Petitions and Communications received from, February 3, 2009 through February 13, 2009 for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on February 24, 2009. File 090205

From Office of the Mayor, submitting letter communicating veto of an emergency ordinance modifying various election procedures and deadlines for a June 2, 2009 special election. File 090009, Copy: Each Supervisor, City Attorney, Clerk (1)

From Police Department, submitting its 2008-compliance plan as required by SF Administrative Code Section 89.9, Equal Access to City Services for Limited English Speakers. (2)

From James Chaffee, submitting letter entitled "Private Interests in the Library – The Fox in the Hen House" dated February 3, 2009. (3)

From Clerk of the Board, submitting notice that the following individuals have submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests: (4)
David Chiu-Supervisor (assuming)
Emily Rogers-Legislative Aide (leaving)
Alice Guidry-Legislative Aide (assuming)
Jake McGoldrick (leaving)
Lena Gomes-Legislative Aide (leaving)
Sheila Chung Hagen-Legislative Aide (assuming)

From Human Services Commission, submitting support for arrangements that will permit job sharing, reduction in hours, unpaid leave, foregoing of salary increases; and urging that budgetary credit be allocated to the department whose employees make such concessions. Copy: Clerk (5)

From Municipal Transportation Agency, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Dellner Couplers, Inc. (6)

From various City Departments, submitting the Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures for the following departments, fiscal year 2008-09. Copy: Each Supervisor, Clerk (7)
Adult Probation Department
Assessor-Recorder
Office of Citizens Complaints
Civil Service Commission
District Attorney
Department of Emergency Management
Department of the Environment
Ethics Commission
Human Rights Commission
Department of Human Resources

Human Services Agency

Police Department
Port
Public Defender
Public Health
Public Library
Public Utilities Commission
Rent Board
Department of Technology

From Office of the Treasurer, submitting investment activity (for fiscal year to date) of the portfolios under Treasurer's management. (8)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the 2008-09 mid-year report for the Whistleblower Program. Copy: Each Supervisor, Clerk (9)

From Jacquie Sullivan, City Council member, Bakersfield, CA., inquiring if the S.F. Board of Supervisors will vote in favor of displaying our national motto "In God We Trust" in City Hall. (10)

From Kimo Crossman, regarding disclosure of an employee's address, birth date and Social Security number. (11)

From concerned citizens, submitting support to restore Sharp Park. 12 letters (12)

From concerned citizens, commenting on the proposed June 2, 2009 special election. 4 letters (13)

From Shannon Seaberg, submitting support for American Apparel on Valencia Street. (14)

From Arthur Evans, commenting on how the Board of Supervisors are dealing with crime in San Francisco. (15)

From Maria St. John, submitting opposition to Governor Schwarzenegger's budget proposal to decrease funding for California's First 5 Commission. (16)

From Office of the Controller-City Services Auditor, submitting the annual report for Recreation and Park, fiscal year 2007-08. (17)

From Office of the City Attorney, submitting notice that appeal of a mitigated negative declaration for a project at 110 The Embarcadero was filed in a timely manner and therefore, the appeal should be calendared before the Board of Supervisors. Copy: Clerk (18)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to closing the Park Branch of the Public Library. 2 letters (19)

From Ahimsa Sumchai, commenting on the recent history and current state of the Potrero Power Plant debate. (20)

From Department of Public Health, regarding the feasibility of allocating housing and/or supportive housing units to Adult Probation Officer Darren Dill's Homeless Outreach Program. (Reference No. 20090203-011) (21)

From Ivan Pratt, commenting on the elevator repair and discrepancy neglect at the Alexander Residence at 230 Eddy Street. (22)

From Office of the Controller-City Services Auditor, submitting compliance audit for Alaska Airlines, Inc. (23)

From Office of the Controller-City Services Auditor, submitting concession review of seven Port of San Francisco tenants. (24)

From Office of the Controller, submitting fiscal year 2008-09 six-month budget status report. (25)

From Office of the Controller, submitting letter providing a preliminary estimate of proposed legislation amending the Business Tax and Regulations Code-Gross Receipts Tax. (26)

From Kim Stryker, regarding unsolved murders, violent crimes and quality of life in San Francisco. (27)

From Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, submitting notice announcing the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board Election. (28)

From Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs, submitting resolution supporting immigrant taxi drivers and protection of city services for immigrants in San Francisco. (29)

From Office of the Controller-City Services Auditor, submitting review of the $45 million contract between the Public Utilities Commission and Parsons Water and Infrastructure, Inc. (30)

From Treasure Island Development Authority, submitting revenues generated from Building 3 on Treasure Island in fiscal years 2003-2008. (31)

From Kimo Crossman, requesting he be notified of all future passive meetings by emailing notices and agendas to him. (32)

From Molly Burke, commenting that BART has turned over its internal affairs investigation to the Oakland-based law firm of Meyers Nave. (33)

From Christian Holmer, regarding public records request. (34)

From Department of Public Works, regarding removing graffiti from various locations in District 5. (Reference No. 20090106-001) (35)

From Department of Public Works, regarding removing graffiti from various locations in District 5. (Reference No. 200901113-001) (36)

From Department of Public Works, regarding pothole repair at Geary and Post Streets. (Reference No. 200901113-003) (37)

From Department on the Status of Women, submitting newsletter for the Department on the Status of Women. Copy: Each Supervisor (38)

From Ivan Pratt, submitting a letter entitled "To be or not to be" dated February 11, 2009. (39)

From State Fish and Game Commission, submitting notice of proposed emergency regulatory action relating to incidental take of the California tiger salamander. (40)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding the Stop Lennar Action Movement. 2 letters (41)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding youth and guns and the SF Unified School District. (42)

From Francisco Da Costa, commenting that the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and SF Safety Committee lacks leadership. (43)

From Francisco Da Costa, commenting that San Francisco must not treat immigrants like dirt and abuse them. (44)

From Francisco Da Costa, questing where are the killers of Gregory Johnson Jr. (45)
From Francisco Da Costa, regarding the Pullman Porters and history. (46)

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco

Gavin Newsom

BOS-11
AC, NJ
MC
KG
C Adams
cpage

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB -6 PM 4:55
BY

February 6, 2009

Members, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Supervisors:

This letter communicates my veto of the ordinance pending in File Number 090009, finally passed by the Board of Supervisors on January 27, 2009. This piece of legislation is an emergency ordinance modifying various election procedures and deadlines for a June 2, 2009 special election.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org • (415) 554-6141

1.

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
IN BOARD
FILE NO. 090009 1/27/09 ORDINANCE NO.

[Modifying election procedures and deadlines for a June 2, 2009 election.]

Emergency ordinance (1) finding that an emergency exists for purposes of Charter Section 2.107; (2) modifying various election procedures and deadlines for a June 2, 2009 special election; and (3) identifying the proposed revenue measures that would be submitted using the modified procedures.

Note: Additions are *single-underline italics Times New Roman*; deletions are ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman*~~.
Board amendment additions are double underlined.
Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. **Finding of Emergency Under Charter Section 2.107.**

Section 2.107 of the San Francisco Charter authorizes the City to pass emergency ordinances to address public emergencies threatening life, health, or property, or to provide for the uninterrupted operation of any City department required to comply with time limitations established by law.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that an actual emergency exists that requires the passage of this emergency ordinance, based on the following:

1. The fiscal crisis impacting the nation and the state has affected San Francisco as well. The City has been hit by a sudden and precipitous drop in local revenues—revenues from the real estate transfer tax alone are expected to drop almost 50 percent below the adopted budget levels.

2. As a result of these conditions, and the City's structural budget deficit, the Mayor's Office has projected a General Fund deficit of $575.6 million for Fiscal Year 2009-10, a loss of roughly half of the City's discretionary spending as compared to funds available for

discretionary spending in Fiscal Year 2008-09. This deficit would severely harm public health and human service programs in particular, since the departments delivering those programs are among those most dependent on the General Fund. Unless the City can replace this funding, the health, safety, and welfare of the vulnerable segments of the population will be put at risk.

3. The City has already made significant cuts in government spending, including the elimination or postponement of programs, lay-offs of nearly 400 City employees, and elimination of over 300 vacant positions. But adoption of most new revenue sources, such as taxes, requires voter approval.

4. The next regularly-scheduled City election is not until November 2009, nearly halfway through the next fiscal year and too late to address the projected deficit.

5. In response to this situation, the Board of Supervisors intends to call a special election for June 2, 2009, to submit a number of proposed revenue measures to the voters, so that the Board and the Mayor will know before the commencement of Fiscal Year 2009-10 whether the voters have approved the revenue measures. But in order to conduct an election on such shortened notice, the City must modify certain election procedures and deadlines as set forth in this ordinance.

6. State law provides that the last day a charter amendment may be submitted for a June 2, 2009 election is March 6, 2009. The Director of Elections has determined that the Department of Elections could conduct a special election on June 2, 2009, without having to alter or waive the deadlines and requirements set forth in section 500, et seq., of the Municipal Elections Code, if the Board submitted all of the measures to be considered at that election no later than the close of business March 6, 2009.

7 6. The Board of Supervisors therefore finds that an emergency exists for purposes of Charter Section 2.107. This emergency ordinance will ensure that the City is able to submit and the voters are able to consider revenues measures designed to avoid the impending deficit threatening the public health, safety, and welfare.

Section 2. **Measures Covered by the Modified Election Procedures.**

The election procedures modified in Section 3 of this ordinance shall apply to any or all of the following measures, or substantially similar measures, submitted by the full Board of Supervisors to the voters at a special election on June 2, 2009:

1. A possible increase in the sales tax, the increase not to exceed 0.5% for a total tax rate of 9%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;

2. A possible increase in the payroll tax, the increase not to exceed 0.2% for a total tax rate of 1.7%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;

~~3. A possible new residential utilities users tax, not to exceed 7.5%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;~~

~~4. A possible increase in the commercial utilities users tax, the increase not to exceed 2.5% for a total tax rate of 10%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;~~

~~5. A possible new parcel tax, not to exceed $300 for a residential parcel and $1,000 for other parcels;~~

~~6.~~ A possible new gross receipts tax on residential rental income, not to exceed 0.127%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;

~~47~~. A possible new gross receipts tax on commercial rental income, not to exceed 0.127%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;

~~58~~. A possible new gross receipts tax on all commercial transactions, not to exceed 0.1%, and including a possible dedication of the proceeds of the tax increase to emergency health and human services and to public protection;

~~9. A possible new surcharge on the parking tax, the surcharge not to exceed 5% for a total tax rate of 30%, the proceeds of the surcharge going to the General Fund;~~

~~610~~. A possible amendment to Charter Section 9.113.5, allowing the City to appropriate up to 100% of the current balance in the Rainy Day Reserve, not to exceed 20% of the projected deficit, in years in which a budgetary deficit of $250 million or more is projected; and,

~~711~~. A possible new charter amendment that would cap all set-asides at their Fiscal Year 2008-2009 levels, allow the City to reduce its contributions during budgetary shortfalls, and provide that year-end surpluses be returned to the General Fund.

Section 3. **Modifications to Election Procedures.**

(a) **Deadline for Submission of Measures.** Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Section 300, subds. (a) and (b), any measure identified in Section 2 of this ordinance may be submitted by the Board of Supervisors to the Director of Elections no later than the close of business March 6, 2009 for a June 2, 2009 special election.

(b) ~~(a)~~ **Thirty-Day Hold.** Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Section 305(a)(1), the Board of Supervisors may consider the measures identified in Section 2 of this ordinance fewer than 30 days after receiving (i) a draft of the proposed measure approved as to form by the City Attorney, and (ii) a legislative digest for the proposed measure prepared by the City Attorney, so long as both the draft and the digest are delivered to the Clerk of the Board at least 72 hours prior to the committee hearing and made available for public review at that time.

(c) ~~(b)~~ **Additional Hearings on All Amendments.** Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Section 305(a)(3), the Board committee hearing a measure identified in Section 2 of this ordinance is not required to notice any and all amendments to the proposed measure for an additional public hearing, and shall only be required to notice substantive changes for an additional hearing as provided by the notice and agenda requirements of the Brown Act and the Sunshine Ordinance.

~~(c) Deadlines for Submission of Official Arguments. Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Sections 535 et seq., the Director of Elections may modify the deadlines for submission of proponent, opponent, and rebuttal ballot arguments for or against the measures identified in Section 2, and any related deadlines, as the Director deems necessary to allow for the timely and orderly preparation and distribution of the voter information pamphlet for the June 2, 2009 election.~~

~~(d) Deadlines for Submission of City Attorney Statement, Ballot Simplification Committee Digest, and Controller's Financial Analysis. Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Sections 510, 515, and 520, the Director of Elections may modify the deadlines for submission of the City Attorney statement, the Ballot Simplification Committee digest, and the Controller's financial analysis, respectively, for the measures~~

~~identified in Section 2, as the Director deems necessary to allow for the timely and orderly preparation and distribution of the voter information pamphlet for the June 2, 2009 election.~~

~~(e) Deadlines for Public Inspection of Voter Information Pamphlet Materials. Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Section 590, the Director of Elections may modify the deadlines for public inspection of the various categories of materials included in the voter information pamphlet for the measures identified in Section 2, as the Director deems necessary to allow for the timely and orderly preparation and distribution of the voter information pamphlet for the June 2, 2009 election, provided that the Director provides for the full 10 day inspection period for all such materials required under California Elections Code Sections 9295 and 13313.~~

~~(f) Elimination of Paid Arguments. Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Sections 535(c) and 560, the Director of Elections shall not accept paid arguments for or against the measures identified in Section 2 or include such paid arguments in the voter information pamphlet for those measures. "Paid arguments" shall, for purposes of this Section, include ballot arguments submitted pursuant to Municipal Elections Code Sections 565 and 570 with in lieu signatures rather than payment of the printing fee.~~

~~(g) Notice of Submission of Ballot Arguments. Notwithstanding the provisions of Municipal Elections Code Sections 525(a), the Director of Elections may waive, in whole or in part, or otherwise modify the requirement that the Director publish notice in the official newspaper on three separate occasions that ballot arguments may be submitted for or against the measures identified in Section 2, as the Director deems necessary to allow for the timely and orderly preparation and distribution of the voter information pamphlet for the June 2, 2009 election, provided that the Director provides for the full 10 day inspection period for such ballot arguments required under California Elections Code Sections 9295 and 13313.~~

(d) ~~(h)~~ **Notice of Changes.** The Director of Elections shall publish on the Department of Elections' website notice of all changes in deadlines and other modifications to election procedures that he makes under the authority of this ordinance.

(e) ~~(i)~~ **Board Rule 2.28 Regarding Charter Amendments.** The Board of Supervisors waives the application of Board Rule 2.28 to any charter amendments included among the measures identified in Section 2.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: _____
THOMAS J. OWEN
Deputy City Attorney

City and County of San Francisco

Tails

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

File Number: 090009 **Date Passed:**

Emergency ordinance (1) finding that an emergency exists for purposes of Charter Section 2.107; (2) modifying various election procedures and deadlines for a June 2, 2009 special election; and (3) identifying the proposed revenue measures that would be submitted using the modified procedures.

January 27, 2009 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell, Mirkarimi

January 27, 2009 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 8 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Daly, Dufty, Mar, Maxwell, Mirkarimi
Noes: 3 - Alioto-Pier, Chu, Elsbernd

File No. 090009

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on January 27, 2009 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Date Approved

Mayor Gavin Newsom

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

L.E.P. 2008

FINAL REPORT

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

2



The Original Library Movement
James Chaffee
63 Stoneybrook Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

February 03, 2009

Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Civic Center
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Private Interests in the Library — The Fox in the Hen House

Dear Supervisors:

Welcome to the new supervisors. As you will soon see, the privatization of public assets will be a critical issue in the current political and economic climate.

The administration of the San Francisco Public Library, along with its private partners, has cast off any claim of responsibility or respect for the traditional democratic mandate of the public library. The administrators would like to create the impression that brokering private influence through the mechanism of philanthropy is synonymous with a respectable middle class, and that democracy and public accountability are vaguely disreputable.

On the contrary, the public has never been told that they have a choice between good, decent, democratic libraries or rich libraries. The representatives of the public should have the courage to say that the public sector can run decent libraries that are responsible and accountable to the public. The idea that a library will be richer if it is run as a privatized philanthropic entity is both a false value and an illusion. If we look at the history of the San Francisco Public Library, we can see that it stands as the quintessential example of the betrayal of democratic values by private interests. Don't we have public institutions with community values precisely so that we can be assured that they will dedicated to serving the interests of the society at large?

A great deal of thinking has been done recently in this area of preserving public values and the term "the commons" has emerged to refer to the protection of public resources that can only be accomplished when those resources are held

for the benefit of the society as a whole. The corollary is that exploitation by private interests will tend to destroy those resources. That theory has been repeatedly borne out as the public benefit has been undermined at every turn in the San Francisco Public Library.

I have been actively concerned about the San Francisco Public Library for a number of years. I have been concerned because it should be the people's university and the most democratic of our institutions. In fact, it is also the most extreme example of how the public is disenfranchised and the interests of private money are allowed to pervert our public purposes and divert public benefit into advantage for the few who are already wealthy.

The management of SFPL has cast aside any pretense of respect for open government, sunshine laws and the niceties of accountability. When Jim Wheaton, the distinguished director of the First Amendment Project, described the San Francisco Public Library as "no better than the Nixon White House" that was the tip of the proverbial iceberg. When a distinguished librarian spear-headed a staff petition to bring an early warning of the space problems in the New Main, he was fired and it took five years to get reinstated. They openly ridicule such issues. As far as they are concerned, they have read Machiavelli, and any notion of accountability is just another joke.

This is not a problem only at the San Francisco Public Library. The influence of corporate money on our public policy has been a record of irresponsibility and influence peddling that has disturbed thoughtful citizens for a number of years. President Eisenhower warned us about the "Military-Industrial Complex" and now there are few who are not sensitive to its dangers. It is at least arguable that the recent changes in electoral policy including term limits, district elections, limits on campaign contributions and regulation of "soft money" are all attempts to minimize the role that corporate interests play in our public affairs.

I have gathered just a few examples from published sources that review the campaign that has converted our public library from a crucial democratic organization to an institution dedicated to serving the interests of fund-raising influence peddlers.

Let's take a review of the recent history:

- The New Main Library was built with the promise to the voters that it would provide for 40 years of growth in the book collection. Rather famously not only was the New Main full the day it opened but hundreds of thousands of volumes were discarded and hundreds of thousands more stored in substandard and destructive conditions in Brooks Hall.

- The architects for the New Main were a partnership of an international firm, Pei, Cobb, Freed & Partners and a local firm, Simon, Martin-Vegue, Winkelstein Moris. The managing partner of the local firm was also president of the Library Foundation, a private fund-raising group.

- A Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) was signed with the Library Foundation that would set that private group up in the space rental business so that private parties could be held in the library for a fee and the fee be collected by the Foundation. The MOU called for the library to get a share of the profits but the library never made a dime.

- A Post-Occupancy Evaluation (POE) that was billed as an attempt to get to the bottom of library issues showed that it would take at least $28 Million in "fixes" to make the Main Library "workable." Even this was a whitewash. The POE was presented as a disinterested review by an outside consultant, but in typically scandalous fashion the library planner who worked on the POE was the same person who had worked with the architects.

- The POE that was done of the building revealed in a reference survey that it takes four times longer to find something in our library than comparable urban main libraries. A survey of library searches called the "fill rate survey" revealed that only 38% of the library's patrons found what they wanted. This compared to 71% in the old main, a figure that was already below the national average for comparable institutions. In one of the greatest scandals of all, the library administration stopped taking the fill rate survey -- a management tool that had been in place for decades.

- In order to protect itself from a controversy regarding the appraisal of accreditation organizations, the library administration basically capitulated by voluntarily downgrading themselves from a "research" library to a "popular" library.

- The architects were ultimately sued for incompetence and the case was settled in mediation with the City and County of San Francisco receiving $1.5 million. Although normally such settlements would go into the general fund, the library claimed that money for itself. This is analogous to your teenage son wrecking the family car and then the teenage son claiming the insurance money.

- The Library Foundation dissolved and transferred its assets to a new organization called the Friends & Foundation of the San Francisco Public Library. The old MOU with the former organization that called for profit sharing for space rentals if there were any has expired and the new organization has never had an agreement with the City. The new organization has net assets of over $17.3 million. The organization took in over $5 Million last year, fiscal 2007-2008, and had expenditures of $6.3 Million. Yet public documents show that this organization, the Friends & Foundation, gave $498,121 for library purposes. This figures represents 56% of the $889,738 that their top seven employees earned and 17.7% of the total payroll of $2.8 million. They receive book store rental space for $1.

- The Library Commission has unilaterally passed a resolution that bestows on the new Friends & Foundation the right to sell naming opportunities, plaques, franchises and commercial partnerships with no guarantee that the library will receive any percentage or minimum of those proceeds. In a comparable situation at Candlestick Park the board of supervisors oversaw an agreement to assure that the public benefit was protected. Nothing has been done at the library to protect the public interest.

- When interviewed for the book entitled, Patience and Fortitude by Nicholas Basbanes, Dr. Kevin Starr, the California State Librarian and distinguished historian said, "[San Francisco built] what amounts to a reverse paradigm of what a great library should be. . . . It got mongered by the notion that the new building should be a sort of mall for computers rather than a great library." Later he said, "These people disestablished a distinguished collection."

The above review does not even begin to address the betrayal of the Library Preservation Fund, the distortions in the Branch Library Improvement Program to promote private fundraising, the lack of accountability to the Grand Jury, or even the Board of Supervisors. This is an on-going scandal of the refusal of the City of San Francisco to deal with the conversion of the vital public asset of the library to an income stream for private interests. It is my hope that you will begin to appreciate the seriousness of these problems, and that you will develop an interest yourself in the San Francisco Public Library.

Very truly yours,

James Chaffee

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: February 6, 2009

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

David Chiu-Supervisor (assuming)
Emily Rogers-Legislative Aide (leaving)
Alice Guidry-Legislative Aide (assuming)
Jake McGoldrick-Supervisor (leaving)

4

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: February 11, 2009

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

Lena Gomes-Legislative Aide-(Leaving)
Sheila Chung Hagen-Legislative Aide-(Assuming)

4

BOS-11, AC, cpage, Eng

City and County of San Francisco Human Services Commission

February 3, 2009

The Honorable David Chiu, President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall – 1 Dr. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. Casper:

I call to your attention the following resolution adopted by the Human Services Commission at its January 29, 2009 Special Meeting:

> "On motion by Commissioner Yamasaki, seconded and carried, the Human Services Commission strongly supports arrangements that will permit job sharing, reduction in hours, unpaid leave, foregoing of salary increases, and the like; and urges the parties presently involved in discussions to enter into such arrangements to pursue them to successful completion; and further urges that budgetary credit be allocated to the department whose employees make such concessions."

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pablo Stewart, MD

Pablo Stewart, M.D.
President

cc: Members, Human Services Commission
Executive Director, Department of Human Services
Members – San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Angela Cavillo, Clerk of the Board

5

Municipal Transportation Agency
Purchasing Department
One South Van Ness, Room 3097
San Francisco, CA 94103

City and County of San Francisco

Memo

Date: February 3, 2009

To: **Clerk, Board of Supervisors** (415) 554-5163

From: Hermilo Rodis, Purchaser
S.F. Municipal Transportation Agency

Subject: Award of Purchase Order to Non-Compliant Vendor (Equal Benefits)
(Reference RQPT09002236 / ITSF09000438)

This memo serves as notification that an award of a purchase order for "Dellner Parts" to Dellner Couplers, Inc. will be made upon approval of the "No Potential Contractors Comply Waiver" by the Human Rights Commission.

Please reference the attached copies of the waiver request and supporting justification.

6

Municipal Transportation Agency
Purchasing Department
One South Van Ness, Room 3097
San Francisco, CA 94103

City and County of San Francisco

Memo

Date: February 3, 2009

To: Tamra Winchester FAX (415) 431-5764

From: Hermilo Rodis, Purchaser
S.F. Municipal Transportation Agency

Subject: Waiver Request for Dellner Couplers, Inc.: ITSF09000438/SQ, RQPT09002236

On January 15, 2009, the Office of Contract Administration publicly solicited for repair parts for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency. The sole bid received was from Dellner Couplers, Inc., a non-compliant vendor.

The vendor was sent a 10-day notification of NON-RESPONSIVENESS stating that they had to comply in 10-days with the requirements of San Francisco regarding the requirements of Admin. Code 12B. As of today's date, they did not respond and therefore continue to be non-compliant to the City's requirements.

To proceed with these requirements for the SFMTA, it is necessary to request that the Human Rights Commission grant a waiver per the requirements of Chapter 12B of the Admin. Code.

Once approved, please fax the waiver to my attention at 701-4729.

Thank You.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number:

➢ Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: _____

Name of Department: Muni

Department Address: One South Van Ness, Rm 1058, San Francisco, CA 94103

Contact Person: Hermilo Rodis/Bart Murphy

Phone Number: (415) 701-4705 Fax Number: (415) 701-4729

➢ Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Dellner Couplers, Inc. Contact Person: Marilyn Nolan

Contractor Address: 8334-H Arrowridge Blvd., Charlotte, NC 28273

Vendor Number (if known): 49655 Contact Phone No.:(704) 527-2121x13

➢ Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 2/3/09 Type of Contract: Purchase Order

Contract Start Date: When approved End Date: 28 wks ARO Dollar Amount of Contract: $16,789.94

➢Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- ☒ Chapter 12B
- ☐ Chapter 14B *Note*: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

➢ Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- ☐ A. Sole Source
- ☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- ☐ C. Public Entity
- ☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 2/3/09
- ☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- ☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- ☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of $5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.I.3)
- ☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION

12B Waiver Granted: _____ 14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____ 14B Waiver Denied: _____

Reason for Action: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

HRC Director: _____ Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: _____ Contract Dollar Amount: _____

City and County of San Francisco

Adult Probation Department
Hall of Justice

PATRICK J. BOYD
Chief Adult Probation Officer

Protecting the Community, Serving Justice and Changing Lives

February 1, 2009

Nani Coloretti
Mayor's Budget Director

Michael Wylie, Performance Measure Manager
Controller's Office

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am pleased to submit the Adult Probation Department's Efficiency Plan as required by Chapter 88 of the Administrative Code.

The report details the Adult Probation Department mission, core functions, goals, and performance measures. The document also outlines the anticipated impact of potential budget reductions.

If you need any further information, I can be reached at 553-1688.

Sincerely,

Patrick J. Boyd
Chief Adult Probation Officer

cc: Meghan Wallace, Mayor's Office of Finance and Public Policy
Rebekah Krell, Mayor's Office of Finance and Public Policy
Kate Howard, Mayor's Office of Finance and Public Policy
Controller's Performance Management Unit

880 Bryant Street, Room 200 San Francisco California 94103
Phone (415) 553-1706 Fax (415) 553-1771

7

EFFICIENCY PLAN
ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT
FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010

PATRICK J. BOYD
CHIEF ADULT PROBATION OFFICER

FEBRUARY 1, 2009

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

Taras Madison/ASRREC/SFGOV
02/02/2009 08:01 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject efficiency plan

Attached is the Office of Assessor-Recorder's Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Efficiency Plan. If you need additional information, please let me know.

ASR EFFICIENCY PLAN_ FY 09-10.doc

Taras W. Madison
Chief Administrative Officer
Assessor-Recorder's Office
(415) 554-7911 phone
(415) 554-7869 fax

THE OFFICE OF ASSESSOR-RECORDER
FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010 EFFICIENCY PLAN

MISSION

The mission of the Office of the Assessor-Recorder is to assess all property and transfer tax revenue, ensure fair and equitable treatment of all taxpayers, maintain the official records of the City & County of San Francisco, and provide outstanding public service.

DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAMS

Assessor

The Office of Assessor-Recorder (ASR), under state law, establishes an annual taxable value for all property subject to taxation under the laws and regulations promulgated by the California Revenue & Taxation Code. This responsibility requires ASR to maintain an inventory of all taxable property, including secured and unsecured real property, business personal property, marine vessels, aircrafts and leases, as well as apply legal exemptions and exclusions mandated by law. The determination of a taxable value includes a review of all changes in ownership and new construction that occur in the City and County of San Francisco, along with the performance of annual audits to comply with state mandates. In addition, ASR maintains the parcel map for the City and County of San Francisco, and updates it as required for changes including lot merges or splits, and the creation of new subdivisions.

Recorder

ASR maintains the official public records of the City, and collects fee revenue from the recording and copying of documents. One integral component of this function involves the review of deeds and other recordings that may involve conveyances of real property. This review requires a thorough understanding of the transaction, and the appropriate application of state and local laws pertaining to real property transfer tax in order to determine whether collection of the tax is required.

Department-Wide / Other

ASR has a firm commitment to providing outstanding customer service with a focus on fair and equitable treatment of all taxpayers. Taxpayers should receive prompt issue resolution, courteous multi-lingual service, and a guided explanation of their assessed value. Some ASR outreach programs have included annual notices of assessed value for property owners, including tenants in common, referral homeowners in mortgage default and/or foreclosure, public service information for tenants facing eviction, and tax relief programs for homeowners who experience a decline in their property value.

STRATEGIC GOALS/OBJECTIVES

Administer an Effective, Fair & Equitable Assessment Program

Pamela Thompson/OCC/SFGOV
02/02/2009 01:41 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, performance.com@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Office of Citizen Complaints Efficiency Plan.02.02.09.FY09.10 Budget.jmh.pdf

Attached below, please find the Office of Citizen Complaints Efficiency Plan for FY 09/10.

Thanks,

Pamela Thompson
Executive Assistant
Office of Citizen Complaints
25 Van Ness Avenue #700
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-241-7721
www.sfgov.org/occ
----- Forwarded by Pamela Thompson/OCC/SFGOV on 02/02/2009 01:40 PM -----



Joyce Hicks/OCC/SFGOV
02/02/2009 10:35 AM

To Pamela Thompson/OCC/SFGOV
cc laura.tham@sfgov.org
Subject Office of Citizen Complaints Efficiency Plan.02.02.09.FY09.10 Budget.jmh.pdf

Dear Pam,
Our Efficiency Plan is due to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the Controller's Office today. Please see the detailed instructions on pages 20 and 21 of the 09/10 budget instructions. Thank you.

Joyce M. Hicks
Executive Director
Office of Citizen Complaints
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 700
San Francisco, CA 94102
(T) 415.241.7711
(F) 415.241.7733
(TTY) 415.241.7770
www.sfgov.org/occ

 - Office of Citizen Complaints Efficiency Plan.02.02.09.FY09.10 Budget.jmh.pdf

Office of Citizen Complaints Efficiency Plan
February 2, 2009

Introduction

The core mission of the Office of Citizens Complaints (OCC), investigating citizen complaints against San Francisco police officers, is integral to the public safety of millions of people who visit and live in San Francisco. The OCC is one of the smaller City and County of San Francisco departments, however, its work greatly impacts community/police relations. The Charter mandated mission of the OCC is to promptly, fairly and impartially investigate complaints of police conduct or allegations that a member of the Police Department has not performed a duty. Also, pursuant to Charter, the OCC is to use its best efforts to conclude investigations of police misconduct or failure to perform a duty within nine months. Additionally, the Charter requires the OCC to present to the Police Commission quarterly recommendations concerning San Francisco Police Department's policies or practices to enhance police-community relations while ensuring effective police services.

Strategic Plan

OCC's strategic plan for the next three years, consistent with the Controller's January 14, 2007 recommendations, envisions maintaining a professional and accountable staff, conducting timely investigations, strategically engaging in community outreach and timely advising the Police Commission of recommendations regarding the Police Department's policies or practices that will enhance police/community relations. In the new director's first full calendar year with the OCC, staff reduced its backlog of old cases. The new director also implemented a performance planning and appraisal system to ensure employee accountability. At her direction, OCC staff developed an internal training program for ongoing staff training and for training new investigators. OCC staff is now developing a policies and procedures manual to ensure consistency in investigative practices and case assignment. The OCC has recently conducted its largest ever number of mediations, 71 in calendar year 2008, which is an increase of nearly 50% over the 47 conducted in calendar year 2007. Finally, in 2008, the OCC developed an annual strategic plan for community outreach.

Customer Service and Performance Measures

While the OCC has numerous stakeholders, its key external customers are the citizens who file complaints and its key internal customers are the officers against whom the complaints are



Eugene Clendinen/DA/SFGOV
02/02/2009 09:02 PM

To Rebekah Krell/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michael Wylie/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Nani Coloretti/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Sheila Arcelona/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV, SFDA-Executive Staff/DA/sfgov
Subject SFDA Efficiency Plan

Please accept as the District Attorney's submittal of the required Efficiency Plan for the 2009 - 10 budget year.


SFDA Performance Measures.pdf


SFDA Efficiency Plan 2.2.09.pdf

Eugene G. Clendinen
Chief Financial Officer
Office of District Attorney Kamala D. Harris
850 Bryant Street, Rm 313
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (415) 553-1895
Fax: (415) 553-9700
Eugene.Clendinen@sfgov.org

office policies, programs and crime concerns. The District Attorney and top management meet monthly with the liaisons as a group to review resident concerns, develop strategies to improve our functions and ensure responsiveness to community needs.

The District Attorney's Office also participates actively in many citywide coordinating entities focused on specific types of crimes, such as violence prevention, domestic violence, sexual assault, child sexual assault, homicide, graffiti, and elder abuse. These collaborative bodies include other City agencies, community based providers and advocates, merchants and residents. These bodies provide opportunities for regular feedback, discussion, and strategizing on ways to improve our performance in coordination with other City and community providers.

The office's Victim Services Unit also solicits and responds to community and customer feedback in two main ways. First, victim service staff regularly survey clients regarding the quality of advocacy services they received. In addition, victim service staff participates in numerous community-based, collaborative groups that include service providers and advocates for crime victims. Through those partnerships, staff solicits and review community feedback for how the office can improve services to victims of crime.

Since taking office, District Attorney Harris has launched a series of neighborhood resource fairs. They have been organized to date in Chinatown, the North Mission, Bayview Hunters Point, Portola Valley/Bernal Heights, the Western Addition, and the South Mission. The resource fairs are designed to bring legal, law enforcement, and other services directly into the community and to assist community members with issues around elder abuse, juvenile justice, consumer protection, immigration, housing and other legal concerns. The clinics also provide opportunities for community engagement and feedback around the safety and service concerns of each neighborhood.

VI. STRATEGIC PLANNING

Looking forward, the District Attorney will continue to advocate for General Fund investments in the human, equipment and capital infrastructure needed by the department in order to build a world-class prosecutor's office for the people of San Francisco. Aggressive efforts to secure new grants and other non-General Fund resources will continue as well.

Violent crime and other serious offenses will continue to be top priorities. Homicides, gang violence, crimes against the elderly, women, children and other vulnerable victims demand specialized, expert staff investigating and prosecuting caseloads at levels commensurate with the importance of these cases and the need for intensive case handling. Reducing high caseloads in these areas will be a continuing budget priority going forward.

William Lee/ECDEPT/SFGOV

02/02/2009 05:54 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org,
cc Vicki Hennessy/OES/ECDEPT/SFGOV@SFGOV, Alicia Venegas/ECDEPT/SFGOV@SFGOV, Grace Chan/ECDEPT/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc

Subject DEM FY 2009-2010 Efficiency Plan

All:

In conformance with Charter Section 16.120 and Administrative Code Section 88, we hereby submit the Department of Emergency Management's Efficiency Plan for Fiscal Year 2009-2010.

The key sections of the attached Efficiency Plan are described as follows:

Section 2 - Background information about the department.
Section 3 - A comprehensive mission statement about DEM's Division of Emergency Communications (DEC) and Division of Emergency Services (DES).
Section 4 - A description of DEC's major program areas and operational functions.
Section 5 - A description of DES's major program areas and operational functions.
Appendix A - Summary and detail reports of the department's performance measures.

If you have questions regarding DEM's Efficiency Plan, please contact me directly at 415-558-3866.

Thank you!


DEM FY09-10 Efficiency Plan_Feb 2009.doc


DEM FY09-10 Mission Program Goal Measures Report.pdf


DEM FY09-10 Dept Short Summary Midyear Report.pdf

William T. Lee
Deputy Director of Administration and Support
Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel. 415-558-3866
Fax 415-558-3841

Mission Program Goal Measures Report

DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

To promote excellence in public safety and to be a vital link between San Francisco's residents and visitors and its emergency services.

D E M EMERGENCY SERVICES

Goal 1 Exercise emergency response capabilities

1	Number of functional exercises conducted
2	Number of tabletop exercises conducted
3	Number of unique participants in discussion based exercises
4	Number of unique participants in functional exercises

Goal 2 Coordinate interagency planning

1	Number of planning task force meetings
2	Number of disaster council meetings
3	Number of training courses
4	Assessment of training program quality from attendee's perspective
5	Percentage of tasks added and completed towards the Master Improvement Plan
6	Number of outstanding tasks of the Master Improvement Plan
7	Number of Department Emergency Operations Plans submitted
8	Percentage of Department Emergency Operations Plans reviewed
9	Number of Plan Development, Review or Revisions Started
10	Number of Plan Development, Review or Revisions completed

Goal 3 Promote community preparedness for emergencies

1	Number of preparedness presentations made
2	Number of brochures distributed

DEM EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS

Goal 1 Staff emergency communication center with fully-trained personnel

1	Number of new dispatchers successfully completing the training program
2	Number of new call takers to complete training
3	Percentage of fully qualified staff maintaining continuing education requirements.
4	Number of 8238s successfully completing the fire medical dispatch training program
5	Number of 8239s and 8240s successfully completing the fire medical dispatch training program
6	Ensure staff that require continuing professional training receive training.

Goal 2 Respond quickly to incoming calls

1	Total number of emergency calls answered in the communication center

Mission Program Goal Measures Report

2	Total number of non-emergency calls answered in the communication center
3	Percentage of emergency calls answered within ten seconds, 90% of the time
4	Percentage of non-emergency calls answered with in 1 minute, 80% of the time.
5	Average time (in minutes and seconds) from received to dispatch of Code 3 medical calls.
6	Response to code 3 medical calls(in minutes & seconds) in 90th percentile

Goal 3 Minimize abandoned calls

1	Percentage of emergency calls abandoned in the communication center
2	Percentage of non-emergency calls abandoned in the communication center

NON PROGRAM

Goal 1 All City employees have a current performance appraisal

1	# of employees for whom performance appraisals were scheduled
2	# of employees for whom scheduled performance appraisals were completed

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall



SFDEM

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT
OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

FY 2009-2010 Efficiency Plan

February 2009

VICKI HENNESSY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Joseph Salem/ENV/SFGOV
02/03/2009 12:58 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Performance
cc
bcc
Subject Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures for Department of the Environment

Please find attached the Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures for the Department of the Environment.


Three Year Plan 09-11 efficiency.doc


Jan 09 Efficiency plan.doc


performance report efficiency.pdf

Joe Salem
Finance and Administration Manager
Department of the Environment
City and County of San Francisco
Phone: (415) 355-3721
Fax: (415) 554-6393

DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STRATEGIC PLAN
2009-2011

Table of Contents

The mission of San Francisco's Environment Department is to improve, enhance, and preserve the environment, and to promote San Francisco's long-term wellbeing.

The Environment Department does this by developing innovative, practical and wide-ranging environmental programs, fostering groundbreaking legislation, and connecting the public to environmental resources by providing access to comprehensive and easy-to-use information on a wide range of sustainable practices.

In addition to our historic function of providing environmental policy direction for the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, the Department delivers service programs for San Francisco residents and businesses including recycling, toxics reduction, environmental justice grants, and energy efficiency.

Some of the Department's ambitious–but deliverable–environmental goals include attaining 75 percent recycling by 2010, and curbing San Francisco's greenhouse gas emissions to 20 percent below 1990 levels by 2012.

The Department Environment makes it easy for everyone in San Francisco to take care of their environment, and ultimately, the planet.

John X Chan/ETHICS/SFGOV
02/02/2009 12:57 PM

To Cynthia Czerwin/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: efficiency report

Below is the Ethics Commission Efficiency Report. Thank you.

----- Forwarded by John X Chan/ETHICS/SFGOV on 01/26/2009 01:49 PM -----

Mabel Ng/ETHICS/SFGOV
01/23/2009 05:13 PM

To John X Chan/ETHICS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject efficiency report

here it is:

FY 09-12 plan.doc

Mabel Ng
Deputy Executive Director
San Francisco Ethics Commission
415/252-3100

San Francisco Ethics Commission
Efficiency Plan Fiscal Year 2009-2012

Section 1: Mission and Goals

A. Mission

The mission of the Ethics Commission is to promote and practice the highest standards of ethical behavior in government. In order to accomplish this mission, the Commission:

1. Clearly informs candidates for public office, public employees, and other officials and members of the public of existing ethics laws and rules;
2. Actively enforces all ethics laws and rules, including campaign finance and open government laws;
3. Effectively administers and oversees the campaign public finance programs;
4. Recommends new laws, rules and programs that will lead to ethics compliance;
5. Serves as a model for other elected and appointed officials and government employees; and
6. Faithfully adheres to its own Code of Ethics.

B. General goals and objectives

The Commission provides the following Charter-mandated services:

- Administer the Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance, including provisions related to the electronic filing of campaign disclosure reports and the partial public financing programs for candidates for Mayor and the Board of Supervisors ;
- Administer the Lobbyist Ordinance;
- Act as filing officer for the Statements of Economic Interests;
- Act as filing officer for political campaign disclosure statements;
- Audit financial disclosure statements of campaign committees;
- Investigate alleged violations of state law, the Charter and City ordinances related to campaign finance, governmental ethics and conflicts of interest;
- Assist agencies, officials, and candidates by administering laws relating to campaign finance, conflicts of interest and governmental ethics;
- Recommend legislative changes to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and the voters;
- Adjust statutory limitation amounts and disclosure thresholds in accordance with the Consumer Price Index;
- Assist departments in developing and maintaining conflict of interest codes, including but not limited to the Statements of Incompatible Activities;
- Advocate understanding of the Charter and City ordinances regarding governmental ethics laws;
- Manage its office subject to the Charter's budgetary and fiscal provisions;

Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV
02/03/2009 08:15 AM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Performance Con/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: HRC Efficiency Plans

Human Rights Commission Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures.

Manish Goyal
Fiscal and Policy Analyst
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
City Hall, Room 288
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
P: (415) 554-6485
F: (415) 554-6158
Email: Manish.Goyal@sfgov.org
----- Forwarded by Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV on 02/03/2009 08:16 AM -----

Masood Ordikhani/HRC/SFGOV
02/02/2009 05:47 PM

To Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Cynthia Czerwin/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Myrna Boongaling/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chris Iglesias/HRC/SFGOV@SFGOV
Subject Re: Efficiency Plans

Good evening, per the Mayor's Budget Instructions, please find attached HRC's FY 2009-10 Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures. Thank you.

HRC FY 2009-10 Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures.doc HRC Department Measures Summary Semi-Annual Report FY09.pdf

Masood Ordikhani, Esq.
Deputy Director
City and County of San Francisco
Human Rights Commission
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94102-6033
Phone: 415-252-2520
Fax: 415-431-5764
Email: Masood.Ordikhani@sfgov.org
http://sfgov.org/sfhumanrights

Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV

Manish

Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV
02/02/2009 08:44 AM

To Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject Efficiency Plans

Hello,

Per the Mayor's Budget Instructions, your department must turn in its efficiency plans and performance measures to the Mayor's Office, the Controller, and the Board of Supervisors by today, February 2. Please see Page 18 of the Instructions for more details regarding the efficiency plans and performance measures.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Manish

Manish Goyal
Fiscal and Policy Analyst
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
City Hall, Room 288
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
P: (415) 554-6485
F: (415) 554-6158
Email: Manish.Goyal@sfgov.org

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco

Mayor Gavin Newsom



EFFICIENCY PLAN AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010

February 2, 2009

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

Brent Lewis/DHR/SFGOV
02/09/2009 03:28 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV,
cc Jennifer Johnston/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject DHR - FY 2009-10 Efficiency Plan Document

In accordance with the San Francisco Performance and Review Ordinance (Section 88 of the Administrative Code) and Charter Section 16.120, please find the FY 2009-10 Efficiency Plan for the Department of Human Resources. Please contact me if you have any questions or require further information.

DHR Efficiency Plan FY 2009-10.pdf

Thank you,

Brent Lewis
Budget & Finance Director
Dept. of Human Resources
415-557-4944

City and County of San Francisco

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Department of Human Resources

Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director

Department of Human Resources

Efficiency Plan Fiscal Year 2009-10

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

44 Gough Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1233 ▪ (415) 557-4800 ▪ www.sfgov.org/dhr

John Murray/DHS/CCSF@CCSF
02/06/2009 08:52 AM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Performance
cc Noelle Simmons/DHS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Trent Rhorer/DHS/CCSF@CCSF, Dan Kelly/DHS/CCSF@CCSF
bcc

Subject SF-HSA Efficiency Plan 2009

In accordance with the San Francisco Performance and Review Ordinance, please find attached the Human Services Agency's 2009 Efficiency Plan.

Thank you.

SF-HSA Efficiency Plan 2009.pdf

John Murray
Senior Analyst
City and County of San Francisco
Human Services Agency
170 Otis
San Francisco, CA 94103

415/557-6425

SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY
EFFICIENCY PLAN 2009



Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

Deborah Landis/SFPD/SFGOV

02/03/2009 11:30 AM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Performance
cc Kenneth Bukowski/SFPD/SFGOV@SFGOV

bcc

Subject SFPD FY 09-10 Efficiency Plan

Good morning,

The Police Department's Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Efficiency Plan is attached. Please let me know if you have any trouble opening the document.

Thank you,
Deborah

Efficiency Plan SFPD 09-10 - 2.2.09.doc

Deborah Landis
Fiscal Division
San Francisco Police Department
Phone: (415) 553-9175

San Francisco Police Department

Efficiency Plan

February 2, 2009

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO

Efficiency Plan & Performance Measures

FY 2009-2010

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO

Public Defender's 2009-2010 Budget Submission
Efficiency Plan and Performance Measures

Submitted by:

Jeff Adachi
Public Defender

February 2009

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/02/2009 12:07 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: DPH Efficiency Plan (2008)

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/02/2009 12:13 PM -----

Frances Culp/DPH/SFGOV
01/30/2009 04:32 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Performance Con/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Anne Kronenberg
Subject DPH Efficiency Plan (2008)

Hello:

Attached is the Efficiency Plan for the Department of Public Health, due on 2/2/09. Please let me know if you have any questions.


Cover Memo.doc


DPH Efficiency Plan 2008.doc


Att A - LHH Cust Service Plan 2008.doc


Att B - SFGH Cust Service Plan 2008.doc


Att C - PC Cust Service Plan 2008.doc


Att D - CBHS Cust Service Plan FY 08-09.doc


Att E - MCAH Cust Service Plan 2008.doc


Att F - Performance Measures Rpt 1.30.09.pdf

Frances Culp
Senior Health Program Planner
San Francisco Department of Public Health
101 Grove St., Room 330
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-2795
Frances.Culp@sfdph.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: MEGHAN WALLACE & KATE HOWARD, MAYOR'S OFFICE
ANGELA CALVILLO, CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CONTROLLER'S OFFICE

FROM: ANNE KRONENBERG, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF HEALTH/DIRECTOR OF POLICY AND PLANNING, DEPT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DATE: JANUARY 30, 2009

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH EFFICIENCY PLAN

Attached please find the Department of Public Health's (DPH) submission meeting the requirements contained in San Francisco's Administrative Code, Section 88, also known as the departmental Efficiency Plan.

The report and attachments contained within addresses information required by the Ordinance, including information pertaining to the Department of Public Health's strategic plan, customer service plans, and performance measures.

If you have any questions about DPH's Efficiency Plan, please contact me at 554-2898 or Frances Culp at 554-2795; <frances.culp@sfdph.org>.

San Francisco Department of Public Health

2008 Departmental Efficiency Plan

Section 1: Strategic Planning

A. Mission Statement

The mission of the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) is to protect and promote the health of all San Franciscans. DPH shall:

- Assess and research the health of the community
- Develop and enforce health policy
- Prevent disease and injury
- Educate the public and train health care providers
- Provide quality, comprehensive, culturally-proficient health services
- Ensure equal access to all.

San Francisco will be a leader in health. The DPH staff and volunteers will do everything in their power to help all San Franciscans achieve the best possible state of health. We are committed to making this a city where:

- Everyone lives in a healthy neighborhood.
- Everyone has equal access to needed, quality care.
- Services are client-focused and culturally competent.
- We are partners with clients and communities, and their needs determine resource allocation.
- We recognize the special contributions of every person working in the system.
- All providers collaborate as part of a unified citywide health and human services system.
- All providers emphasize primary prevention and wellness.
- We insure the very best use of public funds, and all services are cost effective.
- We are creative, innovative and continually strive for excellence.
- We stand for teamwork, collaboration, integrity and accountability.
- Clients and communities value our services and trust us.

Jill Bourne
<jbourne@sfpl.org>
02/02/2009 04:43 PM

To "Meghan.Wallace@sfgov.org" <Meghan.Wallace@sfgov.org>, "kate.howard@sfgov.org" <kate.howard@sfgov.org>, "performance.con@sfgov.org"
cc Mary Hudson <maryhudson@sfpl.org>, "manish.goyal@sfgov.org" <manish.goyal@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject SFPL FY09-10 Department Efficiency Plan and Mid-Year FY09 Performance Measures Report

Hi Meghan, Kate, et al.

Attached, please find the Public Library's FY09/10 Efficiency Plan and FY09 Mid-Year Performance Measures Report.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jill

Jill Bourne
Deputy City Librarian

The San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, SF 94102
(415) 557-4243 office
(415) 215-8960 mobile
jbourne@sfpl.org


Official SFPL use only SFPL Efficiency Plan 2009-2010.doc FY09 SFPL Performance Measures Report.pdf

San Francisco Public Library
2009/10 EFFICIENCY PLAN
February 2, 2009

CONTENTS

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

"Hood, Donna"
<DHood@sfwater.org>

02/03/2009 05:41 PM

To "Rydstrom, Todd" <TRydstrom@sfwater.org>, "Coloretti, Nani" <Nani.Coloretti@sfgov.org>, "Wallace, Meghan" <Meghan.Wallace@sfgov.org>, "Rosenfield, Ben" <Ben.Rosenfield@sfgov.org>, "Zmuda, Monique" <Monique.Zmuda@sfgov.org>, "Calvillo, Angela" <Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org>

cc "Harrington, Ed" <EHarrington@sfwater.org>, "Jacobo, Carlos" <cjacobo@sfwater.org>

Subject RE: SFPUC Efficiency Plan - Administrative Code Chapter 88

Greetings,

Attached is the SFPUC's 2009 Efficiency Plan as requested. Thank you for your patience and allowing us to submit following our Commission hearing.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have questions,

Regards,

Donna Hood
Executive Assistant to Ed Harrington, General Manager
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
1155 Market Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
dhood@sfwater.org
415-554-0761 (office)
415-554-3161 (fax)
http://sfwater.org/home.cfm

From: Rydstrom, Todd

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

2009 Efficiency Plan

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

Robert Collins/RENT/SFGOV
02/02/2009 12:39 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV,
cc Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Delene Wolf/RENT/SFGOV, Timothy Lee/RENT/SFGOV
bcc

Subject Efficiency Plans and Performance Measures - Rent Board

Please find attached the Rent Board's efficiency plans and performance measures.


FY 2009 Dec Perf Measure RNT.pdf


EFFICIENCY PLAN 1-09 RNT.pdf

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.
Best,
Robert

Robert Collins | Deputy Director | San Francisco Rent Board | 25 Van Ness Ave., Ste. 320 | S.F, CA 94102-6033 | 415.252.4628

EFFICIENCY PLAN

Rent Board – 65
January 31, 2009
Contact: Delene Wolf, Executive Director, 252-4650

Section 1: Mission and Goals

In response to a housing crisis in April of 1979, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors enacted Ordinance Number 181-79, which established guidelines for rental increases and created the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board. As stated in the Ordinance, the mission of the Rent Board is "to safeguard tenants from excessive rent increases and, at the same time, to assure landlords fair and adequate rents consistent with Federal Anti-Inflation Guidelines." The Ordinance also protects tenants from unjust evictions, in order to help preserve the ethnic and cultural diversity that is uniquely San Francisco.

To accomplish its mission, the Rent Board provides information to the public regarding the rights and responsibilities of landlords and tenants under the local Rent Ordinance and Rules and Regulations. The Board also provides landlord/tenant arbitration, mediation and alternative dispute resolution services through hearings with Administrative Law Judges. The Rent Board is dedicated to the fair and neutral administration and enforcement of the City's Rent Ordinance and is committed to evenhanded treatment of both landlords and tenants. To achieve these ends, staff must be fair to tenants and landlords, knowledgeable of the legal requirements for both, and deliver services in the most effective, efficient and responsive manner possible.

The Department will promote the following goals and priorities in the coming year:

- Continued fulfillment of the agency's mandated responsibilities for holding expeditious hearings on various types of tenant and landlord petitions, including tenant petitions for decreased housing services and unlawful rent increases, and landlord petitions for rent increases based on capital improvement work, increased operating and maintenance expenses, increased utility costs, comparable rents and the fact that the rental unit is not the tenant's principal place of residence. We will also continue aggressive investigation of tenant allegations of wrongful eviction.



Christine Martin2/DTIS/SFGOV
02/02/2009 05:47 PM

To Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, performance.con@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Technology's Efficiency Plan

Greetings to all,

Please find attached, the Department of Technology's Efficiency Plan.

Regards,
Christine

Christine Martin, CPA
Chief of Staff
Department of Technology
City and County of San Francisco
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 581-4097

City & County of San Francisco
Department of Technology
Powered by Innovation

Efficiency Plan
FY 2009-2010

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE TREASURER

José Cisneros
TREASURER

PAULINE MARX
Chief Assistant Treasurer

Newlin Rankin
Chief Investment Officer

January 16, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity (for fiscal year to date) of the portfolios under Treasurer's management.

Portfolio Statistics from July 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008:

	Pooled	All
Interest Received	$46,440,256	$48,162,061
Total Net Earnings	$39,947,359	$40,607,459
Earned Income Yield	2.694%	2.711%
314	314 Days	315 Days

Total cost of the securities on hand as of December 31, 2008 was $3,294,727,982 with a market value of $3,305,435,800 plus fixed assets accrued interests of $4,226,962. The earned income yield for the month of December 2008 is 2.189%.

In accordance with provisions of California State Government Code Section 53646, we are forwarding herewith computer printouts detailing the City's investment portfolio as of December 31, 2008. These investments are in compliance with California Code and our statement of investment policy, and provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months.

Very truly yours,

José Cisneros
Treasurer
Enc.
cc: Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst (w/Enc.)
Ben Rosenfield, Controller (w/Enc.)
Controller – Internal Audit Division -YTD-All Funds, YTD-Pooled Funds
Oversight Committee: R. Sullivan, Dr. Don Q. Griffin, J. Grazioli, T. Rydstrom, P. Marx
Transportation Authority – David Murray, San Francisco Public Library – 2 copies
Office Copy

1
(FS/ERNFS)

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:13:54

FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	TOTAL
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	45,865,428.27	.00	574,827.53	N/A	46,440,255.80
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	39,338,133.81	.00	609,225.16	N/A	39,947,358.97
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,903,603,900.53	.00	37,536,956.52	N/A	2,941,140,857.05
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.688	.000	3.220	N/A	2.694
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	3,219,527,981.64	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,259,727,981.64
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	3,216,585,075.41	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,256,785,075.41
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.182	.000	3.203	N/A	2.195
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	314.46	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	246.79	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.694

1
(FS/ERNFS)

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:13:55

ALL FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	TOTAL
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	47,587,233.83	.00	574,827.53	N/A	48,162,061.36
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	39,998,233.81	.00	609,225.16	N/A	40,607,458.97
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	2,933,658,248.35	.00	37,536,956.52	N/A	2,971,195,204.87
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.705	.000	3.220	N/A	2.711
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	3,254,527,981.64	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,294,727,981.64
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	3,251,585,075.41	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,291,785,075.41
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.186	.000	3.203	N/A	2.198
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	314.59	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	247.66	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.711

1
(FS/ERNFS)

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

PORTFOLIO STATISTICS
7/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:13:55

FUND: 9703 SFUSD TRANS 08-09

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	TOTAL
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	.00	.00	.00	N/A	.00
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	56,350.00	.00	.00	N/A	56,350.00
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	4,375,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	4,375,000.00
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.555	.000	.000	N/A	2.555
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.555	.000	.000	N/A	2.555
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	327.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	327.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.555

1
(FS/ERNFS)

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

P O R T F O L I O S T A T I S T I C S
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:13:40

FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	TOTAL
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	6,535,252.08	.00	98,248.06	N/A	6,633,500.14
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	5,736,149.53	.00	109,352.50	N/A	5,845,502.03
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	3,108,568,649.47	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,148,768,649.47
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.173	.000	3.203	N/A	2.186
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	3,219,527,981.64	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,259,727,981.64
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	3,216,585,075.41	.00	40,200,000.00	N/A	3,256,785,075.41
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.131	.000	3.203	N/A	2.144
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	314.46	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	246.79	.00	33.34	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.186

1
(FS/ERNFS)

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

P O R T F O L I O S T A T I S T I C S
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:13:40

FUND: 9703 SFUSD TRANS 08-09

	GOV'T SECURITIES		TIME DEPOSITS		
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	TOTAL
TOTAL INCOME RECEIVED IN THIS PERIOD:	.00	.00	.00	N/A	.00
TOTAL NET EARNINGS THIS PERIOD:	56,350.00	.00	.00	N/A	56,350.00
AVERAGE DAILY PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	25,967,741.94	.00	.00	N/A	25,967,741.94
EARNED INCOME YIELD THIS PERIOD:	2.555	.000	.000	N/A	2.555
END OF PERIOD PORTFOLIO BALANCE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
CURRENT AMORTIZED BOOK VALUE:	35,000,000.00	.00	.00	N/A	35,000,000.00
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AT END OF PERIOD:	2.555	.000	.000	N/A	2.555
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO MATURITY:	327.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
WEIGHTED AVERAGE DAYS TO CALL:	327.00	.00	.00	N/A	N/A
NET PORTFOLIO YIELD, 365-DAY BASIS:					2.555

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

INVESTMENT MATURITY DISTRIBUTION
AS OF 12/31/08

RUN: 01/13/09 14:10:20

ALL FUNDS

CALL/MATURITY	DATE RANGE	NO OF INV	COST	%	CUM %
1 TO 2 MONTHS	01/01/09-02/28/09	18	593,496,237.44	18.0	18.0
2 TO 3 MONTHS	03/01/09-03/31/09	8	398,976,663.26	12.1	30.1
3 TO 4 MONTHS	04/01/09-04/30/09	4	169,681,966.67	5.2	35.3
4 TO 5 MONTHS	05/01/09-05/31/09	2	15,176,953.13	.5	35.7
5 TO 6 MONTHS	06/01/09-06/30/09	2	100,000,000.00	3.0	38.8
6 TO 12 MONTHS	07/01/09-12/31/09	37	1,366,263,620.14	41.5	80.2
12 TO 18 MONTHS	01/01/10-06/30/10	5	208,704,052.09	6.3	86.6
18 TO 24 MONTHS	07/01/10-12/31/10	4	118,829,799.67	3.6	90.2
24 TO 36 MONTHS	01/01/11-12/31/11	5	170,394,455.91	5.2	95.4
36 TO 48 MONTHS	01/01/12-12/31/12	0		.0	95.4
48 TO 60 MONTHS	01/01/13-12/31/13	4	153,204,233.33	4.6	100.0
60 TO 72 MONTHS	01/01/14-12/31/14	0		.0	100.0
72 TO 84 MONTHS	01/01/15-12/31/15	0		.0	100.0
84 TO 120 MONTHS	01/01/16-12/31/18	0		.0	100.0
120 TO *** MONTHS	01/01/19-	0		.0	100.0
GRAND TOTALS		89	3,294,727,981.64		

Total number of funds represented: 2

1

(SIRPT)

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7
I N V E S T M E N T I N V E N T O R Y
INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#
SETTLEMENT DATE BASIS

RUN: 01/13/09 14:10:16

SUMMARY DESCRIPTION		CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
(Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS	6.61%(C)	1.369	1.383	99.007	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89
(Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES	11.66%(C)	3.592	1.484	102.376	375,100,000.00	384,011,246.16
(Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8.51%(C)	3.789	3.256	101.101	277,250,000.00	280,303,335.48
(Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1.78%(C)	2.706	2.998	99.673	59,000,000.00	58,807,215.98
(Inv Type) 29 OTHER AGENCIES	6.26%(C)	4.205	3.579	103.065	200,000,000.00	206,130,871.11
(Inv Type) 30 FHLMC Bonds	3.90%(C)	3.633	2.518	102.745	124,950,000.00	128,379,399.67
(Inv Type) 31 FHLB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	16.68%(C)	2.710	2.746	99.996	549,500,000.00	549,476,468.00
(Inv Type) 33 FFCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360	1.52%(C)	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 35 FHLB FLOATER MONTHLY	.76%(C)	.391	.391	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 36 FHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-360	2.08%(C)	.488	.414	100.055	68,500,000.00	68,537,476.35
(Inv Type) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	10.77%(C)	1.498	1.512	99.146	358,000,000.00	354,943,463.32
(Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES	1.42%(C)	2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67
(Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES	6.00%(C)	2.630	2.659	98.915	200,000,000.00	197,829,750.01
(Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC	7.94%(C)	2.923	2.962	98.691	265,000,000.00	261,531,625.00
(Inv Type) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S	.76%(C)	4.280	4.280	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT	.16%(C)	2.738	2.738	100.000	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00
(Inv Type) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY	1.06%(C)	3.221	3.221	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
(Inv Type) 1012 COLLATERAL C Ds	12.14%(C)	2.059	2.059	100.000	400,000,000.00	400,000,000.00
	REPORT TOTALS					
	ASSETS FIXED	2.686	2.329	100.311	3,284,500,000.00	3,294,727,981.64

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(SIRPT) INVESTMENT INVENTORY

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#
SETTLEMENT DATE BASIS

	INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A	42064	T BILL	912795J93	100	01/29/09	08/20/08	000	1.750	1.764	99.213	50,000,000.00	49,606,250.00
A	42098	T BILL	912795L58	100	04/23/09	10/31/08	000	.940	.944	99.546	50,000,000.00	49,772,833.34
A	42099	T BILL	912795L58	100	04/23/09	10/31/08	000	.940	.944	99.546	20,000,000.00	19,909,133.33
A	42094	T BILL	912795S44	100	10/22/09	10/29/08	000	1.480	1.502	98.528	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11
A	42095	T BILL	912795S44	100	10/22/09	10/29/08	000	1.480	1.502	98.528	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS					6.61%(C)			1.369	1.383	99.007	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89
A	42003	T NOTE	912828GL8	100	03/31/09	04/09/08	000	4.500	1.682	102.715	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90
A	42013	T NOTE	912828GL8	100	03/31/09	04/09/08	000	4.500	1.682	102.715	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90
A	41870	T NOTE	912828FE5	100	05/15/09	10/26/07	000	4.875	3.797	101.609	5,000,000.00	5,080,468.75
A	41841	T NOTE	912828GT1	100	05/31/09	10/16/07	000	4.875	4.250	100.965	10,000,000.00	10,096,484.38
A	41862	T NOTE	912828GY0	100	07/31/09	10/23/07	000	4.625	3.864	101.285	5,100,000.00	5,165,542.97
A	42134	T NOTE	912828FP0	100	08/15/09	12/31/08	000	4.875	.341	104.656	25,000,000.00	26,164,062.50
A	42135	T NOTE	912828FP0	100	08/15/09	12/31/08	000	4.875	.341	104.656	50,000,000.00	52,328,125.00
A	41993	T NOTE	912828HS2	100	02/28/10	03/31/08	000	2.000	1.677	100.605	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38
A	41994	T NOTE	912828HS2	100	02/28/10	03/31/08	000	2.000	1.677	100.605	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38
A	42096	T NOTE	912828JC5	100	06/30/10	10/31/08	000	2.875	1.459	102.320	50,000,000.00	51,160,156.25
A	42097	T NOTE	912828JC5	100	06/30/10	10/31/08	000	2.875	1.459	102.320	30,000,000.00	30,696,093.75
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES					11.66%(C)			3.592	1.484	102.376	375,100,000.00	384,011,246.16
A	42090	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42091	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42092	F H L B	3133XSC48	100	10/02/09	10/02/08	000	3.400	3.400	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
A	42093	F H L B	3133XSJP4	100	10/23/09	10/27/08	000	3.125	3.125	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42114	F H L B	3133XJUS5	100	03/12/10	12/09/08	000	5.000	1.957	104.969	25,000,000.00	26,242,333.33
A	42104	F H L B	3133XRM49	100	12/10/10	11/18/08	000	3.875	2.867	102.002	20,000,000.00	20,400,400.00
A	42106	F N M A	31359MF81	100	02/07/11	11/20/08	000	5.050	3.375	104.984	27,250,000.00	28,608,102.15
A	41950	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS	3133XP4T8	100	01/28/13	01/31/08	000	4.200	4.161	100.175	30,000,000.00	30,052,500.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK					8.51%(C)			3.789	3.256	101.101	277,250,000.00	280,303,335.48
A	42105	F F C B	31331YUD0	100	02/14/11	11/19/08	000	2.875	3.203	100.054	19,000,000.00	19,010,199.31
A	42102	F F CB	31331YG46	100	04/21/11	11/10/08	000	2.625	2.900	99.493	40,000,000.00	39,797,016.67
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK					1.78%(C)			2.706	2.998	99.673	59,000,000.00	58,807,215.98
A	42130	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ATA0	100	07/28/11	12/30/08	000	4.330	3.553	103.724	50,000,000.00	51,861,961.11
A	42131	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ATA0	100	07/28/11	12/30/08	000	4.330	3.553	103.724	30,000,000.00	31,117,176.67
A	42126	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE CAL	31398ARC8	100	05/06/13	12/22/08	000	4.120	3.596	102.626	50,000,000.00	51,313,222.22
A	42127	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ARC8	100	05/06/13	12/22/08	000	4.120	3.596	102.626	50,000,000.00	51,313,222.22

	INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A	42128	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ARC8	100	05/06/13	12/22/08	000	4.120	3.596	102.626	20,000,000.00	20,525,288.89
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 29 OTHER AGENCIES					6.26%(C)			4.205	3.579	103.065	200,000,000.00	206,130,871.11
A	42045	F H L M C	3128X7N91	100	07/14/09	07/14/08	000	3.250	3.250	100.000	29,950,000.00	29,950,000.00
A	42115	F H L M C BONDS	3137EABQ1	100	07/16/10	12/09/08	000	3.250	2.081	103.105	50,000,000.00	51,552,458.34
A	42116	F H L M C BONDS	3137EABQ1	100	07/16/10	12/09/08	000	3.250	2.081	103.105	20,000,000.00	20,620,983.33
A	42103	F H L M C	3137EAAX7	100	08/23/10	11/17/08	000	5.125	2.884	105.024	25,000,000.00	26,255,958.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 30 FHLMC Bonds					3.90%(C)			3.633	2.518	102.745	124,950,000.00	128,379,399.67
A	42016	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNXA2	100	01/08/09	04/18/08	000	4.089	4.267	99.955	50,000,000.00	49,977,500.00
A	42018	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNYB9	100	01/14/09	04/21/08	000	4.619	4.798	99.955	50,000,000.00	49,977,358.00
A	42019	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNYB9	100	01/14/09	04/21/08	000	4.619	4.798	99.955	30,000,000.00	29,986,410.00
A	42020	FHLB FLOATER QTR	3133XPAY0	100	01/28/09	01/25/08	000	3.346	3.346	100.000	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00
A	42021	FHLB FLOATER QTR	3133XPAY0	100	01/28/09	01/25/08	000	3.346	3.346	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	41915	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	12/07/07	000	1.968	1.999	99.969	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00
A	41916	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	12/07/07	000	1.968	1.999	99.969	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00
A	41924	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	12/28/07	000	1.968	1.918	100.050	50,000,000.00	50,024,900.00
A	41937	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	1.968	1.948	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41938	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	1.968	1.948	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41939	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	1.968	1.948	100.020	4,500,000.00	4,500,900.00
A	41940	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	1.968	1.948	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
A	41941	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	3133XNF61	100	11/23/09	01/09/08	000	1.968	1.948	100.020	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 31 FHLB FLOATER QTR ACT-360					16.68%(C)			2.710	2.746	99.996	549,500,000.00	549,476,468.00
A	42065	FFCB FLOATER QTR	31331Y6X3	100	10/26/09	08/26/08	000	2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 33 FFCB FLOATER QTR ACT-360					1.52%(C)			2.020	2.020	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42076	F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY	3133XRR28	100	12/28/09	09/18/08	000	.391	.391	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 35 FHLB FLOATER MONTHLY					.76%(C)			.391	.391	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
A	42100	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	3128X7CN2	100	09/21/09	09/22/08	000	.488	.414	100.055	18,500,000.00	18,510,121.35
A	42101	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	3128X7CN2	100	09/21/09	09/22/08	000	.488	.414	100.055	50,000,000.00	50,027,355.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 36 FHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-360					2.08%(C)			.488	.414	100.055	68,500,000.00	68,537,476.35
A	42088	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	313589DD2	100	03/17/09	10/15/08	000	2.550	2.578	98.916	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 42089	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	313589DD2	100	03/17/09	10/15/08	000	2.550	2.578	98.916	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00
A 42137	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KA0	100	08/05/09	12/16/08	000	.620	.622	99.600	48,000,000.00	47,808,213.33
A 42111	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KK8	100	08/14/09	12/04/08	000	1.200	1.210	99.157	50,000,000.00	49,578,333.33
A 42112	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KK8	100	08/14/09	12/04/08	000	1.200	1.210	99.157	50,000,000.00	49,578,333.33
A 42113	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KK8	100	08/14/09	12/04/08	000	1.200	1.210	99.157	10,000,000.00	9,915,666.67
A 42109	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KN2	100	08/17/09	12/04/08	000	1.200	1.210	99.147	50,000,000.00	49,573,333.33
A 42110	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	313589KN2	100	08/17/09	12/04/08	000	1.200	1.210	99.147	50,000,000.00	49,573,333.33
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES				10.77%(C)			1.498	1.512	99.146	358,000,000.00	354,943,463.32
A 42087	FARMER MAC DISCOUNT	31315LAF5	100	01/06/09	10/20/08	000	2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NOTES				1.42%(C)			2.350	2.362	99.491	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67
A 42085	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397BK6	100	02/03/09	10/02/08	000	2.950	2.980	98.984	30,000,000.00	29,695,166.66
A 42086	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397BK6	100	02/03/09	10/02/08	000	2.950	2.980	98.984	50,000,000.00	49,491,944.45
A 42082	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397CZ2	100	03/13/09	10/03/08	000	2.650	2.682	98.815	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56
A 42083	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313397CZ2	100	03/13/09	10/03/08	000	2.650	2.682	98.815	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56
A 42108	F M C DISCOUNT NOTE	313397KF7	100	08/10/09	12/05/08	000	1.250	1.261	99.139	20,000,000.00	19,827,777.78
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES				6.00%(C)			2.630	2.659	98.915	200,000,000.00	197,829,750.01
A 42054	Commerzbank CP	20260AN63	100	01/06/09	07/30/08	000	2.890	2.928	98.716	40,000,000.00	39,486,222.22
A 42058	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	100	01/06/09	08/05/08	000	2.980	3.018	98.725	50,000,000.00	49,362,611.11
A 42070	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	100	01/06/09	09/03/08	000	2.915	2.945	98.988	50,000,000.00	49,493,923.61
A 42052	TOYOTA C P	89233GNL6	100	01/20/09	07/25/08	000	2.750	2.788	98.633	25,000,000.00	24,658,159.72
A 42066	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	100	03/03/09	09/05/08	000	2.955	2.999	98.531	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17
A 42067	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	100	03/03/09	09/05/08	000	2.955	2.999	98.531	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC				7.94%(C)			2.923	2.962	98.691	265,000,000.00	261,531,625.00
A 42084	CITIGROUP N C D	1730D1K60	100	01/06/09	10/06/08	000	4.280	4.280	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S				.76%(C)			4.280	4.280	100.000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00
A 42044	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU		100	07/16/09	07/16/08	000	3.900	3.900	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
A 42055	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD		100	07/31/09	07/31/08	000	2.750	2.750	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
A 42107	MISSION AREA CREDIT UNIO		100	11/03/09	11/03/08	000	1.000	1.000	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT				.16%(C)			2.738	2.738	100.000	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00
A 41925	CITIBANK PTD		100	01/02/09	01/03/08	000	3.750	3.750	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00

INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A 42059	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/04/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A 42060	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/01/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A 41948	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONT		100	01/18/09	01/19/08	000	3.850	3.850	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY		1.06%(C)					3.221	3.221	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
A 42122	BA COLLATERAL		100	04/14/09	12/17/08	000	.870	.870	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42123	BA COLLATERAL		100	04/14/09	12/17/08	000	.870	.870	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42124	UNION BANK COLLATERA		100	06/04/09	12/04/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42125	UNION BANK COLLATERA		100	06/04/09	12/04/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42117	US BANK COLLATERAL		100	11/23/09	12/09/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00
A 42118	US BANK COLLATERAL		9703	11/23/09	12/09/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
A 42119	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42120	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A 42121	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1012 COLLATERAL C Ds		12.14%(C)					2.059	2.059	100.000	400,000,000.00	400,000,000.00
		REPORT TOTALS									
		ASSETS			FIXED		2.686	2.329	100.311	3,284,500,000.00	3,294,727,981.64

(SIRPT)

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7
I N V E S T M E N T I N V E N T O R Y
INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS BANK
SETTLEMENT DATE BASIS

	INVSMT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CUSIP	FUND NO.	MATURITY (TICKER)	PURCHASE DATE	SAF/ PURP	CUPN RATE	TRDNG YIELD	BOOK PRICE	PAR VALUE SHARES	BOOK VALUE
A	41925	CITIBANK PTD		100	01/02/09	01/03/08	000	3.750	3.750	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A	41948	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONT		100	01/18/09	01/19/08	000	3.850	3.850	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
A	42044	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU		100	07/16/09	07/16/08	000	3.900	3.900	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
A	42055	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD		100	07/31/09	07/31/08	000	2.750	2.750	100.000	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00
A	42059	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/04/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A	42060	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT		100	01/06/09	08/01/08	000	2.800	2.800	100.000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00
A	42107	MISSION AREA CREDIT UNIO		100	11/03/09	11/03/08	000	1.000	1.000	100.000	100,000.00	100,000.00
A	42117	US BANK COLLATERAL		100	11/23/09	12/09/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00
A	42118	US BANK COLLATERAL		9703	11/23/09	12/09/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
A	42119	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42120	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42121	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD		100	12/08/09	12/09/08	000	2.390	2.390	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42122	BA COLLATERAL		100	04/14/09	12/17/08	000	.870	.870	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42123	BA COLLATERAL		100	04/14/09	12/17/08	000	.870	.870	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42124	UNION BANK COLLATERA		100	06/04/09	12/04/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
A	42125	UNION BANK COLLATERA		100	06/04/09	12/04/08	000	2.520	2.520	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
								2.520	2.520	100.000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00
SUBTOTAL (Bank) 19 BANK OF NEW YORK					100.00%(C)			2.159	2.159	100.000	440,200,000.00	440,200,000.00
					REPORT TOTALS							
					ASSETS		FIXED	2.159	2.159	100.000	440,200,000.00	440,200,000.00

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT)

RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
A	42064 T	BILL	912795J93	19	100	1.7500	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	325,694.44	68,055.56
		08/20/08 01/29/09		52	000	1.7639	49,606,250.00	100.0000000000	SUNGARD	
A	42098 T	BILL	912795L58	19	100	.9400	50,000,000.00	49,984,375.00	80,944.44	130,597.22
		10/31/08 04/23/09		54	000	.9443	49,772,833.34	99.96875000000	SUNGARD	
A	42099 T	BILL	912795L58	19	100	.9400	20,000,000.00	19,993,750.00	32,377.78	52,238.89
		10/31/08 04/23/09		54	000	.9443	19,909,133.33	99.96875000000	SUNGARD	
A	42094 T	BILL	912795S44	19	100	1.4800	50,000,000.00	49,859,375.00	131,555.56	463,708.33
		10/29/08 10/22/09		54	000	1.5021	49,264,111.11	99.71875000000	SUNGARD	
A	42095 T	BILL	912795S44	19	100	1.4800	50,000,000.00	49,859,375.00	131,555.56	463,708.33
		10/29/08 10/22/09		54	000	1.5021	49,264,111.11	99.71875000000	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 11 TREASURY BILLS			6.65%(M)		1.3687	220,000,000.00	219,696,875.00	702,127.78	1,178,308.33
						1.3833	217,816,438.89	99.86221600000		
A	42003 T	NOTE	912828GL8	19	100	4.5000	50,000,000.00	50,531,250.00	574,862.64	
		04/09/08 03/31/09		47	000	1.6817	51,357,421.90	101.0625000000	SUNGARD	-826,171.90
A	42013 T	NOTE	912828GL8	19	100	4.5000	50,000,000.00	50,531,250.00	574,862.64	
		04/09/08 03/31/09		47	000	1.6817	51,357,421.90	101.0625000000	SUNGARD	-826,171.90
A	41870 T	NOTE	912828FE5	19	100	4.8750	5,000,000.00	5,085,937.50	31,647.10	5,468.75
		10/26/07 05/15/09		40	000	3.7975	5,080,468.75	101.7187500000	SUNGARD	
A	41841 T	NOTE	912828GT1	19	100	4.8750	10,000,000.00	10,190,625.00	42,857.14	94,140.62
		10/16/07 05/31/09		40	000	4.2504	10,096,484.38	101.9062500000	SUNGARD	
A	41862 T	NOTE	912828GY0	19	100	4.6250	5,100,000.00	5,227,500.00	98,708.56	61,957.03
		10/23/07 07/31/09		40	000	3.8643	5,165,542.97	102.5000000000	SUNGARD	
A	42134 T	NOTE	912828FP0	19	100	4.8750	25,000,000.00	25,695,312.50	460,343.07	
		12/31/08 08/15/09		93	000	.3407	26,164,062.50	102.7812500000	SUNGARD	-11,718.75
A	42135 T	NOTE	912828FP0	19	100	4.8750	50,000,000.00	51,390,625.00	920,686.14	
		12/31/08 08/15/09		93	000	.3407	52,328,125.00	102.7812500000	SUNGARD	-23,437.50
A	41993 T	NOTE	912828HS2	19	100	2.0000	50,000,000.00	50,906,250.00	339,779.01	603,515.62
		03/31/08 02/28/10		47	000	1.6772	50,302,734.38	101.8125000000	SUNGARD	
A	41994 T	NOTE	912828HS2	19	100	2.0000	50,000,000.00	50,906,250.00	339,779.01	603,515.62
		03/31/08 02/28/10		47	000	1.6772	50,302,734.38	101.8125000000	SUNGARD	
A	42096 T	NOTE	912828JC5	19	100	2.8750	50,000,000.00	51,781,250.00	3,970.99	621,093.75
		10/31/08 06/30/10		47	000	1.4593	51,160,156.25	103.5625000000	SUNGARD	
A	42097 T	NOTE	912828JC5	19	100	2.8750	30,000,000.00	31,068,750.00	2,382.60	372,656.25
		10/31/08 06/30/10		47	000	1.4593	30,696,093.75	103.5625000000	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 12 TREASURY NOTES			11.60%(M)		3.5872	375,100,000.00	383,315,000.00	3,389,878.90	2,362,347.64
						1.4880	384,011,246.16	102.1900830000		-1,687,500.05
A	42090 F	H L B	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	420,277.78	0.00
		10/02/08 10/02/09		47	000	3.4000	50,000,000.00	100.0000000000	SUNGARD	
A	42091 F	H L B	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	420,277.78	0.00
		10/02/08 10/02/09		47	000	3.4000	50,000,000.00	100.0000000000	SUNGARD	
A	42092 F	H L B	3133XSC48	19	100	3.4000	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	210,138.89	0.00
		10/02/08 10/02/09		47	000	3.4000	25,000,000.00	100.0000000000	SUNGARD	

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT)

RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION / PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
A	42093	F H L B	3133XSJP4	19	100	3.1250	50,000,000.00	50,078,125.00	277,777.78	78,125.00
		10/27/08 10/23/09		47	000	3.1250	50,000,000.00	100.1562500000	SUNGARD	
A	42114	F H L B	3133XJUS5	19	100	5.0000	25,000,000.00	26,250,000.00	378,472.22	309,750.00
		12/09/08 03/12/10		54	000	1.9571	26,242,333.33	105.0000000000	SUNGARD	
A	42104	F H L B	3133XRM49	19	100	3.8750	20,000,000.00	20,993,750.00	45,208.33	593,350.00
		11/18/08 12/10/10		54	000	2.8671	20,400,400.00	104.9687500000	SUNGARD	
A	42106	F N M A	31359MF81	19	100	5.0500	27,250,000.00	29,361,875.00	550,450.00	1,147,497.50
		11/20/08 02/07/11		54	000	3.3751	28,608,102.15	107.7500000000	SUNGARD	
A	41950	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS	3133XP4T8	19	100	4.2000	30,000,000.00	30,065,625.00	535,500.00	13,125.00
		01/31/08 01/28/13		47	000	4.1607	30,052,500.00	100.2187500000	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK		8.52%(M)			3.7864	277,250,000.00	281,749,375.00	2,838,102.78	2,141,847.50
						3.2573	280,303,335.48	101.6228580000		
A	42105	F F C B	31331YUD0	19	100	2.8750	19,000,000.00	19,623,437.50	207,878.48	757,387.50
		11/19/08 02/14/11		54	000	3.2029	19,010,199.31	103.2812500000	SUNGARD	
A	42102	F F CB	31331YG46	19	100	2.6250	40,000,000.00	41,162,500.00	204,166.67	1,420,900.00
		11/10/08 04/21/11		54	000	2.9000	39,797,016.67	102.9062500000	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 28 FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BAN		1.84%(M)			2.7055	59,000,000.00	60,785,937.50	412,045.15	2,178,287.50
						2.9975	58,807,215.98	103.0270130000		
A	42130	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ATA0	19	100	4.3300	50,000,000.00	51,015,625.00	920,125.00	67,775.00
		12/30/08 07/28/11		54	000	3.5529	51,861,961.11	102.0312500000	SUNGARD	
A	42131	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ATA0	19	100	4.3300	30,000,000.00	30,609,375.00	552,075.00	40,665.00
		12/30/08 07/28/11		54	000	3.5529	31,117,176.67	102.0312500000	SUNGARD	
A	42126	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE CALL	31398ARC8	19	100	4.1200	50,000,000.00	50,875,000.00	314,722.22	
		12/22/08 05/06/13		47	000	3.5958	51,313,222.22	101.7500000000	SUNGARD	-175,000.00
A	42127	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ARC8	19	100	4.1200	50,000,000.00	50,875,000.00	314,722.22	
		12/22/08 05/06/13		47	000	3.5958	51,313,222.22	101.7500000000	SUNGARD	-175,000.00
A	42128	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	31398ARC8	19	100	4.1200	20,000,000.00	20,350,000.00	125,888.89	
		12/22/08 05/06/13		47	000	3.5958	20,525,288.89	101.7500000000	SUNGARD	-70,000.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 29 OTHER AGENCIES		6.16%(M)			4.2039	200,000,000.00	203,725,000.00	2,227,533.33	108,440.00
						3.5786	206,130,871.11	101.8625000000		-420,000.00
A	42045	F H L M C	3128X7N91	19	100	3.2500	29,950,000.00	29,978,078.13	451,537.85	28,078.13
		07/14/08 07/14/09		53	000	3.2500	29,950,000.00	100.0937500000	SUNGARD	
A	42115	F H L M C BONDS	3137EABQ1	19	100	3.2500	50,000,000.00	51,640,625.00	735,763.90	724,625.00
		12/09/08 07/16/10		54	000	2.0810	51,552,458.34	103.2812500000	SUNGARD	
A	42116	F H L M C BONDS	3137EABQ1	19	100	3.2500	20,000,000.00	20,656,250.00	294,305.55	289,850.00
		12/09/08 07/16/10		54	000	2.0810	20,620,983.33	103.2812500000	SUNGARD	
A	42103	F H L M C	3137EAAX7	19	100	5.1250	25,000,000.00	26,625,000.00	455,555.22	668,000.00
		11/17/08 08/23/10		47	000	2.8843	26,255,958.00	106.5000000000	SUNGARD	

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT) RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 30 FHLMC Bonds		3.90%(M)			3.6327	124,950,000.00	128,899,953.13	1,937,162.52	1,710,553.13
						2.5202	128,379,399.67	103.1612270000		
A	42016	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNXA2	19	100	4.0890	50,000,000.00	50,015,625.00	482,729.17	38,125.00
		04/18/08 01/08/09		47	000	4.2670	49,977,500.00	100.0312500000	SUNGARD	
A	42018	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNYB9	19	100	4.6190	50,000,000.00	50,046,875.00	506,806.94	69,517.00
		04/21/08 01/14/09		47	000	4.7984	49,977,358.00	100.0937500000	SUNGARD	
A	42019	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNYB9	19	100	4.6190	30,000,000.00	30,028,125.00	304,084.17	41,715.00
		04/21/08 01/14/09		47	000	4.7984	29,986,410.00	100.0937500000	SUNGARD	
A	42020	FHLB FLOATER QTR	3133XPAY0	19	100	3.3460	15,000,000.00	15,018,750.00	90,620.83	18,750.00
		01/25/08 01/28/09		87	000	3.3460	15,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD	
A	42021	FHLB FLOATER QTR	3133XPAY0	19	100	3.3460	50,000,000.00	50,062,500.00	302,069.44	62,500.00
		01/25/08 01/28/09		87	000	3.3460	50,000,000.00	100.1250000000	SUNGARD	
A	41915	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	46,550.00
		12/07/07 11/23/09		47	000	1.9988	49,984,700.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41916	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	46,550.00
		12/07/07 11/23/09		47	000	1.9988	49,984,700.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41924	F H L B FLOATER	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	6,350.00
		12/28/07 11/23/09		47	000	1.9179	50,024,900.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41937	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	21,250.00
		01/09/08 11/23/09		47	000	1.9478	50,010,000.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41938	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	21,250.00
		01/09/08 11/23/09		47	000	1.9478	50,010,000.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41939	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	4,500,000.00	4,502,812.50	9,594.00	1,912.50
		01/09/08 11/23/09		47	000	1.9478	4,500,900.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41940	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	21,250.00
		01/09/08 11/23/09		47	000	1.9478	50,010,000.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
A	41941	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT 360	3133XNF61	19	100	1.9680	50,000,000.00	50,031,250.00	106,600.00	21,250.00
		01/09/08 11/23/09		47	000	1.9478	50,010,000.00	100.0625000000	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 31 FHLB FLOATER QTR ACT-36		16.64%(M)			2.7097	549,500,000.00	549,893,437.50	2,442,104.55	416,969.50
						2.7455	549,476,468.00	100.0715990000		
A	42065	FFCB FLOATER QTR	31331Y6X3	19	100	2.0200	50,000,000.00	49,843,750.00	187,972.22	
		08/26/08 10/26/09		54	000	2.0200	50,000,000.00	99.68750000000	SUNGARD	-156,250.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 33 FFCB FLOATER QTR ACT-36		1.51%(M)			2.0200	50,000,000.00	49,843,750.00	187,972.22	.00
						2.0200	50,000,000.00	99.68750000000		-156,250.00
A	42076	F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY	3133XRR28	19	100	.3910	25,000,000.00	24,945,312.50	1,086.11	
		09/18/08 12/28/09		54	000	.3910	25,000,000.00	99.78125000000	SUNGARD	-54,687.50
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 35 FHLB FLOATER MONTHLY		.75%(M)			.3910	25,000,000.00	24,945,312.50	1,086.11	.00
						.3910	25,000,000.00	99.78125000000		-54,687.50

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT)

RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION / PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
A	42100	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	19 47	100 000	.4875 .4144	18,500,000.00 18,510,121.35	18,482,656.25 99.90625000000	2,505.21 SUNGARD	-27,465.10
A	42101	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY 09/22/08 09/21/09	3128X7CN2	19 47	100 000	.4875 .4144	50,000,000.00 50,027,355.00	49,953,125.00 99.90625000000	6,770.83 SUNGARD	-74,230.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 36 FHLMC FLOATER MO ACT-36		2.07%(M)			.4875 .4144	68,500,000.00 68,537,476.35	68,435,781.25 99.90625000000	9,276.04	.00 -101,695.10
A	42088	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES 10/15/08 03/17/09	313589DD2	19 40	100 000	2.5500 2.5779	50,000,000.00 49,458,125.00	49,995,000.00 99.99000000000	276,250.00 UPRICE	260,625.00
A	42089	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES 10/15/08 03/17/09	313589DD2	19 76	100 000	2.5500 2.5779	50,000,000.00 49,458,125.00	49,995,000.00 99.99000000000	276,250.00 UPRICE	260,625.00
A	42137	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/16/08 08/05/09	313589KA0	19 47	100 000	.6200 .6225	48,000,000.00 47,808,213.33	47,856,000.00 99.70000000000	13,226.67 UPRICE	34,560.00
A	42111	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/04/08 08/14/09	313589KK8	19 47	100 000	1.2000 1.2102	50,000,000.00 49,578,333.33	49,845,000.00 99.69000000000	46,666.67 UPRICE	220,000.00
A	42112	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/04/08 08/14/09	313589KK8	19 47	100 000	1.2000 1.2102	50,000,000.00 49,578,333.33	49,845,000.00 99.69000000000	46,666.67 UPRICE	220,000.00
A	42113	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/04/08 08/14/09	313589KK8	19 47	100 000	1.2000 1.2102	10,000,000.00 9,915,666.67	9,969,000.00 99.69000000000	9,333.33 UPRICE	44,000.00
A	42109	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/04/08 08/17/09	313589KN2	19 47	100 000	1.2000 1.2103	50,000,000.00 49,573,333.33	49,840,000.00 99.68000000000	46,666.67 UPRICE	220,000.00
A	42110	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE 12/04/08 08/17/09	313589KN2	19 47	100 000	1.2000 1.2103	50,000,000.00 49,573,333.33	49,840,000.00 99.68000000000	46,666.67 UPRICE	220,000.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES		10.81%(M)			1.4981 1.5122	358,000,000.00 354,943,463.32	357,185,000.00 99.77234600000	761,726.68	1,479,810.00
A	42087	FARMER MAC DISCOUNT 10/20/08 01/06/09	31315LAF5	19 40	100 000	2.3500 2.3620	47,000,000.00 46,760,691.67	46,999,934.72 99.99986111111	223,968.05 SUNGARD	15,275.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 42 FARM CREDIT DISCOUNT NO		1.42%(M)			2.3500 2.3620	47,000,000.00 46,760,691.67	46,999,934.72 99.99986100000	223,968.05	15,275.00
A	42085	FREDDIE DISCOUNT 10/02/08 02/03/09	313397BK6	19 54	100 000	2.9500 2.9803	30,000,000.00 29,695,166.66	29,999,725.00 99.99908333333	223,708.34 SUNGARD	80,850.00
A	42086	FREDDIE DISCOUNT 10/02/08 02/03/09	313397BK6	19 54	100 000	2.9500 2.9803	50,000,000.00 49,491,944.45	49,999,541.67 99.99908333333	372,847.22 SUNGARD	134,750.00
A	42082	FREDDIE DISCOUNT 10/03/08 03/13/09	313397CZ2	19 47	100 000	2.6500 2.6818	50,000,000.00 49,407,430.56	49,998,027.78 99.99605555556	331,250.00 SUNGARD	259,347.22
A	42083	FREDDIE DISCOUNT 10/03/08 03/13/09	313397CZ2	19 47	100 000	2.6500 2.6818	50,000,000.00 49,407,430.56	49,998,027.78 99.99605555556	331,250.00 SUNGARD	259,347.22
A	42108	F M C DISCOUNT NOTE 12/05/08 08/10/09	313397KF7	19 54	100 000	1.2500 1.2609	20,000,000.00 19,827,777.78	19,957,027.78 99.78513888889	18,750.00 SUNGARD	110,500.00

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT)

RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOTES		6.05%(M)			2.6298	200,000,000.00	199,952,350.01	1,277,805.56	844,794.44
						2.6589	197,829,750.01	99.97617500000		
A	42054	Commerzbank CP	20260AN63	19	100	2.8900	40,000,000.00	39,996,888.89	497,722.22	12,944.45
		07/30/08 01/06/09		76	000	2.9276	39,486,222.22	99.99222222222	SUNGARD	
A	42058	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	19	100	2.9800	50,000,000.00	49,996,111.11	616,694.45	16,805.55
		08/05/08 01/06/09		87	000	3.0185	49,362,611.11	99.99222222222	SUNGARD	
A	42070	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	06478GN67	19	100	2.9150	50,000,000.00	49,996,111.11	485,833.33	16,354.17
		09/03/08 01/06/09		76	000	2.9448	49,493,923.61	99.99222222222	SUNGARD	
A	42052	TOYOTA C P	89233GNL6	19	100	2.7500	25,000,000.00	24,992,611.11	305,555.56	28,895.83
		07/25/08 01/20/09		55	000	2.7881	24,658,159.72	99.97044444444	SUNGARD	
A	42066	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	19	100	2.9550	50,000,000.00	49,905,111.11	484,291.66	155,465.28
		09/05/08 03/03/09		40	000	2.9991	49,265,354.17	99.81022222222	SUNGARD	
A	42067	BANK OF AMERICA C P	0660P0Q30	19	100	2.9550	50,000,000.00	49,905,111.11	484,291.66	155,465.28
		09/05/08 03/03/09		40	000	2.9991	49,265,354.17	99.81022222222	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER DISC		8.01%(M)			2.9230	265,000,000.00	264,791,944.44	2,874,388.88	385,930.56
						2.9618	261,531,625.00	99.92148800000		
A	42084	CITIGROUP N C D	1730D1K60	19	100	4.2800	25,000,000.00	25,016,148.55	258,583.33	16,148.55
		10/06/08 01/06/09		89	000	4.2800	25,000,000.00	100.0645941974	SUNGARD	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.'S		.76%(M)			4.2800	25,000,000.00	25,016,148.55	258,583.33	16,148.55
						4.2800	25,000,000.00	100.0645940000		
A	42044	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PUBLI		19	100	3.9000	100,000.00	100,000.00	10.83	0.00
		07/16/08 07/16/09		60	000	3.9000	100,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
A	42055	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD		19	100	2.7500	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	35,138.88	0.00
		07/31/08 07/31/09		63	000	2.7500	5,000,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
A	42107	MISSION AREA CREDIT UNION		19	100	1.0000	100,000.00	100,000.00	2.78	0.00
		11/03/08 11/03/09		62	000	1.0000	100,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT		.16%(M)			2.7385	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00	35,152.49	.00
						2.7385	5,200,000.00	100.0000000000		
A	41925	CITIBANK PTD		19	100	3.7500	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	1,031.55	0.00
		01/03/08 01/02/09		48	000	3.7500	10,000,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
A	42059	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY		19	100	2.8000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	-5.44	0.00
		08/04/08 01/06/09		93	000	2.8000	10,000,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
A	42060	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONTHLY		19	100	2.8000	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	-5.62	0.00
		08/01/08 01/06/09		93	000	2.8000	10,000,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	
A	41948	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONTHLY		19	100	3.8500	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	534.72	0.00
		01/19/08 01/18/09		63	000	3.8500	5,000,000.00	100.0000000000	USERPR	

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7
INVESTMENT INVENTORY WITH MARKET VALUE

(RPTMKT)

RUN: 01/13/09 14:14:37

INVESTMENTS OUTSTANDING AS OF 12/31/08
MAJOR SORT KEY IS ICC#

	INVEST NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PURCHASE MATURITY DATE	CUSIP	BANK BROK	FUND SAFE	CPN RATE YTM TR	PAR/SHARES BOOK	MARKET VALUE MARKET PRICE	CURR ACCR INT PRICE SOURCE	UNREALIZED GAIN UNREALIZED LOSS
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT M		1.06%(M)			3.2214 3.2214	35,000,000.00 35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00 100.0000000000	1,555.21	.00
A	42122	BA COLLATERAL 12/17/08 04/14/09		19 40	100 000	.8700 .8700	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	18,125.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42123	BA COLLATERAL 12/17/08 04/14/09		19 40	100 000	.8700 .8700	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	18,125.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42124	UNION BANK COLLATERA 12/04/08 06/04/09		19 46	100 000	2.5200 2.5200	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	98,000.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42125	UNION BANK COLLATERA 12/04/08 06/04/09		19 46	100 000	2.5200 2.5200	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	98,000.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42117	US BANK COLLATERAL 12/09/08 11/23/09		19 44	100 000	2.5200 2.5200	15,000,000.00 15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00 100.0000000000	24,150.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42118	US BANK COLLATERAL 12/09/08 11/23/09		19 44	9703 000	2.5200 2.5200	35,000,000.00 35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00 100.0000000000	56,350.00 USERPR	0.00
A	42119	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD 12/09/08 12/08/09		19 44	100 000	2.3900 2.3900	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	76,347.22 USERPR	0.00
A	42120	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD 12/09/08 12/08/09		19 44	100 000	2.3900 2.3900	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	76,347.22 USERPR	0.00
A	42121	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD 12/09/08 12/08/09		19 44	100 000	2.3900 2.3900	50,000,000.00 50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00 100.0000000000	76,347.22 USERPR	0.00
	SUBTOTAL (Inv Type) 1012 COLLATERAL C Ds		12.10%(M)			2.0588 2.0588	400,000,000.00 400,000,000.00	400,000,000.00 100.0000000000	541,791.66	.00
	GRAND TOTAL					2.6830 2.3291	3284500000.00 3294727981.64	3305435799.60 100.6374120000	20,122,261.24	12,838,712.15 -2,420,132.65

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

(EIS / ERNEIS)

E A R N E D I N C O M E S U M M A R Y
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 9703 SFUSD TRANS 08-09

RUN: 01/13/09 14:10:18

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42118	12/09/08	2.5200	US BANK COLLATERAL	11/23/09	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	2.555			56,350.00
SUBTOTAL (ICC#)	1012	COLLATERAL C D	1.06%(C)	327 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	2.555		.00	56,350.00
SUBTOTAL (FUND)	9703	SFUSD TRANS 08-09-	ASSETS	327 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00			.00	56,350.00
SUBTOTAL (FUND)	9703	SFUSD TRANS 08-09-	NET		35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00			.00	56,350.00

FUND STATISTICS	ASSETS	LIABILITIES
AVERAGE DAILY INVESTMENT BALANCE :	25,967,741.94	
EARNED INTEREST YIELD THIS PERIOD :	2.555	.000
WEIGHTED AVG YIELD AT END OF PERIOD :	2.555	.000
TOTAL INTEREST EARNED FOR FUTURE RECEIPT:	56,350.00	

GRAND TOTAL	100.00%(C)	311 DAYS	3284500000.00	3294727981.64	2.189		6,633,500.14	5,901,852.02

1

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(EIS / ERNEIS)

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS

FUND STATISTICS	ASSETS	LIABILITIES
AVERAGE DAILY INVESTMENT BALANCE	:3,148,768,649.47	
EARNED INTEREST YIELD THIS PERIOD	: 2.186	.000
WEIGHTED AVG YIELD AT END OF PERIOD	: 2.144	.000
TOTAL INTEREST EARNED FOR FUTURE RECEIPT:	17,123,005.02	

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

(EIS / ERNEIS)

E A R N E D I N C O M E S U M M A R Y
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42066	09/05/08	2.9550	BANK OF AMERICA C P	03/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17	3.041			127,229.16
42067	09/05/08	2.9550	BANK OF AMERICA C P	03/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,265,354.17	3.041			127,229.16
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 81 COMMERCIAL PAPER 7.94%(C)				28 DAYS	265,000,000.00	261,531,625.00	3.013		2,650,952.79	898,274.98
42069	09/29/08	3.3700	WELLS FARGO C P	12/09/08	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	3.417	MATURED	232,623.61	26,211.11
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 82 COMMERCIAL PAPER				0 DAYS	.00	.00	3.417		232,623.61	26,211.11
42084	10/06/08	4.2800	CITIGROUP N C D	01/06/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	4.339			92,138.89
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 91 NEGOTIABLE C.D.' .76%(C)				6 DAYS	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	4.339		.00	92,138.89
42044	07/16/08	3.9000	MISSION NATIONAL BANK PU	07/16/09	100,000.00	100,000.00	3.954		996.67	335.83
42055	07/31/08	2.7500	FIRST NATIONAL BANK CD	07/31/09	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	2.788			11,840.28
42107	11/03/08	1.0000	MISSION AREA CREDIT UNIO	11/03/09	100,000.00	100,000.00	1.014		161.11	86.11
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 1010 PUBLIC TIME DE .16%(C)				213 DAYS	5,200,000.00	5,200,000.00	2.776		1,157.78	12,262.22
41925	01/03/08	3.7500	CITIBANK PTD	01/02/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	3.802		32,291.67	32,291.67
42059	08/04/08	2.8000	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT	01/06/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	2.839		24,111.11	24,111.11
42060	08/01/08	2.8000	PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT MONT	01/06/09	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	2.839		24,111.11	24,111.11
41948	01/19/08	3.8500	FIRST NATL BANK INT MONT	01/18/09	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	3.903		16,576.39	16,576.39
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 1011 PUBLIC TIME DE 1.06%(C)				6 DAYS	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00	3.266		97,090.28	97,090.28
42122	12/17/08	.8700	BA COLLATERAL	04/14/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	.882			18,125.00
42123	12/17/08	.8700	BA COLLATERAL	04/14/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	.882			18,125.00
42124	12/04/08	2.5200	UNION BANK COLLATERA	06/04/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.555			98,000.00
42125	12/04/08	2.5200	UNION BANK COLLATERA	06/04/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.555			98,000.00
42117	12/09/08	2.5200	US BANK COLLATERAL	11/23/09	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	2.555			24,150.00
42119	12/09/08	2.3900	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD	12/08/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.423			76,347.22
42120	12/09/08	2.3900	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD	12/08/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.423			76,347.22
42121	12/09/08	2.3900	US BANK COLLATERALIZE CD	12/08/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.423			76,347.22
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 1012 COLLATERAL C D 11.08%(C)				224 DAYS	365,000,000.00	365,000,000.00	2.189		.00	485,441.66
SUBTOTAL (FUND) 100 POOLED FUNDS - ASSETS				310 DAYS	3249500000.00	3259727981.64			6,633,500.14	5,845,502.02
SUBTOTAL (FUND) 100 POOLED FUNDS - NET					3249500000.00	3259727981.64			6,633,500.14	5,845,502.02

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

(EIS / ERNEIS)

E A R N E D I N C O M E S U M M A R Y
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 36 FHLMC FLOATER MO 2.08%(C)				264 DAYS	68,500,000.00	68,537,476.35	1.061		82,211.65	61,776.13
42061	08/28/08	2.7900	F N M A	02/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,345,125.00	7.123	12/30/08	647,375.00	279,250.00
42068	09/02/08	2.7700	F N M A	02/13/09	30,000,000.00	29,621,433.33	7.067	12/30/08	374,066.67	166,316.67
42088	10/15/08	2.5500	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	03/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00	2.614			109,791.67
42089	10/15/08	2.5500	FNMA DISCOUNT NOTES	03/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,458,125.00	2.614			109,791.67
42137	12/16/08	.6200	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/05/09	48,000,000.00	47,808,213.33	.631			13,226.67
42111	12/04/08	1.2000	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/14/09	50,000,000.00	49,578,333.33	1.227			46,666.67
42112	12/04/08	1.2000	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/14/09	50,000,000.00	49,578,333.33	1.227			46,666.67
42113	12/04/08	1.2000	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/14/09	10,000,000.00	9,915,666.67	1.227			9,333.33
42109	12/04/08	1.2000	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,573,333.33	1.227			46,666.67
42110	12/04/08	1.2000	F N M A DISCOUNT NOTE	08/17/09	50,000,000.00	49,573,333.33	1.227			46,666.67
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 41 FNMA DISCOUNT NO 10.77%(C)				183 DAYS	358,000,000.00	354,943,463.32	2.670		1,021,441.67	874,376.69
42087	10/20/08	2.3500	FARMER MAC DISCOUNT	01/06/09	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67	2.395			95,109.72
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 42 FARM CREDIT DISC 1.42%(C)				5 DAYS	47,000,000.00	46,760,691.67	2.395		.00	95,109.72
42042	07/07/08	2.4400	F H L B DISCOUNT	12/22/08	50,000,000.00	49,430,666.66	2.502	MATURED	569,333.34	71,166.67
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 43 FEDERAL HOME LOA				0 DAYS	.00	.00	2.502		569,333.34	71,166.67
42085	10/02/08	2.9500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	02/03/09	30,000,000.00	29,695,166.66	3.022			76,208.34
42086	10/02/08	2.9500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	02/03/09	50,000,000.00	49,491,944.45	3.022			127,013.89
42082	10/03/08	2.6500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	03/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56	2.719			114,097.22
42083	10/03/08	2.6500	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	03/13/09	50,000,000.00	49,407,430.56	2.719			114,097.22
42108	12/05/08	1.2500	F M C DISCOUNT NOTE	08/10/09	20,000,000.00	19,827,777.78	1.278			18,750.00
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 44 FMC DISCOUNT NOT 6.00%(C)				71 DAYS	200,000,000.00	197,829,750.01	2.714		.00	450,166.67
42039	06/26/08	3.0000	AIG C P	12/09/08	50,000,000.00	49,308,333.33	3.084	MATURED	691,666.67	33,333.33
42040	06/26/08	3.0000	AIG C P	12/09/08	50,000,000.00	49,308,333.33	3.084	MATURED	691,666.67	33,333.33
42063	08/28/08	2.6800	WELLS FARGO C P	12/09/08	20,000,000.00	19,846,644.44	2.738	MATURED	153,355.56	11,911.11
42075	09/26/08	3.6400	UNION BANK C P	12/09/08	25,000,000.00	24,812,944.44	3.718	MATURED	187,055.56	20,222.22
42048	07/22/08	2.8900	ING C P	12/23/08	50,000,000.00	49,381,861.11	2.967	MATURED	618,138.89	88,305.56
42049	07/22/08	2.8900	ING C P	12/23/08	25,000,000.00	24,690,930.56	2.967	MATURED	309,069.44	44,152.78
42054	07/30/08	2.8900	Commerzbank CP	01/06/09	40,000,000.00	39,486,222.22	2.968			99,544.44
42058	08/05/08	2.9800	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	01/06/09	50,000,000.00	49,362,611.11	3.060			128,305.56
42070	09/03/08	2.9150	BANK OF SCOTLAND C P	01/06/09	50,000,000.00	49,493,923.61	2.986			125,506.94
42052	07/25/08	2.7500	TOYOTA C P	01/20/09	25,000,000.00	24,658,159.72	2.827			59,201.39

C I T Y / C O U N T Y O F S A N F R A N C I S C O
M R . N E W L I N R A N K I N 4 1 5 - 5 5 4 - 4 4 8 7

(EIS / ERNEIS)

E A R N E D I N C O M E S U M M A R Y
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42130	12/30/08	4.3300	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	07/28/11	50,000,000.00	51,861,961.11	-1.060			-3,013.25
42131	12/30/08	4.3300	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	07/28/11	30,000,000.00	31,117,176.67	-1.060			-1,807.96
42126	12/22/08	4.1200	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE CAL	05/06/13	50,000,000.00	51,313,222.22	1.322			18,584.64
42127	12/22/08	4.1200	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	05/06/13	50,000,000.00	51,313,222.22	1.322			18,584.64
42128	12/22/08	4.1200	AMORT TO CALL FANNIE MAE	05/06/13	20,000,000.00	20,525,288.89	1.322			7,433.86
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 29 OTHER AGENCIES		6.26%(C)		1326 DAYS	200,000,000.00	206,130,871.11	1.039		.00	39,781.93
42045	07/14/08	3.2500	F H L M C	07/14/09	29,950,000.00	29,950,000.00	3.189			81,114.59
42115	12/09/08	3.2500	F H L M C BONDS	07/16/10	50,000,000.00	51,552,458.34	1.946			63,230.22
42116	12/09/08	3.2500	F H L M C BONDS	07/16/10	20,000,000.00	20,620,983.33	1.946			25,292.08
42103	11/17/08	5.1250	F H L M C	08/23/10	25,000,000.00	26,255,958.00	2.722			60,704.06
41973	02/15/08	5.0000	FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP	12/19/12	50,000,000.00	50,860,000.00	-23.683	12/19/08	390,000.00	-594,016.39
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 30 FHLMC Bonds		3.90%(C)		484 DAYS	124,950,000.00	128,379,399.67	-3.074		390,000.00	-363,675.44
42016	04/18/08	4.0890	F H L B FLOATER	01/08/09	50,000,000.00	49,977,500.00	4.210			178,686.25
42018	04/21/08	4.6190	F H L B FLOATER	01/14/09	50,000,000.00	49,977,358.00	4.747			201,492.64
42019	04/21/08	4.6190	F H L B FLOATER	01/14/09	30,000,000.00	29,986,410.00	4.747			120,896.14
42020	01/25/08	3.3460	FHLB FLOATER QTR	01/28/09	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	3.392			43,219.16
42021	01/25/08	3.3460	FHLB FLOATER QTR	01/28/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.392			144,063.88
41915	12/07/07	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00	2.012			85,394.83
41916	12/07/07	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,984,700.00	2.012			85,394.83
41924	12/28/07	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,024,900.00	1.968			83,624.28
41937	01/09/08	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	1.984			84,280.11
41938	01/09/08	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	1.984			84,280.11
41939	01/09/08	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	4,500,000.00	4,500,900.00	1.984			7,585.21
41940	01/09/08	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	1.984			84,280.11
41941	01/09/08	1.9680	F H L B FLOATER QTR ACT	11/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,010,000.00	1.984			84,280.11
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 31 FHLB FLOATER QTR		16.68%(C)		217 DAYS	549,500,000.00	549,476,468.00	2.759		.00	1,287,477.66
42065	08/26/08	2.0200	FFCB FLOATER QTR	10/26/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.048			86,972.22
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 33 FFCB FLOATER QTR		1.52%(C)		299 DAYS	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	2.048		.00	86,972.22
42076	09/18/08	.3910	F H L B FLOATER MONTHLY	12/28/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	1.244		28,145.75	26,417.28
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 35 FHLB FLOATER MON		.76%(C)		362 DAYS	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	1.244		28,145.75	26,417.28
42100	09/22/08	.4875	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	/09	0,000.00	18,510,121.35	1.061		22,203.15	16,684.06
42101	09/22/08	.4875	F H L M FLOATER MONTHLY	09	000,000.00	50,027,355.00	1.061		60,008.50	45,092.07

CITY/COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
MR. NEWLIN RANKIN 415-554-4487

(EIS / ERNEIS)

EARNED INCOME SUMMARY
12/01/08 THROUGH 12/31/08
SORT KEYS ARE FUND ICC# MATD
FUND: 100 POOLED FUNDS

INV NO.	PURCHASE DATE	COUPON RATE	DESCRIPTION	TICKER / MATURITY DATE	SHARES / SCHEDULED PAR VALUE	SCHEDULED BOOK VALUE	YIELD/ 365	DATE SOLD/MAT	INCOME RECEIVED THIS PER	TOTAL/NET EARNINGS
42064	08/20/08	1.7500	T BILL	01/29/09	50,000,000.00	49,606,250.00	1.788			75,347.22
42098	10/31/08	.9400	T BILL	04/23/09	50,000,000.00	49,772,833.34	.957			40,472.22
42099	10/31/08	.9400	T BILL	04/23/09	20,000,000.00	19,909,133.33	.957			16,188.89
42094	10/29/08	1.4800	T BILL	10/22/09	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11	1.523			63,722.23
42095	10/29/08	1.4800	T BILL	10/22/09	50,000,000.00	49,264,111.11	1.523			63,722.23
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 11 TREASURY BILLS 6.61%(C)				176 DAYS	220,000,000.00	217,816,438.89	1.402		.00	259,452.79
41662	06/08/07	4.7500	T. NOTE (99.19)	12/31/08	20,000,000.00	20,000,000.00	5.007	MATURED	560,937.50	81,952.86
42003	04/09/08	4.5000	T NOTE	03/31/09	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90	1.683			73,418.41
42013	04/09/08	4.5000	T NOTE	03/31/09	50,000,000.00	51,357,421.90	1.683			73,418.41
41870	10/26/07	4.8750	T NOTE	05/15/09	5,000,000.00	5,080,468.75	3.818			16,474.10
41841	10/16/07	4.8750	T NOTE	05/31/09	10,000,000.00	10,096,484.38	4.253			36,473.98
41862	10/23/07	4.6250	T NOTE	07/31/09	5,100,000.00	5,165,542.97	3.813			16,729.52
42134	12/31/08	4.8750	T NOTE	08/15/09	25,000,000.00	26,164,062.50	.275			197.14
42135	12/31/08	4.8750	T NOTE	08/15/09	50,000,000.00	52,328,125.00	.275			394.29
41993	03/31/08	2.0000	T NOTE	02/28/10	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38	1.690			72,209.38
41994	03/31/08	2.0000	T NOTE	02/28/10	50,000,000.00	50,302,734.38	1.690			72,209.38
42096	10/31/08	2.8750	T NOTE	06/30/10	50,000,000.00	51,160,156.25	1.412		238,281.25	61,908.33
42097	10/31/08	2.8750	T NOTE	06/30/10	30,000,000.00	30,696,093.75	1.412		142,968.75	37,145.00
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 12 TREASURY NOTES 11.66%(C)				306 DAYS	375,100,000.00	384,011,246.16	1.947		942,187.50	542,530.80
42090	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.336			141,666.67
42091	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.336			141,666.67
42092	10/02/08	3.4000	F H L B	10/02/09	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	3.336			70,833.33
42093	10/27/08	3.1250	F H L B	10/23/09	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	3.066			130,208.34
42114	12/09/08	5.0000	F H L B	03/12/10	25,000,000.00	26,242,333.33	1.764			29,171.10
42104	11/18/08	3.8750	F H L B	12/10/10	20,000,000.00	20,400,400.00	2.762		47,361.11	48,077.48
42106	11/20/08	5.0500	F N M A	02/07/11	27,250,000.00	28,608,102.15	3.199			77,723.19
41950	01/31/08	4.2000	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS	01/28/13	30,000,000.00	30,052,500.00	4.079			104,107.73
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 22 FEDERAL HOME LOA 8.51%(C)				505 DAYS	277,250,000.00	280,303,335.48	3.199		47,361.11	743,454.51
42033	05/08/08	4.3000	FEDERAL NATL MTG ASSN	12/24/09	33,150,000.00	33,510,009.00	-6.805	12/24/08	352,716.00	-143,691.75
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 23 FEDERAL NATIONAL				0 DAYS	.00	.00	-6.805		352,716.00	-143,691.75
41986	03/18/08	3.1200	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	03/18/10	25,700,000.00	25,700,000.00	3.163	12/26/08	218,278.66	55,683.33
42105	11/19/08	2.8750	F F C B	02/14/11	19,000,000.00	19,010,199.31	3.134			50,603.40
42102	11/10/08	2.6250	F F CB	04/21/11	40,000,000.00	39,797,016.67	2.854			96,480.27
SUBTOTAL (ICC#) 28 FEDERAL FARM CRE 1.78%(C)				819 DAYS	59,000,000.00	58,807,215.98	3.002		218,278.66	202,767.00

BOS-11
c-page
AC

Whistleblower Program 2008-09 Mid-Year Report

February 3, 2009

Whistleblower Hotline
3-1-1 / TTY: 415-701-2323

Outside of area code 415:
415-701-2311 /
TTY: 415-701-2323

Online
www.sfgov.org/whistleblower

E-mail
whistleblower@sfgov.org

Postal mail
Whistleblower Program
c/o Controller
City Hall – Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Whistleblower Statistics

The Whistleblower Program received 210 complaints July 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008, and closed 178 complaints during the same period. The 210 complaints received through the first half of the fiscal year represents a 36 percent increase over the same period last year. During the current period 43 percent of complaints received were anonymous, while 57 percent included contact information. Of the complaints that did not include contact information, 69 percent were investigated while 31 percent of the anonymous complaints had insufficient detail to do meaningful follow-up work. We urge anyone making a whistleblower complaint to provide contact information—it makes a difference in the ability to investigate. Contact information is protected from disclosure and will only be used by the investigators to ask follow-up questions and/or to relay results of the investigation.



Below are examples of complaint allegations and investigation results from some of the 184 complaints closed during the period July 1, 2008, through December 31, 2008.

Complaint Allegation	Resolution
Allegation that during work hours a museum security guard was using City resources to work for a private employer.	This complaint was found to have merit. After an extensive review of the employee's phone records and work history it was determined that the employee was regularly making phone calls from their City work phone to their other employer. The employee was subsequently terminated.

Whistleblower Hotline
3-1-1 / TTY: 415-701-2323

Outside of area code 415:
415-701-2311 /
TTY: 415-701-2323

Online
www.sfgov.org/whistleblower

E-mail
whistleblower@sfgov.org

Postal mail
Whistleblower Program
c/o Controller
City Hall – Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Complaint Allegation	Resolution
 Allegation that two City employees got into a verbal argument and later a physical altercation with no disciplinary repercussions.	This complaint was sustained, in part. At the time the complaint was received an investigation in to the incident was already taking place by the department. The complaint shed light on other incidences that were not, at the time, known to the department. At the conclusion of the investigation one of the employees was terminated and the other was suspended.
Allegation that an employee left their City vehicle to verbally abuse and intimidate another driver. 	This complaint was found to have merit. The employee was interviewed about the incident and admitted that they did not handle the situation professionally. The employee was disciplined and immediately transferred to a non-road duty position with little public interaction.
 Allegation that a customer service employee was exceptionally rude and disrespectful.	This complaint was found to have merit. The employee was interviewed but denied knowledge of the incident. A review of the employee's personnel file revealed that the employee has had similar customer complaints in the past. The department is pursuing discipine for the employee.
Allegation that City employees were sending and receiving personal email from their work account. 	This complaint was found to have merit. Several employees were counseled regarding City email policy and a departmental memo was written reminding employees of email policy.

3-1-1 is not just for whistleblower complaints. When you dial 3-1-1 (or 415-701-2311 if out of the area) you will be connected with a representative who will take complaints and requests for information regarding general City services, and will assist with non-emergency City and County of San Francisco government matters. 3-1-1 is available in over 175 languages and offers TTY access. Visit www.sfgov.org/311 for more information.

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/06/2009 02:11 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: IN GOD WE TRUST - AMERICA, INC.



"Jacquie Sullivan"

02/06/2009 01:52 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
Subject IN GOD WE TRUST - AMERICA, INC.

In God We Trust – America, Inc.

February 5, 2009

Dear City Clerk,

In 2002, the City Council in Bakersfield, California, voted in favor of proudly and prominently displaying the national motto of the United States, *"In God We Trust"* , in our Council Chambers at City Hall. Since that time, I helped to initiate a non-profit organization called ***In God We Trust – America, Inc.***

Our mission is to encourage every city across the United States of America to follow Bakersfield's lead by voting in favor of displaying our national motto. I am pleased to inform you that at this time, nearly 50 California cities have voted in favor of joining this important patriotic effort.

I would appreciate if you would please forward the attached ***In God We Trust – America, Inc.*** e-mail packet to your Mayor, City Councilmembers, City Manager, and City Attorney. We are looking for officials in your city to take the lead and work toward putting this item on your agenda for a positive outcome. We would love for your city to join the growing number of "Yes Cities" in California, and across America, by voting "Yes" to display our national motto, "In God We Trust", in your City Hall.

Please let me know whether or not your city council decides to vote in favor of displaying our national motto. I want to make sure the name of your city is included in the "Yes Cities" list for our official IGWT-A email packet, and the names and pictures of your elected officials are recognized and added to our website, for all to see. @ www.ingodwetrust-america.org.

Thank you in advance.

10

Jacquie Sullivan
City Councilmember: Bakersfield, California
In God We Trust – America, Inc.
President / Founder



kimo <kimo(
Sent by:
kimocrossman(

02/05/2009 02:51 PM
Please respond to

To Frank Darby <Frank.Darby@sfgov.org>, Angela Calvillo <Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org>, Linda Wong <linda.wong@sfgov.org>, Board of Supervisors
cc
bcc
Subject Employee SSN, Birthdate, address - not exempt under CPRA - court decision!

"Nor was disclosure of the employee's address, birth date and Social Security number prohibited by the right of privacy under Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution. *Id.* at 347."

6254 (c) Personnel Files: Personnel files are not *per se* exempt from disclosure. However, portions may be exempt if disclosure constitutes an "unwarranted invasion of privacy." *See Braun,* 154 Cal. App. 3d at 347. The *Braun* court recognized that the "personnel" exemption was developed to "protect intimate details of personal and family life, not business judgments and relationships." *Braun* , 154 Cal. App. 3d at 343-44; *see also Bakersfield City School Dist. v. Superior Court* , 118 Cal. App. 4th 1041, 1045, 13 Cal. Rptr. 3d 517 (2004)(same). In *Braun* , the court found that disclosure of two letters from a public employee's personnel file, one appointing him to a position and another rescinding the appointment, did not constitute such an invasion because the letters contained no private information. *Id.* at 344. The court explained that although the reclassification may be embarrassing to an individual, the letters manifested his employment contract, and in California public employment contracts are public records that may not be considered exempt. *Id.* Nor was disclosure of the employee's address, birth date and Social Security number prohibited by the right of privacy under Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution. *Id.* at 347.

Jeffrey Rattner

02/07/2009 08:41 AM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Jeffrey Rattner

12

Audel Cardenas
<margab100

02/08/2009 03:08 PM

Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Audel Cardenas

Margarita Bonnin

02/08/2009 03:04 PM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Margarita Bonnin

Bonnie Jean Brown

et>

02/09/2009 02:02 PM

Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Bonnie Jean Brown

Quoc Nguyen

02/06/2009 07:19 AM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Quoc Nguyen

Jeanne Koelling
<jeanne_koelling>

02/05/2009 04:15 PM

Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Jeanne Koelling

George Petrisko

02/09/2009 06:40 PM

Please respond to tacovista

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

George Petrisko

Ana Conchinha

02/10/2009 04:48 AM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Ana Conchinha

john hedrick
<johnhedrick
02/09/2009 10:48 PM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

john hedrick

Ken Hedges

02/11/2009 05:43 AM
Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Ken Hedges

Clark Natwick

02/11/2009 03:55 PM

Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Clark Natwick

Isreli Reichman
<srul3(

02/12/2009 07:54 PM

Please respond to

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Isreli Reichman

LONDONSOAP
02/03/2009 12:08 PM

To david.chiu@sfgov.org
cc board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
bcc
Subject Special election

Before you vote in favor of raising taxes, think twice. As a new President you have the opportunity to stop the governmental waste in SF and stop the bleeding of businesses leaving the city because taxes are too high, of people leaving the city because the schools are terrible and young people are moving out to raise their children somewhere else.

Stop Newsam's travel, increase condo conversions to raise real estate value, reduce the number of govt. employees in the city and stop the liberal spending on programs no city can afford.
Think of better ways to balance a budget other then raising taxes.

Think,

The Blooms

"Niina Hathaidharm"

02/10/2009 09:25 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc
Subject Special Election - Feb 10 Meeting (Ordinance File #090009)

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

A quick fix in a rush to the ballot is not going to solve the City's financial crisis. The cost of the Special Election itself will be 3.5 million. We need a thoughtful, balanced approach. We need to work together giving careful consideration to the impact additional taxes will have on our City and our businesses and we must balance any revenue search with careful consideration of how our revenues are spent. Thank you for supporting the Mayor on this.

Sincerely,

Niina Hathaidharm
General Manager
Warwick San Francisco Hotel - La Scene Cafe and Bar

"Bonnie Birk"

m>

02/10/2009 01:41 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject No special elections and NO NEW TAXES!!!

As a small, independent hotel, we are on our last leg, financially.
We simply cannot sustain any more taxes or expenses.
Our occupancies have fallen dramatically since last November and, yet, we
have had to implement a raise for our staff, a TID tax to our guests and additional paid sick leave for our part time employees!!!
Enough already!
This hotel has been in business for over 106 years here in San Francisco but this could very well be the year we are forced to close!!!
Bonnie Birk
General Manager
The Hotel Majestic

George Patterson

02/12/2009 03:24 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Sales Tax Increase Proposal By The Board

Feb. 12th, 2009

S.F. Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca.

Dear Members,

As a former President of the S.F.C.D.M. and a Small Business Commissioner, I, as well as my business colleagues were
appalled at the consideration of the Board to hold a general election of the voters in the future on raising the Sales Tax.
This would simply be a waste of taxpayers funds, when the Board should obviously know it would FAIL, and FAIL miserably.
Let's promote business not curtail it. Small business constitutes 80% of local businesses and only through incentives will
business survive and provide new employment. It's time for action and stimulus to promote jobs in the private sector. Govt.
jobs are not the answer, we should downsize City Govt.asd a tax savings start.Remember, those that don't make history
are doomed to repeat it. Waste is a terrible trait, so get you acts together and downsize big city government.

Sincerely yours,

George M. Patterson

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/02/2009 04:46 PM

To Bevan Dufty/BOS/SFGOV, Boe Hayward/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: American Apparel on Valencia

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/02/2009 04:52 PM -----

Shannon Seaberg

02/02/2009 03:59 PM

To Board of Supervisors <board_of_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us>
cc
Subject American Apparel on Valencia

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am in favor of the proposed American Apparel on Valencia Street. I think there is room in our neighborhood for local businesses and chains. In this economy it makes even less sense than usual to ban certain types of business.

It should also be noted that American Apparel has worker-friendly policies and makes many of their items in the US.

Regards,

Shannon Seaberg

14

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/09/2009 02:32 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Supes Duck on Crime - Again

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/09/2009 02:38 PM -----

02/09/2009 02:18 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Supes Duck on Crime - Again

Dear Friends and Neighbors,

Yesterday I alerted you to a meeting today of the supes' Public Safety Committee dealing with ongoing crime in SF and its neighborhoods.

Today I watched a live computer feed of the meeting. The committee convened at 10:00 a.m. The anti-crime item (#2 on the agenda) didn't come up until approximately 1:45 p.m.

As soon as the item came up, the chair, rookie supe David Campos, announced that it would be continued - for the 28th time in nearly two years - until the next meeting of the committee. There was no discussion whatever of the issue.

This is how our supes are dealing with crime in SF. I kid you not.

If you have any feelings on the matter, you can address them to David Campos at this e-mail address:

david.campos@sfgov.org.

You can also send a message to the board in general:

Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org.

Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

15

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/09/2009 09:12 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: No Stealing From Babies! Oppose Schwarzenegger's proposed cuts to Calfornia First Five

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/09/2009 09:14 AM -----

"St. John, Maria" >
02/07/2009 01:08 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject No Stealing From Babies! Oppose Schwarzenegger's proposed cuts to Calfornia First Five

February 7, 2009

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to oppose Governor Schwarzenegger's budget proposal for a permanent 60 percent reduction in funding for California's First 5 commissions. The Governor proposes to eliminate the State Commission (First 5 California) and cut in half the revenues of the 58 county commissions, diverting funds to what he calls "high priority state programs that would otherwise require General Fund support."

Making the needs of infants and small children and their families a low priority would constitute a grave and dangerous mistake for the state of California - one for which all Californians would pay far into the future.

Children can't vote, and infants can't speak. Forward-thinking citizens of California recognized this when we passed Proposition 10, speaking up for the children of our state and establishing a safeguarded source of funding to meet their critical needs. We cannot now stand by as the current state leadership seeks to raid this carefully created source of support for the littlest

16

members of our communities.

Please join me in following through on the promise we all made to infants and small children; oppose the proposed cuts to California First 5.

Thank you,

Maria St. John

Mother

Infant Mental Health Worker

Citizen of California Intent on Keeping the First 5 Promise

Maria St. John, Ph.D., MFT
Assistant Clinical Professor
Director of Training
U.C.S.F. Infant-Parent Program

y

>

C:AC

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

FY 2007-08 PARKS ANNUAL REPORT:

Park Scores Increased for Third Year and Differences Between District Averages Narrowed

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

February 3, 2009

17

ong DR
c: AC, KG cypage

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

ELAINE C. WARREN
Deputy City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4614
E-MAIL:Elaine.Warren@sfgov.org

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB 10 AM 10: 27
BY

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kay Gulbengay
Deputy Director

FROM: Elaine C. Warren
Deputy City Attorney

DATE: February 10, 2009 February 9, 2009

RE: Appeal of Mitigated Negative Declaration, 110 The Embarcadero.

You have asked for our advice on whether an appeal of a Mitigated Negative Declaration for a project at 110 The Embarcadero has been filed in a timely manner with the Board of Supervisors. Sue Hestor filed the appeal with the Clerk's Office on February 4, 2009, on behalf of San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth ("Appellant"). The project as proposed would demolish an existing two-story office building and construct a new 123-foot tall, 10-story over basement building containing approximately 52,890 square feet of office uses, 2,490 square feet of ground floor retail space, 2,670 square feet of rooftop open space, and no parking spaces. The project site is located within the C-3-O Zoning District, and the 84-X Height and Bulk District and would require a reclassification of the site to a 130-foot Height and Bulk District and corresponding amendments to the General Plan.

We are advised that the Planning Department issued a Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project on September 12, 2008, which David Osgood, representing Rincon Point Neighbors Association, and Sue C. Hestor, representing San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth, appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Administrative Code Section 31.11(c) on September 29, 2008, and October 2, 2008, respectively. On November 6, 2008, the Planning Commission affirmed the decision of the Planning Department to issue the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project by Motion No. 17737 but then deferred the approval of the project and the adoption of the Mitigated Negative Declaration and instead adopted a motion of intent to approve the project with deletion of proposed parking and the addition of more retail space.

On January 15, 2009, the Planning Commission approved the project with revisions from the original proposal, adopting Motion No.17804, allocating 41,940 square feet of office use; Motion No. 17805, determining the project would not adversely shadow Justin Herman Plaza/Embarcadero Plaza II and Motion No. 17807, granting exceptions under Planning Code Section 309. The Planning Commission also approved Resolutions 17806 and 17808, recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve amendments to the General Plan and the Planning Code to reclassify the height and bulk classification for the site. To proceed, the project requires Board of Supervisors approval of the General Plan and the Planning Code changes recommended by the Planning Commission.

In light of the above information, it is our determination that the appeal of the Mitigated Negative Declaration is timely. Therefore, the appeal should be calendared before the Board of Supervisors. We recommend that you so advise the Appellant.

Memorandum

TO: Kay Gulbengay
Deputy Director

DATE: February 10, 2009
PAGE: 2
RE: Appeal of Mitigated Negative Declaration, 110 The Embarcadero.

Please let us know if we may be of further assistance.

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Cheryl Adams, Deputy City Attorney
Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney
John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department
Larry Badiner, Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
Elaine Forbes, Finance Director, Planning Department
AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department
Leigh Kienker, Planning Department
Tara Sullivan-Lenane, Planning Department
Brett Bollinger, Planning Department
Don Lewis, Planning Department

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/10/2009 12:03 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Park Branch

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/10/2009 12:10 PM -----

Mari Coates

02/10/2009 10:25 AM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
cc Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org
Subject Park Branch

--
Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to protest the planned closure of the Park Branch of the Public Library. Now is not the time to close for unneeded changes. It just sounds like an excuse to close it permanently, which should never be allowed to happen. People who are struggling financially make use of this library and need its services. It is an important part of our neighborhood and should be KEPT OPEN. Please listen to the community. I live on Shrader Street at Waller.

Thank you,

Mari Coates

ia Press

19

Sara Tresslar

02/11/2009 03:34 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc <ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Park Branch Library

I just heard that the Park branch is going to be closed for up to a year starting this summer. This is unacceptable! I go to the Park branch at least once a week and cannot understand why it would need to be closed for such a long time for non-structural work. Please work with the community to figure out a way to prevent this from happening.

Thank you,

Sara Tresslar

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

02/09/2009 05:36 PM

To Sophie Maxwell/BOS/SFGOV, Jon Lau/BOS/SFGOV, Alice Guidry/BOS/SFGOV,

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Potrero Power Plant - Recent History and Current State of Power Plant Debate

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on

Dr.Ahimsa Sumchai

02/09/2009 03:10 PM

To

cc

Subject Potrero Power Plant - Recent History and Current State of Power Plant Debate

Thank you. I'm sure Supervisor Maxwell is finding it difficult to build a coalition to back her leadership on this matter. A deep and abiding mistrust of her intentions will always exist.
Dr. Ahimsa Porter Sumchai

From:
To: frc
Date: Mon, 9 Feb 2009 13:10:38 -0500
Subject: Potrero Power Plant - Recent History and Current State of Power Plant Debate

Attached please find Brightline Defense Project's February 9, 2009 report on The Recent History and Current State of the Potrero Power Plant Debate.

THE RECENT HISTORY AND CURRENT STATE OF THE POTRERO POWER PLANT DEBATE

April 2008-February 2009

Introduction

On August 12, 2008 the San Francisco Board of Supervisors referred back to committee a $273 million proposal to build two new natural gas power plants to replace the city's aging Mirant Potrero Power Plant, effectively ending a

20

longstanding plan to replace the City's last fossil fuel-burning power plant with new ones. The Board's decision followed a 3-0 vote by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) on July 22, 2008 to cancel the power plant contract after a growing number of environmental groups, social justice activists, community members, Supervisors Michela Alioto-Pier, Ross Mirkarimi, Chris Daly, and Tom Ammiano, and ultimately Mayor Gavin Newsom embraced the opportunity to shut the Potrero Plant without replacements and without a renewed commitment to power plant pollution in our most vulnerable communities.

Environmental Advocacy

The central premise of the "no new power plants" advocacy that peaked in April and May 2008 and came from national groups such as the Sierra Club and Environmental Defense, environmental justice organizations such as Greenaction and the Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment, local groups such as Our City, Brightline Defense Project, and the Huntersview Mothers Committee, and recognized environmental leaders such as Van Jones and Robert Kennedy Jr. was that state regulators finally appeared ready to budge on their pledge that the 362-megawatt Potrero Plant could not be closed unless San Francisco built at least 200 megawatts of new fossil fuel generation to replace it.

State Regulators Give In

On June 2, 2008 the state Independent System Operator (ISO) at last backed down from its demand for new power plants. In a letter to Mayor Newsom, ISO president Yakout Mansour wrote that a new 400-megawatt power line plugging into the city in early 2010 will eliminate the need for most of the Potrero Plant, and thus the need to build new power plants. Potrero Unit 3, the 206-megawatt billowing red smokestack that runs nearly 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at the Potrero facility, would be free to be shuttered and dismantled beginning in mid-2010, leaving the City to deal with three smaller standby units that run 100 to 400 hours per year.

New Power Plants v. Phased Closure of Existing Power Plant

Following the ISO's June 2 announcement, the SFPUC rejected the $273 million plan to build new power plants, which the Commission had recently learned would run 1,900-2,300 hours per year and not strictly during times of peak demand for electricity according to an April 2008 SFPUC report prepared by Flynn Research. Instead, the SFPUC in July endorsed a plan developed by Mayor Newsom to shut down Potrero Unit 3 in 2010 and upgrade the three remaining Units 4, 5, and 6 in anticipation of closing the entire facility within five years. The SFPUC was simultaneously charged by the Board of Supervisors on June 24, 2008 with studying a "transmission-only" means of replacing, rather than retrofitting, those last three units, in order to shut down the entire power plant site even sooner.

Feasibility Hearings

Hearings on the feasibility of the Mayor's retrofit proposal were held in October and November 2008, and Supervisors Sophie Maxwell and Aaron Peskin raised many serious questions regarding both the Mirant Corporation's ability to upgrade its remaining units to Mirant's projected standards and the likelihood of shutting those units within the timeline suggested. On November 25 the Board of Supervisors unanimously rejected Mayor's Newsom's request for the authority to pursue a contract for the retrofit of the remaining units at the Potrero Power Plant.

In December 2008 Supervisor Maxwell introduced a resolution calling for the closure of the entire Potrero Power Plant as soon as possible, without retrofitting any units, by developing clean energy, implementing energy efficiency measures, and identifying existing demand-reduction opportunities to satisfy the ISO's requirements for creating a reliable electrical grid in San Francisco without conventional fossil fuel power plants.

Where The Issue Stands Now

As of February 6, 2009, Supervisor Maxwell's alternative proposal to replace the entire Potrero Plant with clean energy has not yet been heard in committee.

In addition, Mirant has not committed in writing to closing the Unit 3 smokestack in 2010, and the company's application to renew Unit 3's water cooling permit has caused the same environmentalists and activists that opposed the construction of new power plants to organize to address the concern that the owner of the old power plant may be seeking to renege on its previous commitment to start going out of business next year.

Brightline Defense Project

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/09/2009 05:35 PM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV, Rana Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV, Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/09/2009 05:41 PM -----



Marc Trotz/DPH/SFGOV
02/09/2009 02:21 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Bevan Dufty/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject Re: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

REFERENCE: 20090203-011

Bevan:

I am not familiar with Darren Dill's Homeless Outreach Team but in terms of feasibility, allocating housing to a specific population is generally possible -- if we are talking about existing housing, it is a question of who already controls those housing slots and who would then be giving those up OR if one is considering new housing specific for this purpose, it is a matter of identifying the funding for it. With more information I could be more specific and would be happy to discuss this further to help you achieve your goals around this. Marc

---

Marc Trotz
Department of Public Health
Director of Housing and Urban Health
ph: 415-554-2565
fax: 415-554-2658

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV



Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/05/2009 01:11 PM

To Marc.Trotz@sfdph.org
cc
Subject BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Marc Trotz
Housing and Urban House

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 2/5/2009
REFERENCE: 20090203-011
FILE NO.

Due Date: 3/7/2009

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 2/3/2009.

requests the following information:

Supervisor Dufty inquires about the feasibility of allocating housing and/or supportive housing units to Adult Probation Officer Darren Dill's Homeless Outreach Program.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 3/7/2009

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/09/2009 09:57 AM

To Chris Daly/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Supporting Disabled People in the Tenderloin

---- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/09/2009 10:04 AM -----

Ivan E Pratt
m>
02/07/2009 02:35 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, Christopher Nguyen <Christopher.Nguyen@sfdph.org>, Edward Evans

lark

cc
Subject Supporting Disabled People in the Tenderloin

ELEVATOR REPAIR AND DISCREPANCY NEGLECT AT THE ALEXANDER RESIDENCE February 7 2009

DATE: 7 February 2009, TIME: 1:41 PM

TO: PBCA, HUD Housing Headquarters, Phone: 5221, Email:

FROM: IVAN EDGAR PRATT, tenant, Alexander

22

There is a problem at the Alexander Residence of the elevators constantly breaking down. The last time was approximately on very last of January for seven days to approximately in the beginning or toward February, and the same elevator. When checking with Nicole Grays, manager of the Alexander Residence 415 441 0260, and her assistant manager, they say they are having problems getting a part for this particular elevator. Well for a brief time the elevator started running again, now its broken again, and I guess again it must be the part missing or is needed for the computer bus circuitry in the elevators electrical components. I don't think this is managements fault at the Alexander Residence, Nicole Grays and her assistant Micky are the best managers this HUD SRO establishment has ever had, Nicole is one of these people who get on situation right away and is a very gracious person. And Nicole and Micky work as a true team and they work hard. I have a great deal of respect for these two people.

But if Nicole and Micky are having a hard time keeping the maintenance of the elevators going, I feel their peers may be in question as to their managerial proficency over the Alexander Residence, and certainly this makes Nicole and Micky look very bad as managers – this opinion would be far from the truth and would not be fair to them in criticism.

When the elevators at the Alexander Residence break down,

it is always a very critical and stat status because this particular HUD SRO has a ninety-five percent disability demographics, with many tenants using wheel chairs, walkers, cains, or just have problems being ambulatory due to old age and orthopedic problems. This building, the Alexander Residence, has twelve floors, there is no way a person, especially like myself, who has chronic asthma can walk up or even down at time twelve floors of stairs. And what is more alarming, it is very possible that the other elevator could break down due to over use, and then all these disabled persons at the Alexander Residence would have to reside in the lobby of the building and fair the best they can. There is not weekend elevator repair person when these two elevators break down. And since the Alexander Residence HUD SRO Low Income Housing Residence is a residential tenancy with disabled senior citizens as residence – this tenancy is practically a hospital containing residence with some very serious disabilities who are truly dependent on the elevator.

In my opinion there is no excuse for this kind of belated neglect of elevator repair and operation in a building tenancy of senior citizens of who are largely disabled, and have to travel twelve floors to reach their residence of occupancy at the Alexander Residence. It is absurd that TNDC is not more supportive of excellent managers like Nicole and Micky.

Your immediate response to this critical problem would be much appreciated, and some plan needs to be in place that

acts immediately on elevator break downs at the Alexander Residence – even on the weekends, this is inexcusable when managing a tenancy of senior citizens that are largely disabled.

For assistance with finding affordable housing, the California Housing Finance Agency has an informative website at www.calhfa.ca.gov/multifamily/index.htm. You can also contact the agency directly at:

California Housing Finance Agency

Sacramento Headquarters

S

You may also want to contact your local county housing authority. The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development has a helpful webpage providing links to that information for each county in California at www.hud.gov/local/ca/renting/hawebsites.cfm.

THANKYOU VERY MUCH,

IVAN EDGAR PRATT, "XERISCAPE / BUDDHA, INC."

1, Internet direct quote and paraphrase

transcription " ELEVATOR REPAIR AND DISCREPANCY NEGLECT AT THE ALEXANDER RESIDENCE February 7 2009" information, Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology, WebPage:

http://www.brookscole.com/cgi-brookscole/course_products_bc.pl?fid=M20b&product_isbn_issn=0534376975&discipline_number=22 ,

Merritt College Ecology Department & Matriculations, WebPage:

http://www.ecomerritt.org/, **NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO,**

WebPage:

http://www.sgi-usa.org

AC, cpage

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller

FY 2008-09
Six-Month
Budget Status Report

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

February 10, 2009

25

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

To: Angela Calvillo,
Clerk of the Board
From: Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

AC, cpage

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB 11 AM 10: 35
BY

PORT COMMISSION:

Concession Reviews of :

- BAE Systems, San Francisco Ship Repair
- RGN Corporation dba Butterfly Restaurant
- Castagnola's Restaurant
- Frances Y. Chu and Jyi Jeng Hwang dba Crab Station
- Blue Jeans Equities West dba Fog City Diner
- Golden Gate Scenic Steamship Corporation
- Scoma's Restaurant, Incorporated

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

February 11, 2009

24

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

To: Angela Calvillo,
Clerk of the Board
From: Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

AC cpage

AIRPORT COMMISSION:

Compliance Audit of Alaska Airlines, Inc.

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

February 11, 2009

23

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

February 10, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File 090133 – Ordinance amending the Business Tax & Regulations Code—Gross Receipts Tax

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

This letter provides a preliminary estimate of the effect of this legislation, and will be reviewed as we learn more about the proposal.

Should this ordinance be approved, in my opinion, it would result in a net annual tax revenue increase to the City of approximately $72 million. The ordinance would impose a gross receipts tax upon businesses operating in San Francisco. Revenue generated by the proposed tax could be spent by the City for any public purpose. This estimate does not yet include any one-time and ongoing implementation costs, which we are working to estimate.

The ordinance places a tax of 1.395 percent on gross receipts of businesses leasing nonresidential real estate, and a tax of 0.1 percent on all other businesses. We estimate that the tax on real estate leasing would generate $34 million annually, and the gross receipts on all other businesses would generate $38 million, for a total of $72 million annually. The ordinance would also change the Small Business Exemption. The ordinance would exempt businesses with gross receipts of up to $2,000,000 from the gross receipts tax.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

REVISED
2/11/09

February 11, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File 090134 – Ordinance amending the Business Tax & Regulations Code – Sales Tax

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

This letter provides a preliminary estimate of the effect of this legislation, and will be reviewed as we learn more about the proposal.

Should this ordinance be approved, in my opinion, it would result in a net annual tax revenue increase to the City of approximately $51 million. The ordinance increases San Francisco's sales tax rate from 8.5 to 9.0 percent for a period of three years. Revenue generated by the proposed tax would be placed in a special fund entitled "Emergency Services, Public Safety, and Health Care Services Fund" and used exclusively for certain police, fire, health and emergency communications services.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

February 10, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File 090135 – Ordinance amending the Business Tax & Regulations Code – Payroll Tax

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

This letter provides a preliminary estimate of the effect of this legislation, and will be reviewed as we learn more about the proposal.

Should this ordinance be approved, in my opinion, it would result in a net annual tax revenue decrease to the City of approximately $5 million. The ordinance would change the number and types of businesses in the City that pay the payroll tax, and change the payroll tax rate for businesses with between $300,000 and $400,000 in annual taxable payroll.

The ordinance would change the payroll tax rate from the current 1.5% to 1.0% for businesses whose taxable payroll is between $300,000 and $400,000. The ordinance would also increase the small business tax exemption. Currently, businesses with a payroll of up to $250,000 do not have to pay the payroll tax, effective for the tax year 2009. The ordinance would raise this limit to $300,000 for tax year 2010. We estimate that with full implementation, these changes would result in a decrease in tax revenue of approximately $5 million.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/11/2009 09:35 AM

To Peggy Nevin/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Unsolved murders, violent crimes and quality of life in San Francisco

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/11/2009 09:41 AM -----

Kimberlee Stryker <kstrykerdesign@yahoo.com >
02/10/2009 09:02 PM

To david.campos@sfgov.org
cc board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject Unsolved murders, violent crimes and quality of life in San Francisco

Dear Mr. Campos,

I am alarmed at the murder rate in San Francisco. I am even more alarmed that so many supervisors are not engaged in a positive way to work closely with the police department. I see a circle of blame and the buck doesn't seem to stop anywhere. Now that you are the chair of the Public Safety Commission, will you help change this negative trend and begin to develop positive outreach between the Board and the Police Department so that average citizens like myself can live in the city with confidence rather than fear?

What are your plans to bring this about? What are your plans to lessen violent crime in San Francisco? Do you have plans to reduce the number of quality of life crimes by funding efforts to address them in our budget? Currently they seem to be completely ignored in our city budget and at City Hall.

Thank you in advance for your response.

Kim Stryker
Mission District

27

AC cpage

City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco City and County Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board

Posted in clerks office

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB 11 PM 3:02
BY

MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 9, 2009

TO: Department Heads
Labor Organizations
Interested Parties
Retiree Associations

FROM: Clare M. Murphy
Executive Director SFERS, and Trustee Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF ANNOUNCING RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD ELECTION

As a result of the passage of Proposition B on the June 2008 ballot, creating a Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, two members of the Retiree Health Trust Fund Board seat will be elected for a five-year term as of May 6, 2009. The Retiree Health Trust Fund Board will oversee the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund – an irrevocable trust fund established under Charter Section A8.432 to provide a funding source to defray the cost of City and County of San Francisco, and other Participating Employers, obligations to pay for Health Care coverage for retired persons and their survivors entitled to Health Care coverage under Charter Section A8.428.

In accordance with the Charter and San Francisco Administrative Code Sections 16.550 – 564, a combined election is to be held to fill the two vacancies, one employee and one retiree seat on the Board. The initial election shall be a special election administered by the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System and conducted by the Department of Elections.

The election will be held during the period from Monday, April 6, 2009 to Monday, April 20, 2009.

For your information and guidance, an election notice and schedule are attached. You are respectfully requested to post this notice in a conspicuous place, so that all active and retired City Health Service System members may be aware of the forthcoming election and that the notice will receive widespread distribution. Please make additional copies to ensure the notice is provided in all your departmental worksites.

Please note that the period for nominations is from Monday, February 9, 2009 through Friday, March 13, 2009.

Your cooperation is appreciated. If you have any questions, please feel free to call Norm Nickens at 487-7025.

Attachments: Notice, Nomination Form and Election Schedule

(415) 487-7020 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94102

28

NOTICE

PLEASE POST PLEASE POST

RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD ELECTION

To all active and retired City employee San Francisco Health Service System members, the five-year term of two elected members of the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board will commence on May 6, 2009. In accordance with Administrative Code Sections 16.550-16.564, an election will be held to fill the vacancies.

WHAT IS THE RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD

On June 3, 2008, the voters passed Proposition B which established a Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board. The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund is an irrevocable trust fund established under Charter Section A8.432 to provide a funding source to defray the cost of City and County of San Francisco, and other Participating Employers, obligations to pay for Health Care coverage for retired persons and their survivors entitled to Health Care coverage under Charter Section A8.428. Trust assets shall be held for the sole and exclusive purpose of providing health care coverage to eligible retired persons and their survivors, and to defray the reasonable expenses of administering the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund.

The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund will be governed by a Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board consisting of five trustees, one of whom shall be appointed by the City Controller, one of whom shall be appointed by the City Treasurer, one of whom shall be appointed by the Executive Director of the San Francisco Employees Retirement System, and two of whom shall be elected from among the active and retired members of the San Francisco Health Service System.

WHO IS ELIGIBLE TO RUN

Individuals who are eligible to run for the seat on the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board are any active or retired City employee Health Service System members.

NOMINATIONS February 9, 2009 – March 13, 2009

All candidates for membership on the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board must be nominated in writing by at least twenty individuals who are active and/or retired City employee members of the San Francisco Health Service System. All nominations must be on an official nomination form. Nomination forms and written acceptances must be received by the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board no later than 5:00 p.m., Friday, March 13, 2009:

30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94102
Attention: Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board Election

Additional nomination forms are available by contacting Norm Nickens at (415) 487-7025.

NOTICE

PLEASE POST PLEASE POST

WHO IS ELIGIBLE TO VOTE

All active and retired City employee Health Service System members are eligible to vote.

ELECTION April 6, 2009 – April 20, 2009

No later than Friday, March 27, 2009, the Department of Elections will prepare the ballots and voting packets for delivery. The voting packets will be mailed to the address received from the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund for each active and retired City employee member by March 20, 2009. Each voting packet will contain the official ballot; a pamphlet containing a sample ballot, voting instructions and candidate statements; and a return ballot envelope. Each active and retired City employee member votes in accordance with the instructions, placing the voted ballot inside the return ballot envelope, sealing and signing the return ballot envelope. **Failure to sign the exterior of the return envelope invalidates the ballot.** If a voter loses or spoils their official ballot, a second ballot may be issued by contacting the Department of Elections. Packets returned undeliverable by the United States Postal Service will be forwarded by the Department of Elections to the addressee's departmental election officer or the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund via inter-office mail. Any voting packet that cannot be delivered by the departmental election officer must be returned to the Department of Elections.

DELIVERY OF VOTED BALLOTS

The **VOTED BALLOT MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS** no later than **5:00 p.m., Monday, April 20, 2009.** Voted ballots can be delivered via:

1. Your Departmental Election Officer
2. U.S. Postal Service (sufficient postage required)
3. Personally to the Department of Elections

The Department of Elections is located at: City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48.

PROCESSING AND COUNTING OF BALLOTS

Beginning 8:00 a.m., Monday, April 20, 2009, at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48, San Francisco, the Department of Elections will open the sealed envelopes containing the ballots in the presence of witnesses and ballots canvassed publicly in such manner that the identity of the individual casting any particular ballot will not be disclosed. No later than, **Tuesday, April 21, 2009,** the Department of Elections will certify the results of the election pursuant to Administrative Code Sections 16.563 and 16.563-1. The successful candidates will take their place on the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, the term of office being five years commencing May 6, 2009 and expiring May 5, 2014.

SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD
NOMINATION FORM

We, the undersigned active and retired City employee members of the San Francisco City and County Health Service System, hereby nominate:

NAME _____

DEPARTMENT/RETIREE _____

Nomination for member of the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board for the full term May 6, 2009 to May 5, 2014. One Active and one Retired Member shall be elected.

In witness whereof, we have herewith signed our names and places of City employment or City employee retiree status.

NOTE:

1.	Nomination Form must be filed with the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund no later than 5:00 p.m., Friday, March 13, 2009 (address shown below).
2.	Nominators signing on the attached form must be active or retired City employee members of the San Francisco City and County Health Service System.
3.	Twenty (20) valid signatures are required. (Twenty-five (25) spaces are provided in the event some of the signatures are disqualified.)
4.	The first five (5) numbers of the member's Social Security Number must be entered. San Francisco City and County Health Service System and/or Department of Human Resources staff must verify the person signing is a member of the Health Service System.

ATTN:
NORM NICKENS, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD
30 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 3000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY RETIREE HEALTH CARE FUND TRUST BOARD NOMINATION FORM

SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER (FIRST FIVE NUMBERS ONLY)	DEPARTMENT/RETIREE*
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
16.			
17.			
18.			
19			
20.			
21.			
22.			
23.			
24.			
25.			

*If you are an **ACTIVE** City employee Health Service System member, indicate the name of your **Department.** If you are a **RETIRED** City employee Health Service System member, indicate **"Retiree"** under Column 4, **DEPARTMENT/RETIREE**

ACCEPTANCE BY NOMINEE:

_____
(Printed Name)

I hereby accept the foregoing nomination for Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board and if elected agree to serve.

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Department/Retiree: _____

RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD ELECTION SCHEDULE

The following Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board Election Procedures are in Compliance with Administrative Code Sections 16.550 – 16.564. **The initial election shall be a special election administered by the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System and conducted by the Department of Elections**

Schedule Date (s)	Procedures by Retirement System and Department of Elections	Ordinance Deadlines
120 day notice requirement (**waived by special election provision for first election**)	Notice of election to the Department of Elections.	The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board shall notify the Department of Elections or Contractor at **least 120 days prior** to the first day that ballots may be marked and delivered (hereafter referred to as the First Voting Day) that an election shall be held. Sec 16.554 Special elections may be held on an expedited basis as determined by the Department of Elections. The first Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board election **shall be a special election** conducted by the Department of Elections. Sec 16.551
Monday, February 9, 2009	The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board shall notify the active and retired City employee Health Service System members of the following: (a) The necessity for an election; (b) The procedure for nomination and selection of candidates to serve on the Board; and (c) The dates that ballots may be marked and delivered and the procedure for voting.	No date specified. Sec. 16.553
Monday, February 9, 2009	Department of Election requests that Department Heads designate election officers.	90 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.554 [90-day time

RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD ELECTION SCHEDULE

		limit waived for initial election]
Monday, February 9, 2009 through Friday, March 13, 2009	Nominations for election shall be submitted to the Retirement Health Care Trust Fund Board on forms provided for this purpose, verifying acceptance of the nomination and agreeing to serve if elected.	Not less than 31 days. Sec. 16.553(c)
Monday, February 9, 2009 through Friday, March 13, 2009	Each candidate for election shall file with the Retirement Health Care Trust Fund Board a statement disclosing the information required by the disclosure category for the elective office sought by the candidate established in the Conflict of Interest Code. Candidates shall file such statements on the same forms used by filers under Section 3.1-100, et seq. of the Conflict of Interest Code.	
Monday, March 9, 2009	The Department of Elections or Contractor shall provide written instructions to each Election Officer at least 21 days prior to the First Voting Day, informing such officer of dates on which ballots will be distributed and collected and the procedure to be followed for their distribution and collection."	21 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.556
Friday, February 13, 2009	The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board shall furnish the Department of Elections or Contractor with the names of the eligible nominees at least 35 days prior to the First Voting Day. The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board shall also furnish the Department of Elections or Contractor with a list of the active and retired City employee Health Service System members ("voters") in the election at the same time that it furnishes the names of the eligible nominees. Upon request, the City's Health Service System shall provide all information to Contractor, or the Department of Elections, necessary to conduct the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board nomination and election process including, but not limited to, information regarding voter lists, voter contact information and Health Service System membership status.	Not less than 35 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.555 [35-day time limit waived for initial election] No date specified. Sec 16.557
Friday, March 13, 2009	Candidates Statements due to Department of Elections.	
Tuesday, March 17, 2009	Department of Elections to select order of Candidates names on ballot by lot at 12:00 p.m.	

RETIREE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD ELECTION SCHEDULE

Thursday, March 19 - Friday, March 20, 2009	Department of Elections to allow Candidates to review and correct typeset Statements.	
Friday, March 20, 2009	Candidates to submit vendor name (printer/mailing house) to Department of Elections for release of mailing labels	
Friday, March 20, 2009	Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board furnishes Department of Elections with voting labels of the active and retired City employee Health Service System members.	60 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.554 [60-day time limit waived for initial election]
Friday, March 27, 2009	Department of Elections mails ballots to active and retired City employee Health Service System members.	10 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.560
Friday, March 27, 2009	Health Service System and/or Department of Human Resources shall furnish the Department of Elections with supplemental voting list of additional active and retired eligible voters.	2 Days prior to the first day of voting. Sec. 16.557
Tuesday, March 31, 2009	Department of Elections to release supplemental mailing labels to designated vendor.	
Tuesday, March 31, 2009	Department of Elections redistributes any returned ballots from active members through Inter-Office mail.	2 Days prior to the first day of voting.
Monday, April 6, 2009 through Monday, April 20, 2009	Official election dates.	Monday, April 6, 2009, is the First Day of Voting
Monday, April 20, 2009	The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board shall attest to the Department of Elections or contractor that there is one retired member trustee and one active member trustee candidate to fill the two elected Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board positions.	Within 5 Days after the close of voting. Sec. 26.563
Tuesday, April 21, 2009	By this date, Department of Elections counts ballots and certifies name of person receiving largest number of voters.	
Wednesday, May 6, 2009	Elected members take their seats on the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board for full five-year term.	

Ac cpage

Gavin Newsom, Mayor

Edwin M. Lee, City Administrator

February 10, 2009

Honorable David Chiu
President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Suite 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102

RE: Resolutions to Support of Immigrant Taxi Drivers and Protection of City Services for Immigrants in San Francisco

Dear President Chiu:

On February 9, 2009, during its regularly scheduled monthly meeting, the San Francisco Immigrant Rights Commission approved resolution #09-00001 to "Support Immigrant Taxi Drivers in San Francisco" and resolution #09-00002 to "Protect City Services for Immigrants in San Francisco." Both resolutions, which are attached for your review, direct Commission staff to forward the adopted resolutions to the Mayor of San Francisco and to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Please feel free to have your staff contact Tomás Lee Director- Immigrant and Language Services (tomas.lee@sfgov.org) or Senior Coordinator Sally Leung (415-554-4884, email Sally.Leung@sfgov.org) of my staff if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Pon

Adrienne Pon
Executive Director
Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FILE NO. 090209-01 RESOLUTION NO. 09-00001

[Resolution in Support of Immigrant Taxi Drivers in San Francisco]

Whereas, many San Francisco taxi drivers are immigrants and face economic hardships including lack of overtime pay, health benefits, and the right to organize into a labor union; and

Whereas, driving a cab can be a highly dangerous job where workers are exposed to many safety hazards from armed robberies to ergonomic injuries due to long hours on the road; and

Whereas, a medallion is a permit issued by the City and County of San Francisco and confers the right to have a taxi cab on the road; and

Whereas, there are 1,500 medallions and approximately 7,000 taxi drivers in San Francisco; and

Whereas, in June 1978, San Francisco voters approved Proposition K, which imposed a driving requirement for medallion holders and barred the sale or transfer of taxicab medallions; and

Whereas, Proposition K is widely regarded as the strongest consumer legislation in the country related to the taxi industry because it prevents unfair competition in the market; and

Whereas, medallion transferability will decrease the quality of taxi service in San Francisco and may create severe economic hardships for taxi drivers; and

Whereas, since 1978, eight different propositions have attempted to repeal or amend Proposition K, and were all defeated; and

Whereas, in November 2007, San Francisco voters approved Proposition A, which expanded the role of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to make "taxi-related regulations" in the event that the Taxi Commission is merged by ordinance with the SFMTA; and

Whereas, in December 2008, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed an ordinance to transfer the regulatory powers of the Taxi Commission to the SFMTA and to dissolve the Taxi Commission; and

Whereas, the elimination of the Taxi Commission will mean that the decisions impacting the day-to-day lives of drivers will be made without any representation or input from members of the public; and

Whereas, beginning March 1, 2009, the SFMTA will have the power to make regulatory changes in the taxi industry; and

Whereas, on January 12, 2009, Mayor Gavin Newsom announced a budget proposal that involved overturning Proposition K and making the city's taxi medallions transferable; and

Whereas, as a result of this proposal, current medallion holders may be stripped of their rights without compensation thereby jeopardizing their economic stability and livelihoods; and

Whereas, it is not a settled matter of law as to whether the SFMTA has the authority to overturn Proposition K; Therefore, Be it

Resolved, the Immigrant Rights Commission of the City and County of San Francisco urges the San Francisco Metropolitan Transportation Agency to hear the voices and concerns of San Francisco taxi drivers in a public forum; and, are it further

Resolved, the Immigrant Rights Commission urges the SFTMA to not support any measure that would result in the transferability of medallions and thereby jeopardize the livelihoods of drivers; and, be it further

Resolved, the Immigrant Rights Commission opposes the overturning of Proposition K due to its impact on immigrant workers in the taxi industry; and be it further

Resolved, the Immigrant Rights Commission shall deliver copies of this Resolution to Mayor Gavin Newsom, the Board of Supervisors, the Taxi Commission, and the Board of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency.

[Resolution Supporting Protection of City Services for Immigrants in San Francisco]

Whereas, Thirty seven percent (37%) of all city residents are immigrants who come from Asia, the Pacific Islands, Africa, the Middle East, Europe, and Latin America, including indigenous communities from all over the world; and

Whereas, immigrants live in every district of San Francisco, and are concentrated in the Mission, Chinatown, Tenderloin, South of Market, Excelsior, Visitation Valley, Bayview, Richmond, and the Sunset; and

Whereas, income and educational attainment levels among most immigrants are low, health indices are poor, and asset-building is weak, securing access to linguistically and culturally appropriate services is crucial for immigrants to address these challenges; and

Whereas, immigrant communities face increasing hardship to support families during these difficult economic times and immigrant workers in our City are most vulnerable to labor abuse and are the first to be fired, maintaining the current level of support to these communities in the San Francisco City budget is crucial; and

Whereas, San Francisco has always prided itself on welcoming immigrants, long recognizing the important role that immigrants have played in its growth and development, bringing diversity and cultural richness while supplying a large share of its labor; and

Whereas, at the federal level, and increasingly at a local level, immigrants—undocumented immigrants, in particular—have been the target of increasing xenophobia and policies emphasizing enforcement while severely restricting the possibility of attaining legal status; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco has taken important steps to respond to these anti-immigrant attacks by supporting legal services, reaffirming the Sanctuary City Ordinance, and allocating local funding to services for immigrants regardless of their immigration status; and

Whereas, in a time of increased deportations and the tearing apart of families and our communities, the 2009-2010 budget cycle affords the City a unique opportunity to realize its vision as a City for all its residents; and be it, therefore

Resolved, that the Immigrant Rights Commission of the City and County of San Francisco hereby urges the Mayor of San Francisco and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to maintain current levels of support to immigrant communities in the budgets for both current and subsequent fiscal years, and be it, further

Resolved, that the Immigrant Rights Commission urges the Mayor and the Board to make concerted efforts to prevent disinvestment in these communities to ensure that immigrants can further thrive and become even greater assets to our city; and be it, further

Resolved the Clerk of the Immigrant Rights Commission shall deliver copies of this Resolution to Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

AC/C page

To: Angela Calvillo,
Clerk of the Board
From: Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB 10 PM 3:22
BY

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION:

Continue Improving Administration of the Parsons Water System Improvement Program Contract

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 FEB 10 PM 3:22
BY

Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

February 9, 2009

30

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
410 AVENUE OF THE PALMS,
BLDG. ONE, 2ND FLOOR, TREASURE ISLAND
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94130
(415) 274-0660 FAX (415) 274-0299
WWW.SFGOV.ORG/TREASUREISLAND

GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

MIRIAN SAEZ
DIRECTOR OF THE ISLAND OPERATIONS

Date: **02/11/09**

To: **Angela Calvillo, Clerk of Board of Supervisors**

From: **Mirian Saez, Director of Island Operations**

Re: **Board of Supervisors Inquiry Reference#: 2009127-007**
Building 3 Revenues from years 2003- 2008

CC: **Supervisor Alioto-Pier**

Supervisor Alito-Pier requested the following information at the Board of Supervisor's meeting on January 27, 2009.

"How much money the Treasure Island Development Authority has generated by the lease of Building 3 on Treasure Island in the years 2003-2008"

I am attaching a spreadsheet which details revenues received for Building 3 from the categories of Special Events, leasing and filming and photo shoots. Please be advised that the numbers represent our best effort in accounting for the activities during the times requested. Specific standards for accounting of such activities were not in place until January of 2006-- after the Controller's Audit 2005.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at the above number, should you have any further questions regarding this matter.

Description	Date	Special Events	Leasing	Filming /Photo Shoots
Building 3 Revenue Report July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004				
Roadshow Productions	8/19/2003	$10,240.00		
Roadshow Productions	8/19/2003	$10,240.00		
Blind Faith	9/8/03--9/10/03			$1,875.00
Goodman, CJ	9/14/2003			$200.00
Blind Faith	9/19/2003			$300.00
Totals		**$20,480.00**	**$0.00**	**$2,175.00**

Description	Date	Special Events	Leasing	Filming /Photo Shoots
Building 3 Revenue Report July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005				
Goodby, Silverstein & Partners	2/4/2005			$500.00
Totals		**$0.00**	**$0.00**	**$500.00**

Description	Date	Special Events	Leasing	Filming /Photo Shoots
Building 3 Revenue Report July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006				
Voice of Pentecost	7/6/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	8/3/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	9/2/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	10/6/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	11/3/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	12/8/2005		$17,500.00	
Voice of Pentecost	12/15/2005			
Totals		**$0.00**	**$105,000.00**	**$0.00**

Note: The tenant defaulted and was evicted from the property in January 07 with $227,500 outstanding balance.

Description	Date	Special Events	Leasing	Filming /Photo Shoots
Building 3 Revenue Report July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007				
Qas Production	4/26/2007			$500.00
Beyond Production	5/12/2007			$1,000.00
Beyond Production	5/12/2007			$500.00
Totals		**$0.00**	**$0.00**	**$2,000.00**

Description	Date	Special Events	Leasing	Filming /Photo Shoots
Building 3 Revenue Report July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008				
Extraordinary Events	9/12/2007	$16,000.00		
Safeway Foundation	11/3/2007	$19,000.00		
SF Film Commission (Milk)	12-07 to 05-08		$60,000.00	
Totals		**$35,000.00**	**$60,000.00**	**$0.00**
Grand Totals		**$55,480.00**	**$165,000.00**	**$4,675.00**
Combined Totals	**$225,155.00**			

Mirian Saez/ADMSVC/SFGOV
02/11/2009 12:18 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Michela Alioto-Pier/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject Re: Ref. No. 20090127-007

Hanger3Memo.pdf
Hanger 3 Report1.xls

Mirian Saez
Director of Island Operations
Treasure Island
410 Avenue of Palms
San Francisco, CA 94130
415.274.0660 General Office
415.274.0299 Office Fax

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
01/28/2009 03:48 PM

To Mirian Saez/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc
Subject Ref. No. 20090127-007

Ref. No. 20090127-007.pdf

kimo <kir
Sent by:

02/12/2009 10:30 AM

Please respond to
kimo@webnetic.net

To David.Chiu@sfgov.org, Pro-SF <home@prosf.org>, mlagos@sfchronicle.com, Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>, Richard Knee

cc

bcc

Subject Passive Meeting notice request - SF Budget Meetings

Dear Supervisor Chiu:

Per the meetings described in the article below, Please notify me of future meetings by emailing notice and agenda to both:

SEC. 67.4. PASSIVE MEETINGS.
(a) All gatherings of passive meeting bodies shall be accessible to individuals upon inquiry and to the extent possible consistent with the facilities in which they occur.
(1) Such gatherings need not be formally noticed, except on the City"s website whenever possible, although the time, place and nature of the gathering shall be disclosed upon inquiry by a member of the public, and any agenda actually prepared for the gathering shall be accessible to such inquirers as a public record.
(5) Gatherings subject to this subsection include the following: advisory committees or other multimember bodies created in writing or by the initiative of, or otherwise primarily formed or existing to serve as a non-governmental advisor to, a member of a policy body, the Mayor, the City Administrator, a department head, or any elective officer, and social, recreational or ceremonial occasions sponsored or organized by or for a policy body to which a majority of the body has been invited. This subsection shall not apply to a committee which consists solely of employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

32

MBurke@bart.gov
02/11/2009 04:43 PM

To David.Chiu@sfgov.org, Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org,
cc KHamill@bart.gov, kstrehl@bart.gov
bcc
Subject BART Hires Third Party For Internal Affairs Investigation

Dear Elected Officials and Community Leaders:

BART announced today that it has turned over its internal affairs investigation to the Oakland-based law firm of Meyers Nave (nah-VAY). Meyers Nave is an independent, third party that will investigate the actions of all the officers present during the events leading up to the shooting death of Oscar Grant on January 1, 2009 on the Fruitvale Station platform.

"Meyers Nave has strong ties to this community and extensive experience in conducting internal affairs investigations," BART Board Member Carole Ward Allen said. Ward Allen chairs the newly formed Board of Directors BART Police Department Review Committee. "All of us on the Committee felt it was essential for the public to have complete confidence in the findings of this internal investigation – and that the best way to guarantee that confidence was to bring someone in from the outside with an impeccable record to conduct the investigation independently."

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN INTERNAL & CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

There are two investigations taking place; the internal affairs investigation now being handled by Meyers Nave and the ongoing criminal investigation by the BART Police Department, which includes the investigation into the actions of the six officers who were with now-former officer Johannes Mehserle on the platform at the time of the shooting.

"The criminal investigation is examining any and all use of force by the officers that night," BART Board Member Joel Keller said. Keller is Vice Chair of the BART Police Department Review Committee. "The results of the criminal investigation will be delivered to the District Attorney's office, which will determine if any of the actions of the six other BART police officers rise to the level of prosecutable conduct," Keller said. "The internal affairs investigation will primarily focus on the time those officers were on the platform, however, it will also look into the events on the train that preceded the officers' arrival as well investigate the tactics and actions of the command staff who directed the officers."

BOARD COMMITTEE'S NEXT STEPS

Now that the Board Committee has turned over the internal affairs investigation, it will also

engage experts in law enforcement to conduct a top-to-bottom review of all BART Police policies and procedures. Keller said he anticipates that an expert or experts will be identified in the next couple of weeks.

The Committee also plans to host workshops and discussion forums as a way to involve the public while the Committee members:

- Review the different approaches to citizen oversight of police
- Hear from members of established citizen oversight review boards
- Work to identify the best oversight model for BART police
- Conduct an in-depth review of the practices and policies of the BART Police Department.

BOARD ENLISTS EXPERTISE OF FAITH COMMUNITY LEADER

Additionally, the Board Committee announced today that it has retained the services of Reginald Lyles to support the Committee in its work. Mr. Lyles is a long-time member of the Oakland faith community, he spent over 20 years with the Berkeley Police Department, and he retired from law enforcement as a Novato police captain in 2003.

Mr. Lyles will support the work of the Committee as it seeks significant community input and involvement in the establishment of citizen oversight of BART police and in the comprehensive review of the BART Police Department.

ABOUT MEYERS NAVE

Meyers Nave is known throughout the state for its expertise in a broad range of police work and public law. The Oakland based law firm has a solid reputation built on the highest ethical standards. The firm has a 20 year history of producing independent, objective reports that have led to the discipline and termination of officers in other jurisdictions as well as changes in the policies and procedures of other law enforcement agencies. The Meyers Nave team that will conduct the internal investigation includes:

Jayne Williams

Jayne Williams is the managing principal of Meyers Nave. She is a member of the firm's public law department and currently serves as the city attorney for the City of San Leandro. Jayne has extensive experience in strategic planning, managing and coordinating litigators and transactional attorneys in complex civil litigation and innovative public project initiatives. She is an acknowledged expert in all aspects of representation of elected and appointed public officials and public agencies.

Kim Colwell

Kim Colwell is the chair of Meyers Nave's Tort/Civil Rights Litigation Practice Group and Litigation Manager for the entire Firm. Kim has handled hundreds of police conduct cases have involved police civil rights litigation, from minor traffic stops through hostage situations, false arrest, taser cases and shootings.

David Cunningham

David Cunningham, a principal in Meyers Nave's LA office, has extensive experience in police work, having presided over 250 use of force cases while serving on the Board of Police Commissioners, the civilian oversight body over the Los Angeles Police Department. David is also currently an appointed member of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Equity Oversight Panel whose function is to make findings and recommend discipline following investigations of harassment and discrimination within the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

Art Hartinger

Art Hartinger is a principal at Meyers Nave and chairperson of the Labor and Employment Department. Art regularly handles administrative

matters, including employee termination proceedings, grievances and wage and hour matters, where he advises and supervises internal

investigations.

Molly M. Burke
BART
Government & Community Relations
(510) 464-6172

"Christian Holmer"

02/12/2009 07:22 AM
Please respond to

To

cc

bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

Subject SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit: 02/07/09 - 02/13/09: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public Officials

Attachments:
1. Sample Prop G Calendars From Ed Harrington (PUC Chief) and Ben Rosenfeld (Controller)
2. City Attorney PIO's Sample SFSM Sunshine Audit Submission : Check Your Fears of Disclosure/Redaction At Door

SFSM (San Francisco Survival Manual) BOS Resolution: Community Based Informational Pilot Project: Increasing the efficiency and efficacy of services, connecting people with those that purport to represent them. BOS Resolution #040684:

Resolution urging City Departments to share departmental database data for a informational project with the San Francisco Survival Manual Publication for the benefit of both community organizations and the larger city-wide community.

WHEREAS, City Departments gather and maintain a wide variety of invaluable, yet underutilized data, such as demographic, population and budgetary information; and

WHEREAS, City Departmental data could be used to encourage community development and decision making, to produce updated lists of community services, to increase the efficiency and efficacy of services, and to connect people with the organizations that purport to represent them; and

WHEREAS, This information is not currently organized, maintained or disseminated in a cohesive way for the public to access; and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Survival Manual has collected and disseminated information on all SF populations, community organizations, government bodies and advocacy groups for 35 years; and

WHEREAS, The volunteer staff of the San Francisco Survival Manual will provide all the principal labor involved in making the database user friendly for the public; and

WHEREAS, The operation of this information clearinghouse will be based on grants and community fiscal sponsorship and will be at no expense to the city, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the board of Supervisors hereby urges City Departments to share all database data to which the public is lawfully entitled with the San Francisco Survival Manual when requested for the purposes of a community based informational pilot project.

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit: 02/07/09 - 02/13/09: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public Officials: All Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Request:

Provide Us <u>All Department Head / Mayoral Calendars</u> Including / Not Limited To Prop G, Working, Daily, Weekly, Etc. For The Period of 02/07/09 - 02/13/09: If Your Office or Executive Is Not required to Keep Prop G Calendar or Your Not Already Proving The Same or Equival e nt O)ne Please Provide <u>Primary Existing Working Calendar</u> For The Preveious Week For Your Office.

Save Time: Print To PDF From <u>All Calendars</u> Including / Not Limited To Prop G, Working, Daily, Weekly, Etc. If You Can't Print to PDF In Lotus Let Us Know. If You Don't Use Adobe Acrobat For the Creation of PDF's Let Us Know. We Have Workarounds. Many Of You Are or Have Migrated To Lotus Notes 8.0. This Further Simplifies Searchable Calendar Files Amongst *Other* Significant Things.

And...

SFSM Weekly Public Records and Press Request Audit For 02/07/09 - 02/13/09. Handling Filetypes: Simplifying Task For Respondents: Currently Accomodating Varying Current Standards and Practices.

To <u>All</u> Participating Elected Officials, Appointed Officials, Commissions, Task Forces, Oversight Bodies And City & County Employees Responding to Public Records Requests and/or Attending Public Meetings Etc.,

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

A Three Part Request: Please Note that the Subject Documents (CPRA / Sunshine / FOIA ? Prop 59 Requests) To This Request Include Any and all those requests received from Records from the Fourth Estate (The Press – Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Citizens, Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, as well as Inter/Intra Governemental. Requests for Public Records Made by Government Bodies, Elected or Appointed officials of One Another.

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/09/2009 09:10 AM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV, Rana Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV, Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20090106-001

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/09/2009 09:12 AM -----

"Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>
02/07/2009 04:50 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc "Black, Sue" <SBlack@sfwater.org>, "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick" <Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil" <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pollock, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Pollock@sfgov.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20090106-001

Here's the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:

99 Webster 870632 Notice Posted/
Graffiti Abated 1-30-09
295 Buchanan 880968 Nothing Found
1-09-09)
55 Laguna, Haight Street side 880969 Nothing Found 1-09-09)
841-863 Scott 880970 Nothing Found
1-15-09)
399 Grove 880950 Nothing Found
1-15-09)
502 Haight 878487 Notice Posted/
Graffiti Abated 1-30-09
597 Haight 872586 Nothing Found 1-12-09)
1432 Haight 864867 Notice Posted/
Graffiti Abated 1-23-09
1501 Haight 870602 Notice Posted/
Due Date 2-23-09
546 Haight 866661 Notice Posted/ Due
Date 2-16-09
542 Haight 866661 " " "
"
588 Haight 870611 2nd Notice Mail/ Due
3-9-09
630 Haight 880966 Notice Posted/ Due
Date 2-23-09
799 Haight 870399 Notice Posted/
Graffiti Abated 1-21-09

35

839 Haight 880976 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
507 Divisadero 880925 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-21-09
901 Haight 880903 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-30-09
930 Haight 880978 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-23-09
959 Haight 875193 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
1220 Haight 880983 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
1317 Haight 1317-1327 872660 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-15-09
1380 Haight 1378-1388 880990 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-23-09
1529 Haight 1525-1529 868818 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-23-09
1540 Haight 868793 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-23-09
1599 Haight 876974 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
1636 Haight 876811 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-23-09
250 Scott 250-254 876052 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
216 Scott 881000 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-23-09
523 Waller 521-525 881002 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
201 Steiner same as 201-211 Steiner- 881006 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
430 Fillmore 876363 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
501 Fillmore 881008 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
S. H Church 500 block of Fillmore 882866 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
648 Fillmore 882825 Nothing Found 1-15-09)
899 Fulton 875530 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
910 Hayes 875949 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 2-4-09
1310 Hayes 1310-1314 882828 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-29-09
1379 Hayes 1375-1379 882839 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 2-3-09
600 Irving (on the 7th Ave 600-610 882831 Nothing Found 1-18-09
1330 9th Avenue 1330-1332 882835 Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 2-4-09
1310 9th Avenue 1310-1316 873417 Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-12-09
1312 9th Avenue " " 873417 " " "
1307 9th Avenue 882839 Notice Posted/Graffiti Abated 2-3-09
378 Fillmore 865377 Nothing Found 1-18-09
424 Haight 424-428 882856 Notice Posted/Graffiti Abated 1-28-09

600 Page 882857 Notice Posted/ Due
Date 2-29-09
448 Scott 448-450 882859 Nothing Found
1-18-09
261 Pierce 257-263 882860 Notice Posted/
Graffiti Abated 2-3-09

Jonathan C. Vaing
SF-DPW Graffiti Unit
Operation Act. Supervisor II
Office: 415-695-2181
Fax: 415-641-2640
Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Lee, Frank W
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2009 2:47 PM
To: Vaing, Jonathan
Cc: Nuru, Mohammed; Stringer, Larry; Rodis, Nathan
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20090106-001

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,
Frank

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2009 9:22 AM
To: Reiskin, Ed
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 1/9/2009
REFERENCE: 20090106-001
FILE NO.

Due Date: 2/7/2009

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 1/6/2009.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:
99 Webster
295 Buchanan
55 Laguna, Haight Street side
841 Scott
399 Grove
502 Haight
597 Haight
1432 Haight
1501 Haight
546 Haight
542 Haight
588 Haight
630 Haight
799 Haight
839 Haight
507 Divisadero
901 Haight
930 Haight
959 Haight
1220 Haight
1317 Haight
1380 Haight
1432 Haight
1529 Haight
1540 Haight
1599 Haight
1636 Haight
250 Scott
216 Scott
523 Waller
201 Steiner (on the Waller side)
430 Fillmore
501 Fillmore
Sacred Heart Church on the 500 block of Fillmore
648 Fillmore
899 Fulton
910 Hayes
1310 Hayes
1379 Hayes
600 Irving (on the 7th Avenue side)
1330 9th Avenue
1310 9th Avenue
1312 9th Avenue
1307 9th Avenue
378 Fillmore
424 Haight
600 Page
448 Scott
261 Pierce

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 2/7/2009

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/11/2009 04:42 PM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV, Rana Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV, Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY REFERENCE: 20090113-001

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/11/2009 04:48 PM -----

"Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>
02/11/2009 04:30 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc "Black, Sue" <SBlack@sfwater.org>, "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick" <Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil" <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pollock, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Pollock@sfgov.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY REFERENCE: 20090113-001

Here's the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:

99 Webster	SR# 870632	-Graffiti Notice Posted/ Graffiti Abated 1-30-09)
1605 Haight	SR# 875705	-Graffiti Notice Posted/ Due Date 2-26-09)
208 Scott	SR# 882800	-Graffiti Notice Posted/ Due Date 3-02-09
253 Webster	SR# 882886	-Nothing Found 1-28-09)
605-611 Oak	SR# 882807	-Graffiti Notice Posted/ Due Date 3-02-09

Jonathan C. Vaing
SF-DPW Graffiti Unit
Operation Act. Supervisor II
Office: 415-695-2181
Fax: 415-641-2640
Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Rodis, Nathan
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2009 12:09 PM
To: Vaing, Jonathan
Cc: Lee, Frank W; Nuru, Mohammed; Stringer, Larry
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

36

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Frank W. Lee and myself because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks you!

Nathan Rodis
Assistant to the Director's Office - DPW
(415)554-6920

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 2:10 PM
To: Reiskin, Ed
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 1/15/2009
REFERENCE: 20090113-001
FILE NO.

Due Date: 2/14/2009

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 1/13/2009.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:
99 Webster
1605 Haight
208 Scott
253 Webster
605 Oak

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 2/14/2009

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
02/03/2009 03:00 PM

To Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV, Rana Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV, Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Ref: 20090113-003

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 02/03/2009 03:02 PM -----

"Estrella, Toni" <Toni.Estrella@sfdpw.org>
02/03/2009 09:45 AM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>
cc "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "McDaniels, Chris" <Chris.McDaniels@sfdpw.org>, "Kelly, Mike" <Mike.Kelly@sfdpw.org>
Subject Ref: 20090113-003

Regarding Supv. Mirkarimi's 1st pothole repair request on 01.13.2009, for Geary and Post Streets - unable to locate problem. The streets do not intersect.
Please ask the Supervisor to provide more specific location.
The remaining locations, on Waller Street, were repaired on January 29, 2009.
Thank you.

37

Bos-11

Laura Marshall/DOSW/SFGOV
02/02/2009 04:48 PM

To laura.marshall@sfgov.org
cc
bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
Subject Dept. on the Status of Women Newsletter

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN NEWSLETTER

A QUARTERLY PUBLICATION

CONTENTS:

****

BREAKING NEWS

2009 Service Directories Released

The Department has released the *2009 Directory of Social Services for Women in San Francisco* ! The directory contains over 250 agency listings in a variety of categories, including counseling, shelter and housing, substance abuse, youth services, and many more. A limited number of printed copies are available to be picked up at the Department at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 130. Additionally, you can browse the listings by category or name on the Department website, www.sfgov.org/dosw. Just follow the link for "Resources." The Department offers special thanks to the Department of Public Health for supporting the printing of this valuable tool for service providers and the public.

Women's Policy Roundtable Promotes Budget Advocacy

38

The Department will be organizing a series of Women's Policy Roundtables to continue the work begun by women leaders at the September 2008 Women's Policy Summit. Summit participants identified economic empowerment as a priority. In these challenging financial times, programs serving San Francisco's women are being cut, hindering their ability to support themselves and their families. On February 10, 2009, 8:30 am – 11:00 am, the Department will host the first Women's Policy Roundtable on the City's Budgeting Process. Speakers include Monique Zmuda, Deputy Director of the San Francisco Office of the Controller, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst Gigi Whitley of the Mayor's Budget Office, and a special welcome by Supervisor Carmen Chu. Space is limited, so please RSVP to dosw@sfgov.org.

Commission Welcomes New Commissioner

In January 2009, the Commission on the Status of Women welcomed Barbara Sklar as the newest Commissioner appointed by Mayor Newsom. Commissioner Sklar is an artist, a former president of the Art Commission, and an advocate for international human rights. Her background and expertise will certainly provide valuable insight and perspective for the Commission as a whole. Find a Commission roster and meeting schedule at the end of this newsletter.

PROGRAMS

Women's Human Rights

President Obama Pledges to Ratify CEDAW

President Barack Obama has made women's human rights a priority for his new administration. In November 2008, then-President-Elect Obama pledged to restore the international standing of the United States by pushing for the ratification of several United Nation's treaties, specifically mentioning the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). Known as the Women's Human Rights Treaty, CEDAW has been ratified by 185 countries since 1979. The United States remains one of just eight countries that have not.

In 1998, San Francisco made history as the first municipality in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the Women's Human Rights Treaty. The Commission and Department on the Status of Women have become internationally-recognized leaders in this work over the past 11 years. Notably, the Department's innovative work has been highlighted at the annual U.N. Conference of the Americas in November 2007 and again in November 2008. With President Obama's pledge to push for ratification, which requires a 2/3 vote of the U.S. Senate, the expertise we have developed here in San Francisco will be needed more than ever as we work to expand the human rights of women across the United States.

SF Gender Equality Principles Initiative Moving Forward

Since the 1998 adoption of the Women's Human Rights Ordinance here in San

Francisco, the Department has worked successfully to promote gender equality in City departments. In 2007, we partnered with the Washington, D.C.-based asset management firm Calvert Group Ltd. and the labor rights non-profit Verite to develop ways to bring our award-winning gender analysis tool to businesses in the City. To date, 16 businesses have signed on to help develop a set of benchmarks and indicators that assess gender equality in their corporation, including Deloitte, McKesson, Symantec, Williams-Sonoma, and other leaders in the field. In January 2009, the Department hosted the 2nd in a series of roundtables that engaged representatives from these companies in thought-provoking discussions about the issue of gender equality in the workplace. To view a draft of the benchmarks that are being discussed, visit the Department's website at www.sfgov.org/dosw.

San Francisco Takes the Lead Nationally on Gender Budgeting

In times of fiscal uncertainty and cutbacks, it becomes more important than ever to maintain a safety net for the most vulnerable in society. A vital step in doing this is analyzing the budgetary decisions being made by City departments. Like the Gender Analysis tool the Department used to analyze the 2003-04 budget cuts, Gender Responsive Budgeting requires an in-depth look at a department's constituents and staff to ensure that no one group is disproportionately affected by budgetary decisions. The Department has trained City officials in Fulton County, GA (Atlanta) on this valuable tool, and now looks forward to our own City implementing similarly progressive measures by asking all City departments to analyze the impact of the proposed FY09-10 reductions in terms of gender, race, disability status, LGBTQ identity, and other social characteristics.

Women's Health and Safety

Cell Phones Increase Safety for Domestic Violence Survivors

The Department recognized October 2008 as Domestic Violence Awareness Month by conducting a cell phone drive within City government. In October alone, the Department collected over 675 cell phones from individuals and City departments. All year long, the Department supports the safety of domestic violence survivors through the Verizon Wireless HopeLine program. The Department collects no-longer-used wireless phones and accessories from any wireless service provider and sends them to Verizon. In turn, Verizon refurbishes the phones, provides each with a store of minutes, and gives these usable devices to the Department to distribute. Domestic violence survivors who have escaped from their violent homes, often with no money or belongings, can use these phones to establish new, safer lives for themselves. For more information about how you can donate, call (415) 252-2570 or email dosw@sfgov.org.

Anti-Human Trafficking Service Providers to Convene in February

Human trafficking is an egregious violation of human rights, and the Department is committed to the fight to eradicate the crime and provide supportive services to victims.

To this end, the Department has partnered with the Human Rights Commission and the Jewish Anti-Trafficking Collaborative to host a convening of service providers on Tuesday, February 24, 2009 to assess the need in San Francisco and coordinate resources. Sponsored by Mayor Gavin Newsom and Assemblymember Fiona Ma, Congresswoman Jackie Speier serves as Honorary Chair. One outcome of this event will be a resource directory of Bay Area agencies that provide services to trafficked individuals.

Report on Family Violence is First of its Kind

The Family Violence Council, a County advisory body coordinated by the Department, addresses family violence throughout the lifespan, including child abuse, domestic violence, and elder/dependent adult abuse. In order to focus attention on the deleterious impact of family violence on the community as a whole, the Family Violence Council has issued the *Report on Family Violence in San Francisco, Fiscal Year 2007-2008 (* available in February*)* . San Francisco's is the only Council to address all forms of family violence at once, and this report is the first of its kind. Examining criminal justice reports, Adult and Child Protective Services statistics, and community agency figures, the *Report on Family Violence in San Francisco* is a comprehensive look at family violence in the City. This is the first step in analyzing trends and the long-term impact of these crimes. The Report can be found on the Department's website: www.sfgov.org/dosw.

IN EVERY ISSUE

Upcoming Commission Meetings

The Commission on the Status of Women meets monthly at City Hall, Room 408, 5 pm - 7 pm. All meetings are open to the public and comments are welcome. Visit www.sfgov.org/cosw or call (415) 252-2570 to confirm meetings times and locations.

Wednesday, February 25, 2009
Wednesday, March 25, 2009
Wednesday, April 22, 2009
Wednesday, May 27, 2009

Upcoming Events and Public Meetings

Justice and Courage Oversight Panel
DATE Wednesday, February 4, 2009
TIME 9:00 am – 12:00 pm
LOCATION City Hall Room 408, San Francisco
DESCRIPTION The Justice and Courage Oversight Panel seeks to create a seamless criminal justice response to domestic violence. This body meets the first Wednesday of every other month. More information can be found on the Department's website at

www.sfgov.org/dosw.
CONTACT Carol Sacco, (415) 252-2574, carol.sacco@sfgov.org

Women's History Month Event
DATE Tuesday, March 3, 2009
TIME 2:00 pm – 5:00 pm
LOCATION City Hall Room 250 and North Light Court, San Francisco
DESCRIPTION The members of the Board of Supervisors will honor 11 women for Women's History Month during a special session of the March 3, 2009 meeting. The Department will host a reception for honorees in the City Hall North Light Court directly following the presentation of commendations.
CONTACT Carol Sacco, (415) 252-2574, carol.sacco@sfgov.org

Family Violence Council
DATE Thursday, April 16, 2009
TIME 3:00 pm – 4:30 pm
LOCATION 400 McAllister St. Suite 617, San Francisco
DESCRIPTION The Family Violence Council is a multi-disciplinary group that seeks to address violence throughout the lifespan, including child abuse, domestic violence, and elder/dependent adult abuse. This body meets the 3rd Thursday of the month, quarterly. More information can be found on the Department's website at www.sfgov.org/dosw.
CONTACT Laura Marshall, (415) 252-2578, laura.marshall@sfgov.org

Justice and Courage Oversight Panel
DATE Wednesday, April 1, 2009
TIME 9:00 am – 12:00 pm
LOCATION City Hall Room 408, San Francisco
DESCRIPTION The Justice and Courage Oversight Panel seeks to create a seamless criminal justice response to domestic violence. This body meets the first Wednesday of every other month. More information can be found on the Department's website at www.sfgov.org/dosw.
CONTACT Carol Sacco, (415) 252-2574, carol.sacco@sfgov.org

Community Calendar

The following events are hosted by or benefit our VAW Grants Program Partner Agencies and women and/or girl-focused organizations.

LYRIC – Mizfits
DATE Wednesdays
TIME 4:00 pm – 6:00 pm
LOCATION 127 Collingwood St., San Francisco
DESCRIPTION Be seen. Be heard. Come check out Mizfits, a new social space for queer girls and allies, age 24 and under. Join us for food, conversation, art, and more. Every Wednesday beginning January 21st.

CONTACT Jess Arevalo, (415) 703-6150 ext.16, Jessica@lyric.org

Institute on Aging Fundraiser – Dinner a la Heart
DATE February 10, 2009
TIME 7:00 pm
LOCATION Participating Restaurants
DESCRIPTION A dinner reservation at participating restaurants will benefit programs and services offered by IOA. To make reservations, call (415) 750-3443.
CONTACT Marie Bautista, (415) 750-4180 ext.143, mbautista@ioaging.org

Institute on Aging Education Event – The Labyrinth of Caregiving: Current and Future Issues and Options
DATE February 11, 2009
TIME 8:30 am – 4:30 pm
DESCRIPTION For information and to register, visit www.education.ioaging.org. This event will include a morning speaker and afternoon break-out sessions. CEUs available.
CONTACT Janet Howell, (415) 750-4180 ext.227, jhowell@ioaging.org

Institute on Aging Ongoing Education Series
DATE First Thursday of Every Month
TIME 3:00 pm – 4:30 pm
LOCATION Adult Day Health Center, 3600 Geary Street, San Francisco
DESCRIPTION Professional training for social workers, caregivers, care managers, and all staff related to senior care. CEUs available.
CONTACT Janet Howell, (415) 750-4180 ext.227, jhowell@ioaging.org

Young Asian Women Against Violence (YAWAV) – Community Workshops Available
DATE Call to Schedule (Monday – Saturday)
TIME Call to Schedule
LOCATION Your facility in the San Francisco area
DESCRIPTION YAWAV is offering workshops for middle school, high school and parent groups about sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating/domestic violence, healthy relationships, and self-esteem and body image. YAWAV peer leaders seek to educate the community, especially young adults, with the hope of increasing community awareness and willingness to discuss issues of violence against women. This is a program of Community Youth Center, www.cycsf.org/yawav.
CONTACT Den Quinsay, Peer Leader Advisor, (415) 752-9675, denq@cycsf.org.

COMMISSIONERS

President Andrea Shorter
Vice President Kay Gulbengay
Commissioner Nicky Calma
Commissioner Dorka Keehn

Commissioner Carolene Marks
Commissioner Katherine Munter
Commissioner Barbara Sklar

DEPARTMENT STAFF

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Executive Director
Ann Lehman, Senior Policy Analyst
Laura Marshall, Administrative Analyst
Anu Menon, Policy Analyst
Carol Sacco, Grants Administrator
Cynthia Vasquez, Commission Secretary

Opt Out: If you no longer wish to receive this newsletter, please email dosw@sfgov.org and ask to be removed from the newsletter distribution list.

Department of the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 130 San Francisco, CA 94102
TEL: (415)252-2570 FAX: (415)252-2575 **dosw@sfgov.org** **www.sfgov.org/dosw**

Laura

****

Laura Marshall, MSW
Department on the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 130
San Francisco, CA 94102
p. (415) 252-2578
f. (415) 252-2575
****

Ivan E Pratt
m>
02/11/2009 12:26 PM

To .daly@sfgov.org, Christopher
cc
bcc
Subject To Be Or Not To Be, That Is The Question

AMERICAS REBIRTH IN A NEW ERA OF DEMOCRACY

February 11 2009

Even though I'm going to base my perspectives of this very lofty title to this particular writing composition, I'm doing so not so much to insist upon some promulgative evangelism based in Buddhist ethic, but I'm writing this on the basis of a Buddhist who lives in the United States in a democratic culture that contains many philosophies, religions, creeds, races, and cultures; and we can even add the tradition of scientific traditions in they're multifarious approaches and forms in evolutionary matriculations. In short, the United States process and philosophy of democracy has proven that democracy can work and is practically applicable as a real social psychology for the average person. Democracy has also proven that if as an individual you don't struggle to contribute to processes of democracy as a community philosophy, the democracy as a collective community will fail or become pointlessly inept in floods of empty procedures. In the twenty-first century in the year 2009, the United States is faced, due an extreme economic recession evolving into a very bad depression, the greatest challenge it has ever had to face in its dynamic existence, which is

coming out of a deep recession that is largely based previous bad management and utilization of its natural commodities as an economic basis for its structure and infrastructure as a government based upon the ideologies of what it means to be a government and nations that calls itself a democracy based upon the life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Such pursuits are based upon the individuals ability to express the nature and realities of their desire to believe in what they choose and express those choices in every aspect of the democratic society in the United States, but only on the condition that every individual respect each others pursuit of happiness because of a mutual consensus amongst the population that we as individual in the United State are defending the premise of democracy in our social contract we the people call the United States Constitution. If we the people of the United States are adamant about the great obstacle we are face with now, in turning that obstacle as a collective society into a benefit, the United States concept of democracy will truly become a great nation defending not only the justice for all human beings, but will also become a nation where democracy is also practiced for the justice of all other living creatures composing our natural environment we call the planet earth. Because without proper perspectives of democracy shared with the natural environment and other living creatures, there cannot be a democracy of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as a true democratic principle in practical daily application. There can only be the pursuit of human extinction if we cannot realize as a living species on earth that we must share this environment with all other living creatures. And this

idea of total democracy on planet earth is where the New America Can Be Born into a complete revolution of social psychology in complete innovation on all levels that create a society as a government of calling itself a democracy.

Like I said in the beginning of this writing, my experience can defined on what has inspired my life as a Buddhist, other people in a democratic society are inspired in many different ways and manners, but what is important in a democratic society is that we share these different inspirations to support the well being of our society if we in truth are going to declare ourselves a nation guided by democratic principles. It is my conviction as a Buddhist that the thesis based upon the concept of NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO is the premise of the new enlightenment of living in the United States and the planet earth in general, if we humans are going to successfully survive as a living species. This thesis is called as a literary form in documentation "The Wisdom of the Lotus Sutra: A Discussion", eight volumes (8), by Dr. Daisuku Ikeda, published by Soka Gakkai International, WebPage

http://www.sgi-usa.org

This thesis expresses the democratic values of the United States Constitution which makes clear this social contract on the basis of the individual constitutional inherited right to express their particular philosophical theism or atheism in a

society declaring itself a democracy. And it is the individuals creating a collective community and society that in consensus declares itself a democracy. The thesis of NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO, makes very clear the values of the existing Constitution of United States based in the values of democratic government by the people of the United States or any government considering itself a democracy and justice for all. The thesis of the Lotus Sutra makes very clear why democracy must also include the planet Earth's natural environment from a point of view in renovating the existing concept and practices of social psychology amongst human beings.

My reasons for writing this simple composition is to merely encourage a matriculative study of this document amongst my fellow human beings as a consensus basis to save not only the human species, but to also save the natural environment of the Planet Earth simply by attempting to contribute to the renovation of human attitude in the mutual respect of life in general existing on Planet Earth. Hence I believe in America's existing economic problems, which in truth is the whole worlds economic problem, is a serious investment in the practices and promulgation of education, meaning that having knowledge in a society is the to individual self empowerment in order to create a collective decision consensus as a community in dealing with the economic problems existent in the United States effecting all aspects of liberty, equality, and fraternity as democratic premise founding the practical realities we the people call democracy.

For this above reason, it is not enough to merely be impressed with our new President Barrack H. Obama's powerful speeches of national encouragement, but it is more important that we understand the perspective content of those speeches, which in short express the value of all individuals creating in consensus of a democratic community by working together to establish a government by the people in whatever talents we can volunteer in support of what we regard as the value of preserving democratic ideals. President Obama's speeches do include the value of education in regard to preserving the Earths natural environment.

If we cannot understand the essential content of President Barrack H. Obama's speeches beyond merely being entertained by our presidents impressive matriculations, and being fulled with the vanity of being impressed that this is a black saying these wonderful words – that President Obama is simply a man like any other man or woman, we will entrap ourselves in a continued social psychology that will create human extinction on the planet earth. We human being on these basis of continued stupidity will have no one to blame but ourselves in this adamant practice of pure stupidity.

(IVAN'S Remark on about his Personal Computer, the word processing program on my computer has a conflicting internal networking problem, and makes my wording in writing look incompetent. This internal computer problem

will not allow me to correct or retract a wording in correction without corrupting the entire writing, hence I have to tolerate these obvious grammar incorrection in order to save the important purpose of the particular writing – this is more frustrating to me then you can imagine, since much of what I writing is in concern of my fellow human being and the other living creature on this Planet Earth. I live on a very limited budget due to disabilities on Social Security Insurance, which no doubt in time will also become economicly extinct in time. Please forgive this human failure in personal computer ownership for social advocacy advocation.)

THANKYOU VERY MUCH,

IVAN EDGAR PRATT,

February 11, 2009

NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO,

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

IVAN EDGAR PRATT, "XERISCAPE / BUDDHA, INC." , Internet direct quote and paraphrase transcription "Americasation, Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology, WebPage:

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

JOHN CARLSON, JR.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
1416 Ninth Street
Box 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090
(916) 653-4899
(916) 653-5040 Fax
fgc@fgc.ca.gov

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Fish and Game Commission

February 5, 2009

TO ALL AFFECTED AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

This is to provide you with a copy of the notice of proposed emergency regulatory action relating to incidental take of California tiger salamander.

Sincerely,

Sherrie Fonbuena

Sherrie Fonbuena
Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Attachments

40

TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission
Notice of Proposed Emergency Changes in Regulations

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 205, 240, and 2084, of the Fish and Game Code (FGC) and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 202, 205, 240, 2080, 2084, and 2085 of said Code, proposes to add Section 749.4, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), relating to incidental take of California tiger salamander during candidacy period.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

The sections below describe laws relating to listing species under CESA, the effect of this emergency regulation, a description of related federal law, and a policy statement overview.

A. Laws Related to the Emergency Regulation - Listing under CESA

1. Petition and Acceptance

FGC Section 2070 requires the Commission to establish a list of endangered species and a list of threatened species. Any interested person may petition the Commission to add a species to the endangered or threatened list by following the requirements in Fish and Game Code Sections 2072 and 2072.3. If a petition is not factually incomplete and is on the appropriate form, it is forwarded to the Department for evaluation.

FGC Section 2073.5 sets out the process for accepting for further consideration or rejecting a petition to list a species and, if the petition is accepted, a process for actually determining whether listing of the species as threatened or endangered is ultimately warranted. The first step toward petition acceptance involves a 90-day review of the petition by the Department to determine whether the petition contains sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted. The Department prepares a report to the Commission that recommends rejection or acceptance of the petition based on its evaluation.

FGC Section 2074.2 provides that, if the Commission finds that the petition provides sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted, the petition is accepted for consideration and the species that is the subject of the petition becomes a "candidate species" under CESA. CESA prohibits unauthorized take of a candidate species, just as it prohibits such take of threatened and endangered species, from the time the Commission notifies interested parties and the general public of its acceptance of the petition. FGC Section 86 states "Take" means to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill. Killing of a candidate, threatened, or endangered species under CESA that is incidental to an otherwise lawful activity and not the primary purpose of the activity constitutes take under state law. (*Department of Fish and Game v. Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District* (1992) 8 Cal.App.4th 1554; *see also "Environmental Protection and Information Center v. California Dept. of Forestry and Fire Protection* (2008) 44 Cal.4th 459, 507 (in the context of a Permit under FGC 2081 subd. (b), the California Supreme Court states, "'take' in this context means to catch, capture or kill," citing FGC, § 86.)).

FGC Section 2085 provides that once the Commission gives notice pursuant to FGC Section 2074.4 that it has designated a species a candidate under CESA, all activities, whether new or ongoing, that cause incidental take of the candidate species are in violation of CESA unless the take is authorized in regulations adopted by the Commission pursuant to FGC Section 2084 or

Francisco Da Costa

02/07/2009 06:34 PM

To Francisco Da Costa
cc
bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
Subject Stop Lennar Action Movement - growing stronger by the minute.

Stop Lennar Action Movement (SLAM) growing stronger by the minute:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/02/07/18568835.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa

41

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/02/05/18568528.php

San Francisco | Racial Justice

Stop Lennar Action Movement (SLAM) grows stronger and community wants Lennar - out now.
by Francisco Da Costa
Thursday Feb 5th, 2009 11:05 PM

> Mayor Gavin Newsom and Senator Diane Feinstein are two EVIL people that are in bed with Lennar. Lennar is a Rogue Developer that has with INTENT poisoned our children and elders in the Bayview Hunters Point in San Francisco. Stop Lennar Action Movement (SLAM) is growing stronger by the day. We have every confidence to send Lennar packing. Also, those scum bags that have anything to do with this evil company - Lennar. That includes the Pacific Heights Mafia.

It has been over two and half years and Stop Lennar Action Movement (SLAM) is growing stronger by the day. God is with us and we have nothing to fear.

Lennar is a Rogue Company that has poisoned our children and elders in the Bayview Hunters Point with intent. SLAM is determined to send Lennar packing and with Lennar those entities like Senator Diane Feinstein, Mayor Gavin Newsom, and Congressperson Nancy Pelosi.

You could not find three worse skunks if you tried all over this Nation. One worse then the other - and all three have BLOOD of their hands.

The above named persons are EVIL and have every intention to adversely impact the Bayview Hunters Point community to make room for the DEVIL itself.

These evil folks pretend to represent but what they are in fact doing - is filling their coffers at the expense of the poor and disadvantaged. Blood money will take one so far and then just like that - these forces will be slammed to the ground.

Stop Lennar Action Movement (SLAM) has been meeting at the Grace Tabernacle Community Church for the past two and half years and growing stronger. We will do all in our power to take on Lennar and have every confidence that Lennar will fall - flat on its face in disgrace.

At this juncture Lennar has been taken to court and we have now found some powerful allies that have found Lennar - adversely impacting innocent communities all over the Nation.

Our people have been blessed to unite to fight the devil itself.

We. the community in the Bayview Hunters Point are NOT going anywhere and the evil forces that think we are going away are sadly mistaken. As I said we want Lennar out and believe that the time has come for Lennar to get out of our community - now.

It is always a pleasure to see so many people from so many walks of life attend the Town Hall meetings every Thursday.

People from all denominations attend - the very young, the youth, the adults and even those that find difficulty moving - they come because when they are at the Town Hall meeting - they are blessed and can go to a better place.

The Fraud Institute is taking on Lennar and from reports that have reached us - Lennar is fleeing like a dog full of fleas with its tail between its legs.

Lennar is a Rogue Company that promised the City of Vallejo to build - 10,000 homes at Mare Island and built nothing. After 10 years Lennar declared bankruptcy and the City of Vallejo were so shocked - that the City of Vallejo declared - bankruptcy too.

Lennar is a LIAR. Lennar is the devil itself. Lennar cannot ever be trusted by anyone.

In Southern California - Lennar made a pact with LandSource to build homes. Lennar fleeced LandSource for over $1 billion dollars. CALPERS had invested $ 1 billion - prodded by some folks - only to lose all that money. This loss has affected those innocent California workers and their pensions.

There are so many cases of Lennar hoodwinking innocent people - cheating innocent folks by building inferior homes and so on. Now, that is all being exposed and Lennar will soon have to account for all the evil deeds.

In the meantime - here in San Francisco - Mayor Gavin Newsom and some SF Board of Supervisor that have taken money from Lennar - have blood on their hands and have to be accountable. If they are not - we, the people will take the SF Board of Supervisors on. We, already know the scum bags that have taken blood - money.

Mayor Gavin Newsom thinks he can fool all the people all the time. This time around he will get a dose of his own medication. I bet you he will not be amused.

The many sellouts the like of Angelo King, Veronica Hunnicutt, Willie B. Kennedy, Doris Vincent, Aurelious Walker, Linda Richardson, Cedric Jackson, Sophie Maxwell, Calvin Jones and so on - are all drowning the cesspool of their own making. These sell outs must be ashamed of themselves.

Kudos to Stop Lennar Action Movement - we are growing stronger by the day - because God is on our side.

Francisco Da Costa
Director
Environmental Justice Advocacy

****

http://www.muwekma.org

****

http://www.cbre.com

****

****

http://www.hunterspointnavalshipyard.com

Francisco Da Costa

02/13/2009 07:15 AM

To Chuck Wexler <cwexler@policeforum.org>, Heather Fong <Heather.Fong@sfgov.org>, "Gavin. Newsom" <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, Kevin Ryan

cc

bcc

Subject Youth and guns

Youth and gun and the SF Unified School District:

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2009/02/13/MNEL15T31M.DTL&type=printable

Francisco Da Costa

42

Francisco Da Costa

02/08/2009 01:32 PM

To Francisco Da Costa <

cc

bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

Subject SF Mayor's Office Of Criminal Justice and SF Safety Committee lacks - LEADERSHIP.

SF Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and SF Safety Committee lacks - LEADERSHIP:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/02/08/18568933.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa

43

Francisco Da Costa

02/10/2009 11:17 AM

To Francisco Da Costa
cc
bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
Subject San Francisco must NOT treat our immigrants like dirt and abuse them.

San Francisco must NOT treat our IMMIGRANTS like dirt and abuse them:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/02/10/18569361.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa

Francisco Da Costa

02/11/2009 10:28 AM

To Francisco Da Costa
cc
bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
Subject Where are the killers of Gregory Johnson Jr?

Where are the KILLERS of Gregory Johnson Jr?

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/02/11/18569503.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa

45

Francisco Da Costa

02/11/2009 09:00 AM

To Francisco Da Costa
cc
bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
Subject The Pullman Porters and history.

Some of the best men I have had the opportunity to meet and talk to in the world are the Buffalo Soldiers and the Pullman Porters.

I have met other great men but my heart lies with these men - who within their capacity to achieve the best - did it with a flare:

http://cruiselinehistory.com/

Francisco Da Costa

46