Petitions and Communications received from May 12, 2009, through May 22, 2009, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 2, 2009.

From Office of the Controller, submitting the Biannual and Monthly Overtime report listing the five City departments using the most overtime in the preceding month. Copy: Each Supervisor (1)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the Controller's Annual Report on Contracting Out. Copy: Each Supervisor (2)

From Department of Public Works, submitting the Quarterly Report for the Department of Public Works Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account for the period January 1, 2009, through March 31, 2009. (3)

From Progressive Jewish Alliance, submitting support for appointment of Mary Rick to the Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group. Copy: Each Supervisor (4)

From Eula Walters, submitting opposition to naming Ferry Park "Sue Bierman Park" in San Francisco. (5)

From Office of the Controller, submitting a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City's Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza. (Reference No. 20090331-001) (6)

From James Chaffee, submitting letter regarding the Friends and Foundation of the Public Library disclosing charitable contributions as required by the Sunshine Ordinance. 2 letters (7)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Jean-Paul Samaha as a member of the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors. Copy: Rules Clerk (8)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Alan Mok as a member of the Environment Commission. Copy: Rules Clerk (9)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Jamal Dajani as a member of the Human Rights Commission. Copy: Rules Clerk (10)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Sarah Wan as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission. Copy: Rules Clerk (11)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Julian Chang as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission. Copy: Rules Clerk (12)
From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Teresa Ono as a member of the Library Commission. (13)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for proposal to initiate the process of restoring Sharp Park to a natural area. 56 letters (14)

From Planning Department, submitting notice of preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for the Parkmerced Project at 3711 Nineteenth Avenue. (15)

From Miraloma Park Improvement Club, requesting prompt implementation of the voters’ approval in 2008 of the establishment of the Historic Preservation Commission. Copy: Rules Clerk (16)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of James Buckley as a member of the Historical Preservation Commission with the appointment to be effective upon approval by the Board of Supervisors. Copy: Rules Clerk (17)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to closing Sharp Park Golf Course. 2 letters (18)

From Arthur Evans, commenting on the May 18, 2009 Public Safety Committee. (19)

From Arthur Evans, submitting his recommendations for reforming the Board of Supervisors. (20)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to resolving the city’s budget issues with fire station “Brown Outs”. 9 letters (21)

From Emil Lawrence, suggesting an investigation of the Municipal Transportation Authority hiring practices is needed. Copy: Each Supervisor (22)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice that Mayor Newsom will be out of state from May 22, 2009 until May 26, 2009. Supervisor Elsbernd and Supervisor Dufty will serve as Acting Mayor. (23)

From Paratransit Coordinating Council, concerning the plan to eliminate the 89 Laguna Honda bus line. (24)

From Arthur Evans, regarding the Public Safety Committee’s failure to deal with the gunplay epidemic in San Francisco. (25)

From Laura Spanjian, submitting information regarding Enxco. (26)

From Planning Department, submitting the environmental review documents for the Parkmerced Project. (27)

From Kimo Crossman, submitting letter entitled “overdue secret again Mr. Vein promised to post details online WRT Broadband application for San Francisco” dated May 20, 2009. (29)

From Capital Planning Committee, submitting recommendations for the November 2009 Safe Streets and Road Repair General Obligation Bond. Copy: Each Supervisor, Budget Clerk (30)

From Urban Counties Caucus, regarding the significant fiscal crisis that the nation is facing and the fact that California is especially hit hard. (31)

From Office of the Controller, submitting a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City’s Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza. (32)

From Renaissance Entrepreneurship Center, submitting support for ShaMauda Bishop of Simply Fabulous Beauty Salon for a seat on the Reentry Council. File No. 090503 (33)

From Human Services Agency, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Safeway Inc. (34)

From Pat Missud, regarding the dangerous intersection at Alemany Boulevard and San Juan Avenue. (35)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to any Muni fare hikes and the hiring of 48 new managers. File No. 090572, 5 letters (36)

From concerned citizens, commenting on the new rent control proposals. Copy: Supervisors Mar, Chiu, Maxwell, Daly, 5 letters (37)

From Jody Weisenfeld, urging the Board of Supervisors to do everything possible to bring “Trauma” and Open 4 Business Productions back to San Francisco. (38)

From Eula Walters, urging the Board of Supervisors to reject Roma Architects architectural drawing of proposed changes to Ferry Park that is a French-like design of curley-Q sidewalks that only the homeless will find useful with the new benches. Copy: Each Supervisors (39)
From Jennifer Friedenbach, submitting notice of rally on June 10, 2009 to stop
the cuts to poor/working people and resist the recession at Hallidie Plaza (Powell
and Market Streets) at 3:00 p.m. (40)

From Monica Visini, commenting on an article in the 5/21/09 SF Examiner
regarding bootleg billboards. (41)

From Josh Wolf, submitting a plea for public access television. (42)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding the failed Muni system. (43)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding Treasure Island sinking at all levels. (44)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding SF Public Utilities Commission failure to
help San Francisco when it comes to energy issues. (45)

From Roger Machin, submitting copy of letter sent to Mayor Newsom regarding
changing the policy on graffiti by amending the legislation. Copy: Each
Supervisor (46)

From Department of Public Works, regarding status of repairing potholes at
various locations in District 5. (Reference No. 20090324-003) (47)

From City Attorney, regarding request to draft legislation that would require that
the city and, through the leadership of the Department of Technology, annually
develop and adopt a 10-year Technology Investment Plan for all. (Reference No.
20090331-002) (48)

From Alice Gaylord, submitting the public meeting notice for the GP/TODCO-A.
(49)

From Glenn Havlan, submitting notice that the SF Free Civic Theater will be
presenting “Western Rules” free of charge at the Randall Museum Theater on
June 4-7, and the Eureka Valley Recreation Center Auditorium on June 11-14.
(50)

From Planning Department, submitting notice that the 246 Ritch Street project is
receiving environmental review. (51)

From Pinky Kushner, commenting that the Sierra Club is opposed to any
entrance fees for the Strybing Arboretum in Golden Gate Park. (52)

From Curtis Kifer, regarding Golden Gate Park greenery being trampled on by
some people attending special events at Golden Gate Park. (53)
From Aaron Goodman, regarding the SF Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) budget. (54)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding the Planning Department's Discretionary Review Process. (55)

From Joe Hummel, commenting on jobs and the immigration policy in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor (56)

From Joe Acosta, regarding the bicycle plan in San Francisco. (57)

From Phyllis Stevens, regarding Candlestick Point Park State Recreation Area. (58)

From Ivan Pratt, regarding the potential health problems in the Tenderloin district. 2 letters (59)

From Law Offices of Allan Lerch and Associates, submitting notice of claim against public entity and/or hospital district pursuant to Division 3.6 of the Government Code of the State of California. Copy: City Attorney (60)

From US Army Corps of Engineers, regarding the Ritz-Carlton Napa Valley Resort. (61)

From US Army Corps of Engineers, regarding the Fulton Road Mitigation Bank. (62)

From US Army Corps of Engineers, regarding the Healdsburg Veterans Memorial Beach. (63)

From National Sexuality Resource Center, submitting the 2008 National Sexuality Resource Center Annual Report. (64)
TO: Members, Board of Supervisors
     Mayor Gavin Newsom

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller
      Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director

DATE: May 11, 2009

SUBJECT: Biannual and Monthly Overtime Report

We are transmitting with this memo the Biannual and Monthly Overtime report. This report contains three reporting requirements regarding overtime as stated in Administrative Code Section 18.13:

- Administrative Code Section 18.13-1, enacted through Ordinance No. 197-08, requires the Controller to submit a monthly overtime report to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor's Budget Director listing the five City departments using the most overtime in the preceding month.

- Administrative Code Section 18.13-1 also requires the Controller and the Director of Human Resources to submit a biannual report to the Board of Supervisors documenting whether departments have complied with the maximum permissible overtime rule that employees not exceed 30% of their regular hours with overtime hours in a fiscal year, or 624 hours for a full-time 2,080 hours per year employee.

- Administrative Code Section 18.13-5 requires the submission of the Biannual Overtime Report to include budgeted, actual, and projected salaries and overtime.

- Budgeted overtime is projected to be overspent by $20.0 million based on a straight line projection. This is $25.6 million or 15.2% less than actual overtime expenditures in FY 2007-08. The Controller's Office anticipates that departments who are projected to overspend their budgeted overtime will cover these shortfalls with savings in other areas of their budgets.

- Collectively, the five City departments that use the most overtime (including the Municipal Transportation Agency, Fire, Police, Public Health, and Sheriff) account for more than 88% of total Citywide overtime hours.

- As of the pay period ending April 17, 2009, 271 employees have exceeded the 624 hour overtime cap established through Ordinance No. 197-08. Of the employees who have exceeded the 624 overtime cap in the current fiscal year, 247 have received exemptions from the Director of Human Resources or the Director of the Municipal Transportation Agency. This is a significant improvement compared to the previous year where 503 employees exceeded the 624 overtime hours threshold from July 1, 2007 to April 18, 2008.

Please contact me at (415) 554-7500 if you have any questions regarding this overtime information.
Biannual and Monthly Overtime Report
May 10, 2009

Attachment: Biannual and Monthly Overtime Report

cc:   Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Budget Director
      Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst
      Gail Johnson, Clerk, Board of Supervisors’ Budget and Finance Committee
      Gregg Sass, Finance Director, Department of Public Health
      Gary Massetani, Finance Director, Fire Department
      Sonali Bose, Finance Director, Municipal Transportation Agency
      Ken Bukowski, Finance Director, Police Department
      Jean Mariani, Finance Director, Sheriff
FY 2008-09
Biannual and Monthly
Overtime Report

May 11, 2009
MEMORANDUM

TO: Members, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE: May 11, 2009

SUBJECT: Controller’s Annual Report on Contracting Out

Administrative Code Section 2.16 requires that the Controller report by May 15 each year regarding 1) the number and types of contracts that have been approved for the prior year under Charter Section 10.104(15); 2) how long each affected service has been contracted out; 3) the cost savings as realized for each contract; and 4) how many new contracts have been submitted for certification.

BACKGROUND

Under the provisions of the City Charter Section 10.104, employees of the City and County are appointed through competitive civil service selection with exceptions being listed in 19 specific categories. Subsection 15 of this provision is known as “Prop J” contracting, taking its name from Proposition J in the November 1983 election. This exception authorizes outside contracting of existing services whenever a service can be performed by private contract at a cost lower than the same service performed by civil servants. The authorization is obtained by having the Controller determine that the cost of providing the service through a contract is less expensive than if performed through civil service. The Board of Supervisors then may adopt this determination through a resolution authorizing the department to contract for the services. This process is required on an annual basis.

SCOPE OF “PROP J” CONTRACTS

To provide a high-level review of Prop J contracting, we have summarized the proposals that we analyzed for Fiscal Year 2008-09, both in terms of service and cost savings.

To date through Fiscal Year 2008-09, 27 contracts were approved by the Board with a total cost of $126.4 million for services that are subject to Prop J approval. By contracting for these services the estimated savings is $59.4 million.
SERVICE PROVIDED THROUGH “PROP J” CONTRACTS

In Fiscal Year 2008-09, the services provided through approved Prop J contracts are equivalent to approximately 1,727.9 full-time positions. Services that are contracted out fall into the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department/Function</th>
<th>Number of Positions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>610.3 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking and Towing</td>
<td>404.9 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Services</td>
<td>254.6 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Management</td>
<td>237.5 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Management</td>
<td>89.9 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitorial Services</td>
<td>46.5 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services</td>
<td>84.2 positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,727.9 positions</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fiscal Year 2008-09, two new Prop J requests were disapproved by the Board. The Controller’s analysis determined that these services could have been provided through a private contract at a lower cost than if the same service were performed by civil servants as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department/Function</th>
<th>City Cost (High)</th>
<th>Contract Cost (High)</th>
<th>Savings (High)</th>
<th>FTEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>$6,976,440</td>
<td>$3,308,813</td>
<td>$3,667,627</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Services at SF General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services Agency</td>
<td>$449,880</td>
<td>$338,631</td>
<td>$111,249</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitorial Services at 875 Stevenson Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,426,320</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,647,444</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,778,876</strong></td>
<td><strong>67.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A supporting schedule for Board approved FY 2008-09 Prop J contracts is attached for your review and consideration. If you have any further questions, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Attachments: 1) Prop J Certifications, FY 2008–09
## Attachment

### Proposition J Certifications

**Fiscal Year 2008-09**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department / Function</th>
<th>New or Renewal</th>
<th>First Year of Prop J Certification</th>
<th>City Cost (High)</th>
<th>Contract Cost (High)</th>
<th>Savings (High)</th>
<th>FTEs</th>
<th>Board Disposition (Resolution #)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administrative Services (ADM)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Convention Facilities Management</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>21,707,728</td>
<td>18,067,506</td>
<td>3,640,222</td>
<td>237.5</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central Shops - Security</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>256,744</td>
<td>126,171</td>
<td>130,573</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Janitorial Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>2,916,502</td>
<td>1,877,121</td>
<td>1,039,385</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Security Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1,780,600</td>
<td>948,763</td>
<td>831,837</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Medical Examiner, Body Removal</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>103,714</td>
<td>53,934</td>
<td>49,780</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,765,292</td>
<td>$21,073,495</td>
<td>$5,691,797</td>
<td></td>
<td>304.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airport (AIR)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Employee &amp; Public Parking Management Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>20,481,805</td>
<td>16,215,119</td>
<td>4,266,686</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Information Booth Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1,574,436</td>
<td>1,063,435</td>
<td>511,001</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Security Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>854,993</td>
<td>705,991</td>
<td>149,002</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Shuttle Bus Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>12,681,995</td>
<td>9,606,299</td>
<td>3,045,856</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$35,563,169</td>
<td>$27,580,844</td>
<td>$7,972,345</td>
<td></td>
<td>343.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Budget Analyst</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2,888,695</td>
<td>2,531,233</td>
<td>357,462</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District Attorney (DAT)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Community United Against Violence</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>$297,358</td>
<td>$196,230</td>
<td>$101,128</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elections (REG)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Absentee Voter Ballot Distribution Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>851,906</td>
<td>250,635</td>
<td>601,271</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Services Agency (DSS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Security Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>7,993,341</td>
<td>3,918,447</td>
<td>4,074,894</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Muni Paratransit Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>38,370,718</td>
<td>20,181,755</td>
<td>18,188,963</td>
<td>439.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Comprehensive Facility Security Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>7,271,930</td>
<td>5,128,401</td>
<td>2,143,529</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Muni Transit Shelter Advertising/Maintenance</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>25,800,205</td>
<td>13,493,250</td>
<td>12,306,955</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 P &amp; T Citation Information System</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>9,683,334</td>
<td>7,668,365</td>
<td>2,014,969</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 P &amp; T Meter Collection &amp; Coin Counting</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>3,257,209</td>
<td>2,336,250</td>
<td>928,959</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 P &amp; T Janitorial &amp; Landscaping</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>before 1995</td>
<td>220,976</td>
<td>134,911</td>
<td>86,064</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 P &amp; T Tow Contract</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>18,586,196</td>
<td>17,751,547</td>
<td>835,649</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$163,252,568</td>
<td>$66,716,479</td>
<td>$96,536,088</td>
<td></td>
<td>891.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Public Works (DPW)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Security Services - 1680 Mission Street and 30 Van Ness, 5th Floor</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>$237,271</td>
<td>$103,550</td>
<td>$133,711</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juvenile Probation (JUV)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Shelter &amp; Intake for Status Offenders</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>$781,160</td>
<td>$291,396</td>
<td>$489,764</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police Department (POL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Project S.A.F.E.</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1,092,983</td>
<td>688,402</td>
<td>404,581</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Port (PRT)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Janitorial Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>before 1990</td>
<td>517,463</td>
<td>308,845</td>
<td>208,617</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Security Services</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1,321,292</td>
<td>611,798</td>
<td>709,494</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>259-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,838,755</td>
<td>$920,843</td>
<td>$918,111</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sheriff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Food Service - County Jail</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>$2,604,477</td>
<td>$1,313,084</td>
<td>$1,290,793</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Technology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Mainframe System Support</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$1,064,072</td>
<td>$844,129</td>
<td>$219,943</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>301-08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BOARD APPROVED PROP J RESOLUTIONS**

| $185,831,067 | $126,439,177 | $59,391,880 | 1,727.9 |
May 5, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4845

Subject: Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works
Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 707 of the Public Works Code, attached is the Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account for the period January 1, 2009 through March 31, 2009.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin
Director of Public Works

Attachment: As noted

CC: Main Library, Document Desk
   Mary Ellen Casey, BSM
   Robert Carlson, DDFMA
   Jocelyn Quintos
   Nini Leigh
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sidewalk Abatements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Cash Balance December 31, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance - March 31, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 11, 2009

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to convey my strong endorsement of Mary Rick for the Mayoral Appointee seat currently available on the San Francisco Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group (SPAG).

As a current member on the SPAG who has served for over a year, I know that committed attention and informed perspectives have been valuable to the process of enforcing and implementing the Sweatfree Ordinance. From her background as the Program Director at Business Alliance for Local Living Economies (BALLE), Mary will bring experience in supporting sustainable practices for small business. An eye to these concerns will be useful in the work of the SPAG and in effective sweatfree implementation in San Francisco.

Due to the SPAG's low numbers, we have had difficulty reaching quorum for our meetings. I encourage you to move quickly to confirm Mary Rick, to equip our Advisory Group with the tools to effectively function.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Sarah Leiber Church
Program Director, Progressive Jewish Alliance, Bay Area
Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group member
p: 510.527.8620
f: 510.527.8680
schurch@pjalliance.org
www.pjalliance.org
CITIZENS for OPEN SPACE and to RETAIN the VAILLANCOURT FOUNTAIN

San Francisco, CA 94111
Founder/Representative: Eula M. Walters

May 7, 2009

To: Park-Rec Commission in Joint Meeting with The Planning Commission.

Re: Item 2 and Item 3. Repeatedly, I, and the 2399 solid citizens oppose the wish of greedy architects and builders to spend Down Town Funds wastefully. President Obama clearly states that the needy, not the greedy shall receive help. You are having to fire park employees because you are low on funds. Ferry Park is the most economically operated park in the City — it is a healthy, greenplace. Birds, Green Parrots nest herein flocks. Bird watchers come to witness this and take pictures. The trees are healthy. Beautiful flowers draw butterflies and insects. There are walkways and benches enough. There are platforms in the sun and shade. Homeless find rest here almost daily. The top platform needs some bricks replaced which were needlessly removed by Park orders. Mr. Fernando Cisneros (Engineer) removed across-park narrow sidewalk and two adjoining benches by my request in 1998 — this gave us more GREEN. Now, greedy builders want to needlessly invade and put all that back. No Park officials have ever been personally interested in Ferry Park. Ferry Park exists because I saw a necessity to volunteer my services after the 1989 Earthquake. ME! I have lived next to Ferry Park since 1972. I was an Army Nurse in Italy during WW II. I married a fighter pilot. I divorced and moved to San Francisco in 1965. I became a Nurse Anesthetist and established Private Practice for CRNAs which was signed into law by Governor Reagan. Retired, I received my Law 1986. Ferry Park was won-over with the continual help of Mayor Brown, his best Aide (Stuart Sunshine was one). I found that Sen. Kopps Law would permit this Park. I worked with Engineers, DPW, gardeners, cleaning off the gravel, hauling in new dirt, digging sprinkler system, making hills here and there, planted grass, placed a Decoux Toilet. I wanted the East side to remain open for playing, picnicking, I pleaded for replacement of the sunken sidewalk leading from the Gazebo to Washington Street. I went, took documents, spoke at every meeting. BPS Copy at 201 Jackson made thousands of copies that I distributed. FREE! Thank's Mr. Regans. I had to picket, talk, to remove three Supervisors off the Board and put three other on who agreed to vote for Ferry Park PERMANENCE! Eleven years! Now, Park-Rec agreed to erect the sign post at the corner of Washington and Drumm and hang the sign: "FERRY PARK" which is there today. There is no Sue Bierman park except the one honoring her, located in the Grove at the entrance to the Golden Gate Park on Fell Street -- She deserves that one for her work in preventing a freeway through that park. Ms Bierman's driving her car into a parked dummy in her Haight neighborhood and killing herself does not deserve her name of my neighborhood Park. The policy for awards was totally violated by Supervisor Peskin, and his cohorts on Park-Rec Commission. Ms Bierman had no interest in saving this land for a park. After Mayor Brown came in office he helped me all the way — I'd take his messages to Ms Bierman, give her the research that I had, and from this came the first law. It's much easier to STEAL a lovely park than work 24-7 as I did — Knowing what's happened to me, I would instruct no one to volunteer for City service.

Please vote NO on wasting money to FIX. Nor to change the lovely name FERRY PARK that I gave it.

Eula M. Walters
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Maura,  
Thank you, this item will be appropriately referred.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.  
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

Maura Lane—05/13/2009 01:26:23 PM—Per your request, the Controller’s Office has completed a cost-b...

From: Maura Lane/CON/SFGOV  
To: Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jon Walton/DTIS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Anthony Ababou/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
Cc: Monique Zmuda/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Ben Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV  
Date: 05/13/2009 01:26 PM  
Subject: Data Center Options Analysis (Reference Number 20090331-001)

Per your request, the Controller’s Office has completed a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City’s Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza (OMP). The report is attached for your review.

Sincerely,

Maura Lane  
Executive Assistant to Ben Rosenfield, Controller

[attachment "Data.center_20090513133149_000.PDF" deleted by Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV]
MEMORANDUM

TO: Supervisor David Chiu and
Members, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE: May 13, 2009

SUBJECT: Data Center Options Analysis (Reference Number 20090331-001)

Per your request, the Controller’s Office has completed a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City’s Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza (OMP). Our findings and recommendations are summarized below.

Summary of Findings:

- The department had previously proposed maintaining its current leased site at OMP and locating additional data space needs at a leased site at Paul Street. The department would then pursue a long-term relocation to a City-owned site while operating these two sites.

- We recommend a full relocation to Paul Street of all operations currently housed at OMP, given our review of different site alternatives and after adjusting for capital investments at each site to create required and comparable functionality. Our analysis indicates that full relocation to Paul Street would be the most cost-effective option during the coming ten years. The City can then pursue a long-term relocation plan from this single site.

- A future relocation to a City-owned site may prove more cost-effective when considered over a twenty-year horizon. However, this alternative requires considerable time to develop – including identification, development, and build-out of a suitable site – and will benefit from a comprehensive plan to relocate other departments’ data centers into this future site. We recommend that the Committee on Information Technology (COIT) develop such a plan upon relocation of current operations to the Paul Street site.

- Other operational requirements should be met prior to a relocation from the OMP to the Paul Street site. These include (1) development of an alternate recovery site to support the City’s financial, payroll, purchasing, and criminal justice systems in the event that the primary site is compromised in a disaster, and (2) the relocation of mainframe check printing to an alternate location or to a private check-printing vendor.
Options Considered:

Our analysis reviewed four site alternatives, adjusting for different levels of capital and technology upgrades at each site required to make each site comparable while meeting recommended operational requirements. These options are summarized below:

Option A. Continue current operations at OMP with sufficient system and capital upgrades to allow for expansion of this site for all anticipated data center needs. In this option, no relocation of any functions to Paul Street would be required and the department would continue to operate a single data center site, once the City invested in significant capital improvements.

Option B. This option represents the department’s previous proposal to continue leasing at OMP with additional data center needs met through a limited use of the Paul Street site. In this option, the department would operate two data center sites.

Option C. A full relocation of current data center operations at OMP to the Paul Street site, with additional data center needs met at this site. In this option, the department would continue to operate a single data center site.

Option D. A full relocation of current data center operations to an undetermined City-owned site. This option is not immediately available, and would require identification, development, and build-out of a single site.

Our cumulative cost projections at each of these sites are summarized in the table below and in Attachment 1. Our office finds that a full relocation to the Paul Street site (Option C) is the most cost-effective in the short and mid-term, while relocation to a future owned site is likely to be the most cost-effective over a longer twenty year horizon (Option D). Over all time horizons, OMP (Option A) is the least cost effective alternative on a present value basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. One Market Plaza</td>
<td>20,500,000</td>
<td>37,700,000</td>
<td>52,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. One Market Plaza + Paul St.</td>
<td>14,700,000</td>
<td>27,400,000</td>
<td>49,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Paul St.</td>
<td>9,400,000</td>
<td>19,200,000</td>
<td>43,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Future Owned Site</td>
<td>12,300,000</td>
<td>21,900,000</td>
<td>28,100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Projection Assumptions:

- Our projections assume site improvements at both OMP and at a future owned site to meet operational needs for emergency power, energy capacity, and other technical needs. The department estimates these costs at $16.8 million and $11.0 million, respectively. Our projections assume that these costs would be financed over the ten year useful life of these improvements. The Paul Street site meets these baseline needs without improvements.

- Our projections assume current market rates at both OMP and the Paul Street sites, with these costs rising in future years by 3.0% annually. Given the facility improvements noted above, we have assumed that the City can reduce its occupied square footage at each site to approximately 2,000 square feet.

- Equipment replacement costs during this time horizon have been excluded from all projections, given that these investments will be required in any relocation scenario and therefore will not change the overall findings regarding comparative costs.

- Our projections do not assume additional colocation of other City data centers at any of these sites. However, strategic colocation at any site will reduce overall City costs given shared physical improvement needs. We recommend that COIT develop a strategic plan regarding these options, which could be pursued as part of a long-term strategy to relocate to a City-owned facility.
Attachment A. Data Center Options: Projected One-Time (Fixed) Costs and Ongoing Annual Present Value Costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-time tenant improvements</th>
<th>A. One Market Plaza (OMP)</th>
<th>B. OMP + Paul St.</th>
<th>C. Paul St.</th>
<th>D. Future Owned Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DT improvements</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>11,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>5,800,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,800,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 11,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (moving, equipment, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer equipment</td>
<td>$ 450,000</td>
<td>$ 300,000</td>
<td>$ 750,000</td>
<td>$ 750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
<td></td>
<td>173,750</td>
<td>162,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving</td>
<td></td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 450,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 533,750</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 972,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 810,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-time Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 17,250,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 533,750</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 972,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 11,810,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximate square feet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,800</td>
<td>$ 1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual lease $/sf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 49.87</td>
<td>$ 35.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4,200,000</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4,100,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3,800,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3,700,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>49,900,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>43,700,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,100,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment B: Data Center Options: Graphic representation of Annual Present Value Costs.

A. One Market Plaza (OMP)
B. OMP + Paul St.
C. Paul St.
D. Future Owned Site

Annual present value costs of alternatives (000s) vs. Fiscal year
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Maura Lane
    Controller

FROM: Clerk of the Board

DATE: 5/15/2009

REFERENCE: 20090331-001

Due Date: 5/2/2009
Reminder Sent: 5/1/2009

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Chiu was made at the Board meeting on 3/31/2009 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting the Controller to conduct a cost benefit analysis of options the City is considering regarding the Data Center project.
May 12, 2009

The Original Library Movement
James Chaffee

San Francisco, CA 94112

Member, Board of Supervisors
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Friends & Foundation -- Sunshine On New Disclosures

Dear Supervisor:

As you know, under the requirements of the Sunshine Ordinance, Admin Code Sec. 67.29-6, the library is required to report not just donations, but also money collected or spent to assist any city department or function. That section states: "No official or employee or agent of the city shall accept, allow to be collected, or direct or influence the spending of, any money, or any goods or services worth more than one hundred dollars in aggregate, for the purpose of carrying out or assisting any City function unless the amount and source of all such funds is disclosed as a public record and made available on the website for the department to which the funds are directed." The disclosure for the year ending June 2008, shows that the organization named the Friends & Foundation gave for all library assistance, $498,121, slightly less than half a million dollars. I have attached that disclosure as exhibit A. Please note that although the Sunshine requires that the website be kept up to date on a weekly basis, there are no disclosures at all in the ten and a half months since June 2008 (see attached exhibit B).

A comparison with charitable organization filings required by the State Attorney General reveals that the above figure represents only 7.8% of the organization's annual expenditures of $6,364,142, and it represents a mere 9.9% of the total annual revenue of $5,001,719. The relative priority of the above figure is revealed by the fact that the expenditure represents 56% of the pay of the top 7 employees of $889,738.00, and it represents 17.7% of the total payroll of $2.8 million. Most significantly it represents 2.8% of total assets of $17,306,998. Yet even this is only the tip of the proverbial iceberg. The form is executed under penalty of perjury by the organization's "Controller" who is
paid $140,768 to serve in that capacity. The first page of the form indicates that organization does not have an audited financial statement. It also indicates that it gives more than 50% of its expenditures for its program service. The form indicates that its primary “program service” (what most people would call the “charitable purpose”) is “Public Library Support.” How can this be? It claims that more than 50% of expenses is dedicated to the program service, but the Library itself reports only 7.8% is expended to assist it.

The Friends and Foundation advertise themselves as benefitting the San Francisco Public Library and few non-profits would brag that less than 8% of their expenditures are for charitable purposes. It turns out that they have other charitable purposes. For example the form discloses that the salary of their Director and their Accountant together represent $320,000 annually, and that 76% of figure is a “program service.” There are other examples just as egregious. The rest of the employees make salary and wages of $1.5 Million and 75% of that is a “program service.” The Friends & Foundation considers 92% of their office rent to be a program service. It seems so flagrant to be almost laughable, but although they do not have an audited financial statement, they purchase outside accounting services for $22,500 and 79% of that figure is a program service. I have attached certain key pages of the Attorney General’s form as exhibit C.

None of these expenditures are considered “for the purpose of carrying out or assisting” by the Library for purposes of its required disclosure. The result is not a disclosure at all and the effect is to obscure any real analysis of the expenditures of the Friends & Foundation. This is the proverbial octopus ink. If these people were collecting for sickle cell anemia they would probably be in jail. When a proposed Memorandum of Understanding came before the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors chaired by then Supervisor Leland Yee he asked that the Friends & Foundation make a disclosure of their finances. Rather than do that, the Friends & Foundation simply decided to proceed to continue to collect money for naming opportunities in the library without any agreement with the City. Presumably the finances, or at least some version of them, are public record. What they didn't want was a discussion of those finances in an open forum.

They are literally too big and too private to be accountable to a democratic body. But what is the condition of our democracy when that is true? In the case of the San Francisco Public Library there have been repeated disclosure of the disastrous impact that the public private partnership has had on planning decisions. This is what we see continually, that corporate interests are first class citizens no matter how much they screw up. At the same time, human beings are second class citizens no matter how often they are right.

Very truly yours,

James Coffee

cc: Interested citizens & media
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Gift</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friends of SFPL</td>
<td>FY July 2007-June 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$498,121</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William M. Lipkeman</td>
<td>August 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coblenz, Patch, Duffy &amp; Bass, LLP</td>
<td>August 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Park Festival</td>
<td>September 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$1000</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Rossi</td>
<td>October 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunny St Pierre</td>
<td>October 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin H. Lennette</td>
<td>November 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberta Neustadtler, Peter Dinkelspiel &amp; Akiko Takahashi</td>
<td>December 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Smith &amp; Barbara McMillin</td>
<td>December 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert &amp; Carol Kossler</td>
<td>December 2007</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Pabst</td>
<td>January 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gifts/Donor Disclosure Form:
#### Fiscal Year 2008-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Gift</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunny St. Pierre</td>
<td>July 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John's Parish Elementary School</td>
<td>July 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$4,750</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millersville University</td>
<td>October 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Hempstead</td>
<td>November 2008</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Info Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNUAL REGISTRATION RENEWAL FEE REPORT
TO ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA
Sections 12586 and 12587, California Government Code
11 Cal. Code Regs. sections 301-307, 311 and 312
Failure to submit this report annually no later than four months and fifteen days after the
end of the organization's accounting period may result in the loss of the exemption and
the assessment of a minimum fee of $100, plus interest, and/or fines or filing penalties
as defined in Government Code Section 12586.1. IRS extensions will be honored.

State Charity Registration Number 003408
FRIENDS AND FOUNDATION OF SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
Name of Organization
391 GROVE STREET
Address (Number and Street)
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
City or Town
State
ZIP Code

Check if:
☐ Change of address  
☐ Amended report  
☐ JAN 12 2009

ANNUAL REGISTRATION RENEWAL FEE SCHEDULE (11 Cal. Code Regs. sections 301-307, 311 and 312)
Make Check Payable to Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Annual Revenue</th>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Gross Annual Revenue</th>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Gross Annual Revenue</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Between $100,001 and $250,000</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>Between $1,000,001 and $10 million</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between $25,000 and $100,000</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>Between $250,001 and $1 million</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>Between $10,000,001 and $50 million</td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than $50 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART A – ACTIVITIES

For your most recent full accounting period (beginning 7/01/07 ending 6/30/08) list:
Gross annual revenue $5,001,719. Total assets $17,306,998.

PART B – STATEMENTS REGARDING ORGANIZATION DURING THE PERIOD OF THIS REPORT

Note: If you answer 'yes' to any of the questions below, you must attach a separate sheet providing an explanation and details for each 'yes' response. Please review RRF-1 instructions for information required.

1. During this reporting period, were there any contracts, loans, leases or other financial transactions between the organization and any officer, director or trustee thereof either directly or with an entity in which any such officer, director or trustee had any financial interest?  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

2. During this reporting period, was there any theft, embezzlement, diversion or misuse of the organization's charitable property or funds?  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

3. During this reporting period, did non-program expenditures exceed 50% of gross revenues?  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

4. During this reporting period, were any organization funds used to pay any penalty, fine or judgment? If you filed a Form 4720 with the Internal Revenue Service, attach a copy.  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

5. During this reporting period, were the services of a commercial fundraiser or fundraising counsel for charitable purposes used? If 'yes,' provide an attachment listing the name, address, and telephone number of the service provider.  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

6. During this reporting period, did the organization receive any governmental funding? If so, provide an attachment listing the name of the agency, mailing address, contact person, and telephone number.  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

7. During this reporting period, did the organization hold a raffle for charitable purposes? If 'yes,' provide an attachment indicating the number of raffles and the date(s) they occurred.  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

8. Does the organization conduct a vehicle donation program? If 'yes,' provide an attachment indicating whether the program is operated by the charity or whether the organization contracts with a commercial fundraiser for charitable purposes.  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

9. Did your organization have prepared an audited financial statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for this reporting period?  
   Yes  ☐  No  ☑

Organization's area code and telephone number 415-626-7500
Organization's e-mail address INFO@FRIENDSSFPL.ORG

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have examined this report, including accompanying documents, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct and complete.

Signature Authorized Officer  
Printed Name  
Title  
Date  

Ex.C
### Part II: Statement of Functional Expenses

All organizations must complete column (A). Columns (B), (C), and (D) are required for section 501(c)(3) and (4) organizations and section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trusts but optional for others. (See instructions.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) Total</th>
<th>(B) Program services</th>
<th>(C) Management and general</th>
<th>(D) Fundraising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22a Grants paid from donor advised funds (attach schedule)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(cash $)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(non-cash $)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If this amount includes foreign grants, check here . □</td>
<td>22a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22b Other grants and allocations (attach schedule)</td>
<td>SEE STM 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(cash $)</td>
<td>2,158,103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(non-cash $)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If this amount includes foreign grants, check here . □</td>
<td>22b</td>
<td>2,158,103</td>
<td>2,158,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Specific assistance to individuals (attach schedule)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Benefits paid to or for members (attach schedule)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25a Compensation of current officers, directors, key employees, etc. listed in Part V-A</td>
<td>25a</td>
<td>320,697</td>
<td>243,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25b Compensation of former officers, directors, key employees, etc. listed in Part V-B</td>
<td>25b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25c Compensation and other distributions, not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(c)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)</td>
<td>25c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Salaries and wages of employees not included on lines 25a, b, and c</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1,502,776</td>
<td>1,134,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Pension plan contributions not included on lines 25a, b, and c</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31,233</td>
<td>24,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Employee benefits not included on lines 25a - 27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>251,205</td>
<td>193,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Payroll taxes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>125,176</td>
<td>96,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Professional fundraising fees</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Accounting fees</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>17,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Legal fees</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Supplies</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>280,003</td>
<td>162,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Telephone</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20,027</td>
<td>17,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Postage and shipping</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>145,009</td>
<td>82,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Occupancy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>348,623</td>
<td>320,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Equipment rental and maintenance</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>98,919</td>
<td>76,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Printing and publications</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>67,700</td>
<td>33,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Travel</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27,409</td>
<td>23,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Conferences, conventions, and meetings</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Interest</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>77,308</td>
<td>58,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Depreciation, depletion, etc. (attach schedule)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Other expenses not covered above (itemize):</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a See Statement 6</td>
<td>43a</td>
<td>887,454</td>
<td>617,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>43b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>43c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>43d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>43e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>43f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>43g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Total functional expenses, Add lines 22b through 43g. (Organizations completing columns (B) - (D), carry these totals to lines 13 - 15)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6,364,142</td>
<td>5,260,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Joint Costs

Check □ if you are following SOP 98-2.

Are any joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation reported in (B) Program services? □ Yes □ No

If "Yes," enter (i) the aggregate amount of these joint costs $_________; (ii) the amount allocated to Program services $_________; (iii) the amount allocated to Management and general $_________; and (iv) the amount allocated to Fundraising $_________.

BAA

08/02/07

Form 990 (2007)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is the organization's primary exempt purpose?</th>
<th>PUBLIC LIBRARY SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All organizations must describe their exempt purpose achievements in a clear and concise manner. State the number of clients served, publications issued, etc. Discuss achievements that are not measurable. (Section 501(c)(3) and (4) organizations and 501(c)(7) nonexempt charitable trusts must also enter the amount of grants and allocations to others.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. SEE STATEMENT 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other program services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Total of Program Service Expenses (should equal line 44, column (B), Program services)</td>
<td>5,260,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAA
Form 990 (2007)
Dear Friends,

We always hear that non-profit organizations are judged by the proportion of their revenue that goes to the charitable purpose. At some point it gets to be like the old joke, the Friends & Foundation are their own favorite charity.

The Supervisors have never been willing to exercise their oversight responsibility, especially where the public library is concerned. I delivered the attached letter to the Board of Supervisors yesterday,

James,

May 12, 2009-05-13

Member, Board of Supervisors
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Friends & Foundation -- Sunshine On New Disclosures

Dear Supervisor:

As you know, under the requirements of the Sunshine Ordinance, Admin Code Sec. 67.29-6, the library is required to report not just donations, but also money collected or spent to assist any city department or function. That section states: “No official or employee or agent of the city shall accept, allow to be collected, or direct or influence the spending of, any money, or any goods or services worth more than one hundred dollars in aggregate, for the purpose of carrying out or assisting any City function unless the amount and source of all such funds is disclosed as a public record and made available on the website for the department to which the funds are directed.” The disclosure for the year ending June 2008, shows that the organization named the Friends & Foundation gave for all library assistance, $498,121, slightly less than half a million dollars. I have attached that disclosure as exhibit A. Please note that although the Sunshine requires that the website be kept up to date on a weekly basis, there are no disclosures at all in the ten and a half months since June 2008 (see attached exhibit B).

A comparison with charitable organization filings required by the State Attorney General reveals that the above figure represents only 7.8% of the organization's annual expenditures of $6,364,142, and it represents a mere 9.9% of the total annual revenue of $5,001,719. The
The relative priority of the above figure is revealed by the fact that the expenditure represents 56% of the pay of the top 7 employees of $889,738.00, and it represents 17.7% of the total payroll of $2.8 million. Most significantly it represents 2.8% of total assets of $17,306,998. Yet even this is only the tip of the proverbial iceberg. The form is executed under penalty of perjury by the organization’s “Controller” who is paid $140,768 to serve in that capacity. The first page of the form indicates that organization does not have an audited financial statement. It also indicates that it gives more than 50% of its expenditures for its program service. The form indicates that its primary “program service” (what most people would call the “charitable purpose”) is “Public Library Support.” How can this be? It claims that more than 50% of expenses is dedicated to the program service, but the Library itself reports only 7.8% is expended to assist it.

The Friends and Foundation advertise themselves as benefitting the San Francisco Public Library and few non-profits would brag that less than 8% of their expenditures are for charitable purposes. It turns out that they have other charitable purposes. For example the form discloses that the salary of their Director and their Accountant together represent $320,000 annually, and that 76% of figure is a “program service.” There are other examples just as egregious. The rest of the employees make salary and wages of $1.5 Million and 75% of that is a “program service.” The Friends & Foundation considers 92% of their office rent to be a program service. It seems so flagrant to be almost laughable, but although they do not have an audited financial statement, they purchase outside accounting services for $22,500 and 79% of that figure is a program service. I have attached certain key pages of the Attorney General’s form as exhibit C.

None of these expenditures are considered “for the purpose of carrying out or assisting” by the Library for purposes of its required disclosure. The result is not a disclosure at all and the effect is to obscure any real analysis of the expenditures of the Friends & Foundation. This is the proverbial octopus ink. If these people were collecting for sickle cell anemia they would probably be in jail. When a proposed Memorandum of Understanding came before the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors chaired by then Supervisor Leland Yee he asked that the Friends & Foundation make a disclosure of their finances. Rather than do that, the Friends & Foundation simply decided to proceed to continue to collect money for naming opportunities in the library without any agreement with the City. Presumably the finances, or at least some version of them, are public record. What they didn't want was a discussion of those finances in an open forum.

They are literally too big and too private to be accountable to a democratic body. But what is the condition of our democracy when that is true? In the case of the San Francisco Public Library there have been repeated disclosure of the disastrous impact that the public private partnership has had on planning decisions. This is what we see continually, that corporate interests are first class citizens no matter how much they screw up. At the same time, human beings are second class citizens no matter how often they are right.

Very truly yours,

James Chaffee
May 19, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

I have appointed Jean-Paul Samaha as a member of the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors effective today, May 19, 2009. The term of Jean-Paul Samaha will expire on February 26, 2010.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Jean-Paul Samaha’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 19, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Jean-Paul Samaha to serve as member of the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors for a 4-year term commencing May 19, 2009.

I am confident that Jean-Paul Samaha will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Chief Legislative Aide, Office of Supervisor Terence Hallinan, San Francisco, 1992-1994
- Developed the legislative agenda for the Supervisor; handled cooperative arrangements with other members of the Board of Supervisors as well as the Mayor’s Office; worked with the City’s Boards and Commissions; managed media relations and coordinated all press engagements; represented the Supervisor at community and neighborhood meetings

OTHER PROFESSIONAL / ACADEMIC EXPERIENCE
- Fellow, CORO Foundation Program in Public Affairs, San Francisco, CA 1990-1991
- Campaign Aide, Art Agnos for Mayor, San Francisco, 1991
- Media Coordinator, 6th International Conference on AIDS, San Francisco, 1990
- Intern, Office of U.S. Congressman Mervyn Dymally, Washington, DC 1988
- Editor-in-Chief / Writer, The Monterey Institute Courier, 1988-89
- Intern, Office of US Senator Edward Kennedy, on the Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee, Washington, DC 1987

COMMUNITY / VOLUNTEER ACTIVITIES (partial list)
- Member, Board of Directors, Arab Film Festival, 2007 – present
- Co-Chair, Transition Team for City Planning, Mayor Gavin Newsom, 2004
- Member, Board of Directors, LGBT Community Center, 2001-2005
- Co-Chair, Board of Directors, Community United Against Violence, 1995-98
- Member, Human Rights Commission LGBTAC, 1992-1994
- President, Arab-American Democratic Club, San Francisco, 1991-1993
- Member, Monterey County Democratic Central Committee, 1989

EDUCATION
- Fellow, Coro Foundation, San Francisco, 1990
- BA, Communications / Journalism, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 1986
- McLean, VA High School class of 1982

LANGUAGES
Fluency in English, Spanish, Arabic and French

PASSIONS & INTERESTS
My 9-year old twin sons and 7-year old daughter; teaching spinning classes as a certified instructor 3 x week at Golds Gym, cycling, working out, travel and volunteering in the community
Jean-Paul Samaha  
75 Webster Street, San Francisco, CA 94117  
Tel: 415-321-7005 wk / 415-279-0997 cell  
Email: jps@vanguardsf.com

QUALIFICATIONS

Experience in real estate sales, planning, development and historic preservation in San Francisco. Over 12 years of legislative, management, public policy, media and community leadership experience. Over 7 years experience as a small business owner and manager with fiscal responsibility for running a 4-office multi-national business. Accomplished problem solver, mediator and negotiator; intimately familiar with San Francisco’s legislative, political and community processes.

PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

Senior Real Estate Associate – Vanguard Properties, San Francisco  
January, 2005 – present  
- Year 2007 Top Producer, residential real estate sales  
- Represent buyers and sellers of mostly residential real estate in San Francisco

Senior Policy Analyst / Liaison to the Board of Supervisors – SF Planning Department – December 2001 – January 2005

- Managed the legislative agenda of the Board of Supervisors on City Planning, including preservation issues such as the Mills Act and Landmark designations
- Worked extensively with historic preservationists and members of the Landmarks Advisory Board
- Led the effort to simplify notification and permitting hurdles for small businesses
- Managed special projects involving Management Audits and Budgets
- Presented issues to the Planning Commission and to Committees of the Board, such as the Land Use Committee
- Represented the Planning Department at community meetings and workshops
- Analyzed and interpreted planning-related local and state legislative initiatives, including historic review and landmarks-specific legislation
- Led the effort to implement SFStat and other restructuring measures for greater efficiency

Vice President, Tour Connections of America – San Francisco / Washington, DC / France / Los Angeles, September 1994 – August, 2001

- Developed and implemented the family business from a mom and pop receptive tour operator for European travelers to the United States to a multi-national corporation with office in 4 cities in the United and France, with over 20 staff
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Alan Mok as a member of the Environment Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Alan Mok will fill a seat that was previously held by Darian Rodriguez Heyman, and the term of Alan Mok will expire on May 11, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Alan Mok’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Alan Mok to serve as member of the Environment Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Alan Mok will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Alan Mok

President, Northern California Chinese Media Association (NCCMA) and World Channel Inc.

President and founder of World Channel, Inc. He has grown the company from virtually an idea to a multi-million dollar enterprise. In 1999, Mr. Mok established the first nationwide 24-hour Chinese Television Channel. The move substantiates Mr. Mok’s proactive leadership of Chinese entertainment industry in North America, since then he has also produced 10 weekly TV programs outreaching to the Asian audience through his local and national broadcast network in North America.

Born in Macau and a citizen of USA, Mr. Mok graduated from North London University in 1980, major in Statistics and Computing Science. He has extensive experience in both computer and film industries, and his achievement in Chinese entertainment industry is well recognized. He won numerous Annual Outstanding Chinese Journalist Awards and Certificate of Honor for his outstanding service and leadership by California Governors, San Francisco City Council, San Francisco Mayors, and numerous non-profit organizations. He is the 4-time President of Northern California Chinese Media Association (NCCMA), Commissioner of the San Francisco Environment Department, Commissioner of San Francisco Immigrant Rights Commission, Marketing Chair of San Francisco Chinatown Development and the Committee on Asian Pacific American Heritage Month.
Date: May 19, 2009
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor has submitted appointments to the following Commissions:

- Alan Mok, Environment Commission
- Jamal Dajani, Human Rights Commission
- Julian Chang, Juvenile Probation Commission
- Sarah Wan, Juvenile Probation Commission
- Teresa Ono, Library Commission

Under the Board’s Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within thirty days of the appointment as provided in Section 3.100(17) of the Charter.

Please notify me in writing by 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, May 26, 2009, if you wish any appointment to be scheduled before the Rules Committee.

Attachments
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Alan Mok as a member of the Environment Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Alan Mok will fill a seat that was previously held by Darian Rodriguez Heyman, and the term of Alan Mok will expire on May 11, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Alan Mok’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641  
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org • (415) 554-6141
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Alan Mok to serve as member of the Environment Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Alan Mok will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Alan Mok

President, Northern California Chinese Media Association (NCCMA) and World Channel Inc.

President and founder of World Channel, Inc. He has grown the company from virtually an idea to a multi-million dollar enterprise. In 1999, Mr. Mok established the first nationwide 24-hour Chinese Television Channel. The move substantiates Mr. Mok's proactive leadership of Chinese entertainment industry in North America, since then he has also produced 10 weekly TV programs outreaching to the Asian audience through his local and national broadcast network in North America.

Born in Macau and a citizen of USA, Mr. Mok graduated from North London University in 1980, major in Statistics and Computing Science. He has extensive experience in both computer and film industries, and his achievement in Chinese entertainment industry is well recognized. He won numerous Annual Outstanding Chinese Journalist Awards and Certificate of Honor for his outstanding service and leadership by California Governors, San Francisco City Council, San Francisco Mayors, and numerous non-profit organizations. He is the 4-time President of Northern California Chinese Media Association (NCCMA), Commissioner of the San Francisco Environment Department, Commissioner of San Francisco Immigrant Rights Commission, Marketing Chair of San Francisco Chinatown Development and the Committee o Asian Pacific American Heritage Month.
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Jamal Dajani as a member of the Human Rights Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Jamal Dajani will fill a seat that was previously held by Khaledoun Baghdadi, and the term of Jamal Dajani will expire on September 2, 2011.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Jamal Dajani’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Jamal Dajani to serve as member of the Human Rights Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Jamal Dajani will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Biography

Jamal Dajani is an award winning producer and the Director of Middle Eastern Programming at Link TV. Born and raised in Jerusalem, Dajani completed his early studies at Collège des Frères and attended Columbia University in New York City, where he received a B.A. degree in Political Science. Since 2001 he has produced more than 1,500 installments of Mosaic: World News from the Middle East, winner of the prestigious Peabody Award. In 2006, Dajani launched The Mosaic Intelligence Report monthly newsletter and MIR weekly videos, adding more in-depth and below the radar Middle East news analysis to Mosaic. Dajani has worked on several television productions, including Occupied Minds, a documentary shedding light on the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, and Who Speaks for Islam? series, both of which aired on Link TV and PBS stations. Recently he was a consultant for PBS Frontline World War of Ideas and author of The Arab Media Revolution.

Dajani is a frequent guest on numerous national and international media broadcast networks and has published numerous articles on the Middle East in many print and electronic media outlets. He is the co-host of Arab Talk on KPOO radio, a contributor to the Listening Post on Al Jazeera English and serves on the board of New America Media, the largest collaboration of ethnic news organizations in the U.S.

Dajani served for two years (2003-2004) as President of the Arab Cultural & Community Center in San Francisco. In 2005, he was appointed by Mayor Gavin Newsom to the San Francisco Immigrant Rights Commission where he serves as Vice Chair.
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Julian Chang as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Julian Chang will fill a seat that was previously held by Susana Rojas, and the term of Julian Chang will expire on January 15, 2010.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Julian Chang's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Julian Chang to serve as member of the Juvenile Probation Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Julian Chang will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Dynamic community leader and proven contributor to team success. Direct multi-year experience gained by working in programs on educational, ethnic, diversity, and social justice issues. Seasoned team leader in community advocacy and corporate positioning. Expert in developing and executing strategies and initiatives for non-profit and private sector entities. Professor of best practices, leading management techniques, and corporate citizenship programs. Trusted by local, state, and federal community and political leaders.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Chang Consulting, San Francisco, CA -- January 2005 to present
Clients receive premier connections and positioning before elected officeholders, community leaders, and appointed officials.
Develop, execute and adapt client strategies to align business goals to government affairs environment.

- Provide community relations, government affairs, policy, strategic and tactical consulting services to Fortune 500, mid-size corporate, and start-up clients.
- Facilitate access to key officials, community leaders, and potential allies.
- Value added services include:
  o Design custom models of strategic philanthropic giving;
  o Implement tactics and techniques to establish integrated cross-functional teams;
  o Create campaign advocacy materials for inside and outside target audiences;
  o Perform competitive market analysis then devise strategic and tactical solutions; and
  o Personal representation before key constituencies.

AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, CA -- June 2001 to December 2004
Legislative Affairs Vice President in charge of seven Pacific states.
Delivered above-target business results using legislative processes, community partnerships and administrative proceedings.

- Developed, established and implemented legislative, regulatory, and policy agenda in cooperation with business units.
  o Sponsored and led efforts to successfully pass bills and regulations backed by entire telecommunications industry.
  o Protected revenue, market share, and jobs.
- Managed and coordinated in-house and external teams of lobbyists, lawyers, consultants, and public affairs professionals in multiple states.
- Partnered with internal and external communications team to publicize AT&T's initiatives in front of political, community and business partners.
- Ensured on-going compliance with all relevant laws and regulations.
- Guided philanthropic and political giving across region.

BroadBand Office, San Mateo, CA -- April 2000 to May 2001
Expanded company presence in 17 states.

- Expanded start-up brand and business presence in multiple states to establish from scratch a fully functioning network of legislative, community, and regulatory constituencies.
Helped sales team generate revenue increase of 10x in one year.

- Created and wrote master customer-facing sales contracts, terms and conditions, order forms and service level agreements.
  - Implemented rapid response to business unit teams in sales, portals, applications and product management.
  - Single point of contact for sales teams on policy and escalation matters.
- Managed and coordinated outside counsel nationwide on intellectual property, trademark, copyright and digital use matters.

AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, CA – January 1997 to April 2000

- As senior counsel helped AT&T purchase cable properties by designing legislative, regulatory and community strategies based on analysis of each local situation. Personally worked in the field before municipal governments to transfer franchises to AT&T.
- Expanded, enhanced and protected and company image as a leading corporate citizen.
  - Increased and refined company’s image in national Asian American and LGBT communities in particular.
  - Across all communities, built support among key stakeholders by guiding corporate community giving.
- Senior cost attorney in charge of cross-disciplinary teams in multiple jurisdictions.

OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Sacramento State University, Sacramento, CA – 12/07 to 3/08
- Associate Professor in College of Continuing Education’s Master of Arts program for Workforce Advocacy

Lewis, D’Amato, Brisbois & Bisgaard, Los Angeles, CA - 8/91 to 8/96.
- Specialized in meeting the needs of corporate Directors and Officers in civil litigation and counseling.
- Experienced in recruiting and representing international clients.

Caudill & Thatcher, Costa Mesa, CA – 5/89 to 8/91.
- Represented health care, design, and real estate professionals in all phases of civil litigation.

Shigemura & Harakal, Honolulu, HI – 7/87 to 12/89.
- Handled US-bound investment and represented transactional and litigation (civil and criminal defense) clients.

PROFESSIONAL LICENSES

EDUCATION

Juris Doctor

University of San Diego School of Law, San Diego, CA, May 1986
- Dean Joseph S. Brock Scholarship recipient
- Tournaments Director, Appellate Moot Court Board
- Asian Pacific American Law Students Association officer

Bachelor of Science

Pacific Union College, Angwin, CA, June 1983 (Biochemistry / History)

COMMUNITY SERVICE EXPERIENCE
I have a proven commitment to social justice, diversity, equality and inclusion. In addition to my work on national and local LGBT issues, I have extensive experience working with youth in San Francisco from the prevention, early intervention, and development perspective. I volunteered for seven years with San Francisco School Volunteers as science tutor to fifth grade students at Junipero Serra Elementary School (Bernal Heights) seeing first hand the importance of active adult engagement and mentorship in low-income, ESL middle school children. As former board member of CES – the only organization dedicated solely to youth development in San Francisco’s Chinatown and North Beach neighborhoods – I helped empower low-income, low-English proficiency, immigrant youth to transform their lives, schools and communities through youth development, positive peer-to-peer and positive adult-youth programs.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEMBERSHIPS

Current board memberships
- SFO Roundtable
- Servicemembers Legal Defense Network - Chair, Legislative Committee
- Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club
- 4A Inc. (Asian-Pacific American Association for Advancement)

Former board memberships
- Community Educational Services
- University of California at Irvine Foundation
- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- Gay and Lesbian Victory Fund
- San Francisco LGBT Community Center
- Equality California

Volunteer activities
- San Francisco School Volunteers

LANGUAGE FLUENCY

Spoken Chinese
Mandarin, Cantonese
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Sarah Wan as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Sarah Wan will fill a seat that was previously held by Lidia Stiglich, and the term of Sarah Wan will expire on January 15, 2012.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Sarah Wan's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Sarah Wan to serve as member of the Juvenile Probation Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Sarah Wan will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  •  (415) 554-6141
Sarah Ching-Ting Wan
846 – 40th Avenue; San Francisco, CA 94121
Phone: (415) 298-2118  Email: sarahw@cycsf.org

Education
San Francisco State University
San Francisco, Calif.
Master of Social Work, May 2002

University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, Calif.
Bachelor of Arts, Psychology, May 1996

Kyushu University
Fukuoka, Japan
Japan in Today’s World Program, 1995-1996
Monbusho Scholar (Japan Ministry of Education), Full Scholar

Experience
CYC (formerly Chinatown Youth Center)
San Francisco, Calif.
Executive Director 3/2003-Present
• Developing overall agency plans and objectives in collaboration with a staff of 20, and a 10-member Board of Directors
• Establishing appropriate organizational structure and policies to support program and agency staff
• Implementing and developing programs aligned with the agency’s mission which fall under five components: youth leadership development, prevention, intervention, employment, and education
• Providing leadership and direction to and participate in fund development, public relations, and marking activities
• Actively participating in various multi-agency collaboratives, such as the Mayor’s Youth Employment and Education Program advisory board and the Asian Youth Advocacy Network

Director of Programs and Operations 7/99-4/2003
• Oversaw daily operation of agency services and coordination of agency service components
• Coordinated with service providers, funding sources, and the community
• Participated in planning and implementing agency-wide programs and special events
• Directed the recruitment, supervision and development of direct service staff
• Managed program budget and funding sources

Project Coordinator 10/98-3/2003
• Recruited parents for parent education and support groups
• Planned and facilitated parent and youth groups; coordinated field trips and community events
• Provided case management and individual and family counseling to youth and parents.
• Provided crisis intervention services in school and home settings
• Assisted with development and implementation of agency-wide projects
• Maintained cooperative relationships with school personnel, probation officers, and other service providers/agencies
• Represented the agency and promoted the well-being of youth people and parent involvement in the mass media
Senior Youth and Family Counselor
Provided individual and family counseling to parents of teenagers
Recruited and facilitated neighborhood-based parent education and support groups
Recorded and evaluated all case and group progress
Liaison with various neighborhood-based community centers
Staffed the API Parental Stress Hotline and provided support, resources, and referral services via the phone

Fukuoka International Center
Fukuoka, Japan
Private Language Tutor
Taught both English and Cantonese to Japanese students
Prepared and planned weekly teaching materials
Created pleasant and interesting atmosphere in the classroom
Evaluated students’ progress

Department of Sociology
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, Calif.
Research Assistant
Worked as a team to assist professor in progress of research
Conducted literature reviews and collected data by doing presentations in classes and school clubs
Arranged survey sections and coded all data
Entered data into SPSS for analysis

Sinocast Radio Station
San Francisco, Calif.
Radio Program Host
Organized and produced news, drama, and music programs
Inscribed scripts for programs and commercials
Hosted in public live programs in community street fairs.

Project
Independent Study: A Cross Cultural Study on Stress
Conducted Japanese and English literature reviews
Collected Samples in Japan and the United States
Conducted surveys and analyzed data
Compiled a 28-page report and presented the research before the faculty of Kyushu University

Other Activities
Dragon Foundation – U.S. Delegate to the Dragon Foundation in Hong Kong and Beijing (Social & Cultural Development)
Youth Service Committee Member, American Red Cross, San Francisco
San Francisco Gang Free Communities Initiative Member,
Asian and Pacific Islander Youth Education Coalition Member,
Adolescent Health Working Group Steering Committee Member

Special Skills
Fluent in English, Cantonese, Mandarin, and moderate in Japanese
Excellent communication and organizational skills
C programming, Visual Basic, SPSS, Microsoft Internet Explorer, Word, Excel, and Publisher

Awards
CYC Staff Recognition Award at CYC’s 30th Anniversary Event
“Everyday Hero Award” from Compass Point
Community Service Award from Blue Cross of California
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Teresa Ono as a member of the Library Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Teresa Ono will fill a seat that was previously held by Al Harris, and the term of Teresa Ono will expire on January 15, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Teresa Ono’s qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Teresa Ono to serve as member of the Library Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Teresa Ono will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS
• Fifteen plus years experience in administrative and supervisory positions with progressively increased levels of responsibility.
• Experienced manager with broad-based functional skills including budget management, development, event management, process quality management and training.
• Process oriented manager with outstanding organizational skills who is capable of multi-tasking in a fast-paced, changing environment.
• Proven leader who works well with people by focusing on building alignment and collaborating with others.
• Various work experiences includes private and public sectors.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE
San Francisco State University
Advancement Services Manager
San Francisco, CA 2008-present
• Management of the day-to-day operations of Advancement Services and implementation of process quality management improvements and initiatives in the areas of gift processing, data integrity and donor/alumni database management.

Japanese Cultural and Community Center of Northern California
Director of Development
San Francisco, CA 2006-2007
• Raised over $370,000 in donations from corporations, foundations and individuals.
• Responsible for donor database which includes data integrity, gift processing, donor relations and production of reports.
• Supervisor to Development Associate Intern.

100th Anniversary of Japantown
Executive Director
San Francisco, CA 2005
• Raised over $300,000.00 in donations from corporations and individuals.
• Coordinated 24 events including Gala Dinner, Community Picnic and monthly workshops.

AT&T
Director / Law and Government Affairs
Regional Assistant
Quality Consultant and State Government Affairs Manager
Executive Assistant
• Responsible for service related regulatory issues including advice and counsel to internal clients on service implementation and service support cases while managing a team of nine.
• Provided administrative support (human resources, budget, space planning) for team of 120 employees.
• Developed and delivered quality training to region of 250 employees
• Provided executive support for Business Sales Vice President that included coordination of customer events, conference planning, speech writing and special projects.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
• Vice President and Treasurer of Golden Gate chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League (JACL) – responsible for the financial operations of the chapter.
• Executive Committee Member of Cherry Blossom Festival as well as Past Chairperson of the Senior Appreciation Brunch and current member of planning committee.
• Past President of the Buddhist Church of San Francisco – responsible for the financial and administrative operations of the church. Currently an advisor to the Executive Committee.
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Jamal Dajani as a member of the Human Rights Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Jamal Dajani will fill a seat that was previously held by Khaldoun Baghdadi, and the term of Jamal Dajani will expire on September 2, 2011.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Jamal Dajani’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Jamal Dajani to serve as member of the Human Rights Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Jamal Dajani will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Biography

Jamal Dajani is an award winning producer and the Director of Middle Eastern Programming at Link TV. Born and raised in Jerusalem, Dajani completed his early studies at Collège des Frères and attended Columbia University in New York City, where he received a B.A. degree in Political Science. Since 2001 he has produced more than 1,500 installments of Mosaic: World News from the Middle East, winner of the prestigious Peabody Award. In 2006, Dajani launched The Mosaic Intelligence Report monthly newsletter and MIR weekly videos, adding more in-depth and below the radar Middle East news analysis to Mosaic. Dajani has worked on several television productions, including Occupied Minds, a documentary shedding light on the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, and Who Speaks for Islam? series, both of which aired on Link TV and PBS stations. Recently he was a consultant for PBS Frontline World War of Ideas and author of The Arab Media Revolution.

Dajani is a frequent guest on numerous national and international media broadcast networks and has published numerous articles on the Middle East in many print and electronic media outlets. He is the co-host of Arab Talk on KPOO radio, a contributor to the Listening Post on Al Jazeera English and serves on the board of New America Media, the largest collaboration of ethnic news organizations in the U.S.

Dajani served for two years (2003-2004) as President of the Arab Cultural & Community Center in San Francisco. In 2005, he was appointed by Mayor Gavin Newsom to the San Francisco Immigrant Rights Commission where he serves as Vice Chair.
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors   
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Sarah Wan as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Sarah Wan will fill a seat that was previously held by Lidia Stiglich, and the term of Sarah Wan will expire on January 15, 2012.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Sarah Wan’s qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor
May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Sarah Wan to serve as member of the Juvenile Probation Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Sarah Wan will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Sarah Ching-Ting Wan
846 – 40th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94121
Phone: (415) 298-2118 Email: sarahw@cycsf.org

**Education**

San Francisco State University
San Francisco, Calif.
Master of Social Work, May 2002

University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, Calif.
Bachelor of Arts, Psychology, May 1996

Kyushu University
Fukuoka, Japan
Japan in Today’s World Program, 1995-1996
Monbusho Scholar (Japan Ministry of Education), Full Scholars

**Experience**

CYC (formerly Chinatown Youth Center)
San Francisco, Calif.

*Executive Director* 5/2003-Present
- Developing overall agency plans and objectives in collaboration with a staff of 20, and a 10-member Board of Directors
- Establishing appropriate organizational structure and policies to support program and agency staff
- Implementing and developing programs aligned with the agency’s mission which fall under five components: youth leadership development, prevention, intervention, employment, and education
- Providing leadership and direction to and participate in fund development, public relations, and marking activities
- Actively participating in various multi-agency collaboratives, such as the Mayor’s Youth Employment and Education Program advisory board and the Asian Youth Advocacy Network

*Director of Programs and Operations* 7/99-4/2003
- Oversaw daily operation of agency services and coordination of agency service components
- Coordinated with service providers, funding sources, and the community
- Participated in planning and implementing agency-wide programs and special events
- Directed the recruitment, supervision and development of direct service staff
- Managed program budget and funding sources

*Project Coordinator* 10/98-3/2003
- Recruited parents for parent education and support groups
- Planned and facilitated parent and youth groups; coordinated field trips and community events
- Provided case management and individual and family counseling to youth and parents.
- Provided crisis intervention services in school and home settings
- Assisted with development and implementation of agency-wide projects
- Maintained cooperative relationships with school personnel, probation officers, and other service providers/agencies
- Represented the agency and promoted the well-being of youth people and parent involvement in the mass media
Senior Youth and Family Counselor  5/97-9/98
- Provided individual and family counseling to parents of teenagers
- Recruited and facilitated neighborhood-based parent education and support groups
- Recorded and evaluated all case and group progress
- Liaison with various neighborhood-based community centers
- Staffed the API Parental Stress Hotline and provided support, resources, and referral services via the phone

Fukuoka International Center
Fukuoka, Japan  10/95-5/96
Private Language Tutor
- Taught both English and Cantonese to Japanese students
- Prepared and planned weekly teaching materials
- Created pleasant and interesting atmosphere in the classroom
- Evaluated students' progress

Department of Sociology
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, Calif.
Research Assistant  1/95-10/95
- Worked as a team to assist professor in progress of research
- Conducted literature reviews and collected data by doing presentations in classes and school clubs
- Arranged survey sections and coded all data
- Entered data into SPSS for analysis

Sinocast Radio Station
San Francisco, Calif.
Radio Program Host  9/94-9/95
- Organized and produced news, drama, and music programs
- Inscribed scripts for programs and commercials
- Hosted in public live programs in community street fairs.

Project
Independent Study: A Cross Cultural Study on Stress  Spring 1996
- Conducted Japanese and English literature reviews
- Collected Samples in Japan and the United States
- Conducted surveys and analyzed data
- Compiled a 28-page report and presented the research before the faculty of Kyushu University

Other Activities
Dragon Foundation – U.S. Delegate to the Dragon Foundation in Hong Kong and Beijing (Social & Cultural Development)  Spring 2000
Youth Service Committee Member, American Red Cross, San Francisco  1/1999-Present
San Francisco Gang Free Communities Initiative Member  1/2003-Present
Asian and Pacific Islander Youth Education Coalition Member  9/2004-Present
Adolescent Health Working Group Steering Committee Member  9/2004-Present

Special Skills
Fluent in English, Cantonese, Mandarin, and moderate in Japanese
Excellent communication and organizational skills
C programming, Visual Basic, SPSS, Microsoft Internet Explorer, Word, Excel, and Publisher

Awards
CYC Staff Recognition Award at CYC's 30th Anniversary Event  Fall 2000
"Everyday Hero Award" from Compass Point  2001
Community Service Award from Blue Cross of California  2003
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Julian Chang as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Julian Chang will fill a seat that was previously held by Susana Rojas, and the term of Julian Chang will expire on January 15, 2010.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Julian Chang’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641  
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org ♦ (415) 554-6141
Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco

Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Julian Chang to serve as member of the Juvenile Probation Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Julian Chang will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Dynamic community leader and proven contributor to team success. Direct multi-year experience gained by working in programs on educational, ethnic, diversity, and social justice issues. Seasoned team leader in community advocacy and corporate positioning. Expert in developing and executing strategies and initiatives for non-profit and private sector entities. Professor of best practices, leading management techniques, and corporate citizenship programs. Trusted by local, state, and federal community and political leaders.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Chang Consulting, San Francisco, CA -- January 2005 to present
- Provide community relations, government affairs, policy, strategic and tactical consulting services to Fortune 500, mid-size corporate, and start-up clients.
- Facilitate access to key officials, community leaders, and potential allies.
- Value added services include:
  - Design custom models of strategic philanthropic giving;
  - Implement tactics and techniques to establish integrated cross-functional teams;
  - Create campaign advocacy materials for inside and outside target audiences;
  - Perform competitive market analysis then devise strategic and tactical solutions; and
  - Personal representation before key constituencies.

AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, CA – June 2001 to December 2004
- Developed, established and implemented legislative, regulatory, and policy agenda in cooperation with business units.
  - Sponsored and led efforts to successfully pass bills and regulations backed by entire telecommunications industry.
  - Protected revenue, market share, and jobs.
- Managed and coordinated in-house and external teams of lobbyists, lawyers, consultants, and public affairs professionals in multiple states.
- Partnered with internal and external communications team to publicize AT&T’s initiatives in front of political, community and business partners.
- Ensured on-going compliance with all relevant laws and regulations.
- Guided philanthropic and political giving across region.

BroadBand Office, San Mateo, CA -- April 2000 to May 2001
- Expanded start-up brand and business presence in multiple states to establish from scratch a fully functioning network of legislative, community, and regulatory constituencies.
Created and wrote master customer-facing sales contracts, terms and conditions, order forms and service level agreements.
  - Implemented rapid response to business unit teams in sales, portals, applications and product management.
  - Single point of contact for sales teams on policy and escalation matters.

Managed and coordinated outside counsel nationwide on intellectual property, trademark, copyright and digital use matters.

**AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, CA – January 1997 to April 2000**

- As senior counsel helped AT&T purchase cable properties by designing legislative, regulatory and community strategies based on analysis of each local situation. Personally worked in the field before municipal governments to transfer franchises to AT&T.
- Expanded, enhanced and protected and company image as a leading corporate citizen.
  - Increased and refined company’s image in national Asian American and LGBT communities in particular.
  - Across all communities, built support among key stakeholders by guiding corporate community giving.
- Senior cost attorney in charge of cross-disciplinary teams in multiple jurisdictions.

**OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

Sacramento State University, Sacramento, CA – 12/07 to 3/08
- Associate Professor in College of Continuing Education’s Master of Arts program for Workforce Advocacy

Lewis, D’Amato, Brisbois & Bisgaard, Los Angeles, CA - 8/91 to 8/96.
- Specialized in meeting the needs of corporate Directors and Officers in civil litigation and counseling.
- Experienced in recruiting and representing international clients.

Caudill & Thatcher, Costa Mesa, CA – 5/89 to 8/91.
- Represented health care, design, and real estate professionals in all phases of civil litigation.

Shigemura & Harakal, Honolulu, HI – 7/87 to 12/89.
- Handled US-bound investment and represented transactional and litigation (civil and criminal defense) clients.

**PROFESSIONAL LICENSES**

EDUCATION

Juris Doctor

University of San Diego School of Law, San Diego, CA, May 1986
- Dean Joseph S. Brock Scholarship recipient
- Tournaments Director, Appellate Moot Court Board
- Asian Pacific American Law Students Association officer

Bachelor of Science

Pacific Union College, Angwin, CA, June 1983 (Biochemistry / History)

COMMUNITY SERVICE EXPERIENCE

I have a proven commitment to social justice, diversity, equality and inclusion. In addition to my work on national and local LGBT issues, I have extensive experience working with youth in San Francisco from the prevention, early intervention, and development perspective. I volunteered for seven years with San Francisco School Volunteers as science tutor to fifth grade students at Junipero Serra Elementary School (Bernal Heights) seeing first hand the importance of active adult engagement and mentorship in low-income, ESL middle school children. As former board member of CES - the only organization dedicated solely to youth development in San Francisco’s Chinatown and North Beach neighborhoods – I helped empower low-income, low-English proficiency, immigrant youth to transform their lives, schools and communities through youth development, positive peer-to-peer and positive adult-youth programs.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEMBERSHIPS

Current board memberships
- SFO Roundtable
- Servicemembers Legal Defense Network - Chair, Legislative Committee
- Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club
- 4A Inc. (Asian-Pacific American Association for Advancement)

Former board memberships
- Community Educational Services
- University of California at Irvine Foundation
- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- Gay and Lesbian Victory Fund
- San Francisco LGBT Community Center
- Equality California

Volunteer activities
- San Francisco School Volunteers

LANGUAGE FLUENCY

Spoken Chinese
- Mandarin, Cantonese
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Teresa Ono as a member of the Library Commission effective today, May 18, 2009. Teresa Ono will fill a seat that was previously held by Al Harris, and the term of Teresa Ono will expire on January 15, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Teresa Ono’s qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Teresa Ono to serve as member of the Library Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 18, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Teresa Ono will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS

- Fifteen plus years experience in administrative and supervisory positions with progressively increased levels of responsibility.
- Experienced manager with broad-based functional skills including budget management, development, event management, process quality management and training.
- Process oriented manager with outstanding organizational skills who is capable of multi-tasking in a fast-paced, changing environment.
- Proven leader who works well with people by focusing on building alignment and collaborating with others.
- Various work experiences includes private and public sectors.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

San Francisco State University  
**Advancement Services Manager**  
San Francisco, CA  
2008-present

- Management of the day-to-day operations of Advancement Services and implementation of process quality management improvements and initiatives in the areas of gift processing, data integrity and donor/alumni database management.

Japanese Cultural and Community Center of Northern California  
**Director of Development**  
San Francisco, CA  
2006-2007

- Raised over $370,000 in donations from corporations, foundations and individuals.
- Responsible for donor database which includes data integrity, gift processing, donor relations and production of reports.
- Supervisor to Development Associate Intern.

100th Anniversary of Japantown  
**Executive Director**  
San Francisco, CA  
2005

- Raised over $300,000.00 in donations from corporations and individuals.
- Coordinated 24 events including Gala Dinner, Community Picnic and monthly workshops.

AT&T  
**Director / Law and Government Affairs**  
San Francisco, CA  
1998-2004

- Responsible for service related regulatory issues including advice and counsel to internal clients on service implementation and service support cases while managing a team of nine.
- Provided administrative support (human resources, budget, space planning) for team of 120 employees.
- Developed and delivered quality training to region of 250 employees.
- Provided executive support for Business Sales Vice President that included coordination of customer events, conference planning, speech writing and special projects.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

- Vice President and Treasurer of Golden Gate chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League (JACL) – responsible for the financial operations of the chapter.
- Executive Committee Member of Cherry Blossom Festival as well as Past Chairperson of the Senior Appreciation Brunch and current member of planning committee.
- Past President of the Buddhist Church of San Francisco – responsible for the financial and administrative operations of the church. Currently an advisor to the Executive Committee.
Thank you for taking the first step to transform our publicly owned land at Sharp Park from an exclusive, underused, and budget-breaking golf course into a community-centered model for endangered species recovery, natural flood control, outdoor recreation, and sustainable land use.

I strongly support Supervisor Mirkarimi's proposed ordinance to transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area or to jointly manage the park with the Park Service. The ordinance would also require the city's Recreation and Parks Department to develop a plan, schedule, and budget for restoring Sharp Park habitat for endangered species on the site, a welcome change from the mismanagement of recent years. I urge the city and county of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species. Please follow through by passing this important legislation.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

The golf course is a significant money-loser for San Francisco that makes no sense to maintain at a time when the city has cut the Recreation and Parks Department staff and the long-term golf prospects at the site are slim. Combine that with the problems with endangered species, wetland destruction, flooding, and sea-level rise, and it is clear that restoration of Sharp Park to a natural state is the best option for the area.

Ecological restoration is the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

San Francisco's 2004 recreational study shows that the number-one recreational demand in San Francisco is more hiking and biking trails -- and golf came in 16th. San Francisco already has six public golf courses, and about 50 other golf courses are within a 45-minute drive of Sharp Park. Restoring Sharp Park will help meet recreational demand through hiking and biking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities, a world-class nature center, a gateway to the San Mateo County Golden Gate National Recreation Area lands, and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Please transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service or jointly manage the property with the Service to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.
David Burtis
103 Jasmine Lane
Calistoga, CA 94515
Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report

Date: May 20, 2009
Case No.: 2008.0021E
Project Title: Parkmerced Project - 3711 Nineteenth Avenue
Zoning: RM-4, RM-1, RH-1(D)
Block/Lot: Blocks 7303, 7303A, 7308-7311, 7314, 7316, 7319-7326, 7330-7345, 7333 A-B, 7333E, & 7353-7373
Lot Size: Approximately 116 Acres
Project Sponsor: Parkmerced Investors LLC
Lead Agency: San Francisco Planning Department
Staff Contact: Rick Cooper (415) 575-9027

INTRODUCTION

The San Francisco Planning Department will prepare an environmental impact report (EIR) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to evaluate the physical environmental effects of the proposed Parkmerced Project (Proposed Project).

This notice provides a summary of the Proposed Project, identifies environmental topics and issues anticipated to be analyzed in the EIR, and provides the time, date, and location of the public scoping meetings. The EIR will be a project-level EIR.

An Initial Study will not be prepared as part of the environmental review process for the Proposed Project; instead, all topics will be addressed in the EIR. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(d) the San Francisco Planning Department has determined that an Initial Study is not necessary. In the absence of an Initial Study, the EIR will still focus on the significant impacts of the Proposed Project and explain more briefly why other issues would not be significant.
May 17, 2009

Mayor Gavin Newsom and the Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Mayor Newsom and Supervisors:

The Miraloma Park Improvement Club requests prompt implementation of the voters' approval in 2008 of the establishment of the Historic Preservation Commission. We ask that the Mayor quickly complete his appointment of the Commission's members so that it can conduct its business in a timely fashion. We further request approval, with no further delay, by your appointees to the Planning Commission of the ordinance introduced by Supervisor Daly and former Supervisor Peskin that would rescind Articles 10 and 11 of the Planning Code in their entirety and adopt new Articles 10 and 11 to implement the provisions of the new San Francisco Charter, Section 4.135.

Thank you for your attention to this matter, which is important for the preservation of historic structures in our City, and thus of deep concern to San Francisco's residents.

Sincerely,

Dan Libethson, Corresponding Secretary
May 18, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 4.135, I nominate James Buckley as a member of the Historical Preservation Commission with the appointment to be effective upon approval by the Board of Supervisors. James Buckley will fill seat number 4, and the term of James Buckley will expire 2-years after the Board approves this nomination.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that James Buckley's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

May 18, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby nominate James Buckley to serve as member of the Historical Preservation Commission for a 2-year term commencing upon approval by the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with the Charter Section 4.135.

I am confident that James Buckley will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
JAMES M. BUCKLEY
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS – SEAT 4: HISTORIAN
SAN FRANCISCO HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
MARCH 12, 2009

I studied architectural history as an undergraduate at Yale University, where my senior thesis on the development of the opera house as a building type, written under the direction of noted architectural historian Vincent Scully, received the award for the best senior thesis in art history. My academic interests led me to a career in city planning, and, after working as a community planner for the City of New Haven, CT, I became director of the Neighborhood Preservation program in Boston. This was a collaboration between the Boston Landmarks Commission, the Boston Preservation Alliance (similar to Heritage in San Francisco), and the Northeast Office of the National Trust for Historic Preservation.

In this role, I worked with community-based organizations to identify underutilized historic structures in Boston's low-income neighborhoods and brought financial and development resources to help preserve these buildings for community purposes. The program funded graduate student interns to undertake design studies, financial analyses, National Register nominations, and other activities that could help bring these historic resources back to useful life. As an example, I worked with the director of a health clinic in Roxbury to list the program's nineteenth-century campus on the National Register, which helped bring media attention and fundraising dollars to help restore and preserve the deteriorating buildings.

I moved to the Bay Area to enter graduate school in city planning at UC Berkeley. While my concentration was in housing and community development, I was able to study urban history with several well-known historians, including Dell Upton, Paul Groth, Spiro Kostof, Steven Tohrner, and Dick Walker. I also carried out research projects on the history of residential hotels in San Francisco for Paul Groth and Mary Comerio and was a teaching assistant in the history of architecture course.

After receiving my degree in 1986, I was awarded an internship with ICOMOS (International Council on Monuments and Sites) to work with a preservation organization in England. I was assigned to SAVE Britain's Heritage, an activist group that identified and publicized threatened monuments throughout Britain. I carried out research for a publication they published on adaptive reuse of industrial sites. This research took me from London to Edinburgh, interviewing planners, property owners, museum directors, and reservation advocates who were concerned with finding ways to preserve derelict structures from Britain's industrial past. I also met regularly with ICOMOS staff and other interns to discuss our projects and general issues of preservation in Great Britain and around the world. During this time, I was also fortunate to join a week-long tour of nineteenth-century heritage sites sponsored by the Victorian Society.

In the Fall of 1986, I took a job in project management for BRIDGE Housing Corporation, where I worked on a variety of affordable housing and commercial development projects throughout the Bay Area. My role was to identify and acquire development sites, work with the community to obtain entitlements, assemble project financing, and oversee design and construction of each project. One project, Strobridge Village at the Castro Valley BART station, incorporated a historic house that the BART District had moved as part of the station development. Working with Alameda County and the design team, we were able to restore this house as the community room for 96 new units of low-income housing.
I left BRIDGE in 1998 to start a new non-profit housing development organization, Citizens Housing Corporation, based in San Francisco. At Citizens, we have developed a number of innovative projects, including the first LEED-certified residential building in Northern California (Folsom/Dore Apartments) and several developments that incorporate supportive housing units for formerly homeless people into a larger residential environment. We have also sought out opportunities to transform vacant historic structures into new use as affordable housing. These projects include reuse of military barracks at the Alameda Naval Air Station into transitional units for homeless individuals; assisting in the restoration of the decrepit former synagogue at Bush and Laguna Streets into assisted living for seniors (Kokoro); using historic tax credits to transform the historic 6-acre Altenheim campus in the Fruitvale district of Oakland into low-income apartments for seniors; reuse of the long-vacant 1913 Christian Science Church on Haight St. near Central into 40 apartments for very-low-income seniors; and restoration of the abandoned Fireside Inn near Mill Valley as part of construction of new affordable housing next door.

In addition to my professional work in housing development and historic preservation, I returned to UC Berkeley in 1991 to work on a Ph. D. in the Architecture Department. My concentration was in architectural history, and my dissertation focused on the history of urban development associated with the redwood lumber industry in Northern California. With case studies of the landscape of lumber in backwoods mill towns, in the city of Eureka, and in San Francisco’s South of Market district, I traced the industrial heritage of one of the Bay Area’s most historically-important industries. In each location, I examined the factories, the homes, and the public spaces occupied by workers, owners, and their families as the industry grew from the informal pioneer outfits of the 1850s to the large, well-capitalized corporations of the twentieth century. Little scholarly work has been carried out on the redwood industry, and I was able to make use of terrific resources in the Bancroft Library, the California Historical Society, and the San Francisco Public Library to bring back to life the story of loggers on the North Coast and planing mill workers in San Francisco. My thesis was recognized by the Society of American City and Regional Planning History (SACRPH) with the prize for best dissertation in planning history.

Since receiving my Ph.D., I have had numerous opportunities to teach architectural and cultural history at a university level. I have taught California cultural history classes in the Humanities Department at San Francisco State, and I have taught the history of city planning and other courses in the graduate planning program at UC Berkeley. In addition, I have been a board member of the Vernacular Architecture Forum and am currently on the board of the SACRPH, and I am the local coordinator for the SACRPH conference to be held in Oakland in October 2009.
James Michael Buckley
9 Redfield Alley
San Francisco, CA 94133
Office: (415) 421-8605
Home: (415) 681-4542
e-mail: jmbuckley9@comcast.net

CURRICULUM VITAE

EDUCATION

University of California, Berkeley - Ph.D. in Architecture (2000)
   Major Field: History of American Architecture and Urbanism
   Minor Fields: City and Regional Planning
   Urban Geography
   Dissertation: "Building the Redwood Region: Industry and Urban Development in
                 Northern California, 1850-1929"
   Honors: Mellon Dissertation Year Fellowship (1995-6)
            Bancroft Fellow (1996-7)
            John Reps Prize for Best Dissertation in American City and Regional
            Planning History (SACRPH, 2001)

University of California, Berkeley - Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning (1986)
   Major Field: Housing and Community Development

Yale University - B.A. cum laude (1982)
   Majors: American Studies/History of Art
   Honors: Distinction in the History of Art
            Marshall-Allison Prize for Promise in the Arts and Letters
            Bates Travel Fellowship
            Dietz Prize for Best Senior Thesis in History of Art

ACADEMIC EXPERIENCE

Teaching Experience
Lecturer - Dept of City Planning, UC Berkeley
   CP200: "History of City Planning" (2005-present)
   CP230: "U.S. Housing, Planning and Policy" (2009)
   CP300: "Teaching in City and Regional Planning" (2007)
Lecturer - Humanities Dept., San Francisco State Univ.: "California Culture" (2001, 2002)
Teaching Assistant -- UC Berkeley City Planning Dept.: "Real Estate Development" (1985)
Teaching Assistant -- UC Berkeley Architecture Dept.: "World Architecture Survey" (1986)
Guest Lecturer -- UC Berkeley Architecture Dept.: Real Estate Development Course (1989)
Guest Lecturer -- University of Oregon School of Architecture: Urban Design Studio (1993)
Research Experience
SAVE Britain’s Heritage (London, 1986): Investigated preservation and re-use of historic industrial buildings in England and Scotland.
Center for Environmental Change (Berkeley, CA, 1986): Researched economics of residential hotels for the report “Earthquake Hazards and Housing.”
UC Berkeley Architecture Department (1985): Deed and archival research on residential hotels in San Francisco.
UC Berkeley City Planning Department (1985): Field and archival research on urban street design.

Conference Papers/Presentations
Business History Conference (Le Creusot, France, 2004)
Society for American City and Regional Planning History, (St. Louis, MO, 2003) – Respondent for Session on "Community Redevelopment"
Vernacular Architecture Forum, Annual Conference (St. Pierre, France, 2003) – Session Chair
Designing Modern Childhoods, UC Berkeley (2002) – Session Chair
Vernacular Architecture Forum, Annual Conference (Williamsburg, VA, 2001)
Bay Area Labor History Group (San Francisco, 1997)
Vernacular Architecture Forum, Annual Conference (Portland, OR, 1997)
Bancroft Library Round Table, UC Berkeley (1997)
American Studies Association, Annual Conference (Kansas City, MO, 1996)
Western Lands Conference, Western Heritage Center, Univ. of Wyoming (Laramie, WY, 1996)
Society of Architectural Historians, California Chapter (Berkeley, CA, 1996)
Vernacular Architecture Forum, Annual Conference (Ottawa, Canada, 1995)
Landscape Architecture Colloquium, UC Berkeley (1995)

Publications and Professional Service
“Housing Developers and Preservation Groups Search for Common Ground,” San Francisco Development (Spring 1989)
Vernacular Architecture Forum: Board Member, (2004-07)
Society for American City and Regional Planning History (SACRPH): Board Member (2004- )
JAMES M. BUCKLEY - CURRICULUM VITAE

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Citizens Housing Corporation - San Francisco, CA (1998 to Present)
President of non-profit affordable housing developer with annual budget of $3,000,000 and portfolio of 2,500 units. Hired as organization’s first employee; currently oversees a staff of 80. Developed strategic business plan for new housing opportunities, including acquisition of threatened HUD-assisted projects and service-enriched senior housing. Major accomplishments include negotiating bargain sale of a surplus bank property for a $400 million, mixed-use development in downtown San Francisco; completed first LEED-certified residential project in northern California; adaptive reuse of 100-year old historic campus for senior housing; adaptive reuse of a historic church for senior housing; creation of a model mixed-population supportive housing complex.

BRIDGE Housing Corporation - San Francisco, CA (1986-1998)
Vice President (1995-1998), Senior Project Manager (1988-1995) and Project Manager (1986-88) for one of nation’s largest non-profit community development organizations. Managed land acquisition, design, financing, and construction of low-income residential and mixed-use projects.

Boston Landmarks Commission - Boston, MA (1983-84)
Neighborhood Preservation Coordinator - Worked with neighborhood organizations to preserve and re-use historic structures for community uses, including housing, medical services, and economic development. Managed intern program that placed students with non-profit development organizations.

Professional Awards and Activities

World Habitat Award (UN Habitat Conference, Hiroshima, Japan)
Mar Community Housing (Oakland, CA) – 1991

Urban Land Institute Award of Excellence
Richmond City Center (Richmond, CA) – 1998

Home Depot Award for Responsibly Built Affordable Housing (USGBC, 2007)
Affordable Housing Magazine “Reader’s Choice” Award
Buena Vista Terrace (San Francisco, CA) - 2008
Folsom-Dore Apartments (San Francisco, CA) – 2006

Pacific Coast Builders Conference Gold Nugget Award
Folsom-Dore Apartments (San Francisco, CA) – 2005
SOMA Studios/Apartments (San Francisco, CA) – 2003
Kokoro Senior Housing (San Francisco, CA) – 2003
Castro Valley BART Transit Village (Alameda County, CA) – 1997
333 Fell Street (San Francisco, CA) – 1996

Builder Magazine “Builder’s Choice” Award
Kokoro Senior Housing (San Francisco, CA) – 2004
SOMA Studios/Apartments (San Francisco, CA) – 2004

SF Business Times “Deal of the Year”
Folsom-Dore Apartments (San Francisco, CA) – 2005
Buena Vista Terrace (San Francisco, CA) - 2007
Invited Presentations

Affordable Housing Live (Chicago)
2007: Panelist, “Supportive Housing”

East Bay AIA Housing Committee (1997): “Transforming the Acorn Apartments”

California Redevelopment Association
San Jose, 2006: Panelist, "Issues in Non-Profit Welfare Tax Exemption"
Huntington Beach, 2003: Panelist, "501(c)(3) Organizations in Tax Credit Partnerships"

Conference of Environmental Professionals (San Francisco, 1990): “Building Affordable Housing”

Governor’s Conference on Affordable Housing (Honolulu, HI, 1989)

Housing California
Long Beach, 2007: Panel Chair: “Mixed Supportive Housing”

Local Housing Element Assistance Workshops (Fall/Winter 1989)

Mayor’s Conference on Transit-Related Development Opportunities (Albuquerque, NM, 1996)

National Environmental Leadership Training Program (San Francisco, 1990):
“Building Affordable Housing”

National Trust for Historic Preservation, National Preservation Conference (Kansas City, MO, 1986): “Adaptive Reuse of Industrial Buildings in Great Britain

Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California
2008: Panel Organizer: "Construction Management"
2007: Panel Organizer: "Green Design"
2001: Panelist, “Acquisition, Renovation, and Operation of Section 8 Properties”
1999: Panelist, “Preserving HUD-Assisted Multi-Family Units”
1996: Panelist, “Transit-based Community Development”

Pleasanton Affordable Housing Forum (2002): "Affordable Housing Finance"
San Francisco Leadership Forum (2001): "Issues in Affordable Housing in San Francisco"

San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)
2001: "Transit-Oriented Housing Developments: Sereno Village, Vallejo, CA"
2002: "Development of Market Square Mixed-Use Project, San Francisco"

San Francisco AIA Housing Committee (1996): “Affordable Ownership Housing”

Society of Environmental Journalists
2008 Study Tour – Folsom/Dore Apartments, San Francisco

USC Lusk Center for Real Estate Research Symposium (2006): Session Moderator,
"Models and Stories: Understanding the Long Processes of Cities"

UC Berkeley Conference on Housing and Urban Policy (2004): Panelist, "Housing as Social Investment"
JAMES M. BUCKLEY - CURRICULUM VITAE

ACADEMIC REFERENCES

Professor Paul Groth
Geography Dept.
University of California, Berkeley
(510) 642-7510
pgroth@uclink4.berkeley.edu

Professor Dell Upton
Department of Art History
Dodd 200B
University of California, Los Angeles
(310) 206-8370
dupton@humnet.ucla.edu

Professor Richard Walker
Geography Dept.
University of California, Berkeley
(510) 642-3903
walker@socrates.berkeley.edu

Professor Mike Teitz, Emeritus Professor
Dept. of City and Regional Planning
University of California, Berkeley
(415) 291-4440
Teitz@ppic.org
You must be kidding. I understand that the environment must be protected and preserved to sustain a natural and healthy ecosystem, but to close this golf course in pursuit of that goal, absurd. I have had the opportunity to grow up in Pacifica and was fortunate enough to have had my first job, which I held through high school, at Sharp Park Golf Course. The lessons I have learned and relationships I have built at Sharps are invaluable. I would not be in the position I am in in my current career and life for that matter, have I hadn't taken up the game of golf. Please do not proceed with this action, you are making a huge mistake.

Steve Mooney

Pacifica, CA 94044
Request for City Services - Clerk of the Board

Enter Personal Details > Enter Service Request Details > Review & Submit > Attach Photo(s) / File(s) > Print & Track

Successfully Submitted

Thank you for your submission. You will receive an email confirmation with a link to follow the progress of your submission.

If you have any additional requests or questions, you can call us 7 days a week, 24 hours a day at 311 (for calls outside of San Francisco please dial 415.701.2311).

Your Tracking Number is: 430455
May 13 2009 8:54AM.

Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Location Information:
Incident Location:
Location Type:
Type Details:
Corner Information:
Location Description:

Request Details:
Category: Complaint
Department: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: Clerk of the Board

Additional Information:
Additional Request Details: I will be thoroughly disgusted, yet not surprised, at the complete lack of backbone that your Board will exemplify if it hands over Pacifica's Sharp Park to the left-wing extremist environmentalists. Sharp Park represents 80 years of history and recreational amusement that generations have and continue to enjoy, especially at prices for the everyday middle-class working golfer. Do not succumb to the broad brush of power the environmentalists like to think they wield. Please stand up for working Californians who pride themselves in preserving real history!

Customer Contact Information:
First Name: Mia
Last Name: Caulk
Primary Phone: Alternate Phone: Address Number: Street Name: City, State: ZIP Code: Email:

Customer requested to be contacted by the department servicing their request:
Dear Friends and Neighbors,

The supes’ Public Safety Committee held a meeting today (Monday) on the impact on public safety of expected budget cuts.

I was surprised to see the vice chair, Ross Mirkarimi, furiously typing away on his computer during a chunk of the meeting.

He did so during testimony by the Chief of Juvenile Probation and by the Chair on the Commission on the Status of Women, and at the start of public comment.

Mirkarimi was really into it, too. His fingers flew. (Who knew he was such a good typist!) He frowned. He smiled. He wiped his brow. His lips moved. At first, I thought he was using a cell phone, but he was muttering to himself while typing.

The rhythm of typing was out of synch with the flow and breaks in testimony by the speakers. I went around to the far side of the banister that separates the supes from the common people to get a glimpse of his screen.

It displayed a page of text, not the broadcast of the hearing that appeared on the other two members’ screens.

I ran into his aide, Rick Galbraith, and asked what was up. After a quick visit with his boss in the chamber, Galbraith emerged with an explanation. Mirkarimi was responding to e-mails about an arsonist who had been torching garbage cans in Cole Valley, said Galbraith. (There must have been a lot of those e-mails!)

I’m glad to know that Mirkarimi is diligent in responding to constituents’ e-mails. On the other hand, he has vociferously and repeatedly criticized the police and other city agencies for not keeping the supes in the loop about their plans. But why should they, if he ignores them when they show up to testify?
As noted, the meeting was ostensibly devoted to budget cuts and public safety. However, the first pleader for more money was Jeff Adachi, the Public Defender.

Should his in-house budget be cut too much, threatened Adachi, he would out-source cases to a host of private attorneys, at a very high cost to the taxpayers.

"There is a difference between a public defender and a public pretender," he boasted, superbly dressed, with not a hair out of place on his head.

Later, during public comment, a host of well-coached witnesses lined up to plea for an undiminished cash flow to certain city-funded nonprofit organizations. Many are located in the Mission, represented on the board by the committee chair, David Campos.

In the meantime, the committee has forgotten the plea made at its last meeting by Paulette Brown. She’s an African-American mother whose son was murdered not long ago. She asked the committee to put her plight, and that of other mothers who are in the same boat, on their agenda.

So far, committee chair David Campos has declined to do so. But considering how this committee operates, that may not be a bad thing, after all.

Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

***

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!
Dear Friends and Neighbors,

San Francisco's Board of Supervisors, as it is now constituted, is no longer capable of responding to the city's needs. It's time for a major overhaul of that body.

The board dragged its feet on homelessness, requiring a popular initiative, Care Not Cash, to break though the logjam. The board continues to fail in dealing with the city’s festering crime-and-grime crisis.

Every year, the board appropriates huge amounts of money to nonprofits without creating any effective oversight on how they spend it.

The board has become the plaything of special interests – downtown business corporations, unions, the nonprofit political complex, and the marijuana industry. The personal behavior of the board’s members is often appalling.

Here are some changes that would help create a board of more intelligent and adult-acting members:

Reform district elections: The present system consists of 11 fiefs. You can get elected baron of a fief by appealing to just a few thousand partisans. The natural result is a cacophonous nest of parochial schemers.

The total number of members should be reduced, say, to seven. Four could be elected from sizable districts, and three elected at-large. Such a body would still have an ear for sectional melodies, but would also be more likely to hear the larger symphony.

Require minimum qualifications: As it is now, any adult San Franciscan is qualified to run for
the board. Which is amazing, considering that those who seek to interpret the law (judges) must have a legal degree. Is creating the law any less important than interpreting it?

The current lack of qualifications has turned the board into a magnet for the bottom feeders of San Francisco politics. They tend to be either tunnel-vision ideologues (like Chris Daly), or pedestrian career-climbers (like Michela Alioto-Pier), or crooks (like Ed Jew).

A minimum qualification for the board would be a college degree in a relevant professional field, such as political science, economics, public administration, etc. You wouldn’t hire a stand-up comic or a bartender to do root-canal work on your teeth.

Tighten term limits: The current limit of two terms should be reduced to one. The reason for allowing repeated terms is the hope that the supervisors will get better with experience.

However, in the 35 years that I have lived in San Francisco, I have never witnessed one instance of a supervisor who improved over the years. In fact, the opposite usually happens.

They start to view public office as a personal entitlement. They become arrogant and condescending to members of the public, especially those who question their records. They are at their best at the beginning and ossify after that.

Once supervisors leave the board, they rarely achieve lives of distinction. The general pattern is to get elected to the legislature and, after that, land an appointment with the Integrated Wasted Management Board.

I call the whole process “CMT” - the Carole Migden Trajectory. Would you honestly expect something better from this crew?

Reforming district elections, requiring minimum qualifications, and tightening term limits will not guarantee a body of sages. But at least the members will be more likely to act like intelligent adults.

Wouldn’t that be refreshing?

Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

* * * *
May 11, 2009

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
City Hall,
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
Room 244,
San Francisco, CA 94102.
Email: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

The Board of HVNA would like to urge the Board of Supervisors to consider other options than fire station “Brown Outs” (the practice of decommissioning between four to six fire engines every day on a rotating basis) to resolving the city’s budget issues. While HVNA, like other communities, is cognizant of the economic realities of our current financial climate, we believe that reducing the availability of first responder resources will have a deleterious impact on the health, safety and well-being of our community.

HVNA urges the Board of Supervisors to consider the following:

1) **Fire service has had cut budgets every single year in the recent past.** The current budget submitted is a 12% reduction. This 12% reduction is in addition to the 6% mid year cuts made to ’08-’09 budget. Some cuts were administrative, some capital and equipment. Repairs to stations have been delayed. Replacement engines and trucks are years behind schedule. Our reserve supply of engines and trucks is very slim. When all staff is recalled for a catastrophic event, there is very little equipment to assign them to.

2) **Hayes Valley is currently experiencing, and will continue to experience, a significant increase of high-density housing and a subsequent influx of new residents.** This increase in population means there is, and will be, an ever increasing demand for timely emergency services.

3) **Economic stress includes increased demand on first responders.** Even in the best of times, economically stressed households rely upon first responders as a safety net. The current economic climate expands that demographic. For example, as economically stressed residents choose between life saving and life sustaining medications and essentials such as food, shelter and rent, first responders such as the SFFD become a lifeline.

4) **SFFD is already understaffed for impending emergencies or disasters such as a large earthquake, fire or large scale health emergency.** Depleting the city’s preparedness further will have a significant impact on our city’s ability to respond effectively and therefore increase the cost of such a disaster or emergency, both in human life and in our ability to respond and recover.

5) In 2005, the citizens of San Francisco voted in favor of a ballot initiative, **Proposition F**, also known as the Neighborhood Firehouse Protection Act. This initiative mandates that all of San Francisco’s firehouses remain fully staffed and continually in operational order 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

6) Fiscal projections indicate “Rolling brown outs” will not substantially alleviate the city’s budget issues, but will substantially increase the demand on first responders and their ability to provide life-saving services to our community.

Firefighters and Police are a community’s partners in response to both personal and community-wide emergencies and therefore an essential service to maintain our community’s well-being. We are confident that the Board of Supervisors can come to an understanding with the Mayor’s office and resolve these concerns without risking the safety of our community and our neighbors.

Frances Neagley,
President, Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association
Email: president@hayesvalleysf.org
(Jamie Lopez, Recording Secretary)
I am opposed to the idea of browning out fire stations to save money. This is a terrible idea that would severely jeopardize public safety. It would also negatively affect the morale and income of our firefighters, whom we depend on for our most crucial life safety services. There are many other ways to make budget cuts. Please don't use this one, it's a ludicrous idea!

Christine Eibel
San Francisco CA 94117
Dear Mr. Mirkarimi

It is my understanding that the Board of Supervisors will be meeting tomorrow to discuss, among other topics, possible fire station brownouts.

In these tough economic times, individuals as well as the public and private sector, are looking at making difficult budgetary decisions. The Fire Department is no exception. The Fire Department plays a vital role in the protection of our community. The possible brown out of engines or the stations puts lives in jeopardy.

The US Geological Survey and the California Geological Survey have predicted a 62% probability of an earthquake of magnitude 6.7 or greater in the Bay Area before 2032. According to ABAG, a magnitude 7.5 earthquake along the Northern Golden Gate segment of the San Andreas fault would cause approximately 581 road closures. Of these closures, most (55%) are predicted to be within San Francisco. In San Francisco, 74% of the closures are expected to be generated by building damage.

In view of the above, it is of the utmost importance that our fire stations remain open and active.

As an active member of NERT, I urge you to reconsider making any discretionary funding cuts that would affect both the NERT program and the San Francisco Fire Department as a whole.

Yours truly,

Fiona Raymond-Cox
North of Panhandle Neighborhood Emergency Response Team Coordinator
Dear San Francisco Supervisors:

If legally possible, this is a better way to go to cut costs in the San Francisco Fire Department.

In short, well over $7 million dollars could be saved in the SFFD without
1) Closing a single firehouse or reducing the number of engines
   or trucks.
2) No reduction of manpower;
3) No reduction in Ambulances or staffs;
4) No reduction in number of Chiefs responding to an incident
5) No layoffs.

Feather Bedding:

1) In 2001, Harvey Rose's year long study showed that SFFD Battalion Chiefs and Assistant Chiefs in Fire Suppression, averaged about 1 hour of service time in a 24 hour period for the previous year.

The fact that San Francisco averages only 1 structural fire per day, gives credence to the statistic.
This historical statistic again shows we don't need as many Chiefs on duty on a daily basis as San Francisco use to need before
modern implementation of smoke detectors, sprinklers, fireproofing etc.

In 1969 San Francisco had 11,000 fires of all kinds.
In 1985 there were 7,500 total fires.
In 2000 there were 3,700 total fires.

Harvey Rose recommended daily staffing of 6 Battalion Chiefs and a single Assistant Chief in his report.

What do we have as a result of the fire union and local politicians ignoring these recommendations?

2 Assistant Chiefs and 9 Battalion Chiefs on duty daily. Why?

Last year, 21 Battalion Chiefs and Assistant Chiefs in Fire Suppression made over $200,000. The others came close.

Think anyone in Fire Department or 798 wants to give up even one of these jobs, despite the lack of statistical evidence for their need?
FIRE UNION CONTRACTS PREVENTS THE CITY FROM REDUCING COSTS IN TIMES OF AUSTERITY BY LEGALIZING THESE extra 3 BATTALION CHIEFS POSITIONS (that means 13 jobs to fill 24/7) AND THE 1 extra ASST. CHIEF JOB (4.3 jobs to fill 24/7).

Eliminating these 17 jobs would reduce costs by approximately, $3,500,000.

2) The Firefighter's MOU also orders that 6 Chief's Drivers be on duty each 24 hour period. In times of "Austerity" a Chief's Driver is a luxury we can no longer afford, even if you add bells and whistles to the job. In the 1980s the SFFD changed the "Chief's Drivers" position to "Chief's Aide" to inflate the position. Recently the SFFD changed the name again to "Incident Support Specialist." Wow! I'm impressed even though their principle duty continues to be driving a Chief.

These 6 daily positions represent 26 jobs to fill them 24/7. Eliminating these FEATHERBEDDING jobs would save the City, approximately, an additional $3,700,000 dollars.

Note well, that "Overtime" costs would also be reduced by not having to fill with "overtime pay" over 40 jobs due to vacations, illness, disability etc.

Imagine the savings of not having to fill the overtime when you know these are the overtime costs:

For one 24 hour period of overtime:

Assistant Chief makes $2,401.57

Battalion Chief makes $2,078.11

Chief's Driver makes $1,449.10

THAT'S FEATHERBEDDING AT TIME AND A HALF.

In the MOU there are also expensive work rules:

1) Only trained firefighters can serve as "light mechanics" to do light mechanical repairs to trucks and engines.

2) Only trained firefighters can be drug and alcohol counselors to other firefighters. All other City employees have to utilize the City's Substance Abuse Program.

3) Only trained firefighters can schedule workdays, vacations etc of fire department personnel. This is comparable to SFPD Cops sitting behind desks. It's a waste.

All three raise costs and keep trained firefighters from doing what they do best and were expensively trained for. Once again, the more firefighters within the firehouses reduces "overtime" costs. Last year overtime in the SFFD set taxpayers back, $29 million dollars.

All of these recommendation were made in 2001 by Harvey Rose. Please see: http://www.sfgov.org/site/budanalyst_page.asp?id=7002

________

2.3 Convert Some Uniform Positions into Civilian Positions

In short, well over $7 million dollars could be saved without
1) Closing a single firehouse or reducing the number of engines or trucks.
2) No reduction of manpower;
3) No reduction in Ambulances or staffs;
4) No reduction in number of Chiefs responding to an incident
5) No layoffs.

Please consider.
Dear Supervisors:

San Francisco firefighters have a wonderful work benefit. It is the ability to "TRADE" watches with another firefighter or one of equal rank.

It is utilized all the time to extend vacations, be able to attend family functions etc.

IDEA!

The first ten firefighters who call in sick will not be filled with "overtime" watches. Some "brownouts" will occur unless the sick firefighter simply "makes a trade" with another firefighter to work for him or her that day.

This will put the control of "brown outs" in the hands of the firefighters.

The first argument will be, it's difficult to find someone at 6 in the morning.

This is where Local 798 steps in and organizes its members to be on a "stand by" list to avoid "brown outs."

There is a "stand by" list for "overtime " watches so this would be quite feasible.

In addition, the first three Battalion Chiefs who call in sick, will not be replaced with "overtime" watches.

No "Chief's Drivers" or as they are named "Incident Support Specialists" will be replaced with "overtime" watches.

Again, all have the opportunity to make a "TRADE" and work for the other guy when they are feeling better.

This will give firefighters a demonstrable platform with which to prove their sincerity regarding the dangers of "brownouts."
Sincerely yours,

Jim Corrigan
San Francisco 94127  
May 14, 2009  
Dear San Francisco Supervisors:

"Brownouts" are not the only way to reduce costs in the San Francisco Fire Department.  
Why not suggest to San Franciscans that $7 million dollars could be saved annually, (the same amount saved by shutting down two engine companies for a year) by reducing the daily number of Assistant Chiefs from 2 to 1; reducing the number of Battalion Chiefs from 9 to 6; and reducing the number of Chiefs' drivers from 6 to zero.  
This would be as close to WIN/WIN as one could get.  $7 million saved and

1) No "browning out" of a single firehouse.  
2) No reduction of manpower on engines or trucks.  
3) No reduction in Ambulances or staffs.  
4) No reduction in number of Chiefs responding to an incident.  
5) No layoffs.  

Sincerely yours,  
Jim Corrigan
I am opposed to the idea of browning out fire stations to save money. This is a terrible idea that would severely jeopardize public safety. It would also negatively affect the morale and income of our firefighters, whom we depend on for our most crucial life safety services. There are many other ways to make budget cuts. Please don't use this one, it's a ludicrous idea!

Christine Elbel

San Francisco CA 94117
May 14, 2009

Supervisors John Avalos, Ross Mirkarimi, Carmen Chu
Budget and Finance Subcommittee
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: San Francisco Fire Department Budget
Proposed Brown Outs

Dear Supervisors Avalos, Mirkarimi, and Chu:

On April 20, 2009 and May 4, 2009, at the Outer Mission Merchants and Residents Association (OMMRA) Executive Board and General Membership meetings respectively, the members voted unanimously to oppose any “Brown Outs” of any fire houses including Engine 43, Engine 15, and Station 33. We feel that that these closures pose a danger to neighborhoods that are impacted such as the Excelsior/Mission and close proximity to neighborhood parks such as Crocker Amazon and McLaren Parks.

We have opposed these closures in the past and we should not begin to close the fire houses in the future.

Secondly, we are extremely concerned that there are no fire abatement structure in process with the close proximity of Crocker Amazon and McLaren Park. We were extremely lucky last year that no fires took place in these parts as have had taken place in the past. Due to the dry brush and dead vegetation, over the summer months, we put our trust in the SFFD in fire breaks due to the close proximity of homes on either side of these parks. We understand that there are other ways to establish fire breaks, such as goats, but there is no fencing in place to provide the goats a safe area to do their jobs.
Supervisors John Avalos, Ross Mirkarimi, Carmen Chu
Budget and Finance Subcommittee
May 14, 2009
Page Two

Please take items into consideration when finalizing your budget for the next fiscal year, that we are prone to fires in these areas and some kind of fire abatement is needed along with keeping the fire house opened.

Sincerely,

Steven R. Currier
President, OMMRA
415.587.9150

CC:  Gail Johnson, Clerk of the Committee
      Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
      Chief Joanne Hayes-White, SFFD
      John Hanley, President, San Francisco Firefighters Union
      Captain David Lazar, Commanding Officer, Ingleside Police Station
      OMMRA Executive Board
      Mayor Gavin Newsom
      Alfredo Pendroza, MONS
      Districts 11 Council

FIREHOUSEBUDGET2009.DOC
Request for City Services - Clerk of the Board

Thank you for your submission. You will receive an email confirmation with a link to follow the progress of your submission.

If you have any additional requests or questions, you can call us 7 days a week, 24 hours a day at 311 (for calls outside of San Francisco please dial 415.701.2311).

Your Tracking Number is: 429428
May 11 2009 6:08PM

Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Location Information:
Incident Location:
Location Type:
Type Details:
Corner Information:
Location Description:

Request Details:
Category: Other
Department: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: Clerk of the Board

Additional Information:
Additional Request Details: I am a retired San Francisco fire lieutenant. My last 2 years in the dept. I was assigned to the communications dept. on Turk st. I supervised dispatches for the dept and the paramedics. I was there during the last time the city decided to brown out companies on a daily basis. I personally observed the shortages of available engine and truck companies. It was a juggling act all day long to attempt to get companies to assigned emergencies throughout the city. I understand that there is going to be a vote tomorrow to reinstate this ridiculous practice. The city of San Francisco needs every single engine truck and paramedic unit that are available every day. Please think long and hard about this issue. I know there are many programs that may need to take a back seat to create the funds needed to keep the citizens of San Francisco safe. Don't play with fire. Bill Wickliffe

Customer Contact Information:
First Name: bill
Last Name: wickliffe
Street Name:  
Email: fishpone@comcast.net 

Customer requested to be contacted by the department servicing their request:
May 12, 2009

Christiane Hayashi
Director, Taxis & Accessible Services
One South Van Ness 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Board of Supervisors
Secretary to the Board
Room 244, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Proposition K Reform is not needed: An Investigation of the MTA Hiring Practices is needed. CEO Ford is Incompetent and Should Resign, now.

Over the past forty or so years, San Francisco taxi drivers have subsidized the transportation system in the City and County of San Francisco. In a city where almost 30,000 employees have pensions, hospitalization, dental and vacation plans, these taxi drivers have zero benefits. Also, these drivers do not have unemployment insurance and can be fired by any taxi firm in the City without unemployment compensation. The City and County of San Francisco that controls these taxi rules, regulations and codes, lists these drivers as independent businessmen. Nothing can be further from the truth.

In 1998, Supervisor Gavin Newsom entered City politics through the Board of Supervisors by an appointment to the Board, by Mayor Willie Brown. Then, after a year of conducting taxi driver hearings, where thousands of cab drivers spoke about their pathetic or lack of income, pensions, job security, health and dental benefits, grievance procedures and other amenities that all City workers enjoyed, Gavin Newsom helped to form the San Francisco Taxi Commission. The San Francisco Taxi Commission was supposed to help drivers with income, health benefits and retirement pensions. But, in the past ten years that this man has controlled, manipulated, massaged and run amok with the San Francisco Taxi Commission, he has only used it to help his friends and close associates with jobs. Many of these jobs should have gone to qualified taxi drivers.

**SF Taxi Director Machen’s unprosecuted Employment Fraud**

For these past ten years, Newsom has used the San Francisco Taxi Commission as a job bank for ex-girlfriends and/or attorneys from his inner circle. During this era, female attorneys chosen by him have headed the San Francisco Taxi Commission, although the administrative post never requested an attorney and the 7000 or so taxi drivers in this City are 99 percent male. For the past ten years the Director’s post at the San Francisco Taxi Commission, through the City mandated Civil Service System (CSS) requested an Administrative Analyst from the highly regarded Civil Service Registry (CSR). The CSS and CSR requested and wanted a financial analyst with extensive taxi background. But, for the post, the Mayor picked his ex-re-election campaign aides and bumped taxi drivers from the CSR list. I know, Mayor Newsom, bumped me, at least, three times from the
EmileLawrence@yahoo.com

Taxi Director’s post, since 2005. In that year, he picked Heidi Machen, a female attorney for the post. Later, he accepted her resignation when he found out she falsified employment and other documents for one of her hires, which was her housemate and male companion of 16 years. She made the felon her Department’s auditor. I know, I provided these documents to the city Attorney and the Board of Supervisors, so that they could comply with the law and act on it. And, none of Newsom’s attorney appointments had any taxi background, either. And, not one of these attorneys was on the City CSR. I know, I filed two Civil Service Complaints on the issue.

MTA CEO Nathaniel Ford’s Lack of Professional Academic Skills

I, Emil Lawrence, am the only taxi driver on the CSR that had an application in, which had been pre-approved with extensive taxi background, at Human Resources, in the City and County. These facts can be verified at the Civil Service Commission. I have an AA, BA & MBA in Business Administration with core programs in Corporate or World Finance. Also, I have 90 postgraduate community college full semester units in office software, real estate, state and federal tax accounting. I am skilled in computerized accounting and auditing. The reason the MTA is in such a horrendous state is this: Nathaniel Ford, the CEO has no academic background in finance, accounting, compliance or auditing. Debra Johnson, who was MTA’s Human Resource Director turned my 18 applications to her office, for Administrative Analyst posts in Classes 1822-1825 into the trash since 2006. Compared to Nathaniel Ford, my financial background is extensive and consuming.

Taxi Driver Rules and Regulation Revisions, Not Needed

But, with all of this background, since 2005, not only has the MTA Human Resource Director turned me down for financial posts, Mayor Gavin Newsom bumped me three times from appointments to the City taxi agency. Then, each attorney he appointed attempted to revise the rules and regulations controlling taxi drivers, mostly without real information from taxi drivers. And, in 2009, this revision is going on again, with the new attorney hired by the Mayor and Nathaniel Ford, a man without credentials. No one taxi organization or driver in this City requested these three revisions of taxi rules and regulations, because most of these taxi driver revisions were not needed. This new and present revision of taxi rules and regulations is a ploy to destroy Proposition K, which was voted for by the voters of this County. Mayor Gavin Newsom, who has not helped taxi drivers with income in ten years, now, wants to destroy Proposition K by calling for Proposition K Reform. The real facts are these: Proposition K is working.

At present in 2009, the original Proposition K rules and regulations are helping many long time taxi drivers, those taxi drivers which signed up for a taxi medallion and complied with all City laws for obtaining a medallion over the past three decades. And, since the wait for a taxi medallion can be a lifetime, drivers over 52 should not have to drive until they drop to retain income from their medallion, either.
EmileLawrence@yahoo.com

"Heather Fong, our Chief of Police, with a $240,000 a year pension, paid for from revenues from the City, is retiring at age 52. If she can retire at this age, taxi drivers should also be able to do the same." Emil Lawrence

Absentee Mayor: Bankrupt City: Increased CCSF Litigation

But, at the present time, Mayor Gavin Newsom is running for the Governor’s Office and he needs to change all of this fine and workable taxi machinery. The City and County of San Francisco, under his administration is bankrupt, and he alone is responsible for this state of “bankruptcy.” So, Newsom wants to resolve this bankruptcy dilemma by calling for Proposition K Reform. But, the idea is another one of his mind games. The City or MTA does not have the legal right to overturn a voter approved Proposition, and we know this will be tested in Court. If the Mayor and his partner MTA Director Ford feel they are going to get some funds for selling taxi medallions this year, they are just lying to the Board of Supervisors. And, the $15,000, 000.00 on the MTA’s increase in revenue from medallion sales is virtual fraud, “it ain’t going to happen any time soon.” There will be extensive litigation first. There will be appeals, second. And third, there will most certainly be a new and overriding Proposition to correct Newsom’s and Ford’s dysfunctional mental states, I’m sure. Neither one of these two individuals knows how to add or subtract. If Mayor Newsom had any idea as to what he was doing, the City is not a Getty Oil Fortune Limited Partnership, with deep pockets, this City and County would not be in the financial position it is in today. And, with Nathaniel Ford leading the blind, San Francisco is approaching a fiscal and financial emergency, one not seen since 1906.

Newsom a Millionaire by Default

Mayor Gavin Newsom is a millionaire by default, a dude that grew up with the billionaire Getty family. Remember John Paul Getty, well he struck oil in Texas. Gavin Newsom, was the General Partner for many of family businesses, and now wants San Francisco taxi drivers to buy their own retirement plans, by calling these drivers “stake holders.” He thinks taxi drivers made their millions in oil, too. And, when he talks about stakes, he actually means “stake holders,” because this Mayor has problems getting ideas across. One aide de camp, Nathaniel Ford, the MTA CEO is already a “stake holder.” By claiming to create Proposition K Reform, Newsom will have City and County taxi drivers, taxi drivers from other counties, bidding against one another and mostly other professional speculators, to purchase their own medical, pension and dental plans, plus grievance procedures, which is just like buying their own steaks. The kind steaks Nathaniel Ford bought in Atlanta, with his business charge card that no knew he had. Nathaniel Ford charged up to $150,000 to the City of Atlanta, Georgia, for his steaks and other items. Taxi drivers, after driving for decades for “chump change,” under this banner for reform, have been actually subsidizing the City due to their low income, now, will have to buy their own medallions at an auction, by competing with corporations and speculators that never drove a taxi, in the greatest economic collapse in modern times. And, by the latest Pew report, a report on incomes in these United States, taxi drivers under the Newsom and the previous Willie Brown regime, never even made what illegal aliens made in this country in the past decade or more. These are the facts.
EmileLawrence@yahoo.com

Buying Taxi Medallions like Buying your Retirement Pension

And, after these reported facts, many thousands of drivers after complying with Proposition K as passed by the voters, close to thirty years ago, will now have to pay for their own retirement, as if they also grew up with the Getty family and milked this fortune, like Newsom did, his whole life. Mayor Gavin Newsom was the General Partner to at least 25 Limited Partnerships of the Getty family. When Newsom ran for Mayor, all he did was transfer his part of the partnerships to his sister. And, today, she runs these partnerships until he gets kicked out of office, which is sooner than he thinks. And, when he loses the race for Governor, which is almost certain, with or without the newly hired Obama team, he will take these interests back. Thirty years ago this “Medallion Auction System” led to the bankruptcy of Yellow Cab, the largest taxi firm, and the largest cab firm in the City today. And, Yellow Cab Coop today, still controls most of these pre-Prop K Taxi Medallions. The revival of this past medallion auction system will lead to skimming, kickbacks, corruption and even murder, if one looks at the total number of cab drivers that died on the job. We still do not really know who murdered the cab driver Paul Stine in Pacific Heights, in 1966, and there have been a lot more unsolved murders since then?

Bankruptcy & Employment Fraud

Not only is San Francisco County under Mayor Newsom bankrupt, the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) which now controls the Taxi Agency, under this Mayor and the CEO from Atlanta, is also bankrupt. The City and County are 650 million dollars in the red and the MTA is 130 million in the hole. As a matter of issue, there is one big reason for this deficit. Mayor Gavin Newsom has specialized in hiring people not fit for the jobs he put them in. The people he has hired are simply not qualified to do the job. Gavin Newsom has had a history of hiring unqualified people. This is Mayor Newsom’s legacy. The fact that Newsom put Heidi Machen into a Director’s Post at the Taxi Commission in 2005, brings his dysfunction to the surface. Macon lived with and was the attorney of record for a known felon, for 15 years. And Macon got Mayor Newsom to help her felonious “housemate” or “house partner” or whatever she wanted to call him, jobs at City Hall, by forging the felon’s applications. Then, Mr. Newsom helped cover-up the employment fraud by making a “felonious” statement in public, about her fraud being a one time event and blocking the District Attorney from prosecuting or blocking the fraud prosecuting. Employment fraud is like stealing money from the City, is it not?

Nathaniel Ford is Incompetent

The MTA CEO or Director or whatever he would like to call himself, is not either of these titles, by definition. In reality, he is a bus/train conductor from New York City, and his BA is from a school that gives college units for bus driving. His BA is not approved or accredited in the state of California. He was hired in Atlanta, not for his skills, but for political correctness by the Atlanta Board, according to one paper. Then Ford took MARTI, the Atlanta Muni system into their biggest bankruptcy in their history. MARTI climbed out of it last year, after Ford was long gone. And, with this non academic
background, Mr. Nathaniel Ford Director or CEO still specializes in taking Municipal Transportation Agencies into bankruptcy. He is doing exactly what he did in Atlanta, Georgia, in San Francisco, California. In Atlanta, Ford made $205,000 a year, but, Mr. Newsom, knowing this, hired Ford for $333,000 a year for the MTA. Ford, by the MTA Board, was given two $30,000 a year bonuses, since 2006, as the agency slipped deeper into the red. Mr. Ford, as part of his package, hired friends, which were fired in Atlanta, for greater salaries than they were making in Georgia. Check it out.

_Nathaniel Ford was Accused of Embezzlement_

When CEO Ford took over the SFMTA, he exploded staff salaries at the MTA in San Francisco by 20 million dollars a year, according to one newspaper story. And, Nathaniel Ford brought a lot of dark and dubious baggage with him, from Georgia, too. In Georgia, Ford was accused of embezzlement while CEO at MARTI. According to one story, he charged up to $150,000.00 in personal expenses, on a business charge card, including many trips to big “steakhouses” which served juicy porterhouse steaks. The story I read stated, he was told not to go to these pricey steakhouses, but did so anyhow. The Mayor's “steak holders” idea comes from T. Bone in Atlanta.

_Nathaniel Ford and Sexual Harassment_

But, that is not all. Mr. Ford has some dark skeletons in his closet. As a married man, he has some dirty secrets only an investigative journalist could dig up. This journalist could dig up the actual files belonging to a woman Ford sexually harassed while he was CEO, at MARTI, in Georgia. Oh yes, sexual harassment of an aide in his inner office, in Atlanta, while CEO. This sexual harassment came from an office aide, just like his new Chief of Staff, Debra Johnson. Ms. Johnson got promoted and follows the CEO around his office like a lap dog, while looking him in the eyes and salivating with her tongue hanging out. And, although this CEO directed others not to, this promoted persona of a lap dog could look the CEO in the eyes and make small talk. In San Francisco, the lap dog is Debra Johnson, at the MTA, the ex-Director of Human Resources and now Chief of Staff. Ms. Debra Johnson banned me from the Taxi Advisory Group (TAG), after throwing 18 Administrative applications of mine for administrative posts into the trash. I also supplied her with an extensive 30 page document on my abilities for the present taxi director post, and she did not even send me a reply. But, in Atlanta, her replicant settled, the sexual harassment case was settled out of court. The court pleading papers may still available.

_Sexual Harassment Pleading Papers_

Maybe these sexual harassment pleading papers or court documents could be introduced with the taxi medallions, Mr. Newsom and CEO Ford would like to sell. We could sell the embezzlement stories, the sexual harassment stories, the Mayor’s affair stories and other stories to the highest bidders. We could number and serialize these stories. Or, we could threaten to blog them all, every day of the week. The story about the Mayor with
his campaign manager’s wife, and the Mayor with Heidi Machen the ex-Taxi Commission Director, the felon at City Hall, would do well for bidders and bloggers.

More City Multimillion Litigation is Coming

Last, at this moment, it is not clear to me and many others in the taxi industry, that the City has any authority to sell taxi medallions by tossing Proposition K into the trash. With this one proposal, Mayor Newsom is asking for extensive litigation to test his premise. If the MTA is allowed to sell one hundred taxi medallions, then why not sell five hundred medallions. The MTA has already raised all costs or fees associated with these present, pre K and post K medallions, by up to a 100% or more. Mayor Gavin Newsom wants to see a medallions auction as a “cash cow” to be bled to death. Taxi Medallions before Proposition K were being manipulated like stocks at the New York Stock Exchange. He wants to bring this corruption back.

I know stock and futures corruption, I was in the securities & derivatives business for 15 years. Big hedge funds force securities and derivatives to go up and come crashing down, for almost no reason at all. Before 1978, these taxi medallion prices, like securities, were being manipulated by groups of owners and were controlled by mysterious forces and in the end, after all the trading, skimming, corruption and bag men, the system did not stop Yellow Cab, the biggest permit holder in the business, from going bankrupt. Back then, Yellow Cab had bought or controlled the majority of taxi medallions in San Francisco, and these medallions went into bankruptcy with them. Senator Feinstein was Mayor of San Francisco during the Yellow Cab bankruptcy.

I have asked Senator Feinstein to speak before this Board and Commission on the subject. There has been a rumor circulating in this City for 31 years, that Mayor Feinstein received nine taxi medallions from the Yellow Cab Bankruptcy, where she either bought them or got them as a gift for her position on Proposition K.

I would like to know the facts.

Emil Lawrence MBA

San Francisco, CA
94128

cc: Senator Feinstein, Washington DC
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco Taxi Drivers

1:55 PM 6
5/12/2009
May 21, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 12:30PM on Friday, May 22, 2009, until 12:00AM Monday, May 25, 2009.

I hereby designate Supervisor Bevan Dufty as Acting-Mayor from 12:00AM on Monday, May 25, 2009, until 12:30PM Tuesday, May 26, 2009. In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Dufty to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
May 21, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Bevan Dufty as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 12:30PM on Friday, May 22, 2009, until 12:00AM Monday, May 25, 2009.

I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from 12:00AM on Monday, May 25, 2009, until 12:30PM Tuesday, May 26, 2009. In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Elsbernd to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
May 22, 2009

David Chiu, President
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu:

As you know, the Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC) is a community advisory body for paratransit services in San Francisco, comprised mostly of users of the service. The PCC was established in the late 1970’s to advise Muni on matters of paratransit and accessibility, and advocate for the needs and views of the elderly and disabled community regarding these Muni services.

Many paratransit riders are conditionally eligible, which means we are able to ride Muni some of the time, and at other times we are unable to ride Muni and therefore we use the paratransit services. So we have an interest in the Muni system being as accessible as possible to seniors and persons with disabilities, particularly since paratransit services are quite expensive on a per trip basis.

We understand the implications of the financial crisis that Muni is facing, and we know that there are many difficult decisions that must be made. But as a group representing seniors and people with disabilities, we are very concerned with the SFMTA’s proposal to eliminate the 89 Laguna Honda line. As I’m sure you know this line is the only way for individuals to access Laguna Honda Hospital on public transit. Since the hospital is located at the top of a very steep hill, it is not possible for our community to walk or traverse in our wheelchairs or scooters.

We are writing to you today to raise our concern with the plan to eliminate the 89 Laguna Honda line. As we understand the situation, the plan is for the Department of Public Health take over responsibility for running a transportation service in a lower cost fashion (such as a shuttle) that would replace the current 89 bus line. There may be other potential solutions to lowering the cost of providing transit service to Laguna Honda Hospital, such as extending the 36 Teresita line, as proposed by Roland Wong, a Muni Accessibility Advisory Committee member. There may be many creative solutions to reducing the cost of the service, but what we ask of you today is that the Board of Supervisors supports the continuation of service to Laguna Honda Hospital.

Please call me at 333-6965 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Cheryl Damico, PCC Executive Committee Chair

cc: SFMTA Board
    Mayor’s Office on Disability
Dear Friends and Neighbors,

Parts of SF today are like a Wild West town of 150 years ago. Gun-toting men swagger around, blasting away at the least provocation.

Our Board of Supervisors has failed to face up the problem, as noted by C.W. Nevius in today’s Chron (see link below).

Although Nevius doesn't mention it, the weak link at the board is its Public Safety Committee.

The chair is David Campos. His priorities lie elsewhere. He wants to restore the city’s practice of providing sanctuary to young illegal immigrants suspected of felonies.

The vice chair is Ross Mirkarimi. At a recent committee meeting, he was busily typing away on other matters on his laptop while public officials testified. While typing, he muttered to himself to block out the annoying distraction of their testimony.

The third committee member, Michela Alioto-Pier, often comes late. On one occasion, chair David Campos had to delay the start of the meeting for half an hour, for lack of a quorum, until latecomers Alioto-Pier and Mirkarimi showed up.

On another occasion, John Avalos, sitting in for the absent Alioto-Pier, denounced a police captain for not consulting with him about certain police matters. When the captain offered to meet with Avalos at his convenience, the supe responded that his schedule was too full to fit him in.

Anybody see a leadership vacuum here?

Here’s the link:

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2009/05/21/BAVA17O171.DTL
Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

* * * *

Huge savings on HDTVs from Dell.com!
Thanks Chris. I appreciate your discussions with Enxco, unfortunately their comments do not reflect their bid. My facts are accurate and directly out of their bid document.

The South Basin has not been seismically retrofitted and is not available.

EnXco bid 3.54 MW (AC) for both basins or 1.72 MW for the North Basin.

EnXco bid $249/Mwh with 0.5% escalation as an "indicative and not firm" number. The bid required a firm number.

Please see the First Solar SEC report page 18,19 regarding the toxics in their product.

In addition, the buyout price was not specified in the bid. This number is after the fact and has no basis. And it is likely related to 1.7 MW.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Chris Daly <Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>  
To: Spanjian, Laura  
Cc: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Tue May 12 13:14:47 2009  
Subject: Re: Information regarding Enxco

Hi Laura. Thanks for writing about Enxco's response to your solar RFP.

I took the liberty to ask Enxco for some clarifications about their proposal, and this is my understanding of their proposal.

Enxco would have the ability to cover the north and south reservoir roofs or just the south basin. Their 3.54 MW system would be for just the south basin. The entire reservoir would allow for a 4.42 MW system, and an additional .88 MW could be delivered at Pier 96.

Enxco's bid of $249/Mwh is based on a .5% annual escalation price. If this number is adjusted to provide for a 3% annual escalation over the 25 year life of the PPA, the base cost would be significantly less than Recurrent's proposal of $235/Mwh (probably in the neighborhood of $182/Mwh base cost).

The laboratory-tested efficiency of film versus crystalline solar panels does not take into account the real-life conditions at the Sunset Reservoir. The film technology may outperform in both foggy and high heat conditions.

We certainly do not want cadmium telluride in our drinking water. While I have been assured that this technology is safe and an appropriate use at this site, I think that we should be allowed to have a fuller discussion of
this issue. Enxco has said that representatives of First Solar are available to meet with us to talk about the safety of their product.

While efficiency is important, you have represented to me that the SFPUC is not at a loss for solar opportunity sites. Therefore at this point, I believe that value is more important to us right now as we build our renewable energy portfolio.

Further, members of the Board of Supervisors have expressed great interest in giving San Francisco the ability to purchase this solar resource. While a 3.5 MW project is not as valuable as a 5 MW project, Enxco has represented that their Year 7 buyout cost would be $9.5 million. Again, this is significantly less than Recurrent's $33 million price any way you cut it.

I think that it is clear that much more analysis is needed of the existing contract provisions and other prospects for developing a solar project of this magnitude.

Thanks again!

Chris

"Spanjian, Laura"
<L.Spanjian@sfwate
r.org> To
05/12/2009 11:06 <Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>, AM
<superdaly@yahoo.com>
cc

Subject
Information regarding Enxco

Here is information regarding Enxco's bid:

REASONS FOR REJECTION OF ENXCO SOLAR BID ON SUNSET RESERVOIR
EnXco bid showed both north and south reservoir roofs being covered with thin film solar panels. This violates the bid which required only the south basin, which has been seismically retrofitted, to be covered with panels.

EnXco bid $249/Mwh (higher than the winning bid). The price was "indicative" (quote directly from bid) and not firm as required by the RFP. This would place EnXco at an unfair advantage since the other bidders bid firm prices as required by the RFP.

The RFP required the plants produce a total minimum peak capacity of 5 megawatts (DC). EnXco bid 3.54 MW for Sunset (both basins). If the bid total is cut in half to account for the actual allowed roof size, the bid would be only 1.72 MW. This does not meet the RFP requirements.

Thin film panels proposed by EnXco are much less efficient than crystalline solar panels. This leads to a lower output in megawatts for a given size area. SFPUC is interested in achieving the maximum megawatts of solar for a given area as a base for possible future renewable portfolio standards.

The First Solar product is made from cadmium telluride which would be considered a safety problem if it were to leach into the city water supply as opposed to benign silicon in SunTech panels.

The RFP required a solar panel efficiency of 13% which cannot be met with First Solar cadmium telluride panels.

Since EnXco bid did not meet the meet RFP requirements on multiple counts the bid was rejected.

Laura Spanjian
Assistant General Manager
External Affairs
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
1155 Market Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 554-1540 direct
(415) 554-3424 fax
lspanjian@sfwater.org
May 20, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Parkmerced Project Notice of Preparation
Department File No. 2008.0021E

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, the enclosed environmental review document is being forwarded to you for distribution to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

If you have any questions related to this project's environmental evaluation, please contact the planner identified on the enclosed document or call me at 575-9107.

Sincerely,

Monica Pereira
For Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer

www.sfplanning.org
May 11, 2009

Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
#1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
Attention: Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Subject: Overtime Justification Report - Administrative Code Section 18.13-1
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency for November 1, 2008 through March 6, 2009

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 18.13-1, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) is submitting herewith the San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni) and Department of Parking and Traffic (DPT) overtime justification report for its employees who worked overtime in excess of 16 percent of the regularly scheduled straight time for the period November 1, 2008 through March 6, 2009. This report excludes transit operators and transit supervisors. Our last report submitted on January 9, 2009 covering the period July 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008 stated that 278 employees reached the threshold. As of March 6, 2009, SFMTA had 112 employees who met the overtime reporting criteria set forth in the Administrative Code. The decrease in overtime usage is attributed to many factors such as seasonal fluctuating staffing needs, new employees finishing their on-the-job training and completed programs and projects not requiring additional overtime.

Overtime assignments are made on a voluntary basis and are based on seniority, specific job knowledge and availability. Most of the 112 employees are categorized as service critical employees who are responsible for service delivery. Employees who volunteer for overtime are rotated in order to equitably distribute opportunities to work overtime. Certain employees make themselves available to a greater degree than others. Therefore, what could be perceived as disparity among overtime earners actually represents greater willingness and ability to work required overtime assignments by certain employees. SFMTA managers exercise tight controls to ensure that there are no overtime abuses.
The SFMTA employees who exceeded the 16 percent threshold worked overtime due to the following:

**Finance Division**

The Revenue Section had only one staff person exceeding 16 percent total overtime hours for this reporting period compared to 15 staff for the period of July 1, 2008 through October 31, 2008. This staff person was responsible for training new employees in December 2008 and January 2009.

The Customer Service Center Section has seven staff exceeding the 16 percent threshold for this reporting period. Increased Lifeline pass sales and online sales have significantly increased the workload of this section. Staff is currently working with a consultant to recommend short-term changes to business processes which should create efficiencies, thus reducing the need to utilize overtime.

**Administration Division**

The Payroll Section is currently understaffed and has not been able to backfill vacant positions. Six Payroll Clerks exceeded the 16 percent threshold for this reporting period. Payroll had to convert the timekeeping system for the Transit Operators from its current system BMIS to Trapeze. Conversion of the Transit Operators timekeeping system was performed one division at a time and took a tremendous amount of time. During the conversion staff had to compare, test and re-check to validate that Trapeze is correctly calculating the different payments for the Transit Operators. The testing was performed concurrently with their standard responsibilities processing payroll for the rest of the Agency on two completely different timekeeping systems (TESS and DETS). Overtime was utilized to support the Transit Operators timekeeping system conversion and regular duties.

**Muni Operations Division**

Muni Operations Division overtime assignments are made on a voluntary basis in accordance with the rules of seniority. Overtime is utilized to support front line service delivery and is attributed to several factors such as staff shift requirements, maintenance and vehicle repairs, special events requiring additional service and administrative support functions.

Muni Operations Division overtime has continued to decrease due to the implementation of an overtime reduction program in the fall of 2008. For the reporting period, November 1, 2008 to March 6, 2009, 81 employees are reported with overtime hours in excess of 16 percent compared to 250 employees as reported on January 7, 2008.
The majority of employees with overtime in excess of 16 percent are front line service critical and specialized craft personnel.

**Bus Operations:**

Bus Operations overtime for the reported period is attributed to bus maintenance and transportation support functions. A Transit Car Cleaner Assistant Supervisor was required to work overtime in order to meet the demands of vehicle cleaning and graffiti abatement for the bus fleet. A Clerk worked overtime to provide additional administrative support to the Bus Transportation Unit.

**Rail Service Operations:**

Rail Service Operations overtime for the reported period is attributed to vehicle maintenance, fleet availability and service delivery, support of special events and administrative support.

Rail Maintenance overtime is ascribed to craft personnel such as Electrical Transit System Mechanics, Electrical Transit Mechanic Assistant Supervisor, Maintenance Machinist and Wire Rope Cable Maintenance Mechanics to provide shift coverage necessary to perform vehicle maintenance tasks. Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) Maintenance personnel utilized overtime to support fleet availability and service delivery, as well as to provide additional support for special events such as baseball games. In addition to performing scheduled preventive maintenance inspections, LRV Running Repair Unit staff utilized overtime to support maintenance operations at Muni Metro East.

Overtime was also required of Scheduler/vehicle Maintenance Services Unit personnel to perform support services related to parts procurement analysis and planning. Transit Car Cleaners and Public Service Aides assigned to the Rail Fleet Appearance Unit worked overtime to meet the demands of vehicle cleaning and graffiti abatement on the LRVs.

One Clerk in the Rail Transportation Unit worked overtime to provide additional administrative support and adequate secretarial and clerical support for all the units in the division.

**System Support:**

System Support Operations is comprised of diverse personnel in specialty units such as Signals Shop, Farebox Shop, Electronic Shop, Track and Overhead Lines, Infrastructure Maintenance, Building and Grounds Maintenance and Central Control. For safety considerations the division must maintain appropriate staffing levels during
each shift to perform daily preventative maintenance on the system and to respond to any unscheduled emergency work and/or road calls.

The Overhead Lines Unit is responsible for maintaining the overhead electric transit power wires and the underground electric feeder system. One Electronic Control System Technician worked overtime to perform emergency repair of overhead lines that occurred beyond the regular shift. In the Buildings and Grounds Maintenance Unit, several Stationary Engineers, one Electrician and one Senior Stationary Engineer worked overtime providing shift relief coverage as well as performing preventative maintenance and repair of subway lighting and electrical distribution. Additionally, a Heat and Ventilation Inspector worked overtime on emergency repairs of subway elevators and escalators while several Custodians assigned to Building and Grounds Unit worked overtime to clean the facilities.

The Central Control Unit operates 24/7 and utilized overtime to meet shift and staffing requirements. Several Train Controllers and Transit Operator Specialists worked overtime to meet shift demands, ensure system control and safety and fulfill California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) mandated training. Overtime was also distributed based on scheduling needs to support special events such as parades, football, baseball and demonstrations.

Parking and Traffic Division:

One employee in the Parking & Traffic Division worked 48 regular hours and 10 overtime hours during a very limited time period resulting in a 20.8 percent overtime rate skewing the employee’s overall overtime rate of 3.15 percent.

Security & Enforcement Division:

Overtime rates within the Security & Enforcement Division have reduced significantly in this reporting period, with 47 fewer employees accruing over 16 percent overtime than in the previous period. Overtime was utilized in the Enforcement Dispatch Center to compensate for staff shortages. Additional overtime was incurred by Parking Control Officers and Supervisors who volunteer to work overtime offered by the division for special events such as parades, demonstrations, foot races and street closures.

Safety Division:

The Safety Division had two employees that incurred overtime greater than 16 percent for the reporting period of November 1, 2008 through March 6, 2009.

One “Z” class employee received a lump sum payment in December 2008 for overtime work performed in July 2008 through September 2008. The “Z” class
suspension for fiscal year 2008-2009 was approved in August 2008 and transmitted to the Payroll Division in November 2008 resulting in this delayed overtime payment.

Another employee incurred overtime working on the 2008 CPUC Triennial Audit. In 2005, Booz-Allen was paid $500,000 to perform similar work preparing SFMTA for the 2005 CPUC Triennial Audit.

Please contact Sonali Bose, Chief Financial Officer/Director of Finance and Information Technology, at 415.701.4617 if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr.
Executive Director/CEO
Still nothing posted online by Mr. Vein as he promised Supervisor Avalos over a week ago he would do it "next day"

Also nothing at all on the other two meetings pending

On Mon, May 18, 2009 at 9:21 AM, kimo <kimo@webnetic.net> wrote:

Around last Tuesday, based on a question by Sup. Avalos @ Budget Committee meeting, Mr. Vein announced this meeting and said more info would be posted at http://recoverysf.org

So far no new info is available including details on the other two meetings...

Thank you.

-------------------------------------------------

First meeting to be held by DTIS will be on:

May 28th Chinatown Public Library 3pm

At least two other meetings to come

More information will be posted here:

http://recoverysf.org/intranet/RecoverySF/category/News/
MEMORANDUM

May 18, 2009

To: Supervisor David Chiu, Board President

From: Edwin M. Lee, City Administrator and Capital Planning Committee Chair

Copy: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Capital Planning Committee

Regarding: Recommendation on the November 2009 Safe Streets & Road Repair General Obligation Bond

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on May 18, 2009, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) reviewed one action item under consideration by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC’s recommendations on these items are set forth below as well as a record of the members present.

1. Board File Number 090585: Potential amendment to increase the principal amount of the Safe Streets and Road Repair Bond by $20,000,000 to include Utility Undergrounding.

Recommendation: Recommend approval of the following:

(1) Explicitly identify Utility Undergrounding as a possible element of streetscape improvements;

(2) Establish policy in bond that any major streetscape improvement project will include undergrounding, where overhead wires exist; and

(3) Increase total amount of streetscape funds in bond, and thereby the total amount of the bond, by $20,000,000 to allow for more undergrounding capacity (up to 5 miles).

Comments: The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 7-0, with one abstention.

Committee members or representatives in favor include Edwin M. Lee, City Administrator; Nadia Sesay, Controller’s Office; Gigi Whitley, Mayor’s Office; Ed Reiskin, Department of Public Works; John Rahaim, Planning Department; Tina Olson, Port of San Francisco; and Cindy Nichols, San Francisco International Airport.

Committee members abstaining include David Chiu, Board of Supervisors President.
May Revise - May 14, 2009

The Governor released the May Revise today and indicated the significant fiscal crisis that the nation is facing and the fact that California is especially hit hard. The May Revision projects spending this year and next will exceed available funds by $15 billion in the absence of any correction which assumes that the Propositions on the May 19th ballot pass. Should these measures fail, the budget will be an additional $5.8 billion out of balance in 2009-10. While he indicated that there was a proposal to borrow $2 billion from local government he stated that he “absolutely despised taking funds from local governments but we have to do this in the worst case scenario.”

Below please find some highlights of the May Revise proposals that affect counties. There is limited information on each of the proposals, and not much detail on the specifics. It is believed that more specifics will be available on May 28th when the full May Revise will be released.

Scenario 1 includes the proposals if the Propositions pass on May 19th. These reductions and other proposals will be necessary to address the current budget deficit. Scenario 2 includes the contingency proposals if the Propositions fail on May 19th. You can find the complete document at www.dof.ca.gov.

Scenario 1: Propositions 1A-1F Pass on May 19th

Borrowing

Issuance of Registered Reimbursement Warrants (RAWs). External cash borrowing will be needed during the 2009-10 fiscal year to cover the shortfall of cash due to the imbalance of spending and revenue collections. The May revision proposes to cover $6 billion of this cash borrowing need through the issuance of RAWs.

Reorganization and Consolidation of State Assets ($50 million Savings)

- Consolidate several state departments, and eliminate eight departments including the Department of Boating and Waterways, and the Department of Community Services (full list available on-line).

Program Savings

- Eliminate state subventions to local governments for open space and agricultural land under the Williamson Act ($34.7 million).
- Eliminate the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants and the California Food Assistance Program ($120.2 million).
- Reduce services for newly qualified Legal Immigrants under Medi-Cal ($125 million). This would limit benefits for newly qualified immigrants and immigrants permanently residing under the color of law to emergency services only.
- Limit IHSS domestic and related services to the most functionally impaired ($40.8 million).
- Limit IHSS share-of-cost buyout to the most functionally impaired ($38.2 million).
- Limit state participation in IHSS wages ($114.1 million). This would reduce state participation in the wages of IHSS workers to the state minimum wage of $8.00 per hour, plus $.60 per hour for health benefits.
- Reduce SSI/SSP grants to the minimum federally allowed levels for individuals and couples ($248.5 million).
- CalWORKS Reforms ($156.7 million): These include (1) modifying the safety net program by continuing benefits for families beyond their 60 month time limit only if they meet federal work participation requirements, (2) provide cash aid for families receiving child-only benefits in a manner consistent with other CalWORKS families, (3) institute a face-to-face self-sufficiency review every six months with a county worker for CalWORKS families who are not meeting work requirements, and (4) reduce the CalWORKS maximum and payment standard by six percent.

Major New Proposals:

Medi-Cal – Pharmacy Reforms ($75 million). Implement new federal and state drug pricing policies aimed at lowering costs and retaining quality care.

Medi-Cal – Anti-Fraud Initiative ($47.9 million). Would more aggressively target fraud in adult day health care centers, pharmacy, physicians, durable medical equipment and transportation.

IHSS Anti-Fraud Initiative ($15.8 million). This proposal would significantly increase the prevention and detection of fraud within the IHSS program.

Federal Medi-Cal Flexibility and Stabilization (Federal Waiver Required - $750 million). The state cannot afford its Medi-Cal program as currently structured and governed by federal rules and regulations. The Administration will petition the Obama Administration to work with California to secure essential program flexibilities to slow the rate of program growth and manage Medi-Cal within available resources. The Administration will also work with Congress to resolve longstanding, unreimbursed Medicaid claims owed to states associated with the delayed federal classification of certain permanent disability cases.

Scenario 2: May Revision Contingency Proposals

Borrowing

Suspend Proposition 1A (2004). Borrowing $1.982 billion from local government by borrowing eight percent of the property tax revenues received by cities, counties and special districts. Repayment must be made within the next three years.

Program Savings

10 Percent Rate Reduction for all Drug Medi-Cal Treatment Modalities ($8.8 million). This program funds substance abuse treatment services for Medi-Cal eligible individuals.
Targeted Reductions in Prison Population – Commutation of Sentences ($182.1 million). Due to the fact that the federal State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) has historically been underfunded and that the Obama administration has proposed to eliminate funding it is becoming more challenging for the state to afford to incarcerate the number of inmates in state prison. Unless the state can begin receiving an appropriate amount, the state will have to begin approving applications for commutation of sentences submitted by undocumented immigrants in our prison system and having them deported.

Change Sentencing Options for Low-Level Offenders ($99.9 million). Eliminate the current sentencing options for specified crimes that may be treated either as felonies or misdemeanors, making them punishable by a jail term rather than state prison.

Eliminate Funding for Substance Abuse Treatment and Crime Prevention ($108 million). As enacted the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA – Proposition 36) guaranteed state funding for only the first 5 years, 2001 through 2006. This proposal eliminates $108 million General Fund for the program ($90 million) and the Substance Abuse Offender Treatment Program ($18 million).

IHSS Cost Containment ($301.5 million). Effective October 1, 2009, individuals who require minimal physical assistance from another person to perform an activity would no longer receive domestic and related services, and individuals needing only supervision from another person to perform an activity would no longer be eligible for IHSS services.

Child Welfare Services - Reduce Rates ($13.9 million). Reduce Group Home, Foster Family Agency and Specialized Care and Clothing Allowance Rates by ten percent.

Child Welfare Services – Reduce Program Funding by Ten Percent ($70 million). Reduce the General Fund allocation to counties for Child Welfare Services by ten percent. Under this proposal, counties could prioritize remaining funds to protect the health and safety of children and their families, and appropriately address federal outcome requirements.

Healthy Families – Roll Back Eligibility to 200 Percent of Poverty Level ($54.5 million). Roll back eligibility for Health Families to 200 percent of the federal poverty level which would mean that approximately 225,000 children would no longer receive health coverage through the program.

Medi-Cal – Reduce Adult Day Health Care Program ($25.5 million). Reduce the program optional benefit by limiting benefits to three days per week.

Suspending Children’s Dental Disease Prevention ($2.9 million). This program operates in 31 counties serving approximately 300,000 California preschool and elementary school children annually.

Fees

Emergency Response Initiative Fee ($76 Million). The Governor’s budget proposed a 2.8 percent surcharge on all residential and commercial property insurance statewide to enhance the state’s emergency response capabilities. The May Revision would increase the insurance surcharge to 4.8 percent to fund a portion of CalFIRE’s baseline firefighting operations and provide assistance to local first response agencies in support of the state’s mutual aid system.
New Contingency Proposals:

**Medi-Cal – Expand revenue base for Skilled Nursing Facility Rates ($18.3 million).** Would expand the amount of revenue on which the AB 1629 fee is assessed to include Medicare revenues.

**Shift Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surtax Funds to Medi-Cal ($60 million).** Redirect $60 million in Proposition 99 funds from county health, clinics, Breast Cancer Early Detection, Asthma, Major Risk Medical Insurance, and Access for Infants and Mothers Program, and rural health demonstration project and a consumer assessment project to offset costs in the Medi-Cal Program.
Per your request, the Controller's Office has completed a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City's Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza (OMP). The report is attached for your review.

Sincerely,

Maura Lane
Executive Assistant to Ben Rosenfield, Controller

Data.center_20090513133149_000.PDF
TO: Supervisor David Chiu and Members, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE: May 13, 2009

SUBJECT: Data Center Options Analysis (Reference Number 20090331-001)

Per your request, the Controller’s Office has completed a cost-benefit analysis of site alternatives the City’s Department of Technology is considering with respect to its current data center located at One Market Plaza (OMP). Our findings and recommendations are summarized below.

Summary of Findings:

- The department had previously proposed maintaining its current leased site at OMP and locating additional data space needs at a leased site at Paul Street. The department would then pursue a long-term relocation to a City-owned site while operating these two sites.

- We recommend a full relocation to Paul Street of all operations currently housed at OMP, given our review of different site alternatives and after adjusting for capital investments at each site to create required and comparable functionality. Our analysis indicates that full relocation to Paul Street would be the most cost-effective option during the coming ten years. The City can then pursue a long-term relocation plan from this single site.

- A future relocation to a City-owned site may prove more cost-effective when considered over a twenty-year horizon. However, this alternative requires considerable time to develop – including identification, development, and build-out of a suitable site – and will benefit from a comprehensive plan to relocate other departments’ data centers into this future site. We recommend that the Committee on Information Technology (COIT) develop such a plan upon relocation of current operations to the Paul Street site.

- Other operational requirements should be met prior to a relocation from the OMP to the Paul Street site. These include (1) development of an alternate recovery site to support the City’s financial, payroll, purchasing, and criminal justice systems in the event that the primary site is compromised in a disaster, and (2) the relocation of mainframe check printing to an alternate location or to a private check-printing vendor.
Options Considered:

Our analysis reviewed four site alternatives, adjusting for different levels of capital and technology upgrades at each site required to make each site comparable while meeting recommended operational requirements. These options are summarized below:

Option A. Continue current operations at OMP with sufficient system and capital upgrades to allow for expansion of this site for all anticipated data center needs. In this option, no relocation of any functions to Paul Street would be required and the department would continue to operate a single data center site, once the City invested in significant capital improvements.

Option B. This option represents the department’s previous proposal to continue leasing at OMP with additional data center needs met through a limited use of the Paul Street site. In this option, the department would operate two data center sites.

Option C. A full relocation of current data center operations at OMP to the Paul Street site, with additional data center needs met at this site. In this option, the department would continue to operate a single data center site.

Option D. A full relocation of current data center operations to an undetermined City-owned site. This option is not immediately available, and would require identification, development, and build-out of a single site.

Our cumulative cost projections at each of these sites are summarized in the table below and in Attachment 1. Our office finds that a full relocation to the Paul Street site (Option C) is the most cost-effective in the short and mid-term, while relocation to a future owned site is likely to be the most cost-effective over a longer twenty year horizon (Option D). Over all time horizons, OMP (Option A) is the least cost effective alternative on a present value basis.

Data Center Options: Cumulative Present Value Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. One Market Plaza</td>
<td>20,500,000</td>
<td>37,700,000</td>
<td>52,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. One Market Plaza + Paul St.</td>
<td>14,700,000</td>
<td>27,400,000</td>
<td>49,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Paul St.</td>
<td>9,400,000</td>
<td>19,200,000</td>
<td>43,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Future Owned Site</td>
<td>12,300,000</td>
<td>21,900,000</td>
<td>28,100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Projection Assumptions:

- Our projections assume site improvements at both OMP and at a future owned site to meet operational needs for emergency power, energy capacity, and other technical needs. The department estimates these costs at $16.8 million and $11.0 million, respectively. Our projections assume that these costs would be financed over the ten year useful life of these improvements. The Paul Street site meets these baseline needs without improvements.

- Our projections assume current market rates at both OMP and the Paul Street sites, with these costs rising in future years by 3.0% annually. Given the facility improvements noted above, we have assumed that the City can reduce its occupied square footage at each site to approximately 2,000 square feet.

- Equipment replacement costs during this time horizon have been excluded from all projections, given that these investments will be required in any relocation scenario and therefore will not change the overall findings regarding comparative costs.

- Our projections do not assume additional colocation of other City data centers at any of these sites. However, strategic colocation at any site will reduce overall City costs given shared physical improvement needs. We recommend that COIT develop a strategic plan regarding these options, which could be pursued as part of a long-term strategy to relocate to a City-owned facility.
Attachment A. Data Center Options: Projected One-Time (Fixed) Costs and Ongoing Annual Present Value Costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-time tenant improvements</th>
<th>A. One Market Plaza (OMP)</th>
<th>B. OMP + Paul St.</th>
<th>C. Paul St.</th>
<th>D. Future Owned Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DT improvements</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>11,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>5,800,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,800,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 11,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (moving, equipment, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer equipment installation</td>
<td>$ 450,000</td>
<td>$ 300,000</td>
<td>$ 750,000</td>
<td>$ 750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving</td>
<td></td>
<td>173,750</td>
<td>162,400</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>450,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>533,750</strong></td>
<td><strong>972,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>810,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-Time Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,250,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>533,750</strong></td>
<td><strong>972,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,810,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Approximate square feet: 20,000
- Annual lease $/psf: $49.87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4,100,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>3,800,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3,700,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3,600,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3,400,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>2,400,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 52,000,000

**PV**: 49,900,000

**PV**: 45,700,000

**PV**: 25,100,000
May 17, 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

Please accept this letter as my personal recommendation for ShaMauda Bishop of Simply Fabulous Beauty Salon for a seat on the Reentry Council.

As Director of the Renaissance Entrepreneurship Center/Bayview Business Resource Center (Ren BBRC), I have had the pleasure of working with ShaMauda for the past year during her participation in our Entrepreneurship Program. She has demonstrated a wonderful initiative and positive attitude toward accomplishment; both qualities that have made her a successful business owner.

Through our programs, Ms. Bishop received a grant award of $5,000 for her business, which she utilized to add additional services and another operating chair to expand her business. We recognize her determination and positive attitude in spite of the day-to-day challenges she faces doing business in a difficult economy. ShaMauda has volunteered her time to train young women in the community with hair care and beauty tips. Her effortless entrepreneurial spirit and thriving tenacity will be strong assets to the Reentry Council.

It is with pride that I recommend ShaMauda Bishop for a seat on the Reentry Council. If you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Lola Whittle
Director
S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM

Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]

Name of Department: Human Services Agency

Department Address: 170 Otis Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

Contact Person: Philip Wong

Phone Number: 415-657-5115

Fax Number: 415-657-6504

Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Safeway Inc.

Contact Person: Brenda Carro

Contractor Address: MS 6017, PO Box 29065, Phoenix, AZ 85038

Vendor Number (if known): 16135

Contact Phone No.: 623-869-6100 x63497

Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 05-18-09

Type of Contract: BPO

Contract Start Date: 07-01-09

End Date: 06-30-10

Dollar Amount of Contract: $30,000.00

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

☒ Chapter 12B

☐ Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page):

☐ A. Sole Source

☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)

☐ C. Public Entity

☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 05-19-09

☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of $5 million; see Admin. Code §14E.7.1.3)

☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION

12B Waiver Granted: [Signature]

12B Waiver Denied: [Signature]

14B Waiver Granted: [Signature]

14B Waiver Denied: [Signature]

Reason for Action: [Reason]

HRC Staff: [Signature] Date: ____________

HRC Staff: [Signature] Date: ____________

HRC Director: [Signature] Date: ____________

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: ____________

Contract Dollar Amount: ____________
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B  
WAIVER REQUEST FORM  
(HRC Form 2011)

Section 1. Department Information
Department Head Signature: [Signature]
Name of Department: Human Services Agency
Department Address: 170 Otis Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
Contact Person: Philip Wong
Phone Number: 415-557-5115
Fax Number: 415-557-6504

Section 2. Contractor Information
Contractor Name: Target Stores
Contact Person: Christi
Contractor Address: 370 Wayzata Blvd., Minneapolis, MN 55416
Vendor Number (if known): C03956
Contact Phone No.: 800-818-6860

Section 3. Transaction Information
Date Waiver Request Submitted: 05-18-09
Type of Contract: BPO
Contract Start Date: 07-01-09
End Date: 06-30-10
Dollar Amount of Contract: $25,000.00

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)
- [ ] Chapter 12B
- [ ] Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)
- [ ] A. Sole Source
- [ ] B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- [ ] C. Public Entity
- [ ] D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 05-19-09
- [ ] E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- [ ] F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- [ ] G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of $5 million; see Admin. Code §14E.7.1.3)
- [ ] H. Subcontracting Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRC ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12B Waiver Granted: _______________ 14B Waiver Granted: _______________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12B Waiver Denied: _______________ 14B Waiver Denied: _______________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for Action: ____________________________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRC Staff:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRC Director:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: ___________________________ Contract Dollar Amount: ___________________________
Fax

To: Board of Supervisors
From: Philip Wong
HSA Purchasing
415-557-5115, (fax) 415-557-6504

Fax: 415-554-5163
Date: May 20, 2009

Phone: Pages: 3 including this cover sheet

Re: HRC Request for Waiver for Target and Safeway CC:

Comments:

Human Services Agency
Department of Human Services
Department of Aging and Adult Services
Trent Rhorer, Executive Director
Office of Contract Management
1650 Mission Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, CA 94103
Good morning San Francisco Agents and Representatives,

Today, NJ's Judge Batten ruled in regards to the admissibility of evidence concerning dangerous intersections. In that case, the state and county are being sued because of known dangerous conditions.

Relevant factors to consider are:
1. The effort required to correct the hazards;
2. Gov't recognition of limited visibility;
3. Gov't records showing a pattern of accidents;
4. Defect at the time of the accident;
5. That a different method was feasible to prevent accidents;
6. If there was a design defect;
7. If there was a signage deficiency;
8. other.

Gov't business records and engineering reports are always admissible.

Ruling: If the defendants in any way "opens the door" and brings up subsequent remedial measures, whether by picture, testimony or other, then the plaintiff will be able to admit that evidence.

Note that it is impossible to talk about the accident without bringing up any of the above. California now at least has a very strong precedent from NJ. No doubt similar binding cases also exist in Ca.

Thank you for spray painting where the concrete curbs are supposed to go in. It would be nice if two yards of concrete, about $500, were trucked over and installed there to provide refuge for pedestrians sprinting across Alemany. The City's labor is no doubt already paid for. Perhaps a right turn only sign could also alleviate an assortment of problems.

Please talk to the police which last week had at least three cars supporting a sting operation at Alemany and San Juan. The officer who risked his toes and nearly his life was in very good shape but still out of breath. The City made quite some bank that day with roughly one fine issued every other minute for failure to yield to pedestrians.

This email is yet another administrative/business record positively received by the City and in regards to dangerous conditions which can easily be
reme-died at nominal costs and in very short time.

Thank you in advance,

Patrick Missud, Esq.
Dear Supervisors:

Please reject the MTA budget.

Cars are not paying their fair share to balance the budget deficit. The Mayor has added gimmicks to take money from Muni by charging the MTA for services that formerly were not charged for. If this is going to be a "green" city, cutting bus service and making it more costly are not part of the solution.

I welcome a downtown congestion district similar to the one in London. Let's make that the long-term plan.

-David Tornheim

San Francisco, CA 94117-1249
Dear Editor,

Do you think that MUNI’s hiring of 48 new managers with less employees and less service to manage will finally help them to manage the $8,000,000 they spend to recoup $360,000 in the Proof of payment program? I see Mr. Ford getting paid $318,000 per year to run MUNI into the ground, picking up where Burns left off. And, after we suffer at the hands of these over-paid poor excuses for department heads, he will leave and some other poor sucker of a city will hire him, just like Santa Clara hired Burns after he left. Give me a break! The supervisors who voted for this budget? Now, there’s a recall idea!!!

Terrie Frye
San Francisco Tenderloin
Wednesday, May 20, 2009

Supervisor John Avalos
District 11 (Excelsior)
City Hall
1 Dr Carlton Goodlet Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor John Avalos,

I am pleased to hear of your stand against MUNI' fare hikes. You see, I am a disabled individual, who in the past years with the Bush Administration has watched my savings dissipate to what is now none existent. I now find myself struggling from month to month and trying to make ends meet. It has recently become more difficult with the tax hikes and reduction in funds due to our governors cut backs. We find more and more community services being cut, adding additional strain to our pocket books.

Falling short each month five dollars, twenty dollars here and there makes it difficult for most us who live on a set income. A dollar can mean a lot if you are trying to get to the doctors and back home again. I know it may not seem much, but for those of us who live way below the poverty level, one dollar is a lot of money. There are many seniors and disabled individuals who still pay at the time when boarding MUNI because they are afraid to spend ten dollars for a pass because they just can’t afford it.

I commend you on your stand with MUNI, I can only hope that the other supervisors can follow your example and leadership. Any thing you can do to help the seniors and the disabled in saving a little money to make ends meet in these harsh and difficult times is appreciated. I would like to thank you from the bottom of my heart for all of us who are seniors and disabled.

A grateful citizen,

A. Alberto Castillio Abello
Dear Supervisors,

It is impossible for me to understand how any supervisor could vote for a budget that hemorrhages money - $8M to recoup $350K, how ridiculous! And hiring 48 new managers? And Ford makes more than the mayor? (way more) Come on!

Terrrie

*Sometimes it takes a village to keep adults in line too!*
People are fighting mass transit hikes all over the country and how stimulating is that? Why not leave some bus change in our pockets for the next ride?

Reject the MTA budget. Voting against bus riders after voting to put solar power on the Sunset Reservoir, to advance Gavin Newsom's gubernatorial ambitions, would be outright ECO SHRIEK!!

---Ann Garrison, District #8
May 15, 2009

Eric Mar, Supervisor, District 1
David Chiu, Supervisor and Board President, District 3
Sophie Maxell, Supervisor, District 10
City Hall
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Re: Supervisor Daly's Renters Economic Relief Package

Dear Supervisors:

I was literally shaken to see this proposed ordinance, which again seeks to place undue hardship on small property owners who are struggling as everyone else to make ends meet during this financial crisis.

This is simply unfair not only because of its immediate effect on an owner's ability to meet obligations but its far reaching consequences considering the difficulty in rolling back the policies once they are enacted.

Beyond this, I ask where are your contributions at this critical time? Have the politicos at City Hall considered reducing their salaries as a means to bridge the budget gap?

I believe all our local, state and federal public officials should follow Governor Schwarzenegger's lead foregoing remuneration especially in difficult times in the true spirit of public service.

Sincerely,

Claudine O. Venegas

cc: Mayor Newsom
    Governor Schwarzenegger
    Supervisor Daly
Dear Mayor Newsom & Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in regards to the 3 proposals by Supervisor Daly to further restrict property owners in San Francisco. Supervisor Daly, while he may mean well, does not seem to understand the full effect of his proposals.

I am not one of those rich property owners the tenant groups would like to portray us as. I am a small property owner, with one property. I know all of my tenants, and am on good terms with them because they are my neighbors. Yet I am a property manager out of necessity, because there is no other way I could afford the mortgage to live in San Francisco.

My wife and I set reasonable rents, and some are well below market-rate because we have long term tenants. But because we pay for utilities, if Supervisor Daly's proposals go through, we would be forced to pay more, without being able to recover it.

Rent increases are already capped at whatever is the current CPI. This year the increase is 2.2%, but the inflation rate in 2008 averaged 3.8%. That does not even keep up with inflation. Water and sewage costs are set to increase 13%. Yet despite all these increases in costs, Supervisor Daly proposes to allow more roommates without the owner's approval, but not allow any corresponding increases. All this adds up to more utility costs, more maintenance costs, and no way to pay for it except out of pocket.

We are not getting rich on rent. We make just enough to cover the mortgage, taxes, utilities and insurance. We do this to be able to live in the city. But if Supervisor Daly's proposals are approved, we and others like us, could face the foreclosure market as well if we do not make enough to pay the mortgage.

In all other businesses, San Francisco likes to favor small, mom-and-pop businesses over big-box stores. But not the rental market. When it comes to rental property, small owners get no protection and are lumped in with big property owners. But we're just like the local grocery store. We don't have other properties to make up for the ones losing money. If we don't make enough, we can't meet the mortgage. In my
case if I go out of business I could lose my home as well, not just the business.

Supv. Daly is commendable for looking out for the less fortunate. However, lawmakers must be able to see the whole environment, not support a chosen few at the expense of those he doesn't like. The city already has a very tenant-friendly environment. It does not need more laws making it more difficult to make ends meet. Please do not support Supervisor Daly's proposals. Please stop him from forcing more small-property owners out of business.

Thank you for your time,
Brian Lee
North Beach Resident
San Francisco
Dear Supervisors,
I am a very small property landlord and I am a good landlord despite economic difficulties. Please do not make my job harder by voting for these changes to rent control.

thank you
Karen cliffe
To My Elected Representatives,

The subject article in the 5/12 Chronicle indicates that there are four proposals being advanced on behalf of tenants. Please know that I vigorously oppose these proposals. By the way, I do not own any rental units.

- To limit rent increases that are a hardship for tenants would not only be an administrative nightmare (who determines that a true hardship exists) but, more fundamentally it has a property owner providing welfare for the tenant. If there is to be financial relief for someone with a hardship, that cost should be approved by the voters of San Francisco and borne by its taxpayers. It is completely unfair for the property owner to have this burden. Who protects a property owner that has trouble paying a mortgage or paying other expenses to maintain the property?

- As to protection of tenants in the case of a property foreclosure, does this mean that the bank would be tied up in recovering the property when the owner does not pay the mortgage? That would be governmental interference, and I cannot believe it would be lawful.

In general, San Francisco government has already become much too involved in protecting the interests of tenants, while not being balanced in protecting the interests of property owners, who provide a place for the tenant to live. For example, how about relief for the property owners when the mortgage cannot be paid because the tenant does not pay rent, and City ordinances keep the tenant from being evicted without long delays. San Francisco is a City of renters, so an elected official is tempted a support any idea which gives a freebie to the tenant. It's just not right. How about standing up and doing the right thing. Joe Cline, Citizen of San Francisco
Dear Mayor Newsom & Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in regards to the 3 proposals by Supervisor Daly to further restrict property owners in San Francisco. Supervisor Daly, while he may mean well, does not seem to understand the full effect of his proposals.

I am not one those rich property owners the tenant groups would like to portray us as. I am a small property owner, with one property. I know all of my tenants, and am on good terms with them because they are my neighbors. Yet I am a property manager out of necessity, because there is no other way I could afford the mortgage to live in San Francisco.

My wife and I set reasonable rents, and some are well below market-rate because we have long term tenants. But because we pay for utilities, if Supervisor Daly's proposals go through, we would be forced to pay more, without being able to recover it.

Rent increases are already capped at whatever is the current CPI. This year the increase is 2.2%, but the inflation rate in 2008 averaged 3.8%. That does not even keep up with inflation. Water and sewage costs are set to increase 13%. Yet despite all these increases in costs, Supervisor Daly proposes to allow more roommates without the owner's approval, but not allow any corresponding increases. All this adds up to more utility costs, more maintenance costs, and no way to pay for it except out of pocket.

We are not getting rich on rent. We make just enough to cover the mortgage, taxes, utilities and insurance. We do this to be able to live in the city. But if Supervisor Daly's proposals are approved, we and others like us, could face the foreclosure market as well if we do not make enough to pay the mortgage.

In all other businesses, San Francisco likes to favor small, mom-and-pop businesses over big-box stores. But not the rental market. When it comes to rental property, small owners get no protection and are lumped in with big property owners. But we're just like the local grocery store. We don't have other properties to make up for the ones losing money. If we don't make enough, we can't meet the mortgage. In my case, if I go out of business I could lose my home as well, not just the
Supv. Daly is commendable for looking out for the less fortunate. However, lawmakers must be able to see the whole environment, not support a chosen few at the expense of those he doesn't like. The city already has a very tenant-friendly environment. It does not need more laws making it more difficult to make ends meet. Please do not support Supervisor Daly's proposals. Please stop him from forcing more small-property owners out of business.

Thank you for your time,
Brian Lee
North Beach Resident
San Francisco
Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am a Local 16 stagehand who worked on the TV pilot "Trauma" that shot in San Francisco. The Show was picked up by NBC for eleven more episodes. The set decorating department, in which I worked, alone spent over $100,000.00 on the pilot for set dressing. That is money that went into San Francisco and Bay Area businesses and does not even include the payroll that our crew members earned.

I implore you to make every concession possible to the film company to encourage them to shoot the eleven episodes here in San Francisco. Also it is important that they secure Bldg. 3 at Treasure Island as their production facility. I heard that Oracle wants to use it for one night for their party. I worked Oracle and their Party can be held at bldg.1. While Oracle does bring a lot of money to San Francisco every year, We need this TV show. It would be the recession busting project San Francisco and it's citizens could really benefit from.

Please do all you can to bring "Trauma" and Open 4 Business Productions back to San Francisco.

Thank you,

Jody Weisenfeld
Local 16 Stagehand
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO:
2009 MAY 19 PM 2:25
May 19, 2009
By To: The Board of Supervisors

From: Eula Walters (See Above) who represents 2300 solid signees as a statement that I represent them. And, that Ferry Park is to remain fully green and open as a place to play and to rest.

STATEMENT:
What? Greedy architects and builders are back again.

Why? to spend 1,800,000 dollars of money taken illegally from the down town fund to "fix" a Park that needs no fixing. Phase one.

EXPLAIN: Section 139 of the Charter states that money should be used only for acquiring and developing down town property to be used as a recreation place for people who work and live down town.

And what is proposed? The same architectural drawing that was rejected by the supervisors in 1995. ROMA ARCHITECTS SHOULD BE REJECTED AGAIN. Too, Mr. Dramov is being paid twice for the same old drawing. A plan that is a French-like design of curley-Q sidewalks that only the homeless will find useful with the new benches.

My statement: I've given one-fourth of my life to winning and developing Ferry Park (which I named) and now, all those from Telegraph Hill, North Beach, China Town, Fisherman's Wharf, San Francisco Tomorrow denizens who argued after the Earthquake ('89) to sell off that area under the Freeway Ramps, and use themoney to build roads into their "Area". But now, they find it convenient to do just steal and call it their own.

I have pictures to show you.

Oversight, is not shown by the City. You are begging for new money, laying off workers. Here is about 2 Million that could serve of good purpose. Yet, you continue to turn your back on the deliberate waste you are causing. Our City is in an mess.

Ferry Park is green and beautiful, most econinal to operate, safe to play in and rest in. Supervisors!!! Do not do this to our neighborhood. All those who will profit from this grave Injustice do not live here, or even use the park. Act quickly before it's too late and before I have to notify our new President Obama.

Sincerely,

Eula Walters, CRNA, JD.
Real Deal or No Deal

Wednesday June 10th
3:00 pm
Hallidie Plaza (powell and market)
March to City Hall!

It takes a village
to raise our children
to support out seniors
to house our neighbors
to prevent domestic violence
to give dignity
to our veterans
to fight for our communities

When the US ran up against the Great Depression - the worst economic catastrophe it had ever seen - our society survived by investing in our people, creating the programs that led to the subsidized housing, SSI and food programs that we know today.

Join us to push San Francisco's government to make the right choices in hard economic times, and to invest in the future of all San Franciscans.

Invest in Us!

Jennifer Friedenbach
Executive Director
Coalition on Homelessness, San Francisco
468 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 346-3740 x 306
fax: 775-5639

To learn more about our work, and to get the latest scoop on the
politics of poverty in SF, go to the Street Sheet blog:
www.cohsf.org/streetsheet
I will begin by saying that, overall, I have faith in both the Board AND the Mayor of San Francisco. This is my hometown, and I refuse to see it any other way. I am not a dissenter, nor do I sit idly by while complaining loudly, as I hear so many others doing so often. I am an Inspector for EVERY election; my precinct for this last election was #3609.

All that being said, I was still very disappointed last year when Mayor Newsome pushed through all stores with pharmacies removing tobacco products being removed from their shelves, as he cut into my own personal finances then. I am currently on Federal Disability, so this year's unprecedented monthly benefit reductions, which will, in total, reduce my monthly benefit amount from $927 per month to $870 per month (not even including the rent increase of $14 per month that the TNDC refuses to retract, which would actually make it $856/month) - so when I read today's article regarding illegal billboards in the SF Examiner, I was quite taken aback.

161 illegal but not removed billboards? The minimum fine for this infraction is $100 per day; the maximum is a whopping $2500 per day. However - here's the part that REALLY threw me: THE GRACE PERIOD FOR THESE POTENTIAL CASH COWS FOR SAN FRANCISCO IS FAR TOO LONG!!! IT'S 30 DAYS!!! You need to think this through!! 30 days is FAR TOO LONG a grace period for this infraction!! In 28 days, the advertiser will just pull down the offending advertisement, and consider their goal accomplished. We aren't talking about Joe Schmoe's parking tickets here - we are talking about MAJOR manufacturers like INTEL, BULGARI, and COLUMBIA PICTURES. We are talking about entities that can AFFORD - heck SHOULD afford - to shell out these fines. For crying out loud - do you honestly think they didn't KNOW that HUGE sign was illegal to start with?! Like they don't have legal departments, too?

If San Francisco is in need of revenue, just as the rest of the State is, why not form a special committee to really do some hardcore pouring over the already in place laws, policies, and accompanying grace periods & fines. At the very least have your analysts look at whether or not this could be a viable source of financial relief - because if anyone can honestly say this the ONLY out-dated over-long grace period on the books, I'll gladly eat my hat. And...if you think about it, voters are likely to go along with proposals like this, because it puts the burden squarely on people who SHOULD be burdened - those who are breaking the law. Additionally, I'm referring to major corporations that are breaking the law, not individual citizens, who may or may not be able to afford such fines.

In the best case scenario - San Francisco becomes a shining example of a fantastic way the State can re-prioritize its handling of the reorganization & overall perspective on our budget - which
could possibly lead to ME getting MY $57 ($71) per month back.

In closing, I would like to express my appreciation to Alistair in the Board of Supervisors office for handling my initial call with such grace and patience; he is to be applauded & lauded for his fine efforts.

Thank you all for your continued hard work & for the time you have taken today to read my email.

Sincerely,

Monica K. Visini

San Francisco, CA 94102-2858

P.S.: I did not know volunteer opportunities were available with the Board of Supervisors; I shall submit an application as soon as is convenient for me - Mr. Daly, I guess that would put me in your hands, sir. I always do my best at whatever I undertake, so please allow me to assist in any way I can!
If I wasn't working I would attend the meeting to speak at public comment, but instead I must deliver this 11th hour plea and hope it is read before the decision to pull the plug is made.

As I understand it, Supervisor Mirkarimi's proposal would add a small addition to the franchise fee which would then be passed onto customers somewhere around another $21 a year. But even if you were to go for that, the money could still only be spent on capital expenditures, leaving labor costs in the lurch. But it remains unclear what falls under "facilities and activities."

First off, the additional fee really is minor. Cable is a luxury item in a society with broadcast medium, and an additional tax would only have a meaningful impact on a small fraction of cable subscribers. Perhaps its possible to create an exemption for cable subscribers making 50 percent or less than the median income, assuming one could do such a thing, if there is any concern about the poor here.

Now onto the missing $700,000 in operating costs. I don't know if this would be legal, but could the city spend the additional revenue buying equipment that could then be leased out at a discount rate to nonprofits, along with video training? If $3 million in equipment can be rented out four $750,000 a year then maybe that could fill the hole for operating costs.

In the alternative, can Access SF propose a model that would bring in the missing revenue through professional video services provided by staff if the city can somehow find a year's worth of operating expenses to stay afloat while the organization reinvents itself as a revenue generating proposition?

Again, I'm sorry I can't deliver this in person, but I hope you examine these sorts of solutions to keep public access alive when all around us the media appears to be dying. And with it, perhaps democracy itself.

Jefferson once said, and I'm paraphrasing here, that if he were given the choice between a society without a government or a society without a media he would not hesitate to choose the latter.

A very similar question has now been posed to you. Please choose wisely.
The failed MUNI system and Nathaniel Ford:


Francisco Da Costa
Treasure Island sinking at all levels:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/05/14/18594776.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission fails to help San Franciscans when it comes to ENERGY issues and fosters RACISM:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/05/12/18594317.php?printable=true

Francisco Da Costa
ROGER G. MACHIN
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901

Mayor Gavin Newsom
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B
Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Newsom:

I have recently been the recipient of City and County of San Francisco Service Request #890736 issued 4/24/2009. I reported verbally to Kay Williams of the San Francisco Graffiti Unit that I had complied with that request. I await confirmation.

Over the last five years I have removed graffiti from my building in San Francisco on four occasions. After reading Article 23: GRAFFITI REMOVAL, I am flabbergasted by the prejudice contained in that legislation. It makes the victim totally responsible for the perpetrator's action. Despite clause Section 1301 (c) declaring that graffiti is increasingly used by gangs to frighten residents, nowhere does that Article address increased penalties for the graffiti writer, or possibly jail time. I understand from Kay Williams that if, in the unlikely event, the perpetrator is caught the judge has sole discretion in the punishment. Since 1994, the year Article 23 came into effect, that discretion has not got rid of the graffiti or the fear of the residents. I have been unable to discover if graffiti writing is classified as a misdemeanor, a crime, or a felony. But, whatever the judge's ruling or the classification it is obviously inadequate to stop the perpetrators, to alleviate the fear of the residents, or to make streets safer. The City has merely chosen to place the onus for the removal of graffiti on the property owner not to punish the perpetrators. And, administration of the graffiti program by the City burdens the taxpayers further.

What are the police, whose job it is to investigate and arrest the perpetrators, doing? I do not believe the police would even take a report if I reported the graffiti on my building to them. If the Board is serious about eliminating graffiti I ask that they change their policy and revisit this legislation.

Your truly,

Roger G. Machin

cc: Edward D. Reiskin
    Director, DPW

    Board of Supervisors
Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 05/20/2009 10:27 AM -----
Chris,

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Frank W. Lee and myself because we are tracking these requests.

Thank you!

Nathan Rodis
Assistant to the Director's Office
Department of Public Works
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 348
San Francisco, CA 94102
Ph: (415) 554-6920 Fax: (415) 554-6944

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 11:28 AM
To: Reiskin, Ed
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 5/7/2009
REFERENCE:
FILE NO.

Due Date: 4/26/2009

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 3/24/2009 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting the Department of Public Works to report on the status of repairing potholes at the following locations:

On Divisadero between Geary and Eddy
In front of 1472 McAllister
I had a meeting yesterday and a draft will be provided shortly, this can be closed.

B

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

05/15/2009 09:52 AM

To Cheryl.Adams@sfgov.org
cc bevan.duffy@sfgov.org

Subject BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Cheryl Adams
City Attorney's Office

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 5/15/2009
REFERENCE: 20090331-002

FILE NO.

Due Date: 5/2/2009
Reminder Sent: 5/1/2009

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Duffy was made at the Board meeting on 3/31/2009 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original draft to the requesting Supervisor(s) and notify the Clerk of the Board that the
legislation has been prepared and delivered to the Supervisor(s).

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting the City Attorney to draft an ordinance, similar to Administrative Code Sections 3.20 and 3.21, that would require that the city and, through the leadership of the Department of Technology, annually develop and adopt a 10-year Technology Investment Plan (TIP) for all.

This Plan would reflect all major technology investments by City Departments, such as the Justice Information Tracking System (JUSTIS), public safety information system, and the need for a new SFMTA Central Control Facility (CCF), as examples.

A Technology Planning Committee (TPC) should include the City Administrator, Director and Deputy Director of the Technology Department, the Controller, President of the Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Finance Director, among others. Each member of the TPC may designate a representative to serve as a voting member of the committee.

The Technology Investment Plan should incorporate all major planned investments to maintain, repair, and improve the condition of the City's Technology assets and infrastructure.
Per obligations under the Sunshine Ordinance, attached please find the meeting notice for the Open Board Meeting of GP/TODCO-A for public posting. Please contact me with any questions or comments.

Thank you,

Alicia Gaylord
TODCO
230 4th St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
P (415) 896-1981
M (517) 881-0245
F (415) 896-0358

GP TODCO A notice for 6.16.09 mtg.pdf
May 14, 2009

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
One Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA  94102

VIA EMAIL

RE Notice of Public Board meeting of
    CDBG funded Organization

Dear Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

GP/TODCO-A, Inc., is a recipient of Community Development Block Grant funds for the
program year July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009 and will be holding one of it two required
public board meetings of the program year on Tuesday, June 16, 2009 at 6:00 pm at 230
Fourth St.

Please, do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 896-1981 if you have any questions
regarding the above.

Sincerely,

Alicia Gaylord
Project Manager

Cc:     San Francisco Public Library, Government Information Center
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department  
SAN FRANCISCO FREE CIVIC THEATER  
presents FREE of charge  
"WESTERN RULES"  
a 90-minute play adapted by SFFCT from the 1966 film "A Big Hand for the  
Little Lady," written by Sidney Carroll and directed by Fielder Cook.

In Laredo in 1875 the four richest men in West Texas are playing their annual  
high-stakes poker game. A family passes through town on their way to their new  
homestead. The father is a reformed gambler. He is left alone long enough to  
get into the game with the family's savings. And that's just the first five  
minutes.

at the RANDALL MUSEUM THEATER  
199 Museum Way (at Roosevelt Way) SF  
FREE parking lot. Muni #37.  
JUNE 4, 5, 6 at 7:30 PM and  
SUNDAY JUNE 7 at 3:00 PM

at the EUREKA VALLEY RECREATION CENTER AUDITORIUM  
100 Collingwood Street (at 18th Street) SF  
Muni #24, 33, 37, 37 and F, K, L, M at Castro Station  
JUNE 11, 12, 13 at 7:30 PM and  
SUNDAY JUNE 14 at 3:00 PM

SUMMER PLAYREADING PROGRAM AT EUREKA VALLEY RECREATION CENTER  
SFFCT will offer a weekly playreading program at Eureka Valley Recreation  
Center this summer. On Tuesdays at 7:00 PM from June 23 through July 21 we  
will read aloud complete plays and maybe have a potluck or snacks. No acting  
experience is necessary. Eureka Valley Recreation Center is in the Castro at  
100 Collingwood Street, between 18th and 19th Streets. It is one block east  
from the corner of 18th and Castro Streets. There is no public parking and  
street parking is difficult. Muni access is excellent and the Center is served  
by the 24, 33, 35, and 37 lines. It is only two blocks south of the Castro  
Street Muni Metro Station on the K, L, and M lines. The telephone number is  
415-831-6810.

Plays will be chosen depending on how many people sign up for each evening.  
Your suggestions are welcome. RESERVATIONS ARE REQUIRED so that we know how  
many to expect and so we can choose a play with enough for everyone who  
attends. If enough people are interested we could break into two groups for  
the evening (but we can still share snacks.)

Contact me if you are interested in participating. Please specify which  
night(s) you want to attend. Space may be limited.

THE STATE OF THE PROGRAM  
SFFCT is still alive. My request to be assigned to Eureka Valley Recreation  
Center was accepted and I am now working for a supervisor familiar with and  
supportive of the program. As of June 22 we will rehearse in the auditorium at
Eureka Valley and have full access to the room for performances. We are working on a plan to improve the auditorium. We will install permanent theatrical lighting and sound. We want to extend the stage by building a 6'x20' platform in front of it, covering it to a thrust, and nearly doubling the current 11'x14' size. We intend to salvage stage curtains from another rec center and install them as masking along the ramp and over the windows. None of this will happen overnight but it is all doable and we'll get to it as soon as we can with the help of the Rec/Park electricians, carpenters and laborers.

The key now is to maintain our relationship with the Randall Museum. Please write or call the Randall Museum Executive Director to voice your support of SFFCT and to insist on the access to the theater of public performing arts programs. Please also ask what dates are to be reserved for SFFCT's Fall show.

Chris Boettcher (pronounced BE-cher)
Randall Museum Executive Director
cboettcher@randallmuseum.org
415-554-9601
Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review

Date: May 8, 2009
Case No.: 2006.1348E
Project Address: 246 Ritch Street
Zoning: SLI (Service/Light Industrial) Use District  
         55-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 3776/092
Lot Size: 4,129.50 square feet
Staff Contact: Jessica Range – (415) 575-9018
               Jessica.range@sfgov.org

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The approximately 4,129 square foot (sf) project site is located mid-block along Ritch Street, between Bryant and Brannan Streets within the East South of Market (East SoMa) neighborhood. The proposed project includes demolition of an existing vacant shed, totaling 4,130 sf and construction of a new five-story, 50 foot-tall building with 19 single room occupancy (SRO) units, totaling 16,442 gross square feet (gsf). The project includes a ground floor parking garage for five off-street parking spaces. Floors 2 through 5 would contain 19 SRO units. Each unit would have a private deck or terrace ranging between 20 sf to 380 sf, with additional common open space provided on the rooftop. The project site is located the Service/Light Industrial (SLI) use district, and within a 55-X height and bulk district. Pursuant to the San Francisco Planning Code, the proposed project requires Conditional Use authorization from the Planning Commission to construct SRO units within the SLI use district and for providing parking spaces in excess of the allowable number of accessory parking spaces.

PURPOSE OF NOTICE:

The project is being studied by the Planning Department’s Major Environmental Analysis section to determine its potential environmental effects. No environmental documents have been issued for this project. Public comments concerning the potential environmental effects of this project are welcomed. In order for your concerns to be fully considered or to ensure your receipt of future environmental review documents for this project, please contact the staff identified above by close of business on Friday May 22, 2009. This notice is routinely sent to community organizations, tenants of the affected property and properties adjacent to the project site, and those persons who own property within 300 feet of the project site. Anyone receiving this notice is encouraged to pass this information to others who may have an interest in the project.

Environmental review provides information on physical environmental effects and does not make recommendations on the project itself. Other review or approval actions may be required for the project. These actions may involve further public notification and public hearings. If you have comments on the proposed project that pertain to matters other than physical environmental effects, please note the file number and call Corey Teague at (415) 575-9081.
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PURPOSE OF NOTICE:

The project is being studied by the Planning Department's Major Environmental Analysis section to determine its potential environmental effects. No environmental documents have been issued for this project. Public comments concerning the potential environmental effects of this project are welcomed. In order for your concerns to be fully considered or to ensure your receipt of future environmental review documents for this project, please contact the staff identified above by close of business on Friday May 22, 2009. This notice is routinely sent to community organizations, tenants of the affected property and properties adjacent to the project site, and those persons who own property within 300 feet of the project site. Anyone receiving this notice is encouraged to pass this information to others who may have an interest in the project.

Environmental review provides information on physical environmental effects and does not make recommendations on the project itself. Other review or approval actions may be required for the project. These actions may involve further public notification and public hearings. If you have comments on the proposed project that pertain to matters other than physical environmental effects, please note the file number and call Corey Teague at (415) 575-9081.
Greetings Honorable Supervisors,

The Sierra Club is opposed to any entrance fees for the Strybing Arboretum, for either residents or visitors. Below is a link to the article that appeared in the latest *Yodeler*, the Sierra Club newsletter, that explains this position. I am also attaching the article as a PDF file. The Sierra Club is committed to parks and open space in San Francisco. We are also committed to access for all, young and old, rich and poor.


Sincerely,
Pinky Kushner
Sierra Club
415 731-9486

Yodeler-fees at Strybing?.pdf
RE:

It is noteworthy that at this moment...a high chain link fence has been erected around a small island of Rhododendron lying between a fork in JFK Drive and right next to Spreckles Lake (That is, where 36th Ave meets John F Kennedy Drive). Why is this little island of Rhododendron being favored over the above-mentioned 70-plus year old tree stands?

Dear Supervisors,

Right now, another large event/festival of some sort is being set up in the Polo Field area of golden gate park. Fences are being erected in many places for crowd control during the event.

It has been very worrisome for me and many other park-goers these last number of years to see the greenery in the area being both excessively pruned, and, especially, torn up by event-goers: there is a green-way along Marx Meadow with some 70-plus year old redwoods and native fauna that used to be a solid, impenetrable wall of green that has become sparse, at best, and now has little trails zig-zagging all around inside it. This is a result of illegal trail breaching by the frisbee golfers (who were mysteriously given that whole area these last couple of years - contrary to the mission stated in the Golden Gate Park Master Plan) as well as event goers looking to short cut, bathroom, or just hang out. This green belt is fading fast and should be fenced off or lost; as the wind finds its way in there, it becomes sparser and sparser every month.

A similar tale can be told of the greenery around Lindley Meadow where fox, partridge, heron and various wildlife used to be abundant only a few years ago, but now are non-existent.

A similar tale can be told for the hedge of Australian Tea Tree which surrounds the Polo Field: 4 years ago, this was a solid wall of impenetrable green seperating the Polo Field from the busy roads just outside it. Now it is all broken up, whittled on, and not even close to providing the escape from the city which is a stated mission in the Golden Gate Park Master Plan. Now one walks around the Polo Field while traffic from JFK Drive and Fulton are just 100 yards away or so through the gaps in the hedge. This hedge needs to be restored rather than broken down by special interest groups who want to squeeze more people and booths into this
once glorious area.

It is note worthy that at this moment, as the above-mentioned event is being set up, a high chain link fence has been erected around a small island of Rhododendron lying between a fork in JFK Drive and right next to Spreckles Lake (That is, where 36th Ave meets John F Kenedy Drive). Why is this little island of Rhododendron being favored over the above-mentioned 70-plus year old tree stands?

There is a lot a lot more which I won't mention here except just to say that a Chinese Tea Pavillion in the park at around 32nd Ave. is unacceptable and contrary to the stated mission of the Golden Gate Park Master Plan.

If you Supes want to please a large part of your constituency, you will take these matters up for the average park-goer who seeks some escape from the city after work and on weekends; not for the special interests slowly transforming the Golden Gate Park into a virtual grassy median between Fulton St. and Lincoln Ave. (it is noteworthy to point out that 40 years ago, one could not see across the panhandle from Oak St. to Fell St. due to all of the greenery; the area is now merely a grassy median between busily trafficked roads.)

It is true that busloads of tourists come through the park to see a few Buffalo: have some vision as to the revenue generated for local merchants if the park (west of Stow Lake) was re-established as a forested area where one may see on any given day Heron, Egret, Fox, Hawk, etc.... and residents eager to escape the city and see such wildlife come to the area. We could have the greatest park in the nation, but lack of vision by park administrators has diminished it to only average.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely,

Curtis Kifer (residence at
mail to
SF Board of Supervisors, SF Planning Dept. Commissioners, SFSU/CSU + SFSU Masterplanners, City Attorney’s Office.

The SFSU Taskforce Meeting may 5th had the pleasure of the attendance of the TEP Project Planner Julie Kirschbaum from the SFMTA. During this meeting it should be noted that BOTH state representatives from Fiona Ma’s office and Senator Yee’s office left prior to the end of the presentation on transit as usual missing the real issues and possible solutions that could be brought about by active government involvement in these proposals. Regardless of their actions, positive effort is required to enforce future planning of our regional and local transit systems.

The current city budget cuts proposed directly affects negatively both the large rental neighborhood of parkmerced, the surrounding apartment communities of Stonestown, and Lakeside, SFSU and the numerous housing outlying areas of the sunset. Supervisor Sean Elsbernd has pressed for transit impact studies, and verifying the effects of development and congestion on 19th avenue and district 7 through his legislation 081281 to push for the district as a priority development designation to receive funding, but this is once again tied to SB375 and redevelopment rather than sustainable preservation, and provision of new infrastructure (aka WPA projects). However numerous projects and proposals hinge on allowing development to occur simultaneously with transit improvements rather than place the horse before the cart and get transit system improvements in the fore-runner to any development proposals. This must be changed so that transit regardless of funding maintains a front-runner status in regards to city planning.

The attached pdf. on open space element plan shows efforts to link north to south and looping from the presidio all the way down to the zoo nodal changes and amenities for the sunset and outsidelands districts. Overlay this map with the TEP maps! The question I proposed is WHERE is the transit planning in the western neighborhoods besides the cutting, and reduction in services we currently see? Where are we planning sustainably for a denser western region of the city, if the transit options and introduction of light-rail, or multi-bus or transit hubs are not implemented across the city on the western side?

The M-Line and 17-Parkmerced are life-lines for students, seniors, working
families, and community business access. Rachel Gordon of the SF Chronicle noted that these two lines were underserved, and reduced in efficiency/frequency vs. the other lines. Supervisor Duffy suggested an Audit of the J line. I would second that and push for an audit of the M-Line and 17 Parkmerced servicing lines in addition to the 28 and 28L to ensure that we are being at least functionally served by Muni, and that negotiations made prior are being met. (See the MOU between SFUS + City of SF in regards to transit, Which per my understanding must report back to the city based on the negotiation date of the 29th and 30th, 2007, so two years have passed, and transit has not "improved" so funding is available than through the SFUS organization to assist in the "joint-impacts" on transit being noted in our neighborhoods. (this document is available through Deputy City Attorney Andrew Garth and was signed by SFMTA Nathaniel Ford, Planning Dept. Bill Wycko, SFUS VP Leroy Morishita, and Patricia Bartscher University Counsel) There is mentioned a report update process that is ill-defined and we have heard nothing about in the discussion of lack of funding citywide for transit.

There is stated payments described in the agreement of $175,000.00 if the campus fails to reduce its traffic impact to less than significant levels for more than two years in a row. There is also a track re-configuration of 1,825,000.00 dollars for work which is reduced 50% if not implemented by Jan 1st 2015. This is not meant to only point out the negotiations that occurred but to strongly recommend that we not just CUT our ways out of the debt, but actively plan for future transit needs of our district. If we already in 2009, know of multiple projects proposed, than we should already have shovels in the ground or at least ready to develop major transit routes across the western portion of the city, even if on older lines, so that any density increases can be accounted for by solid planning transit efforts.

Julie Kirschenbaum noted that the TEP is trying to have the "least impact on the smallest number of customers". She also mentioned that at least 4,000 passengers per mile are required to make major changes. Well 19th avenue seems ripe for that scale and size of change, in addition to any multi-laned boulevards like the great highway, sunset boulevard, and 19th.

The reduction in late night hours will undoubtedly increase the alcohol related incidents in our district, as many students utilize the muni services for "clubber-commute" hours, and thus would drive instead of taking public transit. Service industries also rely on late-night services, and the cutting of the 17 owl line will cause more problems for low-middle income workers who rely on the system working later. Many seniors and disabled residents of parkmerced have consistently relied on transit lines 17-Parkmerced and the M-Line, yet due to overcrowding on the platform, and the safety of crossing 19th they rely on the 17 bus. Further cuts and re-routing in parkmerced of this line, is technically a legal issue due to the number of ADA tenants that rely on this service.

The TEP recommendations tinker with the M-J connection, cut services to 6 towers in parkmerced, not to mention numerous seniors and disabled tenants living in our community, the 18, 29 and 88 line changes also tinker, cut and shave services but lock us out from direct connections to institutions like the presidio, cliff-house ocean beach, and direct access to business areas of west portal, ocean ave, and downtown direct service (there has been noted prior a direct service bus that used to serve parkmerced directly to downtown areas).

The lack of significant future planning for North South routes both as a circuit around the perimiter of the city connecting the waterfront and presidio along the old Pan-American Exhibition lines, and looping all the way through new or re-used prior lines at the great highway, sunset boulevard, the St.Francis Extension to the Sloat Boulevard and L line, or a major project on
19th ave seems to miss the type of WPA and federal funding that could be better used at solving major transit and traffic issues in the sunset and western side of the city.

Please get a copy of the MOU, understand that institutional growth, development pressures, and WPA or Federal funding needs to be linked with the open space, development plans, and current mass transit initiatives so that larger problematic issues like 19th ave, the intersection at brotherhood and 19th ave, the great-highway, and the access to urban areas, and open park space is considered a part of the future planning of san francisco in a timely manner.

Creativity, design competitions, and general open acceptance of mass-transit is in your corner, the funding is also possible, but the consistent cutting small-scale is just a bleeding scratch, and should not be a turniquet that cuts off future planning and large scale infrastructural growth of mass-transit in our city.

Please look at the western section of the TEP maps, and note the solid LACK of decent north south transit. Its the most obvious issue in the western side of the city, one easily fixed, and would be a welcome access route reducing congestion if implemented on side routes/roads such as sunset or the great highway.

Solutions are there, it just takes the right people to envision, and follow-through on it.....

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman VP @ PRO
www.parkmercedresidents.org
From: Aaron Goodman - 
michele.alito-pier@sfgov.org, john.avalos@sfgov.org, david.chiu@sfgov.org, 
carmen.chu@sfgov.org, chris.daly@sfgov.org, bevan.dufy@sfgov.org, sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org, 
eric.lmar@sfgov.org, sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org, ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org 
linda.avery@sfgov.org, angela.calvillo@sfgov.org, daniel4pro@parkmercedresidents.org, 
cityattorney@sfgov.org 
Date: 05/14/2009 12:56 PM 
Subject: Re: Planning Dept. Discretionary Review Process May 14th 630pm

SF Board of Supervisors, Planning Dept. Commissioners, SFSU Govt. Rep. Jason 
Porth, and City Attorney's Office.

RE: 2009.0227TU Item 21a and 21b Planning Dept. meeting May 14th 630pm

I write to you as I will not be able to attend the meeting scheduled in 
regards to Discretionary Review, and the concerns of the impact of these 
changes with large scale multiple entitlement properties, and state entities.

I have sent prior to the SF BOS the concerns about DR process, and community 
involvement and planning.

The suggested Planning Commission delegation of DR review to another "design 
review team" or subsidiary group, is a concern when the composition of this 
team, or there intentions is not clear. The recently appointed HPC has yet to 
be fully staffed, and efforts at re-wording articles 10 and 11, seem to be 
being worked on to water down the citywide approved charter and request for an 
independent HPC commission to review districts and projects. The planning 
department has consistently worked towards streamlining the process in favor of 
developers utilizing "better-neighborhoods" planning that often ignores state 
law (Dept. of the Interior Standards), CEQA, or neighborhood organizations in 
the process and input systems to allow for meaningful changes in the adopted 
plans. DR review would be a critical component of the Parkmerced plans, and 
SFSU Masterplan (although a statewide CSU organization currently it has 
ignored the fact that the EIR was programmatic and 
not project specific which was a concern raised by the NTHP National Trust 
for Historic Preservation's memo during the EIR process). The SOHP FRC5024 
deals with environmental protection of historic resources. The need to provide 
alternatives and options are part of the CEQA and EIR process, but to date the 
planning of both entities has ignored community input in regards to editing or 
affecting the overall plans proposed. The fact that small scale renovations 
completely changed the visual character of the buildings and community in 
parkmerced without proper review is an example of the issues that occur when 
DR review is improperly convened in a timely manner. The review of such 
changes should have triggered DR review processes. The effects of SFSU 
masterplans and redevelopment projects Parkmerced's "Vision" project all 
require adequate and thorough Discretionary Review. The use of SB375 and other 
large scale planning and process changes to implement 
growth density, and redevelopment indicate that the interests of communities 
and organizations are being ignored, and therefore we strongly oppose any 
discretionary review changes that designates alternative panels boards or 
people to do the job that the planning department and city agencies are 
required to do in coordinating and cooperating with community organizations,
and the newly appointed HPC, so that we look justly and correctly at
neighborhoods threatened by multiple entitlement changes, speedy land
sales/swaps by state institutions (SFSU Foundation to U.Corp), and projects
proposed.

We strongly support the DR process, and do not support the proposed changes
being proposed by the planning dept. on discretionary review.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman VP @ PRO
www.parkmercedresidents.org

cc: daniel phillips president of PRO
Dear Supervisor

I understand the City is facing a budget crisis and that cuts are being proposed that will eliminate or limit community programs for seniors and people with disabilities. I currently receive these services:

I am on SSA. My bill go up. It get less

These services are important to me because:

I am on A.- AIR PUMP -24 (7) IN BED WHY
Dont you cut the flow of. people that COM - TO THE USA. AND SF. WITH OUT PROPER
PAPERS. WORK HEAL-TAK. JOB AWAY FROM
USA. PEOPLE 'BORN-UP HEAR? LEGAL-
THE-I HSS HAS A LOT OF THEM- GET JOB HEAR

I urge you to make cuts to the budget that do not make it impossible for me to remain in my home. Do not jeopardize my well-being by cutting community based services and programs for seniors and people with disabilities.

Name

Joc R. Hummel

Address

Apt 2
524 Central Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94117

WE NEED MORE NOT LESS

I am a registered voter and I vote: YES NO

For more information contact Planning for Elders (415)703-0188

All-Cio-Opelu3-JC
Request for City Services - Clerk of the Board

Thank you for your submission. You will receive an email confirmation with a link to follow the progress of your submission.

If you have any additional requests or questions, you can call us 7 days a week, 24 hours a day at 311 (for calls outside of San Francisco please dial 415.701.2311).

Your Tracking Number is: 432893
May 16 2009 10:31PM.
Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Location Information:
Incident Location:
Location Type:
Type Details:
Corner Information:
Location Description: San Francisco

Request Details:
Category: Other
Department: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: Clerk of the Board

Additional Information:
Additional Request Details: The Bicycle Plan lacks a few things. If you drive a auto you need to have a drivers license and auto insurance, same thing for motorcycles, trucks, mopeds and other motorized vehicles. Because the city is going to put bicycle lanes all over the place in the city the city should also be looking at licensing bicyclists. This would also add as a source of income for the city. The Segway is not allowed on the sidewalks, neither should bicycles. They should be fined and educated so that I don't get hit by a bicyclist again while walking on the sidewalk. Furthermore the city needs to look at getting the bicyclists to start paying the city for critical mass. All the police support and all the other things that these freeloading bicyclists that are a huge money drain on this city. Their value added is not worth the money they cost the city.

Customer Contact Information:
First Name: Joe
Last Name: Acosta
Primary Phone:
Alternate Phone:
Address Number:
Street Name:

City, State:
ZIP Code:    Email: josepha48@yahoo.com

Customer requested to be contacted by the department servicing their request:
May 14, 2009

To: S.F. Board of Supervisors

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The Candlestick Point Park State Recreation Area is a sanctuary in a neighborhood known for its environmental, social, and economic challenges. Not protecting this habitat and open space would further harm an already under-served area.

Please, do not take any action or endorse Jenner’s development plan without an Environmental Impact Report.

Thank you for your serious consideration on this issue!!!

Sincerely,

Phyllis Stevens
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
NOT LIMITED TO BLACK FOLK ONLY May 11 2009

Dr. Paul dude,

I hope your plans for Hamilton Beach is coming along nice and fine, I think such a plan will be perfect for you, and getting away from the as usual bullshit. I sent you information on hypertension in black folk, not because it is limited to black folk – certainly living on the planet earth is an experience for all of us, which is the implicative matriculations of clinical ecology. I have, and will continue to send you research on these perspectives of health and environmental ecology because you will be dealing with a group of people with all levels of health controversy – I did not want you to think that somehow I was limiting my perspectives of health and ecology to black folk only:

NOTE: All of the notes I send you and research will be based in the book, unless specifically noted:


May 11, 2009:
1. Immunological investigation
2. Immunotherapy
3. Allergist
4. Otorhinolaryngology, WebPage:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Otolaryngology

Head and Neck Surgeons Mayo Clinic in Minnesota, WebPage:

http://www.mayoclinic.org/ent-rst/

5. Antigen therapy

6. Clinical Ecology Contradictory States of Affair, Chapter Three (3), "Manifestation and Levels of Reactions, pages 151 to 233:

- Part One: "History of the Society of Clinical Ecology, page 743
- Allergies: Ecological Approach, page 751
- IBID: The Ecological Model: page seven (7), I have noted that these pages are the foundation in observing Clinical Ecology, I am sure that there has been some great modification of the model in Clinical Ecology, since my research is based on a 1976 thesis model (in my opinion its better to have at least this model, then no model at all). I have noted in my private notations, quote, "Study These Pages Repetitiously (in other words let this simple model be a working order to personal memory) :
- Sargents paper: "The Human Habitat",

WebPage:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theron_Randolph

2. Chapter Twelve (12), "Simulatory and Withdrawal Levels and the Alternation of Allergic Manifestations, page 156

IVAN EDGAR PRATT, "XERISCAPE / BUDDHA, INC."
IEP55@juno.com, Internet direct quote and paraphrase transcription "Not Limited to Black Folk May 11, 2009" information, Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology, WebPage:
http://www.brookscole.com/cgi-brookscole/course_products_be.pl?fid=M20b&product_isbn_issn=0534376975&discipline_number=72

Merritt College Ecology Department & Matriculations, WebPage:
http://www.ecomerritt.org/, NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO,

WebPage:
http://www.sgi-usa.org
May 12, 2009

TENDERLOIN: ETIOLOGICAL EXOGENY AND ENDOGENOUS HEALTH CAUSES FOR AN EFFECT

1. Historical Development of Clinical Ecology, page 1 (One), chapter 1 (One):

IBID: Etiology (U.S. Spelling ‘aetiology’) page 9, col. One, paragraph 1 (One):

1. Etiology, vocabulary, (U.S. Spelling ‘aetiology’), noun, in medicine the cause of a disease or condition. The investigation of a cause or a reason.

IBID: Exogeny versus endogeny, page 10, column One, para. 2 (Two):

1. Exogeny, vocabulary, adjective, relating to an external cause or origin. Often contrasted with ‘endogenous’.
2. Endogenous, vocabulary, adjective, relating to internal cause or origin. Often contrasted with ‘exogenous’.

IBID: Anthropocentric (anthropology, anthropological) diagnostico-therapeutic approaches to allergic disturbances, page 11 (Eleven), column One, para. 1 (One):

1. SPECIAL NOTE: page 11 (Eleven), column one, paragraph 2 (Two).
2. Anthropocentric, vocabulary, adjective, regarding humankind as the most important element of existence.
3. Anthropology, vocabulary, noun, the study of societies, cultures, and human origin.

IBID: IVAN’S REMARK, San Francisco Tenderloin Tenant in SRO HUD Low Income Housing of Alexander Residence, 230 Eddy Street, San Francisco, California 94102-6523:

Having read the above thesis research enquiry in Clinical Ecology, in the Tenderloin of San Francisco, I find it difficult to understand how a federal or state government can cut social security benefits, medi-Cal Benefits, Housing Benefits, Home Care Benefits, Dental Benefits (Medi-Cal); yet maintain paying for a war in the Middle East or should I say Iraq, at a cost of Three- Billion Dollars a Month. Yet we finance the very corporations that are responsible for this poor economic cause for an effect in the United States, who inadvertently support the cause for an effect in the social psychology of wars in the Middle East. If the Federal Government exhibit the incompetence of maintaining the infrastructure habitat of the United States average citizen and they’re environment in order to maintain the ascertainance of a war in Iraq, then such a war is a failure and should be stopped. If this war exist merely to control the oil resources in Iraq to maintain the United States Empire at cost of staying financially in dept to China, then what we can hope for is more environmental toxicity and the advantage of poor health maintenance of infrastructure in our most immediate communities – like the Tenderloin of San
Francisco. Certainly, if people must lose their homes, and not be financially supported by the United States Government, and continue to allow an minority of people in the United State to control the majority of the wealth in the United States, then we don’t have a democracy in the United States and this is a critical question on the basis of Clinical Ecology. Are the American people a slave society or are the American people a democracy by the people of the United States? Such thinking cannot go both ways in a true democracy.

BOOK: Anthropology:


BOOK: Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology:

"Living in the Environment", by G. Tyler Miller,


IVAN EDGAR PRATT, "XERISCAPE / BUDDHA, INC., prattbuddhahood@gmail.com, Internet direct quote and paraphrase transcription "Hypertension In African Americans as an Environmental Genetic Factor May 10, 2009" information, Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology, WebPage:

http://www.brookscole.com/cgi-brookscole/course_products_bc.pl?fid=M20b&product_isbn_issn=0534376975&discipline_number=22
NOTICE OF CLAIM AGAINST PUBLIC ENTITY AND/OR HOSPITAL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO DIVISION 3.6 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TO:

1. Clerk
   Board of Supervisors
   City and County of San Francisco
   City Hall, Room 244
   San Francisco, CA 94102

2. Administrator
   San Francisco General Hospital
   1001 Potrero Street
   San Francisco, CA 94110

CLAIMANTS' NAMES: Esther Wells, mother of decedent Jaime G. Carrillo, Jr., individually and on behalf of all other heirs at law

CLAIMANTS' ADDRESS: 2210 Jackson Street #100
                       San Francisco, CA 94115

AMOUNT OF CLAIM: $5,000,000.00

SEND CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THIS CLAIM TO: LAW OFFICES
Allan Lerch & Associates
456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1300
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 397-5757

DATE OF WRONGFUL DEATH: December 22, 2008

DECEDENT: Jaime Gonzalez Carrillo, Jr.
NOTICE OF CLAIM AGAINST PUBLIC ENTITY AND/OR
HOSPITAL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO DIVISION 3.6 OF THE
GOVERNMENT CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(CLAIMANTS: ESTHER WELLS; page 2 of 2)

HOW INCIDENT OCCURRED: Following a motorcycle accident, Jaime G. Carrillo WALKED INTO San Francisco General Hospital complaining of back pain. He was admitted on April 28, 2007. Following diagnostic testing, it was determined that claimant had several fractured vertebrae and corrective surgery took place on May 1, 2007. As a result of the medical negligence in question, claimant was required to undergo further medical and/or surgical procedures or treatment and, as a direct and legal result thereof, claimant sustained damages and injuries that ultimately resulted in his death.

ITEMIZATION OF CLAIM: Wrongful death. Loss of financial support, love, care, comfort, companionship, affection, society, solace and moral support. Costs of burial and other injuries and damage, the exact nature and extent of which are unknown to claimants at this time.

DATED: May 13, 2009

ALLAN LERCH & ASSOCIATES

BY: Allan H. Lerch
Attorney for Claimant
PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL [CCP SECTION 1013(a), 2015.5]

I, Teresa Chavez, declare that I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California.

I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1300, San Francisco, CA 94104.

On May 13, 2009, I served the attached

NOTICE OF CLAIM AGAINST PUBLIC ENTITY AND/OR HOSPITAL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO DIVISION 3.6 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

in said cause by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

1. Clerk
   Board of Supervisors
   City and County of San Francisco
   City Hall, Room 244
   San Francisco, CA 94102

2. Administrator
   San Francisco General Hospital
   1001 Potrero Street
   San Francisco, CA 94110

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on May 13, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

Teresa Chavez
PUBLIC NOTICE

Project: The Ritz-Carlton Napa Valley Resort

NUMBER: 2590SN  DATE: May 15, 2009  RESPONSE REQUIRED BY: June 15, 2009
PROJECT MANAGER: Katerina Galacatos
PHONE: 415-503-6778

1. INTRODUCTION: River House Land Company, L.P. (Contact Nicholas DiFigio, 707-935-8218), through its agent LSA Associates (Contact: Richard Nichols, 510-236-6812) has applied for a Department of the Army permit to construct the Ritz-Carlton, Napa Valley Resort in the City of Napa, Napa County, California (Figure 1). This application is being processed pursuant to the provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Section 1344).

2. PROJECT SITE: The project site is approximately 11.1 acres and is located at 1515 Silverado Trail, Napa, California (Figure 2). The southern portion of the project site is developed with three buildings, paved parking lots and two streets, Clay and Juarez Streets. The northern, undeveloped area of the project site has 0.57 acres of jurisdictional waters comprised of two wetland areas and a wetland drainage feature that runs east to west and discharges directly into the Napa River (Figure 3).

The vegetation in the upland area is dominated by non-native grasses including wild oat (Avena fatua), Italian ryegrass (Lolium perenne), ripgut brome (Bromus rigidus), soft chess (Bromus hordaceus) and hare barley (Hordeum murinun). The wetland areas are dominated by Italian ryegrass but also support the following native species: semaphore grass (Pleurochgon californicus), creeping wildrye (Leymus triticoides), Brown headed rush (Juncus phaeocephalus), Mexican rush (Juncus mexicanus) creeping spikerush (Eleocharis macrostachya) Awnleaf Lilac (Lilaea (Lilaea scilloides), and Hyssop Loosestrife (Lythrum hyssopifolia).

The site also supports the following native tree species: California live oak (Quercus agrifolia), California bay (Umbellularia californica), Fremont cottonwood (Populus fremontii), and willows, (Salix sp.). Non-native trees include the ornamental black acacia (Acacia melanoxylon), Canary Island palm (Phoenix canariensis), and blue gum (Eucalyptus globulus).

3. PROPOSED PROJECT: The proposed project would construct a new hotel complex of nine buildings consisting of 351 hotel rooms, restaurant, bar, retail space, a 20,900 square foot banquet and conference facility, and health spa (Figures 4, 5, and 6).

The applicant proposes to impact all 0.57 acres of jurisdictional wetlands, stating that avoidance of the jurisdictional features is not practicable. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the impacts by restoring two sections Salvador Creek, a tributary to the Napa River (Figures 1 and 2). The restoration plan would remove concrete rubble and grade the bank resulting in a net increase in jurisdictional wetland area below the ordinary high water mark by approximately 0.6 acres and expanding the biological quality and extent of woody riparian habitat on the site (Figures 7, 8 and 9).

4. COMPLIANCE WITH VARIOUS FEDERAL LAWS:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
PUBLIC NOTICE

Project: Fulton Road Mitigation Bank

1. INTRODUCTION: Mr. Pascal Sisich, Burbank Housing Development Corporation, 790 Sonoma Avenue, Santa Rosa, California 95404 (707) 526-1020, has applied to the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), through his agent LSA Associates, Inc. (Point of Contact: Mr. George Molnar, 157 Park Place, Point Richmond, California 94801-3922, (510) 236-6810), for Department of the Army authorization to construct a wetland mitigation bank called, “The Fulton Road Mitigation Bank.” This proposed compensatory mitigation bank would be located on a 26.25-acre site at 2365 Fulton Road, northwest of the City of Santa Rosa, in unincorporated Sonoma County, California (Figures 1-2). The project purpose is to create a mitigation bank where wetlands have been restored, enhanced, and preserved for the purpose of providing compensatory mitigation for impacts authorized by Department of the Army permits. The mitigation banking instrument is being processed pursuant to the provisions of Part 332 of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Section 1344).

2. PROPOSED PROJECT:

Project Site: The 26.25-acre site is located in the north-central portion of the Santa Rosa Plain (Figures 1-2). The site is also located within the Alton Conservation Unit under the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy, adopted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on December 7, 2005.

The site was historically used for grazing and farming but is currently fallow. The site is bordered on the north by 5- and 10-acre ranchettes, on the west by grasslands and vernal pools, on the south by the Cambodian Cultural Center, and on the east by Fulton Road and a residential development. The site and surrounding areas lie outside the urban growth boundary and municipal limits of Santa Rosa and is designated for Agriculture-Rural Residential uses under the Sonoma County General Plan.

There are three primary habitat/vegetation communities on the proposed site: non-native grassland (approximately 20.84 acres), vernal pools/seasonal wetlands and swales (approximately 3.61 acres), and native and non-native trees (approximately 0.1 acre). Approximately 1.80 acres of the site is occupied by a former home site with associated out-buildings, ornamental trees, driveways and compacted fill. This home site was demolished in 2006 and all associated structures removed.

Project Description: In general, a mitigation bank sells compensatory mitigation credits to permittees whose obligation to provide compensatory mitigation is then transferred to the mitigation bank sponsor. The operation and use of a mitigation bank are governed by a mitigation banking instrument. Burbank Housing Development Corporation (Sponsor) proposes the following mitigation actions under their proposed mitigation banking instrument:

- Construct 5.35 acres of new vernal pool wetland habitat, including 0.29 acre of suitable breeding habitat for California tiger salamander (CTS) (Ambystoma californiense), a federally-listed animal species;
PUBLIC NOTICE

Project: Healdsburg Veterans Memorial Beach

NUMBER: 276060N  DATE: May 11, 2009  RESPONSE REQUIRED BY: May 26, 2009
PROJECT MANAGER: Jim Mazza  PHONE: (415) 503-6775  Email: james.c.mazza@usace.army.mil

1. INTRODUCTION: The County of Sonoma, Regional Parks Department (RPD), 2300 County Center Drive, Suite 120A, Santa Rosa, California 95403 (POC: Michelle Julene; 707-565-3962), has applied to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for a ten-year Department of the Army permit to continue the annual installation and removal of the Healdsburg Veterans Memorial Beach, located on the Russian River immediately upstream of the Healdsburg War Memorial Dam, at 13839 Old Redwood Highway, in the City of Healdsburg, Sonoma County, California. This Department of the Army permit application is being processed pursuant to the provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).

2. PROPOSED PROJECT: A beach has been installed in the summer reservoir area behind the Healdsburg War Memorial Dam since the dam was initially constructed in the early 1900s. Visitation at the Healdsburg Veterans Memorial Beach averages 75,000 visitors per year during the summer months and includes both local residents and tourists. Although there are several private beaches along the Russian River, the Healdsburg Veterans Memorial Beach is one of only two public beaches in the general project vicinity. The 0.8-acre beach is part of an 11-acre park facility that includes public parking, picnic facilities, lawn/open space areas, restrooms and showers, a ranger residence, and a corporation maintenance yard.

In anticipation of the Memorial Day weekend, the beach area would undergo initial grading during the prior week to establish a continuous gradient from the top-of-slope for public safety and access to the river. Utilizing two bulldozers, the stockpiled sand would be pushed onto the exposed bank and graded towards the exposed bar to establish an approximate 5:1 slope. At that time, a buoy line would be installed in the Russian River to demark a public wading area. After the Memorial Day weekend, the beach would be closed to the public to enable the enlargement and final grading of the beach area prior to the installation of the flashboards on the dam on or after June 26. Utilizing gravel skimmered from the exposed bar, an 8- to 10-inch high berm would be constructed approximately six feet shoreward of the water's edge to define the lateral extent of the beach area and to minimize water turbidity. The remaining stockpiled sand would be then transported by dump trucks via an existing service road to the lower beach area. In turn, bulldozers would spread out and fine grade the discharged sand to establish the final beach profile with slopes varying from 5:1 to 20:1. Finally, various appurtenant facilities would be installed, including additional buoys and safety lines in the river, lifeguard stands, and radio lines for lifeguard communications. The beach would be reopened to the public on the July 4th weekend and remain open through the Labor Day weekend. After the Labor Day weekend, all appurtenant facilities would be removed from the beach area and stored in a nearby corporation yard. After removal of the flashboards and lowering of the reservoir water level, the imported discharged sand would be back graded and stockpiled on the top-of-slope above ordinary high

[Signature]
May 12th, 2009

Greetings Friends,

We are pleased to share with you the print copy of the 2008 National Sexuality Resource Center Annual Report.

For NSRC, the year 2008 was a time of enormous organizational momentum and activity. None of it would have been possible without the support of individuals and organizations like yours partnering with us to promote a new paradigm of lifelong sexual health and happiness in the United States.

Thanks to you, NSRC has been able to achieve—and strengthen—our mission in unprecedented ways.

We invite you to take a moment to become more familiar with the report and our work. We would greatly appreciate it if you could share this information widely throughout your networks so that we can continue to expand the sexual health literacy movement.

We look forward to your continued involvement and support. Thank You!

Best,

Gilbert Herdt, PhD
Founder and Executive Director,
National Sexuality Resource Center

Pepper Schwartz, PhD
Chair, National Centers on Sexuality Advisory Board

This report is also accessible electronically on the NSRC web site at: nsrc.sfsu.edu