services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Support for Families of Children with Disabilities	\$ 411,702	Support and education to Children Care Srvcs, Health Care Providers and Families w Special Needs Children
07/01/09	06/30/11	Swords to Plowshares	\$ 1,085,848	Mental Health Services for vets
07/01/08	08/31/10	Tenderloin Health	\$ 1,222,509	Transitional housing at the Kinney Hotel
03/01/09	06/30/13	Tenderloin Health	\$ 3,600,000	HIV Health Services - Centers of Excellence
09/30/09	09/29/13	Tenderloin Health	\$ 75,000	HIV Research - Planning, providing RNA/4th generation EIA testing to confidential clients
07/01/09	06/30/11	Tenderloin Housing Clinic	\$ 580,073	Central City SRO Collaborative
07/01/09	06/30/11	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corp	\$ 1,200,613	Supportive Housing for Seniors
07/01/08	06/30/11	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corp.	\$ 595,224	Property management for supportive housing at Ritz and Dalt Hotels
07/01/08	08/31/11	Tenderloin Owners and Developers Community Organization (TODCO)	\$ 588,517	Direct Access to Housing for chronic alcoholics at Bayanihan, Knox and Isabel Hotels
07/01/09	06/30/11	Thunder Road	\$ 230,720	Mental health and substance abuse services
03/01/08	06/30/12	Tides Center	\$ 1,209,600	Needle Exchange Services for Youth
07/01/06	12/31/10	Tides Center-Women's Community Clinic	\$ 1,022,036	preventative and health care services for uninsured women in SF

S.F. Department of Public Health Sole Source Report 2010-11

Start Date	End Date	Vendor Name	Amount	Service Type
01/01/07	12/31/10	University of Pittsburgh	\$ 285,000	Behavioral and Social Consequences of HIV/AIDS Study
03/01/10	06/30/13	University of the Pacific School of Dentistry	\$ 1,905,000	HIV CARE - dental services
03/15/10	06/30/14	ValueOptions	\$ 643,065	Mental Health Services
07/01/09	06/30/11	Victor Treatment Centers Inc.	\$ 618,521	Intensive Day Treatment, Living Skills for Hearing Impaired Youth
07/01/09	06/30/11	Volunteer Center Serving San Francisco and San Mateo County	\$ 475,576	Mental Health Services
03/01/08	06/30/11	Westside Community Center	\$ 996,311	Home Care/ Hospice/Attendant Care Services
03/01/09	06/30/13	Westside Community Center	\$ 6,272,000	HIV Health Services - Centers of Excellence
07/01/09	06/30/11	Y M C A of San Francisco	\$ 1,942,911	MH and SA Svcs for youths under 21 in SF.
07/01/09	06/30/11	Youth Leadership Institute	\$ 593,647	SA prevention services for youth and adult.



Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Pamela J Levin to: board.of.supervisors

Cc: Vivian Day

07/05/2011 04:47 PM



Pamela J Levin

Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Pursuant to your memo dated June 22, 2011 concerning the Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2010-2011, the Department of Building Inspection does not have any contracts to report. Please contact me if you need additional information.


Thanks

Pamela Levin
Deputy Director, Administrative Services
City and County of San Francisco
Dept of Building Inspection
1660 Mission, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 558-6239 (phone)
(415) 558-6207 (fax)
pamela.levin@sfgov.org

Sole Source Contracts 2010-2011

Oliver Hack to: board.of.supervisors

07/05/2011 01:43 PM

 Oliver Hack	Sole Source Contracts 2010-2011
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Below, please find a list of sole source contracts entered into by the Mayor's Office of Housing in the 2010-2011 fiscal year. MOH has no other existing sole source contracts to report.


Type	Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
Sole source	7/15/10 -6/30/12	Tekmeca	\$400,000	Customization of Tekmeca's proprietary software known as the Total Grant Solution (TGS), to add housing development process and data tracking functionality, as well as a single family housing loan application, tracking and marketing module. TGS is the software system MOH uses to track programmatic and fiscal compliance of its grant and loan programs.

Oliver Hack
Chief Operating Officer
Mayor's Office of Housing
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 701-5512
oliver.hack@sfgov.org



Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2010-2011
Robin.Courtney to: board.of.supervisors
Cc: Catherine.Dodd

07/08/2011 05:56 PM



1 attachment



pic05304.gif

(Embedded image moved to file: pic05304.gif)

Robin Courtney
CFO
Health Service System
1145 Market Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94103
415-554-1702 (phone)
415-554-1752 (fax)
Robin.Courtney@sfgov.org
www.myhss.org

HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM - SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS


<u>Term</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
FY 10-11	Xerox Corporation	10,826.00	A fax-back machine for use primarily during Open Enrollment to communicate with our members about their benefit selections in a manner that complies with Federal privacy laws.

as requested: ASR Sole Source Contracts

Kimberlee Kimura to: Board of Supervisors

07/08/2011 05:54 PM

Cc: Angela D'Anna



Please see below:

Term	Vendor	Amount	One time / Annual?	Reason
2008-2012	ATPac	\$115,346.48	Annual	specialized software
2011-2012	Easy Access	\$94,230.00	Annual	specialized software
2011-2012	Easy Access	\$197,428.50	One time	specialized software

Kimberlee Kimura, Deputy Director * Office of the Assessor-Recorder * City & County of San Francisco * City Hall, Room 190 * San Francisco, CA 94102-4698 * tel: (415) 554-7911 * email: kimberlee.kimura@sfgov.org

From: Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
To: Amy Brown/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Anabel Simonelli/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Anita.Sanchez@sfgov.microsoftonline.com, Anne Kronenberg/DEM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Barbara Garcia/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, Ben Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Catherine Dodd/HSS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chief Suhr/SFPD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Cynthia Goldstein/BOA/SFGOV@SFGOV, Delene Wolf/RENT/SFGOV@SFGOV, District Attorney/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV, ed.reiskin@sfdpw.org, eharrington@sfgov.org, Elizabeth Murray/WMPAC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Emily Murase/DOSW/SFGOV@SFGOV, Gary Amelio/SFERS/SFGOV@SFGOV, jbuchanan@famsf.org, john.martin@flysfso.com, jxu@asianart.org, Jeff Adachi/PUBDEF/SFGOV@SFGOV, Joanne Hayes-White/SFFD/SFGOV@SFGOV, John Arntz/ELECTIONS/SFGOV@SFGOV, John Rahaim/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV, John St.Croix/ETHICS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jon.Walton@sfgov.microsoftonline.com, Jose Cisneros/TTX/SFGOV@SFGOV, Joyce Hicks/OCC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Luis Cancel/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, lherrera@sfpl.info, Marcia Bell/LAWLIBRARY/SFGOV@SFGOV, Maria Su/DCYF/SFGOV@SFGOV, Melanie Nutter/ENV/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michael Hennessey/SFSD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Micki Callahan/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mitch Katz/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, Monique Moyer/SFPORT/SFGOV@SFGOV, nathaniel.ford@sfmta.com, Oliver Hack/OCDHH/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Phil Ginsburg/RPD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Phil Ting/ASRREC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Susan Mizner/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Tara Collins/CTYATT@CTYATT, Theresa.Sparks@sfgov.microsoftonline.com, Trent Rhorer/DHS/CCSF@CCSF, Vivian Day/DBI/SFGOV@SFGOV, Wendy Still/ADPROB/SFGOV@SFGOV, William Siffermann/JUV/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 06/22/2011 04:27 PM
Subject: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports - Response Required



Sole Source Reminder 10-11.doc

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org


Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

Sole Source Agreements - Treasurer-Tax Collector

Greg Kato to: Board of Supervisors

Cc: TTX-Senior Management

07/08/2011 04:19 PM



Good afternoon-

Attached, please find the Treasurer-Tax Collector's list of sole source agreements for fiscal year 2010-11 in accordance with Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e).

Please let me know if you need anything further.

Thank you.



Sole source for TTX_2011.pdf

Greg M Kato
Policy and Legislative Manager
Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City & County of San Francisco
City Hall - Room 140
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
415/554-6888 (phone)
415/554-5507 (fax)
Greg.Kato@sfgov.org

Office of the Treasurer-Tax Collector - FY 2010-11 Sole Source Agreements

Vendor	Term	Amount FY 2010/2011	Reason
Columbia Ultimate Business Systems	7/1/10 - 6/30/16	\$230,806.00	Software maintenance support and license for RPCS Collection system
Netvantage, Inc.	1/1/08 - 12/31/11	\$75,000.00	Software maintenance for ItemAge cashiering and remittance system
Opex	7/2/10 - 7/1/11	\$29,000.00	Hardware maintenance for Opex
Microfocus	12/26/10 - 12/25/11	\$9,475.00	Software Maintenance for Netexpress
Hewlett Packard	10/1/09 - 9/30/11	\$26,000.00	Hardware maintenance for HP/UX
UC4	12/31/10 - 12/29/11	\$15,200.00	Software maintenance for Appworx
Open Text Inc	12/14/10 - 12/13/11	\$7,430.00	Software maintenance for Alchemy
Pitney Bowes	7/1/11 - 6/30/12	\$14,508.00	Mailing list maintenance for Property Tax and BTS

As of June 30, 2011