[Street Vacation in Connection with Mid-Embarcadero Roadway]

Ordinance ordering the vacation of portions of The Embarcadero and Folsom Street between Howard and Harrison Streets in connection with the Mid-Embarcadero Roadway Project; establishing conditions for the effectiveness of the vacation; adopting findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the vacation is consistent with the City's General Plan and Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the applicable Redevelopment Plan Area; and ratifying acts and authorizing actions in furtherance of the ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. <u>Findings</u>. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco finds, determines and declares as follows:

- C. The Street Area is shown in the Department of Public Works' SUR Drawing No. 9000 dated February 7, 2001, and is more particularly described in the legal description attached to such map. A copy of such map and accompanying legal description is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ____010362______.
- D. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Director of the Department of Public Works a certified copy of the Resolution of Intention, and the Director of the Department of Public Works did cause notice of adoption of such resolution to be posted in the manner required by law.
- E. When such matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on May 14, 2001, beginning at 3:00 p.m., the Board heard all persons interested in the vacation of the Street Area.
- F. The vacation of the Street Area is necessary to fulfill the objectives of the Waterfront Transportation Project and the realignment of the Embarcadero Roadway and the objectives of the South Beach/Rincon Redevelopment Plan and the Port's Waterfront Plan; and
- G. From all the evidence submitted at the hearing on the Resolution of Intention, the Board of Supervisors finds that the Street Area, as described in such resolution, is unnecessary for present or prospective public street use, subject to the reservations and conditions described in this Ordinance.
- H. In Resolution No. 1021-96, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, this Board of Supervisors affirmed the Planning Commission's certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the DPT Variant for the Mid-Embarcadero Roadway and Terminal Separator Replacement project as adequate and complete pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. In such

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Resolution, this Board also adopted findings in connection with the FEIR/EIS, and its actions related to the Mid-Embarcadero Roadway Project, including the actions contemplated herein, and adopted a mitigation monitoring and reporting program. This Board hereby incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein such Resolution, including its findings and mitigation program, and relies on such Resolution as the CEQA basis for the actions proposed in this legislation.

I. The Board hereby finds that: (1) the proposed street vacation is within the scope of the project analyzed in the FEIR/EIS and does not raise any important new issues about the significant effects on the environment not previously considered in the FEIR/EIS; (2) no changes are proposed or have occurred in the project that would require major revisions to the FEIR/EIS due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (3) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is being undertaken that would require major revisions to the FEIR/EIS due to the involvement of significant new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; and (4) no new information of substantial importance has become available since the FEIR/EIS was certified indicating that: (a) the street vacation will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the FEIR/EIS; (b) significant effects examined in the FEIR/EIS would be substantially more severe; (c) mitigation or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project; (d) mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the FEIR/EIS would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

Section 2. The public convenience and necessity require that the City reserve and except from the vacation of the Street Area solely the following: the non-exclusive easements

for the benefit of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("PUC") and Pacific Gas & Electric Company ("PG&E"), in, upon, and over those certain portions of the Street Area in which their respective in-place and functioning facilities are located as described in the maps on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 010362, to the extent necessary to maintain, operate, repair and remove such existing lines of pipe, conduits, cables, wires, poles, and other appurtenant structures, equipment and fixtures for the operation of existing water lines and appurtenant facilities for the transportation or distribution of water, existing gas pipelines, existing street lighting facilities, and existing facilities for the transportation or distribution of electric energy, and reasonable access to the foregoing facilities for the purposes set forth above.

Section 3. The public convenience and necessity further require that the vacation shall be subject to the following grant of rights of access on or before the effective date of the vacation as provided herein: The Port's Executive Director is hereby authorized and directed to grant to the San Francisco PUC and PG&E the easements described in the preceding paragraph and the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to accept the easement to the San Francisco PUC on behalf of the City. Such easements shall be substantially in the form of the easements on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 010362

Section 4. The public convenience and necessity further require that any reserved or excepted easements for existing utilities described in the preceding paragraphs shall be terminated in whole or in part, as appropriate, upon the earliest of (i) the termination of the easement under an instrument (if any) signed by the San Francisco PUC or PG&E, or (ii) the determination by the Director of Public Works or the Port's Chief Harbor Engineer (if within Port jurisdiction) that (A) reasonable alternative utility service has been provided to the areas served by such utilities for the period of service needed or (B) the areas served by the utilities

no longer require such service (as may be evidenced by written notice of the owners being served that such service is no longer required); and that any new public utilities shall be placed in a new dedicated street pursuant to then applicable franchise rights or other applicable requirements of controlling state or federal statutes, or otherwise in locations and on terms and conditions reasonably satisfactory to the City's Director of Property or the Port's Executive Director (if within Port jurisdiction), including without limitation, the location, term, width, scope, access rights and maintenance rights of the new utilities.

Section 5. Any removal or relocation of any in-place utility shall be performed at no cost or expense to the Port, provided that nothing in this Ordinance shall be deemed to preclude any future lessee of the Street Area from charging a third party for or otherwise causing a third party to bear the costs of such relocation where such charge or cost is otherwise permitted by law.

Section 6. The public convenience and necessity require that except as specifically provided in this Ordinance above and subject to the conditions set forth in Section 7 of this Ordinance, no easements or other rights be reserved for any public utility facilities that are in place in the Street Area and that any rights based upon any such public utility facilities shall be extinguished upon the effectiveness of the vacation hereunder.

Section 7. The public interest and convenience require that the vacation be done as declared in the Resolution of Intention.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the vacation of the Street Area is in conformity with the General Plan and is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the same reasons as set forth in the letter from the Planning Director, dated January 22, 2001, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. ______ and hereby incorporates such findings by reference as though fully set forth in this Ordinance.

Section 9. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the vacation of the Street Area is in conformity with the Rincon Point/South Beach Redevelopment Plan, as set forth in the letter from the Redevelopment Agency dated February 6, 2001, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ________, wherein the Executive Director found that the proposed vacation of the Street Area is consistent with the South Beach/Rincon Park Redevelopment Area Plan and hereby incorporates such findings by reference as though fully set forth in this Ordinance.

Section 10. The Board of Supervisors adopts, approves and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein DPW Order No. 172,789 recommending the vacation of the Street Area, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _______.

Section 11. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order such vacation subject to the reservation and granting of easements described in this Ordinance above.

Section 12. Pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code Sections 8320 et seq. and Section 787 of the San Francisco Public Works Code the Street Area is hereby ordered vacated in the manner described in the Resolution of Intention, subject to and effective upon the occurrence of the following conditions (1) the Port's Executive Director has granted, and the Director of Property has accepted, an easement for the benefit of the San Francisco PUC as described above, (2) the Port's Executive Director has granted to PG&E an easement for the benefit of PG&E as described above, and (3) the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors has recorded (or caused to be recorded) a certified copy of the Ordinance ordering such vacation as provided in Section 8325(a) of the California Streets and Highways Code, and thereupon such vacation shall be effective without any further action by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 12. The Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to transmit to the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors hereby urges the Director of Public Works to proceed in the manner required by law. The Clerk of the Board is also hereby directed, upon request by the Director of Public Works, to transmit to the Recorder (or cause to be so transmitted) a certified copy of this Ordinance so that this Ordinance may be recorded upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent described above.

Section 13. All actions heretofore taken by the officers of the City with respect to such street vacations are hereby approved, confirmed and ratified, and the Mayor, Clerk of the Board, Director of Property, Port Executive Director, and Director of Public Works are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions which they or the City Attorney may deem necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Ordinance (including, without limitation, confirmation of satisfaction of any of the conditions to the effectiveness of the vacation of the Street Area hereunder and execution and delivery of any evidence of the same, which shall be conclusive as to the satisfaction of such conditions upon signature by any such City official or his or her designee).

RECOMMENDED:

Edwin Lee

Director of Public Works

| 1 | Joen of Jean | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|
| 2 | Anthony J. DeLucchi | |
| 3 | Director of Property | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | · | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | <u> </u> | |
| 8 | Douglas F. Wong | |
| 9 | Port Executive Director | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 12 | DESCRIPTION APPROVED: | LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney |
| 13 | | 20 - 10 |
| 14 | 0/10-0000 | By Neilse. |
| 15 | Harle) lille // | Neil H. Sekhri |
| 16 | Harlan Kelly, Jr. | Deputy City Attorney |
| 17 | City Engineer | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | F:\Rincon Park Restaurant\RINCON PARK\Street Vacation\Rincon vacation ord v4MonFeb26.doc | |
| 23 | | |
| | | |

25



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

File Number:

010362

Date Passed:

Ordinance ordering the vacation of portions of the Embarcadero and Folsom Streets between Howard and Harrison Streets in connection with the Mid-Embarcadero Roadway Project; establishing conditions for the effectiveness of the vacation; adopting findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the vacation is consistent with the City's General Plan and Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1 and the applicable Redevelopment Plan Area; ratifying acts and authorizing actions in furtherance of the ordinance.

May 14, 2001 Board of Supervisors — PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

May 21, 2001 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval

Absent: 2 - Newsom, Yee

File No. 010362

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on May 21, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. (Young

Clerk of the Board

MAY 2 5 2001

Date Approved

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.