

**101037 [Petitions and Communications]**

Petitions and Communications received from July 20, 2010, through July 26, 2010, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on August 3, 2010.

From Clerk of the Board, the following departments have submitted their 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notices: (1)  
City Attorney's Office  
Health Service System  
Law Library  
Public Library  
Public Works  
War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 939 Dartmouth Street. (2)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 2503 15th Street. (3)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 1029 Anza Street. (4)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 9 Bird Street. (5)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 1201 - 37th Avenue. (6)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 2128 Lawton Street. (7)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 175 Farnum Street. (8)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 5549 Anza Street. (9)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 344 Garfield Street. (10)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 1698 - 27th Avenue. (11)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 109 Lower Terrace. (12)

From Office of the Controller, regarding proposed Charter Amendment authorizing non-citizens to vote in elections for the Board of the San Francisco Unified School District. File No. 100635, Copy: Each Supervisor (13)

From San Francisco Parking Association, regarding proposed parking tax increase and tax on valet services. File No. 100759, Copy: Each Supervisor (14)

From Department of Elections, regarding notice of Ballot Simplification Committee Meetings for the November 2, 2010, Consolidated General Election. File No. 100581, Copy: Each Supervisor (15)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting nomination of John Newlin for the reappointment to the Entertainment Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor, Rules Committee Clerk (16)

From State Department of Public Health, regarding California AIDS hotline and web site. (17)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to expanding parking meter hours/and or Sunday metering. 4 letters (18)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for passage of Laura's Law. File No. 100751, Copy: Each Supervisor, 25 letters (19)

From Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside Community Action Organization, submitting opposition to construction of 28 new-single family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Avenue. File No. 100467, Copy: Budget and Finance Committee (20)

From concerned citizens, regarding more taxes and job losses in San Francisco. Copy: Budget and Finance Committee, 20 postcards (21)

From Albert Bianchini, regarding illegal immigrants in the United States. (22)

From Jay Sath, submitting opposition to proposed fee hike for vehicle registration. Copy: Each Supervisor (23)

From Office of the Controller, submitting their Government Barometer reports for FY2010-2011. Copy: Each Supervisor (24)

From the Megan Furth Academy, submitting opposition to any efforts by the City and County of San Francisco to list Sacred Heart Church on the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor (25)

From Mayor's Hunters Point Shipyard Citizen's Advisory Committee, submitting support for the Hunters Point Shipyard Phase II/Candlestick Point Project. File No. 100861, Copy: Each Supervisor (26)

From Office of the Controller, regarding emergency repairs for the Fine Arts Museums. (27)

From Jeff Adachi, concerning statements made by members of the Board of Supervisors stating their intention to re-allocate funding from the Public Defender office's budget. Copy: Each Supervisor (28)

From Ed Ng, submitting opposition to proposed cell tower at 1250 Quintara. (29)

From Eric Parks, submitting support for the establishment of a Nail Salon Recognition Program in San Francisco. File No. 100963, Copy: Supervisor Chiu (30)

From Patrick Shaw, regarding Laguna Honda Hospital. 4 letters (31)

From Tim Giangioffe, regarding cannabis prohibition and the propaganda war. (32)

From Joan Peters, regarding the new Bayview Library. Copy: Supervisor Maxwell (33)

From State Fish and Game Commission, regarding Game Bird Hunting. (34)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed legislation concerning alcohol tax. File No. 100865, Copy: Budget and Finance Committee, 3 letters (35)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for the Shipyard Plan. File No. 100861 (36)

From Leah Thompson, regarding Sharp Park. (37)

From Amanda Alonzo, regarding McLaren Park. (38)

From Bob Daddario, regarding cancelling his trip to San Francisco. (39)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed change to the Recreation and Parks Commission appointment process. File No. 100633, Copy: Each Supervisor, 2 letters (40)

From Bruce Brugmann, regarding proposal to make the flat payroll tax more progressive and exempt more small businesses. Copy: Supervisor Chiu (41)

From Sally Loveland, regarding various issues. (42)

From Tim Giangioffe, regarding palm readers. (43)

From Daniel Pong, regarding the increase in taxes and fees in San Francisco. (44)

From Kathy Howard, submitting support for proposed change to the Recreation and Parks Commission appointment process. File No. 100633, Copy: Each Supervisor (45)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for the construction of the proposed new St. Luke's Campus at Van Ness and Geary. 7 postcards (46)

From Kimo Crossman, regarding the UK crackdown on the mobile phone recycling industry. Copy: Each Supervisor (47)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

## 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: City Attorney's Office  
Mailing Address: 1390 Market Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Contact Person: Mary Jane Winslow Office Phone No: 554-4276  
E-mail: maryjane.winslow@sfgov.org Fax No: 554-4214

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

☒ **An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:**  
(Check all that apply.)

- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☒ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☒ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- ☒ Other (describe) List positions within groups that reflect current organization of the department.

☐ Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

☐ **No amendment is required.**

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

  
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

  
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than August 1, 2010, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: 554-5163

1



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



DENNIS J. HERRERA  
City Attorney

## OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

MARYJANE WINSLOW  
Director of Special Projects

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4276  
E-MAIL: maryjane.winslow@sfgov.org

July 13, 2010

**San Francisco – Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code  
Section 3.1-175**

Proposed Amendments, July 13, 2010

Deletions are indicated by ~~strike through~~; additions are indicated in *italics*.

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
City Attorney	1
Chief Assistant City Attorney	1
Chief Deputy City Attorney	1
Managing Attorney	1
Chief Financial Officer	4
Chief, Investigation Division	3
City Attorney Librarian	4
<i>Administrator, City Attorney Library Services</i>	4
Chief, Litigation Division	1
Chief, Civil Rights Litigation	1
Chief, Tort Litigation	1
Chief, Trial Attorneys	1
Attorneys, Litigation	4
Lead Attorney, Airport	1
Airport Attorney, Labor	3
Airport Attorney, Business	1
Airport Attorney, Land Use	2
Airport Attorney, Finance	1
Airport Attorney, Construction	1
General Counsel, Board of Supervisors	
Chief, Claims Division	1
Adjusters, Claims	1
Attorneys, Claims	1
Attorneys, Complex and Special Litigation	1
Attorneys, Construction	1
Attorneys, Energy and Telecommunications	1
Attorneys, Ethics and Elections	1

FOX PLAZA • 1390 MARKET STREET, 5<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102  
RECEPTION: (415) 554-3800 FACSIMILE: (415) 554-4214

Page 2  
July 13, 2010

General Counsel, Mayor's Office	1
Lead Attorney, Government Law Division	1
Lead Attorney, Public Protection	1
Attorney, Arts Commission	3
Attorney, Asian Art Museum	3
Attorney, Assessment Appeals Board	1
Attorney, Civil Service Commission	4
Attorney, Commission on the Status of Women	3
Attorney, Fine Arts Museum	3
Attorney, Human Rights Commission	3
Attorney, Library	3
Attorney, Real Estate Transfer Tax Review Board	1
Attorney, Recreation and Park Department	1
Attorney, Rent Board	1
Attorney, Unified School District	1
Attorney, Street Artists Program	3
Attorney, Transportation Authority	1
Attorney, War Memorial Board	3
Attorneys, Government Law Litigation	1
<del>Attorneys, Contracts</del> Attorneys, Government Oversight and Complex Contracts	1
Attorneys, Health and Human Services	3
Attorney, Children and Families First Commission	3
Attorney, Mayor's Office of Children, Youth and Families	3
Chief Attorney, Labor	1
Attorneys, Labor	3
Attorneys, Land Use and Environment	1
Attorneys, Port	1
Lead Attorney, Public Protection	1
Attorneys, Public Transportation	1
Attorney, Parking Authority	1
Attorneys, Public Utilities Commission	1
Attorneys, Real Estate and Finance	1
Attorney, Transbay Joint Powers Authority	1
Attorney, Treasure Island Development Authority	1
Lead Attorney, Retirement	1
Attorneys, Retirement	4

Page 3  
July 13, 2010

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
Attorneys, Tax Advice, Legislation and Litigation	1
Attorneys, Workers Compensation	4

## 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Health Service System

Mailing Address: 1145 Market Street Suite 200 San Francisco CA 94103

Contact Person: Catherine Dodd Office Phone No: 415-554-1703

E-mail: Catherine.dodd@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-5541752

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

☒ **An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:**  
(Check all that apply.)

- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☒ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☐ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- ☒ Other (describe) Change "Assistant Director" to "Chief Operating Officer"

☐ Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

☐ **No amendment is required.**

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

Cath. J. Dodd  
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

7-14-2010  
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: 554-5163

## 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: San Francisco Law Library

Mailing Address: 401 Van Ness Ave. Room 400, SF 94102

Contact Person: Marcia Bell

Office Phone No: 554-6824

E-mail: marcia.bell@sfgov.or

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

☐ An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:  
(Check all that apply.)

- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☐ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☐ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- ☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

☒ No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

Marcia Bell  
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

7/21/10  
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: 554-5163

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 22 AM 8:06  
BY PC

## 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: San Francisco Public Library  
 Mailing Address: 100 Larkin St SF 94102  
 Contact Person: Mary Hudson Office Phone No: 557-4235  
 E-mail: Maryhudson@sfp1.org Fax No: 557-4239

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


☐ **An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:**  
 (Check all that apply.)

- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☐ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☐ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- ☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.**

☒ **No amendment is required.**

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

  
 Signature of Chief Executive Officer

7/21/10  
 Date

Luis Herrera  
City Librarian

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail,

or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
 Board of Supervisors  
 ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
 San Francisco, CA 94102  
 Fax: 554-5163

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO

2010 JUL 23 AM 10:00

BY AK

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 16 AM 10:07

Name of Agency: Department of Public Works  
Mailing Address: City Hall, Room 348  
Contact Person: Frank Lee Office Phone No: (415) 554-6993  
E-mail: Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org Fax No: (415) 522-7727

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


☐ **An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:**  
(Check all that apply.)


- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☐ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☐ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- ☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.**

☒ **No amendment is required.**

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: 554-5163

## 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: War Memorial and Performing Arts Center  
Mailing Address: 401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 110, San Francisco, CA 94102  
Contact Person: Elizabeth Murray Office Phone No: (415) 554-6306  
E-mail: Elizabeth.murray@sfgov.org Fax No: (415) 621-5091

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

☒ **An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:**  
(Check all that apply.)


- ☐ Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- ☐ Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- ☐ Revise disclosure categories.
- ☐ Revise the titles of existing positions.
- ☒ Delete titles of positions that have been abolished. See below.
- ☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

Delete Designated Position "Janitorial Services Supervisor." This position was deleted from War Memorial and transferred to GSA-Real Estate Division effective 7/1/09.

☐ **Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.**

☐ **No amendment is required.**

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Chief Executive Officer  
Elizabeth Murray, Managing Director

7/20/10  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTN: Peggy Nevin  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: 554-5163



**T-Mobile**

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:42

BY 


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF13095A**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

(2)

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF13095A  
Site Name: PGE CAP @ Dartmouth  
Site Address: 939 Dartmouth, San Francisco, CA 94134  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 6164-017  
Latitude: 37° 43' 08.84" N  
Longitude: 122° 24' 31.50" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 48 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0130  
If Land use Approval was not required:

**T-Mobile**

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23235C**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because


A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:45  
BY 

3

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF23235C  
Site Name: PGE CAP @ 15th St.  
Site Address: 2503 15th Street, San Francisco, CA 94117  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 2614-072  
Latitude: 37° 45' 58.58" N  
Longitude: 122° 26' 18.82" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 51 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0129  
If Land use Approval was not required:

page



**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23220C**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:45  
BY

4

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF23220C  
Site Name: PGE (S) CAP @ 2nd Av  
Site Address: 1029 Anza St, San Francisco, CA 94118  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1542-021  
Latitude: 37° 46' 45.84" N  
Longitude: 122° 27' 35.81" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 44 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/28/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0074  
If Land use Approval was not required:



*Cpog*

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:42


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF43579D**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because
- 

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,



**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

(5)

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF43579D  
Site Name: PGE CAP on Bird  
Site Address: 9 Bird, San Francisco, CA 94110  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 3577-074  
Latitude: 37° 45' 44.28" N  
Longitude: 122° 25' 20.90" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 49 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/28/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0088  
If Land use Approval was not required:





clog

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23249B**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because
- 

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:44  
BY

(6)

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF23249B  
Site Name: PGE (S) CAP @ 37th Ave  
Site Address: 1201 37th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94122  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1713-001  
Latitude: 37° 45' 52.08" N  
Longitude: 122° 29' 47.82" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 38 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0102  
If Land use Approval was not required:



Clog

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF43563A**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because


A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:44  
BY 

7

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF43563A  
Site Name: PGE CAP on Lawton  
Site Address: 2128 Lawton, San Francisco, CA 94122  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 0040-005  
Latitude: 37° 45' 27.03" N  
Longitude: 122° 29' 08.34" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 44 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0093  
If Land use Approval was not required:



clay

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23291C**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because
- 

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

BY 

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:44

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

8

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF23291C  
Site Name: PGE CAP @ Farnum  
Site Address: 175 Farnum St, San Francisco, CA 94131  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 7545-023  
Latitude: 37° 44' 18.55" N  
Longitude: 122° 26' 02.23" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 47 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0138  
If Land use Approval was not required:

**T-Mobile**

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Horn  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53516A**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Horn of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
Joni Norman  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

BY 

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:45

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

9

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF53516A  
Site Name: PGE (S) CAP @ Sutro Hghts  
Site Address: 5549 Anza, San Francisco, CA 94121  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1589012  
Latitude: 37° 46' 37.30" N  
Longitude: 122° 30' 28.93" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 37 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/28/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0055  
If Land use Approval was not required:



Chap



**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53573C**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

BY h

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:45

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

10

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF53573C  
Site Name: PG&E Cap Garfield  
Site Address: 344 Garfield St, San Francisco, CA 94132  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 7007025A  
Latitude: 37° 43' 11.15" N  
Longitude: 122° 27' 58.34" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 47feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/28/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0083  
If Land use Approval was not required:

CTagf



**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53321C**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because


A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
**Joni Norman**  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 3:45  
BY 

11

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF53321C  
Site Name: PGE CAP @ 29th Ave  
Site Address: 1698 27th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94122  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1915-001  
Latitude: 37° 45' 20.71" N  
Longitude: 122° 29' 14.12" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 42 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0127  
If Land use Approval was not required:

**T-Mobile**

**T-Mobile West Corporation**  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.  
Engineering Development  
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Concord, California 94520

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:42

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

July 12, 2010

Anna Hom  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.  
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF43585B**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- ☒ (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- ☐ (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

  
Joni Norman  
Sr. Development Manager  
T-Mobile West Corporation  
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

12

**T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a  
T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF43585B**

July 12, 2010

Page 2 of 2

**ATTACHMENT A**

**1. Project Location**

Site Identification Number: SF43585B  
Site Name: PGE CAP @ Lwr Ter  
Site Address: 109 Lower Terrace, San Francisco, CA 94114  
County: San Francisco  
Assessor's Parcel Number: 2626-051  
Latitude: 37° 45' 47.88" N  
Longitude: 122° 26' 30.83" W

**2. Project Description**

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3  
Tower Design: Light Pole  
Tower Appearance: Antenna to be mounted on top of existing PG&E utility pole.  
Tower Height: 48 feet  
Size of Buildings: Portion of existing utility pole

**3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies**

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Clerk
1650 Mission Street, Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

**4. Land Use Approvals**

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 06/29/10  
Land Use Permit #: DPW Permit 09WR-0090  
If Land use Approval was not required:



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

BOS-11  
CAB-C-page  
File #100635

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Monique Zmuda  
Deputy Controller

July 16, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 19 PM 2:13  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK


RE: File 100635 - Charter amendment authorizing non-citizens to vote in elections for the Board of the San Francisco Unified School District

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Should the proposed Charter amendment be approved by the voters, in my opinion, there would be an additional cost, as estimated by the Department of Elections, of approximately \$152,000 per election to print and distribute voting materials and train poll workers. Should the election take place by absentee ballot only, which would require a subsequent ordinance by the Board, costs may be reduced to approximately \$106,000.

The amendment would permit non-citizens 18 years of age or older who have children in the San Francisco public schools to vote in the elections for the School Board. The amendment would sunset on December 31, 2016, but could be extended by ordinance.

Sincerely,

  
Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.



California Parking  
Central Parking  
City Park  
Impark  
L&R Group  
Parking Concepts

July 26, 2010

*Via Email to Angela Calvillo for distribution*

*File # 100759*

Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: 100759 [Parking Tax Increase and Tax on Valet Services]  
Sponsor: Supervisor Mirkarimi

Dear Supervisors,

Tomorrow, you will be discussing, and possibly taking action on tax initiatives for the November ballot. We are writing to you about one initiative, referenced above, that involves increasing an already high parking tax to 35%.....a staggering 40% increase! We hope that you do not advance this initiative, and remove it from consideration on the ballot for the following reasons:

1. The Parking Industry is already the HIGHEST taxed industry in San Francisco, and the proposed tax is a 40% INCREASE. Additional increases in the parking tax are *punitive* towards those who need automobiles to shop, deliver their children to school, work, and visit our great City. Additionally, an increase in the parking tax is *discriminatory* towards our industry, and will **affect the livelihood of over 1,500 UNION Member jobs** that will see job layoffs, reduction in hours and benefits, and job loss, due to more expensive parking in San Francisco as a result of any additional parking tax!
2. There are **other ways to collect the \$20 Million** that the Controller says will be raised by implementing this tax, without the negative consequences that a higher tax will bring. The Tax Collector is in the process of redrafting Article 22 of the Tax Code that will provide for more effective enforcement and collection of the taxes already due to the City, and will provide more "teeth" by

325 5th Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

ph 415-495-3909 x.527  
fx 415-356-0432

14





California Parking  
Central Parking  
City Park  
Impark  
L&R Group  
Parking Concepts

providing accountability to property owners and "fly by night" parking operator scofflaws who aren't paying the full share of tax (i.e. US Parking - \$7 Million uncollected). Finding this money, which is already covered by current law, **will not penalize the citizens with another Tax!**

The San Francisco Parking Association, an organization of 6 professional parking operators, is prepared to support The City and County to find these revenues that are currently not being paid in parking taxes. We are committed to work with the City, by sharing our "best practices" in auditing and accountability, and can lead you down the path of helping to clean up the "fly by night" operators who are not paying the City the tax currently due!

3. The current economic climate of San Francisco does not justify a higher tax burden on our citizens, visitors, tourists, and employees. High vacancy rates in commercial buildings, low occupancy rates in hotels, high unemployment, and an excess supply of parking, all are reasons not to go down this road. Pittsburgh, PA saw a decline of 1.3 million transient parkers in one (1) year when it increased its parking tax; there was a dramatic decline in evening and weekend parkers downtown; and numerous business were closed (including two (2) major department stores, while office vacancy rates shot up dramatically!

The real cost of this higher tax? The restaurants, shops, theaters, cultural attractions, citizens, and employers take the real hit! **Can we really justify further taxing the very economic base that makes our City's engine run**, especially in this recessionary time when so many people are losing their jobs? If you put this measure on the ballot, we challenge you to look a mother or father in the eyes when you try to explain to them why they lost their job, and you were responsible for taxing their employer and themselves out of San Francisco because of this tax proposal!

4. **We have already been this route before.....and it FAILED!** In 2006, the Citizens of San Francisco **overwhelmingly** voted **against a 35% parking tax**, sending Proposition E down by a vote of 67%. Based on recent polling, there is a very strong indication that they will do it again. Please listen to the voice of

325 5th Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

ph 415-495-3909 x.527  
fx 415-356-0432



California Parking  
Central Parking  
City Park  
Impark  
L&R Group  
Parking Concepts

those who elected you to ensure that they have jobs, a clean city,  
and a government that is efficiency managing itself so that it  
doesn't continually have to take more of its Citizens' income.

We all appreciate your efforts as members of the Board of Supervisors,  
and understand what an extremely tough job you have. We are your  
partners, not your adversaries.....let us give you access to our knowledge,  
expertise, and resources, so that we can assist you in raising the revenues  
that are out there already, instead of passing punitive and discriminatory  
tax increases that are bad for all of us.

Sincerely,

Spencer W. Sechler  
On Behalf of the San Francisco Parking Association

325 5th Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

ph 415-495-3909 x.527  
fx 415-356-0432



## Memorandum

**To:** Honorable Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

**From:** John Arntz, Director of Elections

**Date:** July 19, 2010

**RE:** Notice of Ballot Simplification Committee Meetings for the November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election

---

Beginning Monday, July 26, the Ballot Simplification Committee will conduct public meetings to prepare an impartial summary of each local ballot measure for publication in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet for the upcoming November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election. The Committee must complete its digests no later than 85 days before the election, which is Monday, August 9.

Meeting agendas and other materials will be posted on the Department of Elections website, [www.sfelections.org/bsc](http://www.sfelections.org/bsc), and in our office in City Hall, Room 48. Agendas will be posted at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, as mandated by the Sunshine Ordinance. Other meeting materials will be made available as early as possible. Please check often for any updates.

### About the Ballot Simplification Committee

The Ballot Simplification Committee works in public meetings to prepare a fair and impartial summary of each local ballot measure in simple language. These summaries, or "digests," are printed in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet, which is mailed to every registered voter before the election.

Each digest must explain the primary purposes and points of the measure, but is not required to include auxiliary or subsidiary information. Each digest must include the following four sections:

- *The Way It Is Now*
- *The Proposal*
- *A "Yes" Vote Means*
- *A "No" Vote Means*

In general, each digest is limited to 300 words. Digests may exceed the 300-word limit if the Committee determines that the complexity or scope of the proposed measure requires a longer digest. In addition, digests must be written as close as possible to the eighth-grade reading level.

The Ballot Simplification Committee also assists the Department of Elections in preparing other informational material for the Voter Information Pamphlet, such as a glossary of the terms that appear in the pamphlet.

For more information about the Ballot Simplification Committee, please visit [www.sfelections.org/bsc](http://www.sfelections.org/bsc) or the Department of Elections office in City Hall, Room 48.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

K. L. Clark  
Leg. Director  
COB  
JC page  
Bos - 11  
CA

July 20, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.117, I hereby nominate John Newlin for reappointment to the San Francisco Entertainment Commission.

Mr. Newlin is nominated for reappointment to fill his same seat. This term will expire July 1, 2014.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Mr. Newlin's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY AL

2010 JUL 20 PM 2:20

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

16

**John Newlin  
1221 Arguello Blvd.  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
(415) 830-1146**

Work Experience:

**San Francisco Police Department 1970-2004**

Rank of Captain

Commanded Park and Mission Stations as well as Narcotics, Investigations, Traffic and Juvenile Divisions. Worked closely with community and business groups to reduce crime and improve the quality of life in San Francisco.

**Department of Parking and Traffic 1992-1996**

Executive Director: Set traffic and parking policy for San Francisco with an emphasis on congestion management. DPT comprised of approximately 1,000 employees in various divisions including Traffic Engineering, Enforcement, Citation and Hearings, Accounting, and Contracts.

Education:

Masters Degree, Public Administration  
Golden Gate University, SF circa 1980

Bachelors Degree, Economics and Business Administration  
Saint Mary's College, Moraga 1969

Personal:

Married  
Two daughters, 31 and 29  
San Francisco resident since 1970

References: Bevan Dufty, SF Supervisor; Buck Delventhal, SF City Attorney's Office;  
Julie Rosenberg, Manager MTA Hearing Division



MARK B HORTON, MD, MSPH  
Director

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency  
California Department of Public Health



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER  
Governor

July 22, 2010

TO: PROGRAM DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: CALIFORNIA AIDS HOTLINE AND WEB SITE

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 23 PM 3:12  
BY W

This letter is to inform you that as of July 1, 2010, the California AIDS Hotline and Web site have been transferred to the California Department of Public Health, Center for Infectious Diseases, Office of AIDS.

We are very grateful for all of the work the San Francisco AIDS Foundation has put into these services throughout the years. Unfortunately, with State budget cuts we were faced with a difficult and painful decision to end the contract with the San Francisco AIDS Foundation.

We will be sending out details about our new Web site as soon as it becomes available. We are working with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Prevention Information Network (NPIN) to develop a California-focused Web site. We anticipate this being completed in early August 2010; the URL will remain the same ([www.aidshotline.org](http://www.aidshotline.org)) and the hotline number is the same toll-free number that the San Francisco AIDS Foundation had in place (800-367-2467).


In an effort to more efficiently communicate with you, and to include your information in our Web site, we ask that you send updated contact information including the following information:

- Contact person;
- Agency name;
- Address;
- Telephone number;
- Fax number;
- E-mail address;
- Web site address (if applicable);
- Description of your program (optional); and
- Services offered (optional).

17

Please submit this information and any other information that you would like to provide about your agency to Bunny Furlo, Office of AIDS, at [bunny.furlo@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:bunny.furlo@cdph.ca.gov). I also invite you to visit the NPIN Web site to see what information agencies provide regarding a description of programs, services offered, etc. This may help guide you if you choose to send our office information about your agency. The NPIN Web site can be accessed at [www.cdcnpin.org](http://www.cdcnpin.org).

If you need information about this transition, please contact Sandy Simms, of my staff, at (916) 449-5538 or e-mail at: [sandy.simms@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:sandy.simms@cdph.ca.gov).



Brian Lew, M.A., Chief  
HIV Prevention Branch  
Office of AIDS

cc: Mr. Keith Hockings  
San Francisco AIDS Foundation  
995 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Ms. Bunny Furlo  
Office of AIDS  
California Department of Public Health  
MS 7700  
P.O. Box 997426  
Sacramento, CA 95899-7426

Ms. Sandy Simms, Chief  
HIV Prevention Operations Section  
Office of AIDS  
California Department of Public Health  
MS 7700  
P.O. Box 997426  
Sacramento, CA 95899-7426





"Foxworthy, Erin"  
<EFoxworthy@ImperialCredit.com>

07/20/2010 09:19 AM

To "mtaboard@sfmta.com" <'mtaboard@sfmta.com'>  
cc "gavin.newsom@sfgov.org" <'gavin.newsom@sfgov.org'>, "board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org" <'board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org'>

bcc

Subject Metered Parking

Hello,

I am writing to express my concern over this new proposal to extend metered hours until 10pm as well as metered parking on Sundays. I find it totally unfair. There are not enough parking spaces in this city and people are forced to park on the street. It is enough hassle as is, having to move your car all the time, constantly going to the bank to get quarters, getting tows, getting tickets, constantly worrying about your car. Sometimes I wake up in the middle of the night in a cold sweat afraid my car is being towed. The parking restrictions in the city are strong enough as is! Not having to pay after 6 or 7pm and on Sundays is the only light at the end of the tunnel.

I have seriously considered moving out of San Francisco solely because of the parking situation. I know I am not the only one that is fed up with the restrictions and hassles. Don't do this to us.

Kind regards,  
Erin Foxworthy

Erin Foxworthy  
Marketing Executive  
Imperial Credit Corporation

c-page



"Davis, Sabrina"  
<SDavis@ImperialCredit.com  
>

07/20/2010 08:49 AM

To <mtaboard@sfmta.com>

cc <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>,  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

bcc

Subject Request to not extend metered time

Please reconsider extending the hours on meters – meters on Sundays as well as extending parking meters to 10 pm – in the San Francisco area.

Thank you,

Sabrina A. Davis

email: [sdavis@imperialcredit.com](mailto:sdavis@imperialcredit.com)



Taress Reyerling  
<taressreyering@gmail.com>

07/23/2010 11:02 AM

To "mtaboard@sfmta.com" <mtaboard@sfmta.com>,  
"gavin.newsom@sfgov.org" <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>,  
"board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org"

cc

bcc

Subject No extended meters

Please do not extend meters until 10pm, raise the fee or add meters on Sunday.

Taress reyerling

Envoyé par mon iPhone

C-page



Kirill Soshalsky  
<soshalsky@gmail.com>

07/24/2010 03:35 PM

To mtaboard@sfmta.com, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Against extended meters on Sunday and afterhours

Hello,

I'm a San Francisco resident and I don't want extended meters on Sunday and afterhours.

Thank You.

Bos -11  
✓C-page



George Proctor  
<georgep202@aol.com>

07/19/2010 04:58 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

File #10075

Subject Please vote for Laura's Law


History: This message has been forwarded.

While I never miss a vote, this is the first time I have solicited your vote. Laura's Law is that significant. For the benefit of the many deranged homeless, and the safety of the public at large, you must support Laura's Law.

Sincerely,  
George W. Proctor  
405 Davis Court, 2007  
SF, Ca 94111

**Document is available  
at the Clerk's Office  
Room 244, City Hall**

19



Oceanview  
Merced Heights  
Ingleside  
Community Action  
Organization

Office Location:  
446 Randolph Street  
San Francisco, CA 94132

Mailing Address:  
P.O. Box 320116  
San Francisco, CA 94132

Phone:  
(415) 239-2510

✓ C-page

File 100467

7/19/10 - Distributed  
in Committee

July 12, 2010

President, David Chiu  
Supervisor John Avalos  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall Room 244  
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Supervisors:

The members of the OMI Community Action Organization are against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval, of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

Our opposition to this development is based on the fact that:

1. The noise level due to BART and traffic of Highway 280 and the surrounding area will pose a health and welfare threat for generations yet unborn.
2. The pollution level due to the traffic of Highway 280 and the surrounding areas will also pose a health and welfare threat for generations yet unborn.
3. The proposed landscape area and Open Space on rooftops will limit the use because of noise and pollution.
4. The proposed homes do not reflect the existing pattern of homes existing in the area.
5. The increase in density will adversely impact livability of the present population and the concept of "Seniors Aging in Place".

We strongly urge you to oppose this development due to the adverse impact to this community. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

  
Edna James, President

Organizations Active In the OMI Community Action Organization

• African American Community Health Equity Council • African American Community Partnership • Bay Area Black Nurses Association • District 11 Council  
• Hip Hop to Health Proposition J Clinic for Children and Youth • Ingleside Community Center • Ingleside Senior Center • Inner City Youth • I.T. Bookman Community Center  
• Multicultural Child Care Consortium • OMI Business League • OMI Community Action Organization • OMI Neighbors in Action  
• OMI Wellness Nursing Center • OMI Youth for Action • San Francisco Neighborhood Safety Partnership • Southeast Health Center • Southwest Community Corporation  
• Southwestern Neighborhood Improvement Group

20

# PETITION

We, the undersigned residents are Against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

We, the undersigned endorse the above statement and request that this development be stopped, because we believe the proposed development will increase pollution, decrease parking and contribute to the decline of open space in the area and impact the health and welfare of the existing housing and residential area.

NAME	ADDRESS	ZIP CODE	TELEPHONE
Gloria Johnson #1	Golden Ave.	94112	585-4136
Phores Durus	108 Shields St	94132	586-3832
Thyl Harris	220 Sagamore St.	94112	239-1821
Charles Harris	220 Sagamore St	94112	289-1821
Barrett L. White	124 Capitol Ave	94112	586-5220
Erion White	124 Capitol Ave	94112	586-8320
Norma B Smith	211 Sagamore St.	94112	84-8917
Joe D. White	218 Sagamore St.	94112	335-0349
Emeralda Tilton	215 A Sagamore	(415) 270-8843	
KINH HO	221 SAGAMORE	415	819-2826
Eliso H	201 Sagamore	415	551-8570
LEONARDO CABRERO	207 Sagamore St.	(415) 239-0651	
CECILIA DEVEZA	207 Sagamore St.	(415) 469-0355	
FUYO ADAMS	203 Sagamore St	(415) 244-3606	
Dina Dusi	210 SAGAMORE ST	415	586-5899
Joseph Dusi	210 SAGAMORE ST.	415	586-5899
Johnie Thompson	218 Sagamore Street	415	334-0936
Clarence L Rhodes Jr			

# PETITION

We, the undersigned residents are Against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

We, the undersigned endorse the above statement and request that this development be stopped, because we believe the proposed development will increase pollution, decrease parking and contribute to the decline of open space in the area and impact the health and welfare of the existing housing and residential area.

NAME	ADDRESS	ZIP CODE	TELEPHONE
Rosie P. West	427 Randolph St	94132	239-8199
Mary S. Martin	31 Lobos St.	94112	334-7610
Peggy McDaniel	242 Monticello St	94132	334-7996
Nettie Jackson	400 Russell	94132	756-8797
Mary A. Fulgham	487 Joost Ave.	94127	415-333-9450
Matthew C. Wallace	174 Union St	94132	334-1941
Heleen Dilworth	687 Los Palms Dr	94127	586-6302
Alton Barnes	375 Vernon St	94132	585-7104
Trenet Castle	288 Broad St. 550	94132	334-4623
Sarah Ingram	321 Vernon St. A.2. Ca.	94132	334-6149
Guarita Andrews	434-24th Ave #35F	94121	752-7371
Robert H. Teles	239 Monticello St	94132	586-5949
W. Jean Barnes	375 Vernon St	94132	585-7104
Upland Riley	433 Sargent St S.F.	94132	
Rogers	26 Victoria St.	94132	
Dorothy Kiehl	250 Monticello St.	94132	
Aisha Lusk	31 Lobos St.	94112	415-334-7610

PETITION SPONSORED BY  
OMI COMMUNITY ACTION ORGANIZATION

Blanche



# PETITION

We, the undersigned residents are Against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

We, the undersigned endorse the above statement and request that this development be stopped, because we believe the proposed development will increase pollution, decrease parking and contribute to the decline of open space in the area and impact the health and welfare of the existing housing and residential area.

[illegible]

## PETITION

We, the undersigned residents are Against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

We, the undersigned endorse the above statement and request that this development be stopped, because we believe the proposed development will increase pollution, decrease parking and contribute to the decline of open space in the area and impact the health and welfare of the existing housing and residential area.

NAME	ADDRESS	ZIP CODE	TELEPHONE
Odile Berry	523 Encinitas Ave	94132	
Carl Brown	70 Box 20219	91132	
Blanche Dilworth	370 Palstan St	94132	587-6081
Linda Taylor	672 Sweeney St	94134	585-7931
Madelene Kras	446 Vape St	333-	5754
Kabita Tatham	487 Jaxst Ave	94127	333-9420
Mattie Wallon	174 Vernon St	94132	334-1941
PATRICK FULGAM	487 JAXST AVE	94127	415-333-962
Troy Rangerfield	235 Westgate Dr	94127	586-1580

Blanche

## PETITION

We, the undersigned residents are Against the May 13, 2010 San Francisco Planning Commission's approval of the construction of 28 new single-family dwellings on 28 lots with 42 parking spaces at One Capitol Ave.

We, the undersigned endorse the above statement and request that this development be stopped, because we believe the proposed development will increase pollution, decrease parking and contribute to the decline of open space in the area and impact the health and welfare of the existing housing and residential area.

NAME	ADDRESS	ZIP CODE	TELEPHONE
1. <u>Orlean Richard</u>	<u>228 Ford Blvd.</u>	<u>94132</u>	<u>415/586-5539</u>
2. <u>William Robert</u>	<u>24 Lee</u>	<u>94112</u>	<u>334-1997</u>
3. <u>Thomas Grant</u>	<u>1506 Guesdon</u>	<u>94124</u>	<u>622-3605</u>
4. <u>Thurley</u>	<u>2252 Pine St SF</u>	<u>94115</u>	<u>921-7949</u>
5. <u>Mae Holson</u>	<u>320 Shields St SF</u>	<u>94132</u>	<u>586-2820</u>
6. <u>Bert Walker</u>	<u>314 Leiston St S.F.</u>	<u>94132</u>	<u>239-1728</u>
7. <u>Rossner</u>	<u>72 Sargent St SF</u>	<u>94132</u>	<u>337-1961</u>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Dario Zucconi  
Business/Organization: Yin Club  
Address: 515 Broadway  
Email: dario@yinnostro.com  
Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Hiyaka Ambrose  
Business/Organization: Ross  
Address: 626 Bush St #504  
City: SF CA. 94108  
Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Leilani Brennan  
Business/Organization: Eve Lounge SF  
Address: 575 HOWARD ST. SE, CA. 94103  
Email: Party@eveloungeSF.com  
Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



Handwritten note: D & F Linnu Hae e-page

Handwritten number: 121

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

File #10086

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name:

Business/Organization:

Address:

Email:

Signature:

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Carleton Peck  
 Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: 119 Day St, CA 94131  
 Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Jobril - NIMR  
 Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: 1428 - Haight St  
 Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: SEAN MASON  
 Business/Organization: GOT LIGHT  
 Address: 1486 WALLER ST #2  
 Email: SEVYNLAYERZDEEP@YANCO.COM  
 Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: charlie Geis  
 Business/Organization: The Vin Club  
 Address: 515 Broadway SF, CA 94133  
 Email: charliegeis@mac.com  
 Signature: CM Geis



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

File #100865  
 B4F Connul-fee

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: PETER RIDET  
Business/Organization: TOSCA CAFE  
Address: 242 - COLUMBUS AVE  
Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Zelle Durantini  
Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 572 Russell St. SF CA 94132  
Email: zdurantini@newbelgium.com  
Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: ERIC CHAVEZ  
Business/Organization: UNIFORM CONCRETE DESIGN  
Address: 2266 BRYANT ST. SF CA 94110  
Email: egchavez@mac.com  
Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Michael (PROG)  
Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 204 3rd Ave SF CA 94118  
Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

File 100865.  
B&F Committee

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: FLORANCE GASPAR  
 Business/Organization: DA FLORA  
 Address: 701 COLUMBUS AVE  
 Email: (NONE) SFCA 94133  
 Signature: [Signature]



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

100 865

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: STEVE DAVIS  
1955 EDDY ST.  
 Business/Organization: SAN FRANCISCO CALIF. 94115  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email: [Signature]  
 S



## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: MARY E MARKS  
 Business/Organization: DA FLORA  
 Address: 701 COLUMBUS AVE  
 Email: (NO) SAN FRANCISCO CA  
 Signature: Mary E. Marks 94133



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)

## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Michael Berry  
 Business/Organization: 661d Cane  
 Address: 1559 HAIGHT ST.  
 Email: Andalucia39@hotmail.com  
 Signature: Michael Berry



Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



~~B&F~~  
B & F Committee  
VC-page

File #100865

## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

File #100865

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: JASON SAKASELAWA

Business/Organization: ELE LOUNGE

Address: 974 SUTTER ST

Email: JSAL4@HOTMAIL.COM

Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



B&F Commuter  
✓ e-page  
File #100865

## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: DARNEL TASIKER  
Business/Organization: TASCA CAFE  
Address: 242 COLUMBUS AVE  
Email: DARNEL36@HOTMAIL.COM  
S: \_\_\_\_\_



## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: JULIO GALVEZ  
Business/Organization: EVE LOUNGE SF  
Address: 1259 OAK STREET #3  
Email: JULIO@EVELOUNGE.SF.COM  
Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



## Ready for more taxes and job losses?

The hospitality industry is hemorrhaging jobs in San Francisco due to the economic downturn. San Francisco's hospitality workers are critical to our economic recovery and we should be doing everything we can to protect those jobs. San Francisco supervisors are considering imposing new taxes on every single drink purchased in the city. The hospitality industry is the heart of our economy. New taxes will drive away customers and force businesses to eliminate jobs. Isn't it tough enough to sustain a business in San Francisco without the addition of another new tax?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Carl Riccitelli  
Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 350 Laguna Honda Blvd  
Email: CannibalGirls@yahoo  
S: \_\_\_\_\_



## Feeling Nickeled and Dimed?

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. Don't expect that to change anytime soon. San Francisco is now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Isn't it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

### Help us STOP alcohol taxes.

Fill out the section below and mail back.

Name: Kate Satey  
Business/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 1159 Masonic Ave, Apt. C  
Email: KDARCEY@GMAIL.COM  
Signature: [Signature]

Learn more: [www.savemycajob.com](http://www.savemycajob.com)



c-page

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 JUL 19 PM 3:48

BY AK

Albert Bianchini  
253 Lelia St.  
Pittsburgh, PA 15211

Board of Supervisors,

I think the real racists are because of their Ethnicity the Hispanic (supposedly) American politicians who betrayed American citizens on the illegal immigration issue. the following paragraphs will show the Hispanic politicians and organizations that have made illegal integration into a race controversy when it is actually about sovereignty, law, fairness and common sense. This will dismiss the myth that only whites are racist!

One famous Hispanic racist organization is MEChA ( Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlan ) they support the "reconquista" of the American Southwest they also believe that only brown skinned people should run California. Another famous racist organization is La Raza they also have the same beliefs.

MEChA Creed is " Por La Raza todo, Fuera de La Raza nada " translated " everything for the race, everything outside the race nothing ". Aztlan belongs to those who plant the seeds, water the fields. And gather the crops and not to the foreign Europeans. We do not recognize capricious frontiers on the bronze continent.

Francisco Garcia, national director of the immigrant rights program for MALDEF, at the first ever Hispanic labor leadership Roundtable in Washington DC in 1990 proclaimed that the conference would just say first skirmish of a prolonged war against American citizens

Andrew Hernandez, director of the Southwest voter registration education Project said the label of "racism" was a weapon to be used in the war since it would strike fear into the heart of any politician.

Bert Corona, found the new organization was founded MEChA a anti-American at a moment children moved in for the liberation of Aztlan, a mythical area that includes California Arizona Nevada, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas may claim that this territory was stolen by the US and must be reconquered and reclaimed for Mexico. This man taught her part-time for

22

many years at C. S. U. Los Angeles even though he lacked a college degree.

José Angel Gutierrez, is a professor at the University of Texas and founder of the Revolutionary La Raza Unida party. He stated in order for us to have a homeland we must give up our Mexican-ness and become white like why you ask we are millions we have AAG White America they are not making babies they are dying some matter of time the explosion is in our population.... "Se estan cagando cabrones de miedo" ( they are sitting in their pants with fear ) and I love it.

Gloria Romero, former UC professor at California State Sen. We recognize sin fronteras ( without Borders ) that should be our rallying point.

Art Torres, former California state senator, he stated power is not given to you you have to take it remember 187 is the last gasp of white America and California understand that. People say to me on the Senate floor when I was in the Senate "why do you fight so hard for affirmative action programs" ?and I tell my white colleagues," because you're going to need them".

Henry Cisneros, former secretary of Housing and Urban Development in the Clinton administration and former mayor of San Antonio. Our numbers are growing dramatically I'm saying to you it shows the Latino population will go California and as California will go the United States of America will go. We should stand for the proud Latino future we must answer to people now more than ever and be prepared to fight.

Victoria Castro, former member of the Los Angeles Board of Education she stated to a group of 18-year-old new voters, you are going to make a difference for Los Angeles, for San Antonio, for New York, and across the nation. In the future I will not be the only Latina on the Board of Education of Los Angeles and no one will dismantle bilingual education in the United States of America the law will the deny and education to any child especially Latino children.

Joe Baca, stated to a group of Hispanic activists we all read about the book about Paul Revere when he said "the British are coming" "the British are coming". Well "the Latinos are coming" "the Latinos are coming". And the Latinos are going to vote so our voices will be heard that's what this agenda is about is ensuring that we increase our numbers you nowhere in a civil war.

*Aleida Alavez Ruiz, a Mexico City politician says corruption is not all up to the police---- that would be too simple to say. It is part of our culture as Mexicans.*

*Richard Alatorre, former Los Angeles City Councilman because our numbers are growing their afraid that we're going to take over the government institutions and other institutions. They're right we will take them over and we are not going to go away. We are here to stay and we are saying we have had enough.*

*Ruben Zacarias, former superintendent of the Los Angeles unified school District saying I started Citizen preparation centers very quietly because there are those that they knew that we were creating a whole new cadre of brand-new citizens it would have tremendous political impact we will change the political panorama not only of the LA but LA County and the state. And if we do that we change the panorama of the nation.*

*George Lopez, comedic actor " it's time of the Latino, your time to take this country over"*

*Augustin Cebada, of the Brown berets a paramilitary of the Aztlan movement. He stated go back to the Plymouth rock, Pilgrims. Get out we are the future. You're old and tired we have beaten you leave like the rats. You old white people it is your duty to die you're taking up too much space too much air. There's over 7 million Mexicans in LA County alone we are the majority. You're going to see every day more and more of it as we manifest that our young people grow up graduate from high school, go on to college, and start taking over in this society. Right now were already controlling elections whenever it's violence or nonviolence. For love of having children were going to take over.*

*Mario Obledo, former California health education and welfare secretary this is what he said on the Tom Leykis Los Angeles radio show "Were going to take it over all the political institutions of California in five years. We're going to be the majority population in this state. If people don't like Mexicans they ought to leave, they ought to go back to Europe".*

*Barbara Cole, founder of the California coalition for immigration Reform. In the eyes of the illegal aliens from Mexico this was a mortal sin. This*

*is a 73-year-old woman who had death threats and racial slurs hurled against her, for the simple reason is American citizen wanted to protect our country from this illegal invasion. This is just a small sample of hundreds of threats that she received.*

*Hi my name is Denise, Just to let you know now down with America and California this belongs to Mexico just to let you know.*

*Anonymous caller, you Mrs. Barbara Cole of Huntington Beach it just signed her death warrant we know where it's going to be.*

*Anonymous caller, you know what youfucking lazy white trash piece of shit? You are here in Aztlan. You European immigrants get the fuck out. We're here and we're strong and we ain't going to take this shit no more.*

*Anonymous caller, we're going to take over all California. Not only California were already getting Nevada, Arizona, Oregon, Washington, before you know it Washington DC will be ours to .Piece of fucking white trash.*

*What is disgraceful is that these people are supposedly Hispanic American politicians. There's no doubt where their allegiance stands and it's not America. Barbara Cole shared the hate calls with the Huntington Beach Police Department and the FBI, which she said neither law enforcement agency did anything. This is mind-boggling and hard for a patriotic American to comprehend*

*Michelle Malkin, appeared on the Sean Hannity show discussing the DOJ's Arizona lawsuit, immediately after the show this is just two examples of the many hateful e-mails that she received.*

*You are a shitty Filipino prostitute, your only hope is to marry a white man. you are cheep and ugly. When I look to your ugly Filipino eyes , I feel ,I want to throw up. You want to be white but you are not. You are a shitty Asian horn and you will be for the rest of your life. Clean your rotten cunt before you write Filipino monkey.*

*You are a Fucking Cunt  
I hope you, your hubby and kids die in a car accident  
Have a great day*

Hispanics are planning to invade America, little by little, and to facilitate this, they have the full cooperation of the Democratic Party, they control our media and government, academia, and law enforcement organizations. Since they are so thoroughly in control of these organs for the dissemination of propaganda, they are in the best position to spread hostility against the White people of America, inciting the minority against the majority in these lands: Black against White, Latino against White, Asian against White, Arab against White, Indian against White, and so on, and so forth.

This is facilitated by decades of brainwashing, beginning in early school years, portraying Whites not as the builders of a great civilization, or the admirable leaders of the Free World, but in a lopsided, entirely slanted way as oppressors, enslavers, genocidal "Nazis", southern Klansmen, imperialistic Colonials, and let's not forget white racist. This brainwashing not only inflames the minorities but also inculcates a sense of "White guilt" that Hispanic politicians and open border proponents finds particularly useful in maintaining their open border's and illegal immigration policy


*Albert Bianchini*

Albert Bianchini

Vietnam vet 66-67

Independent American

PS Our military cemeteries are filled with American White European descendents who fought and died to protect, our freedom, independence, sovereignty and culture.





Jay Sath  
<jay2004a@hotmail.com>  
07/20/2010 03:44 PM

To Bevan Dufty <bevan.dufty@sfgov.org>,  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, Eric Mar  
<eric.l.mar@sfgov.org>, Bill Barnes

cc

bcc

Subject No additional fees.... please!

According to The SF Chronicle the City Supervisors are considering putting a fee hike of \$10 for vehicle registration on the ballot for the next election.

The last thing the taxpayers of SF need is another fee! Please cut city employee salaries and lifetime benefits first. If that's not enough to raise monies then start laying off up to 20% of city employees.

Thank you,  
Jay Sath

---

Hotmail is redefining busy with tools for the New Busy. Get more from your inbox. [See how.](#)



BOS-11  
C page

Performance  
Con/CON/SFGOV  
Sent by: Keith DeMartini

07/26/2010 10:18 AM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV,  
BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV  
cc Performance Con/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Andrew  
Murray/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Monique  
Zmuda/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV

bcc

Subject CON Government Barometer - Suggestions for FY2010-11

Members of the Board of Supervisors and Aides,

During FY2009-10, the Controller's Office issued seven Government Barometer reports to share key performance and activity information with the public in order to increase transparency, create dialog, and build the public's confidence regarding the City's management of public business. The report lists measures in major service areas with recent data and trend information included. The reports have been very well received, and we are looking forward to issuing more reports in the future.

Before the first report in FY2010-11 is issued (August 2010), we will be fine tuning the report and **want your input** as to what measures you would like to see listed in the report.

You can see the current measures reported in the Government Barometer by reviewing the April 2010 report here: <http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1139>

Please send us your input on new measures or other suggested changes to the report by **Thursday August 5, 2010**. All suggested changes will be considered in developing the FY2010-11 report. Please let us know if you have any questions or would like to schedule a meeting to discuss. Your input is very important to us. Thank you!

Keith DeMartini  
City Services Auditor, Performance Measurement Program  
General Support Phone: 415-554-5391  
Email: [Performance.CON@sfgov.org](mailto:Performance.CON@sfgov.org)  
Intranet: <http://budget.sfgov.org/>  
Internet: [www.sfgov.org/controller/performance](http://www.sfgov.org/controller/performance)

24

10-page  
BDS-11

**The Megan Furth Academy**

July 20, 2010

To: The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco

From: Peter C. Newell

Subject: Property known as the Sacred Heart Church, 554 Fillmore Street, San Francisco.

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 20 PM 4:57  
BY PC

The Megan Furth Academy is a Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation, formed to operate a Catholic elementary school serving underprivileged children in the Western Addition of San Francisco. Megan Furth Academy is affiliated with the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of San Francisco.

The Megan Furth Academy owns the property known as the Sacred Heart Church, 554 Fillmore St., San Francisco. The property is non-commercial.


On two recent occasions, the City and County of San Francisco has referred to the subject property as "historic" in nature. The City is thus invoking Section 25373, subdivision b) of the Government Code of the State of California, in an effort to impose special conditions on the Sacred Heart Church property.

Megan Furth Academy will suffer substantial hardship, which will deprive the school and the Corporation of any economic return on its property; will disallow our reasonable use of the property and; will prevent us from appropriate use of our property in the furtherance of our religious mission, if this property is designated by the City as "historic", or a "historic resource", a "historically significant building", or as part of a "historic district", as a "landmark", or anything having to do with a historic nature.

Megan Furth Academy hereby objects to the application by the City of said subdivision b) to this property, and hereby invokes subdivision d) of Section 25373 of the Government Code. The Board of Supervisors and other City agencies and departments have no jurisdiction to make any sort of determination that the Sacred Heart Church is historic. Megan Furth Academy timely objected to efforts to list the property on the National Register of Historic Places.

We hereby request that the City and County respect Section 25373 subsection d) of the Government Code, and cease any further designation of the Sacred Heart Church as having any historic nature whatsoever.

Thank you.



Peter C. Newell

Chief Financial Officer

The Megan Furth Academy 2445 Pine St. San Francisco, Ca 94123

cc: Board of Supervisors (via email)

Michela Alioto-Pier

John Avalos

David Campos

David Chiu, President

Carmen Chu

Chris Daly

Bevan Dufty

Sean Elsbernd

Eric Mar

Sophia Maxwell

Ross Mirkarimi

Frank Brass, Esq. (via email)

City Attorney's Office

Rachna Rachna (via email)

San Francisco Planning Dept.

Ed Sweeney (via email)

Department of Building Inspection

BDS-11  
✓ 0-page



SanFranciscoCAC@aol.com  
07/21/2010 01:06 PM

To sanfranciscocac@aol.com, DrVHunnicutt@aol.com,  
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

File #:  
100861

Subject Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee Letter  
of Support.

Hello Supervisor,

Please find attached, a letter of support for the Hunters Point Shipyard Phase II/Candlestick Point Project  
from the Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee.

Thank you,

HPSY/SFRA Site Office  
CAC Administrative Support  
P.O. Box 882403  
San Francisco, CA 94188  
415.822.4622  
415.822.4840 Fax



sanfranciscocac@aol.com Dear SF Supervisors - HPS CAC Project Support Letter.pdf

26



## THE MAYOR'S HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE

---

BVHP SHIPYARD SITE OFFICE    PHONE: 415.822.4622  
P. O. BOX 882403                FAX: 415.822.4840  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94188      EMAIL: SANFRANCISCOCAC@AOL.COM

July 16, 2010

City & County of San Francisco

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

Dear Supervisors:

Recently you may have received a letter regarding the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point Core Community Benefits Agreement (CCBA) from out of town professors written on behalf of POWER, strong opponents of the Candlestick Point/Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 2 project.

Professors Marcuse, Hartmann and Haas may be accomplished academically, but they are not from the Bayview or San Francisco and do not understand the needs of our community. They have not participated at any stage of the community planning that taken place over decades. None of these academics have been to a single hearing on the Project, visited our community or spent hundreds and hundreds of hours of volunteer time on the local, community committees. None of these academics have heard the presentations and subsequent approvals of virtually every Board and Commission in San Francisco. And none of these academics expressed their opinion during the campaign for Proposition G, which was approved by nearly 63% of the voters in San Francisco and nearly 67% in our community.

26

As such, their letter is both misinformed and misleading and their analysis of the CCBA and the overall benefits of the Candlestick Point/Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 2 project are woefully biased and flawed.

The CCBA was negotiated with a developer selected through a public bid process, Lennar, by three organizations with true grassroots connection to the residents of Bayview Hunters Point.

The San Francisco Labor Council represents 100,000 members from 150 unions; 10,000 members live in southeast section of San Francisco. San Francisco Organizing Project (SFOP) and ACORN both have a history of organizing locally and are accountable to a broad membership base of community residents. SFOP works in thirty churches and congregations and schools in San Francisco representing 40,000 people. At the time of the agreement, San Francisco ACORN had 6,500 members and program participants, of which 1,300 were in the southeast part of the city.

The AD 10 organization, which will oversee the CCBA as it is implemented, also includes representatives from our key community organizations - the Bayview Hunters Point Project Area Committee (PAC) and the Hunters Point Shipyard Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC). The PAC is a publicly elected, community-based organization serving the interests of the Bayview Hunters Point District of San Francisco. The CAC was established in 1991 and has been deeply involved in increasing community participation in the planning and implementation of the project for almost 20 years. The professors assert that democratic participation in the community benefits from the project were minimal. After decades of work on this project, we take issue with this characterization. Our two organizations were specifically formed to ensure that the benefits from the Project meet the needs of our community. And we believe strongly that they do.

Because of this direct community involvement and participation the Hunters Point Shipyard CCBA sets a new standard for community benefits – not just in the San Francisco Bay Area, but also across America. Ken Jacobs, a local professor at the University of California, Berkeley Center For Labor Research and Education with a deep understanding of our community, says that “the Community Benefits Agreement for the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point is a far-reaching agreement that surpasses

many of the standards both in existing San Francisco law and in similar laws and agreements elsewhere.” (*RAISING THE BAR: The Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point Development Community Benefits Agreement*, May 2010).

The professors rightfully note that the community benefit commitments under the CCBA are only as strong as the monitoring and enforcement of those commitments. But they incorrectly assume that the CCBA represents the only benefits of this project and that the signatories are the only people monitoring the project’s implementation. To the contrary, the terms of the CCBA are more thoroughly incorporated into the project’s development agreements, thereby ensuring enforcement of these commitments by *both* the CCBA signatories and by public bodies, including our community organizations. No one has a stronger interest in making sure that the Developer ensures its commitments than we do, and we work vigorously to ensure that our community receives the benefits in the CCBA and development agreements.

It is important to correct the record and ensure that you understand the requirements for the Project that we negotiated to reflect the needs of *our community*. It is imperative that you have the correct facts needed to make an important, but informed decision on this historic project for the Bayview, San Francisco and the Bay Area.

**Affordable Housing.** The professors from POWER misleadingly refer to the CCBA’s affordable housing requirements as “weak” and focused on “luxury condos.” Nothing – and we repeat nothing – could be farther from the truth. Between the project’s 32% affordable housing requirement of the project, and the provision for an additional \$27.3 million Community First Housing Fund, which will provide payment assistance for District 10 home purchasers, the percentage of homes that will be sold below market-rates is approximately 35%. This amount of affordable housing has never been seen in a private development project in the City and County of San Francisco. And, at the request of our community, this housing is priced to reflect the economic diversity and priorities of our community. As a result, there is ample housing for both our neediest community members, but also our hard-working poor and middle class that are otherwise priced out of the market.

**Family and community preferences.** It should be noted that average number of bedrooms required in the affordable housing units (excluding senior and disabled housing) is 2.5 bedrooms. That requirement is specifically to ensure that families are able to live in these homes. Far from increasing gentrification, a major goal of the CCBA is to ensure that African American families can stay in the community, and those that have been displaced may return. That's why the CCBA provides affordable housing preferences to families displaced during the redevelopment of the Fillmore district and other redevelopment agency projects, to residents of Bayview-Hunters Point, and to families with unaffordable rents.

**Rebuilding Alice Griffith.** As part of the affordable housing plan, the developer has committed to rebuilding the Alice Griffith Housing Project, one of the most dilapidated and dangerous public housing projects in the city. This will be done on a phased basis without forcing current tenants to re-locate. Additionally, the rebuild of Alice Griffith will begin in the first phase of the project.

**Workforce development.** One of the strongest elements of the CCBA is focused on providing jobs, job training and business opportunities to residents of the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhoods. The CCBA requires the developer to contribute nearly \$9 million into a workforce development fund. This money will be matched by the City and County of San Francisco in programs and services. The CCBA also requires union recognition, payment of a living wage, and first source hiring with a goal of hiring 50% of jobs across all sectors from the City with first consideration given to residents of Bayview Hunters Point

**Economic benefits and jobs.** Outside of the scope of the CCBA is the impact of the project on the entire San Francisco economy for decades. Thousands of construction workers will be employed over a 20-30 year period. Thousands more permanent jobs will be created by final build-out. An emphasis on attracting green jobs, anchored by the location of the UN Center on Climate Change, will have a significant impact on San Francisco's transition to the economy of the future. Public schools, community health, transit and many other critical sectors will receive millions in funding. All of the benefits of this project will be delivered without negatively impacting the general fund. And, millions of dollars each year will be generated for the city's cash-strapped general fund.



**Environment.** It should also be noted that, while the CCBA far surpasses those adopted previously in San Francisco or elsewhere, the overall benefits to the community do not begin and end with the CCBA. One particularly important example of this is the role the project is playing in spurring the federal government to action in cleaning up the Shipyard itself. Led by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, the federal government has committed hundreds of millions of dollars to the long overdue effort to clean up years of toxic waste left on the site by the U.S. Navy. Should the development project be significantly delayed or rejected, these efforts might be jeopardized and the community forced to wait many more years for this critical clean up to occur.

**Parks and Recreation.** Providing parks and recreation is an important benefit of the project that once again falls outside the scope of the CCBA but should be recognized. More than 300 acres of new parks – approximately a third of Golden Gate Park and nearly half of the total project– will be provided to a community that lacks them. The developer has also committed to providing funds to complete the build out of and to maintain the Candlestick Point State Recreation Area, a particularly important commitment in light of the budget cuts continually suffered by the state parks during this recession.

**Transit.** Another aspect of the project which is outside the scope of the CCBA but which provides significant community benefits is the improvement of transit. For the first time, residents of the Bayview Hunters Point community will be directly linked to citywide and regional transit; major bus lines in the neighborhoods will be extended to the new development to provide a high degree of communication and integration; the best practices for bicycle and pedestrian use have been adopted; and the bridge which will connect Candlestick Point to the Shipyard is one of the most environmentally sensitive bridges ever planned – designated for pedestrian, bicycle and mass transit use ONLY – no cars – except on several game days, and then only if the 49ers choose to develop a stadium as part of the project.

While we respect a public dialogue and the democratic rights of POWER and their professors, we hope you understand that we, the community, believe strongly that the requirements of the CCBA fit our local needs, and local priorities. It is critical that

you bear in mind the strength and breadth of the community support and the community benefits of this project – provided both by the CCBA and the project in its entirety. We've worked long and hard to revitalize our neighborhood and develop a community plan that represents tangible and real benefits for residents of the neighborhood and residents of San Francisco. Now, it's your turn to join us and lead San Francisco forward and implement a development plan that has been shaped by the people, churches, schools and businesses that live, work and dream in and for the Bayview.

Sincerely yours,

*Veronica Hunnicutt*

Dr. Veronica Hunnicutt

Chair, Mayor's Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee

cc. CAC Members  
File



"Simon Chiu"  
<schiu@famsf.org>  
07/20/2010 03:12 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc <Alan.Pavkovic@sfgov.org>  
bcc  
Subject Emergency Repairs for Fine Arts Museums

To Board of Supervisors  
Attached is an Emergency Declaration serves as notification to the Board of Supervisors per Admin.  
Code Sec. 6.60. Work has already begun.

Thanks

Simon Chiu  
de Young Museum  
50 Hagiwara Tea Garden Drive  
San Francisco, CA 94118-4502  
Work: 415 750-3685  
Fax: 415 750-3515  
**From:** Alan Pavkovic [mailto:Alan.Pavkovic@sfgov.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2009 3:28 PM  
**To:** Simon Chiu  
**Cc:** Nicholas Elsishans; Galen Leung; Lillian Chan  
**Subject:** Emergency Declarations

Simon,

I recently approved a direct payment for emergency declarations for the Legion of Honor and for the de Young museum. I feel that these emergency declarations provided for these services could be better served by a initiating a maintenance contract with Pacific Coast Trane to provide these services as needed.

Please review the San Francisco Administrative Code again. The section you reference in your documentation is incorrect and should be 6.60. I have also found an omission to the procedures you are following for emergencies, I've copied that section below for your reference. Fine Arts must notify the Controller immediately of the work involved, not months after the fact as shown in the latest emergency declaration.

**"SEC. 6.60. EMERGENCY REPAIRS, WORK AND CONTRACTS.**

**If the emergency does not permit the required approvals to be obtained before work is commenced or the contract entered into, such approvals shall be obtained as soon thereafter as it is possible to do so. In such event, the department head concerned shall notify the Controller immediately of the work involved or the contract entered into and the estimated cost thereof and shall notify the Board of Supervisors not more than seven days after work has been commenced."**

Please change your procedures to correctly follow the emergency guidelines as set forth in the Administrative Code, also please look at the previous emergency declarations and see if you can find ways to encumber for those types of services in the future.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

Alan Pavkovic  
Controller's Office  
Supervising Fiscal Officer  
City Hall, Room 300  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: 415-554-6609



Fax: 415-554-7531 FAM\_EmergencyRepairs.pdf

Order no. FAM

EMERGENCY DECLARED

The Main electrical system at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor is in need of repair. The 1600 amp circuit breaker for the Main Electrical Service B has failed. This creates an unsafe condition for the, general public, staff and collection. Rosendin Electric was called to replace the failed breaker.

This condition constitutes an emergency involving a hazardous condition to City Property. Therefore, an emergency is declared to exist under the provisions of Section 6.60 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

So as to protect the property of the City and County of San Francisco, the Building and Grounds Superintendent of the Fine Arts Museums proceeded in the most expeditious manner to make the necessary repairs and engaged Rosendin Electric, 65 Oak Grove St., San Francisco, CA 94107. Federal ID number 94-1242813, City Vendor # 15928, to replace the circuit breaker. The estimated cost of repairs is \$15,070.00.

The Appointee shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City and County of San Francisco, The Museum Society, The Fine Arts Museums Foundation, and the Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums Foundation, The COFAM, and their officers and employees, against claims arising out of the performance of work pursuant to this order in the following amounts:

Comprehensive General Liability	\$1,000,000.00 Single
Limits Bodily Injury & Property Damage	
Comprehensive Auto Liability Limits	\$1,000,000.00 Single
Bodily Injury & Property Damage	
Worker's Compensation	Statutory
Employer's Liability Limit	\$1,000,000.00 Single


The City and County of San Francisco, The Fine Arts Museums Foundation, and the COFAM, and their officers, agents and employees shall be named as additional insured. Provide City 30 days written notice of cancellation, nonrenewable or reduction in limit of coverage mailed to the Building and Grounds Superintendent, de Young Memorial Museum, Golden Gate Park, 50 Hagiwara Tea Garden Drive, San Francisco, CA 94118. Provide renewal certificates to the same office.

The Senior Accountant, for the Fine Arts Museums, is directed to issue a <sup>PURCHASE</sup> ~~CONTRACT~~ ORDER to the Appointee for the work.

Funds for this work are available in Fund *19 AFT AAA INDEX CODE 610063. PROJ FFA214*

The activity described herein is categorically exempt from an EIR under Class 1 (d) of the list adopted by the City Planning Commission on April 26, 1973.

APPROVED:

  
John E. Buchanan, Jr.  
Director of Museums  
The Fine Arts Museum

Date:

*July 19, 2010*

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 JUL 19 PM 12:55

Jeff Adachi

PO Box 77313, SF, CA 94107

BJS-11  
CORS  
G-page

BY AK

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

To All Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to you to express my concerns regarding statements made by members of the Board of Supervisors stating their intention to re-allocate funding from the Public Defender office's budget in retaliation for my involvement as a private citizen by working to placing a Charter Amendment on the November ballot to address the city's escalating pension and benefits costs.

On July 9, 2010, a news report was published in the Fog City Journal, an on-line publication. According to the article, Budget Committee Chair Supervisor John Avalos told the Journal's publisher that Supervisor Chris Daly "is expected to make a motion at the Board's July 20 meeting asking the Board to reverse a \$1.2 million public defender budget restoration." Supervisor Avalos then said, "I'd rather operate to keep the function of the public defender intact rather than apply retribution, although if my colleagues want to apply retribution, I'm not going to cry," Avalos told Fog City Journal. Avalos then said in reference to the charter amendment, "I think what he's (Adachi) doing, especially on the healthcare side, is very, very problematic," Avalos explained. "It's not typically what progressives stand for."


I have taken the action of attempting to place a Charter Amendment on the ballot as a private citizen, not as Public Defender or an elected official. As a private citizen, I have the right under the U.S. Constitution to engage in political speech, including the right to petition the People of the City and County of San Francisco to change the City's Charter. If the Board of Supervisors acts to reduce the funding level of the Public Defender's office because of actions I have chosen to take as a private citizen, the Board of Supervisors' resulting actions will violate federal and state law.

The United States Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized that a citizen who works for the government is entitled to engage in politically protected speech and cannot be silenced by the government. *Garcetti v. Ceballos* (2006) 547 U.S. 410; *Connick v. Myers* (1983) 391 U.S. 138. The First Amendment protects the liberties employees enjoy in their capacities as private citizens. *Pickering v. Board of Education* (1968) 391 U.S. 563. So long as employees are speaking as citizens about matters of public concern, they must face only those speech restrictions that are necessary for their employers to operate efficiently and effectively. There is no justification to cut the Public Defender's budget simply because, I, as a private citizen, have decided to petition the People of the City and County of San Francisco to change the City Charter.

28

As Justice Thurgood Marshall said in *Rankin v. McPherson* (1987) 483 U.S. 378:  
"Vigilance is necessary to ensure that public employers do not use their authority ... to silence discourse, not because it hampers public functions but simply because superiors disagree with the content of employees' speech." By voting to cut the Public Defender's budget because of the department head's exercise of political speech as a private citizen, the Board will be violating the First Amendment's sacred guarantee of free speech.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeff Adachi". The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a long, sweeping horizontal stroke across the middle.

Jeff Adachi



Ed Ng  
<premierservices001@yahoo.com>

07/25/2010 06:29 PM

To Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, Ron.Miguel@sfgov.org,  
John.Rahaim@sfgov.org, Linda.Avery@sfgov.org,  
adrian.putra@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Opposed to cell tower Case# 2009.0534C

Regard Case# 2009.0534C

Dear Sir/Madam,

I live on 25th Ave and Quintara with a family of 4. My elderly parents also live with me. I'm concerned and opposed to the proposed cell tower on 1250 Quintara Ave. With increasing digital signals being built, I'm concerned the T-Mobile cell tower antennas is unnecessary and UNSAFE for this family oriented neighborhood. I have two young children and two elderly couple and having them exposed to high level of radio frequency is a health risk. My family is against building any T-Mobile antennas near our neighborhood! There are a children care faculty and Lincoln High School next block and having them exposed to high levels of radio frequency is a health risk. I say no to the cell tower antennas!

Concern parent,

Ed Ng





Eric Parks  
<ericoneillparks@hotmail.com>  
m>

07/24/2010 10:55 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject to David Chiu: In Support of San Francisco Nail Salon  
Recognition Program

Sup Chiu  
✓ e-page  
File #100963

History:

☐ This message has been forwarded.

To: Board President and Supervisor David Chiu

Re: In Support of San Francisco Nail Salon Recognition Program

Dear Supervisor Chiu:

I am a registered nurse. I am writing to express support for the establishment of a Nail Salon Recognition Program in San Francisco.

People are dying from exposure to dibutyl phthalate, formaldehyde, and toluene (the toxic trio). These chemicals are linked with serious health effects including cancer, asthma, and reproductive harm (deformed babies, dead or harmed fetuses).

I appreciate your advocacy for a safer workplace for nail technicians and their customers. I look forward to the passage of this important legislation.

Sincerely,  
Eric Parks  
San Francisco, CA  
ericoneillparks@hotmail.com

---

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.

30

1 C-page



pmonette-shaw  
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

07/22/2010 10:18 PM

Please respond to  
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients::

cc

bcc

Subject Two-part Article on Examiner.com: (Code Pearl?) San Francisco dialysis shortage linked to chronic Laguna Honda Hospital rebuild indecisions

Sorry I had to post this as a two-part article on Examiner.com:

"San Francisco dialysis shortage linked to chronic Laguna Honda Hospital rebuild indecisions"

Regarding dialysis center availability in San Francisco, are we at Code Blue?

Or at Code Pearl?

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick

31



pmonette-shaw  
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

07/24/2010 04:56 PM

Please respond to  
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;;

cc

bcc

Subject Posted today to Examiner.com: Is another design error delaying the opening of San Francisco's replacement Laguna Honda Hospital?

Posted today to Examiner.com::

"Is another design error delaying the opening of San Francisco's replacement Laguna Honda Hospital?"

Hospital line staff report there are major issues with the cooling in LHH's new computer server rooms. Telecommunication and computer equipment may have had to be turned off to avoid damage, possibly because the North Building wasn't designed with adequate air cooling, which may have been "value engineered" out.

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick



pmonette-shaw  
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

07/25/2010 09:36 PM

Please respond to  
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;;

cc

bcc

Subject Now on Examiner.com: Original 1993 policy of San Francisco public hospital surfaces, titled "patient gift fund"

Now on Examiner.com::

"Original 1993 policy of San Francisco public hospital surfaces, titled "patient gift fund""

When Laguna Honda Hospital's "Patient's Gift Fund" policy was first developed in 1993, it was quite clear about who would be the beneficiaries of the restricted-use gift fund: Patients.

So how did the original 1993 version of the policy suddenly "go missing" in June 2010, 17 years later?

Could it be that City officials didn't want to admit the policy was first titled "Patient's Gift Fund," hoping to limit the City's liability?

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick



pmonette-shaw  
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

07/21/2010 08:58 PM

Please respond to  
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;;

cc

bcc

Subject Just posted on Examiner.com and Picked Up By Google Alerts: Morbid lack of information shrouds truth about San Francisco's Laguna Honda Hospital morgue fiasco

Just posted on Examiner.com and picked up by Google Alerts::

"Morbid lack of information shrouds truth about San Francisco's Laguna Honda Hospital morgue fiasco"

Nakedly wearing the Emperor's sepulchral clothes, LHH's spokesperson Marc Slavin asserted to San Francisco staff writer Katie Worth that there were no responses to requests for proposals to provide temporary morgue services at LHH, and that the hospital remains in search of "other creative solutions."

The most significant problem regarding LHH's replacement morgue, is that there was insufficient overhead clearance for the new body refrigerators, which may have made LHH's morgue dead on arrival.

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick



Tim Giangibbe  
<HarmReductionCenter@em  
ail.com>

07/23/2010 09:10 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject [Harm Reduction Now San Francisco] Cannabis Prohibition  
and The Propaganda War.

I Would Like To Take a Moment First to Thank Terence Hallinan for His dedication to The End of Cannabis Prohibition and For Being one of the Fair DAS during His Reign. He Had to Fight against The Ignorance of The Conservative constituents in the city that said he was TOO LIBERAL. Thank You Terence for Not Letting their Hate and Intolerance effect you. The Letter of the law was not always the answer and You knew that, Unlike OTHER DAS like Solano County's David Paulson. He Like Many Conservative DAS Ignored Patients Rights and Took The Federal Law Literally in their Interpretation of What should be Charged and What shouldn't. This Behavior was just the Product of a Programmed Society. The Propaganda War against Cannabis will never go Away. The Ignorance showed it's Ugly head when The Medical Cannabis Law was Passed. The Same Ignorance Born during the Great Depression.



The Founding Fathers of Our country were Hemp Farmers. Hemp was an Important part of the economy. For defense purposes Hemp was a Necessary crop. Farmers were Ordered to Grow Hemp as One of the Crops for Patriotism and the Defense of the Colonies. Yes Cannabis was very Much Part of American Colonial Society and Has Been Ridiculed and Slandered Long enough.




Cannabis was as American as Apple Pie. Many Useful Products of the Era were made from hemp. Sails, Rope, Clothing, Fish Nets, Fuel, Medicine. The Use of this Wonderful herb was

widespread and No one could have a Patent on it because it is a Naturally occurring substance. That is the Reason for the Lies and deceit. The {propaganda Campaign had Unknown Contributors. The Rumors were and Are The Oil and Drug Corporations went after Hemp. They Secretly Helped to Fund the Smear Campaign aimed at Children. It Worked! That is an American Tragedy.




The Biggest Deception known to Man is the deception of Cannabis being a Dangerous Useless Product. The Propaganda war against Hemp and Cannabis was More than Likely spurred on by the Oil and Drug Companies. They Were Both worried about the Competition from Mother Nature. The Propaganda War Was Very Deceitful and Used Children as their Victims. The Scare Tactics were Evil and Down right Lies.

Reefer Madness. There were wide spread stories of Wild All Night Sex Parties with The Evil Weed in Charge. Reports of Orgies, Insanity, Suicides and Murders. None of these Can be Actually Documented of Course.




The Cannabis Propaganda War is Now a Comedy to Look at. Can't everyone see the Lies for themselves. They can continue to be ignorant or they can Help to Release the herb from Federal limbo. Write your Senator and ask why we continue to have such a failed policy on cannabis. The Reefer Madness Has To Stop!!






These Posters were taken very seriously by Adults. The Self Righteous Substance haters were happy because Booze was Made legal again. Now they can Hate Marijuana. The Weed With Roots in Hell. That is some awesome Nutrients. The Reefer Madness Must End Now!!

"...A MAJOR CHALLENGE IN FORMING THE ATTITUDES THAT LED TO THE PRESENT LEGAL SITUATION REGARDING MARIJUANA... HILARIOUS WHEN VIEWED FROM THE OTHER SIDE OF THE GENERATION GAP, A GAD THIS FILM DID SO MUCH TO CREATE..."  
 BY MICHAEL M. JACOBI



The Insanity of These Lies can't be believed today but the Lies still seem to Live. The law is still placing Citizens behind bars for an Herb, The Reefer Madness must end Now!



The Lies and Propaganda eventually lead to Arrests and The Beginning of a Huge Disgrace. The Jailing of Citizens for growing Cannabis Just like our Founding Fathers.


Medical Cannabis Laws were passed and That did not matter to some of the Rogue DAs.




Dave Paulson was One of the Rogue DAS who Ignored Proposition 215 and Still prosecuted Cannabis Patients. I was One of the Patients Prosecuted and Run over by a Solano County Kangaroo Court. I eventually won my appeal. I was Not Breaking State Laws but The Trial Sounded like a Federal Inquisition. This County had Over 9 Medical cannabis Court Convictions Overturned all from Judge LUIS Villareal. He was Blatantly BIAS. LUIS Sucks. He has earned NO respect from me. Neither has David Paulson. Paulson is still in Office. LUIS is History. Good Riddance!!



The First Cannabis POW was Samuel Caldwell 58. He and Moses Baca 26 was Arrested in at The Lexington Hotel in Denver Oct 2nd 1937. The very first day the Federal law was passed. By The FBI and Local Cops. For TWO JOINTS. Big Bad Bust Feds. The Judge gave Caldwell Four Years in Jail and Baca 18 Months for Two Joints. WTF DAMN!!!! Some States Enacted Even Stricter Laws, Such as Texas, Virginia, Florida and Ohio all Had EXTREME Strict Laws and Sentences.



The Reefer Madness will end in November in California. Prop 19 is on the ballot and should win. Time to Tax and Regulate Cannabis. Our Founding Fathers would Approve. They would not have made Ignorant laws in the first Place. This Was the Ignorant Tyrannical Laws they warned of.



It Seems Kind of Bittersweet to have this on the Ballot. The Tyranny Should have never Been allowed in the first Place. Maybe before Obama leaves Office he will Pardon Non Violent Cannabis Prisoners. The Future of Cannabis Commerce Looks Bright. Oaksterdam University is Leading the way. Way to go Oaksterdam. The rest of the Country shall follow someday. PEACE GOD BLESS ALL

--

Posted By Tim Giangioffe to [Harm Reduction Now San Francisco](#) at 7/22/2010 12:38:00 PM



Joan Peters  
<hopeabdi@yahoo.com>

07/19/2010 03:44 PM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Liberty Builders build Bayview Library

*1 c page*

*Sup. Maxwell*

Let **Liberty Builders** build the new **Bayview Library**!

Liberty Builders and its team of professional consultants have the capacity and the engineering & construction expertise to undertake and complete this project to the design specifications set forth by the City and its design consulting team.

This would be a great time to plan for jobs for people in the Bayview area and they could be proud of building their library!

Sincerely,  
Joan Peters

**COMMISSIONERS**  
**Jim Kellogg**, President  
Discovery Bay  
**Richard Rogers**, Vice President  
Montecito  
**Michael Sutton**, Member  
Monterey  
**Daniel W. Richards**, Member  
Upland  
**Don Benninghoven**, Member  
Santa Barbara

**ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER**



1416 Ninth Street  
Box 944209  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090  
(916) 653-4899  
(916) 653-5040 Fax  
fgc@fgc.ca.gov

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
**Fish and Game Commission**

July 19, 2010

TO ALL INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES:

This is to provide you with a copy of a Continuation Notice of Proposed Regulatory Action, and Notice of Addition of Document to Rulemaking File, relative to Section 300, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, regarding Upland Game Bird Hunting.


During the regulatory process to amend the section noted above, changes were made to the originally proposed language. Because the modified proposed regulations are different from, yet sufficiently related to, the originally proposed regulations, the Administrative Procedure Act requires that these changes be made available to you for a 15-day written comment period.

Attached for your review is the modified proposed regulatory language, with new additions shown in underline and deletions shown in strike-out.

Please note the date of the public hearing related to this matter and associated deadlines for receipt of written comments.

**Dr. Eric Loft, Wildlife Branch, Department of Fish and Game, phone (916) 445-3555, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.**

Sincerely,

  
Sheri Tiemann  
Staff Services Analyst

Attachments

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 JUL 22 PM 3:19  
BY le

34

**TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission  
Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations**

**(Continuation of California Notice Register 2010, No. 25-Z,  
and Meetings of May 6, 2010, and June 24, 2010.)**

(NOTE: See Updated Informative Digest changes shown with strikeout/underline format.)

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 203, and 355, of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 202, 203, 203.1, 215, 220, 355 and 356 of said Code, proposes to amend Section 300, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to upland game bird hunting regulations.

**Updated Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview**

The existing regulations provide a general hunting season for taking resident upland game birds. Subsection 300(a)(1)(D) provides for general season hunting of sage grouse in Lassen, Mono and Inyo counties. A limited number of permits are issued annually.

This Initial Statement of Reasons is intended to reduce any potential impact hunting may have on these populations by reducing the number of permits issued to 0 providing options for the Fish and Game Commission to select the number of permits issued for greater sage grouse. The Department is currently working with other agencies, land-owners, and stakeholders to develop and implement conservation plans for sage-grouse in California. By eliminating any mortality due to hunting, the Department will be maximizing the potential for the population to increase and expand. This proposed action would also demonstrate to land management agencies and landowners in sage grouse range, the seriousness of needing more effective habitat conservation actions to ensure sage grouse do not become, or need to become, fully listed at either the federal level, or at the state level.

**NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a teleconference hearing to be held at the Resources Building, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California, on Thursday, August 5, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. Written comments may be submitted at the address given below, or by fax at (916) 653-5040, or by e-mail to [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov). It is requested but not required that written comments mailed, faxed or e-mailed to the Commission office, must be received before 5:00 p.m. on August 2, 2010. All comments must be received no later than August 5, 2010, at the hearing in Sacramento, CA. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address.

The regulations as proposed in strikeout-underline format, as well as an initial statement of reasons, including environmental considerations and all information upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Jon K. Fischer, Deputy Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Jon K. Fischer, or Sheri Tiemann at the preceding address or phone number.

**Dr. Eric Loft, Wildlife Branch, Department of Fish and Game, phone (916) 445-3555, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.** Copies of the Amended Initial Statement of Reasons, including the regulatory language, may be obtained from the address above. Notice of the proposed action shall be posted on the Fish and Game Commission website at <http://www.fgc.ca.gov>.

#### **Availability of Modified Text**

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 202 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in Sections 11343.4, 11346.4 and 11346.8 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

#### **Impact of Regulatory Action**

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The Department does not believe that the proposed action will have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed regulation change is sufficiently minor that there would be no significant economic impact to businesses.

- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California:

None

- (c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.



- (d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:  
None
- (e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:  
None
- (f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:  
None
- (g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4:  
None
- (h) Effect on Housing Costs:  
None

#### Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

#### Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: July 19, 2010

Jon K. Fischer  
Deputy Executive Director

## Regulatory Language

**Subsection 300(a)(1)(D)4., Title 14, CCR is amended as follows:**

300(a)(1)(D)(4.) Number of Permits:

- a. East Lassen Zone: 0 0 to 20
- b. Central Lassen Zone: 0 0 to 15
- c. North Mono Zone: 0 0 to 25
- d. South Mono Zone: 0 0 to 35

NOTE: Authority: Sections 200, 202, 203 and 355, Fish and Game Code.  
Reference: Sections 200, 202, 203, 203.1, 215, 220, 355 and 356, Fish and Game Code.

**CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME COMMISSION**  
**1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320**  
**Sacramento, CA 95814**

**July 19, 2010**

**NOTICE OF ADDITION OF DOCUMENT TO RULEMAKING FILE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Government Code Section 11347.1, the California Fish and Game Commission is providing notice that the following document which the agency will rely upon in adopting the proposed regulations, has been added to the rulemaking file and is available for public inspection and comment.

- Gibson, R. M., V. C. Bleich, C. W. McCarthy, T. L. Russi. In Press. Recreational Hunting can Lower Population Size in Greater Sage-Grouse. Studies in Avian Biology. 15pp.

This document is available for public inspection at the Commission's office located at 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320, Sacramento, CA 95814, from July 19, 2010 through August 3, 2010, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Written comments regarding the document must be submitted on or before August 3, 2010, at the address given below, or by fax at (916) 653-5040, or by e-mail to [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov).

Jon K. Fischer, Deputy Executive Director  
California Fish and Game Commission  
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320  
Sacramento, CA 95814

B&F Committee  
✓ @ page



"Kathy Morris"  
<kathyM@matagrano.com>  
07/23/2010 01:25 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc

Subject Alcohol Mitigation Fee (Just ANOTHER TAX!!!!) File # 100865

History: This message has been forwarded.

This is an illegal tax increase that you are trying to pass off as a fee. Don't you think San Francisco has lost enough jobs? Don't you think there have been enough businesses closing? When is enough, ENOUGH? You will not generate funds by killing off businesses. People will just uproot and move elsewhere, as they will not be able to sustain added costs. We have already been offering cheaper beer, including Bar-B-Ques and whatever it takes, just to keep afloat. With the cost of doing business, things are already tough enough. I have seen many of my competitors, friends, closing their doors. We have been in business 25 years and we have thought about closing shop as well. Shame on all of you!

Kathy Morris  
The Connection  
5740 Mission St  
San Francisco

35

B & F Committee  
VC page



"Duncan, Bryan"  
<Bryan.Duncan@diageo.com>  
>

07/20/2010 08:40 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc <jason@cerrell.com>

bcc

Subject Alcohol Tax

File #100865

History: This message has been forwarded.

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. That will not change anytime soon with San Francisco now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Is not it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

Please vote against the San Francisco alcohol tax!

Thank you

Bryan Duncan

Concerned California Resident.

---

This email is sent on behalf of a member of the Diageo group of companies, whose holding company is Diageo plc, registered in England and Wales with number 23307 and with registered address at Lakeside Drive, Park Royal, London NW10 7HQ, England.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed.

This footnote also confirms that this email has been scanned for all viruses by the Messagelabs SkyScan service.

If you have received this email in error please notify the Diageo Servicedesk on +44 (0) 131 319 6000

<http://www.diageo.com>

---

35



"Stanley Howard"  
<stanley@pier39restaurants.  
com>

07/20/2010 03:24 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc <jason@cerrell.com>

bcc

Subject Alcohol Tax

B & F Committee  
v1-page

File #10865

It seems like everything you buy is getting more expensive, with a fee here and an added tax there. That will not change anytime soon with San Francisco now considering adding a local surcharge to every drink you purchase. That's right. A surcharge on every drink on every tab, bill, and receipt. Even worse, this new fee would be in addition to the taxes you already pay every time you purchase a drink. Is not it expensive enough to live in San Francisco without having to pay another new tax every time you want to buy a six pack, a bottle of wine, or have a drink at your local bar?

Please vote against the San Francisco alcohol tax!

Thank you.


35

✓ page

File # 100861

# YES!

I want my San Francisco  
Supervisor to say **YES**  
to new jobs, parks and  
housing and **VOTE YES**  
**ON THE SHIPYARD PLAN.**



☒ You may use my name publicly

*[Signature]*

SIGNATURE

6/28/10

DATE

Vernon Xie

NAME

462 Woolsey Street San Francisco CA 94134

ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

ZIP

36



Leah Thompson  
<bodybalancer@gmail.com>

07/20/2010 06:11 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject RESTORE SHARP PARK!

Hello All,

My name is Leah Thompson, and I am an Environmental Studies student at San Francisco State University. I am writing to ask that you **close Sharp Park golf course and reinvest in our neighborhood parks and services**. I believe it would be most beneficial to the community for San Francisco to **partner with the National Park Service to restore this area**, which is critical habitat for not one, but *two* species which are protected under the Endangered Species Act.

We want a better park to be built at Sharp Park, one that *EVERYONE* can enjoy, *not* just golfers. It is important that the new park be sustainable, and that the current **harm being done to the federally protected endangered species**, the California Red Legged Frog, and the San Francisco Garter Snake, is **removed completely**.

The continued irresponsible allocation of financial resources to sustain an unsustainable golf course which harms two endangered species would clearly show a failure to recognize the intrinsic value of our natural resources. This flawed value system is the overarching main problem contributing to our current global environmental crisis, global warming, and rampant ecological degradation, and undermining of the resource base which is necessary to *SUSTAINING THE LIFE* of current and future generations. These current and future generations have a right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness, just as we have.

If the pursuit of happiness, which comes last in that list, of some will effectively undermine and degrade the resources necessary to sustain life of the whole, that is; they are ecologically destructive and unsustainable, then those unsustainable practices or pursuits should be abolished in favor of those that *are* sustainable and *DO NOT* infringe upon the rights of each individual and the larger community to life.

In their current form, **golf courses are highly unsustainable and therefore must be abolished**. They **rely heavily on using increasingly precious water resources to maintain lawns**, which take up valuable open space and serve no other purpose than to be a vehicle for a game played by a small minority of people that have enough means to pay for the use of those lawns. They also **use harmful chemical pesticides** which are detrimental to other life forms that have a right to live in their own habitat, as well as degrade the water resources they contaminate.

It is only a matter of time before we either recognize what is sustainable and unsustainable according to the laws of nature, and align ourselves and our way of life with those laws, *or*



continue to fight against the current, become overwhelmed and perish.

You can all **do your job as community representatives**, re-evaluate your values as we all need to be doing and, **using some kind of perspective that isn't selfish or shortsighted, do not act out of fear, do not attempt the impossible to please everyone, fight for what is truly best for the community, the local community, and the global community.**

**Or ... continue business as usual, and contribute to us all remaining on course to the absolute worst case scenario** NASA's leading scientist James Hansen has been warning about for over 20 years, a crisis that had we listened and started acting accordingly at that time, may have already been averted by now. Regardless, we do not know that it is too late now, and it would be immoral and foolish to act as though it is. Every effort counts.

In conclusion, I expect all of you to recognize how important your role is in the greater whole effort, and to **do your part to end unsustainable practices, and support sustainable ones.**

**RESTORE SHARP PARK!**

Thank you for your time,  
Leah Thompson



Amanda Day-Alonzo  
<amandadayalonzo@gmail.com>  
om>

07/21/2010 10:06 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
recpark.commission@sfgov.org  
cc George Alonzo <georgealonzo@gmail.com>, Samantha Day  
<sammyjday@gmail.com>, Adam Zelezen  
<adam.zelezen@gmail.com>

bcc

Subject Save McLaren Park

Supervisor Avalos and Recreation Commissioner,

I am a member of your district (living on Navajo and Cayuga) and am greatly dismayed to hear about the construction of a an 18hole disc-golf course to be built in McLaren Park. What a terrible decision! This park is one of the last large natural spaces in the city for all to enjoy (especially in the our working class neighborhood). I can't imagine that this park would be built in GG Park and wonder if the city is taking our natural space in McLaren Park because "no one will notice." Well I have noticed and could not be more upset. My family frequents this park to enjoy the off-leash dog hiking space, duck and fish filled ponds, and wildlife watching less than a mile from my home. The proposed disc-golf course will take away the natural beauty that exists when the signs are put up, concrete is poured, targets are installed, and tree "shields" are in place. I know that using the park after this course in place the flying discs could be a danger to me, my dog, and child, one which will prevent me from enjoying the park at all. I hope that the disc-golf course is not a final decision and my note can help influence you to not allow this abuse of one of SF's last natural, open, public spaces.

With hope,  
Amanda Alonzo

38

Sup. Avalos  
✓ C-page



Albert Meyer  
<bildermeier@yahoo.com>

07/18/2010 08:14 PM

To boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject McLaren Park Invasion

Dear Supervisors and Park Officials,

Yesterday we had a peaceful and joyful group picnic in McLaren Park. While we were there we heard the shocking news that our tranquility was in danger because a disc golf course may be ripped thorough the park, endangering trees, wildlife and the quiet refreshment of this urban oasis. What public meetings have been held to discuss this with residents and park users?

I live in Ingleside in a neighborhood of small houses. At night I like to sit in my dark garden to look at the stars and recover from a hard day. Now new owners of the house behind me have installed a bevy of motion-activated floodlights, which go on to blast into my oasis at unpredictable intervals. This upsets me when the floodlights are on, but, even when it is dark, I wait nervously expecting this uninvited intrusion.

The parallel is clear.

We stopped the freeway through Golden Gate Park; now we we are faced with a similar assault.

Please protect the park.

Respectfully,

Albert Meyer  
244 Miramar Avenue



Bob <bob@resman.net>  
07/20/2010 02:23 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject canceled our trip to San Francisco

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My wife and I will be flying into the San Francisco airport in late August and heading to Napa Valley to attend a wedding. After the wedding we were going to drive down to San Francisco and stay for 4 nights before heading home. As a result of your decision to boycott Arizona I decided to cancel the San Francisco portion of our trip. It is not my position in regards to the Arizona immigration law that influenced my decision but rather the fact that you are hurting business people and the citizens of the state. You have no right to do so and I hope that you would reconsider your position on the topic.

Thank You

Bob Daddario  
Suffield, CT



"Mike Moore"  
<golfmike@pacbell.net>

07/20/2010 12:39 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, <david.chiu@sfgov.org>,  
<eric.l.mar@sfgov.org>, <michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org>,  
<carmen.chu@sfgov.org>, <ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org>,  
cc <info@spublicgolf.com>

bcc

Subject Oppose Charter Amendment to Change Rec & Park  
Commission Appointment Process Board of Supervisors File  
#100633

Dear Supervisors, I am a San Francisco resident and voter. I write to urge you to NOT to place the Rec & Park Charter Amendment (changing the appointment structure to the Commission) on the Fall, 2010 ballot.

Native San Franciscan,

Michael Moore

BOS-11  
✓ 0 page

40



michael\_dorf@comcast.net

07/19/2010 07:23 PM

To 'board of supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
'david chiu <david.chiu@sfgov.org>, 'eric I mar  
<eric.i.mar@sfgov.org>, 'michela alioto-pier  
cc info@sfpublishgolf.com

bcc

Subject Oppose Charter Amendment to Change Rec & Park  
Commission Appointment Process - Board of Supervisors  
File #100633

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident, homeowner, taxpayer and voter. I write to urge you to NOT to place the Rec & Park Charter Amendment (changing the appointment structure to the Commission) on the Fall, 2010 ballot.

BDS-11  
✓ c page

40



Bruce Brugmann  
<bruce@sfbg.com>  
07/20/2010 11:58 AM

To undisclosed-recipients;;  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Guardian editorial: Small business should support Chiu tax plan

## Small business should support Chiu tax plan

*A new proposal to make the flat payroll tax more progressive and exempt more small businesses*

EDITORIAL It's rare to see a fairly conservative city agency, created in part to make it harder for progressives to push measures that might affect business, come down in favor of a new business tax. But the San Francisco Office of Economic Analysis has concluded that the proposal by Board of Supervisors President David Chiu to change the local payroll tax and impose a new tax on commercial rents would actually help local businesses, particularly small businesses. The proposal presents a crucial opportunity for progressives to make the case that the Chamber of Commerce and big downtown corporations are not advancing the interests of small businesses : and local merchant groups need to pay attention.

Chiu has taken on a problem that has lingered in San Francisco for decades. The city's business tax is terribly regressive: Only 10 percent of the companies in town even pay the payroll tax, in part because banks, insurance companies, and financial services firms are exempt under state law. That means the burden falls the heaviest on small and medium-sized companies . the ones that provide most of the net job growth in the city. The new proposal would make the flat payroll tax more progressive and would exempt more small businesses. It would also raise \$28 million more a year for the cash-strapped municipal coffers by taxing commercial rents of more than \$60,000 a year.

The commercial rent levy would force the big outfits that now pay no city taxes whatsoever to take on at least some of the burden of financing San Francisco government. Smaller companies with modest leases, and small commercial landlords, wouldn't pay the new tax at all.

Chiu originally had proposed an even broader tax, which would have raised more than \$35 million. But after the Small Business Commission expressed concerns, he changed the measure, reducing the burden on small business even further. And at this point, Ted Egan, the city's chief economist at the Office of Economic Analysis, reports that the tax would lead to greater job creation in the private sector (because of the reduction in the payroll tax) as well as greater job creation in the public sector (because of the additional revenue to the city).

It's the kind of idea that ought to have broad-based support . progressives looking to fund crucial services see it as a way to bring in money, and small businesses ought to see it as a way to cut taxes and create jobs in the sector of the city that most needs economic stimulus.

Unfortunately, the response from small business leaders hasn't been encouraging. The commission hasn't taken a stand on the measure; on July 12th, the panel deadlocked 2-2, with one member absent and two slots still vacant (the mayor hasn't filled them). That lets the big downtown players . the Chamber, the Building Owners and Managers Association, the Committee on JOBS, etc. . in a position to claim that the Chiu proposal is anti-business.

We've seen this pattern far too often. Small business groups allow big corporations, which have no interest in the real issues that impact local merchants, stick the little folks out front on political issues. We've seen it over the years with public power, commercial rent control, downtown development, and taxes . and it needs to stop.

The Small Business Commission, the Council of District Merchants, all the local community merchant groups, and anyone else who really cares about the interests of small business in San Francisco should support the Chiu measure. It's a tax plan that's good for small business. And if the advocates don't realize that, they're hurting themselves, the customers, and the city.

[Click here to comment.](#)





"Loveland, Sally"  
<Sally.Loveland@acegroup.com>

07/20/2010 11:59 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
<gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject: Some ideas

Hi Mayor Newsom and Board of Supervisors,

Three issues of note.

- 1) Taxis that have City of San Francisco medallions should be held to speed limit standards. Last night I took a taxi from the Taxi line at the San Francisco Airport (1AM in the morning). The taxi was completely ancient and swerved all over 101 Freeway at 75 to 80 mph. I asked him politely to stay at 70 mph. He complied (thankfully). However, any taxi with a San Francisco medallion ought to be told they must drive the speed limit (or at most 70 mph) and they should be audited. Anyone can audit a taxi at SFO, why doesn't the city.
- 2) City building inspectors do not force painters to comply with lead abatement standards. In May, my building was scraped and re-painted by "Lead Abatement Certified" painters. After noticing many violations of the Lead Abatement regs (for example, we were given less than 24 hours notice by the painter before work began, egress and ingress to the building was the lead scraping zone—they did not attempt to re-route tenants to avoid exposure or tracking into the house, they took more than the time they had on the permit to complete the job.) I called the department of inspections and they claim they visited the site and found no violations. I called the next day and said I had photos of lead paint chips all over the sidewalk (which had been left over a weekend, I had photos of the paint chips being hosed into the gutter (not vacuummed), etc. The building inspector told me that I was a whiner and referred me to the Lead Abatement Manager of the Building Inspection Department who never returned the voice mail I made to him.
- 3) Last time I checked, Tikka Masala 1668 Haight St. was still not cleaned out after the fire—the entire contents of the destroyed building have been in place and intact for months, being tracked around -- dust and debris is coming out of the storefront. Isn't there a regulation against fire salvage sitting around for more than a week? I'd imagine that much of this refuse is not healthy to come into contact with. Which department insures proper cleanup after a fire? Can some city department tighten up standards for fire salvage exposure?

Thanks for listening and for your hard work on our behalf. These issues of note are mine, not my companies. All contents are my own observation as a citizen.

Sally

**Sally Loveland**

Email: [sally.loveland@acegroup.com](mailto:sally.loveland@acegroup.com)

This email is intended for the designated recipient(s) only, and may be confidential, non-public,

42

proprietary, protected by the attorney/client or other privilege. Unauthorized reading, distribution, copying or other use of this communication is prohibited and may be unlawful. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) should not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or protection. If you are not the intended recipient or if you believe that you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies from your computer system without reading, saving, or using it in any manner. Although it has been checked for viruses and other malicious software (?x0201C;malware?x0201D;), we do not warrant, represent or guarantee in any way that this communication is free of malware or potentially damaging defects. All liability for any actual or alleged loss, damage, or injury arising out of or resulting in any way from the receipt, opening or use of this email is expressly disclaimed.

---



TimGiangiobbe  
<TimGiangiobbe@cheerful.com>

07/17/2010 09:06 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc


bcc

Subject [John Joebee Homeless in SF] The Palm Reader From Hell

Just a Little Public Service message and Insult about a Certain Palm Reader on Drumm Street In a San Francisco.It's Name is Fay and She is the Palm Reader From Hell.Since IT Knows All Let's See if She Knows she Is about to be Exposed for what she is a FRAUD.This Woman Loves it When Seniors Go to her.



She Claims To Know All.She is So Sleazy and Collects SSI.She is a Government Fraud.




The ghost you're trying to reach is currently unavailable.  
Please leave a message after the beep.

Let's See if She Predicts That she is being Exposed for Her Lies. She Knows All So She Must be Getting this Blog When It's Published. What's In Her Future Soup Kitchens.

*Ed Fischer's world*



The Hideous Woman is Truly Public Enemy Number One. SHE RIPS OFF SENIORS.




I Have Her Picture somewhere,Not This One Don't want to Disrespect the Pig.She is Uglier.



When you Go To her Place for whatever reason.Make sure you bring Troll Spray,She is The Real Ugly One.A Big Fat Cow.Troll face and Bovine Body.




That's The face. The face of a Troll and She has a body of A Bovine. Queen Bovine.



The Bovine Bitch Saunters around like she is Hot shit. Queen Bovine or Your service May I steal Your Money. Wallet Vacuum Service.



Yes Society Really needs More of You as Much as We need more Attorneys. You Provide an Imaginary service and should be shut down. I really don't see how Some family has not taken care of You! You have Gone way beyond the Bounds Of Human Decency. You are Lower than sub scum. The Slimy Stuff You Find Under Scum. There is not a Scum Category for You. I will Now make one Up especially for you. The Super Slimy Sub-Scum Bovine Queen Liar Thief Of The Decade. Still Too Nice. I'll work on it. She's So Evil and Deserves THE Worse.



Shame on you for Ripping Off Old People. God has Something for You!





Karma is a MFer and This Queen Bovine Palm reader from Hell will Find Out someday..Just a Matter of Time! Go Ahead and Prance around and Think You are Getting Over.You Will See.Shame on You.Shame on You.Shame On You!

--  
Posted By TimGiangiobbe to [John Joebee Homeless in SF](#) at 7/17/2010 06:57:00 PM



c-page



daniel pong  
<dannyde684@live.com>

07/17/2010 02:45 PM

To <boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject ripping off the golden goose

EVERYBODY SAYS THE TOURISTS IS THE BACKBONE OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
YET EVERY YEAR YOU FIND SOME TAX OR FEE TO TACK ON TO THE SPEND-  
ING OF OUR GOLDEN GOOSE. ITS A MATTER OF TIME WHEN THEY (TOURIST)  
WILL SAY THE HECK WITH S.F., ITS GETTING TOO EXPENSIVE. THE STORES  
THAT CATER TO THE TOURISTS ARE DOING THE SAME THING.

QUIT RIPPING OFF THE  
TOURISTS!!

---

The New Busy is not the too busy. Combine all your e-mail accounts with Hotmail. Get busy.

44



"Kathy Howard"  
<kathyhoward@earthlink.net>

07/19/2010 01:39 PM

Please respond to  
<kathyhoward@earthlink.net>

To "Ross Mirkarimi" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>

cc "Bevan Dufty" <Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org>,  
<Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>,  
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,

bcc

Subject Support for the Recreation and Park Commission Charter  
Amendment

BDS-11  
✓ 10-page

File # 100633

Dear Supervisor,

I thank you for your support the Recreation and Park Commission Charter Amendment.

As a longtime San Francisco resident, I have often attended Recreation and Park Commission meetings. The discussions of important park topics are usually superficial; many topics that should be discussed are placed on the Consent Calendar. However, even if they are on the regular agenda, they are often not given serious consideration and opposing opinions are not heard. This is evidenced by the fact that practically all of the decisions are arrived at unanimously!

The Planning Commission was split, with the result that there is more discussion and a true in-depth exploration of issues that come before it. This is what should be happening at the Recreation and Park Commission.

The change to a split commission is not such a radical change, as has been portrayed. It will really just balance out the Commission, so that there is a more diverse membership, and residents who do not have access to the Mayor's power structure will have the chance of being represented.

Our parks are extremely important to San Franciscans and need more oversight and care. Please support this amendment.

Thank you,

Kathy Howard

710-2402

45



"Greg Miller"  
<howmiller@earthlink.net>

07/19/2010 02:17 PM

Please respond to  
<howmiller@earthlink.net>

To "Ross Mirkarimi" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>

cc "Bevan Dufty" <Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org>,  
<Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>,  
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,

bcc

Subject Charter Amendment for the Recreation & Park Commission

Supervisor,

Thank you for your support for the split appointment of Recreation and Park Commissioners.

I don't buy the argument that this is a power grab! We don't even know who will be mayor after November.

I support this Amendment because our City is facing very hard choices. Our financial pressures are having a particularly harsh impact on our Parks. We need a wide range of good ideas. We also need to convince all our citizens that decisions are being made in a fair and democratic fashion.

Experience has shown that the current structure of the Commission is ill-suited to achieve these goals. The Commission generally makes decisions unanimously in support of Department recommendations after little or no substantive discussion.

Many citizens have given up testifying before the Commission because they realize that no one is really listening.

Greg Miller  
35-year SF Resident  
Retired Employee of a Local Bank  
US Navy Veteran

415-566-1860

BOS-11  
File # 100633  
✓ 0-page



California Pacific  
Medical Center  
A Sutter Health Affiliate  
With You. For Life.

SAN FRANCISCO CA 941

Dear

*Supervisor*



I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.

I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

*Gladys Mercado*

Name

*MercadoG@sutterhealth.org*

Email Address



California Pacific  
Medical Center  
A Sutter Health Affiliate  
With You. For Life.

Dear

*Supervisor*

I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.

I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

*ROSELYN NISHA*

Name

*nisha@sutterhealth.org*

Email Address

Dear Board of Supervisors

As a member of San Francisco's community, I wish to let you know that I strongly support the construction of the proposed new hospital at Van Ness & Geary and the revitalization of the St. Luke's Campus. These projects will improve care and safety for patients in our community and also will provide job security for SEIU-UHW members.

We urge you to join our community and SEIU-UHW union members in supporting California Pacific Medical Center's city-wide plan.

ROSE MARINO

Name \_\_\_\_\_

email address \_\_\_\_\_



California Pacific  
Medical Center  
A Sutter Health Affiliate  
With You. For Life.

Dear SUPERVISOR, C-page

I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.


I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

ANA GUZMAN

Name \_\_\_\_\_

arg-94014@yahoo.com

Email Address \_\_\_\_\_



Dear PARADE OF SUPERVISORS

As a member of San Francisco's community, I wish to let you know that I strongly support the construction of the proposed new hospital at Van Ness & Geary and the revitalization of the St. Luke's Campus. These projects will improve care and safety for patients in our community and also will provide job security for SEIU-UHW members.

We urge you to join our community and SEIU-UHW union members in supporting California Pacific Medical Center's city-wide plan.

Phil Fisher  
Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
email address

46

Dear Board of Supervisors

As a member of San Francisco's community, I wish to let you know that I strongly support the construction of the proposed new hospital at Van Ness & Geary and the revitalization of the St. Luke's Campus. These projects will improve care and safety for patients in our community and also will provide job security for SEIU-UHW members.

We urge you to join our community and SEIU-UHW union members in supporting California Pacific Medical Center's city-wide plan.

MARLA LESLEY

Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
email address



California Pacific  
Medical Center  
A Sutter Health Affiliate  
With You. For Life.

Dear Supervisor,

I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.

I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

Maria Angulo

Name

Maryangulo005@yahoo.com

Email Address

AnguloM@sutterhealth.org

C: BOS  
C Page



Kimo Crossman  
<kimo@webnetic.net>  
Sent by:  
kimocrossman@gmail.com

To sfpdcommunityrelations@sfgov.org, Board of Supervisors  
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject UK cracks down on mobile phone theft - a model for SF

07/26/2010 10:29 AM


Please respond to  
kimo@webnetic.net

Cob, Please forward to all Supervisors and make this part if the C page.

[http://mobile.computerworld.com/device/article.php?CALL\\_URL=http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9179583/UK\\_cracks\\_down\\_on\\_mobile\\_phone\\_recycling\\_industry](http://mobile.computerworld.com/device/article.php?CALL_URL=http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9179583/UK_cracks_down_on_mobile_phone_recycling_industry)

47





## UK cracks down on mobile phone recycling industry

By Jeremy Kirk

July 23, 2010 09:46 AM ET

IDG News Service - Recycling companies will be required to check whether a mobile phone has been reported stolen before reselling it, according to a new code of practice announced by the U.K. government on Friday.

At least 100,000 mobile phones with an average value of \$75 that have been stolen or blocked by their owners end up being recycled, according to the Home Office, citing statistics from Recipero, a company tracks personal property information. The Home Office predicts that same number of phones will no longer be in circulation with the new code.

Companies that don't follow the code could face sanctions. At least 20 have signed up so far, representing about 90 percent of the industry, according to the Home Office.

About 90 percent of the handsets that are stolen are blocked within 48 hours and can't be used in the U.K. any more. But recycling companies can export those phones to other countries where they will work, which spawned a thriving trade in stolen phones.

The companies will be required to check the National Mobile Phone Register, which is connected to three databases: one of phones that have been blocked, a police database of stolen phones and a voluntary reporting system called Immobilise.

### Receive Computerworld news alerts on your mobile device.

Get the latest breaking news with mobile-formatted article links.

[Click here to subscribe](#)

Under the code of practice, recycling companies will have to record the data and time when a phone is acquired, a description of the phone and at minimum the IMEI (International Mobile Equipment Identity) number, the name and address of the person selling the device and the time when the recycler checked the IEMI or other serial numbers against the databases.

The U.K. government has under taken other steps to try and reduce mobile theft. In 2006, an industry-wide charter was implemented by operators in which they agreed to block reported mobiles with 48 hours. The Metropolitan Police also runs the National Mobile Phone Crime Unit.

According to the Metropolitan Police, up to 10,000 mobiles are stolen per month, with two-thirds of the victims between the ages of 13 and 16 years old.

Send news tips and comments to [jeremy\\_kirk@idg.com](mailto:jeremy_kirk@idg.com)

[Back](#) [Home](#)

Font Size: [S](#) [M](#) [L](#)

© 2010 Computerworld Inc.

Powered by the [mDog.com](#) Mobile Portal