Petitions and Communications received from August 11, 2009, through September 4, 2009, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on September 15, 2009. File 091078 (items 1-39)

From Office of the Controller, submitting letter that all departments except for the Health Service System have submitted budget certification letters. The Public Defender's Office and Superior Court have indicated they cannot certify their budgets are adequate to meet required department service levels. The Asian Art Museum, Fire Department, Police Department, Public Health Department and Sheriff's Office have expressed concerns that their funding may not be adequate in the current year. (1)

From Office of the Public Defender, submitting notification that he cannot certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the Office of the Public Defender to meet service levels as proposed by the Board. (2)

From Office of the Sheriff, submitting notification that the adopted Budget for FY 2009-2010 is adequate for the Department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board with four exceptions. (3)

From various City Departments, submitting notification that the adopted Budget for FY 2009-2010 is adequate for the Department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board: (4)

Airport Commission
Arts Commission
Asian Art Museum
Board of Appeals
Department of Building Inspection
California Academy of Science
Office of Children, Youth and Their Families
City Administrator
Office of Citizens Complaints
City Attorney
Civil Service Commission
District Attorney
Department of Elections
Emergency Management
Employees Retirement System
Department of the Environment
Ethics Commission
Fire Department
Human Services Agency
Juvenile Probation Department
Law Library
Office of the Mayor
Chief Medical Examiner
Municipal Transportation Agency
Redevelopment Agency
Planning Department
Police Department
Port
Department of Public Health
Public Library
Department of Public Works
Department of Technology
Public Utilities Commission
Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector

From Department of Human Resources, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B to administer the Q-50, Police Sergeant Oral Examination at Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf. (5)

From SF Public Utilities Commission, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B to purchase upgrade repair, rebuilding work for propane dispensers and emergency generators and propane tanks service work from Northern Energy. (6)

From Recreation and Park Department, submitting the Lead Poisoning Prevention quarterly report. (7)

From Office of the Controller, regarding the City's process for addressing State and Local revenue shortfalls under the FY 2009-10 Annual Appropriation Ordinance Section 9.3. (8)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for resolution authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency to enter into a contract with Brookville Equipment Corporation to Rehabilitate 16 Presidential Conference Committee historic streetcars for an amount not to exceed $18,712,576, and a term not to exceed five years. File No. 090907, Copy: Each Supervisor, 9 letters (9)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice that Mayor Newsom will be out of state from August 24, 2009, until August 26, 2009. Supervisor Elsbernd will serve as Acting Mayor. Copy: Each Supervisor (10)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice that Mayor Newsom will be out of state from September 2, 2009, until September 3, 2009. Supervisor Elsbernd will serve as Acting Mayor. Copy: Each Supervisor (11)

From Law Offices of Stephen Williams, regarding appeal for proposed project at 1960-1998 Market Street. File No. 090875, Copy: Supervisor Chiu (13)

From Richard Yu, submitting request for a type 21 off-sale general liquor license for Skylane International USA Inc. located at 1201 Howard Street. (14)

From Department of Elections, submitting the Elections Commission annual report. (15)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding the sale of the Candlestick Recreation Area in San Francisco. 7 letters (16)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding Mayor Newsom and his run for Governor. (17)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding the Lennar Corporation. (18)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the appointment of Greg Chew as a member of the Arts Commission effective August 24, 2009. Copy: Rules Clerk (19)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the appointments of Barbara Sklar and Astrid Haryati as members of the Arts Commission effective August 21, 2009. Copy: Rules Clerk (20)

From Department of Elections, regarding the disclaimer requirements for local ballot measures. (21)

From Theresa Sparks, submitting her resignation as a member of the San Francisco Police Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor, Rules Clerk (22)

From Planning Department, regarding public hearing (tentatively scheduled for September 17, 2009) on the stipulation of Conditional Use authorization or Mandatory Discretionary Review of any permit application to remove dwelling units, where the Planning Code does not already require Conditional Use authorization. Copy: Each Supervisor (23)

From Department of Public Works, submitting report regarding the use of Proposition 1B Local Streets and Roads Funds. Copy: Each Supervisor, Land Use Clerk (24)

From Francisco Da Costa, submitting letter regarding the United States Navy fails constituents at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. (25)

From Office of the Controller, submitting report regarding the construction contract with the Huey Construction Management Co. for the completion of the West Portal Branch Library renovation project. (26)

From Office of the Controller, submitting report regarding the Office of the Assessor-Recorder. (27)
From Office of the Controller, submitting report concerning the concession audit of Guava & Java (SFO) Inc. Guava & Java (SFO) Inc. has a 10-year lease agreement with the Airport Commission. (28)

From Office of the Treasurer, submitting the investment activity (for fiscal year to date) of the portfolios under the Office of the Treasurer. (29)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for proposed legislation that bans cat-de-clawing in San Francisco. (30)

From State Senate Rules Committee, submitting letter to notify the Board of Supervisors of the reappointment of James Ostrowski of Mt. Shasta and Bruce Saito of Long Beach as members of the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Copy: Each Supervisor (31)

From Ahimsa Sumchai, regarding the closure of the Potrero Hill Power Plant. (32)

From Department of Public Works, submitting the Defective Sidewalk Repair Account Revolving Fund Quarterly Report. (33)

From the Town of Fairfax, submitting resolution in opposition to the “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” adopted on August 5, 2009. (34)

From Chinese for Affirmative Action, submitting copy of letter sent to the Director of the U.S. Census Bureau, proposing that all direct correspondence between the Census Bureau and residents, include a language box or insert that provides clear in-language instructions for how limited-English proficient residents can receive in-language assistance or materials. (35)


From Planning Department, regarding the proposed project that would provide approximately $8.7 million in transit service enhancements to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency’s FY 2009-2010 budget. Copy: Each Supervisor (37)

From James Chaffee, submitting letter entitled: San Francisco Public Library lacks proper oversight. (38)

From Convention Facilities Department, submitting request for release of reserved funds in the amount of $1,043,160 for use in connection with the City’s contract to operate Moscone Center. (39)
September 2, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Budget Certification

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 require that each department head certify that the funding provided in the budget as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet the service levels and operations proposed for the fiscal year. This certification takes the form of a letter addressed to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Controller, and must be issued within 30 days of the Board’s adoption of the budget.

At this time, all departments except Health Service System have submitted budget certification letters. The Public Defender’s Office and the Superior Court have indicated they cannot certify their budgets are adequate to meet required department service levels. The Asian Art Museum, Fire Department, Police Department, Public Health Department and Sheriff’s Office have expressed concerns that their funding may not be adequate in the current year. The attached table outlines specific information related to these departments.

If you have any questions about this material, please contact me at (415) 554-7500.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

cc: Mayor’s Budget Director
    Budget Analyst
**FY 2009-10 Departmental Budget Certifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Certification not Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Service System</td>
<td>Department did not submit Budget Certification Letter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Budget Not Certified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Defender's Office</td>
<td>Cannot certify budget due to budget reductions, particularly in salaries, requiring that cases be referred to private attorneys at an additional cost to the City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Court</td>
<td>Cannot certify budget due to decreased funding for indigent defense, thereby underfunding legal representation for defendants whom Public Defender cannot defend due to conflict of interest. Court anticipates submitting mid-year supplemental appropriation request for additional funding for indigent defense.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Budget Certified with Comments/Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian Art Museum</td>
<td>Funding may not fully fund budgeted security positions. Department requests this be reviewed in the next budget cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Department</td>
<td>Adopted budget assumes Fire Department will successfully execute a $2.5M reimbursement contract for Fire Suppression and Emergency Medical Services on non-City owned land. SF EMS Agency proposed Regulation of Ambulance Service is not yet complete, preventing the department from providing EMS services in the most fiscally efficient manner at the present time. Lack of adequate capital funding for emergency apparatus fleet may result in higher maintenance or breakdown costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Department</td>
<td>Certification contingent upon the release of $11.9M in salary and fringe reserve, no significant increase in service deployment, and no unfunded re-deployment of Police resources related to legislated mandates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Department</td>
<td>Short $6M for expenses incurred and expected to incur in FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10. $5.5M budgeted in attrition savings will require maintenance of high vacancy rates and hiring freezes to meet. Department will try to realize more revenue than budgeted, as in past years, in order to fund overspending with surplus revenue and avoid general fund supplemental.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff's Office</td>
<td>Certification contingent on 4 exceptions: 1) increase in jail population due to State reductions at the Department of Corrections, 2) reduction in staff cost reimbursements received for court security services due to 1 day per month court closure, 3) potential reduction to Standards and Training for Corrections and Peace Office Standards and Training grants and 4) rejection by the Deputy Sheriff's Association of the 2% wage reduction assumed in the adopted budget.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 27, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Please be advised that I cannot certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board.

As you know, the Mayor’s office reduced the Public Defender’s budget by $1.9 million for fiscal year 2009-10. Although $950,000 was eventually added back by the Board, a deficit of $1.72 million remains. The basis for this shortfall is twofold. First, the department has a $950,000 shortfall in its attrition savings. Second, the Mayor’s office refused to make the necessary Step M adjustment which adds an additional $765,551 to the department’s deficit.

You will recall that Step M is the difference between a department’s budget and its actual salaries. I requested that the Step M adjustment be corrected when I submitted my budget for fiscal year 2009-10. Unfortunately, the Mayor’s office declined to make the adjustment which would have avoided the shortfall. Currently, Step M is set at $3.41 million; the correct amount is $2.64 million. This is because my department’s actual salary cost is $18.13 million.

In order to maintain the current caseload and avoid outsourcing cases to private attorneys, it will be necessary for me to request a supplemental appropriation in FY 2009-10. A supplemental appropriation is needed to avoid the lay-off of ten (10) deputy public
defenders, each of whom handles 222 felony cases per year. Because my attorneys already have caseloads which exceed the standards permitted by the American Bar Association by 50%, these cases would have to be referred to private attorneys. This would be necessary to avoid exposing the City to civil liability for wrongful convictions due to incompetent legal representation. This would cost the city $999,439 more than it would save by properly funding the Public Defender because private attorneys cost between $89 - $144 an hour. Furthermore, withdrawing from as many as 2,200 cases and substituting new private attorneys would lead to court continuances and increased incarceration times. Assuming that each case is continued for 30 days at a cost of $3600 per case ($120 per day in jail multiplied by 30 days), the cost of increased incarceration is another $7.9 million dollars.

The department has done its best to meet its mission while coping with the fiscal challenges the City faces. However, it is impossible for the department to absorb a $1.72 million deficit when full public defender services are mandated by the United States Constitution.

Sincerely,

Jeff Adachi
Public Defender
August 27, 2009
Reference: 2009-096

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller’s Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board with four exceptions.

The first exception is an increase in jail population due to reductions to the State Department of Corrections’ budget as a result of changes in sentencing and parole policies as well as increased local bookings and other factors. If jail population exceeds budgeted capacity, additional overtime and operating expenditures will be incurred to open more housing units for which we are not presently funded.
The second exception is associated with reduction in court funding for security services of approximately $0.5 Million due to court closures one day a month. We may need to request additional funding because of the court closures.

The third exception is possible reductions of two state grants, Standards and Training for Corrections (STC) grant and Peace Officer Standards and Training grant (POST). Our current budget assumes $762,000 in these grants to offset the cost of mandated trainings. We will need additional funding should reductions in these grants occur.

The final exception is the possible rejection from sworn personnel for a two percent voluntary wage reduction assumed in the adopted budget. We assumed savings of $2.1 Million as a result this concession. If union members do not approve the wage reduction in their upcoming contract, these savings will not be realized. Therefore, the budget will be inadequate.

With these exceptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

MICHAEL HENNESSEY
Sheriff

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs, Leo Levenson, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division, Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 10, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10 for the Airport Commission

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009/10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations to the Airport’s FY 2009/10 Operating Budget, barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

John L. Martin
Airport Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 18, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller’s Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations, contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations, barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Luis R. Cancel
Director of Cultural Affairs

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office—Budget & Analysis Division
| ARTS COMMISSION |
| Additional Information for Professional Services, Memberships and Advertising |
| FY 2009-10 through 2009-10 |

| List of Professional Contracts : Character/Subobjects - 021/02700 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor's Name</th>
<th>Purpose of Contract</th>
<th>Why not done by In-house Staff</th>
<th>Bid or Sole Source</th>
<th>Term of Contract</th>
<th>Suffix</th>
<th>Each Suffix Total</th>
<th>Total Project</th>
<th>Balance to be paid</th>
<th>Index Code</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERRETTI &amp; PARK PICTURES</td>
<td>Contract: Photography Services</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$5,425.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$3,465.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANCIS WONG</td>
<td>Contract: Outreach Consultant for Central Subway</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIFF GARDEN &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>Contract: Design, Fabricate and Install Artwork for LHN</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$235,700.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$188,800.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICK DOUGHERTY</td>
<td>Contract: Proposal, Design &amp; Install Artwork for Civic Center in front of City Hall</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$14,000.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOTTO SERVICES LLC</td>
<td>Contract: Artwork transport and Installation for SFO T-2</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLECTIONS CONTRACTS</td>
<td>Contract: Conservation Services for Scott Key Phase II</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$39,047.12</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$34,047.12</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF SYMPHONY POP CONCERTS CONTRACTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN FRANCISCO SYMPHONY</td>
<td>Concerts to maintain a Symphony Orchestra in the City.</td>
<td>No In House City Orchestra.</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$1,773,967.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$1,773,967.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP ART CONTRACTS</td>
<td>Contract: Retreat Design and Facilitation</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$3,478.40</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$2,478.40</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOTTO SERVICES LLC</td>
<td>Contract: Artwork transport and Installation for SFO T-2</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$17,000.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULL, BROTHER</td>
<td>Contract: Artwork for LHN</td>
<td>The City does not have &quot;in-house Staff Artists&quot;</td>
<td>Bid 2008-2009</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>$488,550.00</td>
<td>28A0C041X9BC</td>
<td>CAD01</td>
<td>$488,550.00</td>
<td>ARTIS03CP0990</td>
<td>CTPNRC UNHCR28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 25, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

✓ Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE:   Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Cynthia G. Goldstein
Executive Director

cc:  Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
     Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
     Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 21, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvino, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen,

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service level as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate submitting supplemental appropriation requests based on additional revenues as they become available.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Vivian Day
Director, C.B.O.

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Gigi Whitley, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>TERM OF CONTRACT</th>
<th>SCOPE OF SERVICES</th>
<th>EXISTING TO NEW</th>
<th>COST</th>
<th>JUSTIFICATION</th>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>DIVISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carey, Canaspy and Galantis</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Public research surveys</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>To provide Department with current public awareness of DBI professional services, perceptions and to have soundly based research to develop/implement effective communications planning.</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>ASD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Provide a court reporter for Access Appeals Commission hearings</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>The rules of the Access Appeals Commission mandate that the proceedings are recorded by a court reporter.</td>
<td>Inspection Services</td>
<td>BID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Messenger services for delivery of hearing materials pertaining to Access Appeals cases.</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>The rules of the Access Appeals Commission require that hearing materials be delivered to the Commissioners 5 days prior to scheduled date to an Access Appeals Commission meeting. The case materials are not available until 6 days prior to Commission Meetings. One day is not sufficient time to allow for delivery by the United States Postal Service. Messenger services can guarantee delivery on the same day as pick up from the Department of Building Inspection.</td>
<td>Inspection Services</td>
<td>BID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed Captioning</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Captioning DBI Meetings for the severely Hearing impaired employee</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Closed captioning necessary for Jul Lynn Parsons 1426 Senior Clerk Typist who is severely hearing impaired as required by ADA at $250 per hour. (In FY 2007-08 4 hours were required) for a total of $1000</td>
<td>Inspection Services</td>
<td>HID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Store vendors</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Specialized engineering consultants for support in high level network engineering, application development, system design, and database consulting</td>
<td>new</td>
<td>$245,000</td>
<td>Consultants to provide specialized support in areas such as City's network FibreWAN and firewall configuration where MIS does not have the necessary access to support or make desired changes. Examples include Internet/Intranet applications &amp; communications, systems design, database consulting, network design &amp; security, etc. Services will be used either to augment existing staff with additional resources, provide project consultation and systems analyses or to implement project based scopes of work.</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>MIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link2gov</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>E-commerce solutions for Online Permitting, payment integration with vendor</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Web services such as Permit tracking and complaint tracking look-up as well as Permit issuance are currently being maintained and supported centrally by the Department of Technology in conjunction with an outside vendor. This contract is set to expire end of fiscal year 08-09. A new contract is in place with a different vendor to support e-commerce portions of the applications. The department will be responsible for the cost of the custom developments of specific portions of the e-commerce applications.</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>MIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>LEVEL OF MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAPMO</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFPA Membership</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEI Membership</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC Peninsula Chapter</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC)</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Concrete Institute (ACI)</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Welding Society</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALBO</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake Engineering Research Institute</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Green Building Council</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western States Seismic Policy Council</td>
<td>Department Wide</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 20, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Maria Su  
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
MEMORANDUM

TO: Gavin Newsom, Mayor
    Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
    Ben Rosenfield, Controller

FROM: Edwin M. Lee, City Administrator

DATE: August 25, 2009

SUBJECT: Adopted Budget for FY09-10

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for fiscal year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

C: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
   Tom DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget and Analysis Division
August 18, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Joyce M. Hicks
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA  94102

Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA  94102  
Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 316  
San Francisco, CA  94102

Re: Adopted Budget for 2009-2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter section 9.115 and Administrative Code section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

If client departments use services beyond those contemplated during the budget process, I anticipate they will reimburse my department for the actual costs incurred. Additionally, I anticipate my department will request appropriations of attorney’s fees and costs recovered from non-City sources.

Very truly yours,

DENNIS J. HERRERA  
City Attorney

cc: Susana Martinez, City Attorney CFO  
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Budget Office  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 19, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

Subject: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

ANITA SANCHEZ  
Executive Officer

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 17, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

KAMALA D. HARRIS
District Attorney
City and County of San Francisco

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 26, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

John Arntz
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 6, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller’s Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Vicki Hennessy
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 10, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Jared Blumenfeld
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 19, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
City Hall Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall Controller’s Office, Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Clare M. Murphy
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Budget Director - Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
    Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Budget Director - Office of Public Policy & Finance

(415) 487-7020 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94102

Due by: August 31, 2009
August 13, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

Ladies and Gentlemen:

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

I hereby certify that, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations, contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

John St. Croix
Executive Director
VIA E-MAIL & INTEROFFICE MAIL

August 27, 2009

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

In conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, I hereby certify that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, appears to be adequate for the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) to meet the service levels proposed by the Board.

Along with all City Departments, the SFFD had to make some very difficult budgetary decisions for Fiscal Year 09/10. The goal of the SFFD was to make cuts without reducing the level of service the Fire Department provides to the residents and visitors of San Francisco.

Included in the SFFD FY09/10 budget are assumptions of a contractual agreement being in place to recover costs in the amount of $2.5 million for providing Fire Suppression and Emergency Medical Services. While the SFFD is working diligently to facilitate an agreement, there is currently not a contract in place for these services.

Additionally, the SFFD is awaiting developments in the San Francisco EMS Agency’s proposed Regulation of Ambulance Service in San Francisco. Until such regulations are established, the current uncertainty with the system prohibits the SFFD from being able to provide EMS service in the most fiscally efficient way possible, as effects are felt on both staffing levels and revenues.

It should also be noted that the SFFD remains concerned about its emergency apparatus fleet, specifically fire engines, due to a lack of adequate capital funding. The reduction in apparatus funding over the past several years has resulted in a reduction of relief apparatus, higher maintenance costs and has limited our deployment to requests from the State Mutual Aid Responses this year. Any major mechanical breakdowns of SFFD apparatus may adversely impact the services of the Fire Department.
Although the SFFD has achieved efficiencies in a variety of areas and intends to work diligently to operate within our allocated budget, unanticipated costs or unforeseen events or circumstances could result in increased costs in this Fiscal Year and the need for supplemental funding.

Sincerely,

Joanne Hayes-White
Chief of Department

cc: The Honorable Gavin Newsom, Mayor
    Ben Rosenfield, Controller
    Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 14, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller’s Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Re: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-2010

Pursuant to its obligation under Administrative Code section 3.14 and Charter section 9.115 to certify the adequacy of funds provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the Law Library hereby submits its certification.

I anticipate that the Law Library shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Marcia R. Bell
Law Librarian

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 25, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Julian Low
Director of Operations

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 11, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA  94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA  94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA  94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations, contracts for professional services, and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring any unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Amy P. Hart, M.D.
Chief Medical Examiner

APH: nf

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
     Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
     Thomas Di Santo, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
     Ara Minasian, General Services Agency
August 19, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Madam and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nathaniel P. Ford Sr.  
Executive Director/CEO

cc: Sonali Bose, CFO/Director of Finance and Information Technology  
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
BUDGET CERTIFICATION LETTER

August 18, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
John Rahaim
Director of Planning Department

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 18, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009/2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors should be adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. This certification is made based on a series of assumptions which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- That the $11,946,917 of salary and fringe funding currently on Committee reserve is released;
- That there are no significant increases in service deployment, which are directly related to increases in serious criminal activity, natural disasters or elevated homeland security threat levels;
- That any legislative mandates related to re-deployment of Police Department resources include the appropriation of additional funds to address these mandates; and

Based on these assumptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Additionally, the department does not have any updated information to provide at this time pertaining to membership in professional organizations, contracts for professional services or advertising.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Chief, Police

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 10, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Monique Moyer
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
Tina Olson, Deputy Director of Finance & Administration, Port of San Francisco
August 25, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller’s Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. Our department has no changes to its adopted budget.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Luis Herrera
City Librarian

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Budget and Legislative Affairs
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget and Analysis Division
MEMORANDUM

August 10, 2009

To: Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

Through: Mitchell Katz, Director of Health

From: Gregg Sass, Chief Finance Officer

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board, except as follows:

The budget partially funds structural needs at San Francisco General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital. We are short $6.0 million in funding for expenses that we incurred in 2008/09 and expect to incur in 2009/10.

The budget includes $5.5 million in adjustments to increase attrition savings that will require maintenance of high vacancy rates and hiring freezes to meet.

In past years, the department has been able to realize more revenue than budgeted, which has enabled the department to fund overspending with surplus revenue and avoid a general fund supplemental. To the extent we are able to achieve that outcome in the budget year, we shall make no requests for general fund supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.
Budget Certification Letter  
August 10, 2009  
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I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance  
    Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance  
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 14, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller’s Office
City Hall, Room 316

Subject: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the Department of Public Works to meet the service levels proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin,
Director of Public Works

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
    Aimee Fribourg, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division

"IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN SAN FRANCISCO. We are dedicated individuals committed to teamwork, customer service and continuous improvement in partnership with the community."
August 18, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Chris Vein
Chief Information Officer

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 11, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

The SFPUC has also updated our information pertaining to memberships in professional organizations, contracts for professional services and advertising.

We do not anticipate making any requests for supplemental appropriation for operating costs during FY 2009-10.

Sincerely,

Ed Harrington
General Manager

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office-Budget & Analysis Division
August 18, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

José Cisneros  
Treasurer
Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA  94102

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA  94102

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA  94102

RE:  Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Fred Blackwell  
Executive Director

cc:  Nani Coloretti, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 27, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is to hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the City and County of San Francisco budget for the California Academy of Sciences Steinhardt Aquarium in fiscal year 2009-10, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, is adequate for our department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

We do not anticipate making any requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Also, I would like to express our thanks to the Mayor’s and Board of Supervisor’s staff and the office of the Controller for their guidance and assistance in submitting our budget.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gregory C. Farrington, Ph.D.
Executive Director
California Academy of Sciences
55 Music Concourse Drive
San Francisco, CA 94118
gfarrington@calacademy.org
www.calacademy.org

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 26, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Dear Sirs and Madam:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the Juvenile Probation Department (JPD) is committed to meeting the proposed service levels funded in the FY 2009-10 budget as adopted by the Board of Supervisors. JPD will do everything in its authority to remain within its budget allocation, while also complying with federal, state, and local mandates related to the care, custody, and supervision of youth involved in the juvenile justice system.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

William P. Siffermann
Chief Probation Officer

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
    Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
August 21, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

- However, I am concerned that the funding provided does not fully fund all budgeted Security positions required for our operations and request that this situation be reviewed in the next budget cycle.

At this time I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances (outside of the above mentioned Security issue).

Sincerely,

Jay Xu, Ph.D.
Asian Art Museum of San Francisco

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor’s Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller’s Office – Budget & Analysis Division
Mark McLoughlin
Adrian Trujillo
August 25, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
Controller’s Office  
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2009-10

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify that in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, the funding provided in the budget for FY 2009-10 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. At this time, I do not anticipate that I shall need to make any requests for supplemental appropriations. However, we are continuing to closely monitor state and local developments, particularly with regards to the California state budget, and if necessary, we will be in contact to discuss further changes in state and federal revenue.

Sincerely,

Trent Rhorer  
Executive Director

cc: Mayor’s Budget Director
S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(HRC Form 201)

Section 1. Department Information
Department Head Signature: [Signature]
Name of Department: Department of Human Resources
Department Address: One So. Van Ness Ave., San Francisco 94103
Contact Person: Jaye Erickson
Phone Number: 551-8949  Fax Number: 551-8945

Section 2. Contractor Information
Contractor Name: Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf  Contact Person: Michael Trillo
Contractor Address: 1300 Columbus Ave. San Francisco
Vendor Number (if known): Contact Phone No.: 415-273-4051

Section 3. Transaction Information
Date Waiver Request Submitted: 8/24/08  Type of Contract: Hotel Facilities Q50 Oral Exam
Contract Start Date: 12/6/09  End Date: 12/12/09  Dollar Amount of Contract: $49031

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)
☐ Chapter 12B
☐ Chapter 14B  Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a
14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)
☐ A. Sole Source
☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
☐ C. Public Entity
☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 8/25/09
☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of $5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION
12B Waiver Granted:  14B Waiver Granted:
12B Waiver Denied:  14B Waiver Denied:
Reason for Action: ________________________________

| HRC Staff: | Date: |
| HRC Staff: | Date: |
| HRC Director: | Date: |

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.
Date Waiver Granted:  Contract Dollar Amount:  

HRC-201.0d (8-06)
LETTER OF JUSTIFICATION

Attached is a Waiver Approval Request Form for the use of the Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf to administer the Q-50, Police Sergeant Oral Examination.

In 1994 the San Francisco Police Department was mandated to maintain a full staffing level in the ranks of uniformed personnel. Since that time, the Public Safety Examinations Unit has administered a series of entry-level and promotional examinations for sworn ranks within the Police Department. It has been an on-going issue to find facilities that comply with Administrative Code Sections 12B and 12C and that satisfy the needs of the testing unit.

Because of the volume of candidates taking the examination, it is necessary to use a facility that has a large number of rooms conducive to the needs of the testing unit, i.e. for this administration of the test, 71 hotel rooms are needed to administer the oral interviews over a six-day period. Additionally, meeting room space is needed to train the 46 raters that will be administering the test and space is needed to administer orientation to the candidates. It is imperative for the testing process that the facility has comprehensive security measures in place that will forestall any breach of the testing process. In the past, this has posed a difficult problem for the facilities to implement. The facility must be able to assure a standardized testing environment for all candidates and raters. Without these measures, the examination may be compromised at great expense and liability to the City.

The testing unit has surveyed numerous potential sites to determine those most suitable for the administration of the examinations. Repeatedly, the Holiday Inn emerges as one of the hotels that most satisfies the above-stated criteria. However, Holiday Inn does not comply with Sections 12B and 12C of the Administrative Code. The hotels that do comply with these Administrative Code Sections are inadequate for the needs of Public Safety testing: they do not have enough rooms for testing; they do not have sufficient space for training; they do not have sufficient catering services; they do not have acceptable security measures; and they do not have adequate parking. Therefore, we request that the Holiday Inn Fisherman’s Wharf be approved as the test site for the Q-50 Police Sergeant Oral Examination scheduled for administration in December 2009.

The Public Safety Examinations Unit has been contacting the Holiday Inn Fisherman’s Wharf on an on-going basis to encourage them to implement equal benefits for domestic partners. At this time, Domestic Partnership benefits are offered to local Holiday Inn employees within the City and County of San Francisco.

If you have any questions, please contact Jaye Erickson in the Public Safety Examinations Unit at (415) 551-8949.

Thank you for your immediate consideration in this matter.

Bruce Topp
Public Safety Examination Unit

SFPDS/HARED/2632009/Exam Admin Logistics/Oral/Hotel Justification Ltr.doc
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(HRC Form 291)

Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]

Name of Department: SFPUC - Water Supply and Treatment Division

Department Address: 1000 El Camino Real, Millbrae, CA 94010

Contact Person: James West

Phone Number: (650) 872-5970

Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: NORTHERN ENERGY

Contractor Address: 1155 N. 15th Street, San Jose, CA 95112

Vendor Number (if known): 67958

Contact Person: Boyd Ritner

Contact Phone No.: (650) 245-8904

Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 8/10/09

Type of Contract:

Contract Start Date: 8/18/09

End Date: 10/16/2009

Dollar Amount of Contract: $4,500.00

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

☒ Chapter 12B

☐ Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

☒ A. Sole Source

☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)

☐ C. Public Entity

☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:

☐ G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of $5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.13)

☐ H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION

12B Waiver Granted: __________
12B Waiver Denied: __________
14B Waiver Granted: __________
14B Waiver Denied: __________

Reason for Action: ____________________________

HRC Staff: ____________________________ Date: __________

HRC Staff: ____________________________ Date: __________

HRC Director: ____________________________ Date: __________

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: __________

Contract Dollar Amount: ____________________________
July 30, 2009

Ms. Tamra Winchester
San Francisco Rights Commission
25 Van Ness Avenue, #800
San Francisco CA, 94102

Subject: Chapter 12B Waiver Request / Sole Source Exemption

Ms. Winchester,

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Water Supply and Treatment Division (WSTD) is requesting a sole source waiver to purchase upgrade repair, rebuilding work for propane dispensers and emergency generators and propane tanks service work form Northern Energy. This work is required by State codes compliance Title 8 and NFPA 54 & 58, for this type of upgrade work on these systems and has to be done so we can get the permits to be able to put these systems on line and in operation.

We have researched other propane vendors they are not able to do this type of work, Northern Energy is the only vendor that can do this type of work.

Sincerely,

Robert Renear
Senior Stationary Engineer
SFPUC, WSTD
1000 El Camino Real
Millbrae CA, 94030

Sincerely,

Jim West
Superintendent of Building & Grounds
SFPUC, WSTD
1000 El Camino Real
Millbrae CA, 94030
August 7, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Please find attached the Recreation and Park Department’s (RPD) report for the 4th quarter of FY08-09 in response to the requirements of Resolution 157-99 Lead Poisoning Prevention. To date, RPD has completed assessment and abatement at 156 sites since program inception in 1999.

Most of FY08-09’s funding was used to complete the abatement at Kezar Pavilion. The abatement is now complete, and we are preparing to complete abatement at 2 sites with remaining funding.

I hope that you and interested members of the public find that the Department’s performance demonstrates our commitment to the health and well being of the children we serve. Please look for our next report in October 2009.

Thank you for your support of this important program. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions, comments or suggestion you have.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Ginsburg  
General Manager

Attachments: 1. FY 08-09 Implementation Plan, 4th Quarter Status Report  
2. FY 07-08 Site List  
3. Status Report for All Sites

Copy: The Honorable Chris Daly  
The Honorable Sophie Maxwell  
K. Cohn, DPH, Children's Environmental Health Promotion
# 4th Quarter Status Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Hazard Identification and Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Site Prioritization</td>
<td>The site prioritization list is revised after each cycle which usually coincides with the fiscal year budget cycle. Prioritization is established from verified hazard reports (e.g. periodic inspections), documented program use (departmental and day care), estimated participant age, and presence of playgrounds or schoolyards. Most of FY08-09 funding was used to complete a large abatement project at Kezar Pavilion. That site is completed and two sites are shortly undergoing abatement with the remaining funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Survey</td>
<td>Several more surveys have been completed from the FY08-09 site list since Kezar was completed. We anticipate that once the FY09-10 funding is released, we will complete the remaining 12 sites from the FY08-09 survey list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Abatement</td>
<td>Abatement has been completed at five FY08-09 sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Site Posting and Notification</td>
<td>Each site has been or will be posted for abatement in advance so that staff and the public may be advised of the work to be performed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **II. Facilities Operations and Maintenance** | |
| a) Periodic Inspection | Annual periodic facility inspections are completed by staff. For FY08-09, the completion rate was 62%. Classes on how to complete these inspections continue to be offered quarterly. We hope to continue skill development through this class and expect this will improve the completion quality and rate. |
| b) Housekeeping | Housekeeping as it relates to lead is addressed in the training course for periodic inspections. In addition, custodial and administrative employees are reminded of this hazard and the steps to control it through our Safety Awareness Meeting program (discussed in Staff Training below). |
c) Staff Training

Under the Department's Injury and Illness Prevention Program, this training is required every two years. The Lead SAM is mandatory for FY09-10 for all custodial staff.

Lead training among Maintenance staff, which would allow them to perform lead-related work, was last conducted in February of 2000. Maintenance staff report that they have not performed lead work since that time but they are currently looking into it. If they decide to pursue this, maintenance staff will be re-trained prior to performing lead work.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Kezar Pavilion</td>
<td>08-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Kezar Stadium</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Angler's Lodge</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Bandstand</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>No abatement needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Bowling Green</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Pool</td>
<td>3rd/Armstrong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina Yacht Harbor</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>abatement pending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas House Cove</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>abatement pending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Conservatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Nursery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Golf Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palace of Fine Arts</td>
<td>3601 Lyon Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Park/Coit Tower</td>
<td>Telegraph Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Mary's Square</td>
<td>California Street/Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Square</td>
<td>Post/Stockton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochambeau Playground</td>
<td>24th Avenue/Lake Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayuga/Lamartine-Mini Park</td>
<td>Cayuga/Lamartine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willie Woo Woo Wong PG</td>
<td>Sacramento/Waverly</td>
<td>formerly Chinese PG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow Hollow Playground</td>
<td>Baker/Greenwich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment 3. Status Report for All Sites
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest Entered in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Noe Playground and Recreation Center</td>
<td>Day/Sanchez</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td>Was to have been a retest in 04-05, but funds depleted. Then it was</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>going to be a retest in 05-06 but the site is currently closed for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>extensive renovations, so it was removed from the retest list.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Playground</td>
<td>17th/Carolina</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td>Abatement completed in FY05-06.</td>
<td>04-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Rec Center-Treat Street</td>
<td>745 Treat Street</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td>Originally on list as Mission Rec-Harrison Street. Incorrect, so name</td>
<td>06-07 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>changed, and information on site removed. Was to have been done in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05-06 but funds depleted. Then was to have been done in 06-07 but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wrong facility surveyed (Mission Pool), so did not do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palega (aka Portola) Playground and Recreation</td>
<td>Felton/Holyoke</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka Valley Playground and Recreation Center</td>
<td>Collingwood/18th</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Park Playground and Recreation Center and</td>
<td>Chenery/Elk</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Playground and Pool</td>
<td>Lombard/Mason</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocker Amazon Playground</td>
<td>Geneva/Moscow</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Playground</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hts/Duncan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Chalmers, Playground</td>
<td>Brunswick/Whittier</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayuga Playground</td>
<td>Cayuga/Naglee</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo Playground</td>
<td>38th/Cabrillo</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herz Playground and Coffman Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Playground &amp; Pool</td>
<td>19th &amp; Linca</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanview (Minnie &amp; Lovey) Playground and</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center</td>
<td>Avenue/Montana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Recreation Center</td>
<td>28th Avenue/Lawton</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Sunset Playground</td>
<td>39th Avenue/Ortega</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excelsior Playground</td>
<td>Russia/Madrid</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Wills Playground</td>
<td>Broadway/Larkin</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. P. Murphy Playground</td>
<td>1960 9th Avenue</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argonne Playground</td>
<td>18th/Geary</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duboce Park</td>
<td>Duboce/Scott</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Panhandle</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junipero Serra Playground</td>
<td>300 Stonecrest Drive</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced Heights Playground</td>
<td>Byxbe/Shields</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miraloma Playground</td>
<td>Omar/Sequoia Ways</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Terrace Playground</td>
<td>Silver Avenue/Bayshore</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of Market Park</td>
<td>Folsom/Harriet/6th</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Status as of 7/20/2009
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Sunset Playground</td>
<td>40th Avenue/Vicente</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potrero Hill Playground and Recreation Center</td>
<td>22nd/Arkansas</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochambeau Playground</td>
<td>24th Avenue/Lake Street</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Tree Day Camp</td>
<td>Chenery/Elk</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>Done in FY00-01 as part of Glen Park Survey/Abatement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow Hollow Playground</td>
<td>Baker/Greenwich</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Portal Playground</td>
<td>Ulloa/Lenox Way</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moscone Playground (Funston)</td>
<td>Chestnut/Buchanan</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midtown Terrace Playground</td>
<td>Clarendon/Olympia</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidio Heights Playground</td>
<td>Clay/Laurel</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderloin Children's Rec. Ctr.</td>
<td>560/570 Ellis Street</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Playground, Recreation Center and Pool</td>
<td>Geary/Steiner</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randall Museum (Corona Hts.)</td>
<td>199 Museum Way</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Hayward Playground</td>
<td>Laguna, Turk</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Lang Field (Part of Margaret Hayward Playground)</td>
<td>Gough/Turk</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>Completed as part of a Capital project renovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Mary's Recreation Center</td>
<td>Murray St./JustinDr.</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton Playground</td>
<td>27th Avenue/Fulton</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal Heights Recreation Center and Playground</td>
<td>Moultrie/Jarboe</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglass Playground</td>
<td>Upper/26th Douglass</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield Playground and Pool</td>
<td>25th/Harrison</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woh Hei Yuen</td>
<td>1213 Powell</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boedeker, Fr. A., Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>Ellis/Taylor/Eddy/Johns</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilman Playground</td>
<td>Gilman/Griffiths</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grattan Playground</td>
<td>Stanyan/Alma</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes Valley Playground</td>
<td>Hayes/Buchanan</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngblood Coleman</td>
<td>Galvez/Mendell</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffman Pool (see Herz Playground)</td>
<td>Visitacion/Hahn</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossi Playground and Pool</td>
<td>Arguello Blvd./Anza</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sava Pool and Larsen Park</td>
<td>19th/Wawona</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyside Playground</td>
<td>Melrose/Edna</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balboa Park Playground &amp; Pool</td>
<td>Ocean/San Jose</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolph Playground</td>
<td>Potrero Ave./Army Street</td>
<td>00-01, 02-03</td>
<td>This was originally supposed to be Rolph-Nicol (Eucalyptus) Park in 02-03, but the consultant surveyed the wrong site.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren Park-Louis Sutter Playground</td>
<td>University/Wayland</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Playground</td>
<td>18th Avenue/Lake Street</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Lee Rec Center</td>
<td>Oakdale/Mendell</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese RC</td>
<td>Washington/Mason</td>
<td>00-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren Park</td>
<td>Visitacion Valley</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td></td>
<td>05-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Status Report for All Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest</th>
<th>Entered in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission Dolores Park</td>
<td>18th/Dolores</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td>05-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal Heights Park</td>
<td>Bernal Heights Blvd.</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayuga/Lamartine-Mini Park</td>
<td>Cayuga/Lamartine</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willie Woo Woo Wong PG</td>
<td>Sacramento/Waverly</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>formerly Chinese PG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey Milk Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Center Plaza</td>
<td>Grove/Larkin</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Park</td>
<td>California/Taylor</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Park</td>
<td>64 South Park Avenue</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alla Plaza Park</td>
<td>Jackson/Steiner</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayview Playground</td>
<td>3rd/Armstrong</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chestnut &amp; Kearny-Mini Park</td>
<td>NW Chestnut/Kearny</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No survey done; structures no longer exist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimbell Playground</td>
<td>Pierce/Ellis</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelangelo Playground</td>
<td>Greenwich/Jones</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peixotto Playground</td>
<td>Beaver/15th Street</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peixotto Playground (Corona Hts.)</td>
<td>15th/Roosevelt</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States St. Playground (Corona Heights)</td>
<td>States St./Museum Way</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Rogers Park</td>
<td>Jennings/Oakdale</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamo Square</td>
<td>Hayes/Steiner</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alioto Park - Mini Park</td>
<td>20th/Capp</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beideman/O'Farrell Park-Mini Park</td>
<td>O'Farrell/Beideman</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks Property</td>
<td>373 Ramsell</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchanan St. Mall</td>
<td>Buchanan betw. Grove &amp; Turk</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buena Vista Park</td>
<td>Buena Vista/Haight</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush/Broderick Mini Park</td>
<td>Bush/Broderick</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage Row-Mini Park</td>
<td>Sutter/E. Fillmore</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Square</td>
<td>16th/Bryant</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Heights (Sunset Hts.)</td>
<td>12th Ave./Rockridge Dr.</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Park</td>
<td>La Salle/Whitney Yg. Circle</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Square</td>
<td>Washington/Laguna</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julius Kahn Playground</td>
<td>Jackson/Spruce</td>
<td>01-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Coronado (Folsom) Playground</td>
<td>21st/Folsom</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>As of 10/10/02 as per Capital Program Director, G. Hoy, there are no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park (playgrounds)</td>
<td>Fell/Stanyen</td>
<td>05-06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Sq. &amp; Marin Pl.</td>
<td>Filbert/Stockton</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Children's play area and bathrooms to be renovated in 3/04.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoppin Square</td>
<td>24th Avenue/Taraval</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>As of 10/10/02 as per Gary Hoy, no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Lake Park</td>
<td>12th Avenue/Lake Street</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>As of 10/10/02 as per Gary Hoy, no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bright &amp; Randolph Mini Park</td>
<td>Randolph/Bright</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Rutland-Mini Park</td>
<td>Campbell Ave./E. Rutland</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Renovation scheduled 3/04.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th &amp; Utah Mini Park</td>
<td>Utah/18th Street</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palou-Phelps-Mini Park</td>
<td>Palou at Phelps</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Renovation occurred Summer 2003. Marvin Yee was project mgr. No lead survey/abatement rpt in RPD files.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleridge &amp; Esmerelda Mini Park</td>
<td>Coleridge/Esmeralda</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Park Golf (includes playground)</td>
<td>34th Avenue/Clement</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Renovation scheduled 9/04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Hollywood Park</td>
<td>Lathrop-Tocoloma</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Renovation scheduled 9/04.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinley Square</td>
<td>20th/Vermont</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Recreation Center - Harrison St.</td>
<td>2450 Harrison</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Was completed in 99/00 as part of Treat St. facility (they are the same, but listed as two separate bldgs. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noe Valley Courts</td>
<td>24th/Douglass</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkside Square</td>
<td>28th Avenue/Vicente</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Children's play area and bathrooms to be renovated in 9/03.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth Square</td>
<td>Kearny/Washington</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potrero del Sol</td>
<td>Potrero/Army</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed, renovation scheduled 9/04.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potrero Hill-Mini Park</td>
<td>Connecticut/22nd Street</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Renovation scheduled 9/04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precita Park</td>
<td>Precita/Folsom</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt. John Macaulay-Mini Park</td>
<td>Larkin/O'Farrell</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Status Report for All Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest</th>
<th>Entered in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stern Grove</td>
<td>19th Avenue/Sloat Blvd.</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation. Funding expired; will complete in FY04-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twenty-Fourth/York-Mini Park</td>
<td>24th/York/Bryant</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Completed as part of current renovation in December 2002, Renovation scheduled 3/04.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Mather</td>
<td>Mather, Tuolomne County</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyde/Vallejo-Mini Park</td>
<td>Hyde/Vallejo</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juri Commons-Mini Park</td>
<td>San Jose/Guerrero/25th</td>
<td>05-06</td>
<td>No abatement needed. Children's play area scheduled for renovation on 9/04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelloch/Velasco</td>
<td>Kelloch/Velasco</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koshland Park</td>
<td>Page/Buchanan</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head St. Mini Park</td>
<td>Head/Brotherwood Way</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Haas Playground</td>
<td>Addison/Farnum/Beacon</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Capital Projects to renovate in Spring 2003. Mauer is PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly Park</td>
<td>Holly Circle</td>
<td>02-03</td>
<td>Renovation planned to begin 4/03; Judi Mosqueca from DPW is PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page-Laguna-Mini Park</td>
<td>Page/Laguna</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate/Steiner-Mini Park</td>
<td>Golden Gate/Steiner</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Hill</td>
<td>Clarendon/Twin Peaks</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolph Nicol Park (Eucalyptus Park)</td>
<td>Eucalyptus Dr./25th Avenue</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Carrousel</td>
<td>.5-06</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Tennis Court</td>
<td>05-06</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington/Hyde-Mini Park</td>
<td>Washington/Hyde</td>
<td>04-05</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridge Top Plaza</td>
<td>Whitney Young Circle</td>
<td>05-06</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Beach Chalet</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Polo Field</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp Park Golf Course</td>
<td>Pacifica, San Mateo Co.</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine LakePk.(adj. to Stern Grove)</td>
<td>Crestlake/Vale/Wawona</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Slow Lake Boathouse</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>County Fair Building</td>
<td>06-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Status Report for All Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Sharon Bldg.</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina Green</td>
<td>Marina Blvd.</td>
<td>05-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alyne Park</td>
<td>Gough/Green</td>
<td>05-07</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuPont Courts</td>
<td>30th Ave./Clement</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Big Rec</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Highway</td>
<td>Sloat to Pt. Lobos</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Kezar Pavilion</td>
<td>08-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Pool</td>
<td>3rd/Armstrong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina Yacht Harbor</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>Abatement pending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palace of Fine Arts</td>
<td>3601 Lyon Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Park/Coll Tower</td>
<td>Telegraph Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Mary’s Square</td>
<td>California Street/Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Square</td>
<td>Post/Stockton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas House Cove</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>Abatement pending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Angler’s Lodge</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Bendstand</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>No abatement needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Bowling Green</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>Retested 4/06; 16 ppb first draw, still in program</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Conservatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Golf Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Kezar Stadium</td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Nursery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Stables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>McLaren Lodge</td>
<td>01-02, 02-03</td>
<td>Done out of order. Was in response to release/spill. See File 565.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratorium</td>
<td>3602 Lyon Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theater</td>
<td>3603 Lyon Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway Tunnel West-Mini Park</td>
<td>Leavenworth/Broadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard/Langton-Mini Park</td>
<td>Howard/Langton</td>
<td></td>
<td>Community garden now; no play area as per Superintendent 10/15/03.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War Memorial Opera House</td>
<td>Van Ness/McAllister</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyde St. Reservoir, Russian Hill Pk</td>
<td>Hyde/Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyde Street Reservoir</td>
<td>Hyde/Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Merced</td>
<td>Skyline/Lake Merced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard Reservoir</td>
<td>SW Hyde/Lombard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced Manor Residence</td>
<td>23rd/Sicat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Reservoir</td>
<td>SE Felton &amp; University Ave. (University/Felton Lawns/Pathways)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ina Coolbrith Park</td>
<td>Vallejo/Taylor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Four</td>
<td>Great Highway/Balboa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Herman Plaza</td>
<td>Clay/Embarcadero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Retest in FLOW Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candlestick Park</td>
<td>Jamestown Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Park</td>
<td>Maintenance Yard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayview Park &amp; Extension</td>
<td>LeConte Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernal Heights-Mini Park</td>
<td>Prentiss/Eugenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billy Goat Hill</td>
<td>Laidley/30th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonview Lots</td>
<td>Bonview/Bocana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer Street</td>
<td>Bernal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona Heights</td>
<td>16th/Roosevelt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coso/Precita-Mini Park</td>
<td>Coso/Precita</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Heights lot 1</td>
<td>200 Berkeley Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Heights lot 2</td>
<td>8 Crags</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Heights lot 3</td>
<td>1701 Diamond/29th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Erskine Park</td>
<td>Martha/Baden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan &amp; Castro Lots</td>
<td>Diamond Heights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgehill Mountain</td>
<td>Edgehill/Kensington Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarcadero Plaza</td>
<td>Market/Steuart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everson/Digby Lot 1</td>
<td>61 Everson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everson/Digby Lot 2</td>
<td>101 Topaz (Diamond)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Plaza</td>
<td>Fairmont/Miguel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifteenth Ave. Steps</td>
<td>Kirkham/15th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Funston</td>
<td>Great Highway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuhrman Bequest (Fresno)</td>
<td>Fresno County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuhrman Bequest (Kern)</td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuhrman Bequest (Monterey)</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva Avenue Strip</td>
<td>Geneva/Delano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Park Lot</td>
<td>Diamond/Farmum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandview Park &amp; Extension</td>
<td>Moraga/14th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandview Park Open Space</td>
<td>Moraga/15th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Highway</td>
<td>Sloat to Skyline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawk Hill</td>
<td>14th Avenue/Rivera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India Basin</td>
<td>E. Hunters Pt. Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India Basin</td>
<td>Evans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India Basin-Fernando Shoreline</td>
<td>Griffith blwn.Fairfax/Ge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Green Belt</td>
<td>Sutro Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Peace Pagoda</td>
<td>Post/Buchanan/Gearry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Peace Plaza</td>
<td>Post/Buchanan/Gearry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Square</td>
<td>Eddy/Gough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Conrad Square-Mini Park</td>
<td>Columbus/Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kite Hill</td>
<td>Yukon/19th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeview-Ashton Mini Park</td>
<td>Lakeview/Ashton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessing-Seas-Mini Park</td>
<td>Lessing/Seas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Status Report for All Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marini Plaza (Washington Sq.)</td>
<td>Columbus/Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Plaza</td>
<td>Battery/Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren Park-Golf Course</td>
<td>2100 Sunnydale Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Conservatory</td>
<td>Monterey Baden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Davidson</td>
<td>Myra Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Olympus</td>
<td>Upper Terrace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullen/Peralta-Mini Park</td>
<td>Mullen/Peralta Mini Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noe/Beaver-Mini Park</td>
<td>Noe/Beaver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Shaughnesssey Hollow</td>
<td>O'Shaughnesssey Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Presidio Blvd.</td>
<td>Park Presidio Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Outcropping</td>
<td>Ortega/14th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lots 11, 12, 21, 22, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowing Clubs: Dolphin/South End</td>
<td>Aquatic Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>Land is leased</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Hill Park</td>
<td>Hyde/Larkin/Chestnut</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyde Street Reservoir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn Street Steps</td>
<td>Saturn/Ord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward St. Park &amp; Ext.-Mini Park</td>
<td>Seward/Acme Alley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool Site</td>
<td>Geary/32nd Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Peaks Blvd. and Park</td>
<td>Twin Peaks Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming Golf</td>
<td>Skyline Blvd./Harding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate Yacht Club</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harding Golf</td>
<td>Skyline Blvd./Harding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Stadium</td>
<td>Ocean/San Jose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Francis Yacht Club</td>
<td>Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Boulevard</td>
<td>Sunset Blvd. (right-of-way)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallidie Plaza</td>
<td>Market/Eddy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rincon Pt. Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Beach Park &amp; Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hall Grounds</td>
<td>Van Ness/Grove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore/Turk Mini Park</td>
<td>Fillmore/Turk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Plaza</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood Park (Transamerica)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney Walton Park (Golden Gateway)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espri Park</td>
<td>Minnesota Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua Vista Park</td>
<td>Embarcadero/China Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarcadero Promenade</td>
<td>Embarcadero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry Bldg. Plaza</td>
<td>Market/Embarcadero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm Water Cove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall of Justice</td>
<td>850 Bryant Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Police Stn.-Mini Park</td>
<td>7th Avenue/Anza</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole and Carl-Mini Park</td>
<td>Clayton/Frederick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Retest Entered in FLOW Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Western Addition</td>
<td>1550 Scott Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-West Portal</td>
<td>190 Lenox Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Sunset</td>
<td>1305 18th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Richmond</td>
<td>351 9th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Presidio</td>
<td>3150 Sacramento</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Potrero</td>
<td>20th/Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Parkside</td>
<td>1200 Taraval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Ortega</td>
<td>3223 Ortega</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Noe Valley</td>
<td>451 Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Merced</td>
<td>155 Winston Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Marina</td>
<td>Chestnut/Webster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Main</td>
<td>Civic Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Excelsior</td>
<td>4400 Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Eureka Valley</td>
<td>3555 16th Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Bernal</td>
<td>500 Cortland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library-Anza</td>
<td>550 37th Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Plaza</td>
<td>Market/Fulton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Island</td>
<td>S. Laguna &amp; Vasquez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru Avenue Walkway</td>
<td>Athens to Valmar Terrace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearny Street Steps</td>
<td>Vallejo/Fresno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jocot/Baden-Mini Park</td>
<td>Jocot/N of Baden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esmeralda Corridor/Prospect</td>
<td>Esmeralda/Bernal Hts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester Street Mini Park</td>
<td>Chester St. near Brotherhood Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brotherhood Way</td>
<td>Brotherhood Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway Tunnel East-Mini Park</td>
<td>Broadway/Himmelmann</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry Plaza</td>
<td>Market/Steuart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India Basin</td>
<td>Hudson Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Utilities: These facilities not to be included in CLPP survey as they were built after 1978.**

- Alice Marble Courts: Greenwich/Hyde
- Richmond Center: 18th Ave./Lake St./Calif.
  
- Not owned by RPD. PUC demolished in 2003 and all will be rebuilt.
- New facility
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To be included in survey at this time:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamo School Yard</td>
<td>250 23rd Avenue</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvarado School Yard</td>
<td>625 Douglass Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptos Playground</td>
<td>Aptos/Ocean Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>Was in FLOW program; pulled b/c site was demolished.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argonne School Yard</td>
<td>675 17th Avenue &amp; Cabrillo</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bessie Carmichael School Yard</td>
<td>55 Sherman</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candlestick Point Rec Area</td>
<td>171 Acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesar Chavez School Yard</td>
<td>825 Shotwell Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ella Hill Hutch Center</td>
<td>1000 McAllister</td>
<td></td>
<td>No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francisco School Yard</td>
<td>2190 Powell Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGNRA with Presidio</td>
<td>2,066 Acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe School Yard</td>
<td>859 Prague Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I M Scott School Yard - OS</td>
<td>Tennessee/22nd Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson School Yard</td>
<td>1725 Irving Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette School Yard</td>
<td>4545 Anza St. near 38th Ave.</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton School Yard</td>
<td>1570 31st Avenue</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall School Yard</td>
<td>1575 15th Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe School Yard</td>
<td>260 Madrid Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Revere School Yard</td>
<td>555 Tompkins Avenue</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peabody School Yard</td>
<td>251 8th Avenue</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phelan (China Beach)</td>
<td>1,309 - leased to USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding School Yard</td>
<td>1421 Pine Street</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa Parks Senior Center</td>
<td>1111 Buchanan/Golden Gate</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of Market Lot</td>
<td>SE Sherman/Cleveland</td>
<td></td>
<td>No RPD Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starr King School Yard</td>
<td>1215 Carolina</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stern Grove Annex</td>
<td>20th Avenue/Sloat Blvd.</td>
<td>Will be included in Stern Grove Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenth Avenue/Clement-Mini Park</td>
<td>Richmond Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wawona Bowling Green&amp;Clubhouse</td>
<td>See Stern Grove</td>
<td>Will be included in Stern Grove Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods Yard Playground</td>
<td>22nd/Indiana</td>
<td>Not a RPD owned site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoological Gardens</td>
<td>Great Highway/Sloat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunters Pt. Recreation Center and Gym (Milton Meyer Center)</td>
<td>195 Kiska Road</td>
<td>99-00</td>
<td>No longer owned by RPD. Owned by Housing Authority (we had a lease which expired).</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status Report for All Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Retest in FLOW Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FY03-04 algorithm weights various features of a facility as noted in the algorithm. For instance, a site with a clubhouse noted as present, is weighted by a factor of 5 due to the high likelihood of the presence of children, versus a tennis court, where the likelihood is lower and so get a weighting factor of 1.

(Note that algorithms change year to year depending on the need to weight out certain factors. Once all sites are completed, this algorithm will have to be re-examined.)
MEMORANDUM

TO: Supervisor Chris Daly
    Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE: August 18, 2009

SUBJECT: Process for Addressing State and Local Revenue Shortfalls
         (Reference Number 20090811-003)

This memorandum responds to your inquiry of August 14, 2009 regarding the City’s process for addressing state and local revenue shortfalls under the FY 2009-10 Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO) Section 9.3.

On August 4, one week after the Governor signed the State of California’s revised FY 2009-10 budget, the Controller’s office submitted a preliminary report on the impact of the State budget on the City’s General Fund budget (attached). That report identified a number of significant uncertainties and promised a final report by September 14, 2009, which will start a 21-day timetable for the Mayor to submit a report to the Board of Supervisors outlining a plan to address the shortfall. The Mayor’s report will be due by October 5, 2009.

The Controller’s office will submit an ordinance reflecting reductions to the Annual Appropriation Ordinance detailing the Mayor’s plan when the plan is submitted, or as soon as practicable thereafter. In accord with Section 9.3 of the FY 2009-10 AAO, the provisions of the Mayor’s plan can not take effect for 45 days during which the Board of Supervisors is in session, to allow for review by the Board of Supervisors. If the Mayor’s plan is submitted on the latest possible day of October 5, 2009, then the Board of Supervisors review period would be through November 19, 2009.
TO: Mayor Gavin Newsom
   Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

DATE: August 4, 2009

SUBJECT: State Budget Impact – Preliminary Report

Section 9.3 of the FY 2009-10 Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO) requires the Controller to issue a report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors within one week of the adoption of the State budget with an estimate of the State revenue impact on the City’s General Fund budget.

The FY 2009-10 AAO includes an allowance of $18.0 million for potential state revenue reductions. As shown on the attached summary, we currently estimate the impacts of the State’s FY 2009-10 budget amendments signed July 28, 2009 on the City’s general fund to be $36.4 million as a result of State funding reductions to general fund programs. There are also unknown potential costs related to many other State programmatic changes.

In addition, there is a potential $14.5 million general fund impact from the State’s shift of Redevelopment property tax increment funds and $72.4 million from the State’s Proposition 1A borrowing of property tax revenues, making a combined potential general fund impact of $123.3 million.

Taking into account adjustments to baselines and set-asides, the property tax-related actions would also potentially reduce funding to the MTA by $9.0 million, and to the Library Fund, Children’s Fund and Open Space Fund by a combined total of $13.7 million. We are investigating the potential for financing the Redevelopment tax increment shift and Proposition 1A borrowing in ways that could reduce or eliminate the current county impact.

There are large uncertainties regarding these estimates due to lawsuits challenging State actions and pending finalization of potential financing mechanisms, formulas and other programmatic details that will affect San Francisco’s funding levels.

Given the uncertainties, we regard this report as preliminary and plan to submit an updated report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by September 14, 2009. The updated report will trigger the FY 2009-10 AAO provision requiring the Mayor’s office to issue a report to the Board of Supervisors outlining a plan to address the shortfall within 21 days.
Highlights of the local general fund impacts include:

- Estimated $19.8 million reductions to Department of Public Health programs, including $8.6 million in Medi-cal reductions, $4.6 million in State Office of AIDS reductions, $2.9 million Proposition 36 drug treatment funds, $1.9 million reduced state support for Healthy Families, $1.2 million Proposition 99 funds for County Health Services, and $0.6 million in reduced funding for other public health programs.

- Estimated $16.1 million reductions to Human Services programs, including $9.0 million in CalWorks employment services and childcare funding, $2.8 million in shelter and other employment services funding, $4.5 million in Child Welfare Services cuts, $2.4 million in Medi-cal administration funding and $0.5 million in Aging grants to provide services to seniors and adults with disabilities, offset by $3.1 million in potential net savings from the county share of reduced service hours provided to In-Home Support Services clients.

- Estimated $0.5 million in reduced funds to the County Sheriff’s office for court security due to Court closures one day per month. In addition, the Sheriff’s office faces unknown but potentially substantial costs from increased county jail populations as a result of cuts to the State Department of Corrections budget and court closures.

- A lawsuit pending in federal court challenges the ability of the State to reduce wages to In-Home Support Services providers without meeting certain federal guidelines, and has resulted in a stay of the State’s budgeted reduction in support for IHSS wages. Should the State lose the lawsuit, the general fund is expected to save approximately $1 million per month that the State is required to maintain existing wage support levels. However, those savings could be offset by other programmatic reductions that the State might carry out to make up for its increased wage costs.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Leo Levenson, Budget and Analysis Division Director, at (415) 554-4809.
# FY 2009-10 Local Impact of July 2009 State Budget Adjustments, $ Millions

*Information Available as of 8/4/09*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Budget Item</th>
<th>Savings/ (Cost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Medi-Cal reductions</td>
<td>(8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Office of AIDS reductions</td>
<td>(4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate funding for Prop 36 Substance Abuse Treatment &amp; Crime Prevention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Substance Abuse Offender Treatment (treatment-not-jail program)</td>
<td>(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced state support state support for Healthy Families</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of Prop. 99 funds for County Health Services</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of funds for Immunization Program; Maternal, Child and Adult Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Children's Dental Disease Prevention Program</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Public Health</strong></td>
<td>(19.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Services Agency (HSA)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHSS eligibility reductions. Fewer service hours would result in estimated $4.1M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF savings on county share of wages, offset by potential loss of $1M to DPH from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced number of providers participating in San Francisco Health Plan</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalWORKs: Welfare to Work employment services and childcare services 26% cuts</td>
<td>(9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF Shelter Funds and Subsidized Employment - Maintenance of Effort Requirement</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWS: Child Welfare Services Allocation reduction and adjustments to provider rates</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal Administration 6.7% Cut and potential cuts to CalWIN and CWS/CMS welfare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information systems maintenance allocations</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate California Department of Aging support for Linkages case management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program and Community Based Services Programs, including Alzheimer's Day</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Center, Brown Bag, Respite Purchase of Services and Senior Companion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Human Services Agency</strong></td>
<td>(18.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court furloughs 1 day/month reducing security work order with Sheriff</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State reductions to Corrections budget that may result in earlier releases and</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shifts of prisoners to county jails plus court closure impacts to inmate census</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Not Including Property Tax Borrowing/Shifts</strong></td>
<td>(36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**San Francisco Redevelopment Agency Tax Increment shift to ERAF (potential to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finance)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net General Fund Share after baseline transfer adjustments</td>
<td>(14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA share (from reduced baseline transfer)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Fund/Open Space Fund/Library Fund combined share (from reduced property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax set-asides and baseline transfer)</td>
<td>(2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Redevelopment Tax Increment Shift (all funds)</strong></td>
<td>(18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposition 1A 8% Property Tax Borrowing by State - $91M (potential to finance)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net General Fund Share (after baseline transfer adjustments)</td>
<td>(72.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA share (from reduced baseline transfer)</td>
<td>(7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Fund/Open Space Fund/Library Fund combined share (from reduced property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax set-asides and baseline transfer)</td>
<td>(11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Proposition 1A Borrowing (all funds)</strong></td>
<td>(91.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Potential State Impacts (General Fund)</strong></td>
<td>(123.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Potential State Impacts (All Funds)</strong></td>
<td>(146.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I would like to suggest you please vote "For" the $18 PCC Streetcar Restoration Contract in order to get the 11 ex-Newark cars rewired, the four double-ended cars and car #1040 rebuilt.

I grew up in San Francisco riding those double-ended cars and #1040, which, in my opinion, was the best of all the single ended cars.

This contract is desperately needed to correct the current over crowding, on the F line, and the future rider demands and maybe to get the E line started.

This is also a "Green" contract since streetcars are "Zero Emission Vehicles." We all need them!!

Please vote for this contract. Thank you. Dennis Frazier, North San Diego County
I believe the funds to renovate the 16 PCC street cars would be a good investment for city to improve service on the F Line, which service is very popular but very over crowded.

Please approve this funding, if at all possible.

Harold C Golk
Your F line really needs additional streetcars. When I was in San Francisco in late March I had a very uncomfortable ride from the Ferry Building to Fisherman's Wharf on a very overcrowded Milan tram. I actually had to avoid the F line on the return because of the queues — I walked instead. I urge you vote in favour of restoring more PCC cars for service on the F Line.

E.G. Harrison

Smallway
Galhampton, Yeovil, BA22 7AF
ENGLAND.
Thank you for taking the time to read my e-mail.

I am urgently urging you to vote YES, or "FOR" more streetcars for the F line. The line is way too overcrowded and popular for you to not vote YES. We really need for these additional cars to be renovated as soon as possible.

VOTE "YES" FOR MORE STREETCARS for the F Line.

Thank you,
John Engleman
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco
   Members of the Finance and Budget Committee
   Sean Elsbernd, Supervisor of District 7

Please approve the proposed contract to renovate 16 additional PCC streetcars for reasons best stated in an email dated 11 August 2009 sent to me by the Market Street Railway. A copy of this email appears below. It is important for San Francisco. Thank you.

Wendell E. Gerken, a resident of District 7
San Francisco, CA 94131-1220

Tomorrow, August 12, is a critical day for vintage streetcars in San Francisco. The Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors will consider a contract to renovate 16 additional streamliner PCC streetcars for Muni service. The meeting takes place at 11 a.m. in Room 250, City Hall. You can help this cause by attending this meeting or sending an e-mail (information below).
These 16 PCCs include:
- 11 Ex-Newark streetcars (Nos. 1070-1080), purchased by Muni in 2004, which would be completely rewired to improve their reliability.
- 4 double-end PCCs (Nos. 1006, 1008, 1009 - shown in the photo on the J-line in 1964, and 1011), which would be completely rebuilt to join their fellow 1948 Muni double-enders (Nos. 1007, 1010, 1015) in the F-line fleet. These are the highest-capacity cars in Muni's vintage streetcar fleet.
- Single-ended PCC No. 1040, the last of almost 5,000 of this car type built in the U.S., delivered to Muni in 1952.

These cars are desperately needed because the success of the F-line has overwhelmed the existing streetcars on the line, which are overdue for overhauls but can't be spared from service unless the 16 cars covered by this contract are renovated.

These additional streetcars will meet rider demands on the F-line, serving thousands of additional housing units under construction or planned along Market Street as well major new family attractions such as the Exploratorium that are moving to The Embarcadero. They will also allow the opening of the E-Embarcadero line between Caltrain and Fisherman's Wharf, and would provide the rolling stock for the planned extension from the Wharf past Aquatic Park and Ghirardelli Square to Fort Mason.

This renovation project is very cost-effective, delivering like-new streetcars for less than one-third the cost of manufacturing new streetcars. And these renovated streetcars, with their simple technology and proven durability, are expected to last even longer than new ones before requiring another renovation. Remanufacturing these streetcars saves energy and emissions over manufacturing new ones. And since these streetcars run on our own Hetch Hetchy-generated hydroelectricity, they are true zero emission vehicles.

The Budget and Finance Committee members are Sups. John Avalos, Carmen Chu, and Ross Mirkarimi. Direct emails to them would be most helpful at this point. After the Board of Supervisors committee vote, the matter would be considered by the full board, most probably at its August 18 meeting.

You can help by either attending the committee meeting tomorrow and testifying briefly in support of the proposal, or sending an email. Your email will be far more effective if you DON'T get into the details of these streetcars' histories, but rather focus on the benefits to San Francisco as a whole, as outlined in the paragraphs that follow the streetcar descriptions above. You can email the Board as a whole via board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org or any of the individual supervisors at firstname.lastname@sfgov.org. For San Francisco residents, you can check who your supervisor is on this page at sfgov.org.
I am a frequent visitor to San Francisco, and I don't know whether you, who live in the City, fully appreciate how important the F line is as one of the City's signature tourist attractions. No other city in the U. S. has anything like the F line (and the E, and the Fort Miley extension, when they happen), and no prospect of developing anything like it. It would be a shame for San Francisco to fail to take this opportunity to expand what you already have, because the demand is there. Money spent on renovating these PCCs is an investment in San Francisco's future, not a short-term expense. Please don't blow it.

Leslie J. Dean

Ridley Park, PA 19078
(and at the Chancellor Hotel, the last week of September)
Tomorrow at 11 a.m., I understand that you meet to discuss the renovation of 16 old streetcars for further MUNI service. I urge you to support this.

In these difficult times, this is a superbly cost-effective way of generating 16 as-new streetcars, for 30% the cost of new ones, and less than the equivalent carrying capacity of new buses. Plus: they're pollution free, and unique.

I am a documentary film-maker with my own company based here: we have used the F-line cars countless times as backgrounds for nationally distributed films. The historic F-line is a wonderful tourist asset for San Francisco, but is overwhelmed by its own success. These cars are a perfect solution.

I hope you vote to build on the success of the streetcars by voting YES on this.

Thanks for your time.

David Kennard
InCA Productions
Dear Board Members,

As one who has visited your wonderful city at least once a year since 1965, and obviously love San Francisco and all it has to offer, I strongly urge you to vote in favor of proceeding with the restoration plans for the next batch of historic MUNI streetcars.

As the F Line already carries an average of 23,000 people daily, which is sure to increase, plus the commencement of E Line service and the (hopeful) extension of service to Fort Mason, the restoration of this next batch of cars in the historic fleet is a necessity. When I ride on these cars, I meet people from all over the world. For many of them, the F Line and cable car experience are highlights of their San Francisco visits.

Thank you so much for your attention to this matter.

Yours truly,
Leighton B. Carlson

Madison, CT 06443
I would like to suggest you all vote FOR the PCC Streetcar Restoration Contract.

I rode these cars growing up, in the City, in the 1950s and I really enjoyed riding them. I also get back yearly, to ride the F line, where these restored cars are desperately needed to solve the current overcrowding conditions and for future rider demands. The eleven ex-Newark PCCs must be rewired to improve their reliability on the rails so they can be added to the F line service.

The double-ended cars need to be restored for use on the E line when it gets up and running. There is a lot of new building, in the south of Market area, and the E line would serve the demands of the riders in that area.

Car #1040 must be rebuilt and put back into service. It was the last PCC car built and delivered to San Francisco and, in the opinion, it was the best of the "Baby Ten" cars. I enjoyed riding it, back in the 50s, and would love to ride it again.

So, please vote "FOR" the PCC Streetcar contract. Thank you.

Dennis Frazier, now living in the San Diego area.
August 21, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 11:26AM on Monday, August 24, 2009, until 10:15PM Wednesday, August 26, 2009.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Elsbernd to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
August 31, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 10:30AM on Wednesday, September 2, 2009, until 2:18PM Thursday, September 3, 2009.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Elsbernd to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
CLINTON G. SOWERWINE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
415.252.9026

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CITY Hall
1 Dr. Carleton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, California 04102-4689

In Re: File #090875
1960 – 98 Market Street

Greetings:

I write to you in opposition to the construction of the proposed building and in support of the Appeal of the Conditional Use Permit to built on Assessor’s Block #9872, Lots 005,006 and 007, on the site of a previous gas station. The three lots lie at the northeast corner of the intersection of Buchanan and Market Streets. Together the three lots bear the address of 1960-98 Market Street.

I am living on the first floor above ground level overlooking the previous gas station site. The proposed building will be directly in front of the only windows I have and will drastically and negatively impact my only source of light and air, blocking both from my condominium. In addition I will have no view which will be lost to the encroaching building.

The loss of light, air and view will seriously decrease the value of my unit in an amount at least as great as $100,000 for which I will not be compensated. The proposed building if built, will affect every unit and apartment located to the north of the project. Lights will have to be turned on all day to replace the natural sunlight.

This 85 foot structure does not fit in, in this older neighborhood. It is both too large and too modern in design for this location.

I am a 56 year resident of San Francisco and am a 76 year old disable person on a fixed income. The only asset I have in San Francisco is my condominium which will be so economically adversely affected by the proposed structure.

Respectfully yours,

Clinton Sowerwine.

P.S. There are approximately 42 units affected by the proposed project.
August 14, 2009

David Chiu, President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Hearing Date: August 18, 2009—Special Order 4:00pm
Request for Continuance until September 15, 2009

Dear President Chiu and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

This office represents the concerned residents and property owners in the vicinity of the three lots at 1960, 1970 & 1998 Market Street. These neighbors qualified the appeal of the conditional use authorizations by gathering signatures of property owners within 300 feet of the site. These neighbors live directly adjacent and to the north of the subject site. The numerous conditional use authorizations and variances granted to this project by Planning represent significant special favors for the developer and are violations of the new Market Octavia Area Plan—which promised specific protections for neighbors in the new development area. The special favors granted to the developer result directly in tremendous negative impacts on the neighbors and their homes—the neighbors are requesting the project comply with the Code and provide at least the minimum rear yard and distance from their homes. The impacted buildings include:

--- 65 Hermann Street (43 units)
--- 55 Hermann Street (12 units)
--- 77 Hermann Street (34 units)
--- 34 Buchanan Street (12 units)
--- 40 Buchanan Street (12 units)
--- 78 Buchanan Street (36 units)
Total Units—149

At the request of Supervisor Bevan Dufty’s Office, the appellants agreed to request from the Board a continuance of the hearing on their appeal until September 15, 2009. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

VERY TRULY YOURS,

STEPHEN M. WILLIAMS

CC: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

August 20, 2009

To whom it may concern,

I, Richard Yu, President of Skylane International USA INC. DBA Unimart, located at 1201 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94103 with a business phone number of currently operating with ABC license type 20 off sale beer and wine with 23 years of experience would like to upgrade it by applying for type 21 off sale general, which is going to be transferred from licensee Mirvat J. Zughbaba and Yousef Yacoub Zughbaba of 2401 Irving St, San Francisco, CA 94122, into my business address Unimart at 1201 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94103. The business operates 7 days a week from 9:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday and 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday and holidays.

I am requesting your approval for such license since we are located in the heart of downtown San Francisco with a lot of public traffic and convenient access to all freeways, public transportation and hotels. The area is also an increasing tourist area. If I would be given a license to operate in this area, it would be very convenient for the public and tourists since there is none within the adjacent areas.

Sincerely,

Richard Yu

Skylane International USA Inc.
**INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS - SECTION 23958.4 B&P**

Instructions: This form is to be used for all applications for original issuance or premises to premises transfer of licenses.

- Part 1 is to be completed by an ABC employee, given to applicant with pre-application package, with copy retained in holding file or applicant's district file.
- Part 2 is to be completed by the applicant, and returned to ABC.
- Part 3 is to be completed by the local governing body or its designated subordinate officer or body, and returned to ABC.

### PART 1 - TO BE COMPLETED BY ABC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. APPLICANT'S NAME</th>
<th>2. PREMISES ADDRESS (Street number and name, city, zip code)</th>
<th>3. LICENSE TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skylane International USA, Inc</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. TYPE OF BUSINESS</th>
<th>2. TYPE OF LICENSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Service Restaurant</td>
<td>Cocktail Lounge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deli or Specialty Restaurant</td>
<td>Night Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cafe/Coffee Shop</td>
<td>Tavern: Beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed &amp; Breakfast: Wine only</td>
<td>Tavern: Beer &amp; Wine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supermarket</td>
<td>Service Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Store</td>
<td>Convenience Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug/Variety Store</td>
<td>Convenience Market w/Gasoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - describe:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. COUNTY POPULATION</th>
<th>6. TOTAL NUMBER OF LICENSES IN COUNTY</th>
<th>7. RATIO OF LICENSES TO POPULATION IN COUNTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58.29</td>
<td>On-Sale</td>
<td>Off-Sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. CENSUS TRACT NUMBER</td>
<td>9. NO. OF LICENSES ALLOWED IN CENSUS TRACT</td>
<td>10. NO. OF LICENSES EXISTING IN CENSUS TRACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.78</td>
<td>On-Sale</td>
<td>Off-Sale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Yes, the number of existing licenses exceeds the number allowed
- No, the number of existing licenses is lower than the number allowed

- Does Law Enforcement Agency Maintain Crime Statistics? (Yes/No)
  - Yes (Go to Item #13)
  - No (Go to Item #20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. CRIME REPORTING DISTRICT NUMBER</th>
<th>14. TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORTING DISTRICTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. IS THE PREMISES LOCATED IN A HIGH CRIME REPORTING DISTRICT?</th>
<th>17. 120% OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF OFFENSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, the total number of offenses in the reporting district equals or exceeds the total number in item #17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Check the box that applies (check only one box)
  - a. If "No" is checked in both Item #11 and item #19, Section 23958.4 B&P does not apply to this application, and no additional information will be needed on this issue. Advise the applicant to bring this completed form to ABC when filing the application.
  - b. If "Yes" is checked in either item #11 or item #19, and the applicant is applying for a non-retail license, a retail bonita fide public eating place license, a retail license issued for a hotel, motel or other lodging establishment as defined in Section 25503.16(b) B&P, or a retail license issued in conjunction with a beer manufacturer's license, or winegrower's license, advise the applicant to complete Section 2 and bring the completed form to ABC when filing the application as soon as possible thereafter.
  - c. If "Yes" is checked in either item #11 or item #19, and the applicant is applying for an off-sale beer and wine license, an off-sale general license, an on-sale beer license, an on-sale beer and wine (public premises) license, or an on-sale general (public premises) license, advise the applicant to file this form with the local governing body, or its designated subordinate officer or body to have them complete Section 3. The completed form will need to be provided to ABC in order to process the application.

**GOVERNING BODY/DESIGNED SUBORDINATE NAME:**

**SF Board of Supervisors**

**ABC-245 (12/03)**
PART 2 - TO BE COMPLETED BY THE APPLICANT (If box #20b is checked)

1. Based on the information on the reverse, the Department may approve your application if you can show that public convenience or necessity would be served by the issuance of the license. Please describe below the reasons why issuance of another license is justified in his area. You may attach a separate sheet or additional documentation, if desired. Do not proceed to Part 3.

We are located in the heart of downtown San Francisco with a lot of public traffic and convenience access to all freeways, public transportation, hotel. Also, it is a tourist area. If I would be given a license to operate in this area, it would be very convenient for the public & tourist. Since there is none within the adjacent areas.

APPLICANT SIGNATURE

23. DATE SIGNED: 8-18-69

PART 3 - TO BE COMPLETED BY LOCAL OFFICIALS (If box #20c is checked)

The applicant named on the reverse is applying for a license to sell alcoholic beverages at a premises where undue concentration exists e., an over-concentration of licenses and/or a higher than average crime rate as defined in Section 23958.4 of the Business and Professions Code. Sections 23958 and 23958.4 of the Business and Professions Code requires the Department to deny the application unless the local governing body of the area in which the applicant premises are located, or its designated subordinate officer or body, determines within 90 days of notification of a completed application that public convenience or necessity would be served by the issuance. Please complete items #24 to #30 below and certify or affix an official seal, or attach a copy of the Council or Board resolution or a signed letter on official letterhead stating whether or not the issuance of the applied for license would serve as a public convenience or necessity.

WILL PUBLIC CONVENIENCE OR NECESSITY BE SERVED BY ISSUANCE OF THIS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE?

[ ] Yes [ ] No

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, IF DESIRED (may include reasons for approval or denial of public convenience or necessity):

CITY/COUNTY OFFICIAL NAME

CITY/COUNTY OFFICIAL TITLE

CITY/COUNTY OFFICIAL PHONE NUMBER

CITY/COUNTY OFFICIAL SIGNATURE

0. DATE SIGNED

C-245 REVERSE (12/03)
San Francisco Elections Commission

COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT

January 01, 2008 – December 31, 2008

Pursuant to the Bylaws of the San Francisco Elections Commission, Article XI, I herewith submit the Commission’s Annual Report for the Commission’s seventh year, 2008.

Gerard Gleason
President

Approved by the San Francisco Elections Commission on XXXXXX

San Francisco Elections Commission
#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102
web site: www.sfgov.org/elections
Commission email at: elections.commission@sfgov.org.
phone: (415) 554-4305
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1 Purpose

The purpose of this report is to describe significant elections events during 2008 and Commission initiatives in the context of these events. The intent of this report is to give a brief history of the Commission events that transpired this year.

2 Commission Organizational Description

2.1 Commission Members

During 2008, the Commission operated with a full membership for most of the year. The appointments to the Commission by the Mayor and the San Francisco Board of Education (for San Francisco Unified School District) were made at the beginning of 2008, with the existing appointees for those seats, Arnold Townsend and Jennifer Meek being reappointed to five-year terms.

In February, Tajel Shah, the Treasurer's appointee resigned from the Commission. The Treasurer appointed Rosabella Safont to the Commission in May.

In August, Jennifer Meek, the San Francisco Board of Education’s appointee resigned from the Commission. The San Francisco Board of Education appointed Malcolm Yeung to the Commission in September.

Jennifer Meek had been Vice President of the Commission when she resigned, therefore after her departure the Commission voted Joseph Phair to be Vice President of the Commission for the remainder of the year.

The Commission had one committee, the Budget and Oversight of Public Elections Committee (BOPEC). BOPEC consisted of three Commission members. The table below details each Commissioner, their dates of service, and their roles on the Commission:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointing Authority</th>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Months of Service (2008)</th>
<th>Roles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>Gerard Gleason</td>
<td>January – December</td>
<td>President of Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Education</td>
<td>Jennifer Meek</td>
<td>January – August</td>
<td>Vice-President of Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>August – September</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malcolm Yeung</td>
<td>October – December</td>
<td>Commission Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Defender</td>
<td>Joseph Phair</td>
<td>January – December</td>
<td>BOPEC Member &amp; Vice-President of Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>Arnold Townsend</td>
<td>January – December</td>
<td>Commission Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Tajel Shah</td>
<td>January – February</td>
<td>BOPEC Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>February – April</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosebella Safont</td>
<td>May – December</td>
<td>Commission Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Attorney</td>
<td>Richard Matthews</td>
<td>January – December</td>
<td>BOPEC Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>Winnie Yu</td>
<td>January – December</td>
<td>Chairperson of BOPEC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Commission Secretary

During 2008, the Commission Secretary’s hours (gross salary) were cut to half time. In 2005 and prior, the Commission Secretary’s position had been a full-time position. In 2006, the Commission Secretary’s position had been cut to three-quarters time. Shirley Rodrigues, who has served as the Commission Secretary since her appointment in 2003, continued to serve as the Commission Secretary in 2008 under these difficult circumstances.

### 2.3 Deputy City Attorney

During the course of 2008, Mollie Lee served as Deputy City Attorney to the Commission with support from her colleagues, Jon Givner and Andrew Shen.
2.4 Director of Elections

John Arntz continued to serve as the Director of Elections in 2008.

3 Department Accomplishments

3.1 Elections in 2008

By far the most significant accomplishment by the Department of Elections was the successful organization and conduct of four elections held during 2008:

1) February 5, 2008 Presidential Consolidated Primary Election
2) April 8, 2008 Special Election for 12th Congressional District
3) June 3, 2008 Consolidated Statewide Primary Election
4) November 4, 2008 Consolidated General Election

In addition, the Department of Elections is called upon throughout the year to conduct non-public elections for such entities as the City Employee's Retirement Board.

The unprecedented scheduling of two primary elections in one year, the unanticipated election in April required for Congressional District 12, and the high turnout General Election in November caused logistical and administrative challenges which the Department of Elections handled with outstanding professionalism.

3.2 Implementing a Voting System for the 2008 Election Year

The February 5, 2008 Election was the first election in San Francisco using the voting system supplied under contract by Sequoia Voting Systems. The optical scan voting equipment (brand: Insight) utilize ballots and scanning operations similar in function to the equipment used under the previous contract held by Elections Systems & Software (ES&S). As the Department had noted, voters appeared to find no significant difference in the voting experience using the new optical scan equipment.

The Sequoia voting systems did introduce a completely new component to voting equipment in San Francisco. The Help America Vote Act (HAVA) requires voting machines be made available that allow voting for disabled persons which is both accessible and private. As the marking of optical scan ballots is physically difficult for some voters, the Sequoia voting system contract provided Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) voting machines (brand: Edge), sometimes commonly referred to as touchscreen voting.
As with any new equipment, the operators, specifically poll workers on
Election Day, needed training to be familiar with the new voting system.
The Department of Elections conducted extensive training prior to the
February initial use of the new voting system and continued training and
support on using the new equipment prior to all of the elections in 2008.

4 Commission Accomplishments

4.1 Elections

The Commission assessed and approved written election plans prior to,
as well as reviewed and evaluated effectiveness of election plans after
each of the following elections in 2008. The Commission’s findings of
compliance with written election plans are noted below:

1) February 5, 2008 Election: Commission found the election to be
effective and in compliance with written election plan. [Minutes of
April 16, 2008]

2) April 8, 2008 Election: Commission found the election to be effective
and in compliance with written election plan. [Minutes of August 20,
2008]

3) June 3, 2008 Election: Commission found the election to be
effective and substantially complied with written election plan, noting
the exceptions of deviation from pre-election disclosure of
pollworker instructions to voters at precinct regarding voting
equipment choices and the Department’s extraction practices for
vote-by-mail ballot processing. [Minutes of August 20, 2008]

4) November 4, 2008 Election: Commission found the election to be
effective and substantially complied with written election plan, noting
the exceptions of deployment of DRE voting equipment, including
lack of training regarding the Commission adopted policy regarding
preference for paper ballots except for voters who prefer to use the
DRE device. [Plan originated October 2008 and final evaluation in
of Minutes of February 18, 2009]
4.2 Voting System

Starting with the February 5, 2008 election, the Department rolled out new voting equipment as provided under the new contract with Sequoia Voting Systems. While the optical scan equipment provided under the contract is similar in scope and operation to the optical scan system under the previous contact with Election Systems & Software, the separate Sequoia component provided to meet accessible voting equipment requirements is a DRE unit. DRE voting equipment in California is subject to restrictions for operation by the California Secretary of State. These restrictions included variable and changing mandates from the Secretary of State during 2007 and 2008 that the Department sought to comply with and caused challenges to standardization of operating procedures such as instructions to pollworkers and vote tabulation process for votes cast on DRE equipment.

The situation described above resulted in a number of actions and discussions by the Commission in 2008.


2) Commission authorized discussion with representative of the Secretary of State regarding vote randomization requirements for DRE voting equipment. [Minutes: July 19, 2008, by Commissioners Gleason and Matthews]

3) Commission adopted formal policy for the Department to prefer use of paper ballots that are marked over systems using electronic capture of votes (except for voters who prefer to use the DRE machine). [Minutes: August 20, 2008, proposed by Commissioner Matthews]

4.3 Director Appointment

The new five-year appointment for the Director of Elections position began in May 2008 (term: May 2008 – May 2013). Due to the number of elections in 2008, the Commission made a decision in 2007 to offer John Amtz a reappointment to the position in advance of the previous term expiring. This is noted in last year’s annual report from the Commission.

7
4.4 Response to Civil Grand Jury Report


4.5 Commission Observation and Oversight of Elections

The Commission adopted policy to formalize practices for the Commission to act as a body to authorize and assign individual Commission members specific areas of observation and investigation regarding the conduct of elections. The following policy was adopted:

"It shall be the Election Commission’s policy to assess the conduct of an election as delineated in the Election Plan in specific areas, agreed upon in advance, by the Elections Commission, through reasonable and appropriate observations, investigation and/or further inquiry of those areas."
[Minutes: January 16, 2008]

The intent of this action was to address the issues cited in the Commission Annual Report of 2007 under the heading of Commission Roles and Responsibilities. [Commission Annual Report 2007, section 4.8]

4.6 Specific Elections Issues Discussed in 2008

Various issues were brought before the Commission to discuss in 2008. Many were the result of observations of elections operations by members of the Commission. Some were the result of input and inquiry by members of the public. Full descriptions and dialog can be found in the minutes of the meetings as noted. The following is a brief synopsis of some of the more substantial issues discussed in 2008.


2) Ballot design and voter confusion [Minutes: February 20, 2008]

3) Provisional ballots cast due to voter registration status and impacts of voter registrations coming from DMV. [Minutes: April 26, 2008 and June 18, 2008]

4) Polling places located in operating commercial establishments. [Minutes: June 18, 2008]
5) Impact of voters using electronic devices (cell phones) in polling places during voting. [Minutes: November 19, 2008 and December 17, 2008]

6) Review of Election Precinct Polling Places. (December 17, 2008)

4.7 Department Budget

The Commission continued to review budgets submitted by the Department, noting the challenges to operations and that cutbacks are required of all departments in the City & County of San Francisco. [Minutes: February 20, 2008]
Shirley Rodrigues

----- Original Message -----

From: Shirley Rodrigues
Sent: 08/20/2009 06:14 PM PDT
To: Angela Calvillo
Subject: Annual Report for the San Francisco Elections Commission 2008

Ms. Calvillo,

The Elections Commission Annual Report for 2008 was approved at the Commission's meeting yesterday. Attached is that report.

Shirley Rodrigues
Secretary
Elections Commission
554-7494

The Sale of the State Candlestick Park without Bayview Hunters Point residents - Knowledge:

San Francisco | Racial Justice

Crooks gather at City Hall to give away Candlestick State Park to Lennar a Rogue Developer
by Francisco Da Costa
Saturday Aug 15th, 2009 1:56 AM

The Sierra Club and Arc Ecology were present with Michael Cohen and others trying to figure out how best to give Candlestick State Park to Lennar to build high rise building and swap the present Candlestick State Park land for toxic land most of it radiological in nature at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. Leading this initiative California Senator Mark Leno, Sophie Maxwell, Aurelius Walker, Mayor Gavin Newsom and of course the DEVIL itself - Lennar, a Rogue Developer.

It is a shame when a DAY has arrived when there is NO leadership what so ever in the Bayview Hunters Point to fight Lennar and its devious supporters who will sell out the Bayview Community and San Franciscans on a War Footing.

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr. and Mayor Gavin Newsom have with intent destroyed the Bayview Hunters Point by encouraging sell outs mostly Blacks to divide the community for some few stale bread crumbs.

Senator Mark Leno who has NOT represented the Bayview Hunters Point community has initiated Senate Bill 792 to swap 40 acres of the State Candlestick Park land for some toxic land, most of it radiological in nature situated at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard.

Not a single meaningful meeting has been held in the community. No one in the community knows about this SB 792. Thousands of folks that gathered at the 49ers game yesterday did not know anything about the sale of the Candlestick State Park.

There are laws that govern Public Trust Land and these have NOT been adhered to.

There is the Burton Act and no one seems to follow it.

There is the Brown Act and no seems to care about it. Meetings are held with no 72 hours notice - where mostly white, fat, corrupt, men act as liaisons on behalf of the Bayview Hunters Point community. No one knows who elected or anointed them to act on behalf of the community.

No one knows why the community tolerates them. They do not know anything much about people of color except to make money off them. Mostly encouraged by the other sell outs mostly Black and some that have been doing it for years - bragging they are community leaders.

Lennar has over 900 acres of land at Hunters Point that Mayor Gavin Newsom wants to give them. Also Senator Diane Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and the very corrupt Sophie Maxwell, Aurelius Walker, Gary Banks, the Tabernacle Group mostly consisting of corrupt Black pastors, Willie B. Kennedy, Doris Vincent, Linda Richardson, Lola Whittle, Angelo King, Veronica Hunnicutt, and a host of other ignorant, arrogant mostly Blacks that have been on the take.

The above have been on Lennar payroll and even now though the well is drying they are still being treated as if they are on a modern day plantation. They are sell out the community. Now, these vermin are getting ready to sell out the State Candlestick Park.
Most of the above favored Proposition G, backed by Lennar that spent $5 million which was nothing but a Land Grab.

Now, everyone is fully realizing that what we said then is being fulfilled. Joining these thugs the San Francisco Labor Council that has received over $500,000 from Lennar to back them up and which they have. The most despicable Labor Council in the Nation. It is widely know that San Francisco Labor Council will do anything for money - it is NOT respected.

James Bryant who has taken money to push to Proposition G and is now been investigated. He also has an affiliation to the SIUE that branch that is now being split because of internal disputes. Nothing, seems to be working with these dubious groups. However, they are all bent to steal, rob, swap, grab - do anything to grab land.

Certain groups in the Bayview Hunters Point have just found out that Senator Mark Leno is up to mischief. The Queer Senator has never had the interest of San Franciscans except the Queer population that he has tried to win some rights but failed. Nothing Senator Mark Leno touches will succeed - he is doomed for failure.

If he thinks he can run for Mayor of San Francisco - this scare-crow Senator is for a surprise.

The rational for taking the Candlestick State Park is that according to Lennar they see the land as an asset to make money. Lennar wants to build homes at the Alice Griffith Public Housing after tearing down the run down units. This area is close to the State Candlestick Park. Then proceed on some land near by now used for parking and bought by the SF Redevelopment Agency. This land is by the True Hope Church that belongs to Aurelius Walker the Poverty Pimp Pastor that has been on the take and pushing the Lennar agenda. Then, Lennar wants the JACK POT the Candlestick State Park to build high rise buildings and sell the units at Market Value.

Lennar does not give a hoot that it is State Park Land. This is the first case where State Park Land is being sold to a rogue developer Lennar - well know for its crooked ways all over the Nation.

Lennar will FAIL. It is NOT to late to drive these vermin and those that support them out of Bayview Hunters Point. Mayor Gavin Newsom has failed with all his deliberations in the Bayview Hunters Point. Mayor Gavin Newsom has messes things at the Bayview Opera House. Thousands of dollars are wasted and when some one asks for Accountability and Transparency they are fired.

At the Southeast Community Facility situated at 1800 Oakdale plans are afoot this Monday to have a Press Conference. The Bill Gates Foundation has given the facility some $2.5 million dollars. The result this facility that has was built to serve the community in now being taken over by City College.

There was once a General Education Diploma (GED) program that that was done away with. For years there was a Computer Training Program and this program has now been stopped. A whole floor has been given to some crooks aided by the Dean Veronica Hunnicutt who does not live in the community. She is the Dean of the Southeast Facility Community College, Chair of the Citizens Advisory Committee to the Shipyard, and on the take. A crony of Mayor Gavin Newsom who has with intent divided the community using Dwayne Jones - the Director of Communities for Opportunity (COO) who does not live in the community.

Toye Moses who is the Director of the Facility and paid by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission is a useless piece of crap. He has no clue about the community and has been detrimental to the youth, the community at large and should be fired. As the Director he should have called for a community meeting and discussed the matter. The man wants his job and has not desire to serve the community. Like Linda Richardson he hails from Nigeria.

I have brought this mess going on at the Southeast Facility at 1800 Oakdale in the Bayview Hunters Point to the attention of the SF Public Utilities Commission and Tommy Maola Toye
Moses' boss. Ed Harrington knows about the nonsense going on at the 1800 Oakdale facility that was built as a mitigation factor to serve the community but is now being taken over by the corrupt City College that already has a facility at Evans - close by in the Bayview area. The community has been shafted.

The SF Public Utilities Commission is busy trying to sell bond measures to waste on the Waste Water Projects having not fulfilled its mission on the Clean Water Projects. The Calavas Project has been delayed for 48 months and San Franciscans could NOT care less.

As you will notice on all fronts there is an ATTACK on the community. The Bayview Opera House is a mess. Now, the Southeast Facility and no one knows what is happening. People do not know that the Facility is meant for the community and now caters to outside entities like City College and entities funded by the Bill Gates Foundation that has not helped us before.

After all these years there should have been a fund to help local youth go to college. Local youth are not given opportunities and classes like the General Education Diploma (GED) and other computer classes and so on are now shut down. There is no one to listen to the youth and soon these adverse impacts will spill over and Dean Veronica Hunnicutt will run to the Mayor of San Francisco Gavin Newsom for help - but, it will be too late.

Even the only State Park that is Candlestick State Park is now being given by State initiated legislation to the Rogue Developer - Lennar. I am sure when it comes to State Legislation - Willie L. Brown Jr. the former crooked Mayor - has his finger in the pie. He did it with the current AT&T Park that was part of Public Trust Land and he can do it for a price - it is always about money and CREED.

The most shameful scene to watch is the lack of leadership in the Bayview Hunters Point. Leaders will brag that they have lived for ages in the neighborhood but have acted as sell outs all this time and their evil fruits are now coming to haunt them. They talk the talk but they are NOT respected at all. Not one leader who is educated on issues. Most of them on the take and in the past pandering to shallow leaders like Willie L. Brown Jr. a thug - the man that brought Lennar, the Rogue Developer to Sacramento and to San Francisco.

Other groups mostly Black the likes of Lola Whittle, Linda Richardson who wants to District 10 Supervisor, Aurelius Walker the Poverty Pimp Pastor (PPP) are pushing for a hidden agenda having not served the community as the community needs to be served. I have been watching them and have reported about them here and else where. Time to take action and drive these vermin out of the community.

Other newly appointed so called leaders will be absent when they are most needed - pussyfooting with an agenda that they have no answer for - nothing thinking for a moment that they have to answer to a higher order, more since they say they are committed to serving the community. The shepherd flees and the sheep are left to be eaten by the hungry wolves.

Thousands of constituents are NOT aware the Candlestick State Park is for sale. The many folks that tail gate and bring in over $950,000. They only other State Park that brings in sufficient money is the Hearst Castle. Candlestick State Park brings in money but the community is not fully cognizant of the facts. The problem is the money goes to the State that uses it for other State Parks at the expense of the State Candlestick Park - that some what complicates the issues at hand. So why kill the cow that until now has been productive?

For the 40 acres - Lennar is prepared to buy the land for $40 million.

Never mind Lennar declared bankruptcy at Mare Island.

Never mind Lennar wasted over $1 billion of CALPERS money - California pension money in Southern California - the LandSource project that went bust.

Never mind that all over the Nation - Lennar is being sued for building inferior homes. Only in
San Francisco they are embraced by the dubious Mayor of San Francisco - Gavin Newsom.

http://www.hunterspointnavalshipyard.com

http://www.hunterspointshipyard.com

http://www.jsco.net

http://www.sfha.org

http://www.sescdc.org

IT IS NOT TOO LATE TO FIGHT THIS FIGHT AND SEND LENNAR PACKING ONCE AND FOR ALL TO FLORIDA. LET THEM FLEE THERE AND DROWN IN THE CESSPOOL ON THEIR OWN MAKING.

SHAME ON MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM SELLING OUR STATE PARK AND USING SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR’S OFFICE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WORK FORCE TO ENCOURAGE DUBIOUS PLOYS AND MACHINATIONS TO ADVERSELY IMPACT INNOCENT SAN FRANCISCANS.

In the meantime our San Francisco Board of Supervisors are fast asleep. They will make excuses and speak in whispers while NOT serving the better interests of the constituents of San Francisco.

Francisco Da Costa
Director
Environmental Justice Advocacy

******************************************************************************
******************************************************************************

http://www.hunterspointnavalshipyard.com

Add Your Comments
The SALE of Candlestick Recreation Area in San Francisco:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/21/18618035.php#

Francisco Da Costa
Bayview sellouts favor SALE of Candlestick Recreation Area:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/20/18617383.php#

Francisco Da Costa
Candlestick Recreation Area is NOT for SALE:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/18/18616423.php#

Francisco Da Costa
Sophina Maxwell, Mark Leno, and Aurelius Walker facilitate selling of SF Candlestick Park
by Francisco Da Costa

Wednesday Aug 12th, 2009 5:47 PM

Senator Mark Leno should be ashamed of himself. Joining him are two despicable individuals Sophina Maxwell and Aurelius Walker. These three individuals one worse then the other are facilitating the SALE of San Francisco Candlestick STATE Park to the Rogue Developer - Lennar. Lennar wants 40 acres but plans are afoot because of PRESSURE to settle for 22 acres. Lennar should get a kick in the pants and leave our community as soon as possible.

Arc Ecology and the Sierra Club might think they can meet with Lennar to make some compromise deal - but really the Bayview Hunters Point community does NOT want to have anything to do the with Lennar and less with Sophina Maxwell, Aurelius Walker and California Senator Mark Leno. For sure we do not want any compromise. We do not accept any Land Grab.

Candlestick Park brings in about $1 million in income and given the situation of all California State Parks - the San Francisco Candlestick State Park is doing well for itself. The SF Candlestick State Park has always enjoyed the support of many from the Bayview Hunters Point community and beyond.

In one of the most shameful acts Senator Mark Leno who has done nothing much for Bayview Hunters Point community has joined hands with two corrupt individuals Sophina Maxwell and Aurelius Walker to facilitate the sale of SF Candlestick State Park to a Rogue Developer - Lennar.

Some months ago I went to Sacramento and spoke before the Natural Resources Committee. The Committee members in Sacramento were briefed by Mark Leno to facilitate the fake bill Mark Leno initiated Senate Bill 792. Today, SB 792 is under pressure and at the last moment Mark Leno, Lennar, and the supporters of Proposition G are making back room deals to sell the SF State Park to Lennar a Rogue Developer - even if it means 22 acres of the total 40 acres that belongs to the people of California - as do all State Parks.

There is something called the Public Trust Land and with it comes laws and regulation. There is something called the Burton Act and with it comes law and regulation. There is something called the Brown Act and mockery has been made of the Brown Act. Money has been exchanged for favors and mostly Black sellouts have been on the fore front of this contemporary land grab scandal.

A few Environmental Groups, advocates, and some concerned constituents from Bayview Hunters Point have been on the front line trying to stop this SALE of State Land. However, the GREEDY parties and the individuals on the take the likes of Sophina Maxwell and Aurelius Walker both Blacks are out to sell out the community and what is more divide the community.

Recently, Lennar paid the San Francisco Labor Council and Tim Paulsen about $500,000 for his role in Proposition G. Aurelius Walker was a proponent of Proposition G and got money. So did Sophina Maxwell for signing with Diane Feinstein and Mayor Gavin Newsom Prop G.

Lennar has it eyes on the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. It has its eyes on Candlestick Point. It has its eyes on Alice Griffith that is Public Housing. Now, it wants the State Park the only Open Space where
many go to BBQ, take walks, and recreate.

Candlestick Park was one of the first Urban State Parks and has a long history. Now, Senator Mark Leno because of GREED has decided to confront the constituents of Bayview Hunters Point and he will FAIL.

Lennar is now ready to sit down with the Sierra Club, Arc Ecology, the former Mayor of San Francisco Art Agnos, Mr. Claude Everhart from the Friends of the Candlestick Park to find some common ground. In the worst case scenario Lennar is prepared to settle for 22 acres of land. Michael Cohen who has failed to understand the wishes of the community has now taken on a battle that he will REGRET.

Friends of the State Park Candlestick Park are with the community. At this point Arc Ecology and the Sierra Club seem to be with the community. Sometimes when money changes hands - no one knows what the outcome will be.

We the community do not want Lennar in our community. It is not for Michael Cohen, sell outs like Sophina Maxwell and Aurelius Walker - others, like Mark Leno to tell us what is good for our community.

We have said it before and we say it again - we do not want Lennar in our community. So, what part of this statement do the sell outs not understand.

We fully understand the Diane Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Sophina Maxwell, Linda Richardson, Angelo King, Veronica Hunnicutt, Willie B. Kennedy, Doris Vincent, Aurelius Walker, Lola Whittle, Gary Banks, pastors George Lee and Big Bell and many more, mostly, black sell outs are on the take and favor the sale of SF Candlestick State Park to Lennar.

Well, the time has come for the community to come together and the community will come together God Willing.

This time around Sacramento will hear us loud and clear. The Governor of California, the Senators that count in Sacramento, and the Assembly persons know what is the TRUTH. For sure they know too much about Lennar the Rogue Developer.

Lennar wasted $1 billion of CALPERS money and there is more - bankruptcy at Mare Island after ten years of doing nothing much.

Senator Mark Leno should be ashamed of himself and does not share the commitment of Senator Leland Yee and Assembly person Tom Ammiano and Fiona Ma who are with the community and do not want to see the sale of the SF Candlestick State Park to a Rogue Developer - LENNAR.

Senator Leland Yee, Assembly persons Fiona Ma and Tom Ammiano have demanded that the Mayor of San Francisco, Gavin Newsom mandate the U.S. Navy to remove 1.5 million tons of toxic soil, mostly radiological in nature. Not so Senator Mark Leno - a sell out and fake representative.

http://www.hunterspointnavalshipyard.com

http://www.sesedc.org

http://www.jsco.net
Shame on Senator Mark Leno and his failing Senate Bill 792.

We the people will NOT permit this blatant LAND GRAB in broad day light. Never, ever. Pay heed Senator Mark Leno or forever hold your peace.

Francisco Da Costa
Director
Environmental Justice Advocacy

http://www.hunterspointshipyard.com
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Senator Mark Leno favors SALE of Candlestick Recreation Area:


Francisco Da Costa
Candlestick Recreation Park is NOT for SALE:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/21/18618035.php#

Francisco Da Costa
Gavin Newsom faltering in the polls - doomed to failure:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/24/18618730.php#

Francisco Da Costa
Public Trust Land Act and Lennar the Rogue Developer:

http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2009/08/21/18617956.php#

Francisco Da Costa
MEMORANDUM

Date: August 25, 2009
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor has submitted an appointment to the following Commission:

- Greg Chew, Arts Commission, term ending on January 15, 2013

Under the Board's Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on this appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within thirty days of the appointment as provided in Section 3.100(17) of the Charter.

Please notify me in writing by 2:00 p.m., Monday, August 31, 2009, if you wish this appointment to be scheduled.

Attachment
August 24, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Greg Chew as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, August 24, 2009. Greg Chew will fill a seat that was previously held by Jeannene Przybyski, and the term of Greg Chew will expire on January 15, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Greg Chew's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

August 24, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Greg Chew to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing August 24, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Greg Chew will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
GREGORY CHEW

SHORT BIO AND RESUME

Gregory Chew is the Founding Creative Director of San Francisco based Dae Advertising.

In 1990, Greg co-founded Dae with the belief that “businesses winning the hearts and minds of the new America will be rewarded.”

With over thirty years in both mainstream marketing and advertising, he has helped companies that market to the Asian and Asian American consumers.

Throughout his career he has worked with many Fortune 500 companies. However, for one small client in the ‘dried fruit category’ he was one of the original team to help create the enormously successful ‘California Dancing Raisins Campaign.’

In addition, with close to two decades serving in ‘traditional’ advertising firms like Foote, Cone & Belding as a senior creative executive with clients ranging from Levi Strauss & Co., Clorox Companies, Citibank, National Semiconductor, Nikon, among many others.

Greg has received many awards among them, America’s First Annual Multicultural Rainbow Award from the American Newspaper Association in his work in social and multicultural marketing.

His agency has also received numerous awards including the first Gold Effie from the American Marketing Association, New York, and continues to serve as an Effies Awards Judge.

He is founding member of the Asian American Advertising Federation (3AF’s) and continues to serve on many cultural, business and humanitarian organizations, among them, Asian Business League, Chinese Culture Foundation, Asian CineVision of New York, American Red Cross Bay Area Chapter to name a few.

Greg Chew has taught Advertising, Marketing, Art Direction and Design courses for some twenty years at UC Berkeley Extension, San Jose State University, Academy of Art University in undergrad and graduate courses.

Greg Chew resides in San Francisco’s South of Market and is a partner of the enormously successful at Betelnut Pejiu Wu Restaurant as well as other restaurants in Shanghai, China.
MEMORANDUM

Date: August 25, 2009
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR.

The Mayor has submitted appointments to the following Commission:

- Barbara Sklar, Arts Commission, term ending on July 1, 2011
- Astrid Haryati, Arts Commission, term ending on January 15, 2010

Under the Board's Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Pursuant to Section 3.100(17) of the Charter, the Board may consider the appointment and act within thirty days following the transmittal of Notice of Appointment.

Due to timing, if hearing is requested, it will be heard by the full Board as Committee of the Whole on Tuesday, September 15, 2009.

Please notify me in writing by 2:00 p.m., Monday, August 31, 2009, if you wish any appointment to be scheduled.

Attachments
August 21, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Barbara Sklar as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, August 21, 2009. Barbara Sklar will fill a seat that was previously held by Dede Wilsey, and the term of Barbara Sklar will expire on July 1, 2011.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Barbara Sklar's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

August 21, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Barbara Sklar to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing August 21, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Barbara Sklar will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org • (415) 554-6141
BARBARA W. SKLAR

DECEMBER  2008

Barbara Sklar is a professional artist. After years of being a part time artist, she became full-time, in 1987. Having studies earlier at The Cleveland Institute of Art she went to refresh her work by taking time to study at UC the Art Students League and with the Royal Watercolor Society. She has participated in many group exhibitions and had over a dozen one-women shows of her painting and photographs, both in Europe and in the states. Her works are in public and private collections all over the world. During that time she worked for the United States Information Agency as a Cultural Specialist in Sarajevo, B-H. In 1976, having receiving a Masters Degree in Planning and Administration (specializing in Gerontology) from Case Western Reserve she worked in various positions designing and implementing Home Based Services for the frail elderly. As a consultant she worked with local, state, national, and international organizations to design programs and legislation to improve service delivery and strive for cost- effective alternatives to high cost care. Prior to this time she worked in Early Childhood services and education. Starting in the early sixties she worked as a pre-school teacher, first with children with special needs and then with regular nursery school. She did an internship at The Center for Human Services. At the time she designed and published the first Directory of Children’s Services in Cleveland and Cuyahoga County.

CIVIC and PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

(Partial Summary Only)

Volunteer Positions: (1987-PRESENT)
Trustee San Francisco Institute of Art (2002-2008)
Board Jewish Home for the Aged (2001-2004)
La Stellaeta, Ceramic Cooperative Rome, Italy, 2000-2001
Board Member, Brookdale Institute on Aging, Hunter College, New York 1999
Board Member, New York Foundation for the Arts 1997-1999
United Nations International Year of Older Persons, 1998 (American Committee)
Special Consultant Cultural Affairs, USIS, Sarajevo Bosnia-Herzegovina (1996- 1997)
Member San Francisco Arts Commission (1996-97)
Cultural Specialist, Arts America, USIA (1993)

Member and President, San Francisco Arts Commission (1989-92)
Professional Artist since 1987

Professional position(s):
   CEO, Consultant, Western Consulting Services, San Francisco
   Director, Geriatric Services, Hospital Consortium of San Mateo County

Consultant and/or reviewer, R. W. Johnson Foundation, Long Term Care Project, S.F. Foundation, Retirement Research Foundation, American Hospital Foundation etc.
   Director of Planning, Mount Zion Hospital, San Francisco
   Director, Geriatric Services, Mount Zion Hospital, San Francisco
   Director, Tremont Older Persons Project, Cleveland, Ohio
   Intern Center for Human Services, Special Early Childhood Project
   Teacher Jewish Day Nursery Cleveland Ohio
   Teacher, Jewish Community Center, Cleveland Ohio
   Teacher, Day Nursery Association, Scranton, PA

Volunteer Positions: (1964-1986)
   Board Member, Meals-on-Wheels, San Francisco
   Board member, Family Service Agency of San Francisco
   Board Member, Council of International Programs
   Board Member and President, National Council on the Aging (1982-1987)
   Founder and Board Member, National Institutes of Adult Day Care and Community-Based Long term Care
August 21, 2009

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Astrid Haryati as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, August 21, 2009. Astrid Haryati will fill a seat that was previously held by Rene Bihan, and the term of Astrid Haryati will expire on January 15, 2010.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Astrid Haryati's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641  
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  •  (415) 554-6141
Notice of Appointment

August 21, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Astrid Haryati to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing August 21, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Astrid Haryati will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

[Signature]

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
As Greening Director in the Office of Mayor Gavin Newsom, Astrid helps to shape policies as well as coordinates improvement programs and the application of sustainable strategies for San Francisco's built environment — particularly in the public realm; from comprehensive stormwater solutions to the making of better streets, from high quality open space network to the interconnecting ecological infrastructure, and from singular green technology to innovative assemblies for vibrant and sustainable places. With 15 years extensive experiences in urban design as both Architect and Landscape Architect, Astrid has worked on projects in Asia, Europe and North America. Following her career in the private sector, Astrid was Chicago Mayor Richard M. Daley's Assistant for Green Initiatives. She received a Master of Landscape Architecture from the University of Colorado at Denver and a Bachelor of Architecture from Institut Teknologi Bandung in Indonesia, and is a Licensed Landscape Architect.
August 21, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Barbara Sklar as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, August 21, 2009. Barbara Sklar will fill a seat that was previously held by Dede Wilsey, and the term of Barbara Sklar will expire on July 1, 2011.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Barbara Sklar's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

August 21, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Barbara Sklar to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing August 21, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Barbara Sklar will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
BARBARA W. SKLAR

DECEMBER 2008

Barbara Sklar is a professional artist. After years of being a part-time artist, she became full-time, in 1987. Having studies earlier at The Cleveland Institute of Art she went to refresh her work by taking time to study at UC the Art Students League and with the Royal Watercolor Society. She has participated in many group exhibitions and had over a dozen one-women shows of her painting and photographs, both in Europe and in the states. Her works are in public and private collections all over the world. During that time she worked for the United States Information Agency as a Cultural Specialist in Sarajevo, B-H.

In 1976, having receiving a Masters Degree in Planning and Administration (specializing in Gerontology) from Case Western Reserve she worked in various positions designing and implementing Home Based Services for the frail elderly. As a consultant she worked with local, state, national, and international organizations to design programs and legislation to improve service delivery and strive for cost-effective alternatives to high cost care.

Prior to this time she worked in Early Childhood services and education. Starting in the early sixties she worked as a pre-school teacher, first with children with special needs and then with regular nursery school. She did an internship at The Center for Human Services. At the time she designed and published the first Directory of Children’s Services in Cleveland and Cuyahoga County.

CIVIC and PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE
(Partial Summary Only)

Volunteer Positions: (1987-PRESENT)
Trustee San Francisco Institute of Art (2002-2008)
Board Jewish Home for the Aged (2001-2004)
La Stellaeta, Ceramic Cooperative Rome, Italy, 2000-2001
Board Member, Brookdale Institute on Aging, Hunter College, New York 1999
Board Member, New York Foundation for the Arts 1997-1999
United Nations International Year of Older Persons, 1998 (American Committee)
Special Consultant Cultural Affairs, USIS, Sarajevo Bosnia-Herzegovina (1996-1997)
Member San Francisco Arts Commission (1996-97)
Cultural Specialist, Arts America, USIA (1993)

Member and President, San Francisco Arts Commission (1989-92)
Professional Artist since 1987


Professional position(s):
CEO, Consultant, Western Consulting Services, San Francisco

Director, Geriatric Services, Hospital Consortium of San Mateo County

Consultant and/or reviewer, R. W. Johnson Foundation, Long Term Care Project, S.F. Foundation, Retirement Research Foundation, American Hospital Foundation etc.

Director of Planning, Mount Zion Hospital, San Francisco
Director, Geriatric Services, Mount Zion Hospital, San Francisco
Director, Treemont Older Persons Project, Cleveland, Ohio
Intern Center for Human Services, Special Early Childhood Project
Teacher, Jewish Day Nursery, Cleveland Ohio
Teacher, Jewish Community Center, Cleveland Ohio
Teacher, Day Nursery Association, Scranton, PA

Volunteer Positions: (1964-1986)

Board Member, Meals-on-Wheels, San Francisco
Board member, Family Service Agency of San Francisco
Board Member, Council of International Programs
Board Member and President, National Council on the Aging (1982-1987)
Founder and Board Member, National Institutes of Adult Day Care and Community-Based Long Term Care
August 21, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Astrid Haryati as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, August 21, 2009. Astrid Haryati will fill a seat that was previously held by Rene Bihan, and the term of Astrid Haryati will expire on January 15, 2010.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Astrid Haryati’s qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco

Notice of Appointment

August 21, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Astrid Haryati to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing August 21, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Astrid Haryati will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200, San Francisco, California 94102-4641
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org • (415) 554-6141
Astrid S. Haryati  
Greening Director  
Office of Mayor Gavin Newsom  
San Francisco

As Greening Director in the Office of Mayor Gavin Newsom, Astrid helps to shape policies as well as coordinates improvement programs and the application of sustainable strategies for San Francisco's built environment – particularly in the public realm; from comprehensive stormwater solutions to the making of better streets, from high quality open space network to the interconnecting ecological infrastructure, and from singular green technology to innovative assemblies for vibrant and sustainable places. With 15 years extensive experiences in urban design as both Architect and Landscape Architect, Astrid has worked on projects in Asia, Europe and North America. Following her career in the private sector, Astrid was Chicago Mayor Richard M. Daley’s Assistant for Green Initiatives. She received a Master of Landscape Architecture from the University of Colorado at Denver and a Bachelor of Architecture from Institut Teknologi Bandung in Indonesia, and is a Licensed Landscape Architect.
Memorandum

To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: John Arntz, Director of Elections
Date: August 11, 2009
RE: Deadline: Thursday, August 13 - Disclaimer Requirements for Local Ballot Measures: Endorse, Oppose or Take No Position on a Measure (Municipal Elections Code Section 500(c)(8))

This is a follow-up reminder that the Department of Elections must print a disclaimer in the Voter Information Pamphlet before any opponent, proponent or rebuttal argument that is submitted by the Board of Supervisors or by one or more Members of the Board of Supervisors for or against any measure (Municipal Election Code Section 500 (c) (8)). The disclaimer indicates which Supervisors endorse the measure, oppose the measure, or take no position on the measure.

Each Supervisor must notify the Department of Elections in writing of his or her position on each measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. For the November 3, 2009 election, the notification deadline is 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, August 13.

Please understand that, if a Supervisor has not submitted his or her position(s) by this deadline, the Department of Elections will be required to print that the Supervisor takes no position on each measure for which the Board or an authorized Member will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. The Department has no discretion in this matter.

Enclosed please find a form you may use to indicate your position on a local ballot measure. The form is provided for your convenience. If you prefer, you may submit your written position in another format.

If you have any questions, please contact Barbara Carr at 554-6105.

J.P.A.
Board Authorization for “Support”, “Opposition”, or “No Position” for Local Ballot Measures
San Francisco Municipal Elections Code §500 (c) (8)

**Deadline: 5 p.m. on Thursday, August 13th, 2009**

Please return to: San Francisco Department of Elections - City Hall, Room 48
Or via fax to: 415-554-7344 Please call 415-554-4375 to confirm receipt.

*Original must be filed with the Department of Elections within 48 hours of fax.*

For the November 3, 2009 election, my position on each local ballot measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Official title of proposition</th>
<th>Endorse</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Take No Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Budget Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Board of Supervisors Aides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: Candlestick Park Naming Rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submitted by:

________________________________________

Printed Name

________________________________________

Signature Date
Resignation of Police Commissioner Theresa Sparks
Joseph Reilly  to: Angela Calvillo
Cc: David Chiu

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Police Commissioner Theresa Sparks submitted her resignation from the Commission yesterday.

Lt. Joe Reilly
Secretary, SF Police Commission
850 Bryant St., Rm. 505
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 553-1667
(415) 553-1669 fax
August 12, 2009

The Honorable David Chiu
President
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102-4689

Email: David.Chiu@sfgov.org

Dear President Chiu:

Effective 12:00 AM, this date, August 12, 2009, please accept my resignation as a member of the San Francisco Police Commission. I want to express my sincere appreciation for the opportunity to have served the City and County of San Francisco, for more than five years, as a member of the Police Commission. It has truly been an honor.

Sincerely yours,

Theresa L. Sparks

cc: Dr. Joe Marshall, President, San Francisco Police Commission
Lt. Joe Reilly, Secretary, San Francisco Police Commission
MEMO

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

DATE: August 12, 2009

TO: President David Chiu and Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: John Rahaim, Planning Director – Planning Department (415) 558-6411

Lawrence Badiner, Assistant Director

RE: Planning review for loss of dwelling units due to institutional expansion

HEARING DATE: Proposed Planning Commission Hearing on September 17, 2009

INTRODUCTION:

The Planning Commission has asked that a hearing be scheduled to review the application of the Ordinance on the loss of dwelling units. We wanted to let you know about this hearing and that we welcome your input on this issue. On August 4, 2009, the Board of Supervisors tabled the appeal of the Conditional Use authorization for 2901 California Street aka the “Drew School”. During the hearing there was discussion on the appropriate level of review of institutional use expansion in residential districts that would result in the loss of dwelling units.

BACKGROUND:

On April 18, 2008, the Board of Supervisors unanimously passed Ordinance 69-08 [BF 080210] which established Planning Code Section 317 to regulate the loss of dwelling units. Extensive internal vetting and broad discussion at several Planning Commission hearings as well as multiple Land Use Committee hearings at Board of Supervisors led to the adoption of this Ordinance. At the Full Board of Supervisors both the April 8, 2008 vote and the April 15, 2008 vote were in unanimous support of Conditional Use authorization for removal of 3 or more units; Mandatory Discretionary Review for removal of less than 3 units; and the criteria for planning review in each circumstance.

FURTHER REVIEW:

Now, with over a year’s worth of experience applying the Ordinance, the Planning Department and Commission have expressed interest in reviewing the application of this new Ordinance. To ensure that the City is appropriately encouraging the retention of sound housing the Planning Commission has requested a public hearing tentatively scheduled for September 17th, 2009 to review the application of the new Ordinance in general as well as specific planning policies and procedures related to review for loss of dwelling units due to institutional expansion. Please contact Lawrence Badiner if you have any questions.
Hi,

I am submitting the attached report on behalf of DPW. The report details the use of Proposition 1B Local Streets and Roads Funds pursuant to Administrative Code Article XV. Sec. 10.170-1.1(i).

Thank you,

Simone

Simone F. Jacques
Transportation Finance Analyst
Budget, Finance & Performance Section
Department of Public Works
City & County of San Francisco
30 Van Ness Ave, Suite 5100
San Francisco, CA 94102
direct: 415.558.4034
fax: 415.558.4519
simone.jacques@sfdpw.org
August 5, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA  94102

Subject: Administrative Code Article XV.Sec.10.170-1.(i) Certain Transportation Funds (Proposition 1B Funds)

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Administrative Code Article XV.Sec.10.170-1.(i), please find attached, a report on the use of funds appropriated from the Local Street and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety Account of 2006 by the Department of Public Works (DPW).

According to the subject Administrative Code, any department receiving an appropriation of Proposition 1B Local Street and Road funds shall report back to the Board of Supervisors beginning six months from the date of the appropriation and at six-month intervals thereafter with the following information:

- the amount of Proposition 1B Local Street and Road (LSR) Improvement Funds expended as of the reporting date
- progress on projects
- projected date of completion

To date, a total of $33 million has been allocated and received by San Francisco DPW. Of the $18.8 million appropriated in FY 07/08, DPW has expended or encumbered $16.2 million. The $12.9 million that was appropriated to San Francisco in FY 08/09 was delayed six months due to the State's fiscal crisis. DPW will begin expending these funds this month. Please contact me if you have any questions about this report or would like additional information.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin  
Director
### Appropriated State Bond (Prop 1B) Funds For Paving Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JO#</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Expended</th>
<th>Encumbered</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1325J</td>
<td>Various Locations #12</td>
<td>3,100,001</td>
<td>726,478</td>
<td>1,884,055</td>
<td>488,586</td>
<td>Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion September 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1337J</td>
<td>Lincoln Way - 3rd Ave/Kesar to 39th Ave.</td>
<td>3,130,025</td>
<td>3,095,702</td>
<td>3,095,702</td>
<td>10,422</td>
<td>Project is substantially complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344J</td>
<td>Local Match, SOWA Pavement Renovation</td>
<td>1,169,000</td>
<td>602,592</td>
<td>150,150</td>
<td>407,450</td>
<td>Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion July 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1359J</td>
<td>North University Mound (Joint PUC Project)</td>
<td>1,516,000</td>
<td>149,025</td>
<td>1,047,146</td>
<td>329,459</td>
<td>Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion June 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1440J</td>
<td>Taylor St - Ellis to Pima</td>
<td>1,329,128</td>
<td>1,018,465</td>
<td>70,168</td>
<td>249,507</td>
<td>Project is substantially complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1442J</td>
<td>Melson St - 100th to 19th 19th St - South Van Ness to Melson St</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>141,354</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>178,646</td>
<td>Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion in July 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1443J</td>
<td>11th St - Mission Bl to Harrison St</td>
<td>179,051</td>
<td>179,051</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion September 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1444J</td>
<td>Various Locations #13</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>48,895</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>251,105</td>
<td>Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion in November 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445J</td>
<td>Laguna St - Great Blvd to Sutter St (Joint PUC water Contract Phase I)</td>
<td>33,468</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,468</td>
<td>Project is led by PUC. Anticipated project construction is pending PUC schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450J</td>
<td>California St Joint MUNI/Paving</td>
<td>135,000</td>
<td>6,578</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>128,422</td>
<td>Project is led by MUNI. Project is under design. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1474J</td>
<td>Goody Blvd, intersection Paving</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>85,520</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,480</td>
<td>Project has been awarded. Anticipated MUP of October 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501J</td>
<td>Minnesota St - 38th Ave to Great Highway</td>
<td>2,233,334</td>
<td>1,456,655</td>
<td>141,696</td>
<td>634,742</td>
<td>Project is substantially complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1577J</td>
<td>Valencia St - 15th St to 19th St (Joint Streetscape Project)</td>
<td>518,592</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>251,195</td>
<td>255,397</td>
<td>Project is led by DPW Streetscape. Anticipated project construction is pending Streetscape schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1584J</td>
<td>Various Locations Preventative Maintenance</td>
<td>599,027</td>
<td>64,165</td>
<td>323,110</td>
<td>212,362</td>
<td>Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion November 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1585J</td>
<td>Harrison St Pavement Renovation</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(3,010)</td>
<td>Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion in July 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1582J</td>
<td>St Francis Circle Joint MUNI/Paving</td>
<td>58,544</td>
<td>58,552</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22,592</td>
<td>Project is led by MUNI. Project is under design. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1583J</td>
<td>ChouteDuboce Joint MUNI/Paving</td>
<td>93,531</td>
<td>4,008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46,522</td>
<td>Project is led by MUNI. Project is under design. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1594J</td>
<td>Monterey Blvd Pavement Renovation</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>27,979</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,021</td>
<td>Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion in August 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1598J</td>
<td>Steiner &amp; Broadway Pavement Renovation</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>25,206</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,794</td>
<td>Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion in August 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1591J</td>
<td>Various Locations Slurry Sealing 2009 Contract #2</td>
<td>985,300</td>
<td>57,515</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>607,885</td>
<td>Project has been advertised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1602J</td>
<td>Unico Streetscape/Paving</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Project is led by DPW Streetscape. Anticipated project construction is pending Streetscape schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop 1B 2008-09</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,924,903</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,924,903</td>
<td>Funds were delayed until June 2009 and has not yet been allocated to projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 33,018,902
12,280,215
3,905,517
16,938,170

*As of 7/13/2009 from FAMIS Database*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of state budget appropriation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2007 - 08</td>
<td>18,826,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2007 - 08 supplemental</td>
<td>1,265,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2008 - 09</td>
<td>12,824,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33,018,902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
United States Navy FAILS constituents at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard in the Bayview Hunters Point:


Francisco Da Costa
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, BRANCH LIBRARY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM:

DPW Needs to Ensure Contract Terms Are Consistent With Actual Practices and Procedures

August 27, 2009

RECEIVED

2009 AUG 27 5H 2:13
SAN FRANCISCO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Document is available at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall
OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR-RECORDER:

The Department Continues to Improve Its Operations

August 26, 2009
AIRPORT COMMISSION:

Concession Audit of Guava & Java (SFO) Inc.

August 27, 2009
August 20, 2009

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, Ca 94102-0917

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity (for fiscal year to date) of the portfolios under Treasurer’s management.

Portfolio Statistics for July 31, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year to Date Statistics</th>
<th>Pooled Fund</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Received</td>
<td>4,252,900.68</td>
<td>4,682,235.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Net Earnings</td>
<td>1,481,953.48</td>
<td>1,825,124.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Income Yield</td>
<td>0.643%</td>
<td>0.739%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Age of Portfolio in Days</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funds in the Current Month</th>
<th>Pooled Fund</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Securities *</td>
<td>2,677,541,702.15</td>
<td>2,870,299,681.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value *</td>
<td>2,873,506,594.81</td>
<td>2,866,555,715.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Interest</td>
<td>11,235,881.45</td>
<td>12,295,664.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Yield for the month</td>
<td>0.643%</td>
<td>0.739%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Less Cash

In accordance with provisions of California State Government Code Section 53646, we are forwarding herewith computer printouts detailing the City's investment portfolio as of June 30, 2008. These investments are in compliance with California Code and our statement of investment policy, and provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
José Cisneros
Treasurer

Ben Rosenfield, Controller (w/Enc.)
Controller – Internal Audit Division - YTD-All Funds, YTD-Pooled Funds
Oversight Committee: R. Sullivan, Dr. Don Q. Griffin, J. Graziosi, S. MacDonald, P. Marx
Transportation Authority – David Murray, San Francisco Public Library – 2 copies
Office Copy

City Hall Rm.140, #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA. 94102
(415) 554-4478
Dear Supervisors,

Please strongly support Ross Mirkarimi's ordinance to ban cat de-clawing in San Francisco.

De-clawing is an abusive, unnecessary practice that should have been banned in San Francisco long ago.

thank you

Eric Brooks
Co-Chair
SF Green Party Animal Advocacy Working Group
Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

As a resident of San Francisco, and a constituent of yours, I am writing to urge you to support an ordinance to ban cat de-clawing in San Francisco, introduced by Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Lord

San Francisco, California 94122

Looking for gorgeous greeting cards and gifts?

Get them at Sea Serpent Design:
www.seaserpent.com/store
I am writing to support the ban on declawing cats in San Francisco. It is a cruel, painful and unnecessary procedure. I hope all the Supervisors will support it.

Thank you,

Elaine Silver
Dear Supervisors:

I am writing in support of the proposed legislation introduced by Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi making feline declawing for nontherapeutic reasons impermissible in San Francisco.

Declawing is not a pedicure—it's the amputation of the first joint of the cat's toes. And as with amputation in humans, there's the initial pain of trauma—and remember, we're not speaking of a single amputation here but multiple ones—and there's sometimes months of phantom pain. Human beings can learn to cope with this phenomenon, but for cats there's neither comprehension nor comfort—only confusion and misery.

There are humane alternatives to amputation. For indoor cats, Soft Paws®, a soft vinyl claw covering that may be applied at home or by groomers or veterinarians, eliminates the possibility that Fluffy will scratch Grandma's antique credenza (or Grandma, for that matter) For outdoor cats who need their claws unsheathed for self-defense, keeping doors closed to rooms where the cat might get into mischief is a kinder, simpler, and more sensible solution.

This being the case, I strongly urge you to support Supervisor Mirkarimi's efforts in this most worthy and humane cause.

Thank you,

David Lawrence Reed

San Francisco, California 94121-1963
I support Ross Mirkarimi's ordinance to ban cat declawing in San Francisco.

Gail Caswell
S.F., CA
Dear Supervisors:

I am writing in support of the proposed legislation introduced by Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi making feline declawing for nontherapeutic reasons impermissible in San Francisco.

The practice of declawing housecats is illegal, or determined to be inhumane, in England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, France, Portugal, Spain, Slovenia, Germany, Scandinavia, Netherlands, Japan, Belgium, Brazil, Denmark, Switzerland, Italy, Austria, Australia, New Zealand, and Yugoslavia, and there are many excellent reasons for making this barbaric practice illegal in this country as well. Here in San Francisco would be a great place to start.

And the best time would be now: the California Veterinary Medical Association, acting less like advocates for animal welfare and more like lobbyists for their profession’s financial well-being, sponsored SB 762, legislation to ensure statewide uniformity of standards for medical professionals. The bill, which prevents local jurisdictions from passing bans on medical procedures such as declawing cats, was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger on July 2nd. The law takes effect on Jan. 1, 2010; however, any bans passed before that time would stand, including the San Francisco measure, so time is of the essence.

As Supervisor Mirkarimi observed, declawing (or onychectomy) is the amputation of the first joint of the cat's toes. As with human amputations, there are two types of associated pain: immediate post-traumatic pain and lingering phantom pain. As with humans, immediate post-traumatic pain in cats can be assuaged by prescription medications. Phantom pain, however, is another matter entirely: while a variety of drugs and therapies (e.g., mirror box therapy) are available to human beings, cats are left with only confusion
and misery.

Clearly this is unacceptable consequence in a civilized society, especially a society in which non-invasive measures are available as alternatives to cosmetic mutilation. Vinyl sheaths, which may be applied at home (or by groomers or veterinarians), protect one’s furniture from indoor cats and one’s indoor cats from the many complications associated with onychectomy, a few of which include:

- damage to the radial nerve
- painful re-growth of deformed claw inside of the paw which is not visible to the eye
- chronic back and joint pain as shoulder, leg and back muscles weaken, atrophying because the cat is no longer able to use his or her claws for the critical pulling and stretching activities that keep the feline musculature toned
- paw ischemia
- abnormal growth of severed nerve ends causing long-term, painful sensations in the toes

For outdoor cats, who need unsheathed nails for self-defense, a simple strategy of keeping the doors closed to certain rooms is both kinder and more sensible than amputating kitty’s toes.

I strongly urge you to support Supervisor Mirkarimi’s efforts in this worthy and humane cause.

Thank you

Linda Acton

Apartment #2
San Francisco, California 94121-1963
Dear Board,

I strongly support the proposed ban on declawing cats.

Thank you,

Barbara Grove
Redwood City
Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in support of Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi's introduction of an ordinance to ban cat de-clawing in San Francisco. Cat de-clawing is an inhumane practice. It amounts to amputation of the cats' digits often resulting in lifelong pain or discomfort and deleterious behavior changes. There are many humane alternatives available and the public should be counseled toward these alternatives if their animals scratch furniture. I have had cats since 1988, bought a suite of expensive new living room furniture in 1995 and it remains undamaged because the cats were given alternatives such as sisal rope posts, along with bi-weekly claw trimming (done at home). There are also products such as Soft Claws to cover cats' claws. De-clawing is unacceptable.

Sincerely,
Esta Lewin
Please support the bill that would outlaw declawing cats. Declawing is mutilation and torture. If people not not want claws near their furniture, they should not have a cat. The rights of the cat to BE a cat should prevail.
Sincerely, Bonnie Knight,
San Francisco

Sent from my iPhone
THANK YOU for passing this much-needed legislation~!
This IS the City that cares~!

-Gail Camhi

SF, CA 94118
Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for considering a Ban of De-Clawing in San Francisco. Yet again, I believe we can be an example of good practices and this would be a huge step for our companion animal health and well being.

Please support and pass this measure.

Thank you for your consideration,
Marin Thompson

San Francisco
August 12, 2009

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Clerk Of The Board
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244
San Francisco, CA  94102-4604

Dear Friends:

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger has reappointed James J. Ostrowski of Mt. Shasta and Bruce Saito of Long Beach as members of the State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection. Mr. Ostrowski has served since 2005 in a slot representing the “forest product industry.” Mr. Saito has served on the board since 2006 in a public slot. They receive $100 per diem and reimbursement of expenses for official board duties.

Please refer to the enclosed information sheets that summarize the appointees’ professional and educational backgrounds.

This appointment requires Senate confirmation. The Senate Rules Committee will conduct a confirmation hearing on August 24th. If you have a position or would like to comment on Mr. Ostrowski and/or Mr. Saito, the Rules Committee would like to hear from you.

Nettie Sabelhaus, Senate Rules Committee Appointments Director, is assisting me with this matter. Please direct your response to her attention in Room 420 of the State Capitol.

Sincerely,

DARRELL STEINBERG

DS: cm
Enc.
James J. Ostrowski
State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: 1994-Present: Timberland Manager, Timber Products Company

PAST EMPLOYMENT: 1988-1994: Manager, Mt. Shasta District, Sierra Pacific Industries
1983-1988: Logging Engineer, Sierra Pacific Industries
1980-1983: Administrator, Contract Logging, Champion International Corporation

EDUCATION: 1980: B.S., Forestry, Humboldt State University

ORGANIZATIONS: Boy Scouts of America, Troop 97, Committee Chair (2006-present); Scoutmaster (2004-06)
California Licensed Foresters Association Board of Directors, Member (1999-2001 and 1991-93); President (1999-2000)
Council on Forest Engineering, Member
Fish, Farm, Forestry & Communities Group, Board of Directors, Member (1994-98)
French Creek Watershed Advisory Group, Member (1990-present)
Humboldt State University, Forest Science Project and Institute for Forest and Watershed Management, Board of Directors, Member (1999-2004); Chair (2000-04)
Klamath Alliance for Resources and the Environment, Board of Directors, Member (1989-95); Legislative Woods Tour Chair (1990-2005)
Knights of Columbus, Member
Siskiyou Forestry Roundtable, Member (1992-95)
Society of American Foresters, Northern California Society, Chair Elect (2005); Chair (2006)

CREDENTIALS: California Registered Professional Forester (#2187)
Bruce Saito
State Board of Forestry and Fire Protection

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: 1995-Present: Executive Director, Los Angeles Conservation Corps

PAST EMPLOYMENT: 1985-1995: Deputy Director, Los Angeles Conservation Corps
           1976-1986: Program Manager and Program Project Coordinator, California Conservation Corps

EDUCATION: 1975: B.A., Art, San Francisco State University

ORGANIZATIONS: LA Gardening Council, Board Member
               LA Neighborhood Land Trust, Board Member
               SouthPark Neighborhood Center, Past Board Member
               Youth Service California, Co-Chair and Board Member
This is a very great victory! Congratulations to Joshua Arce and the Brightline Defense project. Please add to the coalition the S.F. Bayview Newspaper and the Community First Coalition. We have carried the Olympic torch promoting the closure of the power plants and opposition to the siting of new power plants for almost two decades! We remain committed to enactment of a moratorium on the siting of all new polluting industries in southeastern San Francisco, including Mayor Newsom’s proposed United Nations Global Center that will promote polluting and unethical biofuels rendered from animal parts!

AHIMSA PORTER SUMCHAI, M.D.

From: home@prosf.org
To: home@prosf.org
Subject: Broad City Hall Coalition: Mirant To Shut Down Potrero Power Plant - PRESS RELEASE
Date: Fri, 14 Aug 2009 08:33:24 -0700

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
Contact: Joshua Arce (Brightline)
MIRANT AGREES TO SHUT DOWN POTRERO POWER PLANT BY END OF 2010

State Regulators To Meet As Early As September 10 To Review Proposal To Close San Francisco’s Last Fossil Fuel-Burning Plant

San Francisco, CA, August 13, 2009 – One year ago, San Francisco was on the verge of spending $270 million to build brand new power plants in Bayview-Hunters Point that would replace Potrero Hill’s dirty Potrero Power Plant. A once-in-a-lifetime coalition led by Mayor Gavin Newsom, Supervisors Michela Alioto-Pier, Ross Mirkarimi, Chris Daly, and Tom Ammiano joined a chorus of environmental and community groups, including Sierra Club, Green for All, the Ella Baker Center, Environmental Defense, Greenaction, Brightline, and the San Francisco Green Party, to turn the City around and forge a cleaner, greener path to closing the Potrero Plant without building any new power plants.

That day has come, as Mirant Corporation today signed an agreement with the City and County of San Francisco to shut its entire 360-megawatt gas-burning Potrero Power Plant by the end of 2010. City Attorney Dennis Herrera’s office released a press statement this afternoon, and while details of the agreement are still unknown, it appears that the City is now well-positioned to make the case to the California Independent System Operator as early as September 10 that the Potrero Plant can start shutting down next year.

“This was David moving Goliath,” said Brightline Executive Director Joshua Arce. “We felt that as long as community and environmental support from Supervisors Mirkarimi, Alioto-Pier, Daly, and Ammiano remained strong we had a chance. When Mayor Newsom joined our coalition last summer to say ‘no’ to new power plants, the dominoes began to fall.”

For years the state Independent System Operator (ISO) insisted that San Francisco keep 200 megawatts of fossil fuel generation in the city to prepare for the rare instance of two power lines going out on an unusually hot summer day. That led most city officials to believe that the only way to close the Potrero Plant was to build at least 200 megawatts of new power plants to replace it.

Last June, however, state regulators caved and conceded that the actual gap in terms of “reliability” in the city called for 150 megawatts, rather than 200. Legislation introduced by Supervisors Sophie Maxwell and Alioto-Pier in May of this year highlighted that this number has shrunk even further, to no more than 25 megawatts.

“This sounds great as long as we’re not talking about [a recent SF Public Utilities Commission proposal] putting a 50-megawatt generator South of Market,” said Eric Brooks of the San Francisco Green Party. “Just this June the California Energy Commission denied the City of Chula Vista a power plant permit to cover its own 25-megawatt gap, saying that rooftop solar power is a viable alternative.”
Brightline Defense Project is a non-profit civil rights advocacy organization dedicated to protecting and empowering communities. Brightline’s efforts have led to the prevention of a new power plant in Southeast San Francisco and increased employment opportunities for economically disadvantaged residents, particularly in the green jobs sector.

www.brightlinedefense.org
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Aug 11, 2009

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4845

Subject: Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works
Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 707 of the Public Works Code, attached is the Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account for the period April 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin
Director of Public Works

Attachment: As noted

CC: Main Library, Document Desk
    Mary Ellen Casey, BSM
    Robert Carlson, DDFMA
    Jocelyn Quintos
    Nini Leigh
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Cash Balance March 31, 2009</td>
<td>$151,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Recorder Office - lien releases</td>
<td>(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and copies of documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance - June 30, 2009</td>
<td>$151,556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 11, 2009

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Carlton B. Goodlet Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Resolution in Opposition to “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act”

Enclosed please find a certified copy of Resolution No. 09-58, A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Fairfax Opposing the Proposed California Constitutional Amendment Ballot Measure Misleadingly Referred as the “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act.”

As you can see, this resolution was adopted by unanimous vote of the Fairfax Town Council on August 5, 2009.

Sincerely,

Judy Anderson, CMC
Town Clerk/Assistant to the Town Manager

Enclosures
RESOLUTION NO. 09-58

A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF FAIRFAX
OPPOSING THE PROPOSED CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT BALLOT
MEASURE MISLEADINGLY REFERRED TO AS THE “TAXPAYERS RIGHT TO VOTE ACT”

WHEREAS, In 1997, the State of California deregulated electricity, with the promise of
giving consumers a choice in electricity providers, ending decades of monopolistic electricity
markets which promised lower rates and better service through increased competition; and,

WHEREAS, The State of California rescinded deregulation resulting in the loss of
consumer choice and the possibility of free-market competition in 2001, and most ratepayers
now receive their electricity from the same monopoly electricity providers as before
deregulation; and,

WHEREAS, the deregulation of electricity led to the energy crisis of 2000-2001, caused
by the investor-owned, profit-driven electricity providers participating in market manipulation,
lead by Enron Corporation, which later admitted to fraudulent behavior; and,

WHEREAS, In 2002, in response to the collapse of deregulation and its failure to provide
electricity consumers with a choice of electricity providers the Legislature passed AB 117, which
created Community Choice Aggregation; and,

WHEREAS, Community Choice Aggregation enables any city or county or combination
thereof to become electricity purchasers for residences and businesses, and to require a
renewable energy component in the portfolio of electricity that they purchase; and,

WHEREAS, Community Choice Aggregation offers an opportunity for Californians to
once again have a choice in their selection of electricity provider and to obtain a cleaner source
of their electricity; and,

WHEREAS, Community Choice Aggregation is regulated by the California Public Utilities
Commission, which enforces strict guidelines that must be followed by cities and counties
wishing to become aggregate buyers of electricity thereby assuring public confidence in the
program; and,

WHEREAS, Community Choice Aggregation is regulated by the California Public Utilities
Commission, which enforces strict guidelines that must be followed by cities and counties
wishing to become aggregate buyers of electricity thereby assuring public confidence in the
program; and,

WHEREAS, In 2008, the Fairfax Town Council voted to join Marin Energy Authority, a
Joint Powers Authority, which will be a Community Choice Aggregator; and,

WHEREAS, The Marin Energy Authority is on schedule to consider multiple responses
to its Request for Proposals to private-sector energy service providers to supply clean,
renewable energy within its jurisdictional authority; and

WHEREAS, Marin Energy Authority is the next and very important step in bringing
competition back to the energy market as well as expanding green-collar jobs and boosting the
private-sector renewable energy industry; and,
WHEREAS, PG&E has a history of acting to maintain its monopoly in its service region, including opposing public power initiatives at the ballot and lobbying officials of California cities and counties against Community Choice Aggregation, in possible violation of the provisions of AB 117; and,

WHEREAS, On May 28, 2009, a request for title and summary was made to the State Attorney General for a Constitutional amendment deceptively entitled the “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” to be placed on the ballot; and,

WHEREAS, the “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” seeks to retain the monopolies of investor-owned, profit driven utilities by adding unreasonable hurdles that cities and counties must overcome to become aggregate buyers of electricity; and,

WHEREAS, The “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” would require submitting any Community Choice Aggregation proposal to the votes within the proposed jurisdiction of a proposed aggregator, and would require a two-thirds vote of approval by the voters if any type of public financing, including bonds, cash, income, assets or equity would be used to implement a Community Choice Aggregation program; and,

WHEREAS, The “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” would effectively preclude any entity from becoming an energy aggregator as well as virtually prohibit any existing Municipal utility, all of whom operate on a non-profit, public interest basis, from entering into a competitive market in the State of California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Fairfax Town Council fully supports maintaining consumer’s right to choose energy from clean, renewable sources that the provisions of Community Choice Aggregation law provides.

2. The Fairfax Town Council opposes the “Taxpayers Right to Vote Act” as being against the interests of California ratepayers, against the public interest, and a potential setback for renewable energy production.

3. That the Fairfax Town Council strongly urges the Attorney General, if the measure qualifies for the ballot, to assign a title to the measure which accurately reflects its intent to restrict competition from non-profit, publicly owned utilities by virtually assuring a monopolistic stranglehold by profit-driven investor-owned utilities on the energy markets of the State of California.

4. That the Town Clerk of the Town of Fairfax is hereby directed to forward a fully conformed copy of this Resolution to the Attorney General of the State of California, the California Secretary of State, the Director of the San Joaquin Valley Joint Powers Authority, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Marin Energy Authority, and the President of the California Municipal Utilities Association for dissemination to its members.
The foregoing Resolution was duly passed and adopted at a Regular Meeting of the Town Council of the Town of Fairfax held in said Town on the 5th day of August, 2009, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Bragman, Brandborg, Maggiore, Tremaine, Weinsoff

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

David Weinsoff

DAVID WEINSOFF, MAYOR

Attest:

Judy Anderson, Town Clerk

The foregoing document is a correct copy of the original on record in this office.

Judy Anderson
City Clerk of the Town of Fairfax
Ms. Calvillo,

The attached is for distribution to members of the Board of Supervisors. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Vincent Pan  
Executive Director  
CAA | Chinese for Affirmative Action  
17 Walter U. Lum Place  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
T: 415-274-6760 x303  
F: 415-397-8770  
www.caasf.org

CAA 40th Anniversary Celebration of Justice Dinner  
9/9/09 | The Westin St. Francis  
Visit www.caasf.org for ticket and sponsorship info

August 21, 2009

Dr. Robert Groves
Director
U.S. Census Bureau
4600 Silver Hill Road
Washington, DC 20233

Dear Dr. Groves:

Congratulations on your recent appointment as Director of the U.S. Census Bureau.

We are writing to you as members of the San Francisco Coalition for a Fair and Just Census, a coalition comprised of community-based groups that have come together to ensure low-income, minority, immigrant, and limited-English proficient San Franciscans are fully counted in the upcoming Census.

From local Bureau staff, we are pleased to learn about the new language programs designed to provide assistance and materials to limited-English proficient residents. We also appreciate the Census Bureau’s commitment to outreach and advertise to our communities through the ethnic media and various Bureau partnership programs.

However, we have deep concerns about the accessibility of the Bureau’s direct correspondence with residents.

It is our understanding that although a small percentage of households will receive bi-lingual English-Spanish advance letters and Census questionnaires, this correspondence will not include any additional languages, and that the correspondence to the remaining overwhelming majority of households will not include additional languages other than English.

As you may be aware, this is a significant departure from the 2000 Census during which advance letters to all households included in-language information and instructions in Chinese, Korean, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese. Having in-language correspondence between community members and the Census Bureau conveys a sense of confidence and trust. Omitting the in-language information and instructions in the advance letters will be detrimental to assuring a complete count of our limited-English proficient residents in the 2010 Census. This is especially true in San Francisco, where 26% of the City’s residents speak an Asian language at home, 12% speak Spanish, and another 7% speak another Indo-European language. More than half of these residents reported that they do not speak English very well.

We would like to propose a simple solution.
In all direct correspondence between Census and residents, including advance letters and census questionnaires, include a language box or insert that provides clear in-language instructions for how limited-English proficient residents can receive in-language assistance or materials. All languages in which the Census is equipped to provide assistance in should be included in this language box or insert.

Given the diversity of languages spoken in San Francisco and throughout the country, we urge you to make this decision as soon as possible so that community-based organizations like ours can be reassured of the Bureau’s commitment to a full and accurate count and plan accordingly.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Vincent Pan  
Executive Director  
Chinese for Affirmative Action

On behalf of:

Asian and Pacific Islander Legal Outreach  
Arab Resource & Organizing Center  
Cameron House  
Chinatown Community Development Center  
Chinese for Affirmative Action  
La Raza Centro Legal  
Mujeres Unidas y Activas  
St. Peter’s Housing Committee  
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Center  
Young Women’s Christian Association of San Francisco and Marin

Cc: Senator Diane Feinstein  
Senator Barbara Boxer  
Speaker Nancy Pelosi  
Representative Jackie Speier  
Mayor Gavin Newsom  
Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
August 25, 2009

The Honorable James J. McBride
Presiding Judge
Superior Court of California
County of San Francisco
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102


Dear Judge McBride:

This letter is in response to the findings and recommendations related to the 2008-2009 San Francisco County Civil Grand Jury Report titled “Nonprofits, The Good, The Bad, The Ugly.”

Finding 17: The City Nonprofit Task Force focused on the fiscal monitoring of the contracts/grants between the City departments and nonprofits. Joint monitoring of nonprofits in fiscal matters is efficient for both the City departments and the nonprofits in that staff time utilized is reduced.

Response: We agree with this finding—fiscal monitoring was one of the many issues highlighted by the City Nonprofit Task Force. The Controller’s Office implemented joint fiscal and compliance monitoring in 2005. The Citywide Fiscal and Compliance Nonprofit Monitoring Program creates efficiencies for City departments and nonprofit contractors while establishing consistent standards and improved oversight by City departments.

If you have any questions or concerns about this response, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Ben Rosenfield
Controller

cc: Grand Jury Office
    Board of Supervisors
Certificate of Determination
Exemption from Environmental Review

Case No.: 2009.0488E
Project Title: MTA 2009 Transit Service Enhancements
Project Sponsor: Julie Kirschbaum
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
(415) 701-4304
Staff Contact: Jeanie Poling – (415) 575-9072
jeanie.poling@sfgov.org

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
The proposed project would provide approximately $8.7 million in transit service enhancements to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency’s (SFMTA’s) fiscal year 2009–2010 budget. This project would offset approximately $13.4 million of transit service reductions that were approved by the SFMTA Board of Directors on April 30, 2009. [Continued on following page]

EXEMPT STATUS:
Categorical Exemption, Class 1 (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15301)

REMARKS:
See next page.

DETERMINATION:
I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and Local requirements.

Bill Wycko
Environmental Review Officer

cc: Julie Kirschbaum, Project Sponsor
Supervisor Eric Mar, District 1
Supervisor Michela Aioto-Pier, District 2
Supervisor David Chiu, District 3
Supervisor Carmen Chu, District 4
Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi, District 5
Supervisor Chris Daly, District 6
Supervisor Sean Elbernd, District 7
Supervisor Bevan Dufty, District 8
Supervisor David Campos, District 9
Supervisor Sophie Maxwell, District 10
Supervisor John Avalos, District 11
Sue Hestor, Esq.
Virna Byrd, M.D.F. / Bulletin Board

August 25, 2009
PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued):

With a fiscal year 2009–2010 projected operating deficit of $128.9 million, on April 21, 2009, the SFMTA Board of Directors (Board) declared a fiscal emergency. On April 30, 2009, the Board adopted an amended fiscal year 2009–2010 operating budget that included approximately $13.4 million of transit service reductions. Transit service reductions approved on April 30, 2009 include the discontinuation of routes with low ridership and/or nearby alternative service; the elimination of segments of routes with low ridership and/or nearby alternative service; route frequency adjustments; and modification of the structure of routes.

The project that is the subject of this environmental review proposes to add approximately $8.7 million of transit service enhancements to offset the $13.4 million in transit service reductions that were approved on April 30, 2009. The goals of the proposed enhancements are to improve reliability and on-time performance, reduce crowding, and enhance some routes to offset reductions on parallel routes. Changes are proposed to 16 routes. The existing conditions, proposed changes, and environmental analysis of the proposed changes are presented below by route.

1. 1AX California ‘A’ Express

Existing Conditions. The 1AX California ‘A’ Express operates between 33rd Avenue and Geary Boulevard in the Outer Richmond District to the Financial District during weekday peak periods in the peak direction. The 1AX makes stops between Geary Boulevard/33rd Avenue and California Street/14th Avenue, and then does not stop again until Bush/Montgomery Streets downtown. Muni vehicles currently operating on the 1AX California ‘A’ Express are 40-foot motor coaches. No changes were proposed to the 1AX California ‘A’ Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. Figure 1 depicts the proposed change to the 1AX California ‘A’ Express. The proposed project would add more stops to the 1AX California ‘A’ Express line and shorten the express zone. The 1AX would make stops between Geary Boulevard/33rd Avenue and California Street/8th Avenue along the California Street corridor. In other words, the 1AX would make new stops along California Street between 14th Avenue and 8th Avenue – an area that is currently served by the 1BX California ‘B’ Express. No changes are proposed to the number or frequency of busses on the 1AX California ‘A’ Express. Vehicles that operate on the 1AX California ‘A’ Express are proposed to change from all 40-foot motor coaches to some 40-foot motor coaches and some 60-foot motor coaches. The 1AX California ‘A’ Express would stop at existing bus stops that currently serve the 1 California and the 1BX California ‘B’ Express. There would be no new streets with transit and no parking changes.

---

1 The service reductions approved on April 30, 2009 resulted from a declared fiscal emergency caused by the failure of revenues to adequately fund agency programs, facilities, and operations. The service reductions received a statutory exemption from the California Environment Quality Act (Public Resource Code Section 21080.32 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15285).

2 Existing conditions described in this document reflect the bus routes, service, and frequencies that occur with implementation of the transit service reductions approved by the SFMTA Board of Directors on April 30, 2009.
Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 1AX California ‘A’ Express would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, it would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. Likewise, the extension of service from 14th Avenue to 8th Avenue would not result in changes to the overall transit capacity along the California Street corridor. No new bus stops would be created; therefore, there would be no loss of parking along the route. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 1AX California ‘A’ Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the use of some 40-foot motor coaches and some 60-foot motor coaches, instead of all 40-foot motor coaches, could result in a noise increase along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. In addition, the operation of approximately three longer 60-foot motor coaches per hour during peak periods would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

2. 1BX California ‘B’ Express

Existing Conditions. The 1BX California ‘B’ Express operates between 12th Avenue in the Inner Richmond District and the Financial District during weekday peak periods in the peak direction. The 1BX makes stops between 12th Avenue and Fillmore Street along the California Street corridor, and then does not stop again until Bush/Montgomery Streets downtown. The frequency of the 1BX California ‘B’ Express during the AM peak period is 10 buses per hour, and during the PM peak period five buses operate per hour. No changes were proposed to the 1BX California ‘B’ Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. Figure 1 depicts the proposed change to the 1BX California ‘B’ Express. The proposed project would eliminate four stops between 12th Avenue and 6th Avenue. The 1BX would make stops between California/6th Avenue and California/Fillmore Street. Eighth Avenue between Geary and Clement, which currently does not have transit, would have new transit in the southbound direction but no new transit stops. There would be no change to the frequency of buses on this route, no creation of new bus stops, and no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 1BX California ‘B’ Express would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, it would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. It is anticipated that the riders who currently board the 1BX between 12th and 6th Avenues would board the reconfigured 1AX California ‘A’ Express (discussed above). No new bus stops would be created; therefore, there would be no loss of parking along the route. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 1BX California ‘B’ Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Thus, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While modification to the 1BX California ‘B’ Express could increase the ambient noise levels on the portion of 8th Avenue that was not previously served by transit, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the operation of up to 10 buses per hour on the portion of 8th Avenue that was not
previously served by transit would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.

3. 5 Fulton

Existing Conditions. The 5 Fulton operates between Fulton Street/La Playa in the Outer Richmond District and the Transbay Terminal, with an evening terminus at Jones/McAllister Streets instead of the Transbay Terminal. The 5 Fulton is a trolley bus that is powered by electricity from overhead wires. The changes approved on April 30, 2009 modified the 5 Fulton during peak periods to address current crowding and additional customers that may shift to the 5 Fulton as a result of other route changes that were approved on April 30, 2009 – specifically, the elimination of the outer segment of the 21 Hayes between Stanyan Street and 6th Avenue, and the reduction in peak period frequency of the 31 Balboa.

Proposed Project. The proposed project specifies the increase in frequency of the 5 Fulton that was approved on April 30, 2009. Between 6th Avenue/Fulton Street and the Transbay Terminal, the frequency of the 5 Fulton would increase during weekday peak periods – from every six minutes to every 3.5 minutes during the AM peak period; and from every five minutes to every 4.5 minutes during the PM peak period. Figure 2 depicts the proposed change to the 5 Fulton.

The proposed project includes the installation of a pair of overhead wires to allow the 5 Fulton to bypass the 21 Hayes at its new terminus on the south side of Fulton Street west of Shrader Street. The wires (up to 290 feet in length) would be installed in the eastbound direction (south side) of Fulton Street near the existing overhead wire switch near Shrader Street. To support the overhead wires, one metal pole would be installed on the south side of Fulton. There would be no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change in frequency of the 5 Fulton would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, it would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. It is anticipated that the increase in frequency would result in beneficial changes to the overall transit capacity along the existing 5 Fulton route. There would be no loss of parking along the route because no new bus stops would be created. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 5 Fulton would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the increase in frequency of the 5 Fulton would increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise resulting from an increase of 13.5 to 17 electric trolley buses per hour during the AM peak period and 12 to 13.5 electric trolley buses per hour during the PM peak period would not be substantial. Likewise, the increase in transit service along the route would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.

While the addition of a pair of overhead wires and a support pole along Fulton Street between Stanyan and Shrader Streets would be noticeable, the block already has two pairs of pole-mounted overhead electric wires, 12 support poles, and overhead wire switches for turning transit vehicles at both the
Fulton/Stanyan and Fulton/Shrader intersections. The addition of more overhead electric wires and a support pole on a street in San Francisco that is served by electric trolley transit service would not substantially degrade its existing visual character. Thus, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact of visual resources.

4. 9 San Bruno

Existing Conditions. The 9 San Bruno is a local bus route that operates between Visitation Valley and Downtown every 10 minutes during the AM peak and midday periods, and every eight minutes during the PM peak period. Sixty-foot motor coaches currently operate along this route. No specific changes were proposed to the 9 San Bruno in the April 30, 2009 approval action; however, the approval action recommended improving the midday frequency of the 9 San Bruno and modifying the structure of the route.

Proposed Project. Figure 3 depicts the route of the 9 San Bruno. The frequency of the 9 San Bruno during the PM peak period would decrease from every eight minutes to every 10 minutes and would not change during the AM and midday periods. There would be no changes to the route structure and no new streets with transit service. Vehicles operating on the 9 San Bruno would change from 60-foot to 40-foot motor coaches. To accommodate the 9 San Bruno, as well as the 9L, 9X, and 9AX (discussed below), the existing inbound bus stop at San Bruno Avenue near Paul Avenue would be extended, resulting in the loss of a 30-foot yellow loading zone.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 9 San Bruno would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. It is anticipated that the additional demand caused by the reduction in service along the 9 San Bruno would be met by increased service on the 9L San Bruno Limited, 9AX Bayshore ‘AX’ Express, and 9BX Bayshore ‘BX’ Express (discussed below). Thus, the change in service to the 9 San Bruno would not result in adverse changes to the overall transit capacity along the existing 9 San Bruno route.

The existing 30-foot yellow zone at San Bruno Avenue north of Paul Avenue was established primarily for a former tile store located at 2975 San Bruno Avenue. The building is now vacant. The same property has a loading bay and two to three off-street outdoor loading spaces just south of the main entrance that can be used by the next tenant. Thus, the loss of the on-street loading zone would be considered a less-than-significant loading impact. In addition, the proposed change to the 9 San Bruno would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on overall transportation network within San Francisco.

The proposed changes would slightly reduce the number of buses on this route, and thus would have a less-than-significant noise and air quality impact.

5. 9L San Bruno Limited

Existing Conditions. There is currently no limited service along the 9 San Bruno route. The April 30, 2009 approval action recommended improving the midday frequency of the 9 San Bruno and modifying the route structure.
**Proposed Project.** Figure 3 depicts the route of the proposed 9L San Bruno Limited. The new limited service would run every 10 minutes during peak periods and midday mostly along the existing 9 San Bruno route. The route of the 9L San Bruno Limited would differ from the route of the 9 San Bruno on two blocks: outbound from Bayshore Boulevard, the 9L would turn westbound onto Visitacion Avenue and southbound on Talbert Street, and meet up with the existing 9 San Bruno route at Sunnydale/Talbert Streets. Thus, one street – Talbert between Visitacion and Sunnydale – would have new transit in the southbound direction but no new transit stops. The 9L San Bruno Limited would stop at the same bus stops as the 9 San Bruno and would operate with 40-foot motor coaches.

**Environmental Analysis.** The introduction of the 9L San Bruno Limited would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. It is anticipated that the 9L San Bruno Limited would supplement 9 San Bruno service (discussed above) and result in beneficial changes to the overall transit capacity along the existing 9 San Bruno route. The loss of the 30-foot loading zone at San Bruno/Paul would be a less-than-significant impact, as discussed above under the 9 San Bruno. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 9L San Bruno Limited would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the addition of six buses per hour of limited service in each direction could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, while the operation of six buses per hour along Talbert Street between Visitacion and Sunnydale, which previously did not contain a transit route, could increase the ambient noise levels along Talbert Street, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Furthermore, the operation of an additional six buses per hour in both directions along the route would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

6. **9X Bayshore Express**

**Existing Conditions.** The 9X Bayshore Express operates from City College/Balboa Park through Visitacion Valley, along 101 North, through South of Market and the Financial District to North Point Street (see Figure 4). The inbound express zone is between Bayshore Boulevard/Silver Avenue and Bryant/6th Streets, and the outbound express zone is between Harrison/6th Streets and San Bruno/Felton Avenues. The 9x runs every 10 minutes daily. Inbound, the 9X Bayshore Express operates during early morning, midday, PM peak period, and evening (but not during the AM peak period). Outbound, the 9X Bayshore Express operates during early AM, the AM peak period, midday, and evening (but not during the PM peak period). This route may be renumbered as the ‘8X.’ No changes were proposed to the 9X San Bruno Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

**Proposed Project.** The outbound route would remain the same. Inbound from Visitacion, the 9X would continue on Visitacion to Bayshore to San Bruno, making local stops on San Bruno, and then run non-stop from San Bruno/Silver Avenue until South of Market (instead of its current route, which makes local stops on Bayshore). The weekday frequency of the 9X Bayshore Express would increase from every 10 minutes to every 7.5 minutes during peak periods and from every 10 minutes to every nine minutes.
Exemption from Environmental Review
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during midday. The weekend frequency of the 9X Bayshore Express would increase from every 10 minutes to every eight minutes during the day and would remain at every 15 minutes during the evening.

The reconfigured route would require the use of other existing bus stops, and no new bus stops would be created. Two flag stops at Rutland/Arlota and Arleta/Alpha would no longer be served by transit. Instead, the outbound 9X Bayshore Express would stop at an existing 9 San Bruno stop at Bayshore/Visitacion. Three bus zones would no longer be served by the 9X Bayshore Express: Bacon between San Bruno and Bayshore (currently served by the 54 Felton), Bayshore/Carroll, and Bayshore/Silver (currently served by 9 San Bruno). The 9X Bayshore Express would instead stop at three existing 9 San Bruno stops — San Bruno/Bacon, San Bruno/Thornton, and San Bruno/Silver. One street that does not currently have a transit line — San Bruno between Silver and Alemany — would have one-way (inbound) service with no transit stops. No existing parking would be removed, and there would be no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed changes in the 9X route would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, they would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. While the proposed changes would require transit riders to adjust to a new route and relocated bus stops, the changes are not anticipated to adversely affect Muni’s capacity. No new bus stops would be created; therefore, there would be no loss of parking along the route. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 9X San Bruno Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the addition of up to two more buses per hour could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. In addition, while modifications to the route could increase the ambient noise levels on the portion of San Bruno Avenue that was not previously served by transit, the increase in noise resulting from the operation of up to eight buses per hour would not be substantial. Likewise, the addition of up to two more buses per hour along the route and eight buses per hour on a route not previously served by transit would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

7. 9AX Bayshore ‘A’ Express

Existing Conditions. The 9AX Bayshore ‘A’ Express operates from City College/Balboa Park, with local stops along Geneva, express to Arleta, local stops along Bayshore to Silver, express to South of Market, and local stops from Bryant/6th Streets to Kearny/North Point Streets. An express zone runs between Geneva Avenue/Santos and Bayshore/Visitacion in both directions. The 9AX operates inbound every nine minutes during the AM peak period and outbound every 10 minutes during the PM peak period. This route may be renumbered as the ‘8BX.’ No changes were proposed to the 9AX San Bruno ‘A’ Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.
Proposed Project. Figure 4 depicts the proposed change to the 9AX Bayshore ‘A’ Express. The southern terminus of the route would be moved from Phelan Loop/Ocean Avenue (near City College) northward to Geneva Avenue/Schwerin in Daly City (near the Cow Palace). From Geneva Avenue, the 9AX Bayshore ‘A’ Express would turn left on Bayshore Boulevard, continue on San Bruno Avenue, and enter the 101 freeway at Cesar-Chavez, instead of providing local stops along Bayshore and entering the freeway at Silver Avenue. The frequency of the 9AX Bayshore ‘A’ Express would increase from every nine minutes to every 7.5 minutes during the AM peak period, and from every 10 minutes to every 7.5 minutes during the PM peak period. There are no changes proposed to the types of Muni vehicles operating on this route.

A portion of the inbound route would run along San Bruno instead of Bayshore. Three bus zones along Bacon between San Bruno and Bayshore would no longer be served by the 9AX San Bruno ‘A’ Express but would still be served by the 54 Felton. A stop at Bayshore/Silver would no longer be served by the 9AX but would still be served by the 9 San Bruno. A total of 15 bus stops along Ocean and Geneva corridor, including service to the Phelan Loop, would no longer be served by 9AX but would continue to be served by 9X and 9BX (changes to the route of the 98X San Bruno ‘B’ Express are described below).

At the southern terminal in Daly City, outbound buses would turn north onto Allan Street from Geneva Avenue, left at MacDonald Avenue, left at Schwerin Street, and right at Geneva Avenue to head inbound. Two streets – Allan Street between MacDonald and Geneva and MacDonald Avenue between Schwerin and Allan – would be new streets with transit in one direction only with no transit stops. This change of the southern bus terminal would result in the loss of approximately seven unmetered parking spaces on Geneva Avenue between Schwerin Street and Allan Street.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed changes in the route would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, they would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. While the proposed changes would require transit riders to adjust to a new route, the changes are not anticipated to adversely affect Muni’s capacity.

The new terminus for the 9AX, on the south side of Geneva Avenue between Schwerin and Allan, is an industrial area with little demand for on-street parking. In the Planning Department’s assessment, the loss of seven parking spaces in a particular area would not constitute a significant impact. San Francisco does not consider parking supply as part of the permanent physical environment. Parking deficits are considered to be social effects, rather than impacts on the physical environment as defined by CEQA. Under CEQA, a project’s social impacts need not be treated as significant impacts on the environment. The proposed change to the 9AX San Bruno ‘A’ Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the addition of two more buses per hour during the peak periods could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. In addition, while modifications to the route could increase the ambient noise levels on the portions of MacDonald Avenue, Allan Street, and San Bruno Avenue that were not previously served by transit, the increase in noise resulting from the operation of eight buses per hour during the weekday peak periods would not be substantial. Likewise,
the addition of up to two more buses per hour along the route and eight buses per hour on a route not previously served by transit would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

8. 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express

Existing Conditions. The 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express operates from City College/Balboa Park, making local stops along Geneva to Arleta/Bayshore, then express to South of Market, then making local stops to Kearny/North Point Streets. The 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express operates only on weekdays, inbound during the AM peak period and outbound during PM peak period. The 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express operates with a frequency of every nine minutes during the AM peak period and every 10 minutes during the PM peak period. This route may be renumbered as the ‘8AX.’ No changes were proposed to the 9BX San Bruno ‘B’ Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. The proposed project would change the route of the inbound 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express in the Visitacion Valley area (see Figure 4). Inbound from Visitacion Avenue, the 9BX would turn left onto Bayshore Boulevard instead of turning onto Rutland Street to Arleta Street to Bayshore Boulevard. The frequency of both the inbound and outbound 9BX Bayshore ‘B’ Express would increase from every nine and ten minutes, respectively, to every 7.5 minutes for both peak periods. Two existing flag stops – Rutland/Arleta Streets and Arleta/Alpha Streets – would no longer be served by transit. Instead, the 9BX would stop at an existing 9 San Bruno stop at Bayshore Boulevard/Visitacion Avenue. There would be no new bus stops, no new streets with transit, and no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed changes in the route would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, they would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. While the proposed changes would require transit riders to adjust to a new route, the changes are not anticipated to adversely affect Muni’s capacity. No new bus stops would be created; therefore, there would be no loss of parking along the route. The proposed change to the 9BX San Bruno ‘B’ Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the addition of up to two more buses per hour during peak periods could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the addition of up to two more buses per hour during peak periods along the route would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

9. 10 Townsend

Existing Conditions. Prior to the April 30, 2009 approval action, the 10 Townsend ran from Van Ness Avenue/North Point Street to 17th/De Haro Streets. The April 30, 2009 approval action increased the frequency and eliminated segments of the 10 Townsend that serve the Transbay Terminal and north of...
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Broadway and restructured the route to serve the former 12 Folsom/Pacific Streets route from Jackson/Fillmore Streets to Broadway/Sansome Street. The 10 Townsend runs every 20 minutes all day until 8:00 PM, when the 10 Townsend service ends.

Proposed Project. The proposed project differs from the changes approved on April 30, 2009 in that it would extend the 10 Townsend to serve the southern slope of Potrero Hill and San Francisco General Hospital and to terminate at Potrero Avenue and 24th Street. Figure 5 depicts the proposed change to the 10 Townsend. There would be no change in the frequency or type of bus that operates along this route. The reconfigured route in the Potrero Hill neighborhood would mostly traverse along streets that already have transit routes. Flag stops would occur at eight new locations along Connecticut, Wisconsin, and Dakota Streets. One street that does not currently have a transit line would have transit: 23rd Street between Dakota and Arkansas Streets. Four streets that currently have one-way transit would have two-way transit: 23rd Street between Wisconsin and Arkansas Streets; Wisconsin Street between 20th and 22nd Streets; and Connecticut Street between 18th and 20th Streets.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed changes in the route would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, they would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. While the proposed changes would require transit riders to adjust to a new route, the changes are not anticipated to adversely affect Muni’s capacity. New flag bus stops would not result in any loss of parking along the route. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 10 Townsend would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While modifications to the 10 Townsend could increase the ambient noise levels on the portion of 23rd Street that was not previously served by transit, the increase in noise resulting from the operation of three buses per hour in each direction would not be substantial. Likewise, while the modifications to the route could increase the ambient noise levels on the portions of 23rd, Connecticut, Wisconsin, and 2nd Streets that would change from one-way to two-way transit service, the increase in noise resulting from the operation of three buses per hour would not be substantial. Furthermore, the addition of three to six buses per hour on streets not previously served by transit would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

10. 14L Mission Limited

Existing Conditions. The 14L Mission Limited operates from Mission Street/San Jose Avenue in Daly City to downtown San Francisco via Mission Street. Weekdays it operates every 15 minutes between 8:15 AM and 7:00 PM. Saturday it operates every 15 minutes between 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM. No changes were proposed to the 14L Mission Limited in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. The proposed project would extend weekday operations to begin at 5:30 AM instead of 8:15 AM. Saturday operations would extend into the early evening – ending at 5:30 PM instead of 5:00 PM. The 14L would also begin Sunday operations – from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. Weekday frequency would
increase from every 15 minutes to every 10 minutes in the AM peak period, and from every 15 minutes to every 12 minutes midday. New PM peak period service would be added, with a frequency of every 10 minutes. Saturday frequency would be increased from every 15 minutes to every 12 minutes, and the new Sunday service would also operate with 12-minute frequency. The following bus stop changes would occur: stops at 18th and 22nd Streets would be consolidated into one stop at 20th Street; a stop at Sickles Avenue/Acton Street would be removed; and a stop on Mission /11th Streets may be moved to Mission Street/South Van Ness Avenue. There would be no new streets with transit, no loss of parking spaces, and no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The increase in frequency and hours of service of the 14L Mission limited would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The increase in frequency and hours of service would improve the transit level of service along the Mission Street corridor. Furthermore, the proposed increased service of the 14L Mission would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the extension of service hours and the addition of up to six buses per hour in each direction could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the increase in frequency would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

11. 14X Mission Express

Existing Conditions. The 14X Mission Express operates from Mission Street/San Jose Avenue in Daly City to downtown San Francisco via Mission Street every 8.5 minutes inbound during the AM peak period and every 10 minutes outbound during the PM peak period. No changes were proposed to the 14X Mission Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. During the AM peak period, the route of the 14X would remain the same; however, the staging area (where the bus waits before starting its route) would move from Mission between San Jose and Flournoy to the southwest corner of San Jose at Alemany (under I-280). This change is due to the proposed increase in service of the 14L (discussed above) – there would not be enough room for both the 14L and 14X to layover at Mission/San Jose. During the PM peak period, the inbound 14X would change at the end of its route. The final stop would move from Mission/San Jose to Mission/Flournoy. The 14X would then turn right onto Flournoy and right onto San Jose to enter the freeway and return downtown. This change in the inbound route during the PM peak period would result in new transit operating on northbound San Jose between Flournoy and Goethe but no transit stops. This change would also result in the removal of one 14X stop on Mission at San Jose during the p.m. peak, which would still be served by the 14 and 14L. There would be no change in the type of bus that operates along this route.

The frequency of the 14X Mission Express would increase from every 8.5 minutes to every 6.5 minutes during the AM peak period, and from every 10 minutes to every 7.5 minutes during the PM peak period. No new bus stops are proposed. The new layover location on San Jose Avenue at Alemany would result
in the loss of one parking space. There would be no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 14X Mission Express would introduce more buses to the route; instead of seven buses per hour during the AM peak period and six buses per hour during PM peak period, the route would run up to ten and up to eight buses per hour during AM and PM peak periods, respectively. The proposed change to the 14X would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The increase in frequency and hours of service would improve the transit level of service along the Mission Street corridor.

The loss of one parking space would be a less-than-significant effect. As discussed on page 8, the San Francisco does not consider parking supply as part of the physical environment. Parking deficits are considered to be social effects, rather than impacts on the physical environment as defined by CEQA. In addition, the proposed change to the 14X Mission Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While modification to the 14X Mission Express could increase the ambient noise levels along the Mission corridor and on the portion of San Jose Avenue (between Flournoy and Goethe) not previously served by transit, such increase in noise would not be substantial. Furthermore, the addition of two buses on streets not previously served by transit would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

12. 38L Geary Limited

Existing Conditions. The 38L Geary Limited operates from the Outer Richmond along Geary Boulevard and O'Farrell Street to Market Street and the Transbay Terminal every seven minutes weekdays and weekend days, making limited stops. No changes were proposed to the 38L Geary Limited in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. There would be no change to the 38L Geary Limited route. The proposed project would increase the 38L Geary Limited peak period frequency from every seven minutes to every six minutes and extend service from 6:45 PM to 9:00 PM on weekdays. There would be no change to the location of bus stops, no loss of parking spaces, no new streets with transit, and no change to the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 38L Geary Limited would introduce more buses to the route. The proposed change would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The increase in frequency and hours of service would improve the transit level of service along the Geary Street corridor. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 38L Geary Limited would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.
While the extension of morning service by one hour, the extension of evening service by approximately two hours, and the addition of one bus per hour in both directions during peak periods could increase the ambient noise levels along the route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the increase in frequency would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

13. 39 Coit

Existing Conditions. The 39 Coit operates from Coit Tower to Union/Columbus and Fisherman's Wharf every 30 minutes in the mornings and every 20 minutes until 7:46 PM weekdays and weekends. No changes were proposed to the 39 Coit in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. The proposed project would move the existing stop at Stockton/Beach to the existing F Line stop on the north side of The Embarcadero west of Grant Avenue to provide a direct connection to Pier 39 and the F Line. There would be minor changes to the 39 Coit route to accommodate the revised stop, no change to the frequency, and no change of the type of bus used. From the existing inbound route on eastbound North Point Street, the 39 Coit would continue via North Point Street, turn left onto The Embarcadero, stop at the existing F Line stop on the north side of The Embarcadero west of Grant Avenue, turn left using the existing streetcar turnaround between The Embarcadero and Beach Street, turn right onto Beach Street and then continue via the existing outbound route on westbound Beach Street to Powell Street. The proposed project would not add transit service to any new streets and would not require any parking changes.

Environmental Analysis: The proposed change to the 39 Coit does not include any change in frequency or hours of service; thus, the project would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity and would not result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The new stop would occur at an existing F Line stop, and thus would not result in the loss of parking. In addition, the proposed change to the 39 Coit would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

14. 44 O'Shaugnessy

Existing Conditions. The 44 O'Shaugnessy operates from Hunters Point/Bayview to the Richmond District via the Portola District, Silver, O'Shaugnessy, Forest Hill Station, the Inner Sunset, and Golden Gate Park. It operates every 10 minutes during peak periods, every 15 minutes midday and weekends, and every 20 minutes in the evenings. No changes were proposed to the 44 O'Shaugnessy in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. There would be no change to the 44 O'Shaugnessy route. The proposed project would increase the frequency of service from every 10 minutes to every eight minutes during the weekday peak periods, and from every 15 minutes to every 12 minutes midday and weekends. There would be no change to the location of bus stops, no loss of parking spaces, no new streets with transit, and no change to the type of bus that runs along this route.
Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to the 44 O'Shaughnessy would introduce more buses to the route. The proposed change would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity, and thus would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The increase in frequency would improve the transit level of service along the route. Furthermore, the proposed change to the 44 O'Shaughnessy would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While the increase from eight to 7.5 buses per hour during peak periods and the increase from five to 12 buses per hour during midday and weekends could increase the ambient noise levels along the 44 O'Shaughnessy route, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the proposed increase in frequency would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

15. 48 Quintara

Existing Conditions. The 48 Quintara operates from Great Highway/Parkside to Potrero Hill via West Portal Station, Portola, Noe Valley, and the 24th Street BART Station. The weekday frequency of the 48 Quintara is every 10 minutes during the AM peak period and midday, and every 12 minutes during the PM peak period, and every 20 minutes evenings. The weekend frequency of the 48 Quintara is every 20 minutes mornings and evenings, and every 15 minutes midday. No changes were proposed to the 48 Quintara in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. The route of the 48 Quintara would be reconfigured to traverse Potrero Hill along a more southern route. Figure 6 depicts the proposed change to the 48 Quintara. The following streets would be new streets with transit: 25th Street between Connecticut and Pennsylvania Streets; and Pennsylvania Street between 22nd and 25th Streets. There would be no change in the frequency of the 48 Quintara. Five bus stops, all flag stops, would be removed, and one new bus stop would be created at 22nd/Pennsylvania Streets. The creation of the new bus stop would result in the loss of five non-metered parking spaces on 22nd Street at the southeast corner of 22nd Street and Pennsylvania Avenue. There would be no change in the type of bus that operates along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed changes in the route would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, they would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. While the proposed changes would require transit riders to adjust to a new route, the changes are not anticipated to adversely affect Muni's capacity. Likewise, the route change on Potrero Hill would not be expected to result in changes to the overall transit capacity of the 48 Quintara.

In the Planning Department's assessment, the loss of five parking spaces in a particular area would not be a significant impact. As discussed on page 8, the San Francisco does not consider parking supply as part of the physical environment. Parking deficits are considered to be social effects, rather than impacts on the physical environment as defined by CEQA. In addition, the proposed change to the 48 Quintara
would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco.

The presence of transit along a portion of 25th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue that previously did not contain transit routes could result in an increase in noise and a slight increase in air quality emissions along these streets. While modifications to the 48 Quintara could increase the ambient noise levels on streets that were not previously served by transit, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the operation of up to six buses per hour would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.

16. 82X Levi Plaza Express

Existing Conditions. The 82X Levi Plaza Express operates in the inbound (northbound) direction from the Caltrain station at 4th and King Streets to Levi Plaza at Sansome and Filbert Streets. It runs an average of every 40 minutes during the AM peak period. No changes were proposed to the 82X Levi Plaza Express in the April 30, 2009 approval action.

Proposed Project. The frequency of inbound the 82X Levi Plaza Express would be increased from approximately every 40 minutes to every 15 minutes during the AM period. Outbound (southbound) service would be added during the PM peak period at a frequency of every 15 minutes. The outbound service would use existing bus stops along Battery, Clay, Davis, Beale, and 4th Streets, and no new bus stops would be created. The proposed project would add outbound transit to Brannan Street between the Embarcadero and 4th Street, which currently does not have transit, during the PM peak period. There would be no loss of parking spaces or change to the type of bus that runs along this route.

Environmental Analysis. The proposed change to 82X Levi Plaza Express would not generate new trips or reduce roadway capacity; therefore, it would not be expected to result in changes to the existing level of service at any of the intersections along the route. The addition of outbound service during the PM peak period would add five buses per hour, which would improve the transit level of service in the downtown area. The proposed change to the 82X Levi Plaza Express would not result in any changes to the bicycle network or pedestrian circulation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant impacts on overall transportation network within San Francisco.

While modifications to the 82X Levi Plaza Express could increase the ambient noise levels during the PM peak hour on the portion of Brannan Street that was not previously served by transit, the increase in noise would not be substantial. Likewise, the operation of up to four buses during the PM peak hour and the increase from every 40 minutes to every 15 minutes during the AM peak hour would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant noise and air quality impacts.
Cumulative Analysis

The service enhancements to the 16 routes described above would result in relatively minor changes to the overall traffic circulation patterns in San Francisco and would not be expected to worsen traffic conditions. With respect to transit, the purpose of the project is to improve transit reliability and capacity. Implementation of the proposed project would result in the loss of 13 parking spaces and two loading spaces Citywide. Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure would not be affected by this project, and the project would not create hazards for bikes and pedestrians. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant cumulative impacts on the overall transportation network within San Francisco. Furthermore, the proposed project would not result in a substantial increase in noise or pollutant concentrations Citywide. Therefore, the proposed change would result in less-than-significant cumulative noise and air quality impacts. Finally, the installation of a pair of overhead wires and one metal pole on Fulton Street would not result in a significant cumulative visual quality impact.

REMARKS:

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15301, or Class 1, provides for the exemption from environmental review the operation, repair, and maintenance of existing public facilities, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency’s determination. The proposed service enhancements would result in negligible changes to the overall Muni transportation system, which is an existing facility: it would not introduce new transit tracks or network of lines. Therefore, the proposed project would be appropriately exempt under Class 1.

CEQA State Guidelines Section 15300.2 states that a categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. There are no unusual circumstances surrounding the current proposal that would suggest a reasonable possibility of a significant effect. The proposed project would have no significant environmental effects. The project would be exempt under the above-cited classification. For the above reasons, the proposed project is appropriately exempt from environmental review.
Figure 1 – 1AX California ‘A’ Express and 1BX California ‘B’ Express

1AX Express Zone Shifted from 14th Avenue/Park Presidio Boulevard to 8th Avenue
1BX Operates from 6th Avenue instead of 12th Avenue

Figure 2 – 5 Fulton

5-Fulton Short Line between 6th Avenue and Downtown During Peak Periods
5-Fulton Long Line between Ocean Beach and Downtown During Peak Periods
5-Fulton Evening Terminal Eliminated
21-Hayes between 6th Avenue and Stanyan Street Eliminated

Note: This figure depicts Muni route 21; however, changes to Muni route 21 are not part of the proposed project.
Figure 3 – 9 San Bruno and 9L San Bruno Limited

New 9L-San Bruno Limited Service between Areta/San Bruno Avenues and Downtown. Frequency and Vehicle Type Changed on 9-San Bruno.
Figure 4 – 9X San Bruno Express, 9AX San Bruno ‘A’ Express, and 9BX San Bruno ‘B’ Express

Southern boundary of 9BX express zone shifted from Arleta Avenue/Bayshore Boulevard to Bacon Street/San Bruno Avenue

9AX express zone between Geneva Avenue/Santos Street and Arleta Avenue/Bayshore Boulevard changed to regular stop service via two-way service on Visitation Avenue
Figure 5 – 10 Townsend

Reroute 10-Townsend to Serve Pacific Avenue and Jackson Street; Terminate 12-Folsom/Pacific at Van Ness Avenue

Reroute 12-Folsom/Pacific to Serve Sansome and 2nd Streets North of Folsom Street

Extend 10-Townsend to Serve Potrero Hill and SF General Hospital

Reroute 12-Folsom/Pacific to Serve 24th Street BART

Note: This figure depicts Muni route 12; however, changes to Muni route 12 are not part of the proposed project.
Figure 6 – 48 Quintara

48-Quintara/24th Street Rerouted onto Pennsylvania Avenue and 25th Street
Dear Friends,

The San Francisco Examiner of today, Friday, August 28, is carrying another one of those "Library Lacked Proper Oversight" stories. Don't kid yourself; they knew what they were doing.

Of course the article quotes extensively the city officials who claim that it is all better now and the problems have been fixed, completely neglecting the fact that those are the same officials who claimed there was never a problem in the first place. And of course the story does not quote any library activists who might point to the systemic problems or supply an historical perceptive. This is why complete malfeasance is such a small bump in the road for these grifters.

Of course, in response to an immediate disclosure request the Controller's office didn't even send me the report.

The full story is at http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/library-upgrade-project-lacked-proper-oversight-55598937.html

James Chaffee
Library upgrade project lacked proper oversight

By: Katie Worth
Examiner Staff Writer
August 28, 2009

SAN FRANCISCO, LIBRARY, WEST PORTAL — By all accounts, West Portal residents love their recently renovated library. Its historic façade was preserved, it now has more book space and technology, and it’s finally earthquake safe.

A new report by the Controller’s Office, however, charges that oversight of the renovation was lacking and possibly wasteful.

The library is one of 16 in The City chosen for renovations that are being funded by two voter-approved bonds. The first, passed in 2000, authorized $106 million to upgrade libraries. In 2007, the library returned to voters and asked for tens of millions more to complete the projects. At the time, a Controller’s Office report said a lack of oversight, staff vacancies and construction delays had contributed to a $50 million shortfall in the original bond program.

The new report focused on West Portal improvements and on the Department of Public Works, which oversees the projects, and said it did not follow its own procedures with contractor Huey Construction Management Co. Inc.

Public Works did not require the contractor to provide expenditure details, monthly schedule updates or an adequate closeout inspection, the report said. Furthermore, the department approved markups beyond what the contract allowed.

Public Works also waived a $405,000 fee that the construction company was required to pay if the project was not completed on time, according to the report.

Department staff admitted to the lax oversight, saying procedures were “overly burdensome and essentially not necessary because it is ‘boilerplate’ language, which is not customized for a smaller project such as West Portal,” the report said.
Contractor Matthew Huey said he submitted all required documentation and said the audit failed to mention that the project was delayed because of a lawsuit, not because of his company’s actions.

“We were doing the job as usual. There was nothing different about this project,” Huey said.

Public Works spokeswoman Christine Falvey said two years have passed since the West Portal project was completed, adding that the department has already implemented many of the recommendations from the Controller’s Office.

“Since then, a lot of things have changed,” she said.

**Areas to improve**

Highlights of the Controller’s Office audit of the Department of Public Works and the Branch Library Improvement Program:

- The West Portal renovation was not completed by deadline, but Public Works did not assess the construction company the contractually required $405,000 in liquidated damages.
- Public Works did not require complete documentation before approving monthly payments or order changes.
- Public Works did not verify the legitimacy of the contractor’s insurer and instead approved an insurer that was not compliant with state law.
- Order changes that were marked up beyond what was allowed by the contract were approved.

Source: Controller’s Office

kworth@sffexaminer.com

**Find this article at:**

Check the box to include the list of links referenced in the article.
MEMORANDUM

TO: ANGELA CALVILLO
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

THROUGH: EDWIN M. LEE
City Administrator

FROM: JOHN T. NOGUCHI
Director, Convention Facilities Department

DATE: August 25, 2009

RE: Request for Release of Reserve
FY10 Convention Facilities Department Budget

Funds in the amount of $1,043,160 were appropriated in FY10 for use in connection with the City’s contract to operate the Moscone Center by the Budget Committee during budget hearings, but placed on reserve until their use was required.

If these funds are not promptly restored, a reduction in labor at the facility equating to approximately 26,000 hours will have to be implemented. A staffing reduction of this magnitude will make it essentially impossible for the contracted operator to properly maintain and service the Moscone Center for conventions, conferences and tradeshows.

We now request release of the reserved funds and ask this item be scheduled at the next meeting of the Budget Committee.