

1 [Extending Time to Consider Continuing Redevelopment Activities]

2
3 **Resolution expressing the non-binding intent of the City and County of San Francisco**
4 **to comply with Part 1.9 of the California Health and Safety Code, recently added by**
5 **Assembly Bill 27, for the purpose of providing the Board of Supervisors with up to an**
6 **additional month, until November 1, 2011, to consider adopting an ordinance allowing**
7 **the City and County of San Francisco to continue to undertake state-authorized**
8 **redevelopment activities by agreeing to make ongoing annual payments for the benefit**
9 **of other taxing entities, including the San Francisco Unified School District and City**
10 **College of San Francisco; and adopting findings that the Resolution is not a project**
11 **under the California Environmental Quality Act.**

12
13 WHEREAS, The Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (the
14 "Agency") has implemented various redevelopment plans that the Board of Supervisors (the
15 "Board") of the City and County of San Francisco (the "City") has approved, all in accordance
16 with the California Community Redevelopment Law, California Health and Safety Code
17 section 33000 et. seq. (the "CRL"). The Agency has played a critical role in alleviating
18 physical and economic blight in disadvantaged neighborhoods in San Francisco and in
19 increasing the City's supply of affordable housing. The financing and other tools that the CRL
20 provides to the Agency are necessary to: complete major multi-phase revitalization projects
21 that are underway and are vital to the City's future, such as Mission Bay (North and South),
22 Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point (Phases One and Two), and Transbay; to revitalize
23 the Bayview Hunters Point, Visitacion Valley and South of Market Project Areas; to redevelop
24 the City's most distressed public housing developments under its HOPE SF Program; to

1 achieve the goals of the local sustainable communities strategy being developed under SB
2 375, Chapter 4.2 (beginning with Section 21155) of Division 12 of the Public Resources Code
3 ("SB 375"); to produce jobs and much needed affordable housing; to alleviate remaining
4 blight; and to convert closed federal military bases to productive economic use; and,

5 WHEREAS, On February 1, 2011, the Board unanimously approved Resolution No. 52-
6 11, opposing changes to the California State budget for fiscal year 2011-12 then proposed by
7 Governor Brown to eliminate redevelopment agencies, and urging the Governor and the State
8 Legislature to retain the existing authority of redevelopment agencies as needed to alleviate
9 blight particularly in disadvantaged neighborhoods, produce jobs, build public infrastructure,
10 promote urban infill in furtherance of SB 375 and fund affordable housing development; and,

11 WHEREAS, On June 15, 2011, as part of a special session that the Governor called to
12 address the State's fiscal emergency and as trailers to the State's budget bill, the California
13 Legislature adopted two companion bills relating to community redevelopment; and,

14 WHEREAS, The first of those bills, Assembly Bill No. 1X 26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of
15 2011-12, First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 26"), suspends most new activities of
16 redevelopment agencies as of the effective date of the act (other than making payments due,
17 enforcing covenants and performing its obligations under existing bonds, contracts and other
18 enforceable obligations), dissolves all redevelopment agencies in the State as of October 1,
19 2011 and designates successor agencies—generally the cities and counties where the
20 agencies operated—to satisfy "enforceable obligations" (as defined in the act), preserve assets
21 for the benefit of taxing entities and wind up the affairs of former redevelopment agencies.
22 The successor agencies are subject to supervision by newly established oversight boards,
23 which are separate from the local legislative bodies, and many of their actions are also subject
24 to discretionary review by the State Department of Finance; and,

1 WHEREAS, AB 26 also provides for the transfer of certain affordable housing functions
2 of a former redevelopment agency to a successor agency, but AB 26, unless amended in the
3 future, excludes the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund from the transfer of functions to
4 the successor agency and instead requires the assets in that fund to be distributed to the
5 taxing entities (rather than to be used for the production of affordable housing); and,

6 WHEREAS, The second bill, Assembly Bill No. 1X 27 (Chapter 6, Statutes of 2011-12,
7 First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 27"), allows a city or county (the "Community") to continue
8 to undertake state-authorized redevelopment activities and avoid redevelopment agency
9 dissolution despite AB 26, if by October 1, 2011 the local legislative body enacts an ordinance
10 under Section 34193 of the CRL, including the Community's agreement to make specified
11 payments each year ("Community Remittances") to the Educational Revenue Augmentation
12 Fund ("ERAF") for the benefit of the local school district and community college, and, if
13 applicable, to a new Special District Allocation Fund ("SDAF") for the benefit of certain special
14 districts, consisting of fire protection service and transit districts (the "Community Remittance
15 Ordinance"); and,

16 WHEREAS, AB 27 provides that the Community may receive an additional month to
17 adopt a Community Remittance Ordinance if, before October 1, 2011, the Community adopts
18 a nonbinding resolution stating that it intends to enact a Community Remittance Ordinance
19 after October 1, 2011, and the effect of such resolution is to delay the dissolution of a
20 redevelopment agency under AB 26 until November 1, 2011; and,

21 WHEREAS, Section 34194 of the CRL, added by AB 27, sets forth formulas for
22 determining the required Community Remittances for the 2011-12 fiscal year and subsequent
23 years; and,

1 WHEREAS, Under Section 34194(d)(1), added by AB 27, the annual Community
2 Remittance is due in two equal installments on January 15th and on May 15th of each year
3 during which a redevelopment agency continues to exist in the Community; and,

4 WHEREAS, On June 28, 2011, the Governor approved AB 26 and AB 27, on June 29,
5 2011, the Secretary of State chaptered those bills, and on June 30, 2011, the Governor
6 signed the State budget bill. By their terms, AB 26 and AB 27 are effective immediately
7 because they relate to the budget bill, and as a result most of the Agency's new
8 redevelopment activities have been suspended since June 30th, except for those activities
9 related to the performance of existing enforceable obligations and those related to future
10 actions that a successor agency, or the Agency if it is not dissolved, may be required to take;
11 and,

12 WHEREAS, The Board and Mayor may, in their sole discretion, consider approving a
13 Community Remittance Ordinance before October 1, 2011, and the purpose of this resolution
14 is merely to provide the Board and the Mayor with up to an additional month—until November
15 1, 2011—if necessary, to consider whether to adopt a Community Remittance Ordinance; and,

16 WHEREAS, Under AB 27, the California Director of Finance will notify, by August 1,
17 2011, the City of the amount of the Community Remittance that the City would have to make
18 for the 2011-12 fiscal year to continue state-authorized redevelopment activities, and the City
19 will then have fifteen days to appeal that determination on certain limited bases to the Director
20 of Finance, which appeal the Director of Finance may reject or approve at the Director's sole
21 discretion; and,

22 WHEREAS, The City Controller and Executive Director of the Agency estimate that the
23 amount of the Community Remittance required for fiscal year 2011-12 is approximately \$24.6
24 million, most of which AB 27 would require to be paid to the San Francisco Unified School
25 District and the City College of San Francisco to serve pupils living in redevelopment areas or

1 in housing supported by redevelopment agency funds, and a portion of which AB 27 would
2 require to be paid to the Bay Area Rapid Transit District for services that further
3 redevelopment purposes and,

4 WHEREAS, Section 34194.1(a) of the CRL, added by AB 27, allows the City to make a
5 Community Remittance using any available funds not otherwise obligated; and,

6 WHEREAS, Section 24194.2 of the CRL, added by AB 27, also allows the City, in
7 choosing to continue to undertake state-authorized redevelopment activities by adopting a
8 Community Remittance Ordinance, to enter into an agreement with the Agency providing for
9 the Agency to transfer a portion of its tax increment to the City, in an amount not to exceed
10 the annual Community Remittance due that year; and,

11 WHEREAS, In connection with the contemplated future consideration by the Board and
12 the Mayor of the Community Remittance Ordinance, the Agency is preparing, or has
13 prepared, a proposed amendment to its fiscal year 2011-12 budget providing a source of
14 funds to pay the Community Remittance, subject to approval by the Board and Mayor in their
15 sole discretion; and,

16 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has designated the Treasure Island
17 Development Authority ("TIDA"), a California non-profit corporation, as having the powers of a
18 redevelopment agency under the CRL ("redevelopment powers"), as allowed by the Treasure
19 Island Conversion Act of 1997, which amended Section 33492.5 of the California Health and
20 Safety Code and added Section 2.1 to Chapter 1333 of the Statutes of 1968 (the "Conversion
21 Act"), and it is the Board's intent in adopting this resolution to allow TIDA to continue to have
22 the authority to exercise state authorized redevelopment activities in the future if the Board
23 and Mayor approve a Community Remittance Ordinance; and,

1 WHEREAS, Because TIDA is not now exercising any of its redevelopment powers in
2 connection with Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island ("TI"), and has not exercised such
3 powers since AB 26 went into effect. and because TI is not a redevelopment project area and
4 as a result does not produce any redevelopment tax increment, TIDA is not subject to any of
5 the restrictions AB 26 places on new activities of redevelopment agencies; and nothing in this
6 resolution is intended to affect TIDA's current ability to proceed with reuse, development or
7 day-to-day management of TI using its non-redevelopment powers, including, without
8 limitation, the interim subleasing of property to generate revenue to offset the costs of
9 managing TI and performing its rights and obligations under the Disposition and Development
10 Agreement for TI; nor is anything in this resolution intended to affect TIDA's status as the
11 Local Reuse Authority for TI or the tidelands trust trustee for the portions of TI subject to the
12 trust, nor any of the other non-redevelopment powers or non-redevelopment authority that the
13 City has granted to TIDA and that TIDA currently has under its articles, bylaws and other
14 applicable instruments and laws; and,

15 WHEREAS, Adoption of this non-binding resolution of intent is not an "approval" within
16 the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), nor would the subject
17 matter of the ordinance under AB 27 be a "project" within the meaning of Section 21065 of
18 CEQA and Sections 15378(b)(4) and 15378(b)(5) of the CEQA Guidelines because the
19 resolution would address organizational and administrative matters and contemplates a future
20 possible agreement to make payments under state law, would not authorize the expenditure
21 of funds on specifically identified activities that could result in physical changes to the
22 environment, and would not result in changes in conditions in any redevelopment project or
23 survey area or at any affordable housing site; now, therefore, be it

24 RESOLVED, That the City intends to comply with Part 1.9 of the California Health and
25 Safety Code, added by AB 27, by enacting a Community Remittance Ordinance on or before

1 November 1, 2011, subject to approval by the Board and Mayor in their sole discretion and to
2 compliance with the budgetary and fiscal provisions of the City's Charter, to allow state-
3 authorized redevelopment activities to continue in the City; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board shall as soon as reasonably
5 practicable provide a certified copy of this resolution to the City Controller, the State Controller
6 and the State Department of Finance, in accordance with Section 34193(b) of the CRL, added
7 by AB 27; and, be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board authorizes and urges the Mayor, the
9 Controller, and the Executive Director of the Agency to take such steps as they determine, in
10 consultation with the City Attorney, may be necessary or appropriate to comply with AB 27
11 and any applicable parts of AB 26 and to effectuate the purpose and intent of this resolution,
12 including, without limitation, working with State officials to determine the amount of the
13 Community Remittances required for the City under AB 27, and the Board ratifies all actions
14 that City officials have previously taken consistent with this resolution.



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Resolution

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 110863

Date Passed: August 02, 2011

Resolution expressing the non-binding intent of the City and County of San Francisco to comply with Part 1.9 of the California Health and Safety Code, recently added by Assembly Bill 27, for the purpose of providing the Board of Supervisors with up to an additional month, until November 1, 2011, to consider adopting an ordinance allowing the City and County of San Francisco to continue to undertake state-authorized redevelopment activities by agreeing to make ongoing annual payments for the benefit of other taxing entities, including the San Francisco Unified School District and City College of San Francisco; and adopting findings that the Resolution is not a project under the California Environmental Quality Act.

July 27, 2011 Budget and Finance Committee - RECOMMENDED

August 02, 2011 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

August 02, 2011 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

File No. 110863

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED AS AMENDED on 8/2/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Mayor Edwin Lee

Date Approved