

090982

Petitions and Communications received from July 21, 2009, through July 27, 2009, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on August 4, 2009.

From Erin Higbee, submitting the draft EIR for Harding Park Recycled Water Project. (1)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the Annual Salary Ordinance for FY 2009-10. (2)

From Office of the Clerk of the Board, submitting list of sole source contracts received from various city departments entered into during FY 2008-2009. (3)

Office of the City Attorney

Office of the Controller

Department of Elections

Department of Emergency Management

Fire Department

Mayor

Municipal Transportation Agency

Planning Department

Public Library

Office of the Sheriff

War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

From SF Environment, regarding the Commuter Benefits Ordinance. (4)

From Adult Probation Department, submitting Annual Report for FY 2007-08. (5)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for preserving the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course. 31 letters (6)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for restoring Sharp Park to a natural area. 3 letters (7)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting letter to notify the Budget and Finance Committee of a technical adjustment to the Mayor's June 2009-10 Proposed Budget allowable under Charter Section 9.101. Copy: Budget and Finance Committee (8)

From Department on the Status of Women, submitting the "First Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco" dated June 2009. (9)

From Airport, submitting annual report on project expenditures using funds approved from the Supplemental appropriation. Copy: Each Supervisor (10)

From Human Services Agency, submitting the Human Services Card Fund quarterly report. Copy: Budget and Finance Committee (11)

From Professional and Technical Engineers, Local 21, requesting the \$2 million slated for the Budget Analyst office put on reserve pending an outstanding request regarding the resources needed for this work. (12)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for proposed resolution that establishes the Greater Union Square Business Improvement District. File 090935, Copy: Each Supervisor, 2 letters (13)

From Department of Human Resources, responding to inquiry regarding list of City and County employees by gender, age, race and ethnicity, classification, title and department that have been laid off or have received a layoff notice, transferred to a new department, and released before completing their 90 day probationary period within FY 2008-09. (14)

From Department of Public Works, regarding status of removing police barricades left from the 2009 Bay to Breakers race at the corner of Hayes and Pierce. (Reference No. 20090714-001) (15)

From Office of Clerk of the Board, submitting Form 700 Statement of Economic Interest for Tanene Allison, member, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force. (16)

From Ivan Pratt, regarding the Tenderloin Master Gardeners Program. (17)

From Department of Public Health, submitting the quarterly report for AIDS cases reported through June 2009. (18)

From Michael Lyon, regarding the progressive deterioration of the Health Department as the effects of budget cuts continue to mount. Copy: Each Supervisor (19)

From Elizabeth Riegle, requesting the dismissal of her parking citation. (20)

From Signa Houghteling, thanking the Board for recognizing Joseph Houghteling's many years of public service. (21)

From Daniel Baker, submitting notice of application filed with the California Public Utilities Commission, seeking to extend its passenger stage corporation certificate to perform an on-call door-to-door service 24/7. (22)

From Antonio, submitting letter entitled "high paid MUNI managers put public safety under the bus" dated July 21, 2009. (23)

From Clerk of the Board, submitting list of all sole source contracts entered into during the past fiscal year. (24)



225 Bush Street
Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.896.5900 phone
415.896.0332 fax

Page
1 only

www.esaassoc.com

①

transmittal

date July 23, 2009 ☐ attached ☒ via regular mail

to Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors ☐ via messenger ☐ via overnight mail

project Harding Park Recycled Water Project - Draft EIR

items 1 Hardcopy of the Draft EIR

comments Please find enclosed a copy of the Harding Park Recycled Water Project Draft EIR for your review.

Erin Higbee

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 24 AM 11:08
BY *PH*

sent by EEH

cc

①

Draft

HARDING PARK RECYCLED WATER PROJECT

Environmental Impact Report
SCH No. 2009-012004

**Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall**

Prepared for
The City of Daly City

July 2009



2

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 22 AM 10:21
BY He

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

File No. 090778

Ordinance No.

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2010

2

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

Revised

July 28, 2009

3

Angela Cavillo
Clerk of the Board
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Sole Source Contracts

Dear Ms. Cavillo:

It has come to my attention that by letter dated July 23, 2009, we provided you an incomplete list of all sole source contracts that the City Attorney's Office has entered into during the past fiscal year. Please substitute the enclosed list for the list provided on July 23, 2009 and make this list available for public inspection and copying under the Sunshine Ordinance.

Very truly yours,

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Marisa Moret".

MARISA MORET
Managing Attorney

Enclosure

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 28 PM 3:48
BY *PN*

3

CITY ATTORNEY
LIST OF SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FY09


Name of Firm	Scope of Service	Need for Contract	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	Maximum Amount
Remy, Thomas, Moose & Manley	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in California Environmental Quality Act	March 24, 2006	January 21, 2010	\$200,000
CRA International	Professional Expert Services	Expertise in economic statistical analysis	May 15, 2006	December 30, 2008	\$563,212
Health Economics Consulting Group	Professional Expert Services	Expertise in health analyses	May 21, 2008	December 31, 2008	\$88,500
Foley & Lardner, LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in Medi-Cal related issues	June 2, 2008	June 2, 2011	\$100,000
Shute Mahaly & Weinberger LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in laws related to public trust issues	January 1, 2006	June 30, 2009	\$235,500
Sidley Austin LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	January 1, 2007	December 1, 2008	\$40,000
Hanson Bridget, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal law	November 1, 2007	November 1, 2009	\$20,000
Shute Mahaly & Weinberger LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in laws related to Base closures	July 1, 2008	December 31, 2009	Contingency
Kutak Rock LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in laws related to Base closures	March 1, 2005	December 31, 2009	
Law offices of Leslie M. Lava	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	January 1, 2008	December 1, 2008	\$30,000
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	January 1, 2008	July 30, 2008	\$50,000
McKool Smith, P.C.	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in tax matters	September 1, 2008	February 1, 2009	Contingency
Quint & Thimring LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	July 1, 2008	Completion of transaction	\$50,000
Carr McClellan Ingersol Thompson & Horn LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in bankruptcy matters	June 20, 2008	June 30, 2009	\$500,000
Law Offices of Billy Chan	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in intellectual property law	September 5, 2008	September 5, 2009	\$5,000
Jones Hall	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	May 1, 2008	Completion of Transaction	\$78,801
Law offices of Leslie M. Lava	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	May 1, 2008	Completion of Transaction	\$52,500
Garcia Calderon Ruiz LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	June 16, 2008	Completion of Transaction	\$28,000

CITY ATTORNEY
LIST OF SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FY09

Name of Firm	Scope of Service	Need for Contract	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	Maximum Amount
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	June 16, 2008	Completion of Transaction	\$35,000
Garcia Calderon Ruiz LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	July 1, 2008	August 28, 2008	\$20,000
Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy	Professional Legal Services	Litigation related to prosecution in case against bond insurance companies	August 18, 2008	Through Trial	Contingency Fee
Thomas M. Bruen Law Offices	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in Transportation Law related to Railways	October 1, 2008	December 31, 2011	\$95,000
Arguedas Cassman & Headley, LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in criminal law	September 24, 2008	Conclusion of investigation	\$100,000
David Newdorf DBA Newdorf Legal	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal law	October 1, 2008	September 30, 2009	\$15,000
Winston & Strawn LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in financial law	October 28, 2008	March 1, 2009	\$60,000
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	November 1, 2007	April 1, 2010	\$60,000
Nossaman LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in Endangered Species Act and related laws	September 25, 2008	April 30, 2009	\$35,000
Health Economics Consulting Group	Professional Expert Services	Expertise in health analyses	May 21, 2008	December 31, 2009	\$161,446
Berkeley Economic Consulting, Inc.	Professional Expert Services	Expert consulting service	November 1, 2008	November 1, 2009	\$86,220
Onsager, Staelin & Guyerson LLC	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in bankruptcy law	February 1, 2009	December 31, 2012	\$15,000
Kutak Rock LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in laws related to Base closures	March 1, 2005	December 31, 2009	\$175,000
Utility System Efficiencies	Professional Expert Services	Expertise in Energy Law	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2009	\$60,000
Susan V. Stephens	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in Energy Law	March 9, 2009	December 31, 2009	\$150,000
Ronald E. Lee	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	September 1, 2008	December 31, 2009	\$12,000
Sidley Austin LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	November 1, 2008	December 1, 2009	\$55,000
Keyser Marston	Professional Expert Services	Expertise in municipal fees	May 1, 2009	September 30, 2009	\$25,000
Elizabeth C. Green	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	November 1, 2008	December 1, 2009	\$22,000

CITY ATTORNEY
LIST OF SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FY09

Name of Firm	Scope of Service	Need for Contract	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	Maximum Amount
Goodwin Procter LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	September 1, 2008	December 1, 2009	\$33,500
Moscone Emblidge & Quadra/Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal litigation	January 1, 2003	December 31, 2009	\$226,250
Quateman LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	March 20, 2009	December 12, 2009	\$100,000
Cambridge Systematics, Inc.	Professional Expert Services	Expertise re: Transportation Issues	June 1, 2009	February 28, 2010	\$434,992
LexisNexis	Professional Expert Services	Electronic legal library services	March 1, 2009	March 31, 2011	\$216,000
Hawkins Delatfield & Wood LLP	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	January 1, 2008	December 1, 2009	\$105,000
Law offices of Leslie M. Lava	Professional Legal Services	Expertise in municipal finance	January 1, 2008	December 1, 2009	\$35,000



Esther Reyes/CON/SFGOV

07/20/2009 04:08 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc Ben Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Maura
Lane/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mary
Fitzpatrick/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Peg

bcc

Subject Re: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports

Attached please find the Controller's Office response.



2009 SoleSourceContractSunshineSubmission.PDF

Board of Supervisors

----- Original Message -----

From: Board of Supervisors

Sent: 07/13/2009 06:26 PM PDT

To: Patrick Boyd; Luis Cancel; jxu@asianart.org; Phil Ting; Vivian Day;
Edwin Lee; Tara Collins; Ben Rosenfield; District Attorney; Michael Cohen;
John Arntz; Vicki Hennessy; jbuchanan@famsf.org; Joanne Hayes-White; Bart
Duncan; Micki Callahan; Chris Iglesias; Trent Rhorer; Julian Low; Nathaniel
Ford; John Rahaim; Monique Moyer; Jeff Adachi; Mitch Katz; lherrera@sfpl.info;
Ed Harrington; Ed Reiskin; Margaret McArthur; Eileen Hirst; Elizabeth Murray

Subject: Fw: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports

As of this date, the Clerk of the Board has not received your department's response regarding Sole Source Contracts as requested in the email below. Responses were due by July 10.

Note: If you do not have any sole source contracts to report, a response is required to that effect (as requested in the attached memo).

Please respond by July 24. The Clerk of the Board must submit a report to the Board of Supervisors indicating responses received (or not) from departments. The report will be submitted on July 27.

Thank you.

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 07/13/2009 05:00 PM -----

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/S
FGOV**

To Department Heads/Sole Source

cc

06/05/2009 07:16
PM

Subject Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports




Sole Source Reminder 08-09.doc

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548



M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller 

DATE: 7/17/09

SUBJECT: Sole Source Contract Reporting Requirement for FY 08/09

In accordance with Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24 (e), the Controller's Office is submitting the following information.

The department entered into 6 annual maintenance renewal agreements for proprietary software and 1 new maintenance agreement for proprietary software.

Vendor Name	Service	Start Date	End Date	Amount	Reason
A C L SERVICES LTD	ACL Software Maintenance	1/1/2009	12/31/2009	\$2,394.00	Proprietary software renewal
C C H INC	TeamMate software services	10/1/2008	10/1/2009	\$17,300.00	Proprietary software renewal
COGSDALE HOLDINGS LTD	Maintenance Agreement for FAMIS suite	7/1/2008	6/30/2009	\$128,735.00	Proprietary software renewal
INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS (MICHIGAN) INC	AppCare Software Maintenance Consulting	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	\$30,000.00	Proprietary software renewal
INFOR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS (MICHIGAN) INC	Mainframe Payroll System	7/1/2008	6/30/2009	\$135,203.98	Proprietary software renewal
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION (IBM) formerly Cognos	EIS/FAMIS Reporting Software Maintenance Services	9/30/2008	9/29/2009	\$ 122,077.69	Proprietary software renewal
HOSTBRIDGE TECHNOLOGY LLC	Software Maintenance Agreement	3/2/2009	3/2/2012	\$50,000.00	Proprietary software new

Please contact Esther Reyes at 554-7819 if you have any questions.



Aura Mendieta
<Aura.Mendieta@sfgov.org>

07/21/2009 03:58 PM

To "board.of.supervisors" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

(See attached file: Sole Source Contract and Annual Report FY08-09.doc)

Aura Mendieta- Deputy Director
Budget & Personnel
Department of Elections
City and County of San Francisco
(V) 415-554-4347
(C) 415-215-7580
(F) 415-554-7666
aura.mendieta@sfgov.org

3

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 5, 2009
To: Department Heads & Persons Responsible for
Sole Source Contracts & Annual Reports
From: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009
Please respond by July 10, 2009

SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS

Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e) requires that at the end of each fiscal year each City Department provide the Board of Supervisors with a list of all sole source contracts entered into during the past fiscal year. Please list all existing sole source contracts, adding those entered into during Fiscal Year 2008-09. Please report if your department **did not** enter into any sole source contracts during the past fiscal year. The list shall be made available for inspection and copying. In addition, Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.29-2 encourages posting of this information on the web. Submit sole source contract information by:

Inter-departmental mail: Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors, Room 244 City Hall
or
Email: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Below is a suggested format for transmitting the information.

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
11/4/08-11/4/08	San Francisco Unified School District	\$15,000.00	The Department uses various schools as precincts and pays fees to SFUSD for custodial services during election day.
10/31/08-11/9/08	Enterprise	\$4,057.98	The Department rents (3) 15-foot box trucks during election week to transport supplies to the precincts. Enterprise is the only rental agency that provides this particular box trucks.
10/2008-12/2008	Cromer	\$7,753.27	Department is not able to use USAVE City Wide

3/2009-6/2009		\$6,459.00	Contract because they do not carry electric fork-lifts. Department needs electric fork-lifts to use in close building with out proper ventilation.
---------------	--	------------	--

ANNUAL REPORTS

Charter Section 4.103 provides that each board and commission of the City and County shall be required by ordinance to prepare an annual report describing its activities, and shall file such report with the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Administrative Code Section 8.16, in turn, requires other official published documents relating to the functions of the official, board, commission or department, or affairs under their control, to file two copies of the report or document with the San Francisco Public Library within 10 days from the date of publication. Department Heads: Please make certain your boards and commissions comply with this requirement.

If you have questions regarding your obligations of these requirements, please contact the Deputy City Attorney advising your department.



William Lee/DEM/SFGOV

07/22/2009 12:24 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Vicki Hennessy/DEM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Alicia
Venegas/DEM/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc

Subject Fw: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports

In response to your request below, I am providing the following signed memo along with softcopy for your review.



DEM Sole Source Contracts_FY08-09.PDF Sole Source Contracts Memo_072209.doc

Please let me know if you have any questions on this matter.

Thanks!

Will

William T. Lee
Deputy Director of Administration and Support
Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel. 415-558-3866
Fax 415-558-3841

----- Forwarded by Vicki Hennessy/DEM/SFGOV on 07/14/2009 08:39 AM -----

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

07/13/2009 06:26 PM

To Patrick Boyd/ADPROB/SFGOV@SFGOV, Luis
Cancel/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, jxu@asianart.org, Phil
Ting/ASRREC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Vivian
Day/DBI/SFGOV@SFGOV, Edwin
Lee/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Tara
Collins/CTYATT@CTYATT, Ben
Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, District
Attorney/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michael
Cohen/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, John
Arntz/ELECTIONS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Vicki
Hennessy/OES/ECDEPT/SFGOV@SFGOV,
jbuchanan@famsf.org, Joanne
Hayes-White/SFFD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Bart
Duncan/HSS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Micki
Callahan/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chris
Iglesias/HRC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Trent
Rhorer/DHS/CCSF@CCSF, Julian
Low/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Nathaniel.Ford@sfrmta.com,
John Rahaim/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV, Monique
Moyer/SFPORT/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jeff
Adachi/PUBDEF/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mitch
Katz/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, lherrera@sfpl.info,
EHarrington@sflower.org, Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org, Margaret
McArthur/RPD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Eileen
Hirst/SFSD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Elizabeth
Murray/WMPAC/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Division of Emergency Communications
Phone: (415) 558-3800 Fax: (415) 558-3843

Division of Emergency Services
Phone: (415) 487-5000 Fax: (415) 487-5043



Vicki L. Hennessy
Executive Director

MEMORANDUM

TO: Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors

FROM: Vicki Hennessy *Vicki L. Hennessy*
Executive Director
Department of Emergency Management

DATE: July 22, 2009

RE: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

This memo addresses the Department of Emergency Management's (DEM) Sole Source Contracts and Annual Report for FY 2008-2009. In accordance with Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e), we are providing the Board of Supervisors with a list of all existing sole source contracts as well as those that were added during the past fiscal year. The list of applicable contracts is as follows:

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
07/08 – 12/08	Circlepoint	\$481,200	Provide regional planning and capability assessments, based on previous work.
10/08 – 03/09	BearingPoint	\$149,000	Develop a 700 MHz Radio and data frequency allocation and repacking plan.
02/09 – 06/09	California Emergency Management Agency (CALEMA)	\$49,750	CSTI Training. Faculty to develop program content, agenda, assessment, prepare coursework, and deliver training for an Emergency Management Earthquake Program only offered through this State owned facility
07/08 – 06/09	Roam Secure Alert Network (RSAN)	\$110,000	Sustainment of proprietary emergency notification and communication system for CCSF, San Mateo and Marin Counties using Homeland Security Grant funding.

[Subject]

[Date]

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
01/09 – 12/09	Collaborative Fusion	\$233,000	Continuation of software license and maintenance fees from existing disaster service worker program.
07/09 – 12/09	Harris Stratex	\$2,998,000	Procurement of a regional microwave equipment that is compatible and identical to equipment purchased and installed in San Francisco and San Jose.
07/09 – 12/09	Filler Security Strategies	\$49,747	Produce 3-year Strategic Plan for Bay Area UASI based on Risk and capability assessments. Vendor is uniquely qualified.
04/09 – 04/10	URS Corporation	\$4,022,000	Follow-up to Regional Emergency Coordination Plan, which contractor wrote.
07/08 – 07/10	Neighborhood America	\$53,010	Administer and maintain a full service website for the Bay Area UASI, including data sharing services. Vendor's file sharing technology was only source identified.
07/09 - 06/10	Digital Sandbox	\$289,750	Provide Homeland Security Risk Assessments and Analyses based on classified and secure data. – Proprietary software
04/09 – 12/10	Onuma, Inc.	\$46,100	Sustainment of proprietary database and website implementation. Originally awarded through competitive bid.
06/09 – 07/10	Medical Priority Consultants, Inc.	\$64,945.00	Provide training sessions and recertifications for proprietary medical/fire dispatch protocol software.

Regarding the submission of an annual report, Charter Section 4.103 does not apply to DEM because this department does not have a board or commission associated with the department.

If you have any questions regarding this budget request, please feel free to contact my Deputy Director of Administration and Support, William Lee, at 415-558-3866.

Thank you.

cc: William Lee, DEM Deputy Director of Administration and Support

JOANNE HAYES-WHITE
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR
2009 JUL 27 PM 3:19
BY _____


July 20, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

As required by Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e), the San Francisco Fire Department is providing the following information on its sole source contracts from FY08-09:

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
2 years	Byron Epp	\$150,000	Only vendor certified to repair telescoping doors on Fire Stations
3 years	Process Cooling and Heating	\$150,000	Only vendor certified to perform maintenance and repair of Nederman exhaust extractors.
2 years	Kidde Fire Trainers, Inc.	\$180,000	Only vendor qualified to perform maintenance and repair of Department's Fire Simulator.
3 years	The Regents of the University of California	\$1,250,000	Contract covers Medical Director positions for the Fire Department and the Department of Emergency Management. Contract is with UCSF so that the medical directors are also emergency room physicians at SF General Hospital.

Sincerely,


Joanne Hayes-White
Chief of Department

**Merrick
Pascual/MAYOR/SFGOV**
07/23/2009 05:24 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc Jennifer Entine Matz/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michael
Cohen/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject Re: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports

Per Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e), attached is the requested information regarding a list of OEWD's existing sole source contracts.



Sole Source Contracts.xls

Thanks,
Merrick

Merrick Pascual
Budget Manager
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
(415) 701-5511

----- Forwarded by Andrea Bruss/MAYOR/SFGOV on 07/13/2009 06:31 PM -----

From: Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
To: Patrick Boyd/ADPROB/SFGOV@SFGOV, Luis Cancel/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, jxu@asianart.org, Phil Ting/ASRREC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Vivian Day/DBI/SFGOV@SFGOV, Edwin Lee/ADMSVC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Tara Collins/CTYATT@CTYATT, Ben Rosenfield/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, District Attorney/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michael Cohen/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, John Arntz/ELECTIONS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Vicki Hennessy/OES/ECDEPT/SFGOV@SFGOV, jbuchanan@famsf.org, Joanne Hayes-White/SFFD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Bart Duncan/HSS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Micki Callahan/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chris Iglesias/HRC/SFGOV@SFGOV, Trent Rhorer/DHS/CCSF@CCSF, Julian Low/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Nathaniel.Ford@sfmta.com, John Rahaim/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV, Monique Moyer/SFPORT/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jeff Adachi/PUBDEF/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mitch Katz/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, lherrera@sfpl.info, EHarrington@sfwater.org, Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org, Margaret McArthur/RPD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Eileen Hirst/SFSD/SFGOV@SFGOV, Elizabeth Murray/WMPAC/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 07/13/2009 06:26 PM
Subject: Fw: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual Reports

As of this date, the Clerk of the Board has not received your department's response regarding Sole Source Contracts as requested in the email below. Responses were due by July 10.

Note: If you do not have any sole source contracts to report, a response is required to that effect (as requested in the attached memo).

Please respond by July 24. The Clerk of the Board must submit a report to the Board of Supervisors indicating responses received (or not) from departments. The report will be submitted on July 27.

Thank you.

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548

Term	Vendor	Total Contract Amount	Reason
1/1/08-6/30/09	Linda Manion	\$42,500	Amended in 08-09 to increase amount and extend term. Workforce Investment Act (WIA) consultant originally contracted with the Private Industry Council (PIC). Provided needed expertise when PIC was dissolved and OEWD/Workforce Development assumed duties and responsibilities previously performed by the PIC.
1/1/08-6/30/09	Alta Mesa Group, LLC	\$49,900	Amended in 08-09 to extend term. Workforce Investment Act (WIA) consultant originally contracted with the Private Industry Council (PIC). Provided needed expertise when PIC was dissolved and OEWD/Workforce Development assumed duties and responsibilities previously performed by the PIC. Also assisted with the fiscal implications of dissolving the PIC and its 501 C3.
1/1/08-12/31/08	John Baker	\$28,500	Active during 08-09. Workforce Investment Act (WIA) consultant originally contracted with the Private Industry Council (PIC). Provided needed expertise when PIC was dissolved and OEWD/Workforce Development assumed duties and responsibilities previously performed by the PIC. Also assisted with Strategic Planning process for department as required under the Workforce Investment Act.
1/1/08-12/31/08	Donna Deweerd	\$49,900	Active during 08-09. Workforce Investment Act (WIA) consultant originally contracted with the Private Industry Council (PIC). Provided needed expertise when PIC was dissolved and OEWD/Workforce Development assumed duties and responsibilities previously performed by the PIC. Also assisted with Strategic Planning process for department as required under the Workforce Investment Act.
4/7/07 - 2/28/10	Barrett Sports Group, LLC	\$100,000	Second Amendment to extend the term of the contract executed in 08-09. Barrett Sports Group, LLC has expertise in the business of sports, the National Football League and stadium and arena development. Providing services associated with the development of a proposed multi-purpose sports and entertainment facility for the San Francisco 49ers and other events at Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point. OEWD requires continued consulting expertise to assist the City with its strategic planning efforts to encourage the San Francisco 49ers to remain in San Francisco. The tasks require an in-depth knowledge of stadium development, the National Football League and real estate for football teams. The extension will enable the stadium consultant to provide continued NFL negotiation support and advice to the City among other tasks.



"Harmon, Virginia"
<Virginia.Harmon@sfmta.com>
m>

07/22/2009 03:33 PM

To "Board of Supervisors" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject SFMTA Sole source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Attached please find the SFMTA list of Sole Source Contracts for fiscal year 2008-2009. If you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at 415.701.4404.

Sincerely,
Virginia Harmon
SFMTA EO Office/Contracts & Procurement

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
Sole Source Contracts
July 2009

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason	Brief Description
2005-ongoing	Microsoft Enterprise/ASAP	\$282,805	Sole Source for software license	License and Maintenance of Microsoft software
2006-2010	David Donn Consulting	\$875,000	Sole source for service	Provide Managed Care Oversight of the Fair Isaac contract
2006-2014	Transportation Resource Associates	\$180,344	Sole Source for software maintenance	Maintenance of TransitSafe software
2006-ongoing	Oracle	\$310,107	Sole source for database management	Maintenance of Oracle database
2006-2012	Orion Bus Industries	\$17,132,780	Sole source for procurement	30-Foot Low Floor Hybrid Electric Diesel Bus Procurement
2007-2012	GE Transportation Parts	\$900,000	Sole source for proprietary parts	Proprietary parts for maintenance of Breda Light Rail Vehicles
2008-ongoing	Fourth Dimension	\$330,696	Sole Source for software license	2070 Signal Controller software License
2008-2011	Fourth Dimension	\$330,696	Sole source for software maintenance	Annual Maintenance for Type 2070 Signal Controller Software
2008-2011	Urban Transportation Associates, Inc.	\$1,266,223	Sole source for equipment	Install automatic passenger counting equipment in the rubber tire fleet to help improve on-time performance of the fleet

SFMTA Sole Source Contracts

July 2009

Page 2

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason	Brief Description
2008-2010	Serco Management Systems	\$22,080,000	Sole source for service	Series of pilots being conducted in support of SFpark program to review various methods of demand-response pricing and develop effective parking management processes in the City.
2008-2010	Cubic Transportation	\$19,000,000	Sole source for equipment	Refurbish Bus Farebox
2008-2011	The Gordian Group	\$300,000	Sole source for service	Implementation of a Job Order Contracting Program
2009-2011	SF County Transportation Authority	\$199,470	Sole source for service	Eastern Neighborhood Transportation Implementation Planning Study
2009-2012	Thales Transport & Security	\$5,000,000	Sole source for maintenance and support services	Automatic Train Control System Maintenance Support & Services



Elaine Forbes/CTYPLN/SFGOV
07/28/2009 06:36 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc John Rahaim/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV, Lisa
Chau/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV.

bcc

Subject Re: Fw: Reminder: Sole Source Contracts and Annual
Reports

Please find the Planning Department's information for the Sole Source Contracts and Annual Report.

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
9/1/2006-5/31/2009	Asian Neighborhood Design (AND)	\$147,000	Supervisor Daly appropriated funds through a Bard add-back, which have been used to provide independent, third party community planning support to the WesternSoMa Planning Process. AND was uniquely qualified to provide this support based on prior experience with the WesternSoMa Community Task Force.

Elaine Forbes

Acting Chief Administrative Officer
Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 558-6417



San Francisco Public Library
Contract Administration, Finance Division
100 Larkin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4733
Tel (415) 557-4214 · Fax (415) 437-4830

*Sent electronically to the
Clerk of the Board on
7/23/09.*

MEMORANDUM

July 22, 2009

TO: Clerk of the Board

FROM: Anna Clara Wong, Contracts Manager *Anna Clara Wong*

THROUGH: Luis Herrera, City Librarian *Luis Herrera*

SUBJECT: Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009
San Francisco Public Library

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 24 PM 2:55
BY *AK*

Per your memorandum of June 2, 2009, please find attached a list of sole-source contracts entered into during Fiscal Year 2008-09, plus existing sole-source contracts issued by the Library.

cc: Luis Herrera
Jay Manglicmot

Attachment: List of Sole Source Contracts

(3)

San Francisco Public Library
Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

	Term		Vendor	Amount	Reason
1	10/2/08	to 6/30/09	Burgeon Group	\$97,719.00	Interactive furniture for Early Literacy Program
2	4/21/09	to 6/30/09	Digital Library Reserve	\$110,900.00	Database for downloadable books and library materials for public use
3	1/1/03	to 6/30/09	Ebsco Publishing	\$741,659.00	Online content database license for public access
4	12/1/06	to 6/30/09	HW Wilson	\$66,982.00	Online content database license for public access
5	12/1/02	to 6/30/09	Innovative Interfaces	\$2,261,808.00	Software license & maintenance for Integrated Library System
6	8/28/08	to 6/30/09	Reference USA	\$12,040.00	Online content database license for public access
7	7/1/06	to 6/30/09	Tutor.com	\$212,000.00	Online tutoring for student patrons
8	9/1/06	to 10/1/09	RR Bowker	\$158,115.00	Online content database license for public access
9	12/1/06	to 10/31/09	WebFeat	\$120,000.00	Database and portal maintenance
10	5/21/07	to 12/31/09	CRS Inc	\$31,361.00	Software license for Subfinder Program
11	1/1/07	to 12/31/09	Info USA (Ref USA)	\$143,440.00	Online content database license for public access
12	1/1/07	to 12/31/09	Scholastic/Grolier	\$170,582.00	Online content database license for public access
13	2/14/07	to 2/13/10	D&B Corp	\$45,192.00	Online content database license for public access
14	6/1/07	to 4/30/10	Mergent Inc.	\$52,990.00	Online content database license for public access
15	6/1/07	to 5/31/10	Dragonsource.com Inc	\$60,000.00	Online content database license for public access
16	7/1/07	to 6/30/10	Califa Group	\$200,389.00	Online content database license for public access
17	7/1/07	to 6/30/10	East View Info Services	\$19,788.00	Online content database license for public access
18	7/1/07	to 6/30/10	OCLC Online Computer Library	\$930,896.00	Database & software license
19	7/1/07	to 6/30/10	Rosetta Stone	\$104,985.00	Online content database license for public access
20	8/3/00	to 7/1/10	Square D	\$213,737.00	Facilities maintenance
21	8/1/07	to 8/30/10	Waddell Software Development	\$13,005.00	Software maintenance for scheduling services
22	12/1/07	to 11/30/10	Johnson Controls	\$153,090.00	Chillers and air system maintenance
23	12/1/07	to 11/30/10	Pacific Coast Trane	\$324,163.00	HVAC maintenance
24	12/1/07	to 11/30/10	Tractel-Swingstage Inc	\$43,780.00	Window washing system maintenance
25	9/1/07	to 2/28/11	TAC Americas Inc.	\$297,039.00	Maintenance of Main Library building controls
26	4/1/09	to 5/31/11	FM1	\$13,050.00	Software maintenance for library facilities
27	1/1/04	to 6/30/11	Siemens Bldg Tech	\$285,291.00	Fire alarm and safety maintenance
28	7/1/08	to 6/30/11	3M	\$236,800.00	Maintenance of library's security gates & self check machines
29	10/3/08	to 6/30/11	The Gale Group	\$123,816.76	Online content database license for public access
30	9/1/06	to 8/31/11	Johnson Controls	\$109,023.00	Security systems & card key access
31	12/1/08	to 11/30/11	Proquest LLC	\$975,023.00	Online content database license for public access
32	1/1/09	to 12/30/11	Active Network	\$68,862.50	Software license & maintenance
33	1/1/09	to 12/31/11	Keystone Systems	\$100,389.00	Software & maintenance services to catalog, circulate and track materials for blind and print-disabled patrons
34	7/1/09	to 6/30/12	Overdrive, Inc.	\$424,800.00	Database for downloadable digital library materials for public access
35	7/1/09	to 6/30/14	FKI Logistex	\$249,881.00	Sorting system maintenance





San Francisco Public Library
Contract Administration, Finance Division
100 Larkin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4733
Tel (415) 557-4214 · Fax (415) 437-4830

MEMORANDUM

July 22, 2009

TO: Clerk of the Board

FROM: Anna Clara Wong, Contracts Manager 

THROUGH: Luis Herrera, City Librarian 

SUBJECT: Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009
San Francisco Public Library

Per your memorandum of June 2, 2009, please find attached a list of sole-source contracts entered into during Fiscal Year 2008-09, plus existing sole-source contracts issued by the Library.

cc: Luis Herrera
Jay Manglicmot

Attachment: List of Sole Source Contracts

San Francisco Public Library
Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

	Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
1	10/2/08 to 6/30/09	Burgeon Group	\$97,719.00	Interactive furniture for Early Literacy Program
2	4/21/09 to 6/30/09	Digital Library Reserve	\$110,900.00	Database for downloadable books and library materials for public use
3	1/1/03 to 6/30/09	Ebsco Publishing	\$741,659.00	Online content database license for public access
4	12/1/06 to 6/30/09	HW Wilson	\$66,982.00	Online content database license for public access
5	12/1/02 to 6/30/09	Innovative Interfaces	\$2,261,808.00	Software license & maintenance for Integrated Library System
6	8/28/08 to 6/30/09	Reference USA	\$12,040.00	Online content database license for public access
7	7/1/06 to 6/30/09	Tutor.com	\$212,000.00	Online tutoring for student patrons
8	9/1/06 to 10/1/09	RR Bowker	\$158,115.00	Online content database license for public access
9	12/1/06 to 10/31/09	WebFeat	\$120,000.00	Database and portal maintenance
10	5/21/07 to 12/31/09	CRS Inc	\$31,361.00	Software license for Subfinder Program
11	1/1/07 to 12/31/09	Info USA (Ref USA)	\$143,440.00	Online content database license for public access
12	1/1/07 to 12/31/09	Scholastic/Grolier	\$170,582.00	Online content database license for public access
13	2/14/07 to 2/13/10	D&B Corp	\$45,192.00	Online content database license for public access
14	6/1/07 to 4/30/10	Mergent Inc.	\$52,990.00	Online content database license for public access
15	6/1/07 to 5/31/10	Dragonsource.com Inc	\$60,000.00	Online content database license for public access
16	7/1/07 to 6/30/10	Califa Group	\$200,389.00	Online content database license for public access
17	7/1/07 to 6/30/10	East View Info Services	\$19,788.00	Online content database license for public access
18	7/1/07 to 6/30/10	OCLC Online Computer Library	\$930,896.00	Database & software license
19	7/1/07 to 6/30/10	Rosetta Stone	\$104,985.00	Online content database license for public access
20	8/3/00 to 7/1/10	Square D	\$213,737.00	Facilities maintenance
21	8/1/07 to 8/30/10	Waddell Software Development	\$13,005.00	Software maintenance for scheduling services
22	12/1/07 to 11/30/10	Johnson Controls	\$153,090.00	Chillers and air system maintenance
23	12/1/07 to 11/30/10	Pacific Coast Trane	\$324,163.00	HVAC maintenance
24	12/1/07 to 11/30/10	Tractel-Swingstage Inc	\$43,780.00	Window washing system maintenance
25	9/1/07 to 2/28/11	TAC Americas Inc.	\$297,039.00	Maintenance of Main Library building controls
26	4/1/09 to 5/31/11	FM1	\$13,050.00	Software maintenance for library facilities
27	1/1/04 to 6/30/11	Siemens Bldg Tech	\$285,291.00	Fire alarm and safety maintenance
28	7/1/08 to 6/30/11	3M	\$236,800.00	Maintenance of library's security gates & self check machines
29	10/3/08 to 6/30/11	The Gale Group	\$123,816.76	Online content database license for public access
30	9/1/06 to 8/31/11	Johnson Controls	\$109,023.00	Security systems & card key access
31	12/1/08 to 11/30/11	Proquest LLC	\$975,023.00	Online content database license for public access
32	1/1/09 to 12/30/11	Active Network	\$68,862.50	Software license & maintenance
33	1/1/09 to 12/31/11	Keystone Systems	\$100,389.00	Software & maintenance services to catalog, circulate and track materials for blind and print-disabled patrons
34	7/1/09 to 6/30/12	Overdrive, Inc.	\$424,800.00	Database for downloadable digital library materials for public access
35	7/1/09 to 6/30/14	FKI Logistex	\$249,881.00	Sorting system maintenance

City

County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF



Michael Hennessey
SHERIFF

415 - 554 - 7225

July 16, 2009
SHF: 09-090

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689


Dear Ms. Calvillo,


The annual report to the Board of Supervisors on sole source contracts entered into for fiscal year 2008-2009 is attached as requested.

Please contact Maureen Gannon, Chief Financial Officer, at 415 554-4316 if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,


MICHAEL HENNESSEY
Sheriff

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 16 PM 2:46
BY 

San Francisco Sheriff's Department
List of Sole Source Contracts in Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Term	Vendor	Amount	Reason
07/01/08-06/30/09	Air Exchange, Inc.	\$92,982	Zimek Room and Vehicle Decontamination System is a Dri-Mist Micro-Particle Generator that breaks down the specifically formulated disinfectant solution into microscopic negatively charged ion particles used to treat inmate intake cells. Zimek Systems holds the patent and is the sole manufacturer, and Air Systems is the only authorized sales representative of Zimek.
07/01/08-06/30/09	Pivot Interior, Inc.	\$10,146	This service is needed for design & supplies on reconfiguring four existing work stations into six stations-adding two new workstations for the Finance Staff's work area located at City Hall, Room #456. Pivot has the exclusive contract rights to furnish City Hall building with Herman Miller Ethospace modular furniture and can also ensure that specific fabric and materials to maintain the overall "City Hall Look" and the integrity of the building is not compromised under any condition.
07/01/08-06/30/09	Rapid Notify, Inc.	\$12,075	This is an annual database subscription fee to allow access for proprietary telecommunication systems, for as-needed automated telephone alerts to communities in San Mateo County regarding any emergencies arising from San Francisco County Jails located in San Bruno.
07/01/08-06/30/09	San Bruno Garbage Co. Inc.	\$120,000	San Bruno Garbage is the sole source garbage collector for all San Bruno addresses under the terms of the San Bruno Municipal Code. The San Francisco County Jails located in San Bruno fall under this requirement.
07/01/08-06/30/09	Siron Software Corporation	\$10,252	Siron supports and maintains it's proprietary software for the department's Civil division. The software controls the entire Civil process, including fee processing, accounting, document tracking and more.

San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

Owned and Operated by the
City and County of San Francisco

War Memorial Veterans Building
Herbst Theatre/Green Room
War Memorial Opera House
Louise M. Davies Symphony Hall
Harold L. Zellerbach Rehearsal Hall

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 110
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone (415) 621-6600
FAX (415) 621-5091

MEMORANDUM

July 16, 2009

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors


FROM: Elizabeth Murray, Managing Director
War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

SUBJECT: **Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009**

In accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance requirement that each City department provide the Board of Supervisors with a list of all sole source contracts entered into during the past fiscal year, listed below are sole source contracts entered into by the War Memorial department during FY 2008-2009.

TERM	VENDOR	AMOUNT	REASON
7/1/08-6/30/09	AIXTEC dba Eaton & Associates	\$15,000.00	Technical support / technology assessment and development of technology plan
7/1/08-6/30/09	Holzmueller Corp	\$13,529.00 \$68,749.00	Labor and materials for Opera House Lobby Lighting system. Herbst Theater stage lighting dimming system
7/1/08-6/30/09	Island Creative Management	\$12,036.00	Theatrical stage draperies at Herbst Theater.
7/1/08-6/30/09	Gala Theatrical Equipment	\$18,600.00	Opera House stage lift repair.
7/1/08-6/30/09	McClure Electric	\$66,470.00	Installation of new electrical power to the lobby areas of Davies Symphony Hall.
7/1/08-6/30/09	Simonds Machinery	\$13,104.00	Replace pumps at Opera House and Veterans Building
7/1/08-6/30/09	Siemens Building Technology	\$10,674.00	Emergency repairs to Opera House fire alarm system
7/1/08-6/30/09	Tree Lovers Floors	\$21,652.00	Repair marble at box office entrance at Davies Hall and repair sacagliola box seat area.
7/1/08-6/30/09	United California Glass	\$24,160.00	Repair and replace broken glass in Veterans Building and Opera House window & entrance glass. Replace mirrors in dressing rooms at Opera House.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 554-6306.





SF Environment

Our home. Our city. Our planet.



GAVIN NEWSOM
Mayor

JARED BLUMENFELD
Director

4

July 20, 2009

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

SUBJECT: Charter Section 4.104 Rules and Regulations to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors—Commuter Benefits Ordinance Compliance Rule Making

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.104 requiring that Rules and Regulations be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, attached is the Department of the Environment's Regulation No. SFE-09-01-CBO, Commuter Benefits Ordinance Compliance Rule Making, effective May 26, 2009. If you have any questions, please contact Monica Fish, Commission Secretary to the Environment at (415) 355-3709.

Sincerely,

Monica Fish

Monica Fish
Commission Secretary to the Environment

Attachments: Commuter Benefits Ordinance Rules and Regulations No. SFE-09-01-CBO
Commuter Benefits Compliance Form
Commuter Benefits Registration Form

4



Rules and Regulations
Commuter Benefits Ordinance 0199-08, SF Environment Code Section 421
Rule No. SFE-09-01-CBO

San Francisco's Commuter Benefits Ordinance No. 0199-08, SF Environment Code Section 421, requires all registered businesses in San Francisco that have 20 or more employees who work an average of at least 10 hours a week within the previous calendar month to offer one of the following options:

1. A pre-tax deduction program to pay for transit or vanpool expenses under existing Federal Tax Law 132(f).
2. An employer paid monthly subsidy for transit or vanpool expenses equivalent to the value of a San Francisco MUNI Fast Pass.
3. Free shuttle service on a company-funded bus or van between home and place of business.

Violation of the Ordinance may result in administrative penalties or other civil enforcement action.

Under the Ordinance, the San Francisco Department of the Environment (hereinafter "SF DOE") is responsible for promulgating rules, regulations and forms implementing the Ordinance, and for enforcing compliance by San Francisco employers.

SF DOE staff has the authority to conduct investigation and monitoring and to seek, for violations of this Ordinance, all of the penalties imposed by this Ordinance in order to further its purposes. SF DOE staff and other City employees and agents or designees authorized to assist in the administration and enforcement of the requirements of this Ordinance shall have the right to engage in random inspections of employment sites; to have access to workers and other witnesses; and to conduct audits of employer records as reasonably deemed necessary to determine compliance with this Ordinance, including, but not limited to, employee time sheets, payroll records, and employee paychecks.

SF DOE staff may issue written warnings to non-compliant employers, issue and enforce administrative citations, provide for administrative review, and refer violations to other enforcement agencies. Where prompt compliance is not forthcoming, SF DOE staff may take any appropriate enforcement action to secure compliance, including initiating a civil action, and/or, except where prohibited by state or federal law, requesting that City agencies or departments revoke or suspend any registration certificates, permits, or licenses held or requested by the employer or person until such time as the violation is remedied.

I. Enforcement.

A. Warning Letters to Non-Complying Businesses.

1. Issuance of Warning. SF DOE staff may issue a written warning letter wherever it is determined that a San Francisco employer has violated or is violating the Ordinance. The Warning shall be served as provided in Rule IV.
2. Contents of Warning. A Warning shall include the following information: (1) a description of the violation(s) including a reference to each provision of Ordinance violated, the corrective action required for compliance, and the various sanctions that may follow from continued non-compliance; (2) the address or a description of the location(s) where the violation occurred; (3) the date(s) of the violation; (4) the name, address and signature of the SF DOE Director, or his or her designee; and (5) how to appeal the Warning (as provided in Rule II).

B. Imposition of Sanctions or Referral.

Whenever SF DOE staff determine that a San Francisco employer that has received a Warning(s) has violated the Ordinance either by continuing the violation(s) for which the Warning was issued, or by other non-compliance, the SF DOE Director, or his or her designee, may, in his or her sole discretion, issue additional Warnings and otherwise continue informal efforts to obtain compliance; or may issue an Administrative Citation imposing the penalties and enforcement costs as provided by the Ordinance; or may refer the matter to the District Attorney or City Attorney. An Administrative Citation shall be served as provided in Rule IV.

C. Imposing Penalty by Administrative Citation.

1. Issuance of Administrative Citation. Whenever SF DOE staff determine that the circumstances warrant imposition of administrative penalties under the Ordinance, the SF DOE Director shall issue an Administrative Citation imposing administrative penalties as specified in the Ordinance, which may be up to \$800, depending upon the circumstances and enforcement costs.
2. Contents of Administrative Citation. An Administrative Citation shall include the following information: (1) a description of the violation(s) including a reference to each provision of Ordinance violated and the amount of the administrative penalty imposed for the violation(s); (2) the amount of any enforcement costs assessed; (3) the address or a description of the location where the violation occurred; (4) the date(s) of the violation; (5) the date by which the administrative penalty and any fines must be paid, the procedure for making such payment, and the consequences of failure to pay; (6) the name and signature of the SF DOE Director or his or her designee; and (7) how to appeal the Administrative Citation (as provided in Rule II).
3. Payment of Penalties. If the cited party does not file an appeal within the 10-day period provided in Rule II, SF DOE staff determination should become final. The cited party must pay all administrative penalty amounts no later than 90 days after service of the Administrative Citation, unless the cited party appeals the Administrative Citation as provided in Rule II. Overdue administrative penalties shall be subject to the collection procedures set forth in Rule III.

II. Appeal Process for Warning or Administrative Citation.

A. Requesting Administrative Hearing to Appeal Warning or Penalty.

1. Ten Days to Make Written Request. Any person or entity that is cited in a Warning or Administrative Citation may appeal the Warning or Administrative Citation on the grounds that there was no violation of the Ordinance for which the Warning or Administrative Citation was issued, or that the person or entity cited is not the responsible party. Such person (hereinafter "appellant") must appeal in writing, preferably on the form provided by SF DOE staff, and serve the written appeal (as provided in Rule IV) on SF DOE staff within 10 calendar days of the date SF DOE staff served the Warning or Administrative Citation for which review is sought. At a minimum, such appeal shall include a copy of the Warning or Administrative Citation being appealed and a brief statement of the reason(s) for the appeal.
2. Request for Appeal Stays Enforcement. A timely appeal shall stay enforcement of any Administrative Penalties and enforcement costs imposed pending the hearing officer's final decision, but shall not stay enforcement of any new or different violations of the Ordinance.
3. Appointment of Hearing Officer. SF DOE staff shall appoint a hearing officer and schedule a hearing within 15 calendar days of receipt of a timely appeal. SF DOE staff shall promptly notify the appellant of the time and date of said hearing, and shall include with the notice a copy of the Ordinance and these Rules.

B. Hearing and Decision on Appeal.

1. Duties of the Hearing Officer. The hearing officer appointed by SF DOE staff shall conduct all appeal hearings and shall be responsible for deciding all matters relating to the hearing procedures not otherwise specified in these Rules.
2. Conduct of the Hearing, Evidence.
 - a. Public Hearing. The appeal hearing is a public hearing and shall be audio recorded. Any party to the hearing may cause, at his or her own expense, the hearing to be recorded by a certified court reporter, but this will not be the official record of the proceeding unless the Hearing Officer agrees and a copy of the transcript is provided at no cost to the Hearing Officer and the opposing party.
 - b. Burden of Proof. The appellant shall have the burden of proving that the basis for the Warning or Administrative Citation is incorrect.
 - c. Exchange of Documentary Evidence. Any written information in addition to that submitted with the Request for Administrative Hearing that either SF DOE staff or appellant submits to the Hearing Officer for consideration at the hearing, shall also be served on the other party at least 5 days prior to the date of the hearing.
 - c. Admissible Evidence. The hearing officer may accept and rely on evidence that responsible persons commonly rely upon in the conduct of serious affairs. All parties shall have the right to offer testimonial, documentary, and tangible evidence bearing on the issues, to see and copy all documents and other information the City relies on in the proceeding, and to confront and cross-examine any witness against them.
 - d. Continuances. When all evidence has been presented, the hearing officer may, in his or her sole discretion, continue the hearing and request additional information from the appellant and/or SF DOE staff. The Hearing Officer may also continue the hearing at any time, for good cause shown as determined in the discretion of the Hearing Officer.
3. Written Decision by Hearing Officer. After considering all of the testimony and evidence submitted by the parties, the hearing officer shall issue a written decision to uphold or vacate the Warning or Administrative Citation and shall set forth the reasons for the Decision. The hearing officer shall issue his or her written Decision within 10 calendar days of the completed hearing. The hearing officer shall serve a copy of the Decision on the appellant. The hearing officer's decision shall be final.
4. Judicial Review. Any person aggrieved by the hearing officer's Decision on a Warning or Administrative Citation may obtain review of the decision by filing a petition for judicial review within 20 days after service (as provided in Rule IV) in accordance with the timelines and provisions set forth in California Government Code Section 53069.4.

III. Collection of Fines and Costs

If no appeal of the hearing officer's decision is filed as provided in Rule II.B.4, and if required administrative penalties (and enforcement costs) are not paid in full to SF DOE within 90 days after the mailing of the hearing officer's decision, SF DOE staff shall file a statement of each unpaid administrative penalty (and enforcement cost) with the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue. The Bureau shall endeavor diligently to collect the same on behalf of the City and County.

- A. The failure of any employer to pay a penalty within the time specified on the Administrative Citation constitutes a debt to the City.
- B. The City Attorney may bring a civil action or pursue any other legal remedy to recover civil penalties for the violations of this Ordinance, and to recover the City's enforcement costs, including attorneys' fees.
- C. The City may create and impose liens against any property owned or operated by an employer who fails to pay a penalty assessed by the Administrative Citation. The procedures provided for in Article XX of Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code shall govern the imposition and collection of such liens.

IV. Service

- A. Any document required by these Rules to be served shall be accomplished by deposit in the United States mail, in a sealed envelope postage prepaid, as follows:
 - If to SF DOE staff or the Hearing Officer, handed or addressed to the appropriate Official at the address stated on the Warning or Administrative Citation;
 - If to a San Francisco employer, to the person or persons named on the employer's most current business registration certificate (business license) on file with the City's Treasurer/Tax Collector at the address stated on such business license.
- B. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit with the U.S. Post Office.

VI. Administrative Complaint Procedure

SF DOE staff shall have sole authority over the administration of the following complaint procedure. This procedure shall include, but need not be limited to, the following:


- A. Any person may file a complaint alleging one or more violations of this Ordinance;
- B. Before beginning to investigate the complaint, SF DOE staff shall determine if the allegations of the complaint are sufficient and, based on that assessment, shall determine either to dismiss it or to proceed with an investigation;
- C. If SF DOE staff determine at any time that the allegations contained in the complaint are without merit, SF DOE staff shall notify the complainant; and
- D. If SF DOE staff find that any allegations in the complaint have merit, SF DOE staff shall investigate the matter.

This complaint procedure shall not preclude SF DOE staff from initiating or proceeding with an investigation on his or her own authority.

VII. Interpretive Regulations and Guidelines

SF DOE adopts the following regulations and guidelines under the Ordinance, which authorizes the Director of the Department of the Environment to "promulgate rules and regulations to implement the Transportation Benefits Program."

Rule 1. Covered employers shall provide information to the City regarding transportation benefits offered on an annual basis. Such information shall be provided on the Commuter Benefits Annual Compliance Form. Additional copies of the Commuter Benefits Annual Compliance Form may be obtained from the SF Department of the Environment.



David Assmann
Acting Director
San Francisco Department of the Environment



Employer Compliance Procedures Commuter Benefits Ordinance 199-08, SF Environment Code Section 421

San Francisco's Commuter Benefits Ordinance 199-08, SF Environment Code Section 421, requires all registered businesses in San Francisco that have 20 or more employees who work an average of at least 10 hours a week within the previous calendar month to offer one of the following options:

1. A pre-tax deduction program to pay for transit or vanpool expenses under existing Federal Tax Law 132(f).
2. Employer paid monthly subsidy for transit or vanpool expenses equivalent to the value of a San Francisco MUNI Fast Pass.
3. Free shuttle service on a company-funded bus or van between home and place of business.

Under the Ordinance, San Francisco Department of the Environment (SF DOE) is responsible for promulgating rules, regulations and forms implementing the Ordinance, and for enforcing compliance by San Francisco employers. For more information on the Ordinance, visit www.commuterbenefits.org, call (415) 355-3727, or email commuterbenefits@sfgov.org.

Compliance Instructions:

An Ordinance Compliance Form can be found on the reverse of these instructions. Please return completed Compliance Form:

- By mail: "CB Ordinance", 11 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
- By fax: (415) 554-6393
- By email: commuterbenefits@sfgov.org

SF DOE staff will issue Certificates of Compliance upon receiving Compliance Forms. Certificates should be posted at the worksite in an area visible to employees.

Who is required to fill out a Compliance Form?

All San Francisco businesses should submit a Compliance Form, whether or not they need to comply with the Ordinance. Businesses not covered by the Ordinance can fill out the exemption portion of the form.

What defines a San Francisco business?

Pursuant to the SF Business & Tax Regulations Code, any individual or entity engaging in business in San Francisco must obtain a business registration certificate from the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector. For questions about business registration obligations, contact Taxpayer Assistance at (415) 554-4400 or visit www.sfgov.org/tax, click on the "Download Business Forms" link in the Business Zone section, and download the document titled "Understanding Business Registration PDF."

How many forms should be submitted for a "controlled group of corporations?"

Only one Compliance Form should be filed for all entities within the same "controlled group of corporations" (as defined for purposes of income tax filing). If you are filing this form on behalf of several entities in the same "control group", specify all entity names in the "notes" section. All members of a "controlled group of corporations" are considered one employer under the Ordinance, and all employees of each entity must be counted to determine the size of the employer.

Where is this form due?

To avoid penalties, businesses must return a completed Compliance Form to the address, fax number, or email listed above.

Department of the Environment
11 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
<http://www.commuterbenefits.org>
commuterbenefits@sfgov.org
(415) 355-3727

Last updated 7/7/09.



**The City & County of San Francisco
Commuter Benefits Ordinance 199-08
Employer Compliance Form**

Please submit a completed form by mail: "CB Ordinance", 11 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, by fax: (415) 554-6393, or by email: commuterbenefits@sfgov.org.

Employer Information	
Employer Name:	
Contact Name & Title:	
Contact Email:	Contact #:
Employer Address:	Zip Code:

Program Information	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if your business is exempt and justify in the "notes" section.	
What type of benefit is being offered?	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-tax Transit <input type="checkbox"/> Employer-paid Subsidy <input type="checkbox"/> Shuttle
How long have you had a program in place?	
How are you administering the benefit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Third Party Company <input type="checkbox"/> In-house
If using a Third Party Company, please specify the company name:	
How are employees receiving their benefit?	<input type="checkbox"/> Transit Vouchers <input type="checkbox"/> Transit Passes <input type="checkbox"/> Reimbursements <input type="checkbox"/> Shuttle Service
Total # of SF employees who are eligible for the benefit:	
Total # of SF employees participating in the program:	
Notes:	

Upon receiving completed forms, Department of the Environment staff will mail a Certification of Compliance to the contact and address listed above. The Certificate must be posted at the worksite in an area visible to employees.

By signing this verification, I certify that the Employer listed above is:


- In compliance with the San Francisco Commuter Benefits Ordinance 199-08
- OR-
- Exempt from the San Francisco Commuter Benefits Ordinance 199-08.

In addition to English, I would like employee materials in: ☐ Spanish ☐ Chinese

Signed by:	Date:
Signature:	

For more information on the Commuter Benefits Ordinance, please visit www.commuterbenefits.org or contact the San Francisco Department of the Environment at (415) 355-3727 or commuterbenefits@sfgov.org.

Last updated 7/7/09.



EMPLOYER REGISTRATION FORM

On behalf of my employees, my organization would like to participate in the San Francisco Emergency Ride Home Program. I agree to abide by the policies of this program and inform our employees of the proper use of this service. To view the full program policies, please visit the website at www.sferh.org.

Neither the San Francisco Emergency Ride Home Program nor the employer is responsible for the actual service provided. Each employer is allowed \$700 per fiscal year (July 1 – June 30) for full reimbursement of valid employee trips. After this limit is reached, the program will reimburse employers for 50% of the cost of each valid trip up to \$2000 maximum each fiscal year. Each employee can be reimbursed for four maximum ERH trips each fiscal year.

I understand that by participating, my organization agrees to provide a "contact person" who will be responsible for:

- Informing employees about the program
- Providing employees with ride reimbursement forms
- Validating ride reimbursement requests
- Assisting with an annual program evaluation

REGISTRATION VALID UNTIL JUNE 30, 2012

EMPLOYER NAME: _____

EMPLOYER ADDRESS: _____

EMPLOYER PHONE NUMBER: _____

EMPLOYER FAX NUMBER: _____

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES: _____

CONTACT PERSON FOR PROGRAM: _____

TITLE: _____

CONTACT EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

SIGNATURE

DATE

MAIL TO: SFERH - SF ENVIRONMENT 11 GROVE ST, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 FAX: 415-554-6393




PATRICK J. BOYD

Chief Adult Probation Officer

*Protecting the Community, Serving Justice and
Changing Lives*

Date: July 15, 2009
To: Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
From: Diane Lim, Chief Financial Officer/Business Manager
Re: Adult Probation Department FY 2007-08 Annual Report

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 23 AM 11:29
BY 

In compliance with Charter Section 4.103 and Administrative Code Section 8.16 the Adult Probation Department has prepared and issued the Adult Probation Department FY 2007-08 Annual Report.

Enclosed Please find a copy of the FY 2007-08 Adult Probation Department Annual Report to be filed with the Clerk of the Board.

Should you have any question please contact me at 415-553-1058.

Attachments: Adult Probation Department FY 2007-08 Annual Report (1 copy)

5



**Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall**

**San Francisco Adult Probation Department
Fiscal Year 2007-2008
Annual Report**



Christine Rahe

07/24/2009 04:11 PM

To Recpark.commission@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org,
Sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
cmoffice@ci.pacificca.ca.us, astissier@co.sanmateo.ca.us,
cc info@sfpublishgolf.com

bcc

Subject Save Sharp Park Golf Course

Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Francisco resident, writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course. I Just recently started playing golf and I am not rich. Having accessible golf courses like Sharp Park, Golden Gate Park, and Lincoln Park makes golf enjoyable and affordable. And at 61 years old, I plan to play for another 20 years.

The quality of life in the bay area should not be compromised by a few narrow minded people. I support the efforts of the San Francisco Public Golf Alliance and hope Sharp Park is preserved for my grandchildren and your grandchildren. Thank you for reading this message.

Sincerely,
Christine Rahe
San Francisco

6

6

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2009 JUL 27 AM 11:03

BY *JE*

San Francisco, CA 94133

July 24, 2009

Mayor Gavin Newsom
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Mayor Julie Lancelle
City of Pacifica
170 Santa Maria Ave.
Pacifica, CA. 94044

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA. 94104

Supervisor Adrienne Tissier
Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA. 94063

Supervisor Rich Gordon
Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA. 94063

San Francisco Recreation and Park Commissioners
McLaren Lodge
501 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117

SAVE SHARP PARK GOLF COURSE

Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle and Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Francisco resident writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park golf Course

The golf course is a world treasure, designed by the greatest designer in history, and is heavily played by men and women of all ages, ethnic groups, and types. And it is affordable. Even in tough economic times—maybe especially in such times—it is important that we have our great recreational and public spaces to enjoy nature and each other.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Rosemary Jones

Rosemary Jones

John R. Kawamoto
Foster City, California 94404

July 22, 2009

Mayor Gavin Newsom
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Mayor Julie Lancelle
City of Pacifica
170 Santa Maria Ave.
Pacifica, CA. 94044

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA. 94104

Supervisor Adrienne Tissier
Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA. 94063

Supervisor Rich Gordon
Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA. 94063

San Francisco Recreation and Park Commissioners
McLaren Lodge
501 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117

Re: **SAVE SHARP PARK GOLF COURSE**

Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle and Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Mateo County resident, writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course. I realize my letter alone will not be sufficient to save the golf course, but I hope you take my thoughts into consideration.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 23 AM 10:45
BY MS

My father and I drove great distances to play public golf courses sometimes as much as 45 minutes to an hour away. The Counties of San Mateo and San Francisco need public golf courses to serve its densely populated areas. It would be a tremendous loss to the golfing community, as well as to young golfers looking for an affordable place to play golf, if Sharp Park Golf Course were to close. I was fortunate that my father was willing to drive great distances to find public courses that were affordable and provided me with a variety of golf courses to play. I'm sure there are many young golfers in Pacifica and the surrounding areas that may not be as fortunate to have parents that would make such a sacrifice.

I have many fond memories of Sharp Park and the other San Francisco golf courses. I recall my father telling me about the famous designer of Sharp Park, the rich history of Harding Park and the great view of the Golden Gate Bridge from the 17th hole at Lincoln Park. He reminded me of how lucky we were to have these courses available to us to play. The time spent with my father on the course learning the game, sportmanship, integrity, and basic golf etiquette was an invaluable experience and I challenge you to find these qualities in other organized sports.

Please save this historic golf course and provide for an eco-friendly environment for the red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake. There are many courses that work with Audubon International that have been able to achieve this proper balance and I hope Sharp Park will someday be one of those courses.

Yours truly,



John R. Kawamoto

cc: San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
220 Montgomery Street, #303
San Francisco, CA 94104



LLarson:

07/26/2009 09:24 AM

To Recpark.commission@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org,
Sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
cmoffice@ci.pacificca.ca.us, astissier@co.sanmateo.ca.us,
cc info@sfpublishgolf.com

bcc

Subject Save Sharp Park Golf Course

Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,


I am not a San Francisco resident but truly enjoy playing Sharp Park. I writing to urge you to develop a plan that preserves both the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course and the surrounding habitat.

Regards,

Larry Larson

Home:

Mobile:



Christelle REGIS

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

07/27/2009 06:04 AM

Please respond to

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

7

Thank you for taking the first step to transform our publicly owned land at Sharp Park from an exclusive, underused, and budget-breaking golf course into a community-centered model for endangered species recovery, natural flood control, outdoor recreation, and sustainable land use.

I strongly support Supervisor Mirkarimi's proposed ordinance to transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area or to jointly manage the park with the Park Service. The ordinance would also require the city's Recreation and Parks Department to develop a plan, schedule, and budget for restoring Sharp Park habitat for endangered species on the site, a welcome change from the mismanagement of recent years. I urge the city and county of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species. Please follow through by passing this important legislation.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

The golf course is a significant money-loser for San Francisco that makes no sense to maintain at a time when the city has cut the Recreation and Parks Department staff and the long-term golf prospects at the site are slim. Combine that with the problems with endangered species, wetland destruction, flooding, and sea-level rise, and it is clear that restoration of Sharp Park to a natural state is the best option for the area.

Ecological restoration is the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

San Francisco's 2004 recreational study shows that the number-one recreational demand in San Francisco is more hiking and biking trails -- and golf came in 16th. San Francisco already has six public golf courses, and about 50 other golf courses are within a 45-minute drive of Sharp Park. Restoring Sharp Park will help meet recreational demand through hiking and biking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities, a world-class nature center, a gateway to the San Mateo County Golden Gate National Recreation Area lands, and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Please transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service or jointly manage the property with the Service to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

7

Christelle REGIS

ETAMPES, ot 91150

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco



orig: GT
cc: BRF Committee Sup. Avalos,
A.C. CA. RC ✓ Markariani
Gavin Newsom ✓ Chu
cpage ✓ Campos
✓ Duffy

8

July 27, 2009

Chairman John Avalos
Budget & Finance Committee
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Chairman Avalos:

Per your request, I am submitting this letter to notify the Budget and Finance Committee of a technical adjustment to the Mayor's June 2009-2010 Proposed Budget allowable under Charter Section 9.101. I would like to note the following adjustment:

- **General Services Agency.** The technical adjustment provides for the addition of one (1) Contract Compliance Officer I in the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement, by providing an increase in the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement penalties revenue for this position. This expenditure will be on reserve until the Controller certifies the revenue.

Please feel free to contact me at 554-6293 with any questions.

Sincerely,

Nani A. Coloretto

Nani A. Coloretto
Mayor's Budget Director

cc:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Monique Zmuda, Deputy Controller
Harvey Rose, Board of Supervisors Budget Analyst

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2009 JUL 27 AM 11:00
BY

8



City and County of San Francisco

Department on the Status of Women

Mayor Gavin Newsom


Executive Director Emily M. Murase, PhD

MEMORANDUM

9

DATE: July 20, 2009
TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Laura Marshall, Administrative Analyst
RE: *First Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco, 2009*

Per Article XIX, Secs. 5.910-5 (c) of the Administrative Code, the Family Violence Council has written an annual report, the *First Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco, 2009*, and has submitted it to the members of the Board of Supervisors, as well as to the Mayor. It is enclosed here to be included into the official records of the Board. Please contact me with any questions at (415) 252-2578 or laura.marshall@sfgov.org. Thank you.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 21 AM 9:58
BY 

9



City and County of San Francisco

Department on the Status of Women

Mayor Gavin Newsom

Executive Director Emily M. Murase, PhD

FAMILY VIOLENCE COUNCIL

Addressing Violence throughout the Lifespan

First Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco

June 2009

© June 2009, San Francisco Department on the Status of Women

The San Francisco Family Violence Council is administered by the Department on the Status of Women, and the *First Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco* was produced and maintained by this Department. Visit www.sfgov.org/dosw for more information about the Family Violence Council and to download a copy of this report.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
INTRODUCTION	9
San Francisco Family Violence Council Members	10
CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES	11
Department of Emergency Management	11
San Francisco Police Department	12
Office of the District Attorney	14
Adult Probation Department	16
CHILD AND ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES	17
Child Welfare Reports	17
Adult Protective Services	19
MEDICAL AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES	20
Department of Public Health Services	20
Child Abuse Prevention and Support Services	20
Domestic Violence Prevention and Support Services	21
Elder Abuse Prevention and Support Services	22
MISSING PIECES	23
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This document could not have been written without the full support of the membership of the Family Violence Council, as well as the numerous community partners actively participating in the Council and contributing to its success. The Family Violence Council would also like to thank Mayor Gavin Newsom, Supervisor Sophie Maxwell, and Supervisor Michela Alioto-Pier for their leadership in sponsoring the re-authorization legislation in 2007, a collaborative act with far-reaching impact.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background: Mayor Newsom appointed the Family Violence Council to look at violence across the lifespan. Re-established in 2007, and composed of stakeholder criminal justice and human services departments, as well as community advocates, the Family Violence Council brings together the **child abuse, domestic violence, and elder/dependent adult abuse** communities to build bridges between formerly disparate groups.

Since 2007, the unique spirit of collaboration inherent in the format and structure of the Family Violence Council has contributed to a number of successful ventures between the various family violence response communities. For example, in its short tenure, the Council has produced the **Family Violence Resource Card** (in English, Spanish, and Cantonese), and the **Mandated Reporting Fact Sheet**. The Council has addressed violence throughout the lifespan by examining the 3 types of **death review teams**, creating a summary of their various missions, make-ups, and objectives. Additionally, the Council has created a committee to explore **avenues of intervention**, drawing best practices and evidence from batterer intervention programs and parenting courses to improve upon the collective aim of ending violence in the community.

Like the Council itself, this report is the **first of its kind** which takes a wider view of the issue. This examination would not have been possible without the full cooperation of the San Francisco Police Department, Department of Emergency Management, Adult Probation Department, Office of the District Attorney, Human Services Agency, Department of Public Health, and a number of community partners.

Key Indicators: The report looks at criminal justice statistics, as available, for all 3 areas of family violence, including 911 calls, police reports, probation caseloads, and DA statistics, comparing these indicators to figures found in the child and adult welfare system, and in certain community based agencies.

Selected Annual Family Violence Statistics in Summary	Child Abuse	Domestic Violence	Elder Abuse
Calls Received by Community Providers	11,398	24,632	N/A
Calls Received by CPS, 911, and APS, Respectively	5,058	6,084	6,000
Cases Investigated by CPS or APS	3,198	N/A	4,893
Cases Referred to Police (Juvenile and DVRU)	513	4,588	150 – 180
Cases Investigated by Police (Juvenile and DVRU)	380	1,653	38
Cases Referred to District Attorney's Office	93	1,553	17
Cases Pled	10	444	10
Cases Brought to Trial	1	23	0
Convictions after Trial	1	15	0

Conclusions and Recommendations: The scope of family violence in San Francisco is alarming, and the Family Violence Council makes several key recommendations to address it.

CONCLUSION: An efficient system for tracking data is critical. Without live-time information on suspects and victims, all San Franciscans and visitors are at risk.

RECOMMENDATION: The Family Violence Council urges the completion of JUSTIS, the City and County's complex Information Technology system.

- Within the next 3 months, the City and County of San Francisco must develop a plan to fund the completion of JUSTIS.
- By December 2009, all San Francisco Police Department data must be input into the hub, a step that will allow all criminal justice departments to begin to connect to the system and share critical information. Quality assurance measurements will be reported weekly on the lag time for input of dangerous felonies, restraining orders, and other criminal justice system actions.
- By June 2010, JUSTIS shall be entirely live, with complete data input and usage by all criminal justice departments.

CONCLUSION: The City's 5-year Violence Prevention Plan (2008) underscores the concept of family and domestic violence as a precursor to future violence. Indeed, research documents that children who witness violence are more likely to perpetuate violence later in life. It also highlights that while violence is documented City-wide, census tracts of high poverty are more likely to house perpetrators as well as victims and survivors of domestic violence, child abuse, and violent crime. **Current research also suggests that integrated and coordinated responses should address both the manifestations and root causes of the interrelated forms of violence against women and other violence within families.**

RECOMMENDATION: The Family Violence Council urges the San Francisco Violence Prevention Advisory Committee (VPAC) identified in the Violence Prevention Plan to make family violence a priority issue and recognize the role of family violence as predictor of future community violence and other crimes and victimization.

- At a meeting within the next 3 months, the Violence Prevention Advisory Committee should approve a representative(s) of the Family Violence Council as an official member.
- Within the next 6 months, the VPAC must identify and implement plans for family violence prevention.

RECOMMENDATION: Because training is a critical component of prevention, during FY09-10, City-wide training efforts should be expanded and coordinated.

- Within FY09-10, elder abuse content should be integrated into the Alzheimer's trainings to be conducted by the Department of Public Health in the coming year.
- Within FY09-10, the Family Violence Council should coordinate family violence training of 911 dispatchers to maximize time and understanding of this complex issue.

RECOMMENDATION: The County budget must reflect family violence as a priority and that the majority of victims utilize community support services in addition to or in lieu of a criminal justice response.

- In the next 3 months, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors shall consider the long-ranging impacts and implications of family violence, prioritizing prevention and intervention services provided by the community.
- During FY09-10, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should work with the Family Violence Council to seek ongoing, sustainable sources of funding for such services to supplement the general fund allocation including funding through the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009.

RECOMMENDATION: To improve the outcome of cases, the City's response must be coordinated with community providers.

- The response to child abuse requires the intervention and coordination of social services, law enforcement, and medical treatment, a response currently operating on an ad hoc basis in the basement of San Francisco General Hospital. To speed the system's response, better coordinate services, and improve accountability in cases of abuse, the Family Violence Council recommends that the City supports and funds the Child Advocacy Center, a proposed 1-stop shop for the intervention in child abuse and neglect cases. Plans for this center have been developed, and FY09-10 funding would allow the City to improve its child abuse intervention and accountability track record.
- The Elder Abuse Forensic Center is a new program operating on a similar principle as the Child Advocacy Center, but its budget is in danger due to the current financial crisis. The intervention and prevention of family violence must be prioritized, and the Family Violence Council urges the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to maintain this critical program.

INTRODUCTION

Family violence affects thousands of San Francisco residents each year. Family violence is a pattern of behavior in any relationship that is used to isolate, neglect, or gain and maintain power and control over an intimate partner, child, elder, and/or a dependent adult. Child abuse, domestic violence, and elder/dependent adult abuse may be physical, sexual, emotional, economic, or psychological. **The behaviors of family violence have serious and traumatizing affects on individuals and on communities.**

In 1995, the Attorney General mandated the establishment of a Family Violence Council for each county. **The purpose of the Council is to increase the awareness and understanding of domestic and family violence and its consequences; and to recommend programs, policies and coordination of City services that may reduce the incidence of domestic and family violence on San Francisco.** The San Francisco Family Violence Council, an interdisciplinary body made up of City and community representatives, seeks to address the epidemic of all forms of family violence through collaboration, coordination of resources, and promotion of policy measures. No other county addresses family violence with as wide and encompassing a lens as San Francisco has implemented.

This innovation is in line with San Francisco's other trend-setting policies. In 1998, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention to Eliminate All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). **Freedom from violence is a key principle contained in the U.N. Convention and the San Francisco ordinance.** Through the collaborative efforts of the Family Violence Council, the Department on the Status of Women continues to push for policy changes to achieve freedom from violence for all women and their families.

Since the 2007 re-authorization of the San Francisco Family Violence Council, the unique spirit of collaboration inherent in the format and structure of this group has contributed to a number of successful ventures between the various family violence response communities. For example, in its short tenure, the Council has produced the **Family Violence Resource Card** (in English, Spanish, and Cantonese), and the **Mandated Reporting Fact Sheet**. The Council has addressed violence throughout the lifespan by examining the 3 types of death review teams, creating a summary of their various missions, make-ups, and objectives. Additionally, the Council has created a committee to explore avenues of intervention, drawing best practices and evidence from batterer intervention programs and parenting courses to improve upon the collective aim of ending violence in the community.

In addition to these community resources, the authorizing legislation for the Family Violence Council asks the Council to prepare an annual report of family violence trends in San Francisco. This report collects data from a variety of sources, attempting to gauge the full scope of family violence in the City. The majority of the data represents Fiscal Year 2007-2008. The report begins with criminal justice statistics, including calls to 911, cases investigated by the San Francisco Police Department, and prosecutions by the District Attorney's Office. Reports from City and County agencies are also analyzed, including Child Protective Services and Adult Protective Services. Because many individuals seek community resources in place of City or criminal justice services, selected statistics from community-based organizations are also included in this report.

Like the Council itself, this report is the **first of its kind** which takes a wider view of the issue. This examination would not have been possible without the full cooperation of the San Francisco Police Department, Department of Emergency Management, Adult Probation Department, Office of the District Attorney, Human Services Agency, Department of Public Health, and a number of community partners.

Through an analysis of the data in this report, the Council has drawn a number of conclusions, and suggested key recommendations to address this epidemic of violence. The Family Violence Council hopes that this annual report will focus additional attention on the deleterious impact of family violence on society as a whole. **Through education, activism, and systems change, we aspire to end family violence once and for all.**

San Francisco Family Violence Council Members

(San Francisco Administrative Code Article XIX SEC. 5.190-3)

- Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
- Mayor
- President of the Board of Supervisors
- District Attorney
- Public Defender
- Chief of Police
- Sheriff
- President of the Commission on the Status of Women
- Chief of the Adult Probation Department
- Chief of the Department of Emergency Management
- Director of the Department of Animal Care and Control
- Director of the Department of Public Health
- Director of the Human Services Agency
- Director of the Department of Aging and Adult Services
- Director of the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families
- Director of Child Support Services
- Superintendent of the San Francisco Unified School District
- Director of the Domestic Violence Consortium
- Director of the Consortium for Elder Abuse Prevention
- Director of the San Francisco Child Abuse Council
- Chair of the Batterer's Intervention Programs Subcommittee

**Members may be represented by an official designee.*

CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Department of Emergency Management

Dispatchers at the Department of Emergency Management's (DEM) Emergency Communications Division assigns a code to each call made to 911. There are 13 call types related to domestic violence, with the individual codes indicating whether weapons were used, the type of weapon used, the type of unarmed incident (i.e. assault, threats, break-in), and other requests for assistance. Dispatchers use scripts to determine how calls should be coded. For example, a preliminary question to callers asks the identity and relationship of the perpetrator. If the caller indicates a spouse or partner is involved, the dispatcher uses domestic violence codes. Additional questions clarify the type of domestic violence incident happening.

In Fiscal Year 2007-2008 (FY07-08), 911 dispatchers fielded 6,583 domestic violence calls. Dispatchers labeled over half of these calls (52%) with the 418DV code, indicating a fight or dispute with no weapons involved. Another 35% of domestic violence calls received the 240DV code, indicating an assault of some type occurred. The remaining 9% of calls (525) were dispersed across the remaining 11 domestic violence call types, as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: 911 Calls Coded for Domestic Violence by Call Type, FY07-08

Call Type	Description	FY07-08 Amount	Percent of Total DV Calls
418DV	Fight or Dispute – No Weapons Used	3,430	52%
240DV	Assault (includes battery or any unwanted physical contact)	2,129	32%
	Miscellaneous (untracked domestic violence call types)	499	8%
650DV	Threats (includes written, verbal, or recorded)	230	3%
245DV	Aggravated Assault (severe injuries or objects used to injure)	68	1%
594DV	Vandalism or Malicious Mischief (property damage only)	63	1%
602DV	Break-In	43	0.7%
416DV	Civil Standby (officer requested to accompany person to retrieve belongings, for example)	29	0.4%
910DV	Well-Being Check (often at the request of another individual)	26	0.4%
419DV	Fight or Dispute – Weapons Used	17	0.3%
100DV	DV Alarm (a push-button alarm given to a victim to alert 911)	16	0.2%
222DV	Armed Assailant – Knife	15	0.2%
219DV	Stabbing	13	0.2%
221DV	Armed Assailant – Gun	5	0.0%
	TOTAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CALLS	6,583	100%

In September 2008, DEM and the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) worked collaboratively to create a premise warning flag in the computer aided dispatch system. This flag warns call takers and field units of potential stalking or domestic violence cases associated with the address. In October 2008, DEM again worked with SFPD to establish and implement a new code for domestic violence stalking, 646DV. Preceding the implementation of these new tools, all DEM staff received training in identifying stalking cases and the use of the premise warning flag. Due to the implementation date,

statistics were not included in this report. There are no 911 call codes specific to child abuse or elder abuse.

DEM also tracks the number of calls that are dispatched to police stations for response. Table 2 shows that the Ingleside Station, closely followed by the Bayview Station, responded to the most domestic violence calls.

Table 2: 911 Calls Coded for Domestic Violence by District, FY07-08

District	FY07-08 Amount	Percent of Total DV Calls
Ingleside (Includes Bernal Heights, Excelsior, Visitacion Valley, and Sunnydale)	1,040	16%
Bayview	1,019	15%
Mission	831	13%
Northern (Includes Marina, Pacific Heights, and Western Addition)	825	13%
Southern (Includes South of Market, Embarcadero, and China Basin)	709	11%
Taraval (Includes Sunset, West Portal, SFSU)	586	9%
Central (Includes Chinatown, North Beach, Nob Hill, and Financial District)	467	7%
Tenderloin	413	6%
Richmond (Includes the Presidio)	354	5%
Park (Includes Cole Valley, Haight, Castro, Twin Peaks and Western Addition)	334	5%
Daly City (Includes events that happened in San Francisco but require the support of a Daly City dispatch)	5	0%
TOTAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CALLS	6,583	100%

San Francisco Police Department

Two divisions within the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) review and investigate felony family violence crimes. Felony child abuse cases are referred to the Juvenile Division, and felony domestic violence and elder abuse cases are referred to the Domestic Violence Response Unit (DVRU). Misdemeanor incidents resulting in an arrest, including citations issued by patrol officers, are referred to the misdemeanor division of the District Attorney's Office for follow-up and prosecution, and the SFPD does not keep statistics about misdemeanor family violence crimes. Police reports for misdemeanor incidents where the suspect is gone on the arrival of officers are filed with the DVRU. Felony sexual assaults committed against juveniles ages 14 to 17 by adult strangers and non-family members are investigated by the Sexual Assault Unit. Felony physical assaults committed against juveniles by adult strangers are investigated by the General Work Unit. The statistics for these 2 units are not included in this report. Both the Juvenile Division and the DVRU are supervised by the Captain of the SFPD Juvenile and Family Services Division.

The Juvenile Division received 513 felony child abuse cases in FY07-08. Of these, 380 (74%) merited investigation. Also in FY07-08, **the DVRU received 4,588 domestic violence cases** for review, either through the felony arrest of a suspect, a victim's report, or some other type of report.

The DVRU typically investigates about 35% of the cases received. The remaining 65% may have one of several outcomes. They may be referred to the Adult Probation Department or the State Parole Office, as appropriate, for follow-up. Barring victim involvement in the cases, they may not warrant further investigation.

The DVRU also reviews and investigates cases of elder abuse and neglect involving a caregiver. Fraud, robbery, and non-caregiver crimes against the elderly are reviewed and investigated by the Fraud, Robbery or General Detail Units, respectively. **The DVRU receives approximately 150 to 180 cases of elder abuse to review each year** (the DVRU does not record specific statistics about cases reviewed for elder abuse). Of the cases reviewed in FY07-08, the DVRU investigated 24 elder abuse arrest cases (i.e. an individual was arrested for elder abuse, and the case was sent to the DVRU for review). The DVRU also investigated 14 cases where no arrest was made, but an individual was listed as a suspect in an elder abuse case, for a total of 38 elder abuse cases investigated during FY07-08.

Table 3: Overview of Police Statistics for Family Violence, FY07-08

	Juvenile Division	Domestic Violence Response Unit	
	<i>Child Abuse</i>	<i>Domestic Violence</i>	<i>Elder Abuse</i>
Cases Received	513	4,576	150 – 180
Cases Investigated	380	1,653	38
Percent Investigated	74%	36%	21 – 25%
Staffing Levels	10	15	

The Special Victims Unit (SVU) has 9 inspectors and sergeants to investigate sexual and physical abuse cases. An additional inspector reviews all child abuse referral reports and is the liaison with various agencies that also investigate or provide services for these cases. The Juvenile Division also has 2 Juvenile Offender Program inspectors who investigate juvenile domestic violence cases. A Lieutenant oversees the work of the Juvenile Division.

A considerable amount of investigative time and coordinated effort is involved in the investigation of child sexual and physical abuse cases. They are complicated cases involving victims who have often been intimidated, threatened or manipulated by an abuser who is a family member or a person in a position of trust in relationship to the victim. These factors cause victims to be reluctant to disclose their ongoing or past abuse. Many victims are also unable to communicate their abuse because of their age. The amount of time a Juvenile Inspector spends on a case varies depending upon the severity of the crimes, how complicated the case is, the number and age of victims, the timeframe of when the crime was committed versus when it was reported, the cooperation of the involved parties, and other unexpected variables. Simply put, child abuse cases are not “slam dunk” cases, and while some may be quickly investigated the vast majority of cases are time consuming.¹

The DVRU has a staff of 15 Inspectors. Of these, the assignment officer is responsible for reviewing 450 to 550 incident reports each month, compiling statistics for the unit, running background searches on all suspects involved in the cases received, referring appropriate cases to Probation or Parole, if applicable, and assigning both felony arrest and non-arrest cases for investigation. The assignment officer also calls every victim listed in non-arrest reports to explain the warrant process and the types

¹ Personal communication, Lt. Valarie Agard, San Francisco Police Department, February 4, 2009.

of resources and support services that are available, and to refer these victims to the in-house La Casa de las Madres victim advocates.

One inspector reviews physical elder abuse and elder neglect cases, meeting weekly with the Forensic Center to discuss progress in the criminal investigations. Another inspector oversees the U-Visa program for the entire police department. All inspectors in the unit are cross-trained in these various duties. In addition to their daily caseload, 3 DVRU inspectors teach Continued Professional Training at the San Francisco Police Academy twice each week, as well as providing training at hospitals, schools, businesses, and advocacy groups. The remaining 9 DVRU inspectors handled the unit's domestic violence, stalking, and elder abuse cases. The Lieutenant of the DVRU oversees the work of inspectors, as well as working with community groups and City agencies, such as the Commission on the Status of Women, to improve protocols and ensure the safety of victims.²

Office of the District Attorney

The Office of the District Attorney (DA) has 3 units to oversee the prosecution of family violence crimes: a Child Assault Unit, a Domestic Violence Unit, and an Elder Abuse Unit. In FY07-08, the Child Assault Unit received 93 cases, the Domestic Violence Unit received 1,553 cases, and the Elder Abuse Unit received 17 cases. Once received, a case is generally filed for prosecution, referred for probation revocation or parole violation, or declined. Cases might be declined in order to do further investigation, because a witness is uncooperative, for insufficient evidence, or some other reason. This is consistent with other counties, depending on whether the cases submitted are screened prior to submission to the DA.

Table 4 highlights a variety of statistics from the 3 family violence units. **The statistics refer to FY07-08 actions rather than following specific cases through the process.** For example, cases reflected in the number of cases pled in FY07-08 may or may not have been initially received in FY07-08. Similarly, the number of cases taken to trial does not necessarily correlate with the number of convictions, as some of the convictions may be in cases with trial start dates in previous fiscal years.

As Table 4 below shows, the Domestic Violence Unit receives a much greater number of cases than the other units, and this unit also declined a high proportion (80%). **The large number of cases received is a result of an increase in felony arrests.** When responding to domestic disturbances, police often utilize extra diligence and arrest, ensuring the conflict is interrupted. This results in a higher number of felony arrests than may be seen in other types of cases. **The percentage of declined cases has increased since the 2004 Crawford Supreme Court ruling that prohibits victim statements from being used in court without the victim present for cross-examination.** Victims are frequently reluctant to testify in domestic violence cases, leading to the DA declining to prosecute. The effect of this ruling also leads to a high proportion of dismissed cases, as a victim may decide to withdraw from the case at any point in the proceedings.

The majority of child abuse, domestic violence, and elder abuse cases that are filed for prosecution do not go to trial. The DA reached a guilty verdict by way of a plea bargain in 10 child abuse cases, 444 domestic violence cases, and 10 elder abuse cases. The Elder Abuse Unit did not take any cases to trial in FY07-08.

² Personal communication, Lieutenant Molly Pengel, San Francisco Police Department, April 2, 2009.

Table 4: Overview of District Attorney Statistics for Family Violence, FY07-08³

	Child Assault Unit	Domestic Violence Unit	Elder Abuse Unit ⁴
Cases Received ⁵	93	1,553	17
Cases Filed	57 ⁶	472	16
Cases Referred	2	76	0
Cases Declined	34	1,238	1
Cases Pled	10	444	10
Cases to Trial	1	23	0
Trial Convictions	1	15	0
Cases Dismissed	2	188	2
Attorneys in Unit	4	7	3
DA Investigators in Unit	1	2	0

Office of the District Attorney - Victim Services Division

The Victim Services Division of the DA's Office helps victims of crimes navigate the criminal justice system by offering advocacy and support. Nine victim advocates assist clients, 3 of whom specialize in family violence cases. The advocates handle 480-600 cases each year, some cases requiring little time to orient the client to the criminal justice system and assist with victim compensation, while others can require many hours of support. Victim Services offers services not only to victims whose cases have been charged, but also to victims whose cases have not and will not be charged, providing access to services regardless of whether the criminal case is strong enough for prosecution.

In FY07-08, Victim Services served a total of 1,045 child abuse, domestic violence, and elder abuse victims. Victim Services supported 200 child abuse survivors. Of these, **151 (75%) experienced sexual abuse, and 49 (25%) experienced physical abuse.** Advocates provided services to 649 survivors of domestic violence. **Of those, 585 (88%) were victims of domestic violence, 46 (5%) were child witnesses to domestic violence, and 18 (4%) were domestic violence stalking victims.** The Elder Abuse Advocate provided services to 196 elder survivors of abuse. The table below highlights some demographic data about the clients served by Victim Services.

³ Office of the District Attorney Statistics for FY07-08, received January 8, 2009.

⁴ These numbers reflect only the violence/assault cases against elders handled by the Elder Abuse Unit, though most cases prosecuted are of a financial nature.

⁵ Child Assault Unit cases include both misdemeanors and felonies. Cases received by the Domestic Violence Unit and the Elder Abuse Unit include only felonies. Misdemeanor cases are directed to the Misdemeanor Unit of the DA's Office.

⁶ Child assault cases often involve multiple victims. Also, the vast majority of child assault cases are "life cases" requiring intensive investigation, preparation and resources.

Table 5: Victim Services Client Demographics, FY07-08⁷

Client Demographics		Child Abuse	Domestic Violence	Elder Abuse	Total
GENDER	Female	166	522	122	810
	Male	34	126	74	234
	Transgender	0	1	0	1
	TOTAL	200	649	196	1,045
RACE	Black	Data Not Available	211	33	244
	White		150	58	208
	Latino		176	23	199
	Asian		104	31	135
	Unknown		0	39	39
	Other		8	12	20
	TOTAL		649	196	845
AGE	0-17	200	84	0	284
	18-64	0	544	0	544
	65+	0	5	196	201
	Unknown	0	16	0	16
	TOTAL	200	649	196	1,045

Victim Services operates the Victim Compensation Program, a state program that provides financial compensation to victims of violent crimes to cover medical bills, lost wages, job retraining, funeral burial, support loss, relocation, home security, crime scene cleanup, and mental health services. Though full data is not available at this time, Victim Services submitted 520 claims for victims of violence during July to December 2008, and offered claims assistance to 1,073 victims during that same time period.

Adult Probation Department

The Adult Probation Department's Domestic Violence Unit has 9 deputy probation officers, 1 court officer, and 2 supervising probation officers. The average caseload in the Domestic Violence Unit is 62 cases per officer. In Calendar Year (CY) 2008, the Domestic Violence Unit conducted 256 intakes, or new cases referred for probation. These intakes, joined by ongoing cases, meant there were 556 total probationers in supervision during CY2008.

⁷ Personal Communication, Jackie Ortiz, Victim Services Unit, Office of the District Attorney, February 2, 2009.

Table 6: Adult Probation Department Domestic Violence Unit Statistics, CY2008

	Amount
Total Cases	556
New Intakes	256
Completions	160
Probation Revocations	85
Certified Batterer Intervention Programs	8
Staffing Levels	12

When a person convicted of domestic violence is referred to the Adult Probation Department, that person is automatically referred to a batterer intervention program, a 52-week program run by a community agency and certified by the Adult Probation Department. If a probationer fails to attend the batterer intervention program, or if the probationer commits a crime that violates his or her probation, leading to the issuance of a bench warrant, the Adult Probation Department will begin a procedure called a Motion to Revoke Probation (MTR). In CY2008, 85 probationers had their probation revoked and were sentenced to jail time. In the same time period, 160 individuals completed the requirements of their probation.

No dedicated units exist for child abuse, elder abuse, or stalking cases. Instead, these are referred for general supervision. In CY2008, the Adult Probation Department received 19 new stalking cases, 12 new child abuse cases, and 0 new elder abuse cases.

CHILD AND ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES

Child Welfare Reports

San Francisco Child Protective Services investigates reports of child abuse and neglect, provides services for parents with open cases, and administers foster care placements for children removed from their homes.

In CY2007, San Francisco had a child population (0-17 years) of 108,371. Of those, 5,058 children had documented child welfare referrals, an incidence rate of 46.7 per 1,000. The statewide referral incidence rate was 50.1 per 1,000 for the same time period.⁸

Referral rates in San Francisco differ widely by zip code. Table 7 shows the number of children with child welfare referrals for CY2007 by San Francisco neighborhood. **A total of 9 of the 25 zip codes have an incidence rate higher than the City average of 46.7, with the Bayview seeing the highest incidence at 94.8 per 1,000 children.** The Marina/Cow Hollow neighborhood shows the lowest rate at 9.2 referrals per 1,000 children.

⁸ Needell, B., Webster, D., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Dawson, W., Magruder, J., Exel, M., Glasser, T., Williams, D., Zimmerman, K., Simon, V., Putnam-Hornstein, E., Frerer, K., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Winn, A., Lou, C., & Peng, C. (2008). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved October 28, 2008, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research website: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare.

Table 7: Children with Child Welfare Referrals by San Francisco Zip Code, CY2007.⁹

Zip Code	Neighborhood	Child Population	Children with Referrals	Incidence per 1,000 Children
94124	Bayview	9,104	863	94.8
94102	Hayes Valley/Tenderloin	3,093	275	88.9
94103	SOMA	2,758	221	80.1
94104	Financial District	13	1	76.9
94105	Embarcadero/SOMA	108	8	74.1
94107	Potrero Hill	2,178	157	72.1
94134	Visitacion Valley	8,460	543	64.2
94115	Pacific Heights/Western Addition/Japantown	3,639	191	52.5
94117	Haight Ashbury/Cole Valley	3,013	141	46.8
94110	Mission	12,841	533	41.5
94112	Ingleside/Excelsior	14,806	555	37.5
94133	North Beach/Fisherman's Wharf	2,714	84	31.0
94111	Embarcadero	165	5	30.3
94108	Chinatown	1,208	34	28.1
94132	Lake Merced	3,852	107	27.8
94109	Nob Hill/Russian Hill	3,876	102	26.3
94131	Twin Peaks/Glen Park	3,572	87	24.4
94116	Outer Sunset	6,525	132	20.2
94127	St. Francis Wood/West Portal	3,035	60	19.8
94122	Inner Sunset	7,481	146	19.5
94114	Castro/Noe Valley	2,476	48	19.4
94121	Outer Richmond	5,653	104	18.4
94129	Presidio	464	7	15.1
94118	Inner Richmond	5,103	57	11.2
94123	Marina/Cow Hollow	2,063	19	9.2
	SAN FRANCISCO TOTAL	108,371	5,058	46.7
	CALIFORNIA TOTAL	9,833,827	492,810	50.1

In CY2007, there were 107,372 children with substantiations of child maltreatment in California, an incidence rate of 10.7 per 1,000. In San Francisco, there were 1,071, with an incidence rate of 9.3 per 1,000. This number has steadily declined in the past 5 years from 1,449 children with substantiations of maltreatment in 2003, a trend mirroring the state as a whole.¹⁰ Table 8 shows that the majority of referrals are due to either general neglect (28%) or physical abuse (26%).

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ Ibid.

Table 8: San Francisco County Child Welfare Referrals and Findings, CY2007

Allegation Type	Substantiated	Inconclusive	Unfounded	Assessment Only	Total Referrals
General Neglect	360	177	391	511	1,439
Physical Abuse	187	185	476	472	1,320
At Risk, Sibling Abused	63	75	303	161	602
Sexual Abuse	68	45	123	333	569
Emotional Abuse	86	84	66	175	411
Caretaker Absence/ Incapacity	162	25	78	97	362
Substantial Risk	136	26	61	106	329
Severe Neglect	8	1	5	2	16
Exploitation	1	1	5	3	10
TOTAL	1,071	619	1,508	1,860	5,058

Adult Protective Services

There are 110,028 seniors age 65 and older living in San Francisco, over 14% of San Francisco's population.¹¹ This is a growing population, with growing needs. Ensuring the safety of this protected class is one such need. National data suggests that just 1 in 5 cases of elder abuse and neglect are officially reported. **Abuse of the "oldest old" is believed to occur at a higher rate than other elders, and family members are the most common abusers.**¹² According to the San Francisco Department of Aging and Adult Services (DAAS) Needs Assessment 2006, self-neglect is the most commonly reported type of elder abuse, making up about half of the total reports.

Adult Protective Services (APS) is administered by DAAS, and is charged with responding to allegations of abuse for seniors and adults 18 to 64 who are dependent or have disabilities. APS receives approximately 6,000 calls to the reporting hotline in a year.¹³ In FY07-08, a review of the 6,000 calls received led to investigations of a total of 4,893 of the reports.¹⁴ Table 9 shows that the majority of these reports were verified. Considering the issue of underreporting, we can surmise from national data that, in addition to the 3,278 substantiated reports of elder abuse and neglect, an estimated 16,390 cases never came to light.

¹¹ U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2007 American Community Survey, retrieved December 29, 2008 from <http://factfinder.census.gov/>.

¹² SafeState (n.d.). Elder Abuse Facts. Retrieved January 5, 2009 from <http://www.safestate.org/index.cfm?navId=58>.

¹³ Specific statistics were not available for FY07-08. The 6,000 figure is an estimate based upon call volume during September – November 2008.

¹⁴ Personal communication, Mary Counihan, Department of Adult and Aging Services, December 11, 2008.

Table 9: APS Reports for FY07-08

	Amount	Percent
Substantiated Reports	3,278	67%
Inconclusive Reports	1,272	26%
Unfounded Reports	343	7%
TOTAL	4,893	100%

APS employed 32 case workers, 4 case aides, and 7 supervisors in FY07-08, with 8 open positions to investigate the reports and provide services to victims of elder and dependent adult abuse.¹⁵

MEDICAL AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES

Department of Public Health Services

The San Francisco General Hospital (SFGH) Emergency Department created a model program to address intimate partner violence and the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Primary Care clinics adopted a routine domestic violence screening protocol that was endorsed by the Health Commission in 1998. However, there has not been funding to develop a digital tracking system for cases of family violence in the healthcare setting. The logistics of recording family violence-related diagnoses in an electronic medical record in a way that protects the safety and privacy of victims are complicated and protocols for this are still under construction.

Several DPH programs do collect relevant statistics to give a small sense of individuals served for family violence. In FY07-08, the **Trauma Recovery Program served 657 victims of interpersonal violence**: 331 seen for sexual assaults, and 326 seen for either domestic violence or other assaults. The Child and Adolescent Sexual Assault Resource Center (CASARC) had 273 telephone contacts, performed 168 forensic interviews, and conducted 67 medical exams during this time frame. The **Suspected Child Abuse and Neglect (SCAN) Committee, a multidisciplinary committee chaired by the SFGH Pediatric Department to review child abuse cases, reviewed approximately 150 cases last year.**

Child Abuse Prevention and Support Services

The San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center (SFCAPC) operates the TALK Line, a 24-hour support hotline for parents to help them cope with the stress of parenting in healthy ways. This prevention measure seeks to stop child abuse before it happens. **In FY07-08, the TALK Line had a call volume of 11,398 calls, supporting a total of 1,250 unduplicated individuals.**

SFCAPC also operates the San Francisco SafeStart Initiative, a program aimed at reducing the incidence and impact of violence on young children, including witnessing domestic violence. The 14 SafeStart providers are located at 6 agencies, including Family Resource Centers, Family Court, the San Francisco Police Department, and other locations where children exposed to violence can be reached. **In FY07-08, SafeStart served 153 families, with approximately 200 children exposed to violence receiving supportive services.**

¹⁵ Ibid.

Domestic Violence Prevention and Support Services

There are 3 emergency shelters for victims of domestic violence and their children in San Francisco, with a combined total of approximately 75 beds. Through the Violence Against Women Prevention and Intervention (VAW) Grants Program, the Department on the Status of Women distributes City funding to these shelters and collects statistics about the services provided.¹⁶ In FY07-08, Department funding supported 5,927 bednights at the 3 emergency shelters. These bednights were used by 117 women and 111 children. **The 3 shelters turned 630 women and children away, often for lack of space.**

In addition to emergency shelter, the Department supported 1 permanent supportive housing program and 2 transitional housing programs for victims of domestic violence in FY07-08. These programs provided 9,748 bednights, offering long-term shelter and housing to 95 women and 23 children. The 3 programs turned away 23 women and children during FY07-08.

Survivors of violence require a significant amount of support in addition to shelter. In FY07-08, the Department funded 25 community programs to provide prevention and intervention services in San Francisco, including advocacy, legal assistance, case management, counseling, education, and crisis intervention. **The 25 programs funded in FY07-08 provided over 38,000 hours of service to nearly 23,000 individuals.**

Table 10: VAW Grants Program Services for FY07-08¹⁷

Services	Hours	Bednights	Crisis Calls
Legal Services	14,521		
Educational Activities	6,331		
Counseling - Individual	4,627		
Case Management	3,757		
Advocacy	2,788		
Accompaniment	2,528		
Outreach	1,649		
Counseling - Group	1,095		
Crisis Intervention	1,051		
Information and Referrals	174		
TOTAL SERVICE HOURS	38,521		
Transitional Housing Bednights		9,748	
Emergency Shelter Bednights		5,927	
TOTAL BEDNIGHTS		15,675	
Domestic Violence Crisis Calls			13,997
Sexual Assault Crisis Calls			840
TOTAL CRISIS CALLS			14,837

¹⁶ Several other City departments, including the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families and the Mayors Office of Community Investment, also support certain services provided by San Francisco's domestic violence programs. The numbers reported here only reflect the investment made through the Department on the Status of Women's VAW Grants Program.

¹⁷ Department on the Status of Women, VAW Grants Program Annual Service Report, FY07-08.

These numbers are limited in that they only capture the services funded by the VAW Grants Program. For example, the Department funded W.O.M.A.N., Inc. to respond to 14,000 crisis calls in FY07-08. However, several other domestic violence service providers answered hotline calls during that period. **The 3 domestic violence shelters and the W.O.M.A.N., Inc. domestic violence crisis line responded to a total of 24,632 hotline calls during FY07-08**, as shown in the table below. Additionally, victims may use other access points for services not specific to domestic violence. Many victims never access services at all.

Table 11: Crisis Line Calls for FY07-08¹⁸

Provider	Crisis Calls	Information Calls	Other or Unspecified	Total Calls
Asian Women's Shelter	1,016	845	0	1,861
La Casa de las Madres	2,859	1,650	0	4,509
Riley Center	562	195	5	762
W.O.M.A.N., Inc.	0	0	17,500	17,500
TOTAL CALLS	4,437	2,690	17,500	24,632

Elder Abuse Prevention and Support Services

In 1997, the Consortium for Elder Abuse Prevention, through its lead coordinating agency the Institute on Aging, collaborated with APS to establish the ElderShelter to help meet the growing need for emergency housing for elder abuse victims in San Francisco. Many abusers live with their elderly victims, and there are times when elders require temporary housing to protect them from abusive or neglectful situations.

The ElderShelter is housed in a confidential location, and has 2 beds available at any given time. To make a referral or self-referral to the ElderShelter, an individual must lodge a complaint of suspected or actual abuse or neglect of an elder or dependent adult with APS. All actual placements in the ElderShelter are then determined and made through APS.

Table 12: ElderShelter Statistics, FY03-08

Fiscal Year	Total Shelter Residents ¹⁹	Gender (F / M)	Total Bednights	Average Bednights per Resident
2003 - 04	10	5 / 5	168	17 days
2004 - 05	8	4 / 4	136	17 days
2005 - 06	4	2 / 2	225	56 days
2006 - 07	5	3 / 2	222	44 days
2007 -08	5	3 / 2	187	37 days
TOTAL	32	17 / 15	938	29 days

¹⁸ For the purposes of certain federal and state funding reporting requirements, the shelter programs differentiate between calls for information or referrals and those made by individuals in crisis. W.O.M.A.N., Inc. does not receive this funding and does not track data in this way. The 17,500 calls made to W.O.M.A.N., Inc. include both crisis and informational calls.

¹⁹ These figures include some instances when a caregiver resided with an elder at the ElderShelter. Dependent adults housed at the ElderShelter are also included in the statistics.

Elders and dependent adults are often admitted to the ElderShelter for physical abuse, emotional abuse, financial abuse, neglect, or harassment or threats by a caregiver. Additionally, the elder or dependent adult's housing may be in need of repair or cleaning in cases of self-neglect or hoarding.

MISSING PIECES

Victims access services in innumerable ways beyond the scope of this report. The multiple sections of this report highlight the true scope of the issue of family violence. Other sources of data have been considered, but were not included in this report due to time constraints. In future annual reports, the Council hopes to include information from these sources. **For example, there are many other legal avenues for family violence cases in addition to the criminal proceedings.** Probate Court records cases of financial abuse of elders. Family Court issues restraining orders in domestic violence cases. Dependency Court witnesses numerous cases of child abuse. While the Civil Court statistics may overlap with those of the Criminal Court, there are many victims that choose to only pursue civil remedies, and this data should be included.

Medical professionals in all areas of the Department of Public Health serve as first responders to victims of family violence, whether it is an individual receiving counseling at the Trauma Recovery Center, a child being examined by CASARC, an elder victim admitted to the Emergency Department for his or her injuries, or a patient reporting to a Healthy San Francisco primary care clinic for a routine check-up. There are innumerable medical access points for victims of family violence throughout the healthcare systems in the City and County, and the Council will make every effort to include this data in future reports. However, the first step is advocating for a centralized reporting structure. As previously reported, SFGH has a model program for addressing cases of intimate partner violence, and we must ensure we capture the full range of data available from this and other programs for the purpose of sharing best practices, as well as ascertaining ongoing gaps.

Family Resource Centers and other family-focused programs in the community, especially programs serving families with children, may not be specifically designed to provide services to victims of family violence, but advocates, in their roles building trusting relationships with individuals, are likely to be access points and providing services on an ad hoc basis. It is crucial that we identify sites and agencies that can intervene in families where children are exposed to parental intimate partner violence, as exposed children are at increased risk for becoming involved in future violent relationships.

The purpose in detailing the areas of missing information shows the pervasiveness of the problem, as well as the value of the Family Violence Council. **This report, by simply showing the problem in all its facets, is the first step in helping policy makers and advocates see how much family violence truly occurs in San Francisco.**

Table 13: Selected Annual Family Violence Statistics in Summary

	Child Abuse	Domestic Violence	Elder Abuse
Calls Received by Community Providers ²⁰	11,398	24,632	N/A
Calls Received by CPS, 911, and APS, Respectively	5,058	6,084	6,000
Cases Investigated by CPS or APS	3,198	N/A	4,893
Cases Referred to Police (Juvenile Division and DVRU)	513	4,576	150 – 180
Cases Investigated by Police (Juvenile Division and DVRU)	380	1,653	38
Cases Referred to District Attorney's Office ²¹	93	1,553	17
Cases Pled	10	444	10
Cases Brought to Trial	1	23	0
Convictions after Trial	1	15	0

²⁰ Call volumes provided by TALK Line and domestic violence providers noted in Table 11 above. There is no dedicated hotline for elder abuse.

²¹ Child abuse cases include felonies and misdemeanors. Domestic violence and elder abuse cases include only felonies.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The statistics and information provided in this report makes it clear that family violence is a significant and pervasive problem affecting thousands of San Francisco residents. It is important to view these statistics as a continuum of the same system, as child abuse, domestic violence, and elder and dependent adult abuse have numerous intersections. **Family violence is a “gateway crime.”** Children exposed to domestic violence experience significant trauma, and child abuse is often an indicator for future victimization or perpetration of violence, including community or gang violence. Seniors are not exempt from experiencing domestic violence in addition to other forms of abuse. Thus, we must view these systems of support and intervention as a whole, and attempt to strengthen the system to help keep the home safe for all San Franciscans.

CONCLUSION: An efficient system for tracking data is critical. Without real-time information on suspects and victims, all San Franciscans and visitors are at risk.

RECOMMENDATION: The Family Violence Council urges the completion of JUSTIS, the City and County’s complex Information Technology system.

- Within the next 3 months, the City and County of San Francisco must develop a plan to fund the completion of JUSTIS.
- By December 2009, all San Francisco Police Department data must be input into the hub, a step that will allow all criminal justice departments to begin to connect to the system and share critical information. Quality assurance measurements will be reported weekly on the lag time for input of dangerous felonies, restraining orders, warrants, and other criminal justice system actions.
- By June 2010, JUSTIS shall be entirely live, with complete data input and usage by all criminal justice departments.

Context: Gathering the data for this report required extensive support and time of numerous individuals at each of the agencies represented. A centralized data tracking system for the criminal justice agencies would streamline this process, an efficiency that would allow more time for investigating cases and supporting victims, and less time counting cases by hand. JUSTIS links the Department of Emergency Management, the Police Department, the Adult Probation Department, the Office of the District Attorney, and the Sheriff’s Department, providing each with current information about cases moving through the criminal justice system.

In the same spirit of data gathering, the Department of Emergency Management should work with the San Francisco Police Department and the child abuse and elder abuse communities **to develop dispatch codes for child abuse and elder abuse.** Currently, all family violence calls are coded as “domestic violence,” and not until the police report is written does it become clear what type of violence has occurred. Though the majority of reports for these crimes go to Child Protective Services and Adult Protective Services, 911 does receive calls for child and elder abuse, and they should be coded and tracked. This will support statistical data gathering, as well as better inform officers in the field responding to crisis calls.

CONCLUSION: Family violence can be seen as a precursor to future violence, and current research suggests that integrated and coordinated responses should address both the manifestations and root causes of the interrelated forms of violence against women and other violence within families.

RECOMMENDATION: The Family Violence Council urges the San Francisco Violence Prevention Advisory Committee (VPAC) identified in the 2008 Violence Prevention Plan to make family violence a priority issue and recognize the role of family violence as predictor of future community violence and other crimes and victimization.

- At a meeting within the next 3 months, the VPAC should approve a representative(s) of the Family Violence Council as an official member.
- Within the next 6 months, the VPAC must identify and implement plans for family violence prevention.

RECOMMENDATION: Because training is a critical component of prevention, during FY09-10, City-wide training efforts should be expanded and coordinated.

- Within FY09-10, elder abuse content should be integrated into the Alzheimer's trainings to be conducted by the Department of Public Health in the coming year.
- Within FY09-10, the Family Violence Council should coordinate family violence training of 911 dispatchers to maximize time and understanding of this complex issue.

Context: The large scope of family violence requires City-wide and multi-dimensional solutions. Both media and City policymakers have focused primarily on street and community violence over the past several years, with little acknowledgement of the role that family violence, in all its forms, plays in perpetuating and normalizing those more blatant and newsworthy images of violence in our society. The recently released *Violence Prevention Plan, 2008-2013*, a result of collaborative analyses of violence patterns in San Francisco, is a critical step forward for the City, since it includes the varied voices of those whose lives have been most affected by violence, along with the point of view of criminal justice, health, education, jobs, and housing experts. The Family Violence Council enthusiastically supports San Francisco's efforts to address violence in a comprehensive way, which will most certainly lead to improved services to those whose lives have been affected by violence, and which we all hope will eventually lead to a significant reduction in violence.

However, while the Council lauds the effort that went into developing the new plan, we urge that, as the process goes forward, **the issue of family violence take a much more central role in plans for prevention, victim assistance, case management, and related issues.** One of the essential premises of the approach proposed in the Violence Prevention Plan is described as follows: "Because street violence and youth violence often lead to homicide and thus captures almost daily media attention, **there is a greater sense of urgency around addressing this type of violence over other forms.**"²²

Last year, WOMAN, Inc. documented over 14,000 domestic violence crisis calls, and SFPD received over 5,000 family violence cases, demonstrating the magnitude of intimate partner and family violence in San Francisco, as well as its relationship to street and youth violence. The Violence Policy Center reports that "an analysis of female domestic homicides (a woman murdered by a spouse, intimate

²² City and County of San Francisco Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice (2008). *2008-13 San Francisco Violence Prevention Plan*. Pg.19.

acquaintance, or close relative) showed that prior domestic violence in the household made a woman 14.6 times more likely...to be the victim of such a homicide.”²³

The violence prevention efforts of San Francisco will not succeed if we fail to make the connection between the violence that occurs inside the home with the violence that occurs on the street. **The City must recognize the intertwining of family and street violence, and view family violence with the same sense of urgency**—particularly when the data suggests that it is plaguing the very same communities the Violence Prevention Plan proposes to target, as well as a significantly broader community as well. **Studies show that abused and neglected children are more likely to have adult criminal records than those reared without abuse or neglect, and the offenses of these children are also more likely to be violent.**²⁴ It behooves us all to address violence before it starts, and to address it in the home.

CONCLUSION: Collaboration between community and City agencies is critical to the success of prevention and intervention efforts.

RECOMMENDATION: The County budget must reflect family violence as a priority and that the majority of victims utilize community support services in addition to or in lieu of a criminal justice response.

- In the next 3 months, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors shall consider the long-ranging impacts and implications of family violence, prioritizing prevention and intervention services provided by the community.
- During FY09-10, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should work with the Family Violence Council to seek ongoing, sustainable sources of funding for such services to supplement the general fund allocation including funding through the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009.

RECOMMENDATION: To improve the outcome of cases, the City's response must be coordinated with community providers.

- The response to child abuse requires the intervention and coordination of social services, law enforcement, and medical treatment, a response currently operating on an ad hoc basis in the basement of San Francisco General Hospital. To speed the system's response, better coordinate services, and improve accountability in cases of abuse, the Family Violence Council recommends that the City supports and funds the Child Advocacy Center, a proposed 1-stop shop for the intervention in child abuse and neglect cases. Plans for this center have been developed, and FY09-10 funding would allow the City to improve its child abuse intervention and accountability track record.
- The Elder Abuse Forensic Center is a new program operating on a similar principle as the Child Advocacy Center, but its budget is in danger due to the current financial crisis. The intervention and prevention of family violence must be prioritized, and the Family Violence Council urges the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to maintain this critical program.

²³ Violence Policy Center (2008). Facts on firearms and domestic violence. Retrieved on August 18, 2008 from www.vpc.org/fact_sht/cdomviofs.htm.

²⁴ Widom, C. (1994). Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior in a midwest metropolitan area of the United States, 1967-1988 [Computer file]. Compiled by Depts. of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [producer and distributor]. doi:10.3886/ICPSR09480.

Context: Community intervention services are a vital component to family violence intervention and prevention. This is easily seen by the number of calls made each year to just one of the domestic violence crisis lines as compared to the number of reports made to government entities (i.e. 911 or the police department). **Criminal justice agencies, child and adult protective agencies, public health providers, and community-based service providers must work together closely, and must be adequately resourced, to meet the need for prevention and intervention services.**

Violent crime, including family violence, has tremendous societal costs, both tangible and intangible. In 1996, the National Institute of Justice studied the cost of violent crime, and the numbers are startling. Tangible costs include medical care, police response and investigation, property damage, mental health care, victim services, and lost wages and productivity. Intangible costs include reduced quality of life, pain, and suffering. **The study found that domestic crime against adults accounted for nearly 15% of the total costs associated with violent crime, \$67 billion annually.** This included \$1.8 billion in medical costs, \$7 billion in other tangible costs, and \$58 billion in quality of life costs. **Child abuse, including sexual, physical, and emotional abuse, accounted for over \$164 billion annually.** As much as 20% of mental health care costs could be attributed to crime, with about half of those expenditures for adult survivors of child abuse.²⁵ Note that the costs cited reflect the worth of the dollar in 1993, and have not been adjusted for inflation. Also, none of the costs include criminal justice system operational costs.

City government absorbs many of these costs. Crisis services responding to these crimes are critical. However, prevention efforts cannot be ignored. Though current fiscal realities make adequate resources difficult to come by for all populations in need, prevention and intervention services for victims of family violence must be a priority for San Francisco. Safety in one's home is a basic human need that we, as a community, must strive to fulfill.

²⁵ Miller, T.R., Cohen, M.A., Wiesema, B. (1996). Victim costs and consequences: a new look. National Institute of Justice Research Report, NCJ 155282. Retrieved February 2, 2009 from <http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles/victcost.pdf>.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO



San Francisco International Airport

BOS-11

cc: g5
cpage

July 17, 2009

2009 JUL 24 PM 1:44

Honorable John Avalos
Chair, Budget and Finance Committee
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128
Tel 650.821.5000
Fax 650.821.5005
www.flysfo.com

10

SUBJECT: Annual Project Expenditure Report - Supplemental Appropriation

Dear Members:

Ordinance No. 94-08, approved by the Board of Supervisors on May 20, 2008, provided the Airport with \$593.5 million in five-year appropriation authority for Capital Improvement Projects. Under the provisions of the ordinance, the Airport needs to submit an annual report on project expenditures using funds approved from the supplemental appropriation.

This letter reports on the Airport's expenditures as compared to the five-year supplemental appropriation. As of June 15, 2009, the Airport has expended \$60.1 million related to the supplemental appropriation. A majority of the expenditures are part of the Airport's \$383 million Terminal 2 renovation and rehabilitation project. This project will be complete by Spring 2011.

During the fiscal year, the Airport expended capital funds from sources other than the approved supplemental appropriation. By using these funds, the Airport will reduce its need to borrow and incur debt service costs. Over the last fiscal year, the Airport has been awarded \$46.4 million in grant funds for capital projects from the following sources: \$5.5 million in Federal Aviation Administration stimulus funds; \$15.3 million in Transportation Security Administration stimulus funds; \$5.4 million in Voluntary Airport Low Emission Program (VALE) funds; and \$4.2 million in roadway improvement funds from Caltrans. In addition, the Airport received and expended \$16.0 million in Federal Aviation Administration grant funds for runway, taxiway and other capital projects.

The financial summary submitted with this letter shows Capital Improvement Project expenditures for FY08/09. Please let me know if you have any questions or comments on the information the Airport staff has provided.

Very truly yours,

John L. Martin
Airport Director

Attachment

cc: Hon. Ross Mirkarimi
Hon. David Campos
Hon. Carmen Chu
Hon. Bevan Dufty
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board ✓

10

AIRPORT
COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT

LINDA S. CRAYTON
VICE PRESIDENT

CARYL ITO

ELEANOR JOHNS

RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME

JOHN L. MARTIN
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

San Francisco International Airport FY2008 / 2009 Capital Plan Supplemental Appropriation - Annual Project Expenditure Report

Supplemental Appropriation Group*	Five-Year Appropriations (FY08/09 - FY12/13)		FY08/09 Appropriation		FY08/09 Expenditures		FY08/09 Remaining Balance		Five-Year Appropriation Remaining Balance	
1 - Environmental Improvements	\$	4,008,806	\$	4,008,806	\$	-	\$	4,008,806	\$	4,008,806
2 - Airfield Improvements - Runways and Taxiways	\$	13,731,188	\$	2,850,013	\$	2,029,614	\$	820,399	\$	11,701,574
3 - Airfield Capital Equipment	\$	4,325,000	\$	845,000	\$	-	\$	845,000	\$	4,325,000
4 - Airfield Improvements - EMAS / Runway Safety	\$	12,299,975	\$	190,000	\$	58,188	\$	131,812	\$	12,241,787
5 - Airfield Improvements - Perimeter Security	\$	5,813,335	\$	1,268,334	\$	233,646	\$	1,034,688	\$	5,579,689
6 - Airfield Improvements - Utilities	\$	8,116,963	\$	1,942,387	\$	331,927	\$	1,610,460	\$	7,785,036
7 - Safety & Security Improvements	\$	4,455,000	\$	2,455,000	\$	-	\$	2,455,000	\$	4,455,000
8 - Security Improvements	\$	8,050,000	\$	2,875,000	\$	89,807	\$	2,785,193	\$	7,960,193
9 - Airport Support Improvements	\$	12,634,000	\$	9,468,000	\$	-	\$	9,468,000	\$	12,634,000
10 - Upgrade Support Facilities and Infrastructure	\$	9,500,000	\$	2,500,000	\$	-	\$	2,500,000	\$	9,500,000
11 - Groundside Improvements - Viaduct Improvements	\$	10,337,204	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	10,337,204
12 - Groundside Improvements - Roadway Improvements	\$	9,226,300	\$	2,500,000	\$	23,212	\$	2,476,788	\$	9,203,088
13 - Parking Improvements	\$	22,387,000	\$	9,900,000	\$	65,620	\$	9,834,380	\$	22,321,380
14 - Terminal Improvements - T2 Boarding Area D	\$	325,500,000	\$	152,500,000	\$	56,249,507	\$	96,250,493	\$	269,250,493
15 - Terminal Safety Improvements	\$	9,838,000	\$	2,795,000	\$	509,152	\$	2,285,848	\$	9,328,848
16 - Terminal Facility Renovations	\$	34,346,141	\$	13,187,375	\$	16,511	\$	13,170,864	\$	34,329,630
17 - Telecommunication System Improvements	\$	7,600,000	\$	1,600,000	\$	11,304	\$	1,588,696	\$	7,588,696
18 - Water System Improvements	\$	5,400,000	\$	200,000	\$	29,903	\$	170,097	\$	5,370,097
19 - Central Plant Improvements	\$	20,461,800	\$	3,725,000	\$	201,481	\$	3,523,519	\$	20,260,319
20 - Storm Drain Improvements	\$	8,390,000	\$	2,060,000	\$	5,742	\$	2,054,258	\$	8,384,258
21 - Utility, Power and Lighting System Improvements	\$	14,080,000	\$	2,280,000	\$	113,293	\$	2,166,707	\$	13,966,707
22 - Wastewater System Improvements	\$	42,990,250	\$	10,000,000	\$	154,829	\$	9,845,171	\$	42,835,421
Totals	\$	593,490,962	\$	229,149,915	\$	60,123,736	\$	169,026,179	\$	533,367,226

* The Supplemental Appropriation (Ordinance No. 94-08) established 22 groups to appropriate \$593.5 million.

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor

cc: B+F comm,
orig: GS cpage
Human Services Agency

Department of Human Services
Department of Aging and Adult Services

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director

11

MEMORANDUM

July 24, 2009

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Ben Rosenfield, Controller of the City and County of San Francisco

THROUGH: Human Services Commission



FROM: Trent Rhorer, Executive Director
Phil Arnold, Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT: **Human Services Care Fund: FY08-09 4th Quarter Update**

By 

2009 JUL 24 PM 2:54

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

This memo is intended to notify the Board of Supervisors and the Office of the Controller that pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-7(c), the Human Services Commission has approved the Human Services Agency's revised FY08-09 savings projections for the Human Services Care Fund.

The FY08-09 savings in homeless CAAP aid payments resulting from the implementation of *Care Not Cash* is \$13,661,468, which is roughly three thousand less than previously estimated. The savings are roughly sixty-five thousand dollars more than the budgeted amount for FY08-09.

(memo continued on next page)

11

The table below shows the detailed monthly projections made last quarter and compares them to the actual figures for FY08-09.

Month	Previous Quarterly Update (Q3 FY08-09)	Current Quarterly Update (Q4 FY08-09)	Difference
Jul-08	\$1,133,062	\$1,133,062	\$0
Aug-08	\$1,133,894	\$1,133,894	\$0
Sep-08	\$1,136,660	\$1,136,660	\$0
Oct-08	\$1,138,348	\$1,138,348	\$0
Nov-08	\$1,136,505	\$1,136,505	\$0
Dec-08	\$1,144,052	\$1,144,052	\$0
Jan-09	\$1,140,635	\$1,140,635	\$0
Feb-09	\$1,140,420	\$1,140,420	\$0
Mar-09	\$1,140,186	\$1,140,186	\$0
Apr-09	\$1,140,276	\$1,138,598	(\$1,677)
May-09	\$1,140,365	\$1,138,606	(\$1,759)
Jun-09	\$1,140,453	\$1,140,601	\$147
Total FY08-09	\$13,664,757	\$13,661,468	(\$3,289)

NOTE: Shaded figures are *actuals* (versus *projections*).

The FY08-09 budgeted amount for the Human Services Care Fund was \$13,596,803. As shown in the table below, the actual savings for FY08-09 was \$64,665 greater than this budgeted amount.

FY08-09 Human Services Care Fund Savings Budget Comparison


FY08-09 Budget	\$13,596,803
FY08-09 Actual	\$13,661,468
Amount Under-Funded	\$64,665



PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL ENGINEERS, LOCAL 21, AFL-CIO
An Organization of Professional, Technical, and Administrative Employees

MEMORANDUM

TO: Supervisor Sophie Maxwell

FR: Criss Romero, Representative 

DA: July 20, 2009

RE: Amendment of the Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO) Pending Cost Report of Budget Analyst

Supervisor, our Union is asking you to *put the \$2 million slated for the Budget Analysts office on reserve* pending an outstanding request regarding the resources needed for this work.

There is a request by your office to assess the cost of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors (BOS) Budget and Finance Committee's decision to defund the Clerk of the Board and move the position to the Budget Analyst's Office. We believe that devoid of any such report the BOS may be engaging in the contracting out of a Local 21 position that could have been kept as a City job, or in the very least the position could have received continued funding. From all appearances, the jobs proposed for defunding would be outsourced to the Budget Analysts Office and the work would remain consistent in job scope with the original work no performed by two City Legislative Analysts. This decision will impact two employees in the Clerk of the Board's Office.

The Budget Analysts RFP, which seems to be a duplication of services, may cost the City more money; however, we have yet to see and analyze the report you requested during the spring. We also understand that the Human Resources department may have recently designated the jobs as Local 21 specific positions.

As you know, our members, along with many others, were asked to give back a substantial sum of pay to help the City with a looming deficit. We believe we are simply asking for the opportunity to investigate whether or not it is feasible to move this money out of the hands of public employees and outsource the money to the Budget Analyst's Office. The Budget Analyst's Office is bidding on work that already exists within the City without an authenticated reason.

If you wish to discuss this matter further, you may contact Michael Seville or me at (415) 864-2100. Thank you for your consideration in this matter. We would also like to thank you for your original request for a report to determine the cost of this BOS decision.

CC: Board of Supervisors, Clerk of the Board

12

BY 

2009 JUL 20 PM 4:36

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

12



DTELOLI

07/27/2009 12:32 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, Bevan.Duffy@sfgov.org,
Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org,
David.Campos@sfgov.org, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,

cc

bcc

Subject Statement for Approval - Expanded Union Square BID Plan Request

* 090935
AS

13

*CLUB DONATELLO IS A FOUR-STAR, GOLD CROWN BOUTIQUE OWNER'S CLUB
PROVIDING AN INTIMATE AMBIANCE IN THE HEART OF SAN FRANCISCO'S UNION SQUARE*

July 28, 2009

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors – City of San Francisco
RE: Expanded Union Square Business Improvement District Plan Request

Currently we are not covered by the existing Union Square BID Plan, and we are requesting your affirmative VOTE for this expansion to be approved and implemented.

We represent over 2300 Resident Owners and Taxpayers to the City & County of San Francisco in the Donatello building located at 501 Post Street and 444 Mason Street. We have actively encouraged all of our Owners to vote in support of this new BID and Assessment based on the following key factors for the improvement of our neighborhood environment:

1. We have researched this situation thoroughly. We met with a number of local business leaders and the management company representatives, who will be responsible for making these improvements work for us. We met with some of our friends within the City & County for San Francisco who really know how this process can work, if it is implemented properly. We have done our due diligence and find that this plan is in the best interests of all of our Owners, and ultimately the City & County of San Francisco and its residents in the Union Square area.
2. The Maintenance Services, Public Safety Services, and the Marketing and Advocacy Services will clearly represent a positive improvement over the level of services provided to date by the City. However, all of the basic City required services will still be provided, but will be supplemented by the management company team and their working staff, who will be visible and working on the Post/Mason location now for the first time. We will have a more responsive direct line for communications from the CDOA and PPCA to the local management company when services are needed
3. The beautification of our neighborhood, and the scheduled cleanup efforts will significantly improve on the daily appearance, safety and security of our environment, and the preservation of a world-class experience for our residents and guests from around the world.

We believe that we will all be the beneficiaries of the visible and tangible improvements of: hanging flower baskets on our street, seasonal décor, daily sidewalk sweeping and graffiti removal, steam cleaning of sidewalks every 2 weeks, daily removal of unsightly debris and wiping down of trash receptacles, a clean well-kept appearance, the presence of nicely uniformed Public Safety Ambassadors.

Our Residents ask you to vote in support of this improvement and we are eager to pay our fair share of the costs for these services in and for our neighborhood on Post and Mason streets. Thank you!

Sincerely,
Don R. Thomas, on behalf of the Board of Directors
President & CEO – Club Donatello Owners Association

13

Vice-President – Pacific Plaza Condominium Association
cell #408.497.8140

A bad credit score is 600 & below. Checking won't affect your score. See now! (
[http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1221823322x1201398723/aol?redir=http://w
ww.freecreditreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072&hmpgID=62&bcd=JulyBadfooter
NO62](http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1221823322x1201398723/aol?redir=http://www.freecreditreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072&hmpgID=62&bcd=JulyBadfooterNO62))

Jul 27 09 11:22a JOY

4157888169

p.1
File 090935
BOS-11, AS
c page

July 24, 2009

Honorable Members
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: File 090935 (Resolution to Establish the Greater Union Square Business Improvement District)

Dear Supervisors:


I am writing in support of the establishment of the Greater Union Square Business Improvement District. As the Manager of Glory Chen on Maiden Lane, I strongly support the BID's renewal and expansion.

Union Square is the gateway to San Francisco. For many tourists it is their first stop. It is visited by more than two thirds of those who travel to the City, the experience those visitors have is vital to the tourism industry. A good experience will translate into additional visits. A poor experience will not only affect that of the visitor, but all those people he or she tells of their travel experiences.

The Union Square BID consistently has demonstrated its ability to offer a clean, safe and welcoming environment for our customers/guests. Daily we see the benefits of the BID. Despite the tough economic times we are all enduring, it is essential that we not let the District return to the conditions of ten years ago.

In closing, thank you for previously authorizing a vote of the property owners on the proposal to renew and expand the Union Square BID, please take the next step and vote to approve the establishment of the Greater Union Square BID.

Sincerely,



Bill Lenihan
Store Manager
Glory Chen
134 Maiden Lane
San Francisco, CA 94108
415-788-8168
storemanagersf@glorychen.com

emailed: Rana/Lolita

Ted Yamasaki/DHR/SFGOV

07/24/2009 02:50 PM

To Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc Sheila Chung Hagen/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Micki
Callahan/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mary
Hao/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV

bcc

Subject Board of Supervisor Inquiry Response (Reference:
20090616-008)

14

Attached is the response from the Department of Human Resources to the inquiry from Supervisor Campos referenced above. Please note that the Department of Human Resources was granted an extension by Supervisor Campos' office to provide the requested information. The agreed upon due date is today, July 24, 2009.



BOS Inquiry 20090616-008_Campos_072409.pdf



BOS Inquiry 2009616-008_AttachA_Campos_072409.pdf



BOS Inquiry 2009616-008_Attach B_Campos_072409.pdf



BOS Inquiry 2009616-008_Attach C_Campos_072409.pdf

Ted T. Yamasaki
Managing Deputy Director
Department of Human Resources
City and County of San Francisco

415.557.4915
Ted.Yamasaki@sfgov.org

14

City and County of San Francisco

**Gavin Newsom
Mayor**



Department of Human Resources

**Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director**

July 24, 2009

Honorable David Campos
Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: Board of Supervisors Inquiry Reference: 20090616-008

Dear Supervisor Campos:

The Department of Human Resources received the notice of your inquiry made at the Board meeting on June 16, 2009 from the Clerk of the Board. Your inquiry requested the following information:

1. List of City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) employees by gender, age, race and ethnicity, classification, title and department that have been laid off or have received a layoff notice in FY 08-09.
2. List of CCSF employees by gender, age, race and ethnicity, classification, title and department that have been laid off, transferred to a new department, and released before completing their 90 day probationary period within FY 08-09.

We appreciate the time extension to respond to your request. As we explained to Ms. Hagen, the Department of Human Resources does not track layoff information by gender, age, and race. In order to properly respond to your request, we had to merge data from other data sources and check for accuracy.

Based on the clarification we received from Ms. Hagen, the information we have provided (attachments A and B) in response to question 1 above includes all employees who received a layoff notice in fiscal year 08-09, regardless of the ultimate effective date of the layoff. The information provided does not include employees who received a layoff notice but whose layoff the respective department later rescinded. The information in column "Reason" on attachments A and B, also states whether it was a "lack of funds" layoff (that is, an initiating layoff action) or a displacement (that is, a result of a layoff initiated elsewhere). Please note that one initiating layoff action may result in several displacements based on the civil service seniority rights enumerated in the Civil Service Commission Rules.


¹ When departments determine to layoff employees, they must do so pursuant to the requirements prescribed in the Civil Service Commission ("CSC") Rules. Departments first decide which position(s) to eliminate and then identify the least senior employee in their departments in that classification. The departments then issue the affected employees a layoff notice, generally 60 days before the final layoff action occurs. After the departments notify the Department of Human Resources ("DHR") of their respective layoffs, DHR begins the work of attempting to place the affected employees in other city positions pursuant to the CSC Rules.

As we advised Ms. Hagen, the inquiry implicates employee privacy issues. The California Public Records Act specifies that "personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure. Gov't Code §6254(c). Likewise, the Sunshine Ordinance specifies that certain personnel information may be disclosed "where disclosure is not forbidden." Admin. Code § 67.24 (c). The right of privacy in the California Constitution protects employees from unwarranted disclosure of confidential information. Cal. Const. Art. I, §1. As you know, information such as race, gender and age are all considered confidential. Ms. Hagen worked with us regarding these privacy concerns. She agreed that in order to protect employee confidentiality, we could withhold ages.

Additionally, in order to protect employees from being subject to individual identification based on race (through the use of other, publicly available information), and to protect their constitutional privacy interests, the race and gender information have been separated into two reports—attachments A and B. Please note that the order of the data on each report has been randomized. To that end, a sequential comparison between the race and gender information should not be made because it will not yield accurate conclusions. In certain cases, we also withheld the race information when that information could reasonably be linked to an individual employee based on publicly available information (e.g., single position layoffs or all employees were of one gender or race).

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the information we have provided.

Sincerely,



Ted Yamasaki
Managing Deputy Director

c: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Attachment A – Layoff Information with Race
Attachment B – Layoff Information with Gender
Attachment C - Release from Probation with Gender

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY Reference 20090616-008
Attachment C - Release from Probation with Gender

Dept	Class	Gender
ADM	1408	Male
ADM	1410	Male
AIR	1203	Female
AIR	1203	Female
AIR	1706	Female
BOS	1404	Female
CCD	1426	Female
CSS	1404	Male
DPH	6138	Male
DPT	1424	Female
DSS	1002	Female
FAM	8202	Female
FAM	8202	Male
MTA	1022	Male
MTA	1203	Female
MTA	1823	Male
MYR	1404	Female
PDR	1430	Female

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY Reference 20090616-008
Attachment A - Layoff Information with Race

Dept	Class	Title	Reason	Race
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Asian
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Asian
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Asian
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
ADM	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	*
ADM	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
ADM	1220	Payroll Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
ADM	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
ADM	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	*
ADM	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
ADM	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
ADM	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	*
ADM	1452	Executive Secretary 2	Lack of Funds	*
ADM	3480	Farmers' Market Manager	Lack of Funds	*
ADP	1430	Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	Black
ADP	1430	Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	White
ADP	1432	Senior Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	*
AIR	1044	IS Engineer - Principal	Displaced	*
AIR	1070	IS Project Director	Displaced	*
AIR	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	*
AIR	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	*
AIR	1220	Payroll Clerk	Displaced	*
AIR	1706	Telephone Operator	Displaced	*
AIR	1760	Offset Machine Operator	Displaced	*
AIR	5174	Administrative Engineer	Displaced	*
AIR	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	*
BOS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
CCD	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*

CCD	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	*
CCD	1220	Payroll Clerk	Displaced	*
CCD	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
CCD	1842	Management Assistant	Displaced	*
CCD	9702	Employ & Training Spec. I	Lack of Funds	*
CCD	9702	Emply & Training Specialist I	Displaced	*
CCD	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Displaced	*
CHF	2917	Program Support Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
CON	1070	IS Project Director	Displaced	*
CON	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
CON	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Lack of Funds	*
CON	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	1052	IS Business Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5278	Planner II	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5288	Transit Planner 2	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5289	Transit Planner 3	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5291	Planner III	Displaced	*
CPC	5291	Planner III	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5291	Planner III	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5291	Planner III	Displaced	*
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	*
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	*
CSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Black
CSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Filipino
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	1460	Legal Secretary II	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Black
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Black
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	White
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	White
DAT	8133	Victim Witness Investigator III	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	8135	Asst Chief Victim Witness Investigator	Lack of Funds	*
DAT	8146	District Attry's Investigator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DAT	8146	District Attry's Investigator	Lack of Funds	Black
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Asian
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Asian
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1043	IS Engineer - Senior	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1237	Training Coordinator	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*

DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DBI	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
DBI	1752	Sr Microphoto/Imaging Technician	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1752	Sr Microphoto/Imaging Technician	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	1822	Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	4321	Cashier 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	4321	Cashier 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DBI	4322	Cashier 3	Displaced	*
DBI	5174	Administrative Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	5174	Administrative Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	5203	Assistant Engineer	Displaced	*
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	5211	Senior Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	5241	Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	5241	Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	5241	Engineer	Displaced	*
DBI	6138	Industrial Hygienist	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Native American
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	White
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	White
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6249	Senior Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	6249	Senior Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	6266	Senior Plan Checker	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Asian
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Displaced	Asian
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	White
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Displaced	White
DBI	6333	Senior Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	*
DBI	6333	Senior Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	933	Manager V	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	1052	IS Business Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*
DPH	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*
DPH	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*

[illegible]

DPH	2548	Occupational Therapist	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2588	Health Worker 4	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2589	Health Program Coordinator 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2806	Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2808	Senior Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPH	2808	Senior Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	Black
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Black
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Black
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	White
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	White
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2908	Hospital Eligibility Worker	Dispalced	*
DPH	2912	Senior Social Worker	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	2916	Social Work Specialist	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	7346	Painter	Lack of Funds	*
DPH	7524	Institutional Utility Worker	Displaced	*
DPT	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
DPT	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
DPT	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
DPW	932	Manager IV	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1053	IS Business Analyst - Senior	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1402	Junior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1705	Communications Dispatcher 2	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	1827	Administrative Services Manager	Displaced	*
DPW	5241	Engineer	Displaced	*
DPW	5272	Landscape Architect Assoc 2	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7120	Building & Grounds Maint Supt	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7120	Building & Grounds Maint Supt	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7319	Electric Motor Repairer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7335	Senior Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	*

DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	*
DPW	7345	Electrician	Displaced	*
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Asian
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Black
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DPW	8247	Emergency Planning Coordinator	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	1013	IS Technician - Senior	Displaced	*
DSS	1023	IS Administrator 3	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	*
DSS	1222	Senior Payroll and Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1231	Assistant Manager, EEO	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
DSS	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	White
DSS	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Black
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	White
DSS	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	*
DSS	1444	Secretary 1	Displaced	*
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	Asian
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	*
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	*
DSS	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	1820	Junior Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	2322	Nurse Manager	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	2587	Health Worker 3	Lack of Work	*
DSS	2903	Eligibility Worker	Displaced	*
DSS	2904	Human Services Technician	Displaced	Black
DSS	2904	Social Services Technician	Displaced	White
DSS	2904	Human Services Technician	Displaced	White
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian

DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2905	Senior Eligibility Worker	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	2907	Eligibility Worker Supervisor	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2907	Eligibility Worker Supervisor	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2907	Eligibility Worker Supervisor	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2907	Eligibility Worker Supervisor	Displaced	White
DSS	2908	Hospital Eligibility Worker	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	2912	Senior Social Worker	Displaced	*
DSS	2912	Senior Social Worker	Displaced	*
DSS	2912	Senior Social Worker	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2913	Program Specialist	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2916	Social Work Specialist	Displaced	*
DSS	2917	Program Support Analyst	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	2917	Program Support Analyst	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2917	Program Support Analyst	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Displaced	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White

DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2940	Protective Services Worker	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Displaced	Asian
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Displaced	Black
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Displaced	White
DSS	2944	Protective Services Supervisor	Displaced	White
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	2948	Human Services Section Manager	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Asian
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Black
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	3280	Assistant Recreation Director	Displaced	White
DSS	7524	Institutional Utility Worker	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	7524	Institutional Utility Worker	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	8173	Legal Assistant	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	8173	Legal Assistant	Lack of Funds	*
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Displaced	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Displaced	White

DSS	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Displaced	White
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Asian
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Filipino
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	Hispanic
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	White
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Lack of Funds	White
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	White
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	White
DSS	9703	Emp & Training Spec 2	Displaced	White
DSS	9704	Emp & Training Spec 3	Displaced	*
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Lack of Funds	Black
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Displaced	Filipino
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
DSS	9705	Emp & Training Spec 4	Lack of Funds	White
ECD	1044	IS Engineer - Principal	Lack of Funds	*
ECD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
ECD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
ECD	1241	Personnel Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
ECD	1369	Special Assistant 10	Lack of Funds	*
ECD	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
ETH	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Asian
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Black

FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Asian
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Black
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Filipino
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	White
FIR	1220	Payroll Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
FIR	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
FIR	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Lack of Funds	*
FIR	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
FIR	1934	Storekeeper	Lack of Funds	*
FIR	7388	Utility Plumber	Lack of Funds	*
HRC	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
HRD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*
HRD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
HRD	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	White
HRD	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1760	Offset Machine Operator	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	*
HRD	6139	Senior Industrial Hygienist	Lack of Funds	*

HSS	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	Asian
HSS	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	Filipino
HSS	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Asian
JUV	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	1022	IS Administrator 2	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	1244	Senior Personnel Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
JUV	1823	Senior Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	*
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	*
LIB	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	*
LIB	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	*
MTA	1022	IS Administrator 2	Displaced	*
MTA	1043	IS Engineer-Senior	Displaced	*
MTA	1044	IS Engineer - Principal	Displaced	*
MTA	1053	IS Business Analyst - Senior	Displaced	*
MTA	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	*
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	Asian
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	Asian
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	White
MTA	1404	Clerk	Displaced	*
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
MTA	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Displaced	*
MTA	1842	Management Assistant	Displaced	*
MTA	5241	Engineer	Displaced	*
MTA	5289	Transit Planner 3	Displaced	*
MTA	7226	Carpenter Supervisor	Displaced	*
MTA	7344	Carpenter	Displaced	*
MTA	7346	Painter	Displaced	*
MYR	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	*
MYR	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	*
PDR	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
PDR	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	*
PDR	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	*
POL	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
PRT	7328	Operating Engineer, Universal	Displaced	*
PTC	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	*
PUC	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	*
PUC	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	*
PUC	4322	Cashier 3	Displaced	*
PUC	5203	Assistant Engineer	Displaced	*
PUC	5207	Associate Engineer	Displaced	*
PUC	5207	Associate Engineer	Displaced	*
PUC	5211	Senior Engineer	Displaced	*
PUC	5241	Engineer	Displaced	*
PUC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
PUC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
PUC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
PUC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*

[illegible]

REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Asian
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Black
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	Hispanic
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3284	Recreation Director	Lack of Funds	White
REC	3289	Recreation Supervisor	Lack of Funds	*
REC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
REC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
REC	7514	General Laborer	Displaced	*
REG	1827	Administrative Services Manager	Lack of Funds	*
SHF	8202	Security Guard	Displaced	Black
SHF	8202	Security Guard	Displaced	White
TIS	933	Manager VI	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	954	Deputy Director IV	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1004	IS Operator - Analyst	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1013	IS Technician - Senior	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1054	IS Business Analyst - Principal	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	Black
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	White
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	White
TIS	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	*
TIS	1706	Telephone Operator	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Black
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	White
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	White
TTX	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	*

TTX	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	*
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1458	Legal Secretary I	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	*
TTX	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1842	Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Filipino
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	White
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	White
TTX	4224	Principal Property Auditor	Lack of Funds	*
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Asian
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Asian
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Black
USD	7342	Locksmith	Lack of Funds	*
USD	7342	Locksmith	Lack of Funds	*
USD	7376	Sheet Metal Worker	Lack of Funds	*
WAR	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	*

***Race withheld for employee privacy in single-position classes or classes where all employees of same gender/race.**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY Reference 20090616-008

Attachment B - Layoff Information with Gender

Dept	Class	Title	Reason	Gender
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
AAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
ADM	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	Male
ADM	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
ADM	1220	Payroll Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
ADM	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
ADM	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	Female
ADM	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
ADM	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
ADM	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	Female
ADM	1452	Executive Secretary 2	Lack of Funds	Female
ADM	3480	Farmers' Market Manager	Lack of Funds	Male
ADP	1430	Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
ADP	1430	Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	Male
ADP	1432	Senior Transcriber Typist	Lack of Funds	Male
AIR	1044	IS Engineer - Principal	Displaced	Male
AIR	1070	IS Project Director	Displaced	Male
AIR	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	Female
AIR	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	Female
AIR	1220	Payroll Clerk	Displaced	Female
AIR	1706	Telephone Operator	Displaced	Female
AIR	1760	Offset Machine Operator	Displaced	Male
AIR	5174	Administrative Engineer	Displaced	Male
AIR	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	Male
BOS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Male

CCD	1204	Senior Personnel Clerk	Displaced	Female
CCD	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	Female
CCD	1220	Payroll Clerk	Displaced	Female
CCD	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
CCD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Male
CCD	1842	Management Assistant	Displaced	Male
CCD	9702	Employ & Training Spec. I	Lack of Funds	Female
CCD	9702	Employ & Training Specialist I	Displaced	Female
CCD	9702	Emp & Training Spec 1	Displaced	Female
CHF	2917	Program Support Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
CON	1070	IS Project Director	Displaced	Male
CON	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
CON	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Lack of Funds	Female
CON	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	1052	IS Business Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	5278	Planner II	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	5288	Transit Planner 2	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	5289	Transit Planner 3	Lack of Funds	Male
CPC	5291	Planner III	Displaced	Female
CPC	5291	Planner III	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	5291	Planner III	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	5291	Planner III	Displaced	Male
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	Female
CPC	5293	Planner IV	Lack of Funds	Male
CSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Female
CSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Male
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	1460	Legal Secretary II	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Male
DAT	8132	DA Investigative Assist	Lack of Funds	Male
DAT	8133	Victim Witness Investigator III	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8135	Asst Chief Victim Witness Investigator	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8146	District Atty's Investigator	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8146	District Atty's Investigator	Lack of Funds	Male
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Female
DAT	8177	Attorney	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1043	IS Engineer - Senior	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	1237	Training Coordinator	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female

DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DBI	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	1752	Sr Microphoto/Imaging Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1752	Sr Microphoto/Imaging Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	1822	Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	4321	Cashier 2	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	4321	Cashier 2	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	4322	Cashier 3	Displaced	Male
DBI	5174	Administrative Engineer	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	5174	Administrative Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5203	Assistant Engineer	Displaced	Male
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5207	Associate Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5211	Senior Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5241	Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5241	Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	5241	Engineer	Displaced	Male
DBI	6138	Industrial Hygienist	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6242	Plumbing Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Displaced	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6248	Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6249	Senior Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6249	Senior Electrical Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6266	Senior Plan Checker	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Female
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Displaced	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6331	Building Inspector	Displaced	Male
DBI	6333	Senior Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DBI	6333	Senior Building Inspector	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	933	Manager V	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	1052	IS Business Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male

DPH	2302	Certified Nursing Assistant	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2328	Nurse Practitioner	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2432	Electrocardiograph Technician	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2434	Senior Electrocardiograph Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2548	Occupational Therapist	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2548	Occupational Therapist	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2548	Occupational Therapist	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2588	Health Worker 4	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2589	Health Program Coordinator 1	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2591	Health Program Coordinator 2	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2806	Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2808	Senior Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2808	Senior Disease Control Investigator	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2819	Assistant Health Educator	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2830	Public Health Nurse	Lack of Funds	Male
DPH	2908	Hospital Eligibility Worker	Dispalced	Female
DPH	2912	Senior Social Worker	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	2916	Social Work Specialist	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	7346	Painter	Lack of Funds	Female
DPH	7524	Institutional Utility Worker	Displaced	Male
DPT	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Female
DPT	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
DPT	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
DPW	932	Manager IV	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	1053	IS Business Analyst - Senior	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1402	Junior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Female
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1630	Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1704	Communications Dispatcher 1	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1705	Communications Dispatcher 2	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	1827	Administrative Services Manager	Displaced	Male
DPW	5241	Engineer	Displaced	Male
DPW	5272	Landscape Architect Assoc 2	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	7120	Building & Grounds Maint Supt	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7120	Building & Grounds Maint Supt	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7319	Electric Motor Repairer	Lack of Funds	Male

DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7334	Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7335	Senior Stationary Engineer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7345	Electrician	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7345	Electrician	Displaced	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Female
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	7514	General Laborer	Lack of Funds	Male
DPW	8247	Emergency Planning Coordinator	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Displaced	Female
DSS	1002	IS Operator - Journey	Displaced	Male
DSS	1013	IS Technician - Senior	Displaced	Male
DSS	1023	IS Administrator 3	Lack of Funds	Male
DSS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	Male
DSS	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	Female
DSS	1222	Senior Payroll and Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1231	Assistant Manager, EEO	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Male
DSS	1408	Principal Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	Male
DSS	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Male
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Male
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Male
DSS	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Male
DSS	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	Female
DSS	1444	Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	Female
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	Female
DSS	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	Female
DSS	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
DSS	1820	Junior Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male

7/24/2009

[illegible]

ECD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
ECD	1241	Personnel Analyst	Lack of Funds	Female
ECD	1369	Special Assistant 10	Lack of Funds	Female
ECD	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
ETH	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8202	Security Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Female
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FAM	8226	Museum Guard	Lack of Funds	Male
FIR	1220	Payroll Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
FIR	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
FIR	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Lack of Funds	Female
FIR	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Female
FIR	1934	Storekeeper	Lack of Funds	Female
FIR	7388	Utility Plumber	Lack of Funds	Male
HRC	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Female
HRD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	Female
HRD	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female

HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1203	Personnel Technician	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
HRD	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	1760	Offset Machine Operator	Lack of Funds	Male
HRD	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
HRD	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Female
HRD	6139	Senior Industrial Hygienist	Lack of Funds	Male
HSS	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	Female
HSS	1210	Benefits Analyst	Displaced	Male
HSS	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
JUV	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	1022	IS Administrator 2	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	1244	Senior Personnel Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
JUV	1823	Senior Administrative Analyst	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	Male
JUV	8324	Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court	Lack of Funds	Male
LIB	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	Female
LIB	1446	Secretary 2	Displaced	Female
MTA	1022	IS Administrator 2	Displaced	Male
MTA	1043	IS Engineer-Senior	Displaced	Male
MTA	1044	IS Engineer - Principal	Displaced	Male
MTA	1053	IS Business Analyst - Senior	Displaced	Female
MTA	1202	Personnel Clerk	Displaced	Female
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	Female
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	Female
MTA	1270	Departmental Personnel Officer	Lack of Funds	Male
MTA	1404	Clerk	Displaced	Female
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
MTA	1450	Executive Secretary 1	Displaced	Female
MTA	1824	Pr Administrative Analyst	Displaced	Male
MTA	1842	Management Assistant	Displaced	Male
MTA	5241	Engineer	Displaced	Male
MTA	5289	Transit Planner 3	Displaced	Female
MTA	7226	Carpenter Supervisor	Displaced	Male
MTA	7344	Carpenter	Displaced	Male
MTA	7346	Painter	Displaced	Male
MYR	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	Male
MYR	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Female
PDR	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
PDR	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	Female
PDR	1430	Transcriber Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1203	Personnel Technician	Displaced	Female
POL	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1424	Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
POL	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Displaced	Female
PRT	7328	Operating Engineer, Universal	Displaced	Female

TIS	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	Male
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	Female
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	Male
TIS	1071	IS Manager	Lack of Funds	Male
TIS	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
TIS	1406	Senior Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
TIS	1632	Senior Account Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
TIS	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	Female
TIS	1706	Telephone Operator	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	922	Manager I	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1070	IS Project Director	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	1404	Clerk	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	Female
TTX	1408	Principal Clerk	Displaced	Male
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1424	Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1458	Legal Secretary I	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1630	Account Clerk	Displaced	Female
TTX	1652	Senior Accountant	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1842	Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	1844	Senior Management Assistant	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	4224	Principal Property Auditor	Lack of Funds	Male
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Female
TTX	4322	Cashier 3	Lack of Funds	Male
USD	7342	Locksmith	Lack of Funds	Female
USD	7342	Locksmith	Lack of Funds	Male
USD	7376	Sheet Metal Worker	Lack of Funds	Male
WAR	1426	Senior Clerk Typist	Lack of Funds	Female

**Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV**

07/23/2009 03:24 PM

To Rana Calonsag/BOS/SFGOV, Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV,
Alistair Gibson/BOS/SFGOV,

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20090714-001

15



"Lee, Frank W"
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>

07/23/2009 02:16 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"
<Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross"
<Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>

Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20090714-001

Dear Supervisor Mirkarimi:

There are no longer any police barricades at Hayes and Pierce.

We contacted the Bay to Breakers Foundation and AEG Sports that managed the race for the Foundation. Besides checking Hayes and Pierce, they also reviewed the surrounding area at Alamo Square to ensure that all police barricades used for the race were retrieved.

Sincerely,
Frank W. Lee
Executive Assistant to the Director
Department of Public Works
Tel: (415) 554-6993
Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2009 11:03 AM
To: Reiskin, Ed
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 7/16/2009
REFERENCE: 20090714-001
FILE NO.

15

Due Date: 8/15/2009

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 7/14/2009.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting the Department of Public Works and the San Francisco Police Department to report on the status of removing police barricades left from the 2009 Bay to Breakers behind the Muni Bus stop at the corner of Hayes and Pierce.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 8/15/2009

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: July 21, 2009

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

Tanene Allison, Member-Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

16

16



Ivan E Pratt

m>

07/24/2009 01:05 PM

To AlexanderTenantsAssociation-owner@vahooqroups.com,
Blair Czarecki
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, chiwolf@hotmail.com,

cc

bcc

Subject Tenderloin Master Gardeners Program

17

TENDERLOIN MASTER GARDENERS PROGRAM July 24 2009

Sudarma,

We where talking about gardens at the front desk of the Alexander Residence the other day, and your propagating some particular shrub or other. I've sent you information on the MASTER GARDENERS PROGRAM, part of the California University System. Now don't be surprise if that is an economically cut program by the governor of California as well - it should not be cut, because this Master Gardeners Program has a great deal to do with teaching the values of Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology in many aspects of matriculations. It would be nice to start a gardening vegetable growing program in the Tenderloin, but I don't know how receptive such a thing would be in the Tenderloin. Michael Nulty, who live in the building would be very informed on the ins and outs of such a possibility, since he is the director of low income housing in the Tenderloin, at least last I heard.

MASTER GARDENERS PROGRAM:

WebPage: <http://www.mastergardeners.org/scc.html>

IVAN EDGAR PRATT, "XERISCAPE / BUDDHA, INC." IEP55@juno.com, Internet direct quote and paraphrase transcription "Tenderloin Master Gardeners Program July 24, 2009" information, Sustainable Systems Environmental Ecology, WebPage:
http://www.brookscole.com/cgi-brookscole/course_products_bc.pl?fid=M20b&product_isbn_issn=0534376975&discipline_number=22

Merritt College Ecology Department & Matriculations, WebPage:
<http://www.ecomeritt.org/>, NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO,
WebPage: <http://www.sgi-usa.org>

17

QUARTERLY AIDS SURVEILLANCE REPORT

San Francisco Department of Public Health

AIDS Cases Reported Through June 2009

18

Contents	Page
Surveillance Summary.....	1
Table 1: Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases by Transmission Category.....	2
Table 2: AIDS Cases by Gender and Year of Diagnosis.....	2
Table 3: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity.....	3
Table 4: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity.....	3
Table 5: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Year of Diagnosis.....	4
Table 6: AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity.....	5
Table 7: AIDS Cases by Race/Ethnicity and Year of Diagnosis.....	6
Table 8: AIDS Cases and Cumulative Rates per 100,000 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender.....	6
Table 9: AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year.....	7
Table 10: Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition.....	8
Table 11: Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons with AIDS.....	9
Table 12: Living Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases by Transmission Category.....	10
Table 13: Living AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity.....	10
Table 14: Living AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity.....	11
Table 15: Living AIDS Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition.....	12
Table 16: Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons Living with AIDS.....	13

The AIDS Surveillance Report is published quarterly by the
San Francisco Department of Public Health, HIV Epidemiology Section
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102; Phone (415) 554-9050, FAX (415) 431-0353
Director of Health: Mitchell Katz, MD; Section Co-Directors: Ling Hsu, MPH, Susan Scheer, PhD, MPH;
Program Coordinators: Maree Kay Parisi, Viva Delgado, MPH;
Epidemiologists: Mia Chen, PhD, MPH, Anne Hirozawa, MPH,
Priscilla Lee Chu, MPH, Sharon Pipkin, MPH, Tara Schubert, MS, Annie Vu, MPH
The AIDS Surveillance Report is accessible via internet:
www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/oprograms/hivepisecc/default.asp

18

AIDS Surveillance Summary

San Francisco (as of 06/30/09)

Cumulative cases ¹ :	28,237
Cumulative deaths:	18,926


California (as of 04/30/09)

Cumulative cases:	153,901
Cumulative deaths:	86,396

United States² (as of 12/31/07)

Cumulative cases:	1,051,875
Cumulative deaths:	583,298

San Francisco AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year, 1980-2009³



1. Includes SF residents diagnosed in SF and SF residents diagnosed in other jurisdictions. Excludes persons diagnosed in SF who resided in other jurisdictions at the time of their AIDS diagnosis.
2. The US numbers do not represent actual cases or deaths reported. Rather, these numbers are estimated and adjusted for reporting delays. For additional details, see www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/reports.
3. Reporting for recent year is incomplete. See Table 9 for actual numbers per year.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009**

Table 1. Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases (>12 years) by Transmission Category, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Transmission Category	No.	(%)
Gay or bisexual male	20801	(73.8)
Heterosexual male injection drug user	1403	(5.0)
Heterosexual female injection drug user	688	(2.4)
Gay or bisexual male injection drug user	4017	(14.2)
Lesbian or bisexual injection drug user	57	(0.2)
Transgender (1)	397	(1.4)
Hemophiliac	16	(0.1)
Heterosexual contact male (2)	134	(0.5)
Heterosexual contact female (2)	302	(1.1)
Transfusion recipient	143	(0.5)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	241	(0.9)
Total	28199	(100)

Table 2. AIDS Cases by Gender and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Gender	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 1999 No. (%)	1999 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)
Male	22263 (95.7)	514 (88.6)	479 (86.5)	453 (88.5)	440 (89.2)	498 (88.6)
Female	773 (3.3)	48 (8.3)	52 (9.4)	45 (8.8)	35 (7.1)	40 (7.1)
Transgender (1)	233 (1.0)	18 (3.1)	23 (4.2)	14 (2.7)	18 (3.7)	24 (4.3)
Total	23269 (100)	580 (100)	554 (100)	512 (100)	493 (100)	562 (100)

Gender	Year of Diagnosis					
	2004 No. (%)	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)
Male	423 (87.9)	421 (89.2)	391 (90.5)	391 (89.9)	323 (87.5)	70 (89.7)
Female	40 (8.3)	37 (7.8)	30 (6.9)	30 (6.9)	38 (10.3)	6 (7.7)
Transgender (1)	18 (3.7)	14 (3.0)	11 (2.5)	14 (3.2)	8 (2.2)	2 (2.6)
Total	481 (100)	472 (100)	432 (100)	435 (100)	369 (100)	78 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Data prior to this are incomplete.

(2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.

(3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 3. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Transmission Category (1)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)
Adult/Adolescent					
Gay or bisexual male	16123 (80.0)	1582 (43.5)	2459 (73.9)	713 (76.7)	70 (47.0)
Injection drug user (IDU)	741 (3.7)	1053 (28.9)	233 (7.0)	44 (4.7)	20 (13.4)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	2975 (14.8)	686 (18.9)	434 (13.0)	73 (7.8)	52 (34.9)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	26 (0.1)	22 (0.6)	6 (0.2)	2 (0.2)	1 (0.7)
Hemophiliac	8 (0.0)	2 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	115 (0.6)	181 (5.0)	95 (2.9)	44 (4.7)	4 (2.7)
Transfusion recipient	69 (0.3)	26 (0.7)	26 (0.8)	22 (2.4)	0 (0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	80 (0.4)	73 (2.0)	60 (1.8)	26 (2.8)	1 (0.7)
Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)	8 (0.0)	13 (0.4)	10 (0.3)	5 (0.5)	1 (0.7)
Total	20145 (100)	3638 (100)	3328 (100)	930 (100)	149 (100)

Table 4. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Transmission Category (1)	Chinese No. (%)	Japanese No. (%)	Filipino No. (%)	Southeast Asian No. (%)	Korean No. (%)	Pacific Islander No. (%)
Adult/Adolescent						
Gay or bisexual male	157 (79.3)	85 (84.2)	232 (80.0)	65 (73.9)	10 (76.9)	46 (63.0)
Injection drug user (IDU)	8 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	10 (3.4)	4 (4.5)	2 (15.4)	9 (12.3)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	9 (4.5)	12 (11.9)	22 (7.6)	7 (8.0)	1 (7.7)	10 (13.7)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.7)
Hemophiliac	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	4 (2.0)	1 (1.0)	13 (4.5)	6 (6.8)	0 (0.0)	5 (6.8)
Transfusion recipient	11 (5.6)	1 (1.0)	7 (2.4)	3 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	7 (3.5)	1 (1.0)	5 (1.7)	3 (3.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.4)
Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)	2 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total	198 (100)	101 (100)	290 (100)	88 (100)	13 (100)	73 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Persons with more than one risk factor (other than the combinations listed in the tables) are tabulated only in the most likely transmission category.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 5. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Transmission Category (1)	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 1999 No. (%)	1999 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)
Adult/Adolescent						
Gay or bisexual male	17826 (76.6)	361 (62.2)	336 (60.6)	311 (60.7)	307 (62.3)	363 (64.6)
Injection drug user (IDU)	1483 (6.4)	80 (13.8)	87 (15.7)	69 (13.5)	65 (13.2)	79 (14.1)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	3383 (14.5)	106 (18.3)	100 (18.1)	89 (17.4)	93 (18.9)	84 (14.9)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	37 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.5)	3 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	3 (0.5)
Hemophiliac	15 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	237 (1.0)	19 (3.3)	18 (3.2)	17 (3.3)	15 (3.0)	21 (3.7)
Transfusion recipient	140 (0.6)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	114 (0.5)	11 (1.9)	9 (1.6)	22 (4.3)	9 (1.8)	11 (2.0)
Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)	34 (0.1)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)
Total	23269 (100)	580 (100)	554 (100)	512 (100)	493 (100)	562 (100)

Transmission Category (1)	Year of Diagnosis					
	2004 No. (%)	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)
Adult/Adolescent						
Gay or bisexual male	316 (65.7)	297 (62.9)	285 (66.0)	285 (65.5)	233 (63.1)	55 (70.5)
Injection drug user (IDU)	53 (11.0)	57 (12.1)	42 (9.7)	42 (9.7)	32 (8.7)	8 (10.3)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	83 (17.3)	81 (17.2)	73 (16.9)	66 (15.2)	62 (16.8)	10 (12.8)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	1 (0.2)	3 (0.6)	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)
Hemophiliac	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)
Heterosexual (2)	15 (3.1)	19 (4.0)	20 (4.6)	31 (7.1)	24 (6.5)	4 (5.1)
Transfusion recipient	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	13 (2.7)	15 (3.2)	10 (2.3)	11 (2.5)	16 (4.3)	0 (0.0)
Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total	481 (100)	472 (100)	432 (100)	435 (100)	369 (100)	78 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Persons with more than one risk factor (other than the combinations listed in the tables) are tabulated only in the most likely transmission category.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 6. AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Male				Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Total (1)
Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
0 - 12	4 (0.0)	4 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	4 (0.5)	1 (0.8)	19 (0.1)
13 - 19	12 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	14 (0.5)	1 (0.1)	2 (1.5)	31 (0.1)
20 - 24	310 (1.6)	71 (2.4)	106 (3.5)	25 (3.0)	5 (3.8)	518 (1.9)
25 - 29	1657 (8.4)	253 (8.5)	435 (14.2)	90 (10.9)	23 (17.4)	2463 (9.2)
30 - 39	8820 (44.9)	1186 (40.0)	1461 (47.8)	370 (44.9)	64 (48.5)	11922 (44.7)
40 - 49	6437 (32.8)	981 (33.1)	761 (24.9)	247 (30.0)	29 (22.0)	8466 (31.7)
50 - 59	1931 (9.8)	372 (12.6)	217 (7.1)	66 (8.0)	6 (4.5)	2596 (9.7)
60 +	475 (2.4)	96 (3.2)	57 (1.9)	21 (2.5)	2 (1.5)	651 (2.4)
Male subtotal	19646 (100)	2964 (100)	3056 (100)	824 (100)	132 (100)	26666 (100)
Female				Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Total (1)
Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
0 - 12	4 (1.0)	9 (1.6)	5 (3.2)	1 (1.4)	0 (0.0)	19 (1.6)
13 - 19	1 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	2 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.3)
20 - 24	15 (3.9)	10 (1.8)	11 (7.1)	4 (5.6)	1 (7.7)	41 (3.5)
25 - 29	42 (10.9)	46 (8.4)	23 (14.8)	12 (16.9)	1 (7.7)	125 (10.6)
30 - 39	157 (40.9)	208 (38.0)	50 (32.3)	25 (35.2)	8 (61.5)	450 (38.3)
40 - 49	101 (26.3)	187 (34.1)	40 (25.8)	20 (28.2)	3 (23.1)	351 (29.9)
50 - 59	35 (9.1)	63 (11.5)	15 (9.7)	6 (8.5)	0 (0.0)	119 (10.1)
60 +	29 (7.6)	24 (4.4)	9 (5.8)	3 (4.2)	0 (0.0)	65 (5.5)
Female subtotal	384 (100)	548 (100)	155 (100)	71 (100)	13 (100)	1174 (100)
Transgender (2)				Asian/ Pacific Islander		Total (1)
Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Native American No. (%)		No. (%)
13 - 29	23 (20.0)	27 (21.4)	33 (28.2)	13 (33.3)		96 (24.2)
30 - 39	56 (48.7)	46 (36.5)	55 (47.0)	18 (46.2)		175 (44.1)
40 +	36 (31.3)	53 (42.1)	29 (24.8)	8 (20.5)		126 (31.7)
Transgender subtotal	115 (100)	126 (100)	117 (100)	39 (100)		397 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Certain age or race/ethnic groups are combined for transgender cases because of small number.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 7. AIDS Cases by Race/Ethnicity and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Race/Ethnicity	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 1999 No. (%)	1999 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)
White	17330 (74.5)	340 (58.6)	320 (57.8)	299 (58.4)	292 (59.2)	289 (51.4)
African American	2723 (11.7)	105 (18.1)	113 (20.4)	99 (19.3)	88 (17.8)	107 (19.0)
Latino	2456 (10.6)	103 (17.8)	89 (16.1)	71 (13.9)	75 (15.2)	122 (21.7)
Asian/Pacific Islander	638 (2.7)	26 (4.5)	27 (4.9)	34 (6.6)	32 (6.5)	34 (6.0)
Native American	109 (0.5)	5 (0.9)	5 (0.9)	6 (1.2)	3 (0.6)	6 (1.1)
Total (1)	23269 (100)	580 (100)	554 (100)	512 (100)	493 (100)	562 (100)

Race/Ethnicity	Year of Diagnosis					
	2004 No. (%)	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)
White	266 (55.3)	279 (59.1)	244 (56.5)	253 (58.2)	194 (52.6)	39 (50.0)
African American	78 (16.2)	81 (17.2)	79 (18.3)	75 (17.2)	72 (19.5)	18 (23.1)
Latino	107 (22.2)	83 (17.6)	75 (17.4)	72 (16.6)	59 (16.0)	16 (20.5)
Asian/Pacific Islander	21 (4.4)	24 (5.1)	24 (5.6)	29 (6.7)	36 (9.8)	5 (6.4)
Native American	4 (0.8)	3 (0.6)	6 (1.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)
Total (1)	481 (100)	472 (100)	432 (100)	435 (100)	369 (100)	78 (100)

Table 8. AIDS Cases and Cumulative Rates per 100,000 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, San Francisco

Race/Ethnicity	Male	Female	Total (2)
	No. (Rate)	No. (Rate)	No. (Rate)
White	19646 (11352.6)	384 (234.1)	20030 (5941.5)
African American	2964 (7951.7)	548 (1402.7)	3512 (4600.3)
Latino	3056 (5955.6)	155 (313.7)	3211 (3188.1)
Asian/Pacific Islander	824 (834.6)	71 (66.4)	895 (435.1)
Native American	132 (9607.0)	13 (1030.9)	145 (5502.8)
Total (1)	26666 (7356.2)	1174 (324.8)	27840 (3845.5)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender cases were excluded because population size for transgender can not be determined for rates calculation.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009**

Table 9. AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Year	Number of Cases Reported per Year	Number of Cases Diagnosed per Year (1)	Number of Deaths Occurred per Year (1)	Number of Persons Living with AIDS (1)
1980	0	3	0	3
1981	21	26	8	21
1982	75	99	32	88
1983	197	274	111	251
1984	451	557	273	535
1985	673	859	534	860
1986	981	1236	807	1289
1987	1287	1629	877	2041
1988	1408	1763	1038	2766
1989	1585	2162	1275	3653
1990	1686	2046	1364	4335
1991	1685	2284	1505	5114
1992	1638	2327	1641	5800
1993	4272	2074	1599	6275
1994	1915	1787	1592	6470
1995	1634	1563	1482	6551
1996	1242	1082	987	6646
1997	1060	804	422	7028
1998	795	694	401	7321
1999	724	580	356	7545
2000	627	554	348	7751
2001	498	512	322	7941
2002	441	493	323	8111
2003	533	562	301	8372
2004	557	481	305	8548
2005	507	472	313	8707
2006	425	432	289	8850
2007	544	435	208	9077
2008	570	369	161	9285
2009	206	78	52	9311
Total	28237	28237	18926	

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Data in recent years is incomplete due to delay in cases/deaths reporting.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 10. Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition, San Francisco, 1980-2009

Initial AIDS-Defining Condition	Def. (1) No.	Pres. (2) No.	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(3)]	8	N/A (4)	8 (0.0)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	24	N/A	24 (0.1)
Candidiasis of esophagus	301	226	527 (1.9)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	5	N/A	5 (0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	8	N/A	8 (0.0)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	377	N/A	377 (1.3)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	335	N/A	335 (1.2)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	204	N/A	204 (0.7)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	0	113	113 (0.4)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	387	N/A	387 (1.4)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	98	N/A	98 (0.3)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	25	N/A	25 (0.1)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	21	N/A	21 (0.1)
Kaposi's sarcoma (5)	2548	293	2841 (10.1)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	4	0	4 (0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	107	N/A	107 (0.4)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	419	N/A	419 (1.5)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (5)	40	N/A	40 (0.1)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	257	3	260 (0.9)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	204	15	219 (0.8)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	144	5	149 (0.5)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	16	11	27 (0.1)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	5094	597	5691 (20.2)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	220	27	247 (0.9)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	50	N/A	50 (0.2)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	8	N/A	8 (0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	43	200	243 (0.9)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	681	N/A	681 (2.4)
CD4 T lymphocyte count <200 or percent <14 [HIV+]	15115	N/A	15115 (53.5)
Any AIDS indicator condition and HIV-negative and CD4 count <400 (6)	4	N/A	4 (0.0)
Total	26747	1490	28237 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Indicator conditions diagnosed definitively (e.g. culture or biopsy proven).

(2) Indicator conditions diagnosed presumptively in a person who has laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(4) N/A: Conditions which require definitive diagnoses only.

(5) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

(6) In the absence of other causes of immunocompromise.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 11. Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons with AIDS, San Francisco, 1980-2009

AIDS Indicator Condition (1)	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(2)]	11 (0.0)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	135 (0.5)
Candidiasis of esophagus	2528 (9.0)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	11 (0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	60 (0.2)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	1841 (6.5)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	1374 (4.9)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	2436 (8.6)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	2486 (8.8)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	2468 (8.7)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	422 (1.5)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	157 (0.6)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	67 (0.2)
Kaposi's sarcoma (3)	6693 (23.7)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	5 (0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	540 (1.9)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	1129 (4.0)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (3)	389 (1.4)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	5063 (17.9)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	651 (2.3)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	474 (1.7)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	332 (1.2)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	10937 (38.7)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	997 (3.5)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	307 (1.1)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	57 (0.2)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	1158 (4.1)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	4318 (15.3)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Cases may have more than one condition.

(2) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009**

Table 12. Living Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases (>12 years) by Transmission Category, San Francisco

Transmission Category	No.	(%)
Gay or bisexual male	6669	(71.7)
Heterosexual male injection drug user	479	(5.2)
Heterosexual female injection drug user	278	(3.0)
Gay or bisexual male injection drug user	1243	(13.4)
Lesbian or bisexual injection drug user	24	(0.3)
Transgender (1)	198	(2.1)
Hemophiliac	5	(0.1)
Heterosexual contact male (2)	82	(0.9)
Heterosexual contact female (2)	176	(1.9)
Transfusion recipient	20	(0.2)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	123	(1.3)
Total	9297	(100)

Table 13. Living AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco

Transmission Category	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)
Adult/Adolescent					
Gay or bisexual male	4717 (78.9)	544 (41.6)	1106 (75.1)	349 (75.4)	27 (48.2)
Injection drug user (IDU)	274 (4.6)	369 (28.2)	85 (5.8)	18 (3.9)	9 (16.1)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	869 (14.5)	233 (17.8)	177 (12.0)	39 (8.4)	16 (28.6)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	11 (0.2)	11 (0.8)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
Hemophiliac	3 (0.1)	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	56 (0.9)	105 (8.0)	64 (4.3)	32 (6.9)	3 (5.4)
Transfusion recipient	7 (0.1)	2 (0.2)	5 (0.3)	6 (1.3)	0 (0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	40 (0.7)	37 (2.8)	30 (2.0)	15 (3.2)	0 (0.0)
Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.3)	5 (0.3)	3 (0.6)	1 (1.8)
Total	5977 (100)	1307 (100)	1473 (100)	463 (100)	56 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Data prior to this are incomplete.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 14. Living AIDS Cases by Gender, Race/Ethnicity and Age as of 06/30/2009, San Francisco

Male Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.0)
13 - 19	1 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.0)
20 - 24	3 (0.1)	7 (0.7)	9 (0.7)	4 (1.0)	2 (4.1)	25 (0.3)
25 - 29	45 (0.8)	11 (1.1)	38 (2.9)	8 (2.0)	3 (6.1)	106 (1.2)
30 - 39	469 (8.1)	87 (8.6)	227 (17.1)	87 (21.9)	5 (10.2)	886 (10.3)
40 - 49	2154 (37.3)	397 (39.4)	603 (45.5)	148 (37.2)	27 (55.1)	3341 (38.9)
50 - 59	2194 (38.0)	359 (35.7)	333 (25.2)	112 (28.1)	10 (20.4)	3014 (35.1)
60 +	911 (15.8)	145 (14.4)	112 (8.5)	39 (9.8)	2 (4.1)	1210 (14.1)
Male subtotal	5777 (100)	1007 (100)	1324 (100)	398 (100)	49 (100)	8588 (100)
Female Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
13 - 19	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	3 (3.5)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	5 (1.0)
20 - 24	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	2 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.8)
25 - 29	4 (2.6)	5 (2.1)	5 (5.9)	4 (9.8)	1 (20.0)	19 (3.6)
30 - 39	21 (13.8)	31 (12.9)	16 (18.8)	12 (29.3)	0 (0.0)	82 (15.6)
40 - 49	67 (44.1)	77 (32.1)	24 (28.2)	14 (34.1)	3 (60.0)	185 (35.2)
50 - 59	47 (30.9)	100 (41.7)	26 (30.6)	7 (17.1)	1 (20.0)	181 (34.5)
60 +	13 (8.6)	24 (10.0)	9 (10.6)	3 (7.3)	0 (0.0)	49 (9.3)
Female subtotal	152 (100)	240 (100)	85 (100)	41 (100)	5 (100)	525 (100)
Transgender (2) Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)	
13 - 39	10 (20.8)	10 (16.7)	23 (35.9)	8 (30.8)	51 (25.8)	
40 +	38 (79.2)	50 (83.3)	41 (64.1)	18 (69.2)	147 (74.2)	
Transgender subtotal	48 (100)	60 (100)	64 (100)	26 (100)	198 (100)	

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Certain age or race/ethnic groups are combined for transgender cases because of small number.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 15. Living AIDS Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition, San Francisco

Initial AIDS-Defining Condition	Def. (1) No.	Pres. (2) No.	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(3)]	7	N/A(4)	7 (0.1)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	5	N/A	5 (0.1)
Candidiasis of esophagus	51	54	105 (1.1)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	0	N/A	0 (0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	1	N/A	1 (0.0)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	47	N/A	47 (0.5)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	107	N/A	107 (1.1)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	23	N/A	23 (0.2)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	0	21	21 (0.2)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	59	N/A	59 (0.6)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	15	N/A	15 (0.2)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	8	N/A	8 (0.1)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	7	N/A	7 (0.1)
Kaposi's sarcoma (5)	322	69	391 (4.2)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	2	0	2 (0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	23	N/A	23 (0.2)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	48	N/A	48 (0.5)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (5)	1	N/A	1 (0.0)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	19	0	19 (0.2)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	75	7	82 (0.9)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	37	2	39 (0.4)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	2	2	4 (0.0)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	411	143	554 (5.9)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	75	10	85 (0.9)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	0	N/A	0 (0.0)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	1	N/A	1 (0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	8	22	30 (0.3)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	133	N/A	133 (1.4)
CD4 T lymphocyte count <200 or percent <14 [HIV+]	7493	N/A	7493 (80.5)
Any AIDS indicator condition and HIV-negative and CD4 count <400 (6)	1	N/A	1 (0.0)
Total	8981	330	9311 (100)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Indicator conditions diagnosed definitively (e.g. culture or biopsy proven).

(2) Indicator conditions diagnosed presumptively in a person who has laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(4) N/A: Conditions which require definitive diagnoses only.

(5) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

(6) In the absence of other causes of immunocompromise.

Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS*
Reported as of 06/30/2009

Table 16. Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons Living with AIDS, San Francisco

AIDS Indicator Condition (1)	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(2)]	10 (0.1)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	22 (0.2)
Candidiasis of esophagus	441 (4.7)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	1 (0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	10 (0.1)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	262 (2.8)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	296 (3.2)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	199 (2.1)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	197 (2.1)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	191 (2.1)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	74 (0.8)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	26 (0.3)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	15 (0.2)
Kaposi's sarcoma (3)	887 (9.5)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	2 (0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	60 (0.6)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	120 (1.3)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (3)	13 (0.1)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	305 (3.3)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	191 (2.1)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	101 (1.1)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	40 (0.4)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	1394 (15.0)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	232 (2.5)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	15 (0.2)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	3 (0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	84 (0.9)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	618 (6.6)

* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Cases may have more than one condition.

(2) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

**SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY AND SURVEILLANCE BRANCH
AIDS OFFICE
25 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 500
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102**

**RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO**

2009 JUL 23 AM 9:46

BY 4C

CLERK
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
401 VAN NESS AVE, #308
INTER-OFFICE MAIL

From: Michael Lyon

Date: 7/20/2009 Time: 2:08:32 PM

Page 1 of 1

B+F comm, GT, cpage

Dear SF Supervisor:

I worked at SF General Hospital fifteen years and have seen the progressive deterioration of the Health Department as the effects of budget cuts continue to mount. This has been particularly true for behavioral health.

19

At the budget meeting on Wednesday, July 21, please remember:

1. Vote YES for the add-backs and Support Revenue Measures;
2. Restore funding to the following programs:

A. Huckleberry House, \$350,000:

This represents half of their funding. Without this money, Huckleberry House will shut down completely leaving the criminal justice system alone to work with youth in crisis. This is the only 24-hour drop-in shelter for youth in the city, and supports youth instead of further traumatizing them when they are in crisis. In addition, without this program, the so-called savings will be lost on police overtime, and costs associated to quarantining youth off in the SF General Hospital Psychiatric Emergency Ward. Huckleberry House is both the fiscal and the human choice.

B. Restoration of Important Human Services Agency Cal-Works, Job Placement, and Child Protective Services \$500,000:

Cal-Works applications and Food Stamps applications have increased 1/3, while the staff is getting laid-off. The effect has been that caseworkers' and eligibility workers' caseloads went from 60-70 to 150-200. This is unacceptable, as it puts families in great risk of homelessness and crisis. This money is matched by federal funding, and would restore service levels and vital services for families. In addition, the sex trauma case managers who have extensive training to work with child sexual abuse cases have been dismantled and laid-off, meaning these cases are put into general case managers who are facing sky-rocketing case loads, and are less-trained for these cases. This puts abused children in danger to fall through the cracks.

C. Westside Crisis: \$100,000:

The Crisis Intervention Response Team ("CIRT") responds to traumatic incidents that occur in the community, usually victims of violent crimes such as shootings, although I have also seen them at Multi-Casualty incidents. They see to the needs of the victims, as well as their families who go into crisis mode as a result of the given incident. Their presence allows the police to focus on the criminal aspect of the crime scene (evidence gathering, witness interviews, etc), by addressing the concerns of the victims families and friends. At SFGH, they work with our ED Social Workers, and have been an asset in helping to calm groups of families and friends who come to the ED looking for news about the victims. The program atypical in the sense it does not address the "usual" psychiatric problems associated with psychiatric clinics, and is more community-based.

Michael Lyon

1

San Francisco CA 94110

19

July 22, 2009

20

To Whom It May Concern,

My family and I recently visited California, specifically the San Francisco area for our summer vacation. I felt it necessary to write this letter regarding our trip, which I am sending to several City & State Officials as well as the local newspaper. Our first day in the city "colored" the rest of our stay so badly, we may never go back. After doing some of the usual tourist sightseeing, we ended up downtown to do a little shopping (read spend money). We drove in and made our way around. We found a few open parking meters on Post Street. We all piled out of the van and started searching for change to feed the meters. It took quite a bit to get an hour's worth of time! Parking in San Francisco, like all cities, is a challenge and quite expensive. We were prepared for this. The meter was flashing "expired" and also had a message: "Restricted See Signs". We all looked up and sure enough, there was a sign, it read: "No Parking 2AM to 6AM, Street Cleaning" Cool, that's not us! We fed the meter and walked off to shop. We came back to find our car missing! Our car was GONE!! We were dumbfounded, our rental car was gone. We called the number listed on the meter *PRAYING* it had been towed and not stolen. Even though the meter had not expired yet, so it didn't make *any sense* the vehicle would have been towed, but we hoped for whatever reason, the city had our car! Sure enough our prayers were answered, it had been IMPOUNDED!! The woman on the phone at the impound facility I called asked me "is the meter red on top"? "Yes, on top", I replied, "but it is not expired" I was then informed we had parked in a No Parking Zone. The red meter meant this was for trucks only, loading and unloading. *WHAT?!?!?! In NO* other city I have lived in, or visited, had I ever heard of such a thing. If there is a meter, that is a parking spot. *AND* in EVERY city I have visited, or lived in, there are signs posted stating: "No Parking Zone, Loading and Unloading Only". I highly protest what happened to us. Getting our car back was humiliating and expensive! It ruined our trip, (which by the way, went downhill from there, thank you very much).

I realize the state of California is in deep financial trouble, but this is *NOT* the way to make money. It is bad marketing having tourists leave your area feeling resentful and ripped off. I am positive we are not the only visitors this has happened to. People who live in your area may think, how could you park there? Don't you realize what a red meter is? No, we do not. Colored coded meters no one knows the code of except the locals? Who has this? No place, *I have ever been to*. I have talked to several people upon my return who have lived all over the country and they were shocked at this practice, and agree about the lack of signs in San Francisco, and the abundance of No Parking signs in other cities. They were very surprised at our treatment.

You cannot give me back my vacation, but we respectfully are requesting the money we have paid out for the towing due to the poor signage ("please read signs", when in fact they want you to get down on your hands and knees and read a *sticker* on the meter pole), *AND*, due to the lack of signs notifying you of the No Parking Zone. The 245.00 towing/impound fee is very out of line and uncalled for. We were at that curb less than an hour, we called before our vehicle had even hit the impound lot, and went directly there. The impound lot was *not* far.

20

I am requesting the \$245.00 towing fee be refunded to us. I would like the \$75.00 parking ticket we have not paid yet, be dismissed. The \$10.00 cab ride is on me, though it shouldn't be. I *never* intended to tour the City of San Francisco's Impound Facility while visiting there. *AND* I want signs put up in the city so this stops. No other visitors to this city should have to go through this. It truly is entrapment. The meter said to read the signs, not the stickers. There are STICKERS on the meter pole with instructions regarding this type of spot. I have enclosed pictures. Bad verbiage, no signs, color coded meters (that only residents know about-or people who have been stung before), and there you go, ripped off visitors. Is this truly how you want people visiting your city and your state feeling when they leave? I doubt we will *ever* go back. I want my money back. I want the ticket dismissed. California owes us a vacation.

Elizabeth Gibson

Port Orange, FL. 32127

Enclosures: 4



NOTICE OF PARKING CITATION

The vehicle described below is illegally parked in violation of the code referenced below.

Payment or request for administrative review is required **within 21 days** or further penalties will be assessed.

CITATION # 763784302

Date		Time Issued	
07/08/2009		02:17 PM	
License Number		Time Checked	
GGZT048			
State	Month	Year	
CA	04	10	
VIN			
4029			
Vehicle Make	Body	Color	
KIA	UN	BG	
Location		Meter #	
121 POST ST		61401210	
Officer		Badge #	
C. R.		0056	
IN VIOLATION OF SECTION:			
TC33.3 TRUCK LOADING ZONE			
AMOUNT DUE:			
\$ 73.00			

763784302

COMMENTS:

TRKS W/ SIX OR MORE WHEELS AND
COMMERCIAL VEHICLES ONLY

For Mail Payments Only
Department of Parking & Traffic
P.O. Box 7718 • San Francisco, CA 94120-7718
415-701-3099 OR 1-800-531-7357

PAYMENT OPTIONS:

1) You may pay with MasterCard or Visa
BY PHONE or BY INTERNET.



PAY BY PHONE 415-701-3099
Outside of 415 area: 1-800-531-7357
PAY BY INTERNET: www.services.sfgov.org

2) You may mail payment using the envelope provided.
Mail Check or Money Order Only
Make checks payable to Department of Parking & Traffic.
Write your citation number(s) on your check or money order. Do not Mail Cash.

3) You may pay in person at this location:
11 South Van Ness Avenue
Hours M-F 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM

REQUEST FOR REVIEW

To protest this citation, submit a letter requesting a review **within 21 days of date of issuance of this citation**. You must include in the letter the reason for request (meter malfunction, curb paint faded, missing or obscured sign, valid permit displayed or other explanation), your name, address, city, state, and zip code. The letter must be signed and dated by the individual challenging the citation.

Do not use attached envelope if you wish to protest.
Return this citation in your own envelope with your protest.
Keep a copy for your own records and mail or bring the protest to:

Citation Review Center
11 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103-1226
For further info on protesting this citation, please call:
415-701-3000

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

STAR
NO.

OFFICER'S SIGNATURE CVC: 5200 5201 5201F 5204A
\$10.00 Penalty with proof of correction if done within 21 days.

THINGS TO KNOW REGARDING THIS CITATION

WARNING: Five or more unpaid parking citations may result in impoundment and or booting of your vehicle pursuant to CVC 22651(i).

Vehicle Registration Renewal will be withheld by the DMV as a result of failure to pay parking penalties.

A civil judgement may be filed with the Municipal Court if you owe \$400 or more in unpaid parking penalties. A civil judgement can result in a levy against your assets, a lien against your property, or garnishment of your wages.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2009 JUL 21 AM 11:06

Signa I. Houghteling
San Francisco, CA 94109

BY: M

(21)

July 6, 2009

The Honorable David Chiu, President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Supervisor Chiu:

On behalf of the Joseph C. Houghteling family, I wish to thank you for recognizing Joe's many years of public service. He loved San Francisco, the city in which he was born, and fought to save the many glorious natural wonders of the entire State of California. He was a pragmatic public servant, always striving not to compromise, but to balance competing interests so that everybody came out ahead, and the environmental beauty of our beloved state was preserved for everybody.

He would have been very pleased by the respect and honor your motion implies.

Sincerely,

Signa I. Houghteling

Signa Judy Houghteling (Mrs. Joseph)
For his daughters Anne, Betsy and Mary Houghteling

✓ Cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

(21)

22

NOTICE

On July 13, 2009, the Application of Marin Door To Door, Inc. was filed with the California Public Utilities Commission, seeking to extend its passenger stage corporation certificate to perform an on-call, door-to-door service on a 24-hours per day, seven days per week basis between points in San Francisco, Marin, Sonoma and Napa Counties, on the one hand, and the San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose International Airports, on the other hand, and between points in Marin County and points in the City and County of San Francisco. The service will be performed over the most convenient routes between the airports and points of origin and destinations within the proposed service area and will be provided with airport-type shuttle vans. The proposed fares to be assessed the public for this service are set forth in Exhibit "C" of the Application. A copy of the Application and related exhibits will be furnished by applicant upon receipt of a written request for such documents. Please direct the request to Daniel W. Baker, 3643 Baker Lane, Lafayette, California, 94549.

(This Notice is issued pursuant to Rule 21(k) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.)

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2009 JUL 20 PM 3:58
BY M

EXHIBIT "F"

858534.1

22

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
07/22/2009 02:22 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Dear John Letter and Muncide.com - HIGH PAID MUNI
MANAGERS PUT PUBLIC SAFETY UNDER THE BUS...

23



SFHomeless Yahoo! Group
<sfhomeless@yahoo.com>

07/21/2009 10:56 PM

Please respond to
SFHomeless@yahoo.com

To SFHomeless Yahoo! Group
<sfhomeless@yahoogroups.com>
cc SF Board Of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,
Gavin Newsom <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, Gary Jimenez
<gary.jimenez@sfgov.org>
Subject Dear John Letter and Muncide.com - HIGH PAID MUNI
MANAGERS PUT PUBLIC SAFETY UNDER THE BUS...

SOURCE:

<http://www.fogcityjournal.com/wordpress/2009/07/21/stay-tuned-speak-power->

Go to the Source above to see pictures, formatting, etc. for this interesting arti

Isn't this the same Ford who thought it would help to put metal detectors into the she
demanding hiring standards and better staff training, instead ???? Perhaps its not...
anyway.... Muni may kill people on Townsend since those lights are NOT slow enough
and others who may get distracted to cross those streets in time before the adrenalini
commuters come screaming down the road while chatting 'sorry, I'm late, traffic again
splat !

Another rich commuter, out of control, put his appointment and job ahead of the LIF
in a crosswalk, timed to make sure he cannot make it without risk of being maimed
that works for them.... hope they have lots of insurance to pay for their ongoing lack
operating things around PEOPLE.

And... as we found out... expensive yet, often abusive and ineffective guards and me
cause such horrendous and stressful delays, that THEY GAVE UP and due to envirc
needs... had to LET EVERYONE who beeped that 'looked okay' to ROUTINELY BYI
BAG SEARCHING AND THE EMPTYING OUT OF POCKETS ...

Yes.... as a matter of on site routine.... nearly everyone gets free passes to keep on

23

metal detectors because THEY ARE NOT PRACTICAL OR EFFECTIVE in these situations.

We told the City what we meant by safety.... and they did a pony show and stuck us consuming and EXPENSIVE TO MAINTAIN metal detectors....

Some day... before or after their day in court, they will respect us and listen to us, w instead of their high paid \$100k Plus, college educated (no offensive to students) ex like a fresh baked 2nd Lt..... and destroy things as they impose their will over ours.... tsk...

Now, MUNI thinks its OK to put convenience (laziness) AHEAD OF PUBLIC SAFETY. AUTO-ANTI-COLLISION devices to but turned off, all the time, contrary to POLICY a

See how Standards and Enforcement and Proper and Timely Oversight SAVES LIVES. EMERGENCY RESPONSE ?!?!

We feel terrible for the innocent victims of a NEGLIGENT AND HOMICIDAL, SUICIDAL ACTS, SANCTIONED BY HIGH PAID MUNI EXECS AND MANAGERS WHO -DO NOT CARE FOR SAFETY.

If they did... and they were competent (worth their high ass salaries) they would have followed Policy. PERIOD.

Everything else is smoke and mirrors to redirect blame to anyone but to the ones who caused the neglect and sloth of the brain and coldness of the heart and greed of the wallet.

SFHomeless Yahoo Group Moderator

Antonio.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
FaNo No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

24

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 28, 2009
To: Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Sole Source Contracts

Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e) requires that at the end of each fiscal year each City Department provide the Board of Supervisors with a list of all sole source contracts entered into during the past fiscal year.

Attached is the report on the sole source contracts for Fiscal Year 2008-2009.

The departments' responses are on file in Communications Page folders in the Clerk of the Board's Office and on the Board's website (Meeting Information – Communications).

Attachment

c: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

24

**Report from City Departments
Sole Source Contracts
Fiscal Year 2008-2009**

Department	Communications Page Folder	
	Date	Item #
Adult Probation	7/28/09	4
Airport	7/14/09	3
Arts Commission	No Response	
Asian Art Museum	7/28/09	4
Assessor-Recorder	No Response	
Board of Appeals	6/23/09	14
Board of Supervisors	7/14/09	3
Building Inspection	No Response	
Children, Youth & Their Families	7/7/09	10
City Administrator/General Services Agency	No Response	
City Attorney	8/4/09	3
Civil Service	6/30/09	6
Controller	No Response	
District Attorney	7/21/09	2
Economic and Workforce Development	8/4/09	3
Elections	No Response	
Emergency Management	8/4/09	3
Environment	6/16/09	13
Ethics	6/23/09	14
Fine Arts Museums	No Response	
Fire	8/4/09	3
Health Service System	No Response	
Human Resources	7/28/09	4
Human Rights	No Response	
Human Services	No Response	
Juvenile Probation	6/23/09	14
Law Library	6/23/09	14
Mayor	7/28/09	4
Mayor's Office of Community Development & Housing	No Response	
Municipal Transportation Authority	8/4/09	3
Office of Citizen Complaints	6/23/09	14
Planning	8/4/09	3
Police	6/16/09	13
Port	No Response	
Public Defender	7/28/09	4
Public Health	7/28/09	4
Public Library	8/4/09	3
Public Utilities Commission	7/21/09	2
Public Works	7/21/09	2
Recreation & Park	7/21/09	2
Residential Rent Stabilization & Arbitration	6/30/09	6
Retirement	7/14/09	3
Sheriff	8/4/09	3
Status of Women	7/14/09	3
Telecommunications & Information Services	7/21/09	2
Treasurer-Tax Collector	7/21/09	2
War Memorial & Performing Arts	8/4/09	3