

FILE NO. 130847

Petitions and Communications received from September 9, 2013, through September 16, 2013, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on September 24, 2013.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From Municipal Transportation Agency, regarding response to the Civil Grand Jury's Report on optimizing the use of publicly owned real estate. File No. 130604. (1)

*From Citizen Complaints, submitting 2013 Second Quarter Statistical Report. (2)

From Bay Area Air Quality Management District and Metropolitan Transportation Commission, regarding public workshop. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco." 145 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

*From Controller, regarding report entitled, 'Transit Operator hiring, training, and worker safety at the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency.' (5)

From Kevin Clark, submitting public comment for Item No. 5 on the September 9, 2013, Land Use & Economic Development Agenda. File No. 130706. (6)

From Rodney Marett, regarding Neighborhood Emergency Response Team program. (7)

From Atsushi Miyamoto, regarding the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy. (8)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Masonic Avenue cycle track project. 5 letters. File No. 120974. (9)

From Clerk of the Board, submitting a memo regarding an appointment by the Mayor: (10)

Matthew Stiker - Film Commission

From Andrea Green, regarding Planning Department public outreach. (11)

From Emergency Management, regarding public input on the update to the City's Hazard Mitigation Plan. (12)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley's Conditional Use Permit Application. 11 letters. File No. 130818. (13)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for Gan Noe Preschool's petition, "Board of Supervisors: Reject the Appeal." File No. 130818. 165 signatures. (14)

From Columbus United Cooperative, regarding relocation at 53 Columbus Avenue. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Youth Commission, regarding Due Process for All Ordinance. File No. 130764. (16)

From Kenneth and Anita Miguel, regarding a parking citation. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Sheriff, regarding a sole source waiver request for garbage collection services in County Jails. (18)

From Andrea Agho, regarding San Francisco Housing Authority meeting change information. (19)

From Robert Hampton, regarding the naming of the Bay Bridge Western Span. (20)

From Chris Geiger, regarding Department of Environment's revised pesticide "hazard tier" review process. (21)

From Treasurer and Tax Collector, submitting Annual Report for 2012 Payroll Expense Tax Exclusion - Biotechnology. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

*From Mica I. Ringel, submitting Petition for Writ of Mandate. (23)

*From Building Inspection, submitting joint response to a June 2013 Civil Grand Jury Report. File No. 130687. (24)

From Treasurer and Tax Collector, submitting CCSF Monthly Investment Report for August 2013. (25)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding Transit Effectiveness Project Economic Impact Report. (26)

From San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, regarding opportunity for public comment. (27)

*(An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is available at the Clerk's Office, Room 244, City Hall.)



RECEIVED 9/19/2013 @ 2:23PM

C-PA36E
FILE# 130604
SFMTA

Municipal Transportation Agency

July 29, 2013

Martha M. Mangold
Foreperson
County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury
400 McAllister Street, Room 008
San Francisco, CA 94102-4512

Subject: Response to the Civil Grand Jury's Report on Optimizing the Use of Publicly Owned Real Estate

Dear Ms. Mangold:

This letter is in response to the Civil Grand Jury's Report on *Optimizing the Use of Publicly Owned Real Estate*. The SFMTA appreciates the work of the Grand Jury and wanted to provide you with SFMTA's comments on the section of the report that applies to the Kirkland facility.

Since around 1950, the SFMTA has used the 2.6 acres on Beach at Stockton Streets in Fisherman's Wharf -- Kirkland Yard -- to site buses that provide daily transit service to thousands of Muni riders particularly in the Northern part of the City. Over time, the surrounding land uses have changed. In the past decade, the SFMTA considered relocation of the bus operations from Kirkland to make the site available for alternate uses. However, given the growth in the City and the new transportation projects and vehicles required to support the growth plus the fact that most of the transit facilities are now in the southern part of the City, the SFMTA has felt it was imperative that we continue to use Kirkland as a transit facility.

The need to keep this facility for transit needs has been confirmed by the recently completed *SFMTA's Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century Report* (summary report attached), SFMTA now considers Kirkland to be a necessary and strategic location for transit vehicle storage and maintenance, due to location, operating considerations, changing fleets, and constrained real estate. The study did identify some measures that SFMTA should take to address community concerns such as:

- Reducing the fleet assigned to the site, thus eliminating the need to use surrounding streets for normal on-site operations;
- Using the site for articulated buses (including vehicles required for bus rapid transit services) to increase flexibility; and
- Reducing impact on the surrounding neighborhood with canopy-covered facility.

The City's demand for more transit service is expected to grow to an estimated one million Muni riders by 2030. To accommodate the updated ridership projections and provide reliable increased service, SFMTA must retain all existing real estate, and also consider additional sites.

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Tom Nolan
Chairman

Cheryl Brinkman
Vice-Chairman

Malcolm Heinicke
Director

Jerry Lee
Director

Joél Ramos
Director

Cristina Rubke
Director

Edward D. Reiskin
Director of
Transportation

One South Van Ness Ave.
Seventh Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Tele: 415.701.4500

www.sfmta.com





SFMTA Response to Civil Grand Jury's Report on Optimizing the Use of Publicly Owned Real Estate
July 29, 2013
Page 2 of 2

SFMTA remains committed to working with neighborhoods and communities to minimize impacts from transit operations at the Kirkland Yard.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Grand Jury's Report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'E. Reiskin'.

Edward R. Reiskin
Director of Transportation

Attachment: *SFMTA's Real Estate and Facilities Vision for the 21st Century Report - Summary*

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 SEP 10 AM 10:07

Sub



THE OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

QUARTERLY REPORTS

Second Quarter 2013

Included In This Document

Comprehensive Statistical Report
Comparative Overview of Caseload
How Complaints Were Received
Complaints and Allegations by Unit
Findings In Allegations Closed
Sustained Allegations
Policy Recommendations
Days to Close – All Cases Closed
Days to Close – Sustained Cases
Investigative Hearings and Mediations
Status of OCC Cases – Year 2012
Status of OCC Cases – Year 2013
Caseloads by Investigator
Case Closures by Investigator
Weighted Closures by Investigator

Presented by: Joyce M. Hicks, Executive Director
Compiled by: Joyce M. Hicks, Chris Wisniewski,
Erick Baltazar, Samara Marion, Linda Taylor,
Donna Salazar, and Pamela Thompson

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

2



RECEIVED
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 BAY AREA SAN FRANCISCO
 AIR QUALITY
 MANAGEMENT
 DISTRICT
 2013 SEP -9 PM 2:39
SHB



METROPOLITAN
 TRANSPORTATION
 COMMISSION

*Bos-11
 page*

WORKSHOP NOTICE

September 6, 2013

TO: INTERESTED PARTIES
 FROM: EXECUTIVE OFFICER, BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

SUBJECT: **PUBLIC WORKSHOP – DRAFT REGULATION 14, RULE 1: BAY AREA
 COMMUTER BENEFITS PROGRAM**

The staff of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) will conduct public workshops to present, discuss, and receive comments on draft Regulation 14, Rule 1: The Bay Area Commuter Benefits Program. The details of the upcoming workshops are provided below:

ALAMEDA COUNTY

**Monday, October 7
 2:00 PM - 4:00 PM**
 Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
 Auditorium
 101 Eighth Street
 Oakland

**Meeting will be audiocast*

SOLANO COUNTY

**Wednesday, October 9
 2:00 PM - 4:00 PM**
 Fairfield Community Center
 Lakeside Suite A
 1000 Kentucky Street
 Fairfield

SANTA CLARA COUNTY

**Tuesday, October 22
 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM**
 San Jose State University
 MLK Public Library
 Room 255/257
 1 Washington Square
 San Jose

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

**Tuesday, October 8
 1:00 PM - 3:00 PM**
 San Ramon Community
 Center, Alcosta Room
 12501 Alcosta Boulevard
 San Ramon

SONOMA COUNTY

**Thursday, October 10
 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM**
 Finley Community Center
 Cypress Room
 2060 W. College Avenue
 Santa Rosa

SAN MATEO COUNTY

**Tuesday, October 22
 2:00 PM - 4:00 PM**
 Downtown Redwood City
 Public Library, 2nd Floor
 1044 Middlefield Road
 Redwood City

NAPA COUNTY

**Wednesday, October 9
 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM**
 Napa Public Library
 Community Room
 580 Coombs Street
 Napa

MARIN COUNTY

**Thursday, October 10
 2:00 PM - 4:00 PM**
 San Rafael Corporate Center
 Tamalpais Room
 750 Lindero Street
 San Rafael

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY *

**Friday, October 25
 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM**
 BAAQMD (Air District),
 Boardroom
 939 Ellis Street
 San Francisco

**Meeting will be webcast*

BACKGROUND

Motor vehicles are the largest source of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants in the San Francisco Bay Area. Reducing the growth in vehicle miles traveled is necessary to achieve the State's bold climate protection targets set by AB 32 and SB 375, and to reduce other air pollutants that adversely impact public health. The Bay Area Commuter Benefits Program would assist the Bay Area in achieving these targets and goals.

Senate Bill 1339, signed into law in fall 2012, authorizes the Air District and MTC to adopt and implement a regional ordinance, known as the Bay Area Commuter Benefits Program (Program). The Program would require employers with 50 or more full-time employees in the Bay Area to select one of the following four commuter benefits options to offer to their employees:

- The option for employees to pay for their transit or vanpool expenses with pre-tax dollars, as allowed by current federal law;
- A transit or vanpool subsidy to reduce, or cover, employees' monthly transit or vanpool costs;
- A low-cost or free shuttle, vanpool, or bus service operated by or for the employer; or
- An alternative method that would be equally effective as the other options in reducing single-occupant vehicle trips (and/or vehicle emissions).

Building on the success of similar ordinances adopted in the cities of San Francisco, Berkeley and Richmond, the Bay Area Commuter Benefits Program would facilitate a regional approach to encourage the use of sustainable commute modes, such as public transit, ridesharing, bicycling and walking. In developing the regional Commuter Benefits Program, the Air District and MTC will seek to support and complement existing employer programs and local ordinances to the greatest extent feasible.

INFORMATION AND COMMENTS

In addition to the draft Regulation 14, Rule 1, Air District staff has prepared a Workshop Report to provide background and additional information on the draft rule. The workshops are the next step in the Air District's and MTC's public engagement process. Staff is interested in comments and questions about the draft rule. Staff is also available to meet with interested parties regarding the proposal. Following the workshops and the close of the public comment period, staff will assess the need for changes to the draft rule, and may consider further workshops, or may proceed to a public hearing before the District's Board of Directors and MTC's Commissioners in early 2014. For copies of the draft Regulation 14, Rule 1 and the Workshop Report, please visit www.baaqmd.gov/commuterbenefits. Interested parties are invited to submit comments on the draft rule or the Workshop Report. To do so, please email commuterbenefits@baaqmd.gov, call 415-749-8671, or send written comments to: David Burch, BAAQMD, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. The deadline to submit comments on this proposal is November 7, 2013.

Multi-Lingual Assistance:

Para asistencia en español, llame al 415-749-4609.

如需华语服务，请致电 415-749-4609.

Para sa tulong sa Tagalog, tumawag sa 415-749-4609.

Neáu muoán bieát theâm chi tieát baêøng tieáng Vieät haõy goĩ soá 415-749-4609.

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Jen Miller, Justin Blake...

From: Paul Rueckhaus [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 9:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Jen Miller, Justin Blake...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 145 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 141. Jen Miller oakland, California
- 142. Justin Blake San Francisco, California
- 143. Andy Blue San Francisco, California
- 144. Sarah McDonald San Francisco, California
- 145. Paul Rueckhaus San Francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: 5 new petition signatures: Madeleine Lipshie-Williams, Ruth Leach...

From: Erin Meek [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 1:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Madeleine Lipshie-Williams, Ruth Leach...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 140 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

136. Madeleine Lipshie-Williams San Francisco, California
137. Ruth Leach San Francisco, California
138. Angel Adeyoha New York, New York
139. Nikko Reynoso Davis, California
140. Erin Meek san francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: 5 new petition signatures: Nico Gumbs, Ethan Li...

From: Annalise Ophelian [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 12:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Nico Gumbs, Ethan Li...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "[Ban the Box in San Francisco!](#)" on Change.org.

There are now 136 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 131. Nico Gumbs Orlando, Florida
- 132. Ethan Li Stanford, California
- 133. Rebecca Gitlin San Francisco, California
- 134. June Ting San Diego, California
- 135. Annalise Ophelian San Francisco, California

From: StormMiguel Florez [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 12:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: martha esquivel, Maurice Byrd...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 135 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 126. martha esquivel San Diego, California
- 127. Maurice Byrd San Francisco, California
- 128. Georgia Valentine Oakland, California
- 129. Deirdre Elmansoumi San Francisco, California
- 130. StormMiguel Florez San Francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Angela Rush, natasha dedrick...

From: laShaun Williams [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 10:01 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Angela Rush, natasha dedrick...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 125 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 121. Angela Rush Dale, Texas
- 122. natasha dedrick San Francisco, California
- 123. Mira Ingram San Francisco, California
- 124. Liza Kachko San Francisco, California
- 125. laShaun Williams San Francisco, California

From: Denise Dorey [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 6:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Megan Smith, Dave Mitchell...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "[Ban the Box in San Francisco!](#)" on Change.org.

There are now 120 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 116. Megan Smith SF, California
- 117. Dave Mitchell Berkeley, California
- 118. Catherine Smith San Francisco, California
- 119. Anakh Sul Rama San Francisco, California
- 120. Denise Dorey San Francisco, California

From: Brenda Seghetti [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 10:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Vanessa Stroud, matthew Stroud...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 115 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 111. Vanessa Stroud San Francisco, California
- 112. matthew Stroud San Francisco, California
- 113. Joi Fox San Francisco, California
- 114. Oliver Dudman Brooklyn, New York
- 115. Brenda Seghetti Oakland, California

From: Sandra Hall [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 6:23 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Virginia Cooke, Elana Jacobs...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 113 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 106. Virginia Cooke San Francisco, California
- 107. Elana Jacobs San Francisco, California
- 108. Frieda McAlear oakland, California
- 109. Mitchell Colson Redwood City, California
- 110. Sandra Hall Oakland, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Steve Uffelman, jerry greene...

From: Maria Dominguez [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 4:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Steve Uffelman, jerry greene...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 111 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 101. Steve Uffelman San Francisco, California
- 102. jerry greene San Francisco, California
- 103. Monica Bee San Francisco, California
- 104. Wanxia Ma San Francisco, California
- 105. Maria Dominguez San Francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: caryl browne, brandon greene...

Supervisors:
100 people have now signed this petition.

From: Julie Lagarde [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 10:31 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: caryl browne, brandon greene...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 103 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 96. caryl browne San Francisco, California
- 97. brandon greene las vegas, Nevada
- 98. noelle fries oakland, California
- 99. Pilar Schiavo Oakland, California
- 100. Julie Lagarde San Francisco, California

From: Esther Mealy [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 9:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Rachel Evans, Sarah Rubin...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 95 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

91. Rachel Evans Oakland, California
92. Sarah Rubin Moraga, California
93. Amrit Gupta Boston, Massachusetts
94. neva walker san francisco, California
95. Esther Mealy San Francisco, California

From: Sonya Taylor [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 5:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Katrine Thygesen, Caitlin Prendiville...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 90 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 86. Katrine Thygesen san francisco, California
- 87. Caitlin Prendiville San Francisco , California
- 88. Riddhi Mehta-Neugebauer Cambridge, Massachusetts
- 89. William Buehlman San Francisco, California
- 90. Sonya Taylor Anoka, Minnesota

From: Will Daley [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 4:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Maisha Johnson, Cari Lee Donovan...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 85 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

81. Maisha Johnson San Francisco, California
82. Cari Lee Donovan Alameda, California
83. Erin Flynn San Francisco, California
84. Sarah Thibault Oakland, California
85. Will Daley San Francisco, California

From: Christina Canaveral [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 3:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Daniel Yadegar, Geoff MacDonald...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 80 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 75. Daniel Yadegar San Francisco, California
- 76. Geoff MacDonald San Francisco, California
- 77. Sky Keyes oakland, California
- 78. laurie bernstein San Francisco, California
- 79. AZIZI GUPTON San Francisco, California

From: Daniel Yadegar [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 3:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Taylor Upchurch, Jaron Browne...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 75 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

71. Taylor Upchurch San Francisco, California
72. Jaron Browne San Francisco, California
73. Jessica Connolly San Francisco, California
74. nobia jones san francisco, California
75. Daniel Yadegar San Francisco, California

From: Rebecca Dyas [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Reuben Alvear II, Ryan Van Runkle...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "Ban the Box in San Francisco!" on Change.org.

There are now 70 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/ban-the-box-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135>

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation aimed at increasing public health and safety by reforming the background check process for employment and city-subsidized affordable housing programs. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers based on prior arrests and convictions, often causing them to be screened out at initial application stages. Yet, access to jobs and housing is linked to successful reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the interests of employers and housing providers. As documented by the National Employment Law Project, there are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to take this reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

Sincerely,

- 66. Reuben Alvear II San Francisco, California
- 67. Ryan Van Runkle San Francisco, California
- 68. Patricia Chapple San Francisco, California
- 69. tiffanie rattler richmond, California
- 70. Rebecca Dyas San Francisco, California

From: Chapin-Rienzo, Shanda [shanda.chapin-rienza@sfgov.org] on behalf of Reports, Controller [controller.reports@sfgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 11:17 AM
To: ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; Boomer, Roberta; Sakelaris, Kathleen; Bose, Sonali; Haley, John; Ellison, Donald; Kim, Derek; Cole, Warren; Anderson, Kenneth; Calvillo, Angela; BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Kawa, Steve; Howard, Kate; Falvey, Christine; Elliott, Jason; Campbell, Severin; Newman, Debra; Rose, Harvey; sfdocs@sfpl.info; Rosenfield, Ben; Zmuda, Monique; Lane, Maura; CON-EVERYONE
Subject: Report Issued: SFMTA: The Agency Must Improve Staffing Planning and Training to Meet Its Need for Transit Operators

The Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor Division (CSA) today issued a report on Transit Operator Hiring, Training, and Worker Safety at the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). The audit found that SFMTA bases its budgeted number of transit operator positions on prior years' staffing levels and incremental changes to service rather than on a data-driven staffing analysis. Also, the data needed to estimate how many transit operators SFMTA must employ is held by various units of the agency that do not collaborate to produce an integrated staffing analysis that could inform the agency's budget and its hiring and training goals. Further, because it uses a relief factor that is too low and does not account for attrition, SFMTA's staffing analysis for transit operators underestimates its transit operator shortage. SFMTA hires the number of new transit operators that its Training unit can accommodate, but does not hire enough operators to allow SFMTA to achieve full staffing, which is needed to fulfill the transit schedule with minimal overtime.

To view the full report, please visit our website at: <http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=1614>

This is a send-only e-mail address.

For questions about the report, please contact Director of City Audits Tonia Lediju at Tonia.Lediju@sfgov.org or 415-554-5393 or the CSA Audits Unit at 415-554-7469.

Follow us on Twitter [@sfcontroller](https://twitter.com/sfcontroller)

From: Kevin Clark [kevinclark@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 1:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Cc: Miller, Alisa
Subject: for Land Use meeting today at 1:30pm - please accept this public comment

File 130706

For public comment:

Regarding Item #5, "130706, Administrative Code - Residential Rent Ordinance, Tenant Financial Hardship Applications for Relief from Landlord Passthrough of Capital Improvement Costs"

I support the legislation; I am writing to suggest an amendment to address a serious capital improvements issue:

Currently, the Rent Board requires landlords to submit proof of cost for capital improvements. What they do not require is proof of code compliance, i.e., legally required building, plumbing, or electrical permits. I live in a rent-controlled building and am dealing with this very issue, being charged for handymen who've rebuilt parts of my building without any inspector checking the work was safe, as state and City laws require. Consider the case of electrical wiring, where fire danger from substandard work is very real.

The legislative intent of the original legislation allowing passthroughs to tenants was to improve San Francisco's housing stock. Implied in that is that work be done professionally and not shoddily. With a five-year window to file for these passthroughs, the tenant is at a disadvantage to challenge construction work done years before. It is problematic that the rent board can certify passthrough work that violates the law (\$500 per day fines for unpermitted work under the SF Housing Code). Just as landlords must attach receipts for improvement money spent, so too should they be required to show the work was done legally, by referencing permit numbers.

The Building Inspection Permit Process is the only check on repair work. Stated briefly, contractors apply for building permits and get them same day by paying the City fees. After the work is done, a City Inspector checks off that work is done properly. The landlord is in the best position to submit proof of permits at the time of application to the rent board for the passthrough. Kindly consider an amendment to require it, and thus ensure the betterment of housing stock and the public's health & safety.

Kevin Clark
Resident of District One
San Francisco

From: Rodney Marett [rilmarett@me.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 11:51 AM
To: SFFD Nert
Cc: Board of Supervisors; diane1rivera@aol.com; Mar, Eric (BOS); Farrell, Mark; Chiu, David; Tang, Katy; Breed, London; Kim, Jane; Yee, Norman (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Campos, David; Cohen, Malia; Avalos, John
Subject: NERT & NERT TRIAGE DRILL - SFFD DOT* Sept 9, 2013, 6:30pm to 9:30pm.

Gentlemen:

I am a relatively new NERT graduate, and attended the above referenced NERT TRIAGE DRILL on Sept 9, 2013, hosted by the SFFD last night.

Just wanted to share my thoughts:

bottom line: NERT program and last night drill: WORLD CLASS!

In last nights drill, each NERT responder cycled through twelve (12) different triage situations. This was then followed up with a demonstration of the correct triage for each of the 12 situations, patiently answering questions, demonstrating techniques, etc. The learning experience was just excellent! A lot of information and experience was packed into a relatively short period.

This was followed with a team triage drill in a simulated emergency environment, including setting up a triage area (in a different location) for 'collecting' injured people, escorting each injured person to the triage area, with instruction on how to log in each injured person, re-triaging each person as they were brought in, unexpected change in status of people in the triage area as time passed, and the general handling of the situation was, again, excellent!

A tremendous amount of information and hands-on experience was packed into a relatively short couple of hours. The use of resources and personnel by the SFFD was very efficient. It was truly amazing how much thought, preparation and efficient use of resources was evidenced. I don't think any more 'bang for the buck' could have been squeezed out of the time and limited resources.

The attitude and enthusiasm evidenced by members of the SFFD was OUTSTANDING! The degree of professionalism and friendly demeanor evidenced by members of the SFFD was indeed a credit to the whole department. There could not have been a better learning environment. It certainly makes a volunteer not only proud to be associated with the NERT program, but confident in his training in the event of a real emergency.

I have attended a number of the NERT training sessions offered by the SFFD, including basic NERT training, ICS Forms Class, Ham Radio Class, City Wide Drills, etc. The drill just experienced was typical of the EXCELLENT handling of each of these training sessions. The time, thought, preparation, use of resources and execution the SFFD is putting into NERT training is WORLD CLASS. One has only to attend one of these events to see first hand what is going.

. . . just thought you might like to know the thoughts from a participant from not only the evenings experience, but prior NERT training classes and drills.

WHAT A GREAT JOB!

Rod Marett

NERT Volunteer
Number 26393

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Letter in support of JCHESS
Attachments: Nakayoshi JCHESS Letter of Support.pdf

From: Nakayoshi YP [mailto:nakayoshi.ncwnp@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 2:59 PM
To: Wertheim, Steve
Cc: bobh@japantowntaskforce.org; Secretary, Commissions; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Letter in support of JCHESS

Dear Mr. Wertheim,

My name is Atsushi Miyamoto and I am the Chair of Nakayoshi Young Professionals, a program operating under the Japanese Americans Citizens League's Northern California Western Nevada Pacific District.

As a group operating primarily out of Japantown, we are impacted by all changes in the community. I am pleased to present our Letter of Support on behalf of Nakayoshi. We also look forward to providing input on any future dialogue on this matter.

Best Regards,

Atsushi Miyamoto
Chair, Nakayoshi

--
Nakayoshi Young Professionals
Japanese American Citizens League
Northern California Western Nevada Pacific District
nakayoshi.ncwnp@gmail.com : www.nakayoshi.org

September 9, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission
San Francisco Planning Commission
c/o Steve Wertheim
SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners,

Nakayoshi Young Professionals is a program operating under the Japanese American Citizen League's Northern California Western Nevada Pacific District. Nakayoshi is an organization of young adults from all backgrounds with the purpose of promoting involvement in the Japanese American and Asian Pacific Islander Communities in the Bay Area, to address social justice issues, and to engage in social activities, career development and philanthropy.

On behalf of Nakayoshi I am pleased to offer support for the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS).

We have been closely monitoring the development of the JCHESS formerly the Japantown Better Neighborhood Plan.

Nakayoshi particularly supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

Thank you to the Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission and the Planning Department for their continued support and encouragement.

Nakayoshi, therefore, recommends the commission to approve the endorsement resolutions.

Respectfully,



Atsushi Miyamoto
Chair
Nakayoshi Young Professionals

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: File 120974: In opposition to the Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

From: Jenny Kerr [mailto:jenniferher@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 5:15 PM
To: Lee, Mayor; Board of Supervisors; Breed, London; Farrell, Mark; Mar, Eric (BOS); ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; mtaboard@sfmta.com; maria.lombardo@sfcta.org; tilly.chang@sfcta.org; info@mtc.ca.gov
Subject: In opposition to the Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

Dear Mayor Lee, Supervisors, MTA Board members, Mr. Reiskin, MTC, :

I have been a resident and homeowner in this neighborhood for over 25 years.

I want to express opposition to the Masonic Avenue cycle track project. This project will increase congestion on Masonic, especially during rush hour and especially with the increased traffic that will be generated by the new Target store, result in the loss of parking spaces for nearly 3/4 of a mile, increase pollution in the area, jeopardize public safety, and create a great hardship for neighborhood residents, especially those who live on or near Masonic. Also, San Francisco cannot afford to spend \$18 million on this project.

32,000 automobiles use Masonic daily, but only a small amount of cyclists. Rather than encourage cyclists to use one of the busiest north-south thoroughfares in San Francisco, they should be encouraged to use the route along nearby Baker Street, a safer route with far fewer motor vehicles.

Masonic can be improved by planting new trees, improving lighting and adding bus shelters, with much less hardship to the neighborhood and cost than the cycle track project.

I am also concerned about the way the cycle track project was developed and approved. I live in the area but did not receive notice that this project was being considered, nor have I received notice of any meetings about it, including the MTA Board meeting at which it was approved.

Please stop this project ASAP, go back to the drawing board and consider a much smaller project to improve Masonic that does not involve the loss of parking spaces, the reduction of travel lanes and the outlay of \$18 million.

Thank you for considering this e-mail.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kerr

homeowner, Baker St.

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: File 120974: Masonic Avenue Bike Lane Will Cause Havoc on an Already Congested Street

From: Itw jones [mailto:ltwjones@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 4:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Masonic Avenue Bike Lane Will Cause Havoc on an Already Congested Street

To the Board of Supervisors

I am writing to request that you vote AGAINST putting a bicycle lane onto Masonic Avenue. There are only three ways to get from south of Golden Gate Park to north of Golden Gate Park between the Great Highway and Divisadero Street--(1) Crossover Drive, (2) Stanyan Street, and (3) Masonic Street. The traffic onto Masonic Avenue already backs up along Lincoln every day, especially during the morning commute hours as people turn left onto Masonic from Lincoln to head north. All along Masonic, the traffic is thick, and people cut in and out to avoid stopped buses and to avoid being caught behind people making left turns. Adding bikes to the mix will create bigger backups and, much more serious, almost certainly will result in many injuries to bike riders. I recommend you put the bike lane on a street with less demand, such as Central, which is just one block east of Masonic Avenue.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Nancy Jones
SF lifelong resident
Inner Sunset

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: File 120974 In opposition to the Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

From: Catherine Sommer [mailto:cath.sophia@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 3:22 AM
To: Lee, Mayor; Board of Supervisors; Breed, London; Farrell, Mark; Mar, Eric (BOS); ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; mtaboard@sfmta.com; maria.lombardo@sfcta.org; tilly.chang@sfcta.org; info@mtc.ca.go
Subject: In opposition to the Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

Dear Mayor Lee, Supervisors, MTA Board members, Mr. Reiskin, MTC, :

I have been a resident and homeowner in this neighborhood for over 25 years.

I want to express opposition to the Masonic Avenue cycle track project. This project will increase congestion on Masonic, especially during rush hour and especially with the increased traffic that will be generated by the new Target store, result in the loss of parking spaces for nearly 3/4 of a mile, increase pollution in the area, jeopardize public safety, and create a great hardship for neighborhood residents, especially those who live on or near Masonic. Also, San Francisco cannot afford to spend \$18 million on this project.

32,000 automobiles use Masonic daily, but only a small amount of cyclists. Rather than encourage cyclists to use one of the busiest north-south thoroughfares in San Francisco, they should be encouraged to use the route along nearby Baker Street, a safer route with far fewer motor vehicles.

Masonic can be improved by planting new trees, improving lighting and adding bus shelters, with much less hardship to the neighborhood and cost than the cycle track project.

I am also concerned about the way the cycle track project was developed and approved. I live in the area but did not receive notice that this project was being considered, nor have I received notice of any meetings about it, including the MTA Board meeting at which it was approved.

Please stop this project ASAP, go back to the drawing board and consider a much smaller project to improve Masonic that does not involve the loss of parking spaces, the reduction of travel lanes and the outlay of \$18 million.

Thank you for considering this e-mail.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Sommer (resident on Fulton Street @ Baker Street, 94117, for 27 years)

From: Nevin, Peggy
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 1:30 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: File 120974 Danger of Serious Accidents if a Bicycle Lane Replaces a Car Lane on Masonic Avenue

-----Original Message-----

From: Peder Jones [mailto:pederj@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 9:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Danger of Serious Accidents if a Bicycle Lane Replaces a Car Lane on Masonic Avenue

To the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposal to add a bicycle lane on Masonic Avenue. Masonic Avenue is already congested most of the daytime hours. During morning commute time, cars going east on Oak Street planning to turn left onto Masonic already get backed up on Oak as far as Cole Street. With the Target store opening in October, the traffic is going to get worse.

Masonic Street already has problems caused by cars turning left from the left lane and by buses that stop to pick up and let off passengers in the right lane. I can only imagine the accidents that are going to happen as cars swerve to the right and left to avoid the backup in their lane.

Rather than adding a bike lane on the busiest and most crowded north-south thoroughfare in San Francisco, add a bike lane on one of the many alternate streets—Central or Baker. Far few cars use those streets.

Please pause this project and consider all of the alternate ways to help bicyclists get from the south side of the Panhandle to Geary Street.

Thank you.

Peder Jones, Inner Sunset District Resident

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: (File 120974) Agenda Item 4(b) – OBAG – Masonic Avenue Complete Streets - Programming and Allocations Committee, September 11, 2013”

From: Vince Pietromartire [mailto:citizenopa@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 11:24 AM
To: Campos, David; Wiener, Scott; Lee, Mayor; info@mtc.ca.gov; Board of Supervisors; khughes@mtc.ca.gov; aworth@cityoforinda.org; MTABoard@sfmta.com
Cc: info@savemasonic.com
Subject: Agenda Item 4(b) – OBAG – Masonic Avenue Complete Streets - Programming and Allocations Committee, September 11, 2013”

Dear Committee, supervisors Weiner and Campos, the full Board of Supervisors, the Planning department, the mayor

As a long time resident that lives a block away from Masonic Avenue and an avid cyclist I can say this plan to fix Masonic is not sound on many accounts and I ask that it be sent back to the drawing board.

The MTA has concocted a simple solution to very complex problem.

To start with;

The Planning Department allowed a Target to be built in the space that housed Mervyns. They sited that no EIR was needed, a retail-to-retail use, therefore it is exempt. That might be acceptable in some situations, but when you also factor in that on the very same street that you allowing a huge trip generator such as Target, and then allow the MTA to take away a traffic lane in both directions, then it becomes disingenuous.

It is also a lie for the reason that will be between 500- 600 parking spaces. In providing ample parking, it will bring many (driving) shoppers there. How can you offer that much parking and take away traffic lanes? It's nothing less than contradictory.

Another point is that the only traffic study the MTA did was their own; they did not use any third party traffic consulting. The traffic monitoring they conducted was in 2010, which stated that 32,000 cars a day use Masonic. What the traffic study did not explain was that in 2010, both Mervyns and the Good Guys (a former tenant of the Masonic- Geary complex) had long since been closed and so, that

shopping center was not much of a trip generator. Only about half of the retail square footage was occupied.

They also did not do an in depth parking demand analysis. They did not aggregate the need of overnight parking, they did not take into consideration the density is greater and the demand is higher below Turk to Fell than it is from Turk to Geary. In the study they also did not state what time of the year the parking study was conducted. With both USF and the John Adams City College on summer schedules, the demand for student parking is considerably less June through end of August. Also the Blood Centers of the Pacific is housed right there at Turk and Masonic and from what I have been told, many of its staff do not live in San Francisco and will need to continue to drive to work and they will need parking.

We all want a safer street, but many of the recommendations this plan proposes do not guarantee safety. The cycle track has never been tested on a street like Masonic with its hills and so many driveways. Studies have indicated a raised cycle track used on streets with lots of right turns actually increases the prospect of car/ bike collisions

The suggested improvements to make the street safer are, to install a traffic signal at Ewing Terrace, Install a pedestrian countdown light at Turk & Masonic. Better visibility for pedestrians in the crosswalks, better lighting, especially along the Turk to Geary stretch. The street is also riddled with potholes, which make it unsafe at any speed for cars.

This plan should be put on hold for a period of 6 months (minimum) and let the Target open and we will then be able to access what new traffic volume and patterns we are now dealing with that the shoddy analysis the SFMTA did more than 3 years ago never took into consideration. A full independent third party study should be done on both the traffic volume and the parking demand along Masonic, broken down by sections of the street, not the East /West side breakdown the SFMTA did. It proved that the SFMTA has no idea of what the

I whole-heartedly ask that you do not push this plan forward, it is just not ready.

Vincent Pietromartire

837 Central Ave

SF CA 94115

--
Vince Pietromartire
Masonic Corridor Neighborhood Association (MCNA)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 11, 2013
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: APPOINTMENT BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor has submitted an appointment to the following body:

- Matthew Stiker, Film Commission, term ending March 19, 2016

Under the Board's Rules of Order, Section 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

Please notify me in writing by **12:00 p.m., Wednesday, September 18, 2013**, if you would like to request a hearing on the above referenced appointment.

Attachments

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



orig: Rules Clerk
c: COB, Leg Dep, Dep. City Attny
EDWIN M. LEE *apfile*
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

September 10, 2013

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:


Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Matthew Stiker to the Film Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Debbie Brubaker, for a term ending March 19, 2016

I am confident that Mr. Stiker will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,


Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 SEP 10 PM 4:07
AK

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

September 10, 2013

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,


Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Matthew Stiker to the Film Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Debbie Brubaker, for a term ending March 19, 2016

I am confident that Mr. Stiker will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,


Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Matthew Stiker
543 Riviera Circle
Larkspur, CA 94939

mattstiker@gmail.com
H: 415-945-9806
C: 415-601-7150

Key Strengths

- Setting the appropriate tempo/environment to inspire the generation, production, integration and sell-in of business-driving strategies and attention-getting media-agnostic creative ideas
- Team-leading, team-building and staff development – teaching, coaching, mentoring, motivating – and providing appropriate support both internally and externally
- Equally adept and experienced across start-up/entrepreneurial and large/enterprise organizations
- Development and ongoing management of productive internal/external relationships
- Innovative problem-solver, skilled and persuasive communicator, results- and solutions-oriented leader

Experience

San Francisco Travel Association, San Francisco **4/09 -Present**
Executive Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer

- Manage and oversee the development of the *San Francisco* brand for the city's 16mm annual visitors and our thousands of various B2B customers around the world
- As part of 6-member Executive Team, help to guide the strategic direction of the organization across all divisions; integral member of yearlong companywide strategic and business evaluation, which led to significant structural and operational changes, making the organization more effective/efficient/collaborative
- Led the name change and re-branding of this 101-year-old organization to create new relevance in the destination marketing world, and managed multiple stakeholder audiences throughout a 9-month process
- Manage \$8M operational budget and 30+ staff at this not-for-profit sales and marketing organization
- Lead all consumer/leisure visitor marketing, as well as integrated marketing services including website, social media and editorial services, LGBT and arts & culture marketing, membership development and services, strategic alliances, media relations (domestic/international), public affairs, and visitor information services
- Contribute to driving significant annual increases in visitor volume/spending, website traffic, private revenue generation, and social media
- Driving the organization's re-engagement with research, leading to the largest and most comprehensive visitor profile survey ever conducted by SF Travel on behalf of our 1,600 members/partners – allowing us to make data- and insight-based decisions, and provide those tools to our members/partners for their use
- Introduced several non-endemic partnerships (Levi's, LivingSocial, Wells Fargo, Acura) to expand our global and cultural footprint, extend our reach, create brand cache, and generate incremental private revenue
- Oversaw the development and launch of rebuilt-from-the-ground-up new [website](#)
- Led the transformation and re-imagination of our Visitor Information Center to provide more relevant and topical destination information delivered in ways that our guests want, providing solutions rooted in technology, and deepening our visitors' engagement with and evangelism of San Francisco

Hewlett-Packard Corporation, Mountain View **8/08-3/09**
Co-Director, Consumer Marketing, PSG-Americas (Contractor/Consultant)

- Led the strategic and creative development of HP's 2008 Holiday PC shopping campaign, which required the management of a complex variety of internal and external funding sources, and the oversight of a fully-integrated campaign across TV, print, [video content creation](#), online advertising and web landing experience, catalog, and local market channel activation – developed in 2 months
- Led/Helped develop joint marketing partnerships with organizations as diverse as DreamWorks, the NBA, and Starbucks, specifically in the area of driving more relevant engagement with a variety of HP products across both traditional and non-traditional channels
- Managed multiple agency resources (advertising/media/activation), up-leveling their engagement to be more disciplined, strategic and accountable – while maintaining high creative standards
- Drove the development of the '09 Consumer Marketing Plan against multiple products, themes, assets and initiatives, very diverse channel plans (Best Buy, Walmart, regional electronics stores, and [hpshopping.com](#)), in conjunction with internal and external stakeholders

McCann Worldgroup San Francisco

1/05-8/08

Senior Vice President, Executive Group Director

Xbox

2/07 – 8/08

- Managed \$100mm+ global media/production budget to support multiple brand and game title initiatives
- Partnered with other discipline expert firms (retail, PR, RM) to present fully-integrated campaign solutions
- Increased agency efficiency by driving centralization of global agency fees and staffing plans
- Highlight: Halo3 "Believe" campaign one of the most successful and celebrated campaigns of 2008

Boys & Girls Clubs of America (national pro bono)

3/07 - Present

- Led development of fully-integrated, heavily digital campaign to increase understanding of BGCA mission, including a new brand positioning ("Be Great")
- Managed "give-back" to clients of well over \$2mm in in-kind donations from agency/outside partners

Windows Client (XP/Vista), Windows Mobile

1/05-2/07

- Ran the Windows Vista launch campaign, a two-month fully-integrated effort across 19 markets with a media budget of more than \$150mm – program delivered 21 global "media firsts" and Microsoft's deepest dive into digital to date, and resulted in a 67% increase in PC sales after its first week
- Pulled together diverse disciplines across all McCann Worldgroup properties as campaign contributors – media, RM, web development, small business, retail, event/activation, partner, advertising – from multiple offices and geos
- Led the multi-media (and multi-Cannes Award-winning) "Clearification.com" pre-launch program, which included 6 webfilms, a stand-up comedy tour, as well as a commercial-free one-hour special on Comedy Central – program exceeded all qualitative and quantitative expectations with no "traditional" advertising
- Drove the Windows XP "Start something" campaign, a fully-integrated 15-month \$200+mm global effort
- Co-developed the strategy for the Windows Mobile "Is that Windows Mobile in your pocket?" campaign

Publicis in the West, Seattle

8/01-11/04

Senior Vice President, Group Account Director

- Ran group consisting of 6 accounts, managing staff of 12 people, and participated on agency Steering Committee, guiding direction of new business, operations, etc.
- Led successful pitches of Safeco Insurance and RealNetworks at combined billings of \$15mm
- Worked cross-discipline with both in-network and out-of-network partners to develop fully-integrated solutions for several clients (PR, identity design, collateral, interactive, direct mail)
- Category Experience: Financial Services, Digital Media, Travel & Tourism, NFL, Commodity Products

Kirshenbaum Bond & Partners West, San Francisco

5/00 to 8/01

Group Account Director/Co-Director of Account Management

- Co-managed Account Management department, staff of 12; responsible for 4 consumer accounts representing \$30mm+ of billing
- Identified new business opportunities, led and won several pitches; accounts included Blimpie Subs & Salads, BBC America, wine.com, Mother's Cookies, Netscape.com, He'Brew Beer, Peoplefirst.com

adidas International, Amsterdam

2/98 to 4/00

Global Advertising Manager

- Developed strategies for – and handled system-wide sell-through of – globally relevant marketing, advertising and communication solutions for the adidas brand
- Responsible for oversight of nine sport categories – including Soccer, Golf, Basketball, Running, Adventure, and Alternative Sports – with creative/production budgets totaling \$9mm
- Worked closely alongside internet, media and other communications disciplines to fully communicate and integrate brand/category concepts through the line
- Managed agency resources in London, San Francisco, Amsterdam, Milan, Paris, Munich, and Barcelona

Wieden & Kennedy, Portland, Oregon

5/91 to 2/98

Account Supervisor/Management Supervisor

Miller Genuine Draft/Miller High Life

10/96 – 2/98

- Managed strategic, creative development for MGD/High Life brands, including award-winning "Decline of American Manhood" MHL Campaign
- Managed Miller Media AOR relationship with Leo Burnett-Chicago

The Coca-Cola Company: Brand Coke Sports Properties

7/95 – 10/96

World Cup of Cricket

- Managed strategic and creative development of Coca-Cola's sponsorship of the World Cup of Cricket, a production budget of \$1.2 mm and 15 total spots
- Responsible for sell-in to advertising department, brand group, international brand and marketing directors, and Chief Marketing Officer

Major League Baseball

- Oversaw development and production of three animated spots and multiple adaptations for local teams' in-stadium use, including in-stadium signage and other forms of media
- Prepared creative guidelines for local franchisees in-stadium development

National Football League

- Supervised adaptation of the "For the Fans" strategy for Coca-Cola's sponsorship of the NFL, including multiple TV spots, including one highlighting Coke's "NFL Red Zone" promotion
 - Worked closely with Coca-Cola USA, Coca-Cola Media, and Coca-Cola packaging departments to ensure successful through-the-line communication of the idea, including production of secondary packaging
- Also participated in/led worldwide research projects to understand Coca-Cola's relationship with music and the color red.

ESPN. Inc.

8/93 – 7/95

- Successfully managed the transition of the account through the closing of the W&K-Philadelphia office
- Developed and presented the strategic platform for the award-winning "This is SportsCenter" campaign – now in its 17th year
- Oversaw annual production volume of 200 TV spots
- Restructured media strategy with Bozell-NY (ESPN Media AOR), ultimately leading to ESPN's first airing of spots on broadcast networks
- Managed advertising launch of ESPN2, including strategic development of the distinct ESPN2 personality, as well as the development of consumer, trade and affiliate communications to introduce ESPN's first brand extension

Account Executive/Account Supervisor

5/91 – 8/93

Involved in several new business pitches, and also worked on several accounts to help build W&K's non-Nike portfolio, including:

- Black Star Beer
- Pacific First Bank
- Portland Public Schools Foundation
- KINK Radio
- American Indian College Fund
- State of Oregon Tourism/Film & Video

Education

University of Maine, Orono, Maine
Bachelor of Arts, Journalism

6/86

Personal

Married, two children

Interests include travel, mountain biking, skiing, and music

Speaker, Stanford University Summer Institute for General Management

2007-2011

Find me online:



From: Green, Andrea [andrea.green@sfgov.org] on behalf of Rahaim, John [john.rahaim@sfgov.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 2:10 PM
To: Pointer BOS-Supervisors
Cc: planning@rodnevfong.com; cwu.planning@gmail.com; wordweaver21@aol.com;
plangsf@gmail.com; richhillissf@yahoo.com; mooreurban@aol.com; bill@careyco.com;
aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; andrew.wolfram@perkinswill.com;
jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com;
ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; karlhasz.hpc@gmail.com;
diane@JohnBurtonFoundation.org; Pointer BOS-Legislative Aides; Rich, Ken; Calvillo,
Angela; Green, Andrea
Subject: Planning Department Public Outreach
Attachments: Ricoh527.pdf

Please see attached memo.....



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMO

DATE: September 11, 2013

TO: Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

FROM: John Rahaim, Director of Planning

CC: Members of the San Francisco Planning Commission
Members of the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission
Ken Rich, Office of Economic and Workforce Development

RE: Planning Department Public Outreach

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

During the past several months, the Planning Department has been working to expand our public outreach activities. This was partially enabled by your support of our recently adopted budget that included additional resources for this work.

To begin this expanded outreach, a group of department planners have been chosen to serve as "community ambassadors". On a trial basis, these ambassadors are attending community events to educate the public about the work of the department. The ambassadors will also conduct a series of topic-based seminars related to planning issues and development permitting. In addition, I will host a series of "Director's Forums" on topics of interest to the community and the City. We are excited about this expanded public outreach and thank you for your support of this work.

To further underscore the need for this outreach, we have recently received requests from several of you to attend community meetings or to meet with a particular group regarding proposed development projects; typically these requests have related to a single project causing concerns to neighbors.

To date, the Department has found it difficult to attend such meetings for several reasons. One concern is simply the sheer number of projects under review -- at any given time, there are approximately 1,000 projects under review in the department, large and small. We have therefore found it difficult to decide which projects warrant attendance at community meetings. A second concern is the CEQA process. As you know, the environmental review process is quite prescriptive in how and when the Department is obligated to respond to public input that occurs during required stages (e.g., scoping meeting, Draft EIR hearing, etc.) Additionally, many of the larger projects are often part way through the environmental review process, with much of the data in draft form and not yet analyzed. Thirdly, until our review is complete, the department cannot take a position pro or con. It has been our experience that community members often find this frustrating.

Memo

In spite of these concerns, we believe we can create a format whereby we could attend community meetings related to development projects, under the criteria noted below. We believe it is timely to do so, in light of the many concerns of your constituents, particularly during the current development boom.

In sum, our approach would be that department staff would attend Board-sponsored community meetings when there are multiple development projects proposed for an area. In these meetings, we would be prepared to discuss such topics as the size and scope of the projects, the required approvals, the opportunities for public review and comment, and our initial responses to the proposed designs.

To kick off this process, we are currently working with Supervisor Cohen's office on two meetings with the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods, scheduled for October 2nd and Oct. 5th. These meetings will cover the large number of projects proposed for that area, all within the controls of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan.

More specifically, we propose to attend meetings when

- the meeting is hosted and personally attended by a Supervisor; or hosted by an established neighborhood organization with the requesting Supervisor personally in attendance; or
- there are three or more significant development projects within the neighborhood in question; and
- all required planning applications have been filed; and
- the meeting is clearly conducted as outreach about the project and distinguished from the environmental review process, and the meeting does not conflict with the statutory public review periods (for example, during the public comment period on a Draft EIR).

As mentioned above, our primary role will be to explain the review process and opportunities for public comment, and answer general questions about the projects. In most cases, staff will clearly articulate that the Department hasn't yet taken a position on the projects and that the meeting is not intended as a venue to receive public testimony.

The Department's Communications Team will track requests for attendance along with meetings actually attended. Depending on demand and staff constraints, we may need to consider limiting our attendance, or establishing limits on the total number of meetings we attend.

We are pleased to be able to expand our outreach to San Francisco neighborhoods. We realize that new development causes changes and disruption, and hope that this outreach will help educate communities about the review process and the nature of the proposed changes in their neighborhoods.

I would be pleased to talk to you further as we move this process forward.

To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Item for your next newsletter

From: Alden, Amiee

Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 03:26

To: Angulo, Sunny; Brown, Vallie; Bruss, Andrea; Calvillo, Angela; Chan, Yoyo; Elnajjar, Ahmad; Goossen, Carolyn; Hamilton, Megan; Hsieh, Frances; Elliott, Jason; Cretan, Jeff; Johnston, Conor; Kelly, Margaux; Lee, Esther (BOS); Lee, Ivy; Lim, Victor; Mo, Carol; Mormino, Matthias; Pagoulatos, Nickolas; Pollock, Jeremy; Quizon, Dyanna; Rauschuber, Catherine; Redondiez, Raquel; Ronen, Hillary; Scanlon, Olivia; Stefani, Catherine; Summers, Ashley; Taylor, Adam; True, Judson; Veneracion, April; Yadegar, Danny

Cc: Zamora, Francis; Johnson, Alicia; Hogan, Kristin

Subject: Item for your next newsletter

Hello BOS staff colleagues,

DEM is seeking public input on the update to the City's Hazard Mitigation Plan. This document identifies the City's natural and human-made hazards, as well as plans for reducing the risk of those hazards (such as bridges collapsing during an earthquake). FEMA requires us to update this plan every 5 years and to seek public input. One of several ways that we would like to request public input is through Supervisors' newsletters. We would be grateful if you would consider including the following text in your next newsletter. Thank you! - Amiee Alden, DEM

+++++

The San Francisco Department of Emergency Management (SFDEM) is looking for your input on the City's Hazard Mitigation Plan. The existing plan was approved in 2009 and must be updated every five years. The Hazard Mitigation Plan describes our City's natural and human-made hazards, identifies actions we can take to reduce the risks, and establishes a process for implementing the plan. An approved plan makes San Francisco eligible for federal hazard and flood mitigation grant funding before and after a Presidentially-declared disaster.

How can you help?

We're looking for feedback on both the existing and updated plans, as well as how you like to receive information on hazards and preparedness. To review San Francisco's existing plan visit www.sfdem.org/hmp. Comments on the plan should be sent to dem.communityaffairs@sfgov.org. Comments on the existing plan will be accepted through September 30. A revised Hazard Mitigation Plan will be released for comment in October.

Amiee Alden

Executive Assistant for Policy and Legislation

Department of Emergency Management

1011 Turk Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415-558-3803

Cell: 415-519-1707

Web: www.sfdem.org



From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley
Attachments: 3771-3781_Cesar Chavez_Pres_Chui_9_9_13.pdf

From: Matthew Gershuny [mailto:matthew_gershuny@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 12:06 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley

President Chiu,

Attached you'll find a letter supporting Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley's Conditional Use Permit Application, which the Planning Commission unanimously approved in July. Please deny the appeal so that these institutions can continue to provide their vital services and strengthen the local community.

Sincerely,

Matthew D. Gershuny

Matthew D. Gershuny
3960 26th. St.
San Francisco, CA 94131

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors

C/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

September 9, 2013

Subject: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley

Dear President Chiu:

I am writing to express my strong support for Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley's Conditional Use Permit Application, which the Planning Commission unanimously approved in July.


I have lived at 3960 26th. Street, walking distance from Gan Noe and Chabad of Noe Valley, for the past seven years. I met the Potash family, who run Gan Noe and Chabad of Noe Valley, within a week of arriving in San Francisco in 2006. They opened their home to me, invited me for meals and helped me settle into the area. Their warmth and welcoming ways have become even stronger over the years.

Gan Noe Preschool has an excellent reputation. Parents consistently say that it is a professional, vibrant, enriching and safe place for children. The school connects parents in the area, strengthening the local community. I understand that there is a shortage of preschools in San Francisco, especially in Noe Valley, and I'm afraid families will leave the neighborhood if Gan Noe isn't permitted to expand its offering.

I regularly attend religious services, meals and community events hosted by Chabad of Noe Valley. These gatherings are open to anyone in the community and are free-of-charge. I've had a positive and meaningful experience over the years with Chabad of Noe Valley that has helped me connect with the local community, and I know many others with similar experiences.

Gan Noe and Chabad of Noe Valley contribute to the strength and stability of the community. These institutions enhance the life of many Noe Valley residents, as well as residents of surrounding communities such as the Castro, Mission, Excelsior, Diamond Heights, Glen Park, Bernal Heights and Portola. Please deny the appeal.

Very Truly Yours,



Matthew D. Gershuny

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Case 2013.0259CV - Gan Noe Preschool
Attachments: GAN NOE APPEAL.pdf

From: Gilda Bieri [mailto:gbieri@ecs-sf.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 12:10 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Case 2013.0259CV - Gan Noe Preschool

Please print attached letter.

Thank you.
<<...>>

Gilda Bieri
Manager of Operations and Emergency Response
Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco
Tel: 415-487-3300 x 1217 New Number
Cell: 415-341-6499
Fax: 415-487-3303
Web <http://www.ecs-sf.org>

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic transmission, including any attachments, may contain confidential and privileged client or employee information. You are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution of such information is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email or fax and destroy the original transmission and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

September 9, 2013

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors
c/o Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Case # 2013.0259CV - Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley


I am writing to voice my strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

I really want to see Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley succeed in our community. Both venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights neighborhoods. Both organizations help keep families in our city.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,



Gilda Bieri-Perlow

275 Graystone Terrace
San Francisco CA 94114
(415) 626-9417
gbieri@ecs-sf.org

File 130818

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: File 130818: 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley
Attachments: 20130911094628_337.pdf

From: katzmannsf@aol.com [mailto:katzmannsf@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 10:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors; BOS Legislation
Subject: 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley

Dear Mr. Chiu and Ms. Calvillo,

I am attaching a letter in support of the Conditional Use Permit approved by the Planning Commission for this case. I would appreciate it if you could please forward the letter to all Supervisors.

Sincerely,

Bernard Katzmann

555 Castro Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
September 11, 2013

Re: Case # 2013.0259CV Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors
c/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing in support of Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley and in of the Planning Commission's decision regarding the Conditional Use Permit for that property.

I am a real estate agent working in San Francisco and one of the challenges facing families in San Francisco is the availability of suitable preschool facilities for their children. The added capacity at this facility would help achieve a welcome and much needed facility for families in the area.

In addition, Chabad of Noe Valley offers traditional services to the Jewish community in the eastern half of San Francisco. Almost all of the orthodox and conservative services are in the western part of the city. Allowing this organization to adequately serve the community in the area is of paramount importance to the community and fulfills a critical need for residents of Noe Valley, Glen Park, Bernal Heights, the Castro and Inner Mission.

There are currently several properties on the block zoned for and used for religious and other institutional uses. In addition, with St. Luke's Hospital on the next block, the requested use change would not seem out of place for the area.

I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to reject the appeal and to allow the Planning Commission's unanimous ruling to stand.

Sincerely,



Bernard Katzmman

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: File 130818: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley

File 130818

From: Shane Reisman [mailto:jshanereisman@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 10:34 AM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors

C/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

We are writing to voice our strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley applied for and which was unanimously approved by the Planning Commission.

We love Chabad Gan Noe and are committed to its success in our community. Both the PreSchool and Chabad venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. These organizations help keep families in our city.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome. We sent both of our kids to Preschool at Gan Noe and attend after-school education and social activities there throughout the year.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,

J. Shane Reisman
336 Moultrie Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: Merle & Gina [merleandgina@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 8:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Gan Noe Pre-school Approval

File 130818

We are writing to voice our strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

We really want to see Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley succeed in our community. Both venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. These organisation help keep families in our city.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,
Merle Malakoff & Gina Surber
338 Park St.
San Francisco, California 94110

Sent from my iPad

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.
Attachments: SINKOFF_letter_-_Gan_No_Preschool_-_Against_Appeal_of_CUP.doc

From: rsinkoff@aol.com [mailto:rsinkoff@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 3:00 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors; chabadnoe@gmail.com; rsinkoff@aol.com
Subject: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

Please include the attached letter in the packet for President Chiu and the Board of Supervisors in reference to the appeal of the Conditional Use Permit for Gan Noe Preschool (See Case #2013.0259CV).

This letter urges President Chiu and the Board of Supervisors to DENY the appeal.

Thank you for your attention.

Richard Sinkoff
65 Hancock Street
San Francisco, California 94114

Richard Sinkoff
65 Hancock Street
San Francisco, California 94114
rsinkoff@aol.com

Monday, September 9, 2013

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors

C/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.
Subject: Urging the Board of Supervisors to Deny the Appeal

Dear President Chiu and Members of the Board of Supervisors of
the City and County of San Francisco,

I am writing to voice my strong support for the approval of
the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool
and Chabad of Noe Valley which the Planning Commission
unanimously approved on July 18, 2013 and to urge the Board of
Supervisors to deny the appeal filed against the Conditional Use
Permit approval.

I fully support Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley
and I believe that their success will directly benefit families and
communities throughout the southeast neighborhoods of San
Francisco. Both Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley
address the critical need for accessible, neighborhood-based pre-
school services of working families in the Glen Park, Noe Valley,
Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. Just this past
weekend, the San Francisco Examiner reported, in the article
"Taking Families off San Francisco's Endangered Species List"
(Joel Engardio, Sunday, September 8, 2013), that "Three things

will keep families in San Francisco: affordable housing, better schools, and a higher quality of life. *A good school that a family can walk to gets us two steps closer.*" (italics, mine.)

Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley offer educational programs and services at an accessible location at the geographic center of many southeast neighborhoods of San Francisco. Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome and attend Gan Noe Preschool. By denying the appeal before you, the Board of Supervisors will be taking a very important step in keeping families in our City, thereby strengthening the social fabric of our community for the benefit of the entire City and County of San Francisco.

I respectfully and strongly urge you and the Board of Supervisors to deny the appeal by relying upon the diligent review and judgment of the staff of the Planning Department and the vote of the Planning Commission, which approved the Conditional Use Permit application unanimously.

Your denial of the appeal is a very positive action, which will allow Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley to provide the educational services and programs so needed by both our diverse and growing community and by the City and County of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Richard Sinkoff

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 5:13 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Support for Chabad Noe Valley
Attachments: Support Gan Noe (2013 September).pdf

From: zenmany@gmail.com [mailto:zenmany@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 08, 2013 5:19 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Support for Chabad Noe Valley

To Whom It May Concern,

Attached is a pdf copy of a letter in support of Chabad of Noe Valley's conditional use permit and their appeal hearing scheduled for September 17th.

Thank you.

Jay Rubin

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors
c/o Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

Sep 8, 2013

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

Seven years ago, when my son was eighteen months old, my wife and I enrolled him in the Gan Noe pre-school. We are very pleased we did. Not only did he receive fantastic preparation for elementary school (he's now a student at McKinley and doing great!) but my wife and I also enjoyed becoming part of the Chabad community in Noe Valley. With limited pre-school choices in the area, Chabad wound up providing more than just a pre-school education. It provided a feeling of family and community, too.

Now that I live on Richland Avenue, I am especially pleased with the Chabad/Gan Noe location as I can take Muni (either the bus or J Church) to drop off and pick up my son from Hebrew school. This provides a real convenience for us.

Allowing Gan Noe the permit to conduct more schooling for more families will only improve the community feeling already being developed by Chabad of Noe Valley.

The commission's support of the conditional use permit will benefit this important community and demonstrate that, here in San Francisco, families do matter.

Thank You for Your Support,

Jay Rubin
49-1/2 Richland Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 5:14 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

From: Sam Adler [mailto:sam.adler@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 08, 2013 5:30 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors

C/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

I am writing to voice my strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

We really want to see Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley succeed in our community. Both venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. These organizations help keep families in our city.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,

Samuel Adler

Know that the true worth of your travels lies not in your destination, but in who you come to be along the way.

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 5:22 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818: Supporting Gan Noe Pre-School

File 130818

From: plevyg@gmail.com [mailto:plevyg@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Pascal Levy-Garboua
Sent: Sunday, September 08, 2013 6:18 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Supporting Gan Noe Pre-School

From:
Family Levy-Garboua
3923 25th Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

To:
Mr. David Chiu
President of the Board of Supervisors

C/o Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Case # 2013.0259CV- Gan Noe Preschool & Chabad Noe Valley.

We are writing to voice our strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

We really want to see Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley succeed in our community. Both venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. These organizations help keep families in our city instead of moving down to the Peninsula.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,

Pascal, Annie, Keren Or and Liberty Levy-Garboua

Pascal Levy-Garboua

@2pasc
www.2pasc.com

Skype: plg1998
Mobile: +1 (415) 509 5252

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File: 130818 Gan Noe Pre-school Approval

From: Merle & Gina [mailto:merleandgina@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 8:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Gan Noe Pre-school Approval

We are writing to voice our strong support for the "Conditional Use Permit Application" that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley has applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved.

We really want to see Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley succeed in our community. Both venues fulfill important needs in the Glen Park, Noe Valley, Mission, Castro and Bernal Heights areas. These organisation help keep families in our city.

Gan Noe Preschool is the only Jewish preschool serving these areas and provides a nurturing and educational environment where Jewish children can learn about their heritage. Although the focus is on Jewish Education, children of all backgrounds are welcome.

Please deny the appeal and let Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley continue the wonderful services they provide for our community.

Sincerely,
Merle Malakoff & Gina Surber
338 Park St.
San Francisco, California 94110

Sent from my iPad

file 130818

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Upper Noe Neighbors letter: hearing on Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley
Attachments: UNN Gan Noe Bd of Supes Sept 2013.pdf

page

From: Vicki Rosen [mailto:vickimrosen@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 4:07 PM
To: BOS Legislation; Board of Supervisors; Wiener, Scott
Subject: Upper Noe Neighbors letter: hearing on Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley

Dear Clerk of the Board,

Please provide all Supervisors with a copy of the attached letter from Upper Noe Neighbors regarding the appeal of the Conditional Use Permit approved by the Planning Commission. The hearing is scheduled for this Tuesday, Sept. 17.

Thank you.

Vicki Rosen
President, Upper Noe Neighbors
(415) 407-3479



UPPER NOE NEIGHBORS

September 13, 2013

Board of Supervisors
c/o Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Case #2013.0259CV—Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley

Dear President Chiu and Board members:

This letter is to show the support of Upper Noe Neighbors for the Conditional Use Permit that Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley have applied for and which the Planning Commission unanimously approved. As part of our Noe Valley neighborhood, Gan Noe and Chabad are institutions familiar to a number of our members. We are aware of their value to our community from neighbors with such first-hand experience.

Noe Valley has a huge number of families with preschool age children and a large Jewish population. Yet there is a shortage of schools and synagogues in this area. We want our neighborhood to remain family-friendly and have facilities that address their needs, both educationally and spiritually. We already know how hard it is to keep families in the City once their kids get to a certain age. Gan Noe Preschool is a quality operation. The children and parents we know just love the place and feel it operates to the highest standards and with the utmost consideration for nearby neighbors. Chabad of Noe Valley is a low-key synagogue that is beloved in our neighborhood.

Upper Noe Neighbors wants families to stay in Noe Valley and believes Gan Noe's and Chabad's success will help. They add value to our community and are good neighbors. We ask that you deny the appeal and allow the determination by the Planning Commission to stand. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vicki Rosen
President

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: File 130818: 5 new petition signatures: david olidort, Barbara Lynn...

From: adriana comparan [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 6:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: david olidort, Barbara Lynn...

5 new people recently signed Gan Noe Preschool's petition "Board of Supervisors: Reject the Appeal" on Change.org.

There are now 165 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Gan Noe Preschool by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/board-of-supervisors-reject-the-appeal/responses/new?response=da86ee12b0ca>

Dear Mr. David Chiu,

Please support Gan Noe Preschool and deny the appeal of the conditional use permit granted to them by the planning commission. Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley provide vital services for our community and keep young families in the city. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

- 161. david olidort brooklyn, New York
- 162. Barbara Lynn Mill Valley, California
- 163. randy weiss san francisco, California
- 164. Barak Kassar San Francisco, California
- 165. adriana comparan chula vista, California

File 130818

From: Randall Zielinski [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 7:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, Jay
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Sylvia Berger, Shmuel Friedman...

5 new people recently signed Gan Noe Preschool's petition "Board of Supervisors: Reject the Appeal" on Change.org.

There are now 160 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Gan Noe Preschool by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/board-of-supervisors-reject-the-appeal/responses/new?response=da86ee12b0ca>

Dear Mr. David Chiu,

Please support Gan Noe Preschool and deny the appeal of the conditional use permit granted to them by the planning commission. Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley provide vital services for our community and keep young families in the city. Thank you for you consideration.

Sincerely,

- 156. Sylvia Berger San Francisco, California
- 157. Shmuel Friedman San Francisco, California
- 158. Naomi Laguana San Francisco, California
- 159. Barry Taranto San Rafael, California
- 160. Randall Zielinski San Francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Lamug, Joy
Subject: File 130818 5 new petition signatures: David Schultz, Harold Galicer...

From: Hinda Langer [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 09, 2013 12:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: David Schultz, Harold Galicer...

5 new people recently signed Gan Noe Preschool's petition "Board of Supervisors: Reject the Appeal" on Change.org.

There are now 155 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Gan Noe Preschool by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/board-of-supervisors-reject-the-appeal/responses/new?response=da86ee12b0ca>

Dear Mr. David Chiu,

Please support Gan Noe Preschool and deny the appeal of the conditional use permit granted to them by the planning commission. Gan Noe Preschool and Chabad of Noe Valley provide vital services for our community and keep young families in the city. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

- 151. David Schultz San Francisco, California
- 152. Harold Galicer San Francisco, California
- 153. Jodie Papoosha San Francisco, California
- 154. Vicki Rosen San Francisco, California
- 155. Hinda Langer SF, California

BOS-11
cpage
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

AN OPEN LETTER TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2013 SEP 11 AM 8:42

53 COLUMBUS AVENUE

BY _____

JC

September 3, 2013

Dear Supervisors,

It is grossly unfair that residents at 53 Columbus are forced to relocate because the Mayor's Office of Housing threatens to double, triple or even quadruple our "coop" carrying charge because we exceed the income limit.

Are BMR condo owners forced to refinance their mortgage at an higher AMI because their income goes up after they move in? Of course not, so why is the Coop being discriminated against?

Every single resident was told as recently as early July not to worry about if their income went up, that it had no effect on their housing costs. But now, Tracy Parent, Director of the San Francisco Community Land Trust and Mike McLoone have stabbed us in the back, they have betrayed us, having lied to us for years about the nature of the agreement James Tracy signed with the City.

We fought eviction long and hard, winning the right to purchase the property in mediation with Justice Harry Low in 2006. But now, the City has stolen our homes, turning what was supposed to be homeownership into a nightmare of a low income housing project, with annual Tenant Income Certifications, income and "rent" limits - when we don't even pay rent!!! In the words of the Asian community, Mayor's Office of Housing is acting like gangsters doing a shakedown!

We call upon the Board of Supervisors to investigate the Mayor's Office of Housing and restore our rights and freedom at 53 Columbus. We ask the Board tell the Mayor's Office of Housing to stop demanding Tenant Income Certifications of homeowners at 53 Columbus, under threats and intimidation to throw out low to moderate income homeowners on the street, through huge punitive "rent" increases, eviction or foreclosure.

This behavior by the San Francisco Community Land Trust and Mayor's Office of Housing is immoral, wrong, and an abuse of trust and the rights of Chinese immigrant homeowners who waged a battle of over ten years to save and purchase their building.

COLUMBUS UNITED COOPERATIVE
53 COLUMBUS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

To: Evans, Derek
Subject: File 130764: Youth Commission position on Board of Supervisors file no. 130764 proposed Due Process for All ordinance
Attachments: File No 130764 (9-12-13).pdf

From: Youthcom [mailto:youthcom@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Lee, Edwin (Mayor); BOS-Supervisors
Cc: Calvillo, Angela; Elliott, Jason; Mendoza, Hydra; Evans, Derek
Subject: Youth Commission position on Board of Supervisors file no. 130764 proposed Due Process for All ordinance

YOUTH COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor Edwin M. Lee
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Jason Elliott, Director of Legislative & Government Affairs, Mayor's Office
Hydra Mendoza, Mayor's Families & Children's Advisor
Derek Evans, Committee Clerk, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Youth Commission

DATE: September 12, 2013

RE: Youth Commission's support and statement on Board of Supervisors file no. 130764 proposed Due Process for All ordinance.

At our special inaugural meeting on September 9th, 2013 the Youth Commission voted unanimously to support the following motion:

To support file no. 130764, a proposed ordinance which would amend the Administrative Code, by adding Chapter 12I, to prohibit law enforcement officials from detaining individuals on the basis of an immigration detainer after they become eligible for release from custody.

We would like to give you some context for the position expressed above.

Our motion to unanimously support the proposed action by the Board of Supervisors to provide due process for all comes from the consensus amidst Youth Commissioners that federal immigration enforcement and our criminal justice system should be separate and should treat all residents equally regardless of immigration status, and that these tenets form the basis of a strong relationship of trust between local law enforcement and residents that is in the interest of public safety.

During discussion on this item, Youth Commissioners proposed the following comment and recommendations regarding this legislation:

The Youth Commission supports the framing of this legislation as a due process issue, and supports due process for all, regardless of immigration status or background.

Should the proposed ordinance pass, the Youth Commission urges the Board of Supervisors to request or prepare a report following one year after the ordinance takes effect to see how the ordinance has worked and how trust is being restored between immigrant communities and local law enforcement.

The Youth Commission urges the Board of Supervisors to undertake an extensive outreach campaign to reach immigrant communities throughout San Francisco, as well as people detained, that would include multilingual resources regarding their rights in the criminal justice system and with local law enforcement. Some recommendations to include in an outreach campaign include, but are not limited to a bus ad campaign, pamphlet distribution in public spaces and through community based organizations, through ethnic media, and school-based announcements.

If you have any questions about these recommendations or anything related to the Youth Commission, please don't hesitate to contact our office at (415) 554-6446 or your Youth Commissioner.

San Francisco Youth Commission
City Hall, Room 345 (9am-6pm)
Office: [\(415\) 554-6446](tel:4155546446) | Fax: [\(415\) 554-6140](tel:4155546140)
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5585>

[Sign up for our newsletter](#)

[Tell us what you think are important issues affecting youth in SF!](#)

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below:

<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

BOS-11

Opague

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 SEP 12 PM 2:46

BY *FAB*

Kenneth J. and Anita L. Miguel
1408 Vista Creek Drive
Roseville, CA 95661

September 9, 2013

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
P. O. Box 7718
San Francisco, CA 94120-7718

Re: Citation no. 830532640
Confirmation Tracking no. 2639918

Enclosed is our personal check in the sum of \$64.00, which as you know if you actually did an investigation, we do not owe you.

We parked at the meter by Fisherman's Wharf and attempted to duly pay the meter with our ATM card - over and over. When it would not accept the payment we called 311 as instructed, and reported the problem. The City rep told us we could remain there for a limited time without getting a citation. She said if we did receive a citation the above confirmation number would substantiate that we reported the meter was broken. We returned well within the limited time and found the citation.

We visited your city for two days, spent a lot of money on dinner and other meals in your restaurants, a hotel, and some more money in shops. We will never step foot in your city again.

I can think of no explanation for this little "mugging" but that you are either out -and -out crooks or totally incompetent. We plan to tell relatives and friends and every other person who'll listen.


Kenneth and Anita Miguel

cc: San Francisco Mayor
Board of Supervisors ✓

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 760
San Francisco, CA 94104-2803

City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF




Ross Mirkarimi
Sheriff

(415) 554-7225

Date: 08/20/13

To: Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Ross Mirkarimi 
Sheriff

Subject: Request for Waiver of applicable San Francisco Administrative Code Requirements for Garbage Collection Services for the San Francisco County Jails in San Bruno, CA to Be Provided by Recology Peninsula Services, Vendor #16179 in the amount of \$120,000 for the Term July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 AUG 21 PM 3:10

The San Francisco Sheriff's Department (SFSD) requests your approval of the above referenced sole source request for the reasons set forth in this memo.

The San Bruno, CA Municipal Code Section 10.20.050 provides that San Bruno, CA City Council "may provide for the issuance of an exclusive permanent contract for the collection of garbage and rubbish with the city in the manner and upon the terms set forth in this chapter." Please refer to the language attached to this memo.

San Bruno Garbage Company is the company contracted by the City of San Bruno for garbage collection under the provisions of San Bruno's municipal Code.

Please call Mylan Luong at 415-554-7236 with any questions you may have regarding this request.

City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF



Ross Mirkarimi
Sheriff

(415) 554-7225

Date: August 20, 2013

To: Jaci Fong
Director, Office of Contract Administration
and Purchaser

From: Ross Mirkarimi
Sheriff

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Mirkarimi".

Subject: Request for Waiver of applicable San Francisco Administrative Code Requirements for Garbage Collection Services for the San Francisco County Jails in San Bruno, CA to Be Provided by Recology Peninsula Services, Vendor #16179 in the amount of \$120,000 for the Term August 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

The San Francisco Sheriff's Department (SFSD) requests your approval of the above referenced sole source request for the reasons set forth in this memo.

The San Bruno, CA Municipal Code Section 10.20.050 provides that San Bruno, CA City Council "may provide for the issuance of an exclusive permanent contract for the collection of garbage and rubbish with the city in the manner and upon the terms set forth in this chapter." Please refer to the language attached to this memo.

Recology Peninsula Services is the company contracted by the City of San Bruno for garbage collection under the provisions of San Bruno's municipal Code.

Please call Mylan Luong at 415-554-7236 with any questions you may have regarding this request.

Sole Source Waiver Request

Administrative Code Section 21.5(b) provides that commodities or services available only from a sole source shall be procured in accordance with Purchaser's regulations. Purchaser's regulations provide that, "If a department needs a commodity or service which is unique and which is known to be provided by only one vendor, then only one price quotation is solicited from the single vendor. The requesting department must submit documentation to the Purchaser justifying the transaction as a sole source. From time to time, the Purchaser may conduct a formal bid to determine the continuing validity of the sole source determination." (Procurement Instruction 12.06, Exhibit A, Section IX.D, dated April 28, 1989)

Directions: Use this form to justify a sole source transaction. The department requestor must complete the information below and attach a written memo with appropriate supporting documentation to justify this request. The memo must provide specific and comprehensive information that explains why the requested transaction should be considered a sole source. Departments are encouraged to consult with the Human Rights Commission and the City Attorney prior to submitting this request.

Department: **Sheriff** Date Submitted 8/20/13

Contact: **Mylan Luong** Phone: **554-7236**

Vendor Name: **Recology Peninsula Services** Vendor # **16179**

Type of Contract: Commodity Professional Service Non-Professional Service **X**
Other

Amount: \$ **120,000** ADPICS Doc #:

Describe the product or service: **Municipal Garbage/waste collection services provided under contract with the City of San Bruno as the official garbage collection company for the sheriff's County Jail Facilities in San Bruno. Services include one 30 yard covered debris box 1x/month, one 30 yard compactor 1x/week, and two 1 yard bin 1x /month plus monthly rent.**

Has the Human Rights Commission granted a sole source waiver on this transaction? **No, vendor is compliant**
If yes, when was the sole source granted? Please attach a copy of the HRC Waiver.

Check the appropriate statement. Attach a memo and documentation to address the questions following each statement.

 X Goods or services are available from only one source.

Explain why this is the only product or service that will meet the City's needs. Why is this the only vendor or contractor that can provide the services or products? What steps were taken to verify that the goods or services are not available from another source? Explain what efforts were made to obtain the best possible price. Why do you feel the price to be fair and reasonable? How was this vendor chosen? How long has the vendor been providing goods or services for your department?

 Only one prospective vendor is willing to enter into a contract with the City.

Explain why no other vendors are willing to contract with the City. If there are compliance issues, what have you done to get other possible sources to become compliant? Have you contacted HRC? Have you received a waiver from HRC?

 Item has design and/or performance features that are essential to the department, and no other source satisfies the City's requirements.

Explain why the design/performance features are essential. Have you contacted other suppliers to evaluate items/services with similar features and capabilities? If no, explain why not. If yes, list the suppliers and explain why their goods or services do not meet the department's needs.

 Licensed or patented good or service.

Provide proof that the license or patent limits the availability of the product or service to only one source.


 Other:

INSTRUCTIONS:

The Sole Source request must be approved before the department makes a commitment to the vendor, and before funds are encumbered. If the Sole Source request is denied, the department will be advised to conduct a competitive process to select the vendor/contractor. If the Sole Source request is to extend an existing professional service contract, attach a copy of the original contract and any prior sole source determinations made by HRC or Purchasing. When processing professional service contracts and modifications for signature, attach the approved sole source waiver form to the contract documents.

This form is required for every transaction, contract, or contract modification that the department wishes to be treated as a sole source. For additional information call the Purchaser assigned to your department.

The Department Head must sign this request before it is sent to OCA-Purchasing.

This Sole Source request is being submitted by: 
Department Head Signature: _____ Date: _____
Name of Department: Sheriff
Ross Mirkarimi

OCA Review and Approval:

Sole Source Approved: _____ Sole Source Denied: _____

Reason for Determination

OCA Staff: _____ Date: _____
OCA Staff: _____ Date: _____
OCA Director: _____ Date: _____

FAML9560 V5.1
LINK TO:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO--NFAMIS
VENDOR CLASS/STATUS CODE

08/20/2013
2:28 PM

VENDOR NUMBER: 16179 - RECOLOGY PENINSULA SERVICES
VENDOR SUFFIX: 01

S	CLS	STA	DESCRIPTION	SRT	FRQ	DATE-1	DATE-2	PREF %	CERTIFICATE
			BUS TAX EXP DT&CERT NUM				06/30/2014		188602
			F13 YES HRC3 INTERIM						
			F03 YES HRC FORM3 PRIOR						
			HB REQ REQR 12B COMPLY				06/05/1997		
			HBC YES COMPLIES						
			HBN YES COMPLIES						
			HCA DEC HCAODECLAR:FILE						
			MCO DEC MCO DECLARATION						
			PRO GOV GOVERNMENT AGEN						

F1-HELP F2-SELECT F4-PRIOR F5-NEXT
F7-PRIOR PG F8-NEXT PG F9-LINK F11-CLASS F12-STATUS
G014 - RECORD FOUND

San Bruno Municipal Code						
Up	Previous	Next	Main	Search	Print	No Frames
<u>Title 10 MUNICIPAL SERVICES</u>						
<u>Chapter 10.20 GARBAGE AND REFUSE</u>						

10.20.050 Authority of city to contract for garbage collection services.

A. The city council may provide for the issuance of an exclusive permanent contract for the collection of garbage and rubbish within the city in the manner and upon the terms set forth in this chapter.

B. Any person or firm to whom the city council shall grant a contract for the collection, hauling, gathering, and disposing of garbage and rubbish in the city shall be designated the official scavenger and garbage collector of the city.

C. Every person owning, occupying or in charge of any premises in the city shall, notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter, engage such official scavenger and garbage collector for the collection and disposal of all garbage accumulating, retaining or existing upon any such premises.

D. The city council may from time to time make such arrangements as may seem proper with the official scavenger and garbage collector for the collection by the city or its agents of periodic garbage bills; provided, that in no event shall the city assume any responsibility or liability for the noncollection of any amount due, nor for any other act or omission of such scavenger and garbage collector in and during the collection of garbage and rubbish. (Ord. 1396 § 1 (part), 1982: prior code § 14-3.5)



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)

10/4/2012

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER Beecher Carlson Insurance Services 21700 Oxnard Street, Suite 1800 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	CONTACT NAME:	
	PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 818-598-4200	FAX (A/C, No): 818-598-5800
www.beechercarlson.com	E-MAIL ADDRESS:	
	INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE	NAIC #
	INSURER A : ACE American Insurance Company	22667
	INSURER B : Lexington Insurance Company	19437
	INSURER C : XL Specialty Insurance Company	37885
	INSURER D :	
INSURED Recology San Bruno 101 Tanforan Avenue San Bruno CA 94066	INSURER E :	
	INSURER F :	

COVERAGES

CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 14330277

REVISION NUMBER:

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL SUBR INSR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS
A	GENERAL LIABILITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY <input type="checkbox"/> CLAIMS-MADE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OCCUR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SIR: \$500,000 GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> POLICY <input type="checkbox"/> PRO-JECT <input type="checkbox"/> LOC		XSL G25839717	10/1/2012	10/1/2013	EACH OCCURRENCE \$ 1,500,000 DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence) \$ 1,500,000 MED EXP (Any one person) \$ PERSONAL & ADV INJURY \$ 1,500,000 GENERAL AGGREGATE \$ 2,000,000 PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG \$ 2,000,000
A	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ANY AUTO <input type="checkbox"/> ALL OWNED AUTOS <input type="checkbox"/> SCHEDULED AUTOS <input type="checkbox"/> HIRED AUTOS <input type="checkbox"/> NON-OWNED AUTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SIR: \$500,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Auto PD: Self Insured		XSA H08684650	10/1/2012	10/1/2013	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident) \$ 1,500,000 BODILY INJURY (Per person) \$ BODILY INJURY (Per accident) \$ PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident) \$ \$ \$
B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UMBRELLA LIAB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OCCUR <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESS LIAB <input type="checkbox"/> CLAIMS-MADE <input type="checkbox"/> DED <input type="checkbox"/> RETENTION \$		065463216	10/1/2012	10/1/2013	EACH OCCURRENCE \$ 5,000,000 AGGREGATE \$ 5,000,000 \$ \$ \$
C	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? <input type="checkbox"/> Y/N <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (Mandatory in NH) If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below		RWE5000345 (includes WA Stop Gap) SIR: \$1,000,000	10/1/2012	10/1/2013	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WC STATUTORY LIMITS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER E.L. EACH ACCIDENT \$ 2,000,000 E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE \$ 2,000,000 E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT \$ 2,000,000

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (Attach ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, if more space is required)

Subject to the terms and conditions of the policies, insured is responsible for any damages arising from the negligence of the insured in the performance of services for the certificate holder.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER

San Francisco Sheriff's Department
Attn: Mikhael Hart
Room 456 City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco CA 94102

CANCELLATION

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Pam Brooskin

(WDHLS) Pam Brooskin

© 1988-2010 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.


From: Andrea Agho [aghoa@SFHA.ORG]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 2:41 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela
Cc: Caldeira, Rick; Licavoli, Madeleine
Subject: San Francisco Hosuing Authority Meeting Change Information
Attachments: Andrea Agho.vcf

Good Afternoon Angela

At tonight's commission meeting tonight we will be informing the public of the following:

1. Starting September 26, 2013, the SFHA's regularly scheduled Commission meetings will be held in room 408 in City Hall. (2nd and 4th Thursdays of the month, 4:00pm)
2. Diversity Committee meetings will be held in room 408 in City Hall at 4:00pm on the first Tuesday of the month.
3. No change to the current schedule or location of the Personnel/Finance Committee meetings.

Regards,

	<p>Andrea Agho San Francisco Housing Authority Commission Secretary</p> <p>+1 (415) 715-3232 Work (415) 715-3201 Fax aghoa@sfha.org 1815 Egbert Avenue San Francisco CA 94124</p>
--	--


The Mission of the San Francisco Housing Authority is to deliver safe and decent housing for low income households and integrate economic opportunity for residents.

Please Note:

The information in this E-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If you are the intended recipient, be aware that your use of any confidential or personal information may be restricted by state and federal privacy laws. If you, the reader of this message, are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you should not further disseminate, distribute, or forward this E-mail message. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete the material from any computer.

Thank you.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



Request for City Services - Clerk of the Board

Enter Personal Details > Enter Service Request Details > Review & Submit > Attach Photo(s) / File(s) > **Print & Track**

Successfully Submitted

Thank you for your submission. You will receive an email confirmation with a link to follow the progress of your submission.

If you have any additional requests or questions, you can call us 7 days a week, 24 hours a day at 311 (for calls outside of San Francisco please dial 415-701-2311).

Your Tracking Number is: 2822346

Sep 12 2013 10:37PM.

Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Location Information:

Location Description:

Request Details:

Category:	Request for Service
Department:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division:	Clerk of the Board

Additional Information:

Additional Request Details:	In support of the long history of the Navy in the Bay Area and San Francisco's Fleet Week, I propose the Bay Bridge Western Span be called the "U.S.S. Coral Sea Bridge". The U.S.S Coral Sea (CV-43) Aircraft Carrier was called, and celebrated as, "San Francisco's Own" for many years...The Navy (myself and several other Sailors, and City Workers) even put a large Yellow Ribbon, and a large draping sign of such, on the top section of Coit Tower in May 1975. Thank you. Robert Hampton
-----------------------------	--

Customer Contact Information:

First Name:	Robert
Last Name:	Hampton
Primary Phone:	9256349664
Alternate Phone:	
Address Number:	
Street Name:	
City, State:	
ZIP Code:	
Email:	Radiobiker@yahoo.com

Customer requested to be contacted by the department servicing their request:

BACK OFFICE USE ONLY *****

Source Agency Request Number:

Responsible Agency Request Number:

Service Request Work Status:

Work Status Updated:

Powered by [Lagan Technologies Ltd.](#)



From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: IPM TAC: Our pesticide review system has been improved

From: Chris Geiger [mailto:Chris.Geiger@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 12:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: IPM TAC: Our pesticide review system has been improved

TO: SAN FRANCISCO IPM TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND INTERESTED PARTIES

I am happy to announce that the San Francisco Department of the Environment has completed a revision of our pesticide "hazard tier" review process. We made these changes in order to ensure that we take advantage of the latest data available on chemical hazards, as well as to further standardize the review process. As a reminder, we review potential hazards of pesticides - and assign tier ratings - in order to inform our decisions on products to add/subtract from the City's Reduced Risk Pesticide List.

Our updated review criteria were developed by the Pesticide Research Institute in Berkeley, CA (www.pesticideresearch.com), and peer reviewed by other experts in the field. FYI, PRI has a new, subscription-based web tool that can provide instant tier ratings for most any pesticide product, along with a lot of other toxicological information.

Note that tier ratings have changed for some products. These changes are important to anyone managing a building under LEED EBOM 2009. We have also republished a list of pesticide products, with tier ratings, that our consultants have screened for us over the years.

The revised "[Guide to San Francisco's Reduced-Risk Pesticide List](#)," along with a list of "[Pesticides Reviewed by San Francisco](#)" and a [summary of the recent revisions](#) can all be found at <http://www.sfenvironment.org/article/pest-management/least-toxic-pesticides-for-green-buildings>. (Please don't confuse the list of reviewed pesticides with our 2013 Reduced-Risk Pesticide list, which shows pesticides allowed for use on City properties).

Please distribute as appropriate.

Many thanks,

Chris

This message was sent to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org from:

Jessian Choy | San Francisco Dept. of the Environment 11 Grove St. | San Francisco, CA 94102

[Unsubscribe](#)

Email Marketing by
iContact
By Choice



September 13, 2013

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 SEP 13 PM 1:50

ca

Re: Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors
2012 Payroll Expense Tax Exclusion - Biotechnology

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

The Tax Collector, pursuant to the provisions of the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, herewith submits the annual report of businesses that were approved for the Biotechnology Exclusion from the payroll expense tax for the 2012 calendar year.

Schedule A of the report summarizes for the 2012 calendar year the number of businesses approved for the exclusion, the total number of San Francisco employees at those businesses, the number of employees, and the total amount of Biotechnology Exclusion claimed for calendar year 2012. Twenty-six (26) businesses were approved for the Biotechnology Exclusion, and they excluded a total of \$108,424,930.60 in payroll expense, which represents \$1,626,373.96 in forgone payroll expense tax for this exclusion at those businesses that are eligible for the exclusion. These businesses reported 855 employees that qualified for the exclusion.

Schedule B of the report summarizes the Biotechnology Exclusion for calendar years 2010 through 2012 with amounts updated. Compared to the preceding calendar year 2011, results indicate an increase of 149 jobs in the biotechnology business sector for the calendar year 2012 in San Francisco.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at (415) 554-7601.

Very truly yours,

David Augustine
Tax Collector

cc: José Cisneros
San Francisco Public Library

Attachment

**TAX COLLECTOR'S ANNUAL REPORT
BIOTECHNOLOGY PAYROLL EXPENSE TAX EXCLUSION
CALENDAR YEAR 2012**

Schedule A

Year	Number of Businesses Approved	Total SF Employees	Number of Eligible Employees	Biotechnology Exclusion	Payroll Expense Tax Forgone due to Biotechnology Exclusion
2012	26	857	855	\$108,424,930.60	\$1,626,373.96

**TAX COLLECTOR'S ANNUAL REPORT
BIOTECHNOLOGY PAYROLL EXPENSE TAX EXCLUSION
FOR CALENDAR YEARS 2010 THROUGH 2012**

Schedule B

Year	Number of Businesses Approved	Total SF Employees	Number of Eligible Employees	Biotechnology Exclusion	Payroll Expense Tax Forgone due to Biotechnology Exclusion
2010 *	25	820	795	\$75,538,043.00	\$1,133,070.65
2011 *	28	730	706	\$100,429,982.58	\$1,506,449.74
2012	26	857	855	\$108,424,930.60	\$1,626,373.96
Change from 2011 to 2012	-2	127	149	\$7,994,948.02	\$119,924.22

* AMENDED

Orig: Leg. Clerk
Copy: COB
Leg. Dep.
Deputy City Attorney
C page

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

ENDORSED
FILED
Superior Court of California
County of San Francisco

2013 SEP 16 AM 9:48

SEP

File No. 130805
BOS-11

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: WESLEY RAMIREZ
Deputy Clerk

1 MICA I. RINGEL
2 485 Potrero Avenue, Unit C
3 San Francisco, CA 94110
4 (415) 519-7523
5 supermica@gmail.com

6 In Pro Per

7 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
8 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

9
10 MICA I. RINGEL
11 Petitioner,
12 vs.

13 CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
14 et al.,

15 ANGELA CALVILLO,
16 CLERK OF THE BOARD OF
17 SUPERVISORS,

18 CYNTHIA GOLDSTEIN,
19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
20 BOARD OF APPEALS,

21 SCOTT SANCHEZ,
22 ZONING ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
23 PLANNING DEPARTMENT,

24 DOES 1 through 10,
25 Respondents,

26 F.W. SPENCER & SON, INC,

27 INDUSTRY CAPITAL
28 INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC,

Real Parties of Interest.

Case No. CPF-13-513207

Ex Parte Application

Tuesday, September 17, 2013
Room 301

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

City and County of San Francisco
Department of Building Inspection



RECEIVED 9/11/2013
VIA EMAIL
File: 130687
Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Tom C. Hui, S.E., C.B.O., Acting Director

September 11, 2013

The Honorable Cynthia Ming-Mei Lee
Presiding Judge
San Francisco Superior Court
400 McAllister Street, Room 008
San Francisco, CA 94102-4512

RE: Building Inspection Commission-Department of Building Inspection's Joint Response to June 2013 Civil Grand Jury Report

Dear Presiding Judge Lee:

The San Francisco Building Inspection Commission (BIC) and the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection (DBI) have jointly received, and carefully considered, the June 2013 Civil Grand Jury Report, "Building a Better Future at the Department of Building Inspection." We are pleased to provide herein DBI's and the Building Inspection Commission's (BIC) joint response to the Court, which responds to the Civil Grand Jury's 11 Findings, as well as to all of its recommendations.

At the September 5, 2013 "Special" meeting of the Building Inspection Commission, the BIC voted 7-0 to approve the joint response attached with this cover letter. As instructed by the Court's Ms. Pat Kilkenny, we are delivering by messenger a hard copy of this joint DBI-BIC response to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, and we also will email a PDF version to Ms. Kilkenny's email address.

We appreciate the efforts made by this year's Civil Grand Jury to understand what can be a complex development review and approvals' process – and one that involves multiple City departments in addition to Building Inspection. We value the Grand Jury's recommendations to help the department improve its professional services to the people of San Francisco.

DBI is proud of the commitment, expertise and excellent customer service provided by its staff to those involved in building safe and code-compliant structures, and we look forward to continuing our ongoing efforts to 'build a better future' by implementing the recommendations proposed within this year's Civil Grand Jury report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angus McCarthy", written over a horizontal line.

Angus McCarthy, President
Building Inspection Commission

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom C. Hui", written in a cursive style.

Tom C. Hui, S.E., C.B.O., Acting Director
Department of Building Inspection

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
1660 Mission Street – San Francisco CA 94103
Office (415) 558-6131 – FAX (415) 558-6225
Email: Tom.Hui@sfgov.org

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

24

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: CCSF Investment Report for the month of August 2013
Attachments: CCSF Monthly Investment Report for 2013-Aug.pdf

From: Starr, Brian
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 7:02 AM
To: Starr, Brian
Cc: Rosenfield, Ben; Board of Supervisors; 'cynthia.fong@sfcta.org'; 'graziolij@sfusd.edu'; Jessica Kinard; Cisneros, Jose; Durgy, Michelle; 'sfdocs@sfpl.info'; Lediju, Tonia; Rydstrom, Todd; Marx, Pauline; 'Peter Goldstein'; Torre, Rosanne; Morales, Richard
Subject: CCSF Investment Report for the month of August 2013

All,

Attached please find the CCSF Investment Report for the month of August 2013.

Thank you,

Brian Starr, CFA
Investment Analyst
Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall - Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-4487 (phone)
415-554-5660 (fax)



Pauline Marx, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Michelle Durgy, Chief Investment Officer

Investment Report for the month of August 2013

September 13, 2013

The Honorable Edwin M. Lee
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Ladies and Gentlemen,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of August 31, 2013. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of August 2013 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	August 2013	Fiscal YTD	July 2013
Average Daily Balance	\$ 6,129	\$ 6,185	\$ 6,073	\$ 6,073
Net Earnings	8.02	4.03	3.99	3.99
Earned Income Yield	0.77%	0.77%	0.77%	0.77%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	% of Portfolio	Book Value	Market Value	Wtd. Avg. Coupon	Wtd. Avg. YTM	WAM
Investment Type						
U.S. Treasuries	13.9%	\$ 859	\$ 855	1.07%	1.02%	1,185
Federal Agencies	67.4%	4,141	4,136	1.01%	0.91%	951
State & Local Government						
Agency Obligations	2.4%	147	144	2.79%	0.51%	401
Public Time Deposits	0.01%	1	1	0.48%	0.48%	201
Negotiable CDs	3.3%	200	200	0.34%	0.28%	262
Medium Term Notes	8.6%	530	527	1.57%	0.48%	410
Money Market Funds	4.5%	275	275	0.03%	0.03%	3
Totals	100.0%	\$ 6,153	\$ 6,138	1.04%	0.82%	859

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Very truly yours,

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Peter Goldstein, Joe Grazioli, Todd Rydstrom
Ben Rosenfield, Controller, Office of the Controller
Tonia Lediju, Internal Audit, Office of the Controller
Cynthia Fong, Deputy Director for Finance & Administration, San Francisco County Transportation Authority
Jessica Bullen, Fiscal and Policy Analyst
San Francisco Public Library

* Please see last page of this report for non-pooled funds holdings and statistics.

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of August 31, 2013

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 860	\$ 859	\$ 855	99.55	13.93%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	4,130	4,141	4,136	99.86	67.38%	85%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	142	147	144	98.30	2.35%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	1	1	1	100.00	0.01%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	200	200	200	100.00	3.26%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	-	-	-	-	0.00%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	524	530	527	99.37	8.59%	15%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	100%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds	275	275	275	-	4.48%	100%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 6,131	\$ 6,153	\$ 6,138	99.75	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on both a par and market value basis, using the result with the lowest percentage of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution.


The full Investment Policy can be found at <http://www.sftreasurer.org/>, in the Reports & Plans section of the About menu.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Portfolio Analysis


Pooled Fund

Par Value of Investments by Maturity




Callable bonds shown at maturity date.

Asset Allocation by Market Value




Yield Curves

Yields (%) on Benchmark Indices



Source: Bloomberg

U.S. Treasury Yield Curves



Source: Bloomberg

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Duration	Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized Book Value	Market Value
State/Local Agencies	13063BN65	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO BD	3/27/13	2/1/15	1.41	0.85	10,000,000	10,038,000	10,029,118	9,979,100
State/Local Agencies	649791JS0	NEW YORK ST TAXABLE GO	3/21/13	3/1/15	1.50	0.39	4,620,000	4,619,176	4,619,390	4,607,665
State/Local Agencies	91412GPW9	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA REVENUE BO	3/14/13	5/15/15	1.70	0.39	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	4,959,900
State/Local Agencies	612574DQ3	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	5/7/13	8/1/15	1.91	0.63	315,000	315,000	315,000	313,642
State/Local Agencies	64966GXS6	NEW YORK CITY TAXABLE GO	4/1/13	12/1/15	2.14	5.13	12,255,000	13,700,477	13,473,416	13,424,250
State/Local Agencies	13063BN73	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO BD	3/27/13	2/1/16	2.39	1.05	11,000,000	11,037,180	11,031,537	10,973,930
State/Local Agencies	612574DR1	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	5/7/13	8/1/16	2.88	0.98	2,670,000	2,670,000	2,670,000	2,636,652
Subtotals					1.09	2.79	141,565,000	146,741,491	143,644,992	143,241,396
Public Time Deposits		TRANS PACIFIC NATIONAL BANK PT	2/7/13	2/7/14	0.43	0.49	\$	240,000	\$	240,000
Public Time Deposits		BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO PTD	4/9/13	4/9/14	0.61	0.47	\$	240,000	\$	240,000
Public Time Deposits		FIRST NAT. BANK OF NOR. CAL. PT	4/9/13	4/9/14	0.61	0.48	\$	240,000	\$	240,000
Subtotals					0.65	0.48	\$	720,000	\$	720,000
Negotiable CDs	96121TQW1	WESTPAC NY FLT YCD 1ML+14	3/25/13	11/21/13	0.06	0.32	\$	50,000,000	\$	50,011,260
Negotiable CDs	78009NMC7	RBC YCD FF+22	3/26/13	3/26/14	0.00	0.30	\$	75,000,000	\$	75,000,000
Negotiable CDs	78009NKK8	RBC FLT YCD 1ML+11	6/24/13	6/24/14	0.07	0.29	\$	25,000,000	\$	25,000,000
Negotiable CDs	06417FB58	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA YCD 3ML+1	7/17/13	1/20/15	0.13	0.45	\$	50,000,000	\$	50,000,000
Subtotals					0.06	0.34	\$	200,033,502	\$	200,011,260
Medium Term Notes	36962GK3F9	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	3/27/13	9/20/13	0.06	5.40	\$	3,700,000	\$	3,713,671
Medium Term Notes	78008KNAT7	RBC MTN	1/30/13	1/15/14	0.38	1.13	\$	30,580,000	\$	30,673,266
Medium Term Notes	46623ECT4	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	3/13/13	1/15/14	0.38	5.38	\$	12,345,000	\$	12,574,489
Medium Term Notes	46623EJE0	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	3/1/13	1/24/14	0.40	2.05	\$	32,755,000	\$	32,971,094
Medium Term Notes	46623EJE0	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	3/13/13	1/24/14	0.40	2.05	\$	2,080,094	\$	2,063,765
Medium Term Notes	854403AA0	STANFORD UNIVERSITY MTN	4/26/13	5/1/14	0.66	3.63	\$	6,500,000	\$	6,644,121
Medium Term Notes	854403AA0	STANFORD UNIVERSITY MTN	4/26/13	5/1/14	0.66	3.63	\$	5,000,000	\$	5,110,862
Medium Term Notes	46623EJH3	JP MORGAN CHASE FLT MTN 3ML+1	5/2/13	5/2/14	0.04	1.02	\$	27,475,000	\$	27,604,303
Medium Term Notes	46623EJH3	JP MORGAN CHASE FLT MTN	8/2/13	5/2/14	0.04	1.02	\$	20,000,000	\$	20,120,724
Medium Term Notes	36962GK41	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	4/9/13	6/9/14	0.76	5.65	\$	25,000,000	\$	25,999,331
Medium Term Notes	59217EBW3	MET LIFE GLOBAL FUNDING MTN	11/13/12	6/10/14	0.76	5.13	\$	10,000,000	\$	10,356,651
Medium Term Notes	64952WBL6	NEW YORK LIFE MTN 3ML+0	3/27/13	7/30/14	0.16	0.27	\$	3,000,000	\$	3,000,427
Medium Term Notes	36962G4G6	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	8/7/13	11/14/14	1.18	3.75	\$	2,920,000	\$	3,058,156
Medium Term Notes	89233P7B6	TOYOTA MTN 3ML+17	1/28/13	12/5/14	0.01	0.44	\$	10,004,700	\$	10,003,198
Medium Term Notes	36962G6T6	GE FLT NT 3ML+38	1/10/13	1/9/15	0.11	0.65	\$	25,000,000	\$	25,000,000
Medium Term Notes	36962G5M2	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	7/12/13	1/9/15	1.34	2.15	\$	87,824,000	\$	89,465,589
Medium Term Notes	36962G5M2	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	8/7/13	1/9/15	1.34	2.15	\$	4,820,000	\$	4,929,599
Medium Term Notes	78008SVS2	RBC MTN FIX-TO-FLT	1/22/13	1/22/15	1.39	0.50	\$	100,000,000	\$	100,000,000
Medium Term Notes	89233P7H3	TOYOTA MTN 3ML+17	1/23/13	1/23/15	0.15	0.43	\$	35,000,000	\$	35,000,000
Medium Term Notes	89233P7L4	TOYOTA MTN FIX-TO-FLOAT	2/4/13	2/4/15	1.42	0.50	\$	25,000,000	\$	25,000,000
Medium Term Notes	89236TAG0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP 3MI	4/12/13	4/8/15	0.10	0.42	\$	50,000,000	\$	50,000,000
Medium Term Notes	36962G5Z3	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	8/19/13	7/2/15	1.81	1.63	\$	5,000,000	\$	5,084,423
Subtotals					0.75	1.67	\$	530,461,224	\$	528,373,669
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	12/31/12	9/3/13	0.01	0.04	\$	175,056,338	\$	175,056,338
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK T-FUND INSTL	1/15/13	9/3/13	0.01	0.01	\$	50,000,000	\$	50,000,000
Money Market Funds	316175108	FIDELITY INSTL GOVT PORT	6/20/13	9/3/13	0.01	0.01	\$	50,001,151	\$	50,001,151
Subtotals					0.01	0.03	\$	275,057,489	\$	275,057,489
Grand Totals					1.98	1.04	\$	6,130,824,489	\$	6,144,023,725

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133EAQ35	FFCB FLT NT FF+14	100,000,000	0.22	0.27	9/4/12	3/4/15	19,020	2,576	-	21,596
Federal Agencies	3133EAJP4	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+1.5	50,000,000	0.20	0.21	4/30/12	4/27/15	8,656	210	-	8,866
Federal Agencies	31315PWJ4	FARMER MAC FLT NT FF+26	50,000,000	0.34	0.34	5/3/12	5/1/15	14,466	-	-	14,466
Federal Agencies	3133EAQC5	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+1	50,000,000	0.19	0.21	6/8/12	5/14/15	8,494	420	-	8,914
Federal Agencies	3133EAWE5	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+2	50,000,000	0.20	0.22	12/5/12	6/22/15	8,987	424	-	9,411
Federal Agencies	3133ECV51	FFCB FLT NT T-BILL+14	62,500,000	0.17	0.18	8/5/13	8/5/15	7,645	462	-	8,107
Federal Agencies	31315PTR0	FARMER MAC MTN CALL	20,000,000	0.50	0.49	4/26/13	8/28/15	8,333	(403)	-	7,931
Federal Agencies	3137EACM9	FHLMC BONDS	50,000,000	1.75	2.17	12/15/10	9/10/15	72,917	17,023	-	89,940
Federal Agencies	313370JBS	FHLB	75,000,000	1.75	2.31	12/15/10	9/11/15	109,375	25,305	-	134,680
Federal Agencies	31315PGT0	FARMER MAC	45,000,000	2.13	2.17	9/15/10	9/15/15	79,688	1,444	-	81,131
Federal Agencies	3133ECJB1	FFCB FLT NT QTR TBILL+16	50,000,000	0.20	0.20	4/16/13	9/18/15	8,700	-	-	8,700
Federal Agencies	3133ECJB1	FFCB FLT NT QTR T-BILL+16	16,200,000	0.20	0.21	4/24/13	9/18/15	2,819	68	-	2,887
Federal Agencies	31398A3T7	FNMA NT EX-CALL	25,000,000	2.00	1.08	10/14/11	9/21/15	41,667	(18,992)	-	22,674
Federal Agencies	3133EAJF6	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+2.5	27,953,000	0.21	0.23	11/30/12	9/22/15	5,145	359	-	5,504
Federal Agencies	31398A4M1	FNMA	25,000,000	1.63	2.22	12/15/10	10/26/15	33,854	11,913	-	45,767
Federal Agencies	31398A4M1	FNMA	42,000,000	1.63	2.19	12/23/10	10/26/15	56,875	18,860	-	75,735
Federal Agencies	3136G1LX5	FNMA NT CALL	24,610,000	0.32	0.32	5/15/13	11/13/15	6,563	-	-	6,563
Federal Agencies	3133J2S1	FFCB	25,000,000	0.18	0.20	12/15/10	11/16/15	31,250	14,025	-	45,275
Federal Agencies	3133ECLZ5	FFCB FLT NT MONTHLY 1ML+0	25,000,000	0.50	0.19	5/8/13	11/19/15	4,056	101	-	4,156
Federal Agencies	313371ZY5	FHLB	25,000,000	1.88	1.89	12/3/10	12/11/15	39,063	304	-	39,367
Federal Agencies	313371ZY5	FHLB	50,000,000	1.88	1.93	12/14/10	12/11/15	78,125	2,185	-	80,310
Federal Agencies	3133ECP57	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+0	50,000,000	0.19	0.20	5/20/13	2/10/16	8,300	405	-	8,705
Federal Agencies	313375RN9	FHLB NT	22,200,000	1.00	0.82	4/13/12	3/11/16	18,500	(3,422)	-	15,078
Federal Agencies	3133EAJU3	FFCB NT	25,000,000	1.05	0.82	4/12/12	3/28/16	21,875	(4,733)	-	17,142
Federal Agencies	31315PTF6	FAMCA FLT MTN 1ML+0	50,000,000	0.20	0.20	4/1/13	4/1/16	8,581	-	-	8,581
Federal Agencies	313379Z21	FHLB NT	20,000,000	0.81	0.82	4/18/12	4/18/16	13,500	166	-	13,666
Federal Agencies	3135G0RZ8	FNMA CALL NT	22,540,000	0.55	0.55	11/30/12	5/26/16	10,331	-	-	10,331
Federal Agencies	313373ZN5	FHLB	35,000,000	2.03	2.03	6/6/11	6/6/16	59,208	-	-	59,208
Federal Agencies	31315PB73	FAMCA NT	10,000,000	0.90	0.90	2/9/12	6/9/16	7,500	-	-	7,500
Federal Agencies	313771AA5	FHLB SUB NT	16,925,000	5.63	0.65	5/20/13	6/13/16	79,336	(70,522)	-	8,814
Federal Agencies	313771AA5	FHLB SUB NT	14,195,000	5.63	0.77	5/30/13	6/13/16	66,539	(57,646)	-	8,893
Federal Agencies	31315PA25	FAMCA MTN	15,000,000	2.00	2.09	7/27/11	7/27/16	25,000	1,107	-	26,107
Federal Agencies	31315PA25	FAMCA MTN	14,100,000	2.00	0.63	3/26/13	7/27/16	23,500	(16,154)	-	7,346
Federal Agencies	313383TP6	FHLB STEP NT	11,900,000	2.00	0.62	3/26/13	7/27/16	19,833	(13,745)	-	6,088
Federal Agencies	313370TW8	FHLB BD	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	8/22/13	8/22/16	3,125	-	-	3,125
Federal Agencies	3135G0CM3	FNMA NT	25,000,000	2.00	1.39	10/11/11	9/9/16	41,667	(12,562)	-	29,104
Federal Agencies	3134G3P38	FHLMC NT CALL	25,000,000	1.25	1.37	10/11/11	9/28/16	26,042	2,453	-	28,495
Federal Agencies	3135G0ES8	FNMA NT	75,000,000	0.75	0.72	12/14/12	10/5/16	46,875	(7,487)	-	39,388
Federal Agencies	313381GA7	FHLB NT	50,000,000	0.38	0.25	12/14/11	11/15/16	57,292	(5,329)	-	51,963
Federal Agencies	313381KR5	FHLB NT CALL	23,100,000	0.57	0.57	11/30/12	11/30/16	10,973	(93)	-	10,879
Federal Agencies	313381KR5	FHLB NT CALL	13,500,000	0.63	0.63	12/28/12	12/28/16	7,031	-	-	7,031
Federal Agencies	3136FTUZ0	FNMA CALL NT	9,000,000	0.63	0.63	12/28/12	12/28/16	4,688	-	-	4,688
Federal Agencies	3134G33C2	FHLMC NT	50,000,000	0.60	1.41	12/30/11	12/30/16	58,333	424	-	58,758
Federal Agencies	3133ECB37	FFCB NT	50,000,000	0.60	0.60	1/3/13	1/3/17	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	31315PWV5	FARMER MAC MTN	14,000,000	0.58	0.58	12/20/12	11/21/17	6,767	-	-	6,767
Federal Agencies	3136FTL31	FNMA STEP BD CALL	49,500,000	1.01	1.02	5/4/12	1/17/17	41,663	446	-	42,109
Federal Agencies	3133786Q9	FHLB NT	30,765,000	0.75	0.68	4/30/12	2/17/17	19,228	(5,151)	-	14,077
Federal Agencies	3137EADC0	FHLMC NT	67,780,000	1.00	0.72	1/10/13	2/13/17	56,483	(15,893)	-	40,590
Federal Agencies	3133782N0	FHLB NT	50,000,000	1.00	1.13	3/12/12	3/8/17	41,667	5,147	-	46,813
Federal Agencies	3133782N0	FHLB NT	14,845,000	0.88	1.08	3/12/12	3/10/17	10,824	2,498	-	13,322

Monthly Investment Earnings Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income	Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133782N0	FHLB NT	55,660,000	0.88	1.06	3/12/12	3/10/17	40,585	8,547	-	49,133	49,133
Federal Agencies	31315PTQ2	FARMER MAC MTN	12,500,000	1.26	1.36	4/10/12	4/10/17	13,125	1,031	-	14,156	5,000
Federal Agencies	3133ECLL6	FFCB NT	10,000,000	0.60	0.60	4/17/13	4/17/17	5,000	-	-	5,000	21,250
Federal Agencies	3136G0CC3	FNMA STRNT	30,000,000	0.85	0.85	4/18/12	4/18/17	21,250	-	-	21,250	9,844
Federal Agencies	31315PUQ0	FARMER MAC MTN	10,500,000	1.13	1.13	4/26/12	4/26/17	9,844	-	-	9,844	10,417
Federal Agencies	313379AY2	FHLB FIX-TO-FLOAT CALL NT	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	5/9/12	5/9/17	10,417	-	-	10,417	23,781
Federal Agencies	3137EADF3	FHLMC NT	25,000,000	1.25	1.14	5/14/12	5/12/17	26,042	(2,260)	-	23,781	22,751
Federal Agencies	3136G0GW5	FNMA STEP NT CALL	50,000,000	0.85	0.73	6/11/12	5/23/17	35,417	(12,666)	-	22,751	5,988
Federal Agencies	31315PZQ5	FARMER MAC MTN	9,000,000	1.11	0.80	12/28/12	6/5/17	8,325	(2,337)	-	5,988	13,083
Federal Agencies	3133EAUW6	FARMER MAC MTN	50,000,000	0.30	0.30	6/19/12	6/19/17	13,083	-	-	13,083	9,838
Federal Agencies	3133ECV92	FFCB FLT NT FF+22	50,000,000	0.22	0.22	7/24/13	7/24/17	9,838	-	-	9,838	4,490
Federal Agencies	3133ECV66	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+4	23,520,000	0.26	0.26	8/5/13	7/26/17	4,490	-	-	4,490	9,375
Federal Agencies	3136G0ZA2	FFCB FLT NT 3ML+0	15,000,000	0.75	0.75	9/12/12	9/12/17	9,375	-	-	9,375	37,771
Federal Agencies	3136G0B59	FNMA STEP NT	64,750,000	0.70	0.70	9/20/12	9/20/17	37,771	-	-	37,771	60,000
Federal Agencies	3136G0D81	FNMA STEP NT	100,000,000	0.72	0.72	9/27/12	9/27/17	60,000	-	-	60,000	26,042
Federal Agencies	3136G0Y39	FNMA STEP NT	50,000,000	0.63	0.63	11/8/12	11/8/17	26,042	-	-	26,042	33,333
Federal Agencies	3134G44F2	FHLMC CALL MTN	50,000,000	0.80	0.80	5/21/13	11/21/17	33,333	-	-	33,333	37,875
Federal Agencies	3135G0RT2	FNMA NT	100,000,000	0.88	0.91	1/10/13	12/20/17	36,458	1,417	-	37,875	85,227
Federal Agencies	3135G0RT2	FNMA GLOBAL	39,000,000	0.88	1.02	1/29/13	12/20/17	72,917	12,311	-	85,227	24,375
Federal Agencies	3136G13T4	FNMA STEP NT	29,000,000	0.75	0.75	12/26/12	12/26/17	24,375	-	-	24,375	18,125
Federal Agencies	3136G13Q0	FNMA STEP NT	29,000,000	0.75	0.75	12/26/12	12/26/17	18,125	-	-	18,125	12,826
Federal Agencies	3134G32W9	FHLMC MTN CALL	33,600,000	1.25	1.01	12/26/12	12/26/17	35,000	(22,174)	-	12,826	17,796
Federal Agencies	3134G32W9	FHLMC MTN CALL	50,000,000	1.25	1.00	12/26/12	12/26/17	52,083	(34,287)	-	17,796	41,667
Federal Agencies	3134G32M1	FHLMC CALL NT	50,000,000	1.00	1.00	12/28/12	12/28/17	41,667	-	-	41,667	7,923
Federal Agencies	3136G1FK0	FNMA NT CALL	21,500,000	1.60	1.36	3/13/13	3/13/18	28,667	(20,744)	-	7,923	7,172
Federal Agencies	3136G1GG8	FNMA NT CALL	17,900,000	1.50	1.29	3/19/13	3/19/18	22,375	(15,203)	-	7,172	10,102
Federal Agencies	3136G1J67	FNMA NT CALL	25,000,000	1.50	1.29	4/9/13	4/9/18	31,250	(21,148)	-	10,102	24,153
Federal Agencies	3136G1KN8	FNMA NT CALL	50,000,000	1.50	1.13	4/24/13	4/24/18	62,500	(38,347)	-	24,153	7,875
Federal Agencies	3136G1K81	FNMA NT STEP	12,600,000	0.75	0.75	4/30/13	4/30/18	7,875	-	-	7,875	14,350
Federal Agencies	31315PZM4	FARMER MAC STEP NT	24,600,000	0.70	0.70	5/3/13	5/3/18	14,350	-	-	14,350	10,417
Federal Agencies	313382XK4	FHLB STEP NT	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	5/7/13	5/7/18	10,417	-	-	10,417	8,407
Federal Agencies	3133ECPB4	FFCB NT	10,000,000	0.88	1.01	5/23/13	5/14/18	7,292	1,116	-	8,407	51,984
Federal Agencies	313383AS0	FHLB NT CALL	50,000,000	1.40	1.25	5/21/13	5/21/18	58,333	(6,349)	-	51,984	21,858
Federal Agencies	3135G0WJ8	FNMA NT	25,000,000	0.88	1.05	5/23/13	5/21/18	18,229	3,629	-	21,858	20,833
Federal Agencies	3133834P3	FHLB STEP NT	50,000,000	0.50	0.50	5/22/13	5/22/18	20,833	-	-	20,833	2,943,691
Subtotals			\$ 4,129,513,000					\$ 3,426,790	\$ (488,100)	\$	\$ 2,943,691	

State/Local Agencies	612574DN0	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE DIST (0.34	0.34	5/7/13	8/1/13					
State/Local Agencies	022168KZ0	ALUM ROCK ESD SAN JOSE CA		0.80	0.80	7/13/12	9/1/13	1,110			1,110	
State/Local Agencies	463655GW4	IRVINE RANCH CA WTR PRE-RE	1,665,000	2.61	0.53	3/29/12	3/15/14	32,563	(26,250)		6,312	
State/Local Agencies	463655GW4	IRVINE RANCH CA WTR PRE-RE	11,115,000	2.61	0.42	6/8/12	3/15/14	24,129	(20,551)		3,578	
State/Local Agencies	463655GW4	IRVINE RANCH CA WTR PRE-RE	8,150,000	2.61	0.42	6/8/12	3/15/14	17,692	(15,069)		2,623	
State/Local Agencies	463655GW4	IRVINE RANCH CA WTR PRE-RE	2,000,000	2.61	0.32	4/29/13	3/15/14	4,342	(3,875)		467	
State/Local Agencies	13063A5B6	CALIFORNIA ST GO BD	2,820,000	5.25	1.04	5/2/12	4/1/14	12,338	(9,950)		2,387	
State/Local Agencies	13063A5B6	CALIFORNIA ST GO BD	10,000,000	5.25	0.45	4/8/13	4/1/14	43,750	(40,612)		3,138	
State/Local Agencies	13063A5B6	CALIFORNIA ST GO BD	7,270,000	5.25	0.39	5/23/13	4/1/14	31,806	(29,880)		1,926	
State/Local Agencies	13063A5B6	CALIFORNIA ST GO BD	1,250,000	5.25	0.55	7/29/13	4/1/14	5,469	(4,959)		510	
State/Local Agencies	13063CEA4	CALIFORNIA ST GO	27,000,000	2.00	0.21	8/22/13	5/28/14	14,795	(13,219)		1,575	
State/Local Agencies	62451FFC9	WHISMAN SCHOOL DIST MTN VIEW	1,125,000	0.75	0.75	7/24/12	8/1/14	704			704	
State/Local Agencies	612574DP5	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	310,000	0.43	0.43	5/7/13	8/1/14	111			111	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income / Net Earnings
State/Local Agencies	64966DPC7	NEW YORK CITY GO	8,000,000	4.75	0.68	6/7/12	11/1/14	31,667	(27,385)	-	4,282
State/Local Agencies	13063BN65	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO BD	10,000,000	0.85	0.64	3/27/13	2/1/15	7,083	(1,743)	-	5,341
State/Local Agencies	649791JS0	NEW YORK ST TAXABLE GO	4,620,000	0.39	0.40	3/21/13	3/1/15	1,502	40	-	1,542
State/Local Agencies	91412GPW9	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA REVENUE BO	5,000,000	0.39	0.39	3/14/13	5/15/15	1,633	-	-	1,633
State/Local Agencies	612574DQ3	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	315,000	0.63	0.63	5/7/13	8/1/15	165	-	-	165
State/Local Agencies	64966GXS6	NEW YORK CITY TAXABLE GO	12,255,000	5.13	0.66	4/1/13	12/1/15	52,390	(46,006)	-	6,384
State/Local Agencies	13063BN73	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO BD	11,000,000	1.05	0.93	3/27/13	2/1/16	9,625	(1,107)	-	8,518
State/Local Agencies	612574DR1	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	2,670,000	0.98	0.98	5/7/13	8/1/16	2,185	-	-	2,185
Subtotals			\$ 141,986,000					\$ 295,058	\$ (240,565)	\$	\$ 54,492
Public Time Deposits		TRANS PACIFIC NATIONAL BANK P1	240,000	0.49	0.49	2/7/13	2/7/14	99	\$	\$	99
Public Time Deposits		BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO PTD	240,000	0.47	0.47	4/9/13	4/9/14	97	-	-	97
Public Time Deposits		FIRST NAT. BANK OF NOR. CAL. PTI	240,000	0.48	0.48	4/9/13	4/9/14	99	-	-	99
Subtotals			\$ 720,000					\$ 296	\$	\$	\$ 296
Negotiable CDs	06538GAE2	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI YCD	-	0.10	0.10	8/1/13	8/8/13	972	\$	\$	972
Negotiable CDs	06366ALD2	BANK OF MONTREAL YCD	-	0.15	0.15	7/11/13	8/12/13	1,146	-	-	1,146
Negotiable CDs	06538GAJ1	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI YCD	-	0.12	0.12	8/1/13	8/15/13	2,333	-	-	2,333
Negotiable CDs	06366ASB9	BANK OF MONTREAL YCD	-	0.08	0.08	8/21/13	8/28/13	389	-	-	389
Negotiable CDs	06417FAY6	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA YCD	-	0.38	0.38	9/4/12	8/30/13	15,306	-	-	15,306
Negotiable CDs	96121TQW1	WESTPAC NY FLT YCD 1ML+14	50,000,000	0.32	0.06	3/25/13	11/21/13	13,669	(4,309)	-	9,359
Negotiable CDs	78009NMC7	RBC YCD FF+22	75,000,000	0.30	0.30	3/26/13	3/26/14	19,625	-	-	19,625
Negotiable CDs	78009NNK8	RBC FLT YCD 1ML+11	25,000,000	0.29	0.29	6/24/13	6/24/14	6,434	-	-	6,434
Negotiable CDs	06417FB58	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA YCD 3ML+1	50,000,000	0.45	0.45	7/17/13	1/20/15	19,272	-	-	19,272
Subtotals			\$ 200,000,000					\$ 79,145	\$ (4,309)	\$	\$ 74,836
Commercial Paper	06538BVP5	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI CP	-	0.00	0.12	8/16/13	8/23/13	1,167	\$	\$	1,167
Commercial Paper	06538BVW2	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI CP	-	0.00	0.14	8/15/13	8/29/13	2,722	-	-	2,722
Subtotals			\$					\$ 3,889	\$	\$	\$ 3,889
Medium Term Notes	36962G3F9	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	3,700,000	5.40	0.27	3/27/13	9/20/13	16,650	(15,967)	\$	683
Medium Term Notes	78008KNA7	RBC MTN	30,580,000	1.13	0.30	1/30/13	1/15/14	28,669	(21,259)	-	7,410
Medium Term Notes	46623ECT4	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	12,345,000	5.38	0.34	3/13/13	1/15/14	55,295	(52,310)	-	2,985
Medium Term Notes	46623EJE0	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	32,755,000	2.05	0.38	3/1/13	1/24/14	55,956	(46,199)	-	9,757
Medium Term Notes	46623EJE0	JP MORGAN CHASE MTN	2,050,000	2.05	0.35	3/13/13	1/24/14	3,502	(2,943)	-	559
Medium Term Notes	854403AA0	STANFORD UNIVERSITY MTN	6,500,000	3.63	0.27	4/26/13	5/1/14	19,635	(18,462)	-	1,174
Medium Term Notes	854403AA0	STANFORD UNIVERSITY MTN	5,000,000	3.63	0.27	4/26/13	5/1/14	15,104	(14,201)	-	903
Medium Term Notes	46623EJH3	JP MORGAN CHASE FLT MTN 3ML+1	27,475,000	1.02	0.18	5/2/13	5/2/14	24,209	(16,495)	-	7,714
Medium Term Notes	46623EJH3	JP MORGAN CHASE FLT MTN	20,000,000	1.02	0.32	8/2/13	5/2/14	17,054	(11,676)	-	5,378
Medium Term Notes	36962GX41	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	25,000,000	5.65	0.44	4/9/13	6/9/14	117,708	(110,246)	-	7,462
Medium Term Notes	59217EBW3	MET LIFE GLOBAL FUNDING MTN	10,000,000	5.13	0.49	11/13/12	6/10/14	42,708	(39,206)	-	3,502
Medium Term Notes	64952WBL6	NEW YORK LIFE MTN 3ML+0	3,000,000	0.27	0.24	3/27/13	7/30/14	685	(40)	-	645
Medium Term Notes	36962G4G6	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	2,920,000	3.75	0.52	8/7/13	11/14/14	7,300	(6,430)	-	870
Medium Term Notes	89233P7B6	TOYOTA MTN 3ML+17	10,000,000	0.44	0.41	1/28/13	12/15/14	3,816	(216)	-	3,601
Medium Term Notes	36962G6T6	GE FLT NT 3ML+38	25,000,000	0.65	0.65	1/10/13	1/9/15	13,991	-	-	13,991
Medium Term Notes	36962G5M2	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	87,824,000	2.15	0.77	7/12/13	1/9/15	157,351	(101,821)	-	55,530
Medium Term Notes	36962G5M2	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	4,820,000	2.15	0.59	8/7/13	1/9/15	6,909	(5,128)	-	1,780
Medium Term Notes	78008SVS2	RBC MTN FIX-TO-FLT	100,000,000	0.50	0.50	1/22/13	1/22/15	41,667	-	-	41,667
Medium Term Notes	89233P7H3	TOYOTA MTN 3ML+17	35,000,000	0.43	0.43	1/23/13	1/23/15	13,101	-	-	13,101
Medium Term Notes	89233P7L4	TOYOTA MTN FIX-TO-FLOAT	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	2/4/13	2/4/15	10,417	-	-	10,417

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle		Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
						Date	Date					
Medium Term Notes	89236TAG0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP 3MI	50,000,000	0.42	0.42	4/12/13	8/19/13	4/8/15	18,122	-	-	18,122
Medium Term Notes	36962G5Z3	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	5,000,000	1.63	0.81	8/19/13	7/2/15	7/2/15	2,708	(1,434)	-	1,274
Subtotals			\$ 524,969,000						\$ 672,569	\$ (164,036)	\$	\$ 208,524
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	\$ 175,056,338	0.04	0.04	12/31/12	7/1/14	7/1/14	4,397	-	-	4,397
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK T-FUND INSTL	50,000,000	0.01	0.01	1/15/13	7/1/14	7/1/14	425	-	-	425
Money Market Funds	316175108	FIDELITY INSTL GOVT PORT	50,001,151	0.01	0.01	6/20/13	7/1/14	7/1/14	425	-	-	425
Subtotals			\$ 275,057,489						\$ 5,247	\$	\$	\$ 5,246
Grand Totals			\$ 6,130,824,459						\$ 5,251,280	\$ (1,223,593)	\$	\$ 4,027,687

¹Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Collipon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	8/1/2013	8/8/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538GAE2	\$ 50,000,000	0.10	0.10	\$ 100.00	\$	\$ 50,000,000
Purchase	8/1/2013	8/15/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538GAJ1	50,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	8/2/2013	5/2/2014	Medium Term Notes	JP MORGAN CHASE FLT MTN	46623EJH3	20,000,000	1.02	0.32	100.53	-	20,132,400
Purchase	8/5/2013	8/5/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT T-BILL+14	3133ECVW1	62,500,000	0.17	0.18	99.98	-	62,487,500
Purchase	8/5/2013	7/26/2017	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 3ML+0	3133ECVW6	23,520,000	0.26	0.26	100.00	-	23,521,554
Purchase	8/7/2013	1/9/2015	Medium Term Notes	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	36962G5M2	4,820,000	2.15	0.59	102.21	-	4,934,727
Purchase	8/7/2013	11/14/2014	Medium Term Notes	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	36962G4G6	2,920,000	3.75	0.52	104.09	-	3,064,586
Purchase	8/15/2013	8/29/2013	Commercial Paper	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538BVV2	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	99.99	-	49,997,278
Purchase	8/16/2013	8/19/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	35,000,000	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	35,000,000
Purchase	8/16/2013	8/23/2013	Commercial Paper	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538BVP5	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	100.00	-	49,998,833
Purchase	8/19/2013	7/2/2015	Medium Term Notes	GE CAPITAL CORP MTN	36962G5Z3	5,000,000	1.63	0.81	101.51	-	5,085,858
Purchase	8/21/2013	8/28/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL YCD	06366ASB9	25,000,000	0.08	0.08	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	8/22/2013	8/22/2016	Federal Agencies	FHLB STEP NT	313383TP6	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	8/22/2013	5/28/2014	State/Local Agencies	CALIFORNIA ST RAN	13063CEA4	27,000,000	2.00	0.21	101.37	-	27,368,820
Purchase	8/30/2013	9/3/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	75,000,000	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	75,000,000
Purchase	8/31/2013	9/3/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	4,397	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	4,397
Purchase	8/31/2013	9/3/2013	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INSTL GOVT PORT	316175T08	425	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	425
Subtotals						\$ 505,764,821	0.30	0.16	\$ 100.15	\$	\$ 506,596,377
Sale	8/1/2013	8/2/2013	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK T-FUND INSTL	09248U718	\$ 50,000,000	0.01	0.01	\$ 100.00	\$	\$ 50,000,000
Sale	8/1/2013	8/2/2013	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INSTL GOVT PORT	316175T08	50,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	50,000,000	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	8/6/2013	8/7/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	35,000,000	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	35,000,000
Sale	8/27/2013	8/28/2013	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	35,000,000	0.04	0.04	100.00	-	35,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 220,000,000	0.03	0.03	\$ 100.00	\$	\$ 220,000,000
Maturity	8/1/2013	8/1/2013	State/Local Agencies	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE DI	612574DND	\$ 255,000	0.34	0.34	\$ 100.00	\$	\$ 255,199
Maturity	8/8/2013	8/8/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538GAE2	50,000,000	0.10	0.10	100.00	199	50,000,972
Maturity	8/12/2013	8/12/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL YCD	06366ALD2	25,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	3,333	25,003,333
Maturity	8/15/2013	8/15/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538GAJ1	50,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	2,333	50,002,333
Maturity	8/23/2013	8/23/2013	Commercial Paper	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538BVP5	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	100.00	1,167	50,000,000
Maturity	8/28/2013	8/28/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL YCD	06366ASB9	25,000,000	0.08	0.08	100.00	389	25,000,389
Maturity	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	Commercial Paper	BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI	06538BVV2	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	99.99	2,722	50,000,000
Maturity	8/30/2013	8/30/2013	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA YCD	06417FAY6	50,000,000	0.38	0.38	100.00	190,000	50,190,000
Subtotals						\$ 380,255,000	0.12	0.16	\$ 100.00	\$ 201,116	\$ 380,452,277
Interest	8/1/2013	8/1/2014	State/Local Agencies	WHISMAN SCHOOL DIST MTN	62451FFC9	\$ 1,125,000	0.75	0.75	\$ 100.00	\$	\$ 4,224
Interest	8/1/2013	2/1/2015	State/Local Agencies	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO	13063BN65	10,000,000	0.85	0.64	100.38	29,278	29,278
Interest	8/1/2013	2/1/2016	State/Local Agencies	CALIFORNIA ST TAXABLE GO	13063BN73	11,000,000	1.05	0.93	100.34	39,783	39,783
Interest	8/1/2013	4/1/2016	Federal Agencies	FAMCA FLT MTN 1ML+0	31315PTF6	50,000,000	0.20	0.20	100.00	8,398	8,398
Interest	8/1/2013	8/1/2014	State/Local Agencies	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	612574DF5	310,000	0.43	0.43	100.00	311	311
Interest	8/1/2013	8/1/2015	State/Local Agencies	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	612574DQ3	315,000	0.63	0.63	100.00	463	463
Interest	8/1/2013	8/1/2016	State/Local Agencies	MONTEREY COMM COLLEGE GO	612574DR1	2,670,000	0.98	0.98	100.00	6,118	6,118
Interest	8/2/2013	7/1/2014	Money Market Funds	CITI SWEEP			0.02	0.02	100.00	40	40
Interest	8/3/2013	5/1/2015	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC FLT NT FF+26	31315PWJ4	50,000,000	0.39	0.38	100.00	46,764	46,764
Interest	8/4/2013	2/4/2015	Medium Term Notes	TOYOTA MTN FIX-TO-FLOAT	89233P7L4	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	100.00	31,250	31,250
Interest	8/7/2013	2/7/2017	Federal Agencies	FNMA STEP BD CALL	3136FTL31	30,765,000	0.75	0.68	100.35	115,369	115,369
Interest	8/7/2013	2/7/2014	Public Time Deposits	TRANS PACIFIC NATIONAL B		240,000	0.49	0.49	100.00	294	294
Interest	8/9/2013	5/9/2017	Federal Agencies	FHLB FIX-TO-FLOAT CALL N	3133794Y2	25,000,000	0.50	0.50	100.00	31,250	31,250
Interest	8/10/2013	2/10/2016	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+0	3133ECP57	50,000,000	0.19	0.20	99.97	8,300	8,300
Interest	8/13/2013	2/13/2017	Federal Agencies	FHLB NT	3133786G9	67,780,000	1.00	0.72	101.13	338,900	338,900
Interest	8/14/2013	5/14/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+1	3133EAQC5	50,000,000	0.20	0.22	99.97	8,655	8,655

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	GUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Interest	8/19/2013	11/19/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT MONTHLY 1ML+	3133ECLZ5	25,000,000	0.19	0.20	99.99	4,123	4,123
Interest	8/20/2013	8/20/2014	Federal Agencies	FHLMC NT	3134G2UA8	28,000,000	1.00	0.67	100.88	140,000	140,000
Interest	8/21/2013	11/21/2014	Federal Agencies	FNMA FLT QTR FF+39	3136FTRF8	26,500,000	0.47	0.40	100.09	32,514	32,514
Interest	8/21/2013	11/21/2013	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC NY FLT YCD 1ML+1	96121TQW1	50,000,000	0.31	0.09	100.07	13,814	13,814
Interest	8/22/2013	9/22/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+2.5	3133EAFJ6	27,953,000	0.22	0.24	99.96	5,212	5,212
Interest	8/22/2013	6/22/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+2	3133EAVE5	50,000,000	0.21	0.22	99.97	9,108	9,108
Interest	8/24/2013	7/24/2017	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+4	3133ECV92	50,000,000	0.23	0.23	100.00	9,926	9,926
Interest	8/27/2013	4/27/2015	Federal Agencies	FFCB FLT NT 1ML+1.5	3133EAPJ4	50,000,000	0.20	0.21	99.99	8,673	8,673
Interest	8/27/2013	6/24/2014	Negotiable CDs	RBC FLT YCD 1ML+11	78009NNK8	25,000,000	0.30	0.30	100.00	7,096	7,096
Interest	8/28/2013	8/28/2015	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC MTN CALL	31315PTR0	20,000,000	0.50	0.49	100.02	33,889	33,889
Interest	8/31/2013	2/28/2017	U.S. Treasuries	US TSY NT	912828SJ0	100,000,000	0.88	0.94	99.70	437,500	50,000
Interest	8/31/2013	2/28/2017	U.S. Treasuries	US TSY NT	912828SJ0	25,000,000	0.88	1.21	98.40	109,375	437,500
Interest	8/31/2013	2/28/2017	U.S. Treasuries	US TSY NT	912828SJ0	25,000,000	0.88	1.21	98.40	109,375	109,375
Interest	8/31/2013	8/31/2017	U.S. Treasuries	US TSY NT	912828TM2	60,000,000	0.63	0.69	99.68	187,500	109,375
Interest	8/31/2013	7/1/2014	Money Market Funds	MS INSTL GOVT FUND	61747C707	175,051,941	0.04	0.04	100.00	4,397	187,500
Interest	8/31/2013	7/1/2014	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INSTL GOVT PORT	316175108	50,000,726	0.01	0.01	100.00	4,397	4,397
Subtotals						\$ 1,167,740,667	0.41	0.40	\$ 99.99	\$ 1,782,323	\$ 1,798,435

Grand Totals	17	Purchases
	(5)	Sales
	(8)	Maturities / Calls
	4	Change in number of positions

Non-Pooled Investments

As of August 31, 2013

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issue Name	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Duration	Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized Book Value	Market Value
State/Local Agencies	797712AD8	SFRDA SOUTH BEACH HARBOR	1/20/12	12/1/16	3.07	3.50	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000
Subtotals					3.07	3.50	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,500,000
Money Market Funds		CITI SWEEP	8/30/13	9/3/13	0.01	0.02	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338
Subtotals					0.01	0.02	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338	\$ 2,069,338
Grand Totals					2.11	2.40	\$ 6,569,338	\$ 6,569,338	\$ 6,569,338	\$ 6,569,338

NON-POOLED FUNDS PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

(in \$ million)	Current Month			Prior Month		
	Fiscal YTD	August 2013	July 2013	Fiscal YTD	August 2012	July 2012
Average Daily Balance	\$ 60,049,824	\$ 28,510,329	\$ 91,589,319	\$ 91,589,319	\$ 91,589,319	\$ 91,589,319
Net Earnings	\$ 28,164	\$ 13,539	\$ 14,625	\$ 14,625	\$ 14,625	\$ 14,625
Earned Income Yield	0.28%	0.56%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%

Note: All non-pooled securities were inherited by the City and County of San Francisco as successor agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. Book value and amortized book value are derived from limited information received from the SFRDA and are subject to verification.

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Transit Effectiveness EIR - Memo - TEP EIR and issues with larger planning initiatives proposed
Attachments: TEP_EIR_091513.pdf; 19th_Ave_SFCTA_memo022613.pdf; 2010-07-WTPCC Parkmerced Letter v6.pdf; 071210_SFSUmou.pdf

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 10:31 PM
To: Jones, Sarah; Dwyer, Debra; Kline, Heidi
Cc: cac@sfcta.org; Board of Supervisors; Chester Fung; Albert, Peter; Lindsey Miller; Joshua Karlin-Resnick; George Wooding
Subject: Transit Effectiveness EIR - Memo - TEP EIR and issues with larger planning initiatives proposed

Please find the attached memo on the EIR for the TEP, also included are a prior memo on the 19th Ave Study and options, and a memo from the WOTPCC on the issues and concerns on transit and overall problems on the west-side.

The WOTPCC memo highlights the issue of Tier-5 Funding and resolution of the future proposed issues as a key component in any discussion on transit improvements.

The 071210_SFSUMOU document highlights also the lack of teeth the city has with SFSU-CSU as the biggest impactor of transit on the west-side, with traffic, parking and transit drastically impacted by an institutional growth concern un-addressed and un-collected on financially from the university. The current co-funded study on the 19th Transit Study ignores the prior MOU, and the fact that they have not paid in their fair share of transit impacts on the western side. Parkmerced's proposed 80million, and the negligent amounts proposed by SFSU-CSU and General Growth Properties for their co-impacts ignores the real future needs of the west-side for growth and impacts of larger scaled projects that are still in court.

I strongly urge you to consider the direct alternatives we have submitted prior as very feasible and thought through alternatives that lessen overall impacts on communities and further investigate the real connectivity and routing we need on the west-side of SF.

I am as noted more than willing to sit down with the SFCTA agency members to discuss the sketches submitted and to discuss the TEP and future west-side planning of transit systems to be more inclusive and further reaching in scope so that possible plans, routes, and cost-saving possible routing can be discussed and envisioned.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman

Subject: Opportunity for Public Comment - Renewal of Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Grazing Operations in the Tomales Bay Watershed
Attachments: MarinJPublicNotice 091213.pdf

From: lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov [mailto:lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 03:51

To: Calvillo, Angela

Subject: Opportunity for Public Comment - Renewal of Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Grazing Operations in the Tomales Bay Watershed

This is a message from the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

Notice is hereby given, (see attached), that the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) is accepting comments on the Renewal of Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Grazing Operations in the Tomales Bay Watershed (Conditional Waiver).

The proposed Conditional Waiver and its attachments are available at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/tomalesbaypathogenstmdl.shtml.


We are accepting comments on the proposed Conditional Waiver until October 16. A public workshop presenting the Conditional Waiver will be held during the public review and comment period. At this time, a location and date have not been set. Please refer to the webpage above for up-to-date information on this upcoming workshop.

The Water Board will hold a public meeting on November 13, 2013 to consider renewing the Conditional Waiver.

Please direct questions about this notice to Laurent Meillier, at (510) 622-3277 (LMeillier@waterboards.ca.gov).

You are currently subscribed to reg2_tmdl_basinplanning as: angela.calvillo@sfgov.org.

To unsubscribe click here: leave-512390-248079.8183712791a0c9284ba3a3bf729995@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov



EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

MATTHEW RODRIGUEZ
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

RENEWAL OF CONDITIONAL WAIVER OF WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR GRAZING OPERATIONS IN THE TOMALES BAY WATERSHED

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board is accepting comments on the Renewal of Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Grazing Operations in the Tomales Bay Watershed (Conditional Waiver). The Conditional Waiver applies to existing and potential future grazing operations in the Tomales Bay Watershed.

The Conditional Waiver is consistent with the State Water Resources Control Board's 2004 *Policy for Implementation and Enforcement of the Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program*. The Policy requires all sources of nonpoint source pollution be regulated through Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs), through waivers of WDRs, or through prohibitions.

The proposed Conditional Waiver and its attachments are available online at:
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/tomalesbaypathogenstmdl.shtml.

SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN COMMENTS

The public review period to submit comments on the proposed Conditional Waiver begins on September 16 and ends on October 16, 2013. A public workshop presenting the Conditional Waiver will be held during the public review period. At this time, a location and date have not been set. Refer to the webpage above for up-to-date information on this upcoming workshop. Comments must be sent to the attention of Laurent Meillier at the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California 94612, or by fax to (510) 622-2460, or preferably by e-mail to LMeillier@waterboards.ca.gov by close of business October 16, 2013.

PUBLIC HEARING

The Water Board will hold a public meeting to consider renewing this Conditional Waiver on November 13, 2013. Details on the public meeting are as follows:

Time and Date: 9:00 A.M., November 13, 2013
Location: Auditorium, Elihu Harris State Building
1515 Clay Street
Oakland, California 94612

Please direct questions about this notice to Laurent Meillier, Engineering Geologist, at (510) 622-3277 (LMeillier@waterboards.ca.gov).

JOHN MULLER, CHAIR | BRUCE H. WOLFE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

1515 Clay St., Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612 | www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay