FILE NO. 000183

ORDINANCE NO. 57-00

[Limitation Period for Filing Tax Claim]

AMENDING ARTICLE 6 OF PART III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 6.15-1 TO PROVIDE THAT ANY CLAIM FOR REFUND OF TAXES PAID BE FILED WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THE TIME THE RETURN WAS FILED OR THE TAX WAS PAID, WHICHEVER PERIOD EXPIRES LATER, WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH PERIOD EXPIRE PRIOR TO THE SHORTEST PERIOD ALLOWABLE FOR FILING TAX REFUND CLAIMS UNDER TITLE 1, DIVISION 3.6, PART 3, SECTION 911.2 OF THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE.

Note:Additions are <u>underlined;</u> deletions are in ((double parentheses)).Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The following are findings of the Board of Supervisors regarding the purpose of prior law:

The specific legislative intent of the Board of Supervisors in enacting the 90-day limitation period previously set forth in Section 6.15-1(a) of the San Francisco Municipal Code was to provide prompt notice to the City and County of San Francisco of challenges to the validity of taxes imposed under Part III of the Municipal Code to enable the City and County of San Francisco to cure any defect in such tax in the current taxable year, and thereby protect the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco. The City and County of San Francisco selected that 90-day period believing, and still believes, that, as a Charter City, it has sovereign power over municipal affairs under article XI, section 5, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution to adopt claim-filing procedures and periods of limitations independent of the enabling legislation contained in Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3 (sections 900 et seq.) of the California Government Code.

Section 2. The following are findings of the Board of Supervisors regarding the purpose of amending prior law:

CITY ATTORNEY

The specific legislative intent of the Board of Supervisors in enacting the limitation periods now set forth in Section 6.15-1(b) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is to conform the local limitations period with the periods established by the California Tort Claims Act. The Tort Claims Act limitations periods have been in place since 1963, are familiar to the bench and bar, and are the subject of an established body of case law that will aid in the interpretation and application of this ordinance. Further, reliance on the limitations scheme long established by the Tort Claims Act is recommended by the League of California Cities and it is likely that many cities throughout the state will follow that recommendation. Accordingly, this ordinance promotes uniform limitations periods both with respect to similar provisions of State law and with respect to the practice of other local governments in the State

Section 3. Article 6 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 6.15-1, to read as follows:

SEC. 6.15-1. REFUNDS. (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c) below, whenever the amount of any tax, interest or penalty has been overpaid or paid more than once, or has been erroneously or illegally collected or received by the City and County under Part III of the Municipal Code, ((that amount)) so much of the tax as has been overpaid or paid more than once or has been erroneously collected or received by the City and County of <u>San Francisco</u> may be refunded, provided a verified claim in writing therefor, stating under penalty of perjury the specific grounds upon which the claim was founded, with specificity sufficient to enable the Tax Collector to understand and evaluate the claim, is filed with the Tax Collector within ((three years of the day of payment. Any claim for refund of taxes paid based on a violation of the United States or California Constitutions or a violation of a United States or California statute must be made within 90 days of the payment of the taxes for which the refund is claimed and must state the grounds on which the claim is based)) six months

CITY ATTORNEY

24

25

from time the return was due or the tax was paid, whichever of such periods expires later; provided, however, that in no event shall the period to file such claim expire prior to shortest period allowable for filing a tax refund claim under Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3, section 911.2 of the California Government Code or any successor provision as amended from time to time. For purposes of this section 6.15-1, a claim shall be deemed to accrue on the later of the date the return was due or the tax was paid.

(b) The claim shall be on a form furnished by the Tax Collector. A refund claim may only be signed by the taxpayer or other person determined to be liable for the tax or said person's guardian or conservator. No other agent, including the taxpayer's attorney, may sign a refund claim. Class claims for refunds shall not be permitted. If the claim is approved by the department which collected said tax and by the Controller, the excess amount collected or paid may be refunded or may be credited on any amount due and payable, from the person from whom it was collected or by whom paid and the balance may be refunded to such person, his administrator or executors.

(c) The Tax Collector, in his or her discretion and upon good cause shown, may waive the requirement set forth in subdivision (a) above that a taxpayer file a verified claim in writing stating under penalty of perjury the specific grounds upon which a claim for refund is founded in any case in which the Tax Collector can and does determine on the basis of other evidence presented to him or her that (i) an amount of tax, interest or penalty has been overpaid or paid more than once, or has been erroneously or illegally collected or received by the City and County under Part III of the Municipal Code, and (ii) all other conditions precedent to the payment of a refund to the taxpayer have been satisfied.

(d) Transitional Rule. The period of limitation for filing claims as amended by this Ordinance shall not be applied to extinguish existing causes of action. However, pursuant to *Brown v. Bleiberg (1982)* 32 Cal. 3d 426, a cause of action that is not

## CITY ATTORNEY

1	time-barred as of the effective date of this amendment shall expire on the date six months
2	after the effective date of this amendment or on the date such cause of action would have
3	expired in the absence of this amendment, whichever of such dates occurs first. The Clerk of
4	the Board of Supervisors is directed to remove this subdivision (d) from this section 6.15-1
5	upon the expiration of a 42-month period commencing on the effective date of this
6	amendment.
7	
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
9	LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney
10	
11	Dis Adreed
12	By: GEORGE W. PUTRIS
13	Deputy City Attorney
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	CITY ATTORNEY
	Page 4 2/15/00



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Ordinance

File Number: 000183

Date Passed:

Ordinance amending Article 6 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code by amending Section 6.15-1 to providing that any claim for refund of taxes paid be filed within six months from the time the return was filed or the tax was paid, whichever period expires later, with the exception that in no event shall such period expire prior to the shortest period allowable for filing tax refund claims under Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3, Section 911.2 of the California Government Code.

March 20, 2000 Board of Supervisors — PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Becerril, Brown, Katz, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Teng, Yaki, Yee Absent: 1 - Bierman

March 27, 2000 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Becerril, Brown, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Teng, Yaki, Yee Absent: 2 - Bierman, Katz

File No. 000183

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on March 27, 2000 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young

Clerk of the Board

APR - 7 2006

Date Approved

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.