Petitions and Communications received from October 13, 2009, through October 19, 2009, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on October 27, 2009.

From State Public Utilities Commission, submitting notification that an application has been filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to recover costs associated with agreement from its 2008 long term request for offers. (1)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification letters regarding placement of cellular site antennas at the following sites: (2)
237 Brazil Avenue.
478 Orizaba Street
4300 Anza Street
1200 Haight Street

From State Fish and Game Commission, submitting notice of location change for adoption hearing on proposed regulatory action for Sport Fishing Regulations for years 2010-2012. (3)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointment of Al Perez as a member of the Entertainment Commission effective October 14, 2009 to fill the neighborhood representative seat. Copy: Each Supervisor, Rules Clerk (4)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed legislation to extend parking meter hours in San Francisco. 5 letters (5)

From Office of Citizen Complaints, submitting the Third Quarter 2009 Statistical Report. (6)

From Recreation and Parks Department and the Port, submitting the 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Park Bond Accountability Report. (7)

From James Corrigan, regarding the extended red zones painted adjacent to fire house doors in order to eliminate cars from parking there. (8)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for proposed legislation to extend parking meter hours in San Francisco. 3 letters (9)

From James Trousdale, submitting support for strengthening the Entertainment Commission and opposing the restriction of granting permits for special events. (10)

From Arthur Evans, submitting support for proposed motion that amends Rule 1.4 to provide additional opportunities for public comment at committee meetings. File No. 091107, Copy: Rules Committee (11)
From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to the closure of the Presidio Boulevard entrance. 2 letters (12)

From concerned citizens, urging the Board of Supervisors to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf course. 7 letters (13)

From Laura Cleveland, submitting support for proposed legislation to transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area or to jointly manage the park with the Park Service. 7 letters (14)

From Police Department, submitting notice that the department will hold its first Compstat meeting under the direction of Chief Gascon on Wednesday, October 21, 2009, at the Cathedral Hill Hotel. (15)

From Blake Gray, regarding Comcast's billing practices. (16)

From Merrick Wolfe, submitting opposition to restricting off-leash dog privileges at Fort Funston, namely by changing the area's name from Golden Gate National Recreation Area to Golden Gate National Parks. (17)

From concerned citizens, commenting on proposed ordinance regarding confidentiality of juveniles' immigration status. File No. 091032, 7 letters (18)

From Lisa Roberts, urging the Department of Public Works to trim the trees on the 500 block of Arguello Boulevard. (19)

From concerned citizens, regarding the war in Afghanistan. File No. 091173, 2 letters (20)

From concerned citizen, regarding rent control in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor (21)
What is the 2008 Long Term Request For Offers (LT-RFO)?
The 2008 LT-RFO is a competitive solicitation process that was used by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") to acquire or contract for generation resources to ensure reliable electric supply in PG&E's service area. The need for these new resources was determined by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in Decision No. 07-12-052.

On September 30, 2009, PG&E filed an Application in which PG&E requested the CPUC to approve the terms, conditions, including cost recovery, of an agreement for PG&E to purchase from a third party developer a new 586 MW electrical generating facility (Contra Costa Generating Station) to be constructed in Oakley, California. The facility is forecasted to be operational in mid-2014, at which point it would be transferred by the developer to PG&E.

Detailed information about PG&E's Application:
PG&E's Application requests authorization to recover in electric rates the costs associated with the acquisition and initial operation of the Contra Costa Generating Station in order to improve PG&E's ability to provide a reliable supply of electricity.

Will electric rates increase?
Yes. PG&E is requesting an increase in electric rates for the cost of the new utility owned generation. If the Application is approved by the CPUC, rates for existing bundled customers (those who receive electric generation as well as transmission and distribution services from PG&E) will increase by $227 million, or 1.8%, in 2015 (relative to current rates), which is the first and highest single year of recovery. In general, rates for existing direct access customers (those who purchase their electricity from non-PG&E suppliers) will not be subject to change. Finally, customers who depart PG&E's bundled service in the future may be responsible for a portion of these costs via a non-bypassable charge.

If the Commission approves the Application, a typical bundled residential customer using 550 kilowatt-hours per month will see the average monthly bill change from $163.68 to $166.93, an increase of $3.25 per month. A residential customer using 850 kilowatt-hours per month, which is about twice the baseline allowance, will see the average monthly bill change from $245.78 to $249.03, an increase of $3.25 per month. Individual bills may differ.

PG&E will provide an illustrative table of rate changes by customer class in a bill insert to be mailed directly to customers in October.

THE CPUC PROCESS
The CPUC's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) will review this Application. DRA is an independent arm of the CPUC, created by the Legislature to represent the interests of all utility customers throughout the state and obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. DRA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. DRA's views do not necessarily reflect those of the CPUC. Other parties of record will also participate.

The CPUC may hold evidentiary hearings where parties of record present their proposals in testimony and are subject to cross-examination before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). These hearings are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can present evidence or cross-examine witnesses during evidentiary hearings. Members of the public may attend these hearings, but are not allowed to participate, only listen.

After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearing process, the ALJ will issue a draft decision. When the CPUC acts on this application, it may adopt all or part of PG&E's request, amend or modify it or deny the application. The CPUC's final decision may be different from PG&E's proposed application filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
To request a copy of the application and exhibits or for more details, call PG&E at 1-800-PGE-5000. For TDD (speech-hearing impaired) call 1-800-652-4712.

You may request a copy of the application and exhibits by writing to:
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
LTRFO Application
P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, CA 94102.
You may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor with comments or questions as follows:
Public Advisor's Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2103
San Francisco, CA 94102
1-415-703-2074 or 1-866-649-8390 (toll free)
TTY 1-415-703-8282, TTY 1-866-836-7825 (toll free)
E-mail to public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

If you are writing a letter to the Public Advisor's Office, please include the name of the application to which you are referring. All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned Administrative Law Judge and the Energy Division staff.

Reviewed by the California Public Utilities Commission.
September 30, 2009

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF13144A

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

☑ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.

☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
    City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
    City of San Francisco, Attn: City Planning Director, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF13144A
September 30, 2009
Page 2 of 2

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF13144A
Site Name: PGE CAP @ Brazil
Site Address: 237 Brazil Ave, San Francisco, CA 94112
County: San Francisco
Assessor’s Parcel Number: ROW
Latitude: 37° 43’ 25.40” N
Longitude: 122° 25’ 57.55” W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3
Tower Design: Antennas to be mounted inside proposed radome enclosure.
Tower Appearance: Existing Joint Pole in ROW
Tower Height: 55ft
Size of Buildings: n/a

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attn: City Planning Director</td>
<td>Attn: City Clerk</td>
<td>Attn: City Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: Personal Wireless Service Facility Approval issued 09/24/09
Land Use Permit #: PWSF 09WR-0049
If Land use Approval was not required:
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

October 15, 2009

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF13011C

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.

- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Planning Director, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
1. **Project Location**

   Site Identification Number: SF13011C  
   Site Name: PGE Cap Orizaba  
   Site Address: 478 Orizaba Street, San Francisco, CA 94112  
   County: San Francisco  
   Assessor’s Parcel Number: Public Right-of-ROW  
   Latitude: 37° 43’ 2.47" N  
   Longitude: 121° 27’ 45.30" W

2. **Project Description**

   Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
   Tower Design: Antennas to be mounted on top of PG&E utility pole.  
   Tower Appearance: Existing Joint Pole in ROW  
   Tower Height: 46 ft 6 in  
   Size of Buildings: n/a

3. **Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

   City of San Francisco,  
   Attn: City Planning Director  
   1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
   San Francisco, CA 94102  
   City of San Francisco,  
   Attn: City Clerk  
   1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
   San Francisco, CA 94102  
   City of San Francisco,  
   Attn: City Manager  
   1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
   San Francisco, CA 94102

4. **Land Use Approvals**

   Date Zoning Approval Issued: Personal Wireless Service Facility Approval issued 10/07/09  
   Land Use Permit #: PWSF 09WR-0073  
   If Land use Approval was not required:
October 08, 2009

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C).
Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53309

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

☑ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approvals for the project described in Attachment A.

☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

______________________________________________________________________________________

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should the Commission or the local government agency have any questions regarding this project, or if anyone disagrees with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at 415-703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation dba T-Mobile

Enclosed: Attachment A

CC:
Edwin Lee, City of San Francisco City Administrator, City Hall Room 362, SF, CA 94102
John Rahaim, City of San Francisco Director of Planning, 1650 Mission Street, SF, CA 94121
Nancy Alfaro, County of San Francisco Clerk, City Hall Room 168, SF, CA 94102
Ranjit Parhar, City of San Francisco Public Works Dept., 4th Floor, 875 Stevenson SF, CA 94102
ATTACHMENT A

1. **Project Location**

   Site Identification Number: SF53309
   Site Name: PGE Cap 34th Ave.
   Site Address: 4300 Anza St.
   County: San Francisco
   Assessor's Parcel Number: n/a, City of San Francisco right-of-way
   Latitude: 37.777799
   Longitude: -122.49437

2. **Project Description**

   Number of Antennas to be installed: Three antennas
   Tower Design: Antennas to be mounted on top of existing 48-foot PG&E utility pole.
   Tower Appearance: No new tower.
   Tower Height: n/a
   Size of Building: n/a

3. **Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>County of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Public Works: Ranjit Parhar</td>
<td>MEA Environmental Planner: Don Lewis</td>
<td>County Clerk: Nancy Alfaro</td>
<td>City Administrator: Edwin Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875 Stevenson Street 4th Floor</td>
<td>1650 Mission Street 4th Floor</td>
<td>City Hall Room 168</td>
<td>City Hall Room 362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94121</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Land Use Approvals**

   Date Zoning Approval Issued: Personal Wireless Service Facility Approval issued 10/6/2009
   Land Use Permit #: PWSF 09WR-0106
   If Land use Approval was not required: n/a
October 14, 2009

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C). Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF43586D:

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

☐ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.

☐ (b) No land use approval is required because ____________________________

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should the Commission or the local government agency have any questions regarding this project, or if anyone disagrees with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION a Delaware corporation

Enclosed: Attachment A

CC: City of San Francisco, Planning Director, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF43586D
Site Name: JPA @ 1200 Haight
Site Address: 1200 Haight Street, San Francisco, CA 94117
County: San Francisco
Assessor’s Parcel Number: 1234/005
Latitude: 37°46'14.77''N
Longitude: 122°26'31.58''W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3
Tower Design: JPA Top Mounted
Tower Appearance: Top mounted radome with cabinets attached to pole
Tower Height: 46.6 Feet
Size of Building: N/A

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
<th>City of San Francisco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Planning Director</td>
<td>Attn: City Manager</td>
<td>Attn: City Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
<td>1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: October 6, 2009

Land Use Permit #: 09-WR-0091

If Land use Approval was not required: .
Notice of Location Change for Adoption Hearing on Proposed Regulatory Action for 2010–2012 Sport Fishing Regulations

The December 10, 2009 Fish and Game Commission hearing noticed for West Sacramento, California has been scheduled to be relocated to:

The Radisson Hotel
Los Angeles International Airport (LAX)
6225 West Century Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90045.

The relocation of the adoption hearing constitutes a change in the notices of proposed regulatory action published in the California Regulatory Notice Register 2009, as follows:

- Sport Fishing Regulations for Years 2010-2012; Notice Z-2009-0818-02, 35-Z (August 28, 2009)
- Sport Fishing Regulations for Years 2010-2012 continuation notice; Notice Z-2009-0915-01, 39-Z (September 25, 2009)

The published notification of further discussion of the items listed above, set for Thursday, November 5, 2009, in Woodland, has not changed.

For additional information, please refer to the appropriate Notice Registers; contact the Fish and Game Commission staff at (916) 653-4899; or go to the Commission's website at www.fgc.ca.gov/regulations/new/2009/proposedregs09.asp#sf.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: October 6, 2009

Jon K. Fischer
Deputy Executive Director
October 14, 2009

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Charter Section 4.117, I have appointed Al Perez as a member of the Entertainment Commission effective today, October 14, 2009 to fill the neighborhood representative seat. Al Perez will fill a seat that was previously held by Nicky Calma, and the term of Al Perez will expire on July 1, 2013.

Please see the attached biography which will illustrate that Al Perez’s qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Liaison to Commissions, Jason Chan at 415-554-6253.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
Notice of Appointment

October 14, 2009

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Al Perez to serve as member of the Entertainment Commission for a 4-year term commencing October 14, 2009, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 4.117.

I am confident that Al Perez will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor
COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP

Pistahan Parade and Festival
South of Market | 2002 – present
As president of the Filipino American Arts Exposition (FAAE), organizes the annual Pistahan Parade and Festival at Yerba Buena Gardens in the South of Market neighborhood and collaborates with community organizations such as the SOMA Seniors (Dance Pavilion), Filipino Education Center's Saling Bata Program (Creativity Pavilion), Realizing Our Youth As Leaders (Beverage Booth), One World Institute (Art Pavilion) and Pacific Ethnomusical (Heritage Pavilion), among others. The Pistahan Parade brings together contingents that include community leaders, elected officials, nonprofit organizations, corporate organizations, and folk dance groups in celebration of Filipino American culture and community. Recruits and motivates hundreds of volunteers to be part of the staff and crew to plan, organize, and execute this free community festival. The Festival's goals are for festival attendees to: CONNECT, DISCOVER, and CELEBRATE the many talents and achievements of the Filipino American community.

Filipino Heritage Games
AT&T Park, Oakland Coliseum, ORACLE Arena, Buckshaw Stadium, HP Pavilion | 2008 – present
Developed partnerships with local professional sports franchises to celebrate Filipino culture and community during Filipino Heritage Night with the San Francisco Giants, Oakland Raiders, Golden State Warriors, Sacramento Kings and San Jose Earthquakes. Produced pre-game and in-game cultural performances to celebrate and honor Filipino American heritage. Galvanized support from Filipino elected officials, community leaders, professional groups, nonprofit organizations, and general community. These events showcase Filipino culture to mainstream sports audiences to broaden awareness of the Filipino American community in the Bay Area, and simultaneously introduce these all-American sports to the Filipino community, especially to Filipino immigrants. Our goal is to bridge the gap and deepen the understanding between these communities.

STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

San Francisco Hep B Free Campaign
City-wide campaign | 2008 – present
The San Francisco Hep B Free is a city-wide campaign to turn San Francisco into the first Hepatitis B free city in the nation. This unprecedented campaign will provide free and low-cost hepatitis B testing and vaccinations to Asian and Pacific Islander (API) adults at locations throughout San Francisco, since APIs have the highest risk of hepatitis B of any ethnic group in the nation. Key member of the committee that launched a city-wide public awareness campaign to raise awareness about the deadly effects of this virus and the importance of getting tested and treatment. As part of the marketing team, developed the logo design, marketing campaign (flyers, print and outdoor advertising) and collateral materials for the Gala Dinner.

Asian Heritage Street Celebration
Japantown, Sunset District, SOMA, Civic Center | 2006 – 2009
This outdoor festival is the only street fair in San Francisco that aims to bring the various Asian communities together — Chinese, Japanese, Filipino, Thai, Cambodian, Vietnamese, and Hmong — to commemorate Asian Heritage Month. Each year, this festival travels around San Francisco and celebrates a different neighborhood where Asian communities have taken root. As a member of the festival steering committee, worked closely with community organizers and stakeholders from various Asian groups to organize this community event that celebrates our common Asian heritage and aspirations.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Mayor's Reception Celebrating Filipino American History Month
San Francisco City Hall Rotunda | 2005 – 2009
In partnership with the Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services and the Filipino Community Liaison, helped organize and promote this community event since its inception in 2005. Hosted by Mayor Gavin Newsom and attended by elected officials, community leaders and the general public to celebrate Filipino American Heritage Month. Live entertainment have included Philippine headline artists and local folk groups, showcasing outstanding Filipino talents. This event brings together the Filipino American community in celebration of Filipino American history month.

Carriers of Tradition: Ritual, Rhythm and Reason
San Francisco City Hall | 10/17/05 – 10/31/05
Installation of Philippine folk art exhibit, called "Carriers of Tradition: Ritual, Rhythm and Reason," which included Philippine artifacts from the Northern Cordilleras to the ancient tribes of Mindanao that were rarely displayed in public. This exhibit raised awareness and education about the vanishing traditional art of Philippine indigenous societies. This month-long exhibit was free to the public and was seen and appreciated by thousands of people who passed through San Francisco City Hall.

EVENT PRODUCTION

"The Vagina Monologues"
The Herbst Theatre, The Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, Skirball Center for the Performing Arts at NYU | 2004 – 2009
Member of the local production of Eve Ensler's "The Vagina Monologues," an annual social advocacy campaign by the Filipina Women's Network to raise awareness and stop the cycle of violence within the Filipino American community in San Francisco and across the country. Designed the marketing materials for the theater production and produced "The V-Diaries," an anti-domestic violence magazine, resource guide and playbook (50,000 distribution) as a supplement to the play. Also traveled to Washington, DC and New York City to help produce the play and deliver the message to the Filipino American community in the East Coast.

AWARDS

Presidential Award for Filipino Individuals Overseas, Nominee
Philippine Consulate in San Francisco
Certificate of Recognition
Senator Jackie Speier
Certificate of Recognition
Assemblywoman Fiona Ma
Kababayan Award
Outstanding Citizen Award
LBC / Manila Bulletin
Pamana Arts Legacy Award
Filipino American Arts Exposition

MEMBERSHIP

Filipino American Arts Exposition, President
San Francisco-Manila Sister City Committee
2006 Cultural and Trade Mission to Manila with Mayor Newsom
2005 Presidential Award Mission to Manila with Mayor Brown
San Francisco-Shanghai Sister City Committee
2010 San Francisco Week in Shanghai at the International Expo

PiliDesign, Founding Member
Asian American Heritage Street Celebration, Board Member
Filipina Women's Network, Board Member
American Institute of Graphic Arts
COMMUNITY EVENTS

2009

Salo Salo
Monthly Dine-Around Celebrating Filipino Cuisine
Various Filipino Restaurants in the Bay Area

10/21/06
Filipino Centennial Gala Dinner & Ball
Westin St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco

05/30/06
A Salute to the Young Champion: Cheryl Burke
Alexandra Room, Westin St. Francis Hotel, San Francisco

05/17/06
Fund a Need, Raise A Paddle
Leyte Landslide Disaster Relief Live Auction
Veterans War Memorial Building, San Francisco

02/27/06
Reception and Formal Ceremony for Tom Campbell
Green Room, Veteran's War Memorial Building

11/18/04
Presidential Reception for Her Excellency
President Gloria Macapagal Arroyo
Hyatt Regency, Burlingame

11/16/04
Cecile Azarcon’s 25th Year Anniversary Concert
Herbst Theatre, San Francisco

10/21/04
A Day Leyte Landing 50th Anniversary Celebration
Veteran's War Memorial Building

2002 - 2004
Pamana Arts Legacy Awards
Action Theatre, San Francisco

PARADES AND WALKS

02/11/06
San Francisco Chinese New Year Parade
(Contingent Leader)
Downtown, San Francisco

10/22/05
Breast Cancer Walk (Team Leader)
Golden Gate Park, San Francisco

2000 - 2005
AIDS Walk San Francisco (Team Leader)
Golden Gate Park, San Francisco

05/29/05
Carnaval San Francisco Grand Parade
(Contingent Leader)
Mission District, San Francisco

10/23/04
Making Strides Against Breast Cancer
(Team Leader)
Golden Gate Park, San Francisco

06/27/04
Gay Pride Parade, Filipino Contingent
(Contingent Leader)
Downtown, San Francisco

CULTURAL CELEBRATIONS

10/04/09
Filipino Heritage Celebration
Asian Art Museum, San Francisco

09/27/08
Philippine Reef Exhibit, Grand Opening
California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco

2002 - 2008
Sine Sine! Film Festival
Main Library, San Francisco
Metreon’s Action Theatre, San Francisco
4 Star Theatre, San Francisco
Century Theatres, Daly City

2006 - 2007
Asian Heritage Month Celebration
Herbst Theatre, Berkeley

09/23/06
Bayanihan National Dance Company of the Philippines Concert
Zellerbach Hall, Berkeley

03/12/06
Bud Dajo Centennial
Veteran’s War Memorial, San Francisco

09/14/05
World Movie Premiere: Manny Pacquiao’s “Licensed Fist”
PG&E Auditorium, San Francisco

08/11/05
Tanghalan Onstage
Filipino Theater Festival
Off-Market Theatre, San Francisco

03/11/05
After 9/11: Messages from the World and Images of Ground Zero
Veteran’s War Memorial, San Francisco

PAGEANTS AND FASHION SHOWS

08/23/08
Miss Asian America Pageant (Judge)
Palace of Fine Arts, San Francisco

2005 - 2007
Macy’s Passport 25th Anniversary (Volunteer Usher)
Fort Mason Center, San Francisco

05/25/06
Tertulia: Arkiteknik Fashion Show (Volunteer)
Philippine Consulate Building, San Francisco

11/27/05
Ginoong Pilipinas (Volunteer)
Search for the Best Filipino Male in America
Palace of Fine Arts, San Francisco

05/29/04
Flawless de Mayo (Volunteer)
Filipino Gay Beauty Pageant
Herbst Theatre, San Francisco
To Whom It May Concern at SFMTA, Mayor Newsom, and San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Are you ALL totally brain dead? Mayor Newsom, you are excepted. You seem to get it. Did you not see and hear the hue and cry that went on over in Oakland? And they just extended the parking meters by two hours! The small business owners are still ticked off enough to want to recall the board of Supervisors even after they rolled back the meter hours.

I guess you just want to see ALL the small businesses die in the North Beach, Chinatown, and Inner Mission.

My boss has a small restaurant in North Beach (Caffe DeLucchi), and he's barely making it (from the restaurant business). Since he has a couple of other businesses that do not count on the kinds of customers a restaurant needs, it would not be a problem for him to close up his restaurant and put 15 to 20 people out of work. But, I guess you don't really care about that, do you? All you see is the auto driver as a cash cow. Well, the cow is about to run dry.

I, for one, will no longer go to San Francisco for dinner or movies or any of the other things I used to do in San Francisco. I can park for free down here where I live on the peninsula. I went to a dentist at 490 Post for almost 30 years. One of the reasons I no longer go there is how much it now costs to park near his office (Sutter-Stockton Garage). I now give my money to a dentist here in San Mateo (free parking). I go to department stores (like Macy's) in the Hillsdale Mall (free parking). Instead of going to Flax on Market Street for art supplies, I go to Michael's in San Mateo. (free parking). Instead of going into North Beach to The Saloon for live music, I go to the Little Fox and Fox Theaters in Redwood City, and St. James Gate in San Carlos (free parking).

You really need to be more aware of who is helping the small businesses in San Francisco to survive.

Sincerely,

John McDonald
San Mateo, CA
I strongly oppose shaking down the drivers of this city by extending meter hours. It will force people to park supervisors have not learned from Oakland's mistakes.

Why not rebalance the budget by reconsidering the services that attract indigents from other parts of the Bay.

Don Miller
Hello,

I am self employed, live in the sunset and work in the SOMA area of San Francisco. I have lived here since I was 8 years old.

This proposal to extend hours of meters and vary parking ticket prices is outrageous. I also vote, and can assure you that anyone responsible for passing this measure will never get my support again. Maybe Mayor Newsom could consider releasing one of the many useless positions at the top of city management instead of constantly hitting the working people of San Francisco first.

In this economy people with real ideas should be given a voice instead of these "pass the buck" attempts at decimating the middle and working class people who have fought to still stay here in San Francisco through all the years. So, let me get this straight, all of the clubs and restaurants who are already hurting due to people not having as much disposable income now have to bear the brunt of years of irresponsible budgeting on the part of city management. Unbelievable!

If this proposal is what the SF Gate is reporting it is utterly ridiculous!

Siofra Byrne
San Francisco CA 94116
I was recently advised that there is a motion to increase the Metered Time for street parking to include 6pm - midnight.

I've been searching around your website for information, but haven't had any luck.

Can you fwd me information on this?

I must say as a local resident and business owner on Broadway that the extension is a horrible idea with much worse consequences than positives.

As it is, I'm scraping to get by in this economy but trying to do my part by going to work every day to create Sales that generate Sales taxes for the city, earning a wage that gets taxed and going shopping in the affected areas to support the local economy and increase those businesses Sales taxes.

The idea that this city needs to continually shift fiscal responsibility to it's working population to subsidize its own mismanagement is rude and unjustifiable.

Just recently, we've already faced with a tripling of the cost to park for one hour, plus an increase in the fine for running over that time.

I can foresee already the extra demand on parking not being met and the parking garages further gouging us as they increase their already exorbitant rates to combat the lack of and expense of street parking.

Not all of us get government cars, government garages and budgets to pay for these things.

This is a driving city, as such we need a place to park. It would cost me $24 to park my car while I worked an 8 hour shift. Which by the way significantly cuts into my wage.

Do you really expect me to move my car every hour? Do you really expect me to go feed the meter every hour.

It's unproductive.

There must be a better way.

Regards.
Subject: SFMTA Extended Meter Hours Study

Robert Boomer  
Secretary of the Board of Directors  
S.F. Municipal Transportation Agency

Attached is a letter to the SFMTA Board regarding SFMTA's staff's Extended Meter Hours Study, which is on the Board's agenda for tomorrow, Tuesday, October 20. Please assure that all Members of the SFMTA Board have this letter in time for their deliberations regarding the Study, and that a copy of the letter remains in the Study's permanent file for future reference. A manually-signed hardcopy of the letter is being mailed to you today.

Please contact me if there are questions or if other information is required. Thank you for your assistance.

Richard Magary, Administrator  
MUMC-Merchants of Upper Market & Castro

10/19/2009 11:45pdt

cc's per attached letter MumcLtrMTAParkMts101909F.doc
October 19, 2009

Via email to MTABoard@SFMTA.com
and
via FAX to 415/701-4502

Board of Directors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
c/o Roberta Boomer, Secretary to the Board of Directors
One South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor
San Francisco CA  94103

Re: SFMTA Board Meeting Agenda for October 20, 2009
   Extended Meter Hours Study

Ladies and gentlemen,

The Board of Directors of MUMC – Merchants of Upper Market & Castro has carefully considered the recommendations in SFMTA staff’s Extended Meter Hours Study which is scheduled to be presented to you on October 20. Our Board is strongly OPPOSED to the Study’s recommendations for extended parking meter hours and Sunday metering on Upper Market, Church, Castro, 18th and adjacent Streets.

Small businesses, including MUMC’s constituents in the Castro-Upper Marker neighborhood, face historically unprecedented economic challenges and difficulties in today’s weak economy. They struggle daily to attract and maintain customers in the face of predatory pricing and marketing by competing mall retailers in nearby cities, where ample free parking is available. They work hard to reinforce an important “shop, eat, drink locally” message to San Francisco shoppers.

SFMTA staff’s recommendations for significantly extended operating hours for parking meters and Sunday metering are counter-productive to the needs of our local merchants and their customers. What the staff should have recommended is increasing the viable parking alternatives in our parking-starved neighborhoods. Once there are parking alternatives in place, extended meter hours and Sunday metering can be considered.

.... continued
MUMC is the merchants’ association serving San Francisco’s Upper Market and Castro neighborhood. There are over 250 currently-paid MUMC members, and MUMC serves over 650 businesses from Octavia Blvd. to Castro Street, and the surrounding areas.

MUMC urges the SFMTA Board to REJECT staff’s recommendations in the Extended Hours Parking Meter Study. Thank you for considering our comments.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Stephen H. Adams

Stephen H. Adams, President

cc’s via email: Steve Kawa, Mike Farrah - Mayor’s Office
S.F. Board of Supervisors
ExtendedHours@SFMTA.com
Jay Primus, SFMTA
Regina Dick-Endrizzi, S.F. Office of Small Business
S.F. Council of District Merchant Associations
Patricia Aleman, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
MUMC Board of Directors
Muni’s need for money is like incurable cancer - it just spreads as it destroys the livability of San Francisco for families and seniors. During this recession where many of us are unemployed and have to cut back on our spending, Muni should do the same thing: freeze all capital improvement projects, especially extension of the third street rail tunnel into chinatown; freeze Muni employee salaries and increase their portion of benefit costs; eliminate all free parking for city officials and employees; and charge for disabled parking before making the city a fee based prison by inflicting meter torture on us at night and Sundays - the few times left for those of us who are not rich like you (or live in the suburbs) to be able to go to enjoy our city without paying another surcharge to fund your bloated bureaucracy. Times are tough and it is immoral to use a temporary economic downturn as an excuse to expand meter torture to evenings and weekends forever.

Jacquie Proctor
94127
Attached is the Office of Citizen Complaints 3rd Quarter 2009 Statistical Report.

OCC_3Q09.pdf

Thanks,

Pamela Thompson
Executive Assistant
Police-Office of Citizen Complaints
25 Van Ness Avenue #700
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-241-7721
www.sfgov.org/occ
The Port of San Francisco and the Recreation and Parks Department would like the authorization to precede with the sale of $87,000,000 in General Obligation Bonds. This is a portion of the $185,000,000 in general obligation bonds that were approved by the voters on February 5th, 2008 for the improvement and construction of parks and open space (Proposition A). This will be the 2nd sale and will fund construction of seven RPD projects, Citywide Programs, and Waterfront Parks; and planning and design of the remaining five RPD major capital projects, (Citywide Programs, and Waterfront Parks. Approximately $400,000 of the 2nd Bond sale will be reserved for bond issuance expenses and $87,000 will go toward the Citizens’ Oversight Audit Fund.

Attached, please find a copy of the 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Park Bond Accountability Report for the 2nd Bond Sale, dated September 2009.

Should you have any questions, please contact Rhoda Parhams, Recreation and Parks Department’s Capital Program Manager, at (415) 581-2544 or Tina Olson, Port of San Francisco’s Deputy Director of Finance and Administration, at (415) 274-0445.

cc: Citizens General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee
San Francisco, CA 94127
October 18, 2009

S.F.F.D.
698 2nd St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

Dear Chief of Department Hayes-White:

It appears to this San Francisco taxpayer that the SFFD has engaged in fraud when it requested from DPT that "extended red-zones" be painted adjacent to fire house doors in order to eliminate cars from parking there. The argument, with which I agree, was that Fire Engine and Truck drivers would have clearer vision laterally on exiting the firehouse; that the driver could make sharper turns if heavy traffic conditions warranted it making for a greater likelihood the emergency vehicle could respond; and backing those big rigs into the firehouse with cars parked there would add additional accidents and damage to both rigs and vehicles parked that close to the firehouse.

Yet the pictures below taken last week, seem to indicate the above logic does not apply to "firefighters private vehicles" parked in "red zones" 24/7.

It is my accusation that you as Chief of Department, the Deputy Chief, the Assistant and Battalion Chiefs, all consider free parking for firefighters more important than the SFFD's quickest possible response time to an Emergency. Or else, it would follow that the "red zones" are not necessary and I would be happy to pass that information along to DPT and have them removed.

I would like you to respond to my accusation above.

Quite recently, Deputy Chief Gardner reiterated the need for "vehicle free red zones" in these two recent E Mails to me:

Subject: Re: Assignments and "Red Zones"
Date: September 18, 2009 5:24:52 PM PDT

Mr. Corrigan,
The red zone in front of Firehouses has some effect on our response times. It stops vehicles from parking and blocking our doors. It also allows the drivers to their morning checks of the apparatus without blocking traffic.

... Respectfully, Patrick T. Gardner Deputy Chief - Operations San Francisco Fire Department

From: Patrick.Gardner@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Fwd: An assortment
Date: October 7, 2009 5:52:23 PM PDT
To: marylouc:

Mr. Corrigan,

...

As for the red zones, the Fire Department does not advocate parking of personal vehicles in red zones. They are to be used for our apparatus in the course of daily operation.

Respectfully,

Patrick T. Gardner Deputy Chief - Operations San Francisco Fire Department

There are conclusions that can be made when you allow on a daily, weekly and yearly basis, the private automobiles of firefighters to park in areas that slow or could slow response times to life and death situations. None of the conclusions are flattering.

I look forward to your response.

Sincerely yours,

James J. Corrigan
Dear MTA Board, Mayor Newsom, and Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you in strong support of extended parking meter hours - it is a clear win-win-win-win-win situation:

- Merchants get more customers from faster turn over of parking space.
- Drivers save time by not endlessly circling looking for parking.
- Transit riders may be spared further fare increases and service cuts with the revenue.
- Pedestrians and cyclists are safer with fewer drivers looking for a parking space instead of ahead at the road.
- Nearby residents avoid breathing auto exhaust from circling cars.

Please don't let a small but vocal handful of short-sighted folks derail such an obvious benefit to all!

Regards,

Elliot Schwartz
Potrero Hill
Drivers will howl and scream and beat their fists and shake with rage....but you MUST
do the right thing for the City and introduce extended hours for parking meters
throughout the City.

THE MAJORITY of households in my district (5) don't even own a car....yet the quality
of our lives continue to be negatively impacted by the City's continued practice of
catering to daily car drivers. My window sills (and probably my lungs!) are coated with
automotive particulate from the 10s of thousands of cars that pass near my City home
every day. I awaken each day to the roar of traffic, and the glasses in my kitchen
 cabinet rattle every time a truck speeds by. When I leave home to catch a bus to work,
I take my life into my hands simply crossing the street. Cars are often parked on the
sidewalk, forcing me to walk out into traffic. When the bus FINALLY comes (delayed
due to budget cuts), my fare has gone up dramatically.

Time for car drivers to share in the pain financially. If the SFMTA is truly serious about
achieving your sustainability goal (50% reduction in car trips within the City in 25 years),
then the time to act is now.

Be brave and hold your ground on this issue, knowing that the majority of San
Franciscans, who walk/bike/take Muni to work everyday (NOT the MINORITY who
chose to drive), are behind you 100%.

Thanks for listening.

Michael Smithwick
Dear MTA Board,

I'm writing to express my support for the new parking meter study, and the extension of parking meter hours.

We need to balance the dire service cuts and fare increase San Francisco residents have already weathered this year. Extending parking meter hours will be good for business because they will promote turnover of cars parked while motorists visit nearby merchants.

Sincerely,

Jean Lamming
Honorable Mayor and Board of Supervisor Committee members;

I support the strengthening of the Entertainment Commission. I do not support the moratoriums that will restrict the granting of special event permits because there is no proof that special events are any problem that needs to be solved through government action.

I am a San Francisco resident and I think that the entertainment venues in the city are safe, well run and that the Entertainment Commission does a good job managing all of them. The few problem venues will be required to fix their problems faster if the commission has additional power that 1060/1070 will provide.

I pay taxes, live, work, and play in San Francisco and believe that well managed entertainment of all types is vital for a city like ours. It is one of the reasons I choose to live here. Without good live music venues there is less of a reason for me to live in this city.

Sincerely,

James Trousdale
resident of District 2
Dear Friends and Neighbors,

Last Thursday (October 15), the supes' Rules Committee defeated a measure that would have allowed greater freedom of speech to San Franciscans who address the board's committees during public-comment period.

Currently, members of the public can only speak about items placed on the committee's agenda by its chair. Typically, each speaker gets three minutes or so.

The change, introduced by Michela-Alioto Pier, would have given the public a chance to speak on any subject, not just items on the agenda. The amount of time would still be limited.

Alioto-Pier introduced the measure because of an incident involving Chris Daly. He had scheduled an item on the agenda of a committee but abruptly removed it from the agenda when the committee met. He would not permit people to speak during public comment who had come down to City Hall to give their input on the item.

The committee defeated Alioto-Pier's proposal by a two-to-one vote. Chair David Campos and Vice Chair Chris Daly voted against. Both said it was unnecessary.

The vote was ironic because Daly's arbitrary behavior led to the necessity of proposing the change. Also, at a recent meeting of the Public Safety Committee dealing with immigration, Campos orchestrated events like a rigged TV quiz show.

Campos packed the audience with supporters and coached them on what to say during public comment. Daly and David Chiu attacked the motives and character of people who disagreed with Campos' measure. Any spontaneous and independent member of the public who wanted to speak, like you or me, got hit by a big snow job.

The supes are often disrespectful when members of the public speak. I saw Ross Mirkarimi furiously typing away at this computer, totally oblivious to the proceedings, while members of the public addressed his committee.

And I saw former supe Jake McGoldrick walk out of a committee he was chairing to hold an impromptu interview with press in the hallway during public comment. He triumphantly announced to TV reporters that the committee members had already decided the issue before the vote was taken and while members of the public were earnestly expressing their views.
Alioto-Pier’s measure would have given the voters a little more leverage in holding the politicians accountable. But Daly and Campos didn’t want that.

At the same time, these two pose as champions of progressive values. Campos, in fact, compares himself to Harvey Milk.

Yours for rationality in politics,

Arthur Evans

*****
Hello,

I am writing to express my extreme displeasure with the closure of the Presidio Blvd entrance due to a traffic calming study. I am a San Francisco resident and enjoy living here very much. One of the aspects I enjoy is being able to go to Crissy Field and enjoy the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The closure of the Presidio Blvd entrance has made this extremely difficult. I have to drive an extra 15 minutes each direction and in increased traffic on Arguello Blvd.

I don't mind if there are additional traffic calming devices, ie. speedbumps placed on Presidio Blvd, but please do NOT cut me off from Crissy Field!

Thank you for your attention.

Sean P. Cafferty
resident of Midtown Terrace
registered voter that votes in EVERY election

Be Yourself @ mail.com
Choose From 200+ Email Addresses
Get a Free Account at www.mail.com!
To presidio@presidiotrust.gov, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org

Subject Traffic calming in the Presidio is awful

You may be trying to stop the park being a cut-thru, but you're negatively affecting the people who live and work in the Presidio. We don't want our routes cut-off like that. Please re-open the Presidio Gate and leave it open.

Thanks very much.

Dave
Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Francisco resident, writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course.

[My name is Ben Irving and I grew up in Sharp Park. the golf course was a place to go as a kid, young adult and now as an adult. When I was a kid, my friends and I took hikes on the levy road and explored the nature of the golf course area. As a young adult, we would go try to play golf, surf fish near the golf course and just go for walks to enjoy the area.

As an adult, I play golf there with my dad and friends, participate in the fund raisers for the local kids and sometimes just go to the area for the memories. I have a 6 month old baby boy now, and I live in Alameda. I hope to be able to take him to the course some day and share my experiences with him about the course and the nature surrounding the course.

Save the course and save the area,

Ben Irving]
Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Francisco resident, writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course.

I am a retired resident of Noe Valley and I vote.

It would be insane to close Sharp Park. It is ALREADY a wildlife refuge and the golfers who play there enjoy it as much for that reason as for the golf. Please say “no” to this proposal and keep Sharp Park golf course the treasure that it is for middle class people, young and old.

Mary Lou Manalli
Cesar Chavez st.
Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am a San Francisco resident, writing to urge you to preserve the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course.

[INSERT PERSONALIZED MESSAGE HERE]
Dear Mayor Newsom, Mayor Lancelle, Honorable Supervisors and Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to preserve and refurbish the historic 18-hole Sharp Park Golf Course as well as the scenic Lincoln Park.

I am not a San Francisco resident, but I am a life long resident of the Bay Area. I am the son of a PGA Class A Professional and have grown up around the game of golf and I am quite fond of all the public golf facilities that the City has to offer. I just finished volunteering at the Presidents Cup, which was a fabulous event for Harding Park and the City of San Francisco.

Harding Park is a great example of how a restored golf course can bring so many positives as well as revenue for the City. Sharp Park and Lincoln Park provide good affordable golf for not only San Francisco residents, but all area residents like myself from the south bay. Furthermore, the entire bay area has a rich golf heritage and is blessed with a number of great courses. Unfortunately, the majority of those courses are private and not accessible to most of the area’s population. We must preserve those great golf gems that are available to all!

Best Regards,

Scott Smithwick, AIA
Associate

Palo Alto, CA 94306
Thank you for taking the first step to transform our publicly owned land at Sharp Park from an exclusive, underused, and budget-breaking golf course into a community-centered model for endangered species recovery, natural flood control, outdoor recreation, and sustainable land use.

I strongly support Supervisor Mirkarimi's proposed ordinance to transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area or to jointly manage the park with the Park Service. The ordinance would also require the city's Recreation and Parks Department to develop a plan, schedule, and budget for restoring Sharp Park habitat for endangered species on the site, a welcome change from the mismanagement of recent years. I urge the city and county of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species. Please follow through by passing this important legislation.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

The golf course is a significant money-loser for San Francisco that makes no sense to maintain at a time when the city has cut the Recreation and Parks Department staff and the long-term golf prospects at the site are slim. Combine that with the problems with endangered species, wetland destruction, flooding, and sea-level rise, and it is clear that restoration of Sharp Park to a natural state is the best option for the area.

Ecological restoration is the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

San Francisco's 2004 recreational study shows that the number-one recreational demand in San Francisco is more hiking and biking trails -- and golf came in 16th. San Francisco already has six public golf courses, and about 50 other golf courses are within a 45-minute drive of Sharp Park. Restoring Sharp Park will help meet recreational demand through hiking and biking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities, a world-class nature center, a gateway to the San Mateo County Golden Gate National Recreation Area lands, and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Please transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service or jointly manage the property with the Service to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.
Thank you for taking the first step to transform our publicly owned land at Sharp Park from an exclusive, underused, and budget-breaking golf course into a community-centered model for endangered species recovery, natural flood control, outdoor recreation, and sustainable land use.

I strongly support Supervisor Mirkarimi's proposed ordinance to transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area or to jointly manage the park with the Park Service. The ordinance would also require the city's Recreation and Parks Department to develop a plan, schedule, and budget for restoring Sharp Park habitat for endangered species on the site, a welcome change from the mismanagement of recent years. I urge the city and county of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species. Please follow through by passing this important legislation.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

The golf course is a significant money-loser for San Francisco that makes no sense to maintain at a time when the city has cut the Recreation and Parks Department staff and the long-term golf prospects at the site are slim. Combine that with the problems with endangered species, wetland destruction, flooding, and sea-level rise, and it is clear that restoration of Sharp Park to a natural state is the best option for the area.

Ecological restoration is the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

San Francisco's 2004 recreational study shows that the number-one recreational demand in San Francisco is more hiking and biking trails -- and golf came in 16th. San Francisco already has six public golf courses, and about 50 other golf courses are within a 45-minute drive of Sharp Park. Restoring Sharp Park will help meet recreational demand through hiking and biking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities, a world-class nature center, a gateway to the San Mateo County Golden Gate National Recreation Area lands, and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Please transfer Sharp Park to the National Park Service or jointly manage the property with the Service to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.
Supervisors,

Good afternoon. The department will hold its first Compstat meeting under the direction of Chief Gascon next Wednesday, October 21, 2009, in the International Room of the Cathedral Hill Hotel. The hotel is located at 1101 Van Ness Avenue at the corner of Geary Boulevard. Members of the command staff will discuss crime, personnel, and other issues of interest with the captains of Southern, Northern, Park, Richmond, and Ingleside Stations. Chief Gascon would like to extend an invitation to each of you to attend the meeting which will begin at 10:00 am and conclude in the early afternoon. Should you or your staff have any questions, I can be reached at 553-9019.

Thank you,

Lieutenant Rob O'Sullivan
Planning Division
San Francisco Police Department
Dear Board:

I need to complain to someone in city government, which I understand oversees Comcast, about Comcast’s billing practices.

In a nutshell, I canceled service a month ago and turned in all my equipment. I had no contract and no minimum service time. Comcast acknowledges this but wants me to continue paying for service until they can send a technician to turn off the cable at my apartment, which they claim takes 6 weeks. They say that only after that will they stop billing me. A representative said I may then receive a refund for service after my date of cancellation after “one full billing cycle” plus 6 to 8 weeks. I have refused to pay for services I’m not receiving, so now Comcast is threatening to add collection fees. I can document all of this.

Is there someone in city government to whom I can complain? I live in Bevan Dufty’s district.

Thanks,
W. Blake Gray
Merrick Wolfe  
10/17/2009 06:43 PM

To: <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>,  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc <fortfunston@hotmail.com>, <info@sfdog.org>  

Subject: Golden Gate National Recreation Area

Hon. Speaker Pelosi  
Hon. Mayor Newsom  
Hon. SF Board Of Supervisors

I am a returning resident of San Francisco after having lived here initially in 1989-91, and it is truly great to be back and to again be a thriving citizen and enjoying all the City has to offer. The one main difference this time around is that I have a wonderful, loving dog who is an important part of my family.

I went to Fort Funston, part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area, today with my dog. She and I have been to off-leash areas all over the country -- San Jose, Los Angeles, Las Vegas, Orlando, Tampa, New York and even Jackson Hole, WY, as well as the many in this city -- and Fort Funston is possibly the finest one we have ever been to.

The emotional enrichment I experienced at Fort Funston today was clearly shared by everyone else who was there, both on the trails and on the beach (and, of course, it goes without saying how much emotional enrichment the dogs were receiving).

I am dismayed to hear that there is an effort to either restrict or do away with the off-leash privileges at Fort Funston, namely by changing the area's name from Golden Gate National Recreation Area to Golden Gate National Parks.

To me, this is the antithesis of what the City of San Francisco and its people are all about.

San Francisco is legendary and celebrated all over the world for its openness and freedom, not restrictiveness. Furthermore, the sheer number of people with their dogs throughout the park and beach demonstrates the level of recreational value this area provides to the People of San Francisco and how devastating it would be were the privilege revoked.

I love our National Parks, but designating the Golden Gate National Recreation Area as one is simply too stringent of an idea for a city like San Francisco.

I ask and encourage you to consider (or reconsider) the consequences of making such a change to the Fort Funston area and what, in my opinion, would be a tragic move.

Thank you for your time, attention and consideration.

Merrick Wolfe  
San Francisco, CA
Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Cadelba Lomeli-Loibl and I'm a resident of Oakland. I'm writing to ask for your support in passing the policy for immigrant youth that will help prevent juveniles from being reported to ICE before they receive a legal hearing with a judge. On Tuesday, please help ensure San Francisco's families are no longer torn apart without due process.

Thank you very much. This simple policy will do so much to ensure justice for youth and keep families together.

Best,

Cadelba Lomeli-Loibl
Dear Supervisor,

We are writing to affirm our support for due process and human rights for all people— including of course immigrant youth in San Francisco. The Arab Resource and Organizing Center (AROC) is a community based organization located in the Mission, working to empower and serve Arab community members all over San Francisco. The policy to restore due process to undocumented juveniles would greatly impact our community alongside all immigrant communities by providing a much needed safe guard before youth are referred to Immigration and separated from their families. Please vote for this policy at Tuesday's Board meeting, we're counting on you to help make our communities— and all of San Francisco— safer, stronger, and healthier.

Sincerely,
Staff and Collective of the Arab Resource and Organizing Center

Rama Kased
Nadeen Elshorafa
Christine Stouffer
Maherah Silmi
Lily Haskell
My name is Megan Shaughnessy-Mogill and I'm writing to ask for your support in passing the policy for immigrant youth that will help prevent juveniles from being reported to ICE before they receive a legal hearing with a judge. On Tuesday, please help ensure San Francisco's families are no longer torn apart without due process.
Sincerely, Megan Shaughnessy-Mogill
Hello, I am a SF resident and I'm writing to ask that on Tuesday October 20 you vote and keep families together.
SF is my native city and I want to be proud of it.
Thank you.
Uda Olabarria Walker
My name is Rose Mishaaan and I'm a resident of the Inner Mission district, District 9, of San Francisco. I'm writing to ask for your support in passing the policy for immigrant youth that will help prevent juveniles from being reported to ICE before they receive a legal hearing with a judge. On Tuesday, please help ensure San Francisco's families are no longer torn apart without due process.

Thank You,
Rose Mishaaan
Dear supervisors,
I'm a teacher in San Francisco at June Jordan School for Equity, and I'm writing to ask for your support in passing the policy for immigrant youth that will help prevent juveniles from being reported to ICE before they receive a legal hearing with a judge. I teach so many students whose lives this would ruin... On Tuesday, please help ensure San Francisco's families are no longer torn apart without due process.
Thanks-
Chela

--
Chela Delgado
Community Action Coordinator
June Jordan School for Equity

San Francisco, CA 94112
To Whom it May Concern:
My name is Caitlin Miller and I'm a registered nurse and resident of the Mission district.
I'm writing to ask for your support in passing the policy for immigrant youth that will help prevent juveniles from being reported to ICE before they receive a legal hearing with a judge. On Tuesday, please help ensure San Francisco's families are no longer torn apart without due process.
Sincerely,

Caitlin Miller
A year later an a nasty email from Carla Short, and still no trimming of the trees. I have lived here close to 7 years and not once have they been trimmed. I am happy to call in a private tree trimmer to handle, if the city doesn't have the time or money. A tree service commissioned by the city trimmed the 500 block of Arguello on the other side of the street, but not ours. Can you please advise on what to do? We have been requesting this service but keep getting push back from Carla.

On 9/9/08 6:44 PM, "Lisa Roberts" <lisa_roberts@pacbell.net> wrote:

> This is very disappointing that you are passing this off to the group that I
> have left numerous requests with over the last couple of years. I guarantee
> that I will never hear from them and nothing will be done.
> I will send emails weekly to you until this is addressed. Mine and my
> neighbors tax dollars deserve better than this.
>
>
> On 9/8/08 10:12 AM, "Board of Supervisors" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
> wrote:
> 
> >> By a copy of this email, we are requesting the Department of Public Works
> >> respond directly to you. Thank you for your email.
> >>
> >> Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the
> >> link below.
> >> http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548
> >>
> >> ----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 09/08/2008 10:12 AM
> >> -----
> >>
> >> <lisa_roberts@pac
> >> bell.net>
> >> 09/06/2008 10:33 AM
> >> <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
> >> Subject
> >> Public input for Board of
> >> Supervisors
> >>
> >> Submitted on: 9/6/2008 10:33:47 AM
name: Lisa Roberts

I live at 562 Arguello Blvd. I have put in numerous phone requests to the City of SF to trim the trees on the 500 Block of Arguello. I have lived here for 5 years and it has never been done. The trees are extremely overgrown and have gotten dangerous to the bike lane that runs along Arguello, as well as when I pull out of my driveway into traffic. I have even tried to have them cut myself and pay for it, since the city won't handle. But the two private companies I have contacted say they can't touch them since they are city property. I am completely out of ideas and requesting assistance. Now that they have cut our street cleaning down to every other week, the berries that drop are also a hazard to the elderly that walk up and down the street. Can you please advise on what can be done to handle this situation? Surely my tax dollars could warrant at least one tree trimming in 5 years. Thank you and I look forward to hearing from you.

---
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Dear Members of the Board,

I applaud you for putting forth this resolution and urge you to all vote "yes." Former President Bush blindly followed the advice of the military and we are fortunate to now have a President weighing all the options and listening to all parties, advisers, and the people of both the United States and the Afghan people. This is a most timely and important resolution for legislators and the executive branch at all levels of our government to know that we, the people of San Francisco and the United States, do not want to prolong this war to the detriment of both the American and Afghan people. We are all saddened by the immense loss of life to our service men and women, the horror of war to Afghan's civilian population, and the corrupt government we helped create and perpetuate in Afghanistan. This official support for HR 2404 calling for troop withdrawal by the U.S. and N.A.T.O., while providing humanitarian aid and the restoration of dignity and rights of the Afghan people is in the best and wisest interest of both our nations and the greater world community.

Sincerely,
Vicki Leidner
Dear Supervisors:

I was delighted to hear that you will be discussing a resolution to end the war in Afghanistan. War are nothing but a way to reduce the population. There are no benefits for either side but the destruction of precious human life and the infrastructure of lands we have to right to be in.

Just follow the golden rule: Do unto others as you would have them to unto you. What fool would permit a foreign power access to their country. How stupid and arrogant to think we can invade with impunity.

Sincerely,

Denise D'Anne
San Francisco, CA 94103

COCA-COLA: drinking the world
October 14, 2009

Dear Supervisor,

Many years ago before rent control was imposed, my husband and I purchased a two-unit apartment building in S.F. Then came the temporary rent stabilization and arbitration legislation. As you know it was not temporary and it has never been neutral. It is now Rent Control and landlords are treated as evil people. We were school teachers who invested our "sweat" and equity to provide rental housing. Over the years treatment of tenants is the only concern. They get free legal representation (which we pay for) and we as landlords have few rights. We have had very bad experiences with tenants. My problem we had we were threatened with a "jury trial!!!" - an own expense. Lawyer regularly charge about $1400 per hour. My husband is 74 and I am 73. We can no longer afford emotionally or financially to deal with the Rent Board. You have forced us to be an Ellis Act on our building. It was very costly and now we have no income from the building. The city does not meet more punitive rent control legislation. Can you care about fairness? To Carolyn Z. Fager