

10/1/29

Petitions and Communications received from August 3, 2010, through September 3, 2010, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on September 14, 2010.

From Department of Human Resources, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for South San Francisco Conference Center Martinez. (1)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to placing a bocce ball court in Justin Herman Plaza. File No. 101070, 5 letters (2)

From California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notice of application filed by PG&E asking for authority to recover costs associated with Assembly Bill 32 Cost of Implementation Fee. (3)

From Aaron Goodman, urging the Board of Supervisors to place proposed legislation regarding the sewer line relocation agreement with S.F. State University under the 30 Day Rule. File No. 101015, Copy: Land Use Committee Members, 2 letters (4)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of three cellular antennas to be installed at 1 McCoppin Street. (5)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the nomination of Rosario Anaya for appointment to the Redevelopment Agency Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor, City Attorney, Rules Committee Clerk (6)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the reappointment of Gwen Borden to the Planning Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor, City Attorney, Rules Committee Clerk (7)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to expanding parking meter hours/and or Sunday metering. 5 letters (8)

From Joseph Kautz, regarding his parking ticket. (9)

From the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, submitting their investment report for the month of June 2010. Copy: Each Supervisor (10)

From Department of Elections, submitting a revised notice of the deadline to submit disclaimer requirements for local ballot measures is Thursday, August 12, 2010 at 5:00 PM. Copy: Each Supervisor (11)

From the Clerk of the Board, submitting Form 700 Statement of Economic Interest for Leslie Hilger, Legislative Aide, Assuming (12)

From concerned citizen, submitting opposition to ban on plastic bags. (13)

From Airport, submitting the Capital Improvement Project Expenditures Report for the two-year period beginning July 1, 2008, and ending June 30, 2010. Copy: Each Supervisor (14)

From Real Estate Division, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapters 12B and 14B for the Chicago Title Company. (15)

From Department of Elections, submitting copy of letter sent to the proponent of petition regarding employee contributions to the retirement and health plans initiative, that the petition did have sufficient valid signatures to qualify for the November 2, 2010, Consolidated General Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor (16)

From Alvin Johnson, commenting on public employee pensions in California. (17)

From Webster Bones, submitting support for saving Sacred Heart Church. (18)

From concerned citizen, submitting support for the sit/lie law. (19)

From Department Public Works, regarding status of repairing potholes in District 5. (20)

From T Mobile, submitting notification of two cellular antennas to be installed at 5 The Embarcadero. (21)

From Planning Department, confirming that the funding provided in the budget for FY2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board, with the caveat that the current reserve of \$1.1 million on the Department's General Fund is released. (22)

From various City Departments, confirming that the funding provided in the budget for FY2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. (23)

Adult Probation Department

Airport

Arts Commission

Asian Art Museum

Assessor-Recorder

Board of Appeals

Building Inspection

California Academy of Sciences

Office of Citizen Complaints

Office of Clerk of the Board

Office of Economic Workforce

Chief Medical Examiner

Department of Child Support Services

Department of Children, Youth & Their Families

Department of the Environment
Civil Service Commission
Office of the City Administrator
Office of the City Attorney
Office of the Controller
Office of the District Attorney
Department of Elections
Department of Emergency Management
Employees' Retirement System
Ethics Commission
Children and Families Commission
Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco
Fire Department
Health Service System
Department of Public Health
Department of Human Resources
Human Rights Commission
Juvenile Probation Department
Law Library
Office of the Mayor
Mayor's Office of Housing
Human Services Agency
Municipal Transportation Agency
Port
Office of the Public Defender
Public Library
Public Utilities Commission
Public Works
Recreation and Parks Department
Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board
Department on the Status of Women
Department of Technology
Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector
War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

From Clerk of the Board, the following departments have submitted their 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notices: (24)

Department of Aging and Adult Services
Asian Art Museum
Children and Their Families Commission
Department of Children, Youth and Their Families
Department of Human Services
Office of City Administrator/General Services Agency
Office of Economic and Workforce Investment
Civil Grand Jury
Employees' Retirement System

Department of Emergency Management
Fire Department
Municipal Transportation Agency
Planning Department
Port
Department of Public Health
Public Utilities Commission
Recreation and Parks Department
Retire Health Care Trust Fund Board
Sheriff's Department
Small Business Commission
Treasure Island Development Authority Board

From Office of the Controller, submitting an audit report of fixed rent agreements with rents exceeding \$100,000 administered by the Public Utilities Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor (25)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for proposed legislation concerning the alcohol mitigation fee. File No. 100865, Copy: Each Supervisor, 2 letters (26)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed legislation concerning the alcohol mitigation fee. File No. 100865, Coy: Each Supervisor, Approximately 250 letters and postcards (27)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the nomination of Harry Kim, Steven Jin Lee, Allen Okamoto and Natividad M. Ramirez to the San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board. Copy: Each Supervisor, Rules Committee Clerk, City Attorney (28)

From Civil Service Commission, submitting survey of monthly rates paid to police and firefighters in all cities of 350,000 or more in the State of California. Copy: Each Supervisor (29)

From Michael Hutchinson, submitting opposition to proposed location of a new Target Store in San Francisco. Copy: Supervisors Chiu, Alioto-Pier, Mirkarimi (30)

From Office of the Controller, submitting report concerning the compliance audit of Air Canada, covering the period from July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2009. (31)

From Jacqueline Choy, regarding the Golden Gate Park Senior Center. Copy: Supervisor Chiu (32)

From California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notice that PG&E filed its default residential rate design application that includes a proposal for residential electric pricing called dynamic pricing, and a request for recovery of implementation costs. (33)

From Patricia Forsdyke, submitting support for passage of Laura's Law. (34)

From Patrick Monette-Shaw, regarding St. Luke's Hospital. (35)

From Arthur Evans, submitting support for passage of Proposition L that amends the San Francisco Police Code by adding Section 168 to promote civil sidewalks. 2 letters (36)

From Carleton Hoffman, regarding illegally parked cars not being cited by some police and parking control officers. (37)

From S.F. Convention and Visitors Bureau, announcing that San Francisco will be the featured destination in the November 2010, issue of Southwest Spirit Magazine, the in-flight magazine of Southwest Airlines. (38)

From Patrick Monette-Shaw, regarding San Francisco General Hospital. 2 letters (39)

From Vicki Tiernan, submitting support for proposed measure to ban the use of toys as incentives with foods containing an excess of fat, sugar, or salt. File No. 101096 (40)

From Tim Giangioffe, commenting that the Bank of America ATMs have better security than other banks. (41)

From Tim Giangioffe, submitting support for bringing "Americas Cup" to the bay area. (42)

From Bayer Health Care Pharmaceuticals, submitting the Milliman Report regarding the cost of contraceptive coverage and pregnancy care. (43)

From Department of Human Resources, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Holiday Inn Golden Gateway. (44)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice that Mayor Newsom will be out of state from Thursday, August 19, 2010, until Sunday, August 22, 2010. Supervisor Elsbernd will serve as Acting Mayor. Copy: Each Supervisor, City Attorney (45)

From Stephen Cohen, submitting support for preserving Sharp Park Golf Course. (46)

From Department of Public Health, submitting the Hazardous Materials Spill Report. (47)

From Jared Roussel, thanking the Board of Supervisors for all their hard work. (48)

From Municipal Transportation Agency, submitting parking meter revenue for FY2005-2006 through FY2009-2010. Copy: Each Supervisor, Budget and Finance Committee Clerk (49)

From Carlo Middione, submitting support for passage of Laura's Law. (50)

From Bob Larive, commenting on the "street people" that hang out in the Fisherman's Wharf and North Beach areas day and night. (51)

From Richard Skaff, regarding the modified and accessible bar section of Nopa Restaurant. (52)

From Arthur Evans, regarding the Rose Garden that was vandalized in Golden Gate Park. (53)

From Charles Long, submitting opposition to proposed Charter Amendment concerning Non-Citizen Voting in School Board Elections. File No. 100635 (54)

From Yvette Tee, regarding the Recreation and Parks Department. Copy: Each Supervisor (55)

From California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notice of application filed by PG&E to recover the pumped storage study costs. (56)

From Department of the Environment, regarding Charter Section 4.104, rules and regulations for cell phone retailer's duty to disclose specific absorption rate values ordinance. Copy: Each Supervisor (57)

From Department of Public Works, submitting report concerning the use of funds appropriated from the Local Street and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety Account of 2006 by the Department of Public Works. Copy: Each Supervisor (58)

From Office of the Controller, submitting an assessment report on Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center's model of care. (59)

From Nancy Federice, submitting opposition to renaming Third Street Willie Brown Jr. Boulevard. (60)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of two cellular antennas to be installed at 631 O'Farrell Street. (61)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of eight cellular antennas to be installed at 890 Jackson Street. (62)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of two cellular antennas to be installed at Avenue D and 10th Street. (63)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of six cellular antennas to be installed at 100 Armory Road. (64)

From T-Mobile, submitting notification of eight cellular antennas to be installed at 1844 Irving Street. (65)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for construction of the proposed St. Luke's Campus at Van Ness and Geary. 2 letters (66)

From Police Department, confirming that the funding provided in the budget for FY2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board based on a series of assumptions which include, but are not limited to, the following: (67)

- That the \$23,283,979 of salary and fringe funding currently on Controller's Reserve is released;
- That the \$8,158,920 of salary and fringe funding currently on Budget and Finance Committee Reserve is released;
- That there are no significant increase in service deployment, which are directly related to increases in serious criminal activity, natural disasters or elevated homeland security threat levels; and
- That any legislative mandates related to re-deployment of Police Department resources include the appropriation of additional funds to address these mandates.

From Office of the Sheriff, confirming that the funding provided in the budget for FY2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board with four exceptions: (68)

- An increase in jail population due to reductions to the State Department of Corrections' budget as a result of changes in sentencing and parole policies as well as increased local bookings and other factors.
- The possible reduction in court funding for security services due to court closures if included in the State budget;
- The possible reduction of two State grants, Standards and Training for Corrections grant and Peace Officers Standards and Training grant;
- The possible inability to achieve projected debt service savings.

From Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, confirming that the funding provided in the budget for FY2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is not adequate for their department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. (69)

From Department of Human Services, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Target Department Store. (70)

From Department of Human Services, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Safeway, Inc. (71)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 18139, approving Conditional Use Application

2010.0357C for Pet Food Express located at 3150 California Street. File No. 101087, Copy: Each Supervisors, 10 letters (72)

From Robert Lee, regarding San Francisco Firefighters. (73)

From Dean Shephanos, submitting opposition to expanding parking meter hours/and or Sunday metering. (74)

From William Stephens, Project Manager, Clearwire, submitting request for continuance of the Conditional Use Appeal for 10 Bernal Heights Boulevard. File No. 101045, Copy: Each Supervisor, City Attorney (75)

From Michael Shaw, regarding the activity around Golden Gate Park and surrounding areas. Copy: Supervisors Mar, Chu, Mirkarimi (76)

From Office of the Controller, submitting report analyzing the function of the Patrol Specials, including the future and the regulation of the program. (77)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the annual work plan for the City Services Auditor Division. (78)

From Small Business Commission, submitting opposition to establishing an alcohol mitigation fee. File No. 100865 (79)

From Department of Public Works, submitting their quarterly report of Adopt-A-Tree account for the period April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010. (80)

From Alison Schlageter, submitting the San Francisco Rental Subsidy Program for Families Report for the period January through June 2010. (81)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding remodeling branch libraries. 2 letters (82)

From U.S. Department of Justice, regarding the investigation of Jorge Steven Lopez Mercado. (Reference No. 20091117-001) Copy: Supervisor Dufty, Supervisor Campos (83)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting the appointment of Todd Mavis, member, Human Rights Commission, term ending September 2, 2012, and Jordan Shlain, member, Health Service System Board, term ending May 15, 2015. (84)

From Office of the Controller, submitting the Departmental Budget Certification for FY2010-2011. Copy: Each Supervisor, Budget and Finance Committee Clerk (85)

From Office of the City Administrator, submitting their corrected Conflict of Interest form. (86)



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY	
Request Number:	

Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature [Signature]
 Name of Department: HRD
 Department Address: 1 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
 Contact Person: Jill Obrochta, Senior Personnel Analyst
 Phone Number: (415) 557-4882 Fax Number: (415) 551-8934

Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: South San Francisco Conference Center Contact Person: Teresa Martinez
 Contractor Address: 255 South Airport Blvd., South San Francisco, CA, 94080
 Vendor Number (if known): Contact Phone No.: 650-877-8787

Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 8/4/10 Type of Contract: Purchase Order
 Contract Start Date: 11/21/10 End Date: 11/21/10 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$2,500

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.*

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 8/4/10
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION			
12B Waiver Granted:	_____	14B Waiver Granted:	_____
12B Waiver Denied:	_____	14B Waiver Denied:	_____
Reason for Action: _____			
HRC Staff: _____			Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____			Date: _____
HRC Director: _____			Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.
 Date Waiver Granted: _____ Contract Dollar Amount: _____

1

City and County of San Francisco

Gavin Newsom
Mayor



Department of Human Resources

Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director

LETTER OF JUSTIFICATION

Attached is a Waiver Approval Request form for the use of the South San Francisco Conference Center to administer the 8306 Senior Deputy Sheriff written examination on November 21, 2010.

The Public Safety Examinations Unit is administering a series of promotional examinations for the sworn ranks within the Sheriff's Department. It has been an ongoing issue to locate facilities that comply with Administrative Code Sections 12B and 12C and also satisfy the needs of the testing unit.

Because of the high volume of candidates taking the Senior Deputy Sheriff examination, it is necessary to use a facility that has a large space that is conducive to the needs of the testing unit.

Additionally, it is imperative for the testing process that the facility has comprehensive security measures in place that will forestall a breach of the testing process. In the past, this has posed a difficult problem for the facilities to implement. Moreover, the facility must be able to assure a standardized testing environment for all candidates. Without these measures, the examination may be compromised at great expense and liability to the City.

The Public Safety Examinations Unit has surveyed numerous alternative sites to determine the most suitable location for the administration of the examination. There were no appropriate facilities available in San Francisco. The South San Francisco Conference Center does not comply with Sections 12B and 12C of the Administrative Code. The facilities that do comply with these Administrative Code Sections are inadequate for the needs of the testing unit, or they are not available.

The Public Safety Examinations Unit has been in contact with the event coordinator at the South San Francisco Conference Center to encourage them to implement equal benefits for domestic partners.

If you have any questions, please contact Jill Obrochta in the Public Safety Exam Unit at 557-4882. Thank you for your immediate consideration in this matter.

Bruce Topp
Assistant Deputy Director
Public Safety Examinations Unit
Recruitment and Assessment Services

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/10/2010 09:50 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution, ✓
cc
bcc
Subject FILE 101070 - Bocce Ball Courts



Douglas Goldfluss
<freshiedoug@yahoo.com>
08/09/2010 03:40 PM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
bevan.duffy@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,
chris_daly@ci.sf.ca.us, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,
David.Campos@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org,
Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org, John.Avalos@sfgov.org,
Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,
sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org
cc d miles <sk8godfather@earthlink.net>

Subject no green light for bocce ball at embarcedero

i am against the non public review process that is happening for the are at the embarcedero that is occurring this week.
Please consider the other alternatives that are on the table before allowing this project to happen without considering the long term use and consequences. I urge you to hold off on this matter until all sides can be heard.

douglas goldfluss

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 08/10/2010 09:55 AM -----



Rick Marcus
<rrmarcus@gmail.com>
08/09/2010 06:44 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org
cc
Subject bocce ball court on the Embarcadero

Dear Mayor Newsom and San Francisco Supervisors,

I recently read an article in the Chronicle about the proposed bocce ball court on Embarcadero. I was surprised and disturbed that a bocce ball court will be built without any public input.

I know that an outdoor skating rink has been proposed for this space. I believe that a skating rink would better serve the community because of the health benefits of vigorous exercise and I believe that a skating rink would be used by a larger variety of the population.

Could we at least have a public forum in which to discuss what kind of recreation the public wants at the foot of Market Street!

2

Thanks for your time,

Rick Marcus
Mechanical Design Consultant
Mountain View, CA

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 08/10/2010 09:55 AM -----



"John Crysdale (Visual
Concepts)"
<jcrysdale@vcentertainment.
com>

08/09/2010 07:41 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

Subject Hello

Hello board of supervisors,

I understand there was an item on the agenda to approve the building of a bocce ball court on the parcel of land at Market and Stuart Streets just south of Justin Herman Plaza. I know many people who have expressed an interest in that same site

being converted into a roller rink/ ice rink during the winter time. Skating is great exercise and having more of it in that location would definitely attract interest. I'll

never forget my days of ice skating, as a kid in Wisconsin. Skating with friends as

the new snowflakes began to fall made for some magical memories. We don't need

snow to bring this magic to the Embarcadero. I believe a skating rink would attract

more interest than bocce ball courts. What are the chances of adding a rink there rather than Bocce courts?

This is an absolutely perfect place for a roller rink. It can be built in a way where the surface can easily be frozen over for ice skating during the winter holidays. During the spring summer and fall this same rink can easily accommodate roller skating bringing a fun, exciting and healthy experience the Embarcadero and enhancing the positive benefits that the Embarcadero Holiday Ice Rink has brought to the Embarcadero and San Francisco.

John Crysdale

File # 101070



Josh Contreras
<menticos@gmail.com>
08/10/2010 11:32 AM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
bevan.dufty@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,
chris_daly@ci.sf.ca.us, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,

cc

bcc

Subject whoa, whats all this about a bocce ball court????!!!!???

Dear leaders of San Francisco,

It recently came to my attention that a proposal to put bocce ball courts at the embarcadero is about to be railroaded through a vote today. I feel that this decision should not come to such a sudden vote without time for public comment and outreach. I know that this same parcel of land has been proposed several times in the past to be a skating rink. This seems to me to be a more sensible option. For the past few years I have been fortunate enough to have come across the Sunday afternoon skaters in Golden Gate Park, and I cannot express the joy and exercise and just overall mental and physical health benefits that this weekly skating has brought me. It is unfortunate that in this city there is only time for this once a week. I think that a rink at the embarcadero would bring these benefits to even more people, as is obvious by the popularity of the winter ice rink every christmas season. Who exactly stands to benefit from bocce ball courts??? It seems to be a strange usurpation of a great piece of city property for a very small special interest group. Bocce ball is a much more sedentary activity, and does not seem like it would attract as much public interest as a skating area. I hope the Board of Supervisors reconsiders this shady attempt to sell a great piece of land for only \$61,000.

Josh Contreras, proud San Francisco resident

2

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/09/2010 02:23 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject File 101070: No Bocce ball court at embarcadero



Catherine Butler
<catherine.butler.sf@gmail.com>
Sent by:
myinnerdemon@gmail.com
08/09/2010 12:15 PM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
bevan.dufty@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,
chris_daly@ci.sf.ca.us, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,
David.Campos@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org,
Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org, John.Avalos@sfgov.org,
Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,
sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org
cc
Subject No Bocce ball court at embarcadero

Dear Mayor Newsom and the SF Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my disappointment in the process that has resulted in the city being prepared to sell off public land to advocates of a bocce ball court in the embarcadero WITHOUT proper public input.

This public land should not be "given" or sold to anyone without a fair hearing and time for public comment and alternative proposals to be heard.

Where was the public comment and outreach on this proposal for a bocce ball court? What alternative ideas have been considered for this public space?

I urge you to vote NO on this proposal!

Other proposals are out there such as this roller rink idea
<http://www.cora.org/EmbarcaderoRink.htm>. All proposals should be heard and public input must be part of the process.

No Backroom deals for public land!

Catherine Butler
Bronte St.
San Francisco CA

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/12/2010 02:25 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject File 101070: Proposed Bocce ball court???



Jill Bittner
<jillkb@earthlink.net>

08/10/2010 12:50 PM

Please respond to
Jill Bittner
<jillkb@earthlink.net>

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
bevan.duffy@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,
chris_daly@ci.sf.ca.us, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,
David.Campos@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org,
Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org, John.Avalos@sfgov.org,
Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,
sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org

cc

Subject Proposed Bocce ball court???

I am thoroughly disgusted with the lack of input you have allowed for this proposal. It seems like backdoor politics to me! And a bocce ball court!!!! Really!?!?! You've got to be kidding me. How about something that people will actually use, like a skating rink. How about listening to the people that have put you in office?

Jill Bittner
resident since 1995

BOS-11
COB
cpelge

File 101070

Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

Subject: Item number 37: Gift Acceptance – Building Bocce Courts in Justin Herman Plaza

Agenda dated 8/10/2010

Regarding item 37, please do not approve the above for the following reasons:

1. Digging down 10" and filling the area with oyster shells, etc... will ruin the grassy area. Bocce was played previously on another part of the park very nicely on the grass.
2. It's favoritism for one group when it should be available for multiple groups. There's a crying need for soccer from kids in the surrounding Golden Gateway Center apartments and there are volleyball demands and others.
3. It will not solve the homeless problem since this is not used 24/7.
4. The better solution is a business improvement district.

Also, there was no prior public outreach notice before this was put on the agenda.

Thank you so much,

Ernestine Weiss
Ernestine Weiss

✓ cc - clerk of the Board

BY _____ AK _____

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG - 9 AM 10: 59

(2)

Case

August 6, 2010
TO: STATE, COUNTY
AND CITY OFFICIALS

**NOTIFICATION OF APPLICATION FILING OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY: AIR RESOURCE BOARD ASSEMBLY BILL 32 COST OF
IMPLEMENTATION FEE RECOVERY PROGRAM**

On August 2, 2010, Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), in which PG&E asks for authority to recover costs associated with the Assembly Bill (AB) 32 Cost of Implementation Fee. PG&E estimates that its natural gas revenues required to cover these costs will increase by \$15.6 million and its electric revenue required will increase by \$0.5 million, both over a three year period, beginning January 1, 2011.

What is the Air Resource Board Administration Cost Recovery Program?

On September 27, 2006, AB 32, "the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006" was signed into law. Among other provisions, AB 32 authorized the Air Resource Board (ARB) to adopt a schedule of fees (as specified in section 95201) to be paid by all sources of greenhouse gas emissions to fund the administrative costs of implementing AB 32.

On August 2, 2010, PG&E, Southern California Edison, Southern California Gas Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company jointly filed an application with the CPUC requesting authority to allow PG&E to recover costs associated with AB 32 Cost of Implementation Fee. The application asks for CPUC approval to record for future recovery of all costs associated with the AB 32 Cost of Implementation Fee. Electric costs will be recorded to the Energy Resource Recovery Account. Natural gas costs for core customers will be recorded to the Core Fixed Cost Account and natural gas costs for noncore customers will be recorded to the Noncore Cost Account.

Will rates increase as a result of this application?

Yes, approval of this application will result in a slight increase to electric rates by less than one percent for bundled service customers (those who receive electric generation and transmission and distribution service from PG&E) as well as for natural gas customers. Using the forecast revenue requirement of \$0.2 million for electric, the bundled system average rate will increase 0.0013% in 2011, relative to current rates. Similarly, using the forecast annual revenue requirement of \$4.6 million for natural gas, average rate impacts for bundled core customers will increase 0.1%. Unbundled customers will also be affected by the change.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

To request a copy of the application and exhibits or for more details, call PG&E at 1-800-743-5000.

For TDD/TTY (speech-hearing impaired), call 1-800-652-4712.

Para más detalles llame 1-800-660-6789

詳情請致電 1-800-893-9555

You may request a copy of the application and exhibits by writing to:
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
ARB Administration Cost Recovery Program
P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, CA 94120.

THE CPUC PROCESS

The CPUC's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) may review this application. The DRA is an independent arm of the CPUC, created by the Legislature to represent the interests of all utility customers throughout the state and obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. The DRA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. The DRA's views do not necessarily reflect those of the CPUC. Other parties of record will also participate.

The CPUC may hold evidentiary hearings where parties of record present their proposals in testimony and are subject to cross-examination before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). These hearings are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record may present evidence or cross-examine witnesses during evidentiary hearings. Members of the public may attend, but not participate in, these hearings. After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearing process, the ALJ will issue a draft decision. When the CPUC acts on this application, it may adopt all or part of PG&E's request, amend or modify it, or deny the application. The CPUC's final decision may be different from PG&E's application.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in this proceeding or if you have comments or questions, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor as follows:

Public Advisor's Office
505 Van Ness Avenue
Room 2103
San Francisco, CA 94102
1-415-703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 (toll free)
TTY 1-415-703-5282 or TTY 1-866-836-7825 (toll free)

If you are writing a letter to the Public Advisor's Office, please include the name of the application to which you are referring. All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned Administrative Law Judge and the Energy Division staff.

A copy of PG&E's ARB Administration Cost Recovery Program application and exhibits are also available for review at the California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-noon.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 10 PM 3:39
BY _____

3

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/26/2010 05:48 PM

To
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Studio Report: Reimagining Towers-in-the-Park -
Forward to SF BOS, SF Planning Dept. and SFHPC -
A.Goodman



Aaron Goodman
<amgodman@yahoo.com>
08/25/2010 12:31 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc linda.avery@sfgov.org
Subject Studio Report: Reimagining Towers-in-the-Park - Forward to
SF BOS, SF Planning Dept. and SFHPC - A.Goodman

<http://urbanomnibus.net/2010/08/studio-report-reimagining-towers-in-the-park/>

A very interesting article by the NYC urban omnibus on the issues related to densification of existing areas, open-space, towers, and heights, and the need to utilize INFILL as a solution to the un-sustainable demolition of existing neighborhoods.

There is a DISTINCT need to look at building on existing roads and infrastructure through layering and "out-side-the-box" thinking in terms of competitions and inventive new planning strategies, it is paramount to good sustainable design.

Please read this article in relation to the development occurring citywide in SF and make the decisions based on critical mass, of housing, infrastructure and essential housing needs of the city, including rental housing. Not to mention the issues of institutional impact on housing stock, as discussed in the issue of financial improprieties at SFSU-CSU based on the use of funds for "development" vs educational focus and the impacts on Parkmerced. "CSU's Tangled Money Trail" - Nanette Asimov SF CHRON 8.25.10

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman
amgodman@yahoo.com

4

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/10/2010 09:46 AM

To BOS-11
cc page, file
bcc

Subject File 101015: SFSU-CSU Masterplan impacts ignored by
Land-Use committee....(Look at the future impacts on the site
proposed, there is NO EIR submitted to Date)



Aaron Goodman
<amgodman@yahoo.com>
08/09/2010 09:34 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc linda.avery@sfgov.org
Subject SFSU-CSU Masterplan impacts ignored by Land-Use
committee....(Look at the future impacts on the site
proposed, there is NO EIR submitted to Date)

San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

*I write to you as I am unable to attend Tues. Aug. 10th's SFBOS Meeting, and speak against the proposed intrusion and relocation of a sewer line main that has no other purpose but to adjust for the future use of a site by SFSU-CSU ignored by the land-use committee of the SF Board of Supervisors as being a part of and containing portions of the masterplan of Parkmerced, which has been ignored and repeatedly not addressed in terms of the CEQA process, the need to ascertain the overall district of Parkmerced's original boundaries as discussed in the hearing on parkmerced at the SFHPC, and allowing a state institution to ignore their due diligence needed in the review of eligible historic sites, districts of any nature as a state organization. The EIR for the SFSU Masterplan ignored the issue of defining the original historic district boundaries, and was a programmatic and not a project specific EIR. This is critical as it is being used by both Parkmerced's ownership and SFSU-CSU to circumvent due process and community appeal by a dual-pronged approach to subdividing a larger parcel through prior sell-off of lands. The tenants and residents of Parkmerced will lose the LARGEST open space parcel of Parkmerced which is the tenants open-space and recreation area as well as the prior community building. To date no notification went throughout the complex of Parkmerced or to the SFSU-CSU students to notify them of the pending changes. Without adequate review of the overall impacts and the proposed project being outlined there is not way that proper and adequate review or assessment of the "fair-share-impact fees" are assessed to SFSU-CSU in the loss of open-space or proper and adequate Historical Review of the property as part of the original development of Parkmerced. I strongly disagree with the Supervisors Sean Elsbernds efforts to note that this has NO relation to the Parkmerced project as he stated at the Land-use Board hearing. It has GREAT and solid connection in the joint impacts on Parkmerced, the lack of review in terms of future projects and impacts, the improper acquisition of land by CSU-SFSU through the SFSU-Foundation (*U.Corp) instead of focusing on educational issues of the campus, and has similar issues in how teh Academy of Art has ignored the "fair-share-impacts" per City of Marina vs. CSU. This is*

4

also a great impact in how prior government relations advocates (lobbyists) for Parkmerced and the management prior have advocated for selling off parcels and amenities in COOPERATION with SFSU-CSU personel, with ZERO compensation, or reduction in rent due to lack of notice to tenants. The SFDPW notice was limited to property owners. This is insufficient notice, not to mention the lack of 30-day notice at the SFBOS Land-Use committee hearing. Please review this issue critically as an improper easement effort by SFSU-CSU to jumpstart there further efforts at affecting parkmerced without proper and adequate historical review of Parkmerced. The MOU Negotiated between the city and SFSU-CSU ignored this parcel and the impact to both students and residents of Parkmerced who utilized this space, until its purchase and intentional neglect by SFSU-CSU in maintaining the parcel and property for student and resident use. A rent reduction for Parkmerced residents is in order due to the intentional neglect, the sale without notice, and the future loss of the landscape and impacts of development on Parkmerced's prior amenities and open-space at a minimum.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman
amgodman@yahoo.com

cc: SFBOS, SFHPC, SFPlanning Dept.

ITEM #16 101015 - [Sewer Line Relocation Agreement with San Francisco State University] Sponsor: Eisbernd

Resolution approving and authorizing the Sewer Line Relocation Agreement between the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and San Francisco State University to relocate an existing sanitary sewer and storm drain easement on Assessor's Block No. 7304-001 (part of the University campus) and portions of Font Boulevard and Lake Merced Boulevard in San Francisco; adopting findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the Relocation Agreement is consistent with the City's General Plan and Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1; and authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents, make certain modifications and take certain actions in furtherance of this resolution.

T-Mobile

T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION,
a Delaware Corporation
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, CA 94520

August 2, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102


**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C).
Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF03582A:**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should the Commission or the local government agency have any questions regarding this project, or if anyone disagrees with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at 415-703-2699.

Sincerely,



Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation

Enclosed: Attachment A

CC:

Edwin Lee, City Manager, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102
Jon Rahaim, Planning Director, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102
Karen J. Hong Yee, City Clerk, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -9 PM 4:07
BY _____ AK

(5)

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF03582A
 Site Name: AT&T CO - McCoppin
 Site Address: 1 McCoppin Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
 County: San Francisco
 Assessor's Parcel Number: Block 3513 Lot 001
 Latitude: 37.77436° N
 Longitude: 122.4205° W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 3
 Tower Design: Concrete Building
 Tower Appearance: Antennas Flush Mounted to Wall
 Tower Height: Top of Building 61' Top of Antennas 61'
 Size of Building: 10' x 4' Lease Area

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco Edwin Lee, City Manager 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102	City of San Francisco Jon Rahaim, Planning Director 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102	City of San Francisco Karen J. Hong Yee, City Clerk 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102
---	---	---

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: July 29, 2010
 Land Use Permit #: Per Plans and BP approved 7/29/10
 If Land use Approval was not required: N/A

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



Orig: Joy
c. BOS-IT COB, Leg Dep, City Atte
Public Clerk, cpd/ge
GAVIN NEWSOM
Exec
file

Notice of Appointment

August 4, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Administrative Code §24.1-1, I nominate Rosario Anaya for appointment to the Redevelopment Agency Commission for a four-year term commencing September 3, 2011.

Rosario Anaya will succeed London Breed to serve for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending September 3, 2011.

I am confident that Rosario Anaya will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointments represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -4, PM 3:55
BY _____ AIC

6

Rosario Anaya Biography

Rosario Anaya is the Executive Director of Mission Language and Vocational School, Inc. (MLVS). She is from Cochabamba, Bolivia. She received a B.A. in Public Administration and a Masters Degree in Counseling and Psychology from the University of San Francisco. Since 1973, she has been responsible for the direction and administration of MLVS' employment and training programs. Her duties include implementation of MLVS policies and procedures, monitoring program development and operation, fundraising and public relations.

During the years that Ms. Anaya has served as Executive Director, MLVS has purchased the school's 50,000 square feet facility. Each year, up to 300 trainees graduate and are placed in jobs. MLVS has strengthened ties between the school and private industry and has created vocational English as Second Language materials for publication and nationwide distribution.

Ms. Anaya was appointed to the San Francisco Board of Education by late Mayor George Moscone. In citywide elections, she was elected to a 4-year term in 1978, 1982 and 1986, each time elected with the highest number of votes. She also served twice as School Board President and was the first Latin American woman elected to public office in the City's history.

Ms. Anaya is a former member of the Saint Francis Memorial Hospital Community Outreach Advisory Committee, as well as a Board Member of the Volunteers of America Bay Area, Inc. She has been a member of the Board of the Council of Great City Schools and Vice-President of the National Hispanic School Board Members Association. She has been a workshop facilitator for the National and California School Board Associations, and an appointee to the State Education Innovation and Planning Commission as well as the State Superintendent's Council on Hispanic Affairs.

Some of the awards that Ms. Anaya has received are from the Volunteers of America Bay Area, Inc., Real Alternative Program, Inc., Association of Latin American Students (ALAS) Woodrow Wilson High School, the Labor Council for Latin American Advancement, the Puerto Rican Organization for Women, the Irish-Israeli-Italian Society, the East Bay International Institute, the Association of Mexican-American Educators, the Protagonist Club, the Greenlining Institute, the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, Californians for Solar and Clean Energy, and Hispanic Women Making History. She was honored by the President of the Republic of Venezuela with the Order of Francisco de Miranda Medallion.

Among other activities, under former Mayors, Ms. Anaya has chaired the Planning, Housing and Economic Development committee of the Mission Neighborhood Task Force and the Youth Guidance Center committee, and served on the KQED Board of Directors, the YWCA Board of Directors, and co-chaired the San Francisco-Caracas Sister City Committee. In December 2000, Ms. Anaya was appointed by the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) to serve in the City's Digital Divide Task Force, and she has also served on the USF School of Education Advisory Board and the St. Luke's Hospital Community Advisory Council. In 2001 Ms. Anaya was voted onto the Board of Directors of the Greenlining Institute, and also currently sits on the board of New America Media. In addition, Ms. Anaya is a member of the Latino-Jewish Relations Committee and the San Francisco Latino Coalition.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[Confirming the appointment of Rosario Anaya to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency]

Motion confirming the appointment of Rosario Anaya to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending September 3, 2011.

MOTION, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby confirm the appointment by the Mayor of the following designated person as member of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, pursuant to Section 33110 of the California Health and Safety Code, for the term specified:

Rosario Anaya, succeeding London Breed, to serve for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending September 3, 2011.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



Orig: Joy
c: CO.B Leg Dept. City Attny
Rules Clerk, Bas-11, Exec
GAVIN NEWSOM, Sec
File
epage

Notice of Appointment

August 4, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.101, I hereby nominate Gwyneth Borden for reappointment to the Planning Commission for a four-year term commencing June 30, 2014.

Gwyneth Borden will fill her same seat, and the term of Gwyneth Borden will expire on June 30, 2014.

I am confident that Gwyneth Borden will continue to serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointments represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

BY _____
AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -4 PM 3:55

Biography for Gwyneth Borden

Gwyneth J. Borden is the Manager of Corporate Citizenship and Corporate Affairs, Northwestern United States for IBM. In this region she manages IBM's corporate citizenship and philanthropic activities focusing on pre K-12 education, literacy, sustainability, workforce and minority/women-owned business development. She is also part of IBM's Leadership Development Program, a top talent program for Marketing, Communications and Citizenship professionals. In addition to her role at IBM, Gwyneth is a Commissioner for the City and County of San Francisco's Planning Commission, a post she was appointed to by San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom in 2008.

Prior to IBM, Gwyneth was the director of government relations for the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, leading advocacy, economic development and public policy efforts at the local, state and federal levels. Before joining the Chamber, Gwyneth was a legislative aide to San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom, when he was on the Board of Supervisors. Other positions she has held include project manager, GCA Strategies, Inc., project assistant, Rockefeller Brothers Fund's Project on World Security and staff assistant, U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer's office.

Gwyneth is involved in a number of civic and philanthropic organizations and has received numerous awards for her leadership, recently being honored by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors for her community leadership and being named a Forever Influential Woman in Bay Area Business by the *San Francisco Business Times* in 2010 after being recognized as one of the Most Influential Women in Bay Area Business in 2009 and 2008. Other notable awards include being named the Young Nonprofit Board Leader of the Year by the Young Nonprofit Professionals Network San Francisco Bay Area (2006), for her work as a board member of San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR), where she co-founded the Young Urbanists, an auxiliary engaging those 40 and under. She has also been honored as the Volunteer of the Year by the Bayview Hunter's Point YMCA (2003 – 2004), a board she once chaired and served on for seven years.

Gwyneth is a graduate of Leadership California, co-chairing the Northern California Alumna Steering Committee. She serves on the board of Equality California Institute (EQCA) and La Cocina, and is on the Leadership Advisory Council for the City Hall Fellows program, co-chairs Dickinson College's San Francisco Bay Area Alumni Club, and volunteers with American Red Cross Bay Area Chapter. Gwyneth holds a BA in policy studies from Dickinson College.

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:39 AM

To Michela Alioto-Pier/BOS/SFGOV,
cc
bcc
Subject Extended Meters



jdm1650@comcast.net
08/15/2010 04:09 PM

To mtaboard@sfmta.com, gavin.newson@sfgov.org,
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Extended Meters

To Whom It May Concern,

I am currently living the Marina district taking care of my elderly parents. I work different hours/shifts and between street cleaning and the 2 hour parking limit unless you have a permit, I have a very difficult time parking. Extending meter hours and using them on Sundays will just result in more people trying to park in the residential areas.

I would be less likely to frequent businesses on Union Street and Chestnut Street in the evening if I had to worry about a meter. And from what I've seen the businesses on Union Street sure can use any business they can get.

Joanne Moore

8



Dave Rhody
<dave@rhodyco.com>
08/04/2010 11:47 AM

To <mtaboard@sfmta.com>, <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, SF
Board of Supervisors <dave@rhodyco.com>,
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject SF Parking Meters

The move to extend extend parking meter hours, to include Sunday and to raise rates is a slap in the face to all small businesses in San Francisco. Politicians love to talk about how small businesses are the life blood of the economy, but we often feel that our interests are being overlooked. We need to attract out-of-town business — this includes the large charitable events that we produce on Sundays. Please, don't make it even tougher for us to stay in business.

Dave Rhody

RhodyCo Productions • 26 Years • 1.4 million participants • 390+ events

1417 Irving St.

San Francisco, CA 94122

Ph: (415) 759-2690

Fx: (415) 759-2699

Note: The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you. Dave Rhody

8

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/09/2010 02:30 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Parking meters



Allan Phillips
<allan.p@comcast.net>
08/08/2010 04:04 PM

To MTABoard@sfmta.com, Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org,
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Parking meters

Dear Recipients:

Please:

- No extended meters
- No meters on Sunday
- No increase in meter fees

I think this would be detrimental to San Francisco's businesses and economy in general.

Thank you,
Allan Phillips

8

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/09/2010 02:29 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Parking in SF



Tom Brigham
<tbrigham1@gmail.com>
08/08/2010 09:48 PM

To mtaboard@sfgov.com, boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Parking in SF

Dear MTA and Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to urge you to not do the following:

- Extend the time of parking meters until 10:00 PM
- Have meters run on Sundays
- Increase fees on meters in San Francisco

Parking fees and practices in San Francisco have become more and more expensive and draconian in the last few years - it is already very expensive to feed meters and the penalties for parking tickets are extremely high. I have lived in the city all my life (45 years) and I have seen this situation become more and more difficult for citizens of our town - your parking policies are one more expensive element which makes it hard for people of limited means to afford living in the city and in my opinion is decreasing the diversity for which we are famous. Your new parking regulations will also be hard on the business community since people going to bars and restaurants will not be able to park freely after 6:00 PM as they can now.

Please do not institute the new parking regulations.

Regards,

Tom Brigham

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/30/2010 12:45 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Extended meter hours and meters on Sundays



Linda Leal
<leal_linda@yahoo.com>
08/27/2010 04:59 PM

To mtaboard@sfmta.com, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org,
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Re: Extended meter hours and meters on Sundays

I am writing you to please ask that you not extend meter hours Mon-Sat and add meter hours on Sunday. I live in San Francisco and park on the street all the time during my daily routine. It is difficult enough to manage paying for meters normal hours (and the parking tickets that come with not having enough quarters), but to add the burden of paying after 6pm on weekdays and also on Sundays, would be hardship to the full-time residents of San Francisco.

I urge you to consider other sources of funding. I appreciate you listening to my concerns and taking them into consideration.

Kind Regards,
Linda Leal
San Francisco Resident

18

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/09/2010 02:31 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Justice - Why do you hate San Franciscans and Visitors



J J <jjbirdr@yahoo.com>
08/08/2010 01:57 AM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Justice - Why do you hate San Franciscans and Visitors

Dear Board,

I came to your fair city yesterday. I spent at least 50 dollars. My friend spent just as much. We tipped at least 3 different people. That is, WE PAID YOUR CONSTITUENTS and HELPED SF BUSINESSES STAY OPEN!!!!!!!!!!

What greeted us when we finished our evening? A parking ticket for supposedly parking more that 18" away from the curb in a perpendicular parking space in the CASTRO. It was so ridiculous as to take one's breath away. But here I am having to spend my dwindling energy on this gov't attack on me.

I am tired. I am a beleaguered man who came to your city and was paid back with the most absurd citation that could be invented to attack tax payers. Your city HATES its people. It HATES its tourists. We know this because you PUNISH them for living and visiting there.

We are many on the brink. Our precious energy should not be squandered on writing these letters. We are rising up. And no

I am not some Fox News watching redneck. I am liberal gay man. I work at a university and I am fed up with our government HARMING the people it should LEAVE ALONE!

I will have justice and will use whatever means necessary to protect myself from this vicious government. Never would I think I would side with tea party extremists but I am DONE being attacked.
Joseph Kautz

9

BOS-11
page

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Pauline Marx, Chief Assistant Treasurer

July 21, 2010

Investment Report for the month of June, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102-0917

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102-0917

Ladies and Gentlemen,

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for fiscal year-to-date of the portfolios under the Treasurer's management.

(in \$ millions unless specified)

	Fiscal Year to Date		Month Ending 6/30/2010	
	Pooled Fund	All Funds	Pooled Fund	All Funds
INCOME				
Cash Basis Earnings	50.11	53.39	9.31	9.97
Accrual Basis Earnings	44.19	46.39	3.87	3.92
Earned Income Yield (in %)	1.38%	1.38%	1.32%	1.31%
Current Yield to Maturity (in %)	n/a	n/a	1.33%	1.33%
PRINCIPAL				
Current Book Value	n/a	n/a	3,587	3,617
Amortized Book Value	n/a	n/a	3,581	3,611
Par Value	n/a	n/a	3,566	3,596
Market Value	n/a	n/a	3,603	3,633
Accrued Interest	n/a	n/a	11	11
Total Value (Market Value + Accrued Interest)	n/a	n/a	3,613	3,644
Average Daily Balance	3,196	3,360	3,569	3,638
Average Age of Portfolio - End of Period (in days)	n/a	n/a	685	683

In accordance with provisions of California State Government Code Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's investment portfolio as of 6/30/2010. These investments are in compliance with California Code and our statement of investment policy, and provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months.

Very truly yours,

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller - Internal Audit Division: Tania Lediju
Oversight Committee: J. Grazioli, Dr. Don Q. Griffin, Ben Rosenfield, T. Rydstrom, R. Sullivan
Transportation Authority - Cynthia Fong, San Francisco Public Library - 2 copies


RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -9 AM 11:58
BY PN

10

June 30, 2010


City & County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Maturities





Asset Allocation Five Year History

The chart below shows the total size of the Pooled Fund and the relative investments by type.



All Funds

Investment Type	Par Value %	\$ in millions		
		Par Value	Original Book Value	Market Value
Collateralized CD's	0.7%	25.00	25.00	25.00
Commercial Paper: Discount				
Commercial Paper: Interest Bearing				
Commercial Paper: Interest Bearing, Act/365				
Federal Farm Credit Bank: Discount Notes				
Federal Farm Credit Bank: Fixed	14.0%	504.65	507.48	509.46
Federal Farm Credit Bank: Float				
Federal Home Loan Bank: Discount Notes				
Federal Home Loan Bank: Fixed	8.2%	295.23	295.24	296.76
Federal Home Loan Bank: Float				
Federal Home Loan Bank: Float Monthly				
Federal Home Loan Bank: Multi Step	2.9%	102.75	102.70	102.81
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.: Discount Notes				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.: Fixed	10.1%	361.50	363.62	364.87
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.: Float, Monthly, Act/360				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.: Multi Step	0.6%	20.00	20.00	20.12
Federal National Mortgage Assn.	15.2%	546.63	547.77	550.22
Federal National Mortgage Assn.: Multi Step				
Federal National Mortgage Assn.: Discount Notes				
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit				
Public Time Deposit: Monthly Pay				
Public Time Deposit: Quarterly Pay	1.8%	65.10	65.10	65.10
Treas. Liquidity Guarantee Program: Fixed	25.5%	917.31	930.17	937.62
Treas. Liquidity Guarantee Program: Float	1.4%	50.00	50.07	50.26
Treasury Bills	6.1%	218.00	217.20	217.62
Treasury Notes	11.7%	420.00	422.42	423.27
	100.0%	3,596.16	3,616.77	3,633.19





Inventory by Market Value

June 30, 2010

City & County of San Francisco

Run Date: 7/16/2010 10:04:44 AM

Investments Outstanding As Of Date: 6/30/2010

Asset Allocation

Assets (000's)	Current Par	Current Book	Market	MKT/Book	Un Gain/Loss	Yield
TREASURY BILLS F	218,000.00	217,202.06	217,618.12	100.19 %	249.28	0.39 %
TREASURY NOTES F	420,000.00	422,424.19	423,271.87	100.20 %	993.33	0.75 %
TREASURY LGP F	917,310.00	930,165.20	937,617.24	100.80 %	7,543.71	1.48 %
TLGP FLOATER F	50,000.00	50,074.05	50,261.72	100.37 %	187.67	0.52 %
FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK F	295,230.00	295,241.78	296,761.20	100.51 %	1,585.28	1.73 %
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASS	546,626.00	547,768.88	550,218.45	100.45 %	2,449.57	1.62 %
FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK F	504,645.00	507,478.64	509,457.59	100.39 %	2,184.02	1.36 %
FHLMC Bonds F	361,500.00	363,622.27	364,867.34	100.34 %	1,708.27	1.62 %
FHLB MULTI STEP F	102,750.00	102,696.71	102,812.39	100.11 %	115.69	1.17 %
FHLMC FLOAT QTR 30/360 F	70,000.00	70,000.00	70,087.50	100.13 %	87.50	1.04 %
FHLMC MULTI-STEP F	20,000.00	19,995.00	20,118.75	100.62 %	123.75	2.02 %
PUBLIC TIME DEPOSIT F	65,100.00	65,100.00	65,100.00	100.00 %	0.00	0.83 %
COLLATERAL C Ds F	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00	100.00 %	0.00	0.72 %
Totals (000's)	3,596,161.00	3,616,768.76	3,633,192.17	100.45 %	17,228.05	1.32 %



INVESTMENT INVENTORY - 06/30/10

City & County of San Francisco

June 30, 2010

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv No.	Security Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Current Book Value	Par Value	Market Price	Current Market Value
Fund: 100 POOLED FUNDS										
42393	B 031011	912795V99	.0000	.3834	03/31/10	03/10/11	49,817,488.89	50,000,000.00	99.84	49,921,876.00
42402	Treasury Bill	912795VD0	.3980	.3995	04/23/10	04/07/11	149,421,241.67	150,000,000.00	99.81	149,718,744.00
42419	B 01 13 11	912795UX7	.0000	.3387	06/10/10	01/13/11	17,963,327.00	18,000,000.00	99.88	17,977,500.00
Inv Type: 11 TREASURY BILLS										
42298	T 0.875 02 2	912828KE9	.8750	.6321	09/04/09	02/28/11	50,179,687.50	50,000,000.00	100.38	50,187,500.00
42325	T 1 08 31 11	912828LV0	1.0000	.8260	10/29/09	08/31/11	100,316.41	100,000.00	100.66	100,656.25
42326	T 1 08 31 11	912828LV0	1.0000	.8345	10/29/09	08/31/11	100,200,480.47	99,900,000.00	100.66	100,555,591.75
42341	T 1 7 31 11	912828LG3	1.0000	.6040	11/19/09	07/31/11	120,801,562.50	120,000,000.00	100.63	120,750,000.00
42352	T 1.125 12 1	912828KA7	1.1250	.7456	12/09/09	12/15/11	50,378,906.25	50,000,000.00	100.94	50,468,752.00
42382	T 1.5 07.15.	912828LP4	1.5000	1.1124	03/23/10	07/15/12	50,580,218.40	50,000,000.00	101.81	50,906,248.00
42415	T 1.25 11 30	912828JS0	1.2500	.3763	06/10/10	11/30/10	20,089,269.25	20,000,000.00	100.44	20,087,500.80
Inv Type: 12 TREASURY NOTES										
42165	J P MORGAN C	481247AK0	2.2000	2.0469	03/24/09	06/15/12	392,330,440.78	390,000,000.00	100.78	393,056,748.80
42166	GENL ELEC CA	36967HAN7	2.2500	2.0651	03/24/09	03/12/12	25,119,000.00	25,000,000.00	102.75	25,688,150.00
42170	MORGAN STANL	61757UAF7	2.0000	1.9382	03/16/09	09/22/11	35,185,150.00	35,000,000.00	102.59	35,907,812.50
42177	BAC 2.375 06	06050BAJ0	2.3750	1.9301	04/14/09	06/22/12	25,037,750.00	25,000,000.00	101.73	25,433,593.75
42181	C 2.125 04.3	17313UAE9	2.1250	1.9669	04/02/09	04/30/12	50,685,000.00	50,000,000.00	103.05	51,523,437.50
42182	BK OF THE WE	064244AA4	2.1500	1.9628	04/02/09	03/27/12	25,117,500.00	25,000,000.00	102.45	25,612,750.00
42183	BK OF THE WE	064244AA4	2.1500	1.9629	04/02/09	03/27/12	5,026,950.00	5,000,000.00	102.42	5,121,093.75
42191	BAC 2.1 04.3	06050BAG6	2.1000	1.9749	04/02/09	04/30/12	20,108,000.00	20,000,000.00	102.42	20,484,375.00
42195	GE 1.625 01.	36967HAG2	1.6250	1.2309	04/16/09	01/07/11	25,093,000.00	25,000,000.00	102.41	25,601,500.00
42196	GE 1.625 01.	36967HAG2	1.6250	1.2350	04/16/09	01/07/11	25,167,500.00	25,000,000.00	100.61	25,152,500.00
42197	C 1.625 03.3	17314JAA1	1.6250	1.3908	04/16/09	03/30/11	50,225,000.00	50,000,000.00	101.00	50,500,000.00
42198	GS 1.625 07.	38146FAF8	1.6250	1.4391	04/16/09	07/15/11	50,204,500.00	50,000,000.00	101.18	50,587,600.00
42211	USSA CAPITAL	90390QAA9	2.2400	1.9620	04/28/09	03/30/12	16,125,600.00	16,000,000.00	102.47	16,395,000.00
42258	CITIGROUP FD	17313YAC5	1.2500	1.2952	06/29/09	06/03/11	49,957,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.70	50,351,562.50
42259	CITIGROUP FD	17313YAC5	1.2500	1.2952	06/29/09	06/03/11	49,957,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.70	50,351,562.50
42274	GE TLGP 3 12	36967HAD9	3.0000	1.6091	07/30/09	12/09/11	51,602,500.00	50,000,000.00	103.30	51,650,150.00
42299	HSBC 3.125 1	4042EPAAS	3.1250	1.3413	09/16/09	12/16/11	51,969,550.00	50,000,000.00	103.58	51,789,050.00
42317	C 1.625 03.3	17314JAA1	1.6250	.7776	10/22/09	03/30/11	35,423,500.00	35,000,000.00	101.00	35,350,000.00
42328	MS 2.25 3 13	61757UAP5	2.2500	1.3169	11/04/09	03/13/12	20,431,800.00	20,000,000.00	102.59	20,518,750.00
42331	MS TLGP 2.25	61757UAP5	2.2500	1.3109	11/06/09	03/13/12	51,084,000.00	50,000,000.00	102.59	51,296,875.00
42332	GE TLGP 2.12	36967HAV9	2.1250	1.7893	11/06/09	12/21/12	25,253,750.00	25,000,000.00	102.81	25,703,125.00
42379	GS 3.25 06.1	38146FAA9	3.2500	1.2299	03/22/10	06/15/12	52,215,000.00	50,000,000.00	104.50	52,250,000.00
42380	GE TLGP 2% 0	36967HBB2	2.0000	1.4058	03/22/10	09/28/12	25,366,000.00	25,000,000.00	102.39	25,597,656.25
42400	GE TLGP 2.0	36967HBB2	2.0000	1.4358	04/20/10	09/28/12	76,101,916.67	75,000,000.00	102.39	76,792,968.75



INVESTMENT INVENTORY - 06/30/10

June 30, 2010

City & County of San Francisco

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv No.	Security Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Current Book Value	Par Value	Market Price	Current Market Value
42401	JPM 2.2 0615	481247AK0	2.2000	1.1630	04/21/10	06/15/12	51,097,500.00	50,000,000.00	102.75	51,376,300.00
42417	RF 2.75 12 1	7591EAAA1	2.7500	.3588	06/10/10	12/10/10	11,444,979.80	11,310,000.00	101.05	11,428,924.65
Inv Type: 15 TREASURY LGP										
42242	MORGAN STANL	61757UAN0	.4570	.3760	03/19/09	03/13/12	25,040,325.00	25,000,000.00	100.52	25,128,906.25
42306	Union Bank T	905266AA0	.5923	.6597	03/23/09	03/16/12	25,033,725.00	25,000,000.00	100.53	25,132,812.50
Inv Type: 16 TLGP FLOATER										
42349	FHLB 1.85 12	3133XW6C8	1.8500	1.8500	12/21/09	12/21/12	50,074,050.00	50,000,000.00	100.52	50,261,718.75
42388	FHLB 1.875 0	3133XXN37	1.8750	1.9026	03/24/10	03/22/13	49,965,208.33	50,000,000.00	100.28	50,140,625.00
42391	FHLB 2.04 09	3133XXPN1	2.0000	2.0000	04/09/10	04/09/13	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	100.03	25,007,812.50
42397	FHLB 1.5 2.5	3133XX4B8	1.5000	1.5000	04/15/10	10/15/12	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	100.78	100,781,250.00
42418	FHLB 1.42 fi	3133XXME4	1.4200	1.4507	06/10/10	09/24/12	20,276,566.91	20,230,000.00	100.66	20,362,759.38
Inv Type: 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK										
42295	FNMA 2.15 09	31398AZA3	2.1500	2.0533	09/10/09	09/10/12	52,693,128.80	52,546,000.00	100.28	52,693,856.63
42335	FNMA 1.75 3	31398AVQ2	1.7500	.5980	11/19/09	03/23/11	50,770,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.97	50,484,375.00
42338	FNMA 1.75 3	31398AVQ2	1.7500	.5712	11/20/09	03/23/11	20,314,600.00	20,000,000.00	100.97	20,193,750.00
42350	FNMA FIXED 1	3136FZT1	1.7500	1.7500	12/28/09	12/28/12	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	100.53	100,531,250.00
42366	FNMA 3NCL5	31398AF23	1.8000	1.8000	02/08/10	02/08/13	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	101.16	50,578,125.00
42367	FNMA 3NCL5	31398AF23	1.8000	1.8172	02/08/10	02/08/13	24,987,500.00	25,000,000.00	101.16	25,289,062.50
42398	FNMA 2.5NC1	3136FMINR1	1.5600	1.5600	04/19/10	10/29/12	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	100.75	100,750,000.00
42410	FNMA 2.5 6 2	3136FMA38	2.0500	2.0765	06/25/10	06/25/13	49,018,650.00	49,080,000.00	100.75	49,448,100.00
42411	FNMA 1.7 6 2	3136FMB78	1.7052	1.7103	06/28/10	06/28/13	99,985,000.00	100,000,000.00	100.25	100,250,000.00
Inv Type: 23 FNMA										
42342	FFCB Bullet	31331YZ86	3.8750	.7849	11/19/09	08/25/11	52,705,000.00	50,000,000.00	103.88	51,937,500.00
42373	FFCB 2 Year	31331JGD9	.9500	1.0514	03/09/10	03/05/12	17,017,870.22	17,050,000.00	100.50	17,135,250.00
42374	FFCB 2 Year	31331JGD9	.9500	1.0432	03/09/10	03/05/12	57,899,982.22	58,000,000.00	100.50	58,290,000.00
42385	FFCB 1.875 1	31331G2R9	1.8750	1.5324	03/26/10	12/07/12	37,333,370.00	37,000,000.00	102.16	37,797,812.50
42399	FFCB 1.625 B	31331JAB9	1.6250	1.5877	04/16/10	12/24/12	50,048,500.00	50,000,000.00	101.53	50,765,625.00
42403	FFCB 1.125 2	31331JLW1	1.1250	1.2269	04/29/10	04/26/12	74,228,232.19	74,370,000.00	100.50	74,741,850.00
42404	FFCB 2.5NC3	31331JMA8	1.4700	1.5316	04/29/10	10/26/12	24,965,562.50	25,000,000.00	100.06	25,015,625.00
42407	FFCB 1.74 6	31331JRD7	1.7400	1.7916	06/10/10	06/10/13	24,962,500.00	25,000,000.00	100.19	25,046,875.00
42412	FFCB 1.34 12	31331J5B0	1.3512	1.3625	06/17/10	12/17/12	149,958,750.00	150,000,000.00	100.16	150,234,375.00
42414	FEDERAL FARM	31331JGL1	2.8000	2.8847	06/10/10	01/28/14	18,358,869.33	18,225,000.00	101.47	18,492,679.69
Inv Type: 28 FFCB										
42351	FHLMC Fixed	3128X9RH5	1.7500	1.7500	12/28/09	12/28/12	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	100.53	100,531,250.00
42356	FHLMC 1.125	3128X8P22	1.1250	.7120	11/20/09	06/01/11	28,779,470.72	28,600,000.00	100.63	28,778,750.00
42371	FHLMC 1.8 2	3128X9ZK9	1.8000	1.8000	02/25/10	02/25/13	75,000,000.00	75,000,000.00	100.72	75,539,062.50
42405	FHLMC 2NC1Y	3134G1DZ4	1.1700	1.1700	05/18/10	05/18/12	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.50	50,250,000.00




INVESTMENT INVENTORY - 06/30/10

City & County of San Francisco

June 30, 2010.

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv No.	Security Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Current Book Value	Par Value	Market Price	Current Market Value	
42408	FHLMC 2 12 2	3134G1GN8	2.0000	2.0000	06/23/10	12/23/13	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.28	50,140,625.00	
42416	FHLMC 5.75 0	3134A4JT2	5.7500	1.0656	06/10/10	01/15/12	21,942,802.18	20,000,000.00	107.75	21,550,000.00	
42420	FHLMC 2.05 6	3134G1GX6	2.0500	2.0500	06/30/10	06/30/14	37,900,000.00	37,900,000.00	100.47	38,077,656.25	
Inv Type: 30 FHLMC Bonds											
42282	FHLB 1.5 3NC	3133XUM83	1.5000	1.5000	08/27/09	08/27/12	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.03	50,015,625.00	
42283	FHLB 1.5 3NC	3133XUM83	1.5000	1.5000	08/27/09	08/27/12	4,300,000.00	4,300,000.00	100.03	4,301,343.75	
42318	FHLB 0.75 9	3133XUVP5	.7500	.8072	10/20/09	09/29/11	48,396,705.00	48,450,000.00	100.09	48,495,421.88	
Inv Type: 38 FHLMC MULTI											
42354	FHLMC 3incl f	3128X9DK3	.9492	1.0369	09/10/09	09/10/12	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.13	50,062,500.00	
42413	FHLMC 3incl f	3128X9DK3	1.0369	1.0369	06/10/10	09/10/12	20,000,000.00	20,000,000.00	100.13	20,025,000.00	
Inv Type: 40 FHLMC FLOAT QTR											
42409	FHLMC MULTI	3134G1FQ2	2.0128	2.0182	06/24/10	06/24/15	19,995,000.00	20,000,000.00	100.59	20,118,750.00	
Inv Type: 46 FHLMC MULTI STEP											
42277	CD FIRST NAT		1.7500	1.7500	07/31/09	07/31/10	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	100.00	5,000,000.00	
42316	UBOC PTD 0.7		.7000	.7000	10/13/09	10/13/10	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	100.00	50,000,000.00	
42365	FIRST NATL P		1.0000	1.0000	01/18/10	01/18/11	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	100.00	10,000,000.00	
42406	BANK OF SAN		1.6500	1.6500	05/18/10	05/18/11	100,000.00	100,000.00	100.00	100,000.00	
Inv Type: 1010 PUBLIC TI											
42294	B of A CD 0.		.7200	.7200	09/02/09	09/02/10	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	100.00	25,000,000.00	
Inv Type: 1012 COLLATERA											
							3,586,675,013.71	3,586,675,013.71	101.03	3,602,976,546.28	
Subtotal Fund: 100											
Fund: 9704 SFUSD BONDS 2006B											
42264	T 1.125 06.3	912828LF5	1.1250	.9622	07/21/09	06/30/11	30,093,750.00	30,000,000.00	100.72	30,215,625.60	
Inv Type: 12 TREASURY NOTES											
							30,093,750.00	30,000,000.00	100.72	30,215,625.60	
Subtotal Fund 9704											
							3,616,768,763.21	3,596,661,000.00	101.03	3,633,192,171.88	
Total All Funds											



INVESTMENT EARNINGS - JUNE 2010

June 30, 2010

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv No.	Security Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Par Value	Beginning Prem/(Disc)	Amortization Expense	Interest Received	Gain (Loss)	Cash Basis Earnings	Income Earned (Accrual Basis)	Accrued Interest
42400	GE TLGP 2.0	36967HBB2	2.0000	1.4358	04/20/10	09/28/12	75,000,000.00	1,101,916.67	-33,977.01	165,000.00	0.00	165,000.00	49,777.36	387,500.00
42401	JPM 2.2 0615	481247AK0	2.2000	1.1630	04/21/10	06/15/12	50,000,000.00	1,482,500.00	-41,889.31	165,000.00	0.00	165,000.00	2,653.64	48,888.89
42417	RF 2.75 12 1	7591EAAA1	2.7500	3.5888	06/10/10	12/10/10	11,310,000.00	0.00	-15,489.49	28,881.76	0.00	28,881.76	8,411.60	18,143.13
Inv Type: 15 TREASURY LGP														
42424	MORGAN STANL	61757UANO	.4570	.3760	03/19/09	03/13/12	25,000,000.00	40,325.00	-1,109.86	29,209.36	0.00	29,209.36	11,510.93	7,677.47
42306	Union Bank T	905266AA0	.5923	.6597	03/23/09	03/16/12	25,000,000.00	33,725.00	-929.06	29,209.36	0.00	29,209.36	19,922.53	13,072.79
Inv Type: 16 TLGP FLOATER														
42349	FHLB 1.85 12	3133XW6C8	1.8500	1.8500	12/21/09	12/21/12	100,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	925,000.00	0.00	925,000.00	154,166.67	51,388.89
42372	FHLB 1.875 3	3133XX340	1.8750	1.8750	03/24/10	03/22/13	50,000,000.00	-34,791.67	1,096.89	0.00	0.00	234,375.00	62,500.00	79,221.89
42388	FHLB 1.875 0	3133XXN37	1.8750	1.9026	03/24/10	03/22/13	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	333,333.33	0.00	333,333.33	61,111.11	113,888.89
42391	FHLB 2 04.12	3133XXQR1	2.0000	2.0000	04/09/10	04/09/13	25,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	41,666.67	316,666.67
42390	FHLB 2 04.09	3133XXPN1	2.0000	2.0000	04/15/10	10/15/12	100,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	125,000.00	77,402.22
42397	FHLB 1.5 2.5	3133XY488	1.5000	1.5000	04/15/10	09/24/12	20,230,000.00	0.00	353.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	17,110.39	31,759.17
42418	FHLB 1.42 fl	3133XXME4	1.4200	1.4507	06/10/10	09/24/12	20,230,000.00	0.00	353.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	17,110.39	31,759.17
Inv Type: 22 FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK														
42295	FNMA 2.15 09	31398AZA3	2.1500	2.0533	09/10/09	09/10/12	52,546,000.00	147,128.80	-4,027.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	90,117.66	348,336.19
42335	FNMA 1.75 3	31398AVQ2	1.7500	.5980	11/19/09	03/23/11	50,000,000.00	770,000.00	-47,239.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	25,677.39	238,194.44
42338	FNMA 1.75 3	31398AVQ2	1.7500	.5712	11/20/09	03/23/11	20,000,000.00	314,600.00	-19,340.17	0.00	0.00	875,000.00	145,833.33	14,583.33
42350	FNMA FIXED 1	3136FZIT1	1.7500	1.7500	12/28/09	12/28/12	100,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-46,760.00	479,290.00	18,601.61	357,500.00
42353	FNMA 3NC6 1.	31398AB50	1.8000	1.7725	02/08/10	02/08/13	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	75,000.00	178,750.00
42366	FNMA 3NC1.5	31398AF23	1.8000	1.8000	02/08/10	02/08/13	25,000,000.00	-12,500.00	342.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	37,842.15	312,000.00
42367	FNMA 3NC1.5	31398AF23	1.8000	1.8172	02/08/10	02/08/13	100,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	130,000.00	16,769.00
42398	FNMA 2.5NC1	3136FMNR1	1.5600	1.5600	04/19/10	10/29/12	49,080,000.00	0.00	201.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	16,970.59	14,209.59
42410	FNMA 2.5 6 2	3136FMA38	2.0500	2.0765	06/25/10	06/25/15	100,000,000.00	0.00	41.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,250.65	678,125.00
42411	FNMA 1.7 6 2	3136FMB78	1.7052	1.7103	06/28/10	06/28/13	100,000,000.00	0.00	41.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,250.65	678,125.00
Inv Type: 23 FNMA														
42342	FCB Bullet	31331YZ86	3.8750	.7849	11/19/09	08/25/11	50,000,000.00	2,705,000.00	-126,009.32	0.00	0.00	153,395.83	106,312.16	52,191.94
42370	FCB 1.67 11	31331JDN0	1.6700	1.6965	03/09/10	03/05/12	17,050,000.00	-52,500.00	-5,333.67	0.00	0.00	50,296.58	177,544.44	
42373	FCB 2 Year	31331JGD9	.9500	1.0514	03/09/10	03/05/12	58,000,000.00	-32,129.78	1,400.11	0.00	0.00	136,822.92	46,250.00	
42374	FCB 2 Year	31331JGD9	.9500	1.0432	03/09/10	03/05/12	37,000,000.00	-100,017.78	4,379.92	0.00	0.00	153,472.22	15,798.61	
42385	FCB 1.875 1	31331G2R9	1.8750	1.5324	03/26/10	12/07/12	50,000,000.00	543,422.08	-10,132.83	136,822.92	0.00	136,822.92	66,228.16	
42399	FCB 1.625 B	31331JAB9	1.6250	1.5877	04/16/10	12/24/12	50,000,000.00	301,277.78	-1,480.17	0.00	0.00	153,472.22	75,851.27	
42403	FCB 1.125 2	31331JLW1	1.1250	1.2269	04/29/10	04/26/12	74,370,000.00	-141,767.81	6,129.39	0.00	0.00	31,859.90	66,354.17	
42404	FCB 2.5NC3	31331JMA8	1.4700	1.5316	04/29/10	10/26/12	25,000,000.00	-34,437.50	1,234.90	0.00	0.00	26,093.52	25,375.00	
42407	FCB 1.74 6	31331JRD7	1.7400	1.7916	06/10/10	06/10/13	25,000,000.00	0.00	718.52	0.00	0.00	79,453.24	78,821.40	
42412	FCB 1.34 12	31331J5B0	1.3512	1.3625	06/17/10	12/17/12	150,000,000.00	0.00	631.84	0.00	0.00	30,609.41	216,877.50	
42414	FEDERAL FARM	31331GLL1	2.8000	2.8847	06/10/10	01/28/14	18,225,000.00	0.00	841.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	



INVESTMENT EARNINGS - JUNE 2010

June 30, 2010

City & County of San Francisco

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv. No.	Security Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Par Value	Beginning Prem/(Disc)	Accretion Income	Amortization Expense	Interest Received	Gain (Loss)	Cash Basis Earnings	Income Earned (Accrual Basis)	Accrued Interest
Inv Type: 28 FFCB															
42346	FHLMC 1.125	3128X9PW4	1.6537	1.4013	12/28/09	12/28/12	504,645,000.00	3,188,846.99	10,002.92	-137,622.32	391,190.97	52,500.00	443,690.97	564,730.94	1,508,402.13
42351	FHLMC Fixed	3128X9RH5	1.1250	1.1301	11/20/09	06/01/11	100,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	875,000.00	5,000.00	875,000.00	145,833.33	14,583.33
42356	FHLMC 1.125	3128X8P22	1.1250	.7120	02/25/10	02/25/13	28,600,000.00	179,470.72	-9,648.96	160,875.00	0.00	160,875.00	112,500.00	26,812.50	
42371	FHLMC 1.8 2	3128X9ZK9	1.8000	1.8000	05/18/10	05/18/12	75,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	337,500.00	0.00	337,500.00	90,000.00	472,500.00	
42377	FHLMC 1.8 03	3128X9S50	1.8000	1.8000	06/30/10	06/30/14	37,900,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	48,750.00	69,875.00	
42405	FHLMC 2NC1Y	3134G1DZ4	1.1700	1.1700	06/23/10	12/23/13	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22,222.22	22,222.22	
42408	FHLMC 2 12 2	3134G1GN8	2.0000	2.0000	06/10/10	01/15/12	20,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	-53,205.07	13,878.26	530,277.77	13,878.26	530,277.77	
42416	FHLMC 5.75 0	3134A4JT2	5.7500	1.0656	06/30/10	06/30/14	37,900,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,158.19	2,158.19	
42420	FHLMC 2.05 6	3134G1GX6	2.0500	2.0500	08/27/09	08/27/12	361,500,000.00	174,470.72	1,047.95	-62,854.03	1,654,625.00	5,000.00	1,659,625.00	501,770.09	1,138,429.01
Inv Type: 30 FHLMC Bonds															
42282	FHLB 1.5 3NC	3133XUM83	1.5000	1.5000	08/27/09	08/27/12	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,375.00	22,216.67	
42283	FHLB 1.5 3NC	3133XUM83	1.5000	1.5000	08/27/09	08/27/12	4,300,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32,536.33	92,862.50	
42318	FHLB 0.75 9	3133XUVP5	7.5000	.8072	10/20/09	09/29/11	48,450,000.00	-53,295.00	2,255.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	100,411.33	373,412.50	
Inv Type: 38 FHLB MULTI															
42354	FHLMC 3ncl f	3128X9DK3	9.492	1.0369	09/10/09	09/10/12	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	94,281.25	39,670.45	
42413	FHLMC 3ncl f	3128X9DK3	1.0369	1.0369	06/10/10	09/10/12	20,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	94,281.25	12,096.93	
Inv Type: 40 FHLMC FLOAT QTR															
42409	FHLMC MULTI	3134G1FQ2	2.0128	2.0182	06/24/10	06/24/15	20,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	19.17	0.00	0.00	7,846.79	7,827.62	
Inv Type: 46 FHLMC MULTI STEP															
42277	CD FIRST NAT	3128X9S50	2.0128	2.0182	07/31/09	07/31/10	20,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	19.17	0.00	0.00	7,846.79	7,827.62	
42316	UBOC PTD 0.7	3128X9S50	1.7500	1.7500	10/13/09	10/13/10	5,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,291.67	22,361.11	
42365	FIRST NATL P	3128X9S50	.7000	.7000	01/18/10	01/18/11	50,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,166.67	74,861.11	
42406	BANK OF SAN	3128X9S50	1.0000	1.0000	05/18/10	05/18/11	10,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,333.34	25,277.76	
Inv Type: 1010 PUBLIC TI															
42294	B of A CD 0.	3128X9S50	1.6500	1.6500	09/02/09	09/02/10	65,100,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	46,000.00	15,000.00	
Inv Type: 1012 COLLATERA															
42294	B of A CD 0.	3128X9S50	.7200	.7200	09/02/09	09/02/10	25,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	46,000.00	15,000.00	
Subtotal Fund 100															
							3,566,161,000.00	19,584,935.82	16,928.88	-838,589.16	9,302,774.45	10,740.00	9,313,514.45	9,869,357.52	10,506,698.81




INVESTMENT EARNINGS - JUNE 2010

June 30, 2010

City & County of San Francisco

Run Date: 7/21/2010 11:47:02 AM

Inv. No.	Security/Description	CUSIP	Coupon Rate	Yield to Maturity	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Par Value	Beginning Prem/(Disc)	Accretion Income	Amortization Expense	Interest Received	Gain (Loss)	Cash Basis Earnings	Income Earned (Accrual Basis)	Accrued Interest	
Fund: 9704 SFUSD BONDS 2006B																
42362	B 01 13 11	912795UX7	.0000	.3392				-61,516.00		0.00	24,843.00		24,843.00	1,521.00		
	Inv Type: 11 TREASURY BILLS							61,516.00		0.00	24,843.00		24,843.00	1,521.00		
42264	T 1.125 06.3	912828LFS	1.1250	.9622	07/21/09	06/30/11	30,000,000.00	93,750.00		-3,966.86	168,750.00		168,750.00	23,987.55	917.12	
42359	T 1.25 11 30	912828JS0	1.2500	.3726				156,250.00		-4,792.95	58,019.25		58,019.25	1,354.59		
	Inv Type: 12 TREASURY NOTES							250,000.00		-8,759.81	226,769.25		226,769.25	25,342.14	917.12	
42361	RF 2.75 12 1	7591EAAA1	2.7500	.3541				274,240.48		-7,416.47	16,251.82		16,251.82	359.16		
	Inv Type: 15 TREASURY LGP							274,240.48		-7,416.47	16,251.82		16,251.82	359.16		
42384	FHLB 1.42 fl	3133XXME4	1.4200	1.4511				-13,778.88	168.40	0.00	60,345.79		60,345.79	7,350.05		
	Inv Type: 22 FHLB							-13,778.88	168.40	0.00	60,345.79		60,345.79	7,350.05		
42159	FEDERAL FARM	31331GLL1	2.8000	2.8868				-72,900.00	401.21	0.00	206,769.33		206,769.33	13,158.71		
	Inv Type: 28 FFGB							-72,900.00	401.21	0.00	206,769.33		206,769.33	13,158.71		
42360	FHLMC 5.75 0	3134A4ITZ	5.7500	1.0569				1,855,220.00	0.00	-25,379.21	87,582.18		87,582.18	3,370.79		
	Inv Type: 30 FHLMC Bonds							1,855,220.00	0.00	-25,379.21	87,582.18		87,582.18	3,370.79		
42355	FHLMC 3incl f	3128X9DK3	.7543	.7543				0.00	0.00	0.00	37,712.50		37,712.50	3,771.25		
	Inv Type: 40 FHLMC FLOAT							0.00	0.00	0.00	37,712.50		37,712.50	3,771.25		
Subtotal Fund 9704								2,231,265.60	569.61	-41,555.49	660,273.87	0.00	660,273.87	54,873.10	917.12	
Total - All Funds								3,596,161,000.00	2,181,620,142	37,498.49	-880,124.65	9,963,048.32	10,740.00	9,973,788.32	3,924,230.62	10,507,615.93



DETAIL TRANSACTION REPORT - FIXED INCOME - JUNE 2010
City & County of San Francisco

June 30, 2010

Run Date: 7/20/2010 9:51:56 AM

TXN	Settlement Date	Inv#	Description	Investment Type	CUSIP	Par Value	Book Value	(Interest)	(Gain)/Loss	Prem	(Disc)	Amort/ (Accret)	Settlement Amount
-----	-----------------	------	-------------	-----------------	-------	-----------	------------	------------	-------------	------	--------	-----------------	-------------------

Fund: 100 POOLED FUNDS

CALL	06/12/2010	42350	FHLB 2.04.12.13 3NC	Agency	3133XXQR1	-100,000,000.00	-100,000,000.00	-333,333.33					100,333,333.33
CALL	06/25/2010	42372	FHLB 1.875 3NC3 FX	Agency	3133XXJ40	-50,000,000.00	-50,000,000.00	-234,375.00	46,760.00	-46,760.00			50,234,375.00
CALL	06/21/2010	42353	FNMA 3NC6 1.80% fx	Agency	31398AB50	-58,450,000.00	-58,496,760.00						58,450,000.00
CALL	06/18/2010	42370	FFCB 1.67 11 19 12	Agency	31331JDN0	-75,000,000.00	-74,947,500.00	-100,895.83	-52,500.00	52,500.00			75,100,895.83
CALL	06/25/2010	42377	FHLMC 1.8 03 25 13	Agency	3128X9S50	-75,000,000.00	-75,000,000.00	-337,500.00	-5,000.00	5,000.00			75,337,500.00
CALL	06/30/2010	42346	FHLMC 1.125 12 30 1	Agency	3128X9PW4	-50,000,000.00	-49,995,000.00	-1,006,104.16	-10,740.00	10,740.00			50,000,000.00
Total Call						-408,450,000.00	-408,439,260.00	-1,006,104.16	-10,740.00	10,740.00			409,456,104.16

MAT 06/10/2010 42386 B 6.10.10

MAT	06/10/2010	42386	B 6.10.10	Treasury	912795UT6	-200,000,000.00	-199,946,700.00	-53,300.00					200,000,000.00
Total Maturity						-200,000,000.00	-199,946,700.00	-53,300.00					200,000,000.00

PURC 06/25/2010 42410 FNMA 2.5 6 25 12

PURC	06/25/2010	42410	FNMA 2.5 6 25 12	Agency	3136FMA38	49,080,000.00	49,018,650.00				-61,350.00		-49,018,650.00
PURC	06/28/2010	42411	FNMA 1.7 6 28 13	Agency	3136FMB78	100,000,000.00	99,985,000.00				-15,000.00		-99,985,000.00
PURC	06/10/2010	42407	FFCB 1.74 6 10 13	Agency	31331JRD7	25,000,000.00	24,962,500.00				-37,500.00		-24,962,500.00
PURC	06/17/2010	42412	FFCB 1.34 12 17 201	Agency	31331J5B0	150,000,000.00	149,958,750.00				-41,250.00		-149,958,750.00
PURC	06/23/2010	42408	FHLMC 2.12 23 13	Agency	3134G1GN8	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00						-50,000,000.00
PURC	06/30/2010	42420	FHLMC 2.05 6 30 14	Agency	3134G1GX6	37,900,000.00	37,900,000.00				-5,000.00		-37,900,000.00
PURC	06/24/2010	42409	FHLMC MULTI STEP 2.	Agency	3134G1FQ2	20,000,000.00	19,995,000.00				-160,100.00		-19,995,000.00
Total Purchase						431,980,000.00	431,819,900.00				-160,100.00		-431,819,900.00

TRFI 06/10/2010 42415 T 1.25 11 30 10

TRFI	06/10/2010	42415	T 1.25 11 30 10	Treasury	912828J50	20,000,000.00	20,089,269.25						-20,089,269.25
TRFI	06/10/2010	42419	B 01 13 11	Treasury	912795UX7	18,000,000.00	17,963,327.00				-36,673.00		-17,963,327.00
TRFI	06/10/2010	42417	RF 2.75 12 10 10	TLGP	7591EAAA1	11,310,000.00	11,444,979.80						-11,444,979.80
TRFI	06/10/2010	42418	FHLB 1.42 fixed 2.5	Agency	3133XXME4	20,230,000.00	20,276,566.91						-20,276,566.91
TRFI	06/10/2010	42414	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT	Agency	31331GLL1	18,225,000.00	18,358,869.33						-18,358,869.33
TRFI	06/10/2010	42416	FHLMC 5.75 01 15 12	Agency	3134A4JT2	20,000,000.00	21,942,802.18						-21,942,802.18
TRFI	06/10/2010	42413	FHLMC 3mcl float st	Agency	3128X9DK3	20,000,000.00	20,000,000.00						-20,000,000.00
Total Transfer from Fund 9704						127,765,000.00	130,075,814.47						-130,075,814.47

ADJ 06/16/2010 42306 Union Bank TLGP Flo

ADJ	06/16/2010	42306	Union Bank TLGP Flo	Agency	905266AA0			-0.11					0.11
Total Adjustment								-0.11					0.11

INTR 06/15/2010 42352 T 1.125 12 15 11

INTR	06/15/2010	42352	T 1.125 12 15 11	Treasury	912828K47			-281,250.00					281,250.00
INTR	06/03/2010	42258	CITIGROUP FDG INC G	TLGP	17313YAC5			-312,500.00					312,500.00
INTR	06/03/2010	42259	CITIGROUP FDG INC G	TLGP	17313YAC5			-312,500.00					312,500.00



DETAIL TRANSACTION REPORT - FIXED INCOME - JUNE 2010
 City & County of San Francisco

June 30, 2010

Run Date: 7/20/2010 9:51:56 AM

TXN	Settlement Date	Inv#	Description	Investment Type	CUSIP	Par Value	Book Value	(Interest) (Gain) / Loss	Prem / (Disc)	Amort / (Accret)	Settlement Amount	
INTR	06/09/2010	42274	GE TLGP 3 12 09 11	TLGP	36967HAD9			-750,000.00			750,000.00	
INTR	06/15/2010	42165	J P MORGAN CHASE TL	TLGP	481247AK0			-275,000.00			275,000.00	
INTR	06/15/2010	42379	GS 3.25 06.15.12 TL	TLGP	38146FAA9	-437,847.22		-374,652.78			812,500.00	
INTR	06/15/2010	42401	JPM 2.2 06.15.2012	TLGP	481247AK0	-385,000.00		-165,000.00			550,000.00	
INTR	06/16/2010	42299	HSBC 3.125 12 16 11	TLGP	4042EPAA5			-781,250.00			781,250.00	
INTR	06/21/2010	42332	GE TLGP 2.125 12 21	TLGP	36967HAV9			-265,625.00			265,625.00	
INTR	06/22/2010	42177	BAC 2.375 06.22.12	TLGP	06050BAJ0			-593,750.00			593,750.00	
INTR	06/14/2010	42242	MORGAN STANLEY FDIC	Agency	61757UANO			-28,881.76			28,881.76	
INTR	06/16/2010	42306	Union Bank TLGP Flo	Agency	905266AA0			-29,209.25			29,209.25	
INTR	06/21/2010	42349	FHLB 1.85 12 21 12	Agency	3133XW6C8			-925,000.00			925,000.00	
INTR	06/21/2010	42353	FNMA 3NC6 1.80% fx	Agency	31398AB50			-526,050.00			526,050.00	
INTR	06/28/2010	42350	FNMA FIXED 1.75 3NC	Agency	31365JZT1			-875,000.00			875,000.00	
INTR	06/07/2010	42385	FFCB 1.875 12.07.12	Agency	31331G2R9	-210,052.08		-136,822.92			346,875.00	
INTR	06/24/2010	42399	FFCB 1.625 Bullet 1	Agency	31331JAB9	-252,777.78		-153,472.22			406,250.00	
INTR	06/01/2010	42356	FHLMC 1.125 6 1 11	Agency	3128X8P22			-160,875.00			160,875.00	
INTR	06/28/2010	42351	FHLMC Fixed 1.75 3N	Agency	3128X9RH5			-875,000.00			875,000.00	
INTR	06/30/2010	42346	FHLMC 1.125 12 30 1	Agency	3128X9PW4			-281,250.00			281,250.00	
INTR	06/10/2010	42354	FHLMC 3nc1 float st	Agency	3128X9DK3			-94,281.25			94,281.25	
INTR	06/02/2010	42294	B of A CD 0.72 09 0	Agency				-46,000.00			46,000.00	
Collateralized CDs												
								-1,285,677.08	-8,243,370.18			9,529,047.26
Total Interest												
Sub Total - Fund 100						-48,705,000.00	-47,775,922.61	-9,302,774.45	-10,740.00	-149,360.00	57,089,437.06	

TXN	Settlement Date	Inv#	Description	Investment Type	CUSIP	Par Value	Book Value	(Interest) (Gain) / Loss	Prem / (Disc)	Amort / (Accret)	Settlement Amount
AMRT	06/10/2010	42359	T 1.25 11 30 10	Treasury	912828J50		-73,811.35			73,811.35	
AMRT	06/10/2010	42361	RF 2.75 12 10 10	TLGP	7591EAAA1	-109,022.14		109,022.14			
AMRT	06/10/2010	42384	FHLB 1.42 fixed 2.5	Agency	3133XXME4	1,296.67		-1,296.67			
AMRT	06/10/2010	42159	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT	Agency	31331GLL1	19,659.33		-19,659.33			
AMRT	06/10/2010	42360	FHLMC 5.75 01 15 12	Agency	313444IT2	-375,612.26		375,612.26			
Total Amortization						-537,489.75	537,489.75				
FTR0	06/10/2010	42359	T 1.25 11 30 10	Treasury	912828J50		-20,082,438.65	-6,830.60	-82,438.65		20,089,269.25
FTR0	06/10/2010	42362	B 01 13 11	Treasury	912795UD7	-18,000,000.00	-17,938,484.00	-24,843.00	61,516.00		17,963,327.00
FTR0	06/10/2010	42361	RF 2.75 12 10 10	TLGP	7591EAAA1	-11,310,000.00	-11,444,979.80	-134,979.80	11,444,979.80		11,444,979.80
FTR0	06/10/2010	42384	FHLB 1.42 fixed 2.5	Agency	3133XXME4	-20,230,000.00	-20,215,921.87	-60,645.04	14,078.13		20,276,566.91
FTR0	06/10/2010	42159	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT	Agency	31331GLL1	-18,225,000.00	-18,171,759.33	-187,110.00	53,240.67		18,358,869.33
FTR0	06/10/2010	42360	FHLMC 5.75 01 15 12	Agency	313444IT2	-20,000,000.00	-21,479,607.74	-463,194.44	-1,479,607.74		21,942,802.18

Fund: 9704 SFUSD BONDS 2006B



DETAIL TRANSACTION REPORT - FIXED INCOME - JUNE 2010
 City & County of San Francisco


June 30, 2010

Run Date: 7/20/2010 9:51:56 AM

TXN	Settlement Date	Inv#	Description	Investment Type	CUSIP	Agency	Par Value	Book Value	(Interest)	(Gain) / Loss	Prem / (Disc)	Amort / (Accret)	Settlement Amount
FTRO	06/10/2010	42355	FHLMC 3mcl float st		3128X9DK3	Agency	-20,000,000.00	-20,000,000.00	-742,623.08		-1,568,191.39		20,000,000.00
Total Transfer to Fund 100													
INTR	06/01/2010	42359	T 1.25 11 30 10	Treasury	912828JSD		-26,785.71	-26,785.71	-98,214.29				125,000.00
INTR	06/30/2010	42264	T 1.125 06.30.11	Treasury	912828JFS		0.00	0.00	-168,750.00				168,750.00
INTR	06/10/2010	42361	RF 2.75 12 10 10	TLGP	7591EAAA1		-30,238.54	-30,238.54	-125,273.96				155,512.50
INTR	06/10/2010	42355	FHLMC 3mcl float st	Agency	3128X9DK3		0.00	0.00	-37,712.50				37,712.50
Total Interest													
							-57,024.25	-57,024.25	-429,950.75				486,975.00
Sub Total - Fund 9704							-127,765,000.00	-129,927,705.39	-1,172,573.83		-1,568,191.39	537,489.75	130,562,789.47
Total - All Funds							-176,470,000.00	-177,703,628.00	-10,475,348.28		-10,740.00	537,489.75	187,652,226.53

DOE hand delivered
to BOS-11

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
City and County of San Francisco
sfelections.org



John Arntz
Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG - 9 AM 11: 07

BY _____
AK

Memorandum

To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: August 9, 2010

RE: **Deadline: Thursday, August 12 - Disclaimer Requirements for Local Ballot Measures: Endorse, Oppose or Take No Position on a Measure** (Municipal Elections Code Section 500(c)(8)) *(REISSUED, with date correction on attachment)*

This is a final, follow-up reminder that the Department of Elections must print a disclaimer in the Voter Information Pamphlet before any proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument that has been authorized by motion by the Board of Supervisors and submitted by the Board of Supervisors or by one or more Members of the Board for or against any measure (Municipal Elections Code Section 500 (c) (8)). The disclaimer indicates which Supervisors endorse the measure, oppose the measure, or take no position on the measure.

Each Supervisor must notify the Department of Elections in writing of his or her position on each measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. For the November 2, 2010 election, the notification deadline is 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, August 12.

Please understand that, if a Supervisor has not submitted his or her position on each measure by this deadline, the Department of Elections will be required to print that the Supervisor takes no position on each measure for which the Board or an authorized Member will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. The Department has no discretion in this matter.

Enclosed please find a form you may use to indicate your position on a local ballot measure. The form is provided for your convenience. If you prefer, you may submit your written position in another format.

If you have any questions, please contact Barbara Carr at 554-6105.

11



**Submission of Disclaimer Requirements for Local Ballot Measures:
 "Support", "Opposition", or "Take No Position"**
 San Francisco Municipal Elections Code §500 (c) (8)

Deadline: 5 p.m. on Thursday, August 12, 2010

Please return to: San Francisco Department of Elections - City Hall, Room 48
 Or via fax to: 415-554-7344 Please call 415-554-4375 to confirm receipt.
Original must be filed with the Department of Elections within 48 hours of fax.

For the November 2, 2010 election, my position on each local ballot measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument is as follows:

Official title of proposition	Endorse	Oppose	Take No Position
B: City Retirement and Health Plans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Mayoral Appearances at Board Meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Non-Citizen Voting in School Board Elections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Election Day Voter Registration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Health Service Board Elections	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Local Elected Officials on Political Party Committees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
K: Hotel Tax Clarification and Definitions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
L: Sitting or Lying on Sidewalks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
M: Community Policing and Foot Patrols	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
N: Real Property Transfer Tax	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Submitted by: _____
 Printed Name

 Signature Date

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: August 9, 2010

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *AC*

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement:

Leslie Hilger – Assuming– Legislative Aide

12



2steppers@comcast.net

08/04/2010 06:41 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Plastic Bags

After watching one of the Board members pontificate about the evils of nasty plastic bags last night, I was moved to address the issue. The Board of Supervisors may think that they have beaten plastic bag users into submission but the revolt is underway. Friends and relatives who live in less punitive cities are collecting their plastic bags and smuggling them to much aggrieved city dwellers. A small victory against a Chavez-light city administration. SM

13



San Francisco International Airport

BOS-11
page

P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128
Tel 650.821.5000
Fax 650.821.5005
www.flysfo.com

July 26, 2010

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

SUBJECT: Annual Project Expenditure Report - Supplemental Appropriation

- AIRPORT COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
- GAVIN NEWSOM MAYOR
- LARRY MAZZOLA PRESIDENT
- LINDA S. CRAYTON VICE PRESIDENT
- CARYL ITO
- ELEANOR JOHNS
- RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME
- JOHN L. MARTIN AIRPORT DIRECTOR

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Ordinance No. 94-08, approved by the Board of Supervisors on May 20, 2008, provided the Airport with \$593.5 million in five-year appropriation authority for Capital Improvement Projects. Under the provisions of the ordinance, the Airport needs to submit an annual report on project expenditures using funds approved from the supplemental appropriation.

This letter reports on the Airport's cumulative expenditures since FY 2008/09 that correspond to the five-year supplemental appropriation. As of June 30, 2009, the Airport has expended \$179.8 million related to the supplemental appropriation. A majority of the expenditures are part of the Airport's \$383 million Terminal 2 renovation and rehabilitation project. This project is on schedule to be completed by spring 2011.

The financial summary submitted with this letter shows Capital Improvement Project expenditures for the two-year period beginning July 1, 2008 (FY 2008/09) and ending June 30, 2010 (FY 2009/10). Please let me know if you have any questions or comments on the information the Airport staff has provided.

Very truly yours,

John L. Martin
John L. Martin
Airport Director

BY _____ AK

2010 AUG -2 PM 3:36

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

Attachment



San Francisco International Airport
 Five-Year Capital Plan
 FY2008/09 Supplemental Appropriation - Annual Update for Capital Project Expenditure Report

	Five-Year Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Expenditures		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Remaining Balance		Five-Year Appropriation Remaining Balance	
	FY08/09 - FY12/13		FY08/09 - FY09/10		FY08/09 - FY09/10		FY08/09 - FY09/10		FY08/09 - FY09/10	
Supplemental Appropriation Group*										
1 - Environmental Improvements	\$ 4,008,806	\$	4,008,806	\$	375,000	\$	3,633,806	\$	3,633,806	\$
2 - Airfield Improvements - Runways and Taxiways	\$ 13,731,188	\$	4,501,263	\$	4,980,349	\$	(479,086)	\$	8,750,839	\$
3 - Airfield Capital Equipment	\$ 4,325,000	\$	2,505,000	\$	-	\$	2,505,000	\$	4,325,000	\$
4 - Airfield Improvements - EMAS / Runway Safety	\$ 12,299,975	\$	3,439,976	\$	124,852	\$	3,315,124	\$	12,175,123	\$
5 - Airfield Improvements - Perimeter Security	\$ 5,813,335	\$	2,063,334	\$	1,146,214	\$	917,120	\$	4,667,121	\$
6 - Airfield Improvements - Utilities	\$ 8,116,963	\$	3,744,887	\$	918,047	\$	2,826,840	\$	7,198,917	\$
7 - Safety & Security Improvements	\$ 4,455,000	\$	3,455,000	\$	1,224,148	\$	2,230,852	\$	3,230,852	\$
8 - Security Improvements	\$ 8,050,000	\$	8,050,000	\$	276,426	\$	7,773,574	\$	7,773,574	\$
9 - Airport Support Improvements	\$ 12,634,000	\$	10,928,000	\$	1,645,037	\$	9,282,963	\$	10,988,963	\$
10 - Upgrade Support Facilities and Infrastructure	\$ 9,500,000	\$	4,200,000	\$	-	\$	4,200,000	\$	9,500,000	\$
11 - Groundside Improvements - Viaduct Improvements	\$ 10,337,204	\$	6,315,800	\$	-	\$	6,315,800	\$	10,337,204	\$
12 - Groundside Improvements - Roadway Improvements	\$ 9,226,300	\$	3,200,000	\$	222,863	\$	2,977,137	\$	9,003,437	\$
13 - Parking Improvements	\$ 22,387,000	\$	12,975,000	\$	1,609,829	\$	11,365,171	\$	20,777,171	\$
14 - Terminal Improvements - T2 Boarding Area D	\$ 325,500,000	\$	322,500,000	\$	151,303,309	\$	171,196,691	\$	174,196,691	\$
15 - Terminal Safety Improvements	\$ 9,838,000	\$	7,455,000	\$	1,283,544	\$	6,171,456	\$	8,554,456	\$
16 - Terminal Facility Renovations	\$ 34,346,141	\$	26,143,375	\$	1,350,540	\$	24,792,835	\$	32,995,601	\$
17 - Telecommunication System Improvements	\$ 7,600,000	\$	2,800,000	\$	24,424	\$	2,775,576	\$	7,575,576	\$
18 - Water System Improvements	\$ 5,400,000	\$	2,700,000	\$	45,222	\$	2,654,778	\$	5,354,778	\$
19 - Central Plant Improvements	\$ 20,461,800	\$	9,168,800	\$	4,105,650	\$	5,063,150	\$	16,356,150	\$
20 - Storm Drain Improvements	\$ 8,390,000	\$	2,640,000	\$	30,408	\$	2,609,592	\$	8,359,592	\$
21 - Utility, Power and Lighting System Improvements	\$ 14,080,000	\$	5,580,000	\$	1,570,955	\$	4,009,045	\$	12,509,045	\$
22 - Wastewater System Improvements	\$ 42,990,250	\$	23,162,250	\$	7,553,408	\$	15,608,842	\$	35,436,842	\$
Totals	\$ 593,490,962	\$	471,536,491	\$	179,790,225	\$	291,746,266	\$	413,700,737	\$

* The Supplemental Appropriation (Ordinance No. 94-08) established 22 groups to appropriate \$593.5 million.

San Francisco International Airport
 Five-Year Capital Plan
 FY2008/09 Supplemental Appropriation - Annual Update for Capital Project Expenditure Report

	Five-Year		Five-Year		Five-Year	
	Appropriations (FY08/09 - FY12/13)	FY08/09 - FY09/10 Appropriations	FY08/09 - FY09/10 Expenditures	FY08/09 - FY09/10 Remaining Balance	FY08/09 - FY09/10 Remaining Balance	Appropriation Remaining Balance
Supplemental Appropriation Group*						
1 - Environmental Improvements	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 375,000	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806
2 - Airfield Improvements - Runways and Taxiways	\$ 13,731,188	\$ 4,501,263	\$ 4,980,349	\$ (479,086)	\$ 8,750,839	\$ 8,750,839
3 - Airfield Capital Equipment	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 2,505,000	\$ -	\$ 2,505,000	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 4,325,000
4 - Airfield Improvements - EMAS / Runway Safety	\$ 12,299,975	\$ 3,439,976	\$ 124,852	\$ 3,315,124	\$ 12,175,123	\$ 12,175,123
5 - Airfield Improvements - Perimeter Security	\$ 5,813,335	\$ 2,063,334	\$ 1,146,214	\$ 917,120	\$ 4,667,121	\$ 4,667,121
6 - Airfield Improvements - Utilities	\$ 8,116,963	\$ 3,744,887	\$ 918,047	\$ 2,826,840	\$ 7,198,917	\$ 7,198,917
7 - Safety & Security Improvements	\$ 4,455,000	\$ 3,455,000	\$ 1,224,148	\$ 2,230,852	\$ 3,230,852	\$ 3,230,852
8 - Security Improvements	\$ 8,050,000	\$ 8,050,000	\$ 276,426	\$ 7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574
9 - Airport Support Improvements	\$ 12,634,000	\$ 10,928,000	\$ 1,645,037	\$ 9,282,963	\$ 10,988,963	\$ 10,988,963
10 - Upgrade Support Facilities and Infrastructure	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 4,200,000	\$ -	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 9,500,000
11 - Groundside Improvements - Viaduct Improvements	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 6,315,800	\$ -	\$ 6,315,800	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 10,337,204
12 - Groundside Improvements - Roadway Improvements	\$ 9,226,300	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 222,863	\$ 2,977,137	\$ 9,003,437	\$ 9,003,437
13 - Parking Improvements	\$ 22,387,000	\$ 12,975,000	\$ 1,609,829	\$ 11,365,171	\$ 20,777,171	\$ 20,777,171
14 - Terminal Improvements - T2 Boarding Area D	\$ 325,500,000	\$ 322,500,000	\$ 151,303,309	\$ 171,196,691	\$ 174,196,691	\$ 174,196,691
15 - Terminal Safety Improvements	\$ 9,838,000	\$ 7,455,000	\$ 1,283,544	\$ 6,171,456	\$ 8,554,456	\$ 8,554,456
16 - Terminal Facility Renovations	\$ 34,346,141	\$ 26,143,375	\$ 1,350,540	\$ 24,792,835	\$ 32,995,601	\$ 32,995,601
17 - Telecommunication System Improvements	\$ 7,600,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 24,424	\$ 2,775,576	\$ 7,575,576	\$ 7,575,576
18 - Water System Improvements	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 45,222	\$ 2,654,778	\$ 5,354,778	\$ 5,354,778
19 - Central Plant Improvements	\$ 20,461,800	\$ 9,168,800	\$ 4,105,650	\$ 5,063,150	\$ 16,356,150	\$ 16,356,150
20 - Storm Drain Improvements	\$ 8,390,000	\$ 2,640,000	\$ 30,408	\$ 2,609,592	\$ 8,359,592	\$ 8,359,592
21 - Utility, Power and Lighting System Improvements	\$ 14,080,000	\$ 5,580,000	\$ 1,570,955	\$ 4,009,045	\$ 12,509,045	\$ 12,509,045
22 - Wastewater System Improvements	\$ 42,990,250	\$ 23,162,250	\$ 7,553,408	\$ 15,608,842	\$ 35,436,842	\$ 35,436,842
Totals	\$ 593,490,962	\$ 471,536,491	\$ 179,790,225	\$ 291,746,266	\$ 413,700,737	\$ 413,700,737

* The Supplemental Appropriation (Ordinance No. 94-08) established 22 groups to appropriate \$593.5 million.

San Francisco International Airport
 Five-Year Capital Plan
 FY2008/09 Supplemental Appropriation - Annual Update for Capital Project Expenditure Report

Supplemental Appropriation Group*	Five-Year Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Expenditures		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Remaining Balance		Five-Year Appropriation Remaining Balance	
	(FY08/09 - FY12/13)									
1 - Environmental Improvements	\$ 4,008,806	\$	4,008,806	\$	375,000	\$	3,633,806	\$	3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806
2 - Airfield Improvements - Runways and Taxiways	\$ 13,731,188	\$	4,501,263	\$	4,980,349	\$	(479,086)	\$	8,750,839	\$ 8,750,839
3 - Airfield Capital Equipment	\$ 4,325,000	\$	2,505,000	\$	-	\$	2,505,000	\$	4,325,000	\$ 4,325,000
4 - Airfield Improvements - EMAS / Runway Safety	\$ 12,299,975	\$	3,439,976	\$	124,852	\$	3,315,124	\$	12,175,123	\$ 12,175,123
5 - Airfield Improvements - Perimeter Security	\$ 5,813,335	\$	2,063,334	\$	1,146,214	\$	917,120	\$	4,667,121	\$ 4,667,121
6 - Airfield Improvements - Utilities	\$ 8,116,963	\$	3,744,887	\$	918,047	\$	2,826,840	\$	7,198,917	\$ 7,198,917
7 - Safety & Security Improvements	\$ 4,455,000	\$	3,455,000	\$	1,224,148	\$	2,230,852	\$	3,230,852	\$ 3,230,852
8 - Security Improvements	\$ 8,050,000	\$	8,050,000	\$	276,426	\$	7,773,574	\$	7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574
9 - Airport Support Improvements	\$ 12,634,000	\$	10,928,000	\$	1,645,037	\$	9,282,963	\$	10,988,963	\$ 10,988,963
10 - Upgrade Support Facilities and Infrastructure	\$ 9,500,000	\$	4,200,000	\$	-	\$	4,200,000	\$	9,500,000	\$ 9,500,000
11 - Groundside Improvements - Viaduct Improvements	\$ 10,337,204	\$	6,315,800	\$	-	\$	6,315,800	\$	10,337,204	\$ 10,337,204
12 - Groundside Improvements - Roadway Improvements	\$ 9,226,300	\$	3,200,000	\$	222,863	\$	2,977,137	\$	9,003,437	\$ 9,003,437
13 - Parking Improvements	\$ 22,387,000	\$	12,975,000	\$	1,609,829	\$	11,365,171	\$	20,777,171	\$ 20,777,171
14 - Terminal Improvements - T2 Boarding Area D	\$ 325,500,000	\$	322,500,000	\$	151,303,309	\$	171,196,691	\$	174,196,691	\$ 174,196,691
15 - Terminal Safety Improvements	\$ 9,838,000	\$	7,455,000	\$	1,283,544	\$	6,171,456	\$	8,554,456	\$ 8,554,456
16 - Terminal Facility Renovations	\$ 34,346,141	\$	26,143,375	\$	1,350,540	\$	24,792,835	\$	32,995,601	\$ 32,995,601
17 - Telecommunication System Improvements	\$ 7,600,000	\$	2,800,000	\$	24,424	\$	2,775,576	\$	7,575,576	\$ 7,575,576
18 - Water System Improvements	\$ 5,400,000	\$	2,700,000	\$	45,222	\$	2,654,778	\$	5,354,778	\$ 5,354,778
19 - Central Plant Improvements	\$ 20,461,800	\$	9,168,800	\$	4,105,650	\$	5,063,150	\$	16,356,150	\$ 16,356,150
20 - Storm Drain Improvements	\$ 8,390,000	\$	2,640,000	\$	30,408	\$	2,609,592	\$	8,359,592	\$ 8,359,592
21 - Utility, Power and Lighting System Improvements	\$ 14,080,000	\$	5,580,000	\$	1,570,955	\$	4,009,045	\$	12,509,045	\$ 12,509,045
22 - Wastewater System Improvements	\$ 42,990,250	\$	23,162,250	\$	7,553,408	\$	15,608,842	\$	35,436,842	\$ 35,436,842
Totals	\$ 593,490,962	\$	471,536,491	\$	179,790,225	\$	291,746,266	\$	413,700,737	\$ 413,700,737

* The Supplemental Appropriation (Ordinance No. 94-08) established 22 groups to appropriate \$593.5 million.

San Francisco International Airport
 Five-Year Capital Plan
 FY2008/09 Supplemental Appropriation - Annual Update for Capital Project Expenditure Report

	Five-Year Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Appropriations		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Expenditures		FY08/09 - FY09/10 Remaining Balance		Five-Year Appropriation Remaining Balance	
	FY08/09 - FY12/13	FY09/10	FY08/09	FY09/10	FY08/09	FY09/10	FY08/09	FY09/10	FY08/09	FY09/10
Supplemental Appropriation Group*										
1 - Environmental Improvements	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 4,008,806	\$ 375,000	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806	\$ 3,633,806
2 - Airfield Improvements - Runways and Taxiways	\$ 13,731,188	\$ 4,501,263	\$ 4,501,263	\$ 4,501,263	4,980,349	(479,086)	\$ 8,750,839	\$ 8,750,839	\$ 8,750,839	\$ 8,750,839
3 - Airfield Capital Equipment	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 2,505,000	\$ 2,505,000	\$ 2,505,000	-	2,505,000	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 4,325,000	\$ 4,325,000
4 - Airfield Improvements - EMAS / Runway Safety	\$ 12,299,975	\$ 3,439,976	\$ 3,439,976	\$ 3,439,976	124,852	3,315,124	\$ 12,175,123	\$ 12,175,123	\$ 12,175,123	\$ 12,175,123
5 - Airfield Improvements - Perimeter Security	\$ 5,813,335	\$ 2,063,334	\$ 2,063,334	\$ 2,063,334	1,146,214	917,120	\$ 4,667,121	\$ 4,667,121	\$ 4,667,121	\$ 4,667,121
6 - Airfield Improvements - Utilities	\$ 8,116,963	\$ 3,744,887	\$ 3,744,887	\$ 3,744,887	918,047	2,826,840	\$ 7,198,917	\$ 7,198,917	\$ 7,198,917	\$ 7,198,917
7 - Safety & Security Improvements	\$ 4,455,000	\$ 3,455,000	\$ 3,455,000	\$ 3,455,000	1,224,148	2,230,852	\$ 3,230,852	\$ 3,230,852	\$ 3,230,852	\$ 3,230,852
8 - Security Improvements	\$ 8,050,000	\$ 8,050,000	\$ 8,050,000	\$ 8,050,000	276,426	7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574	\$ 7,773,574
9 - Airport Support Improvements	\$ 12,634,000	\$ 10,928,000	\$ 10,928,000	\$ 10,928,000	1,645,037	9,282,963	\$ 10,988,963	\$ 10,988,963	\$ 10,988,963	\$ 10,988,963
10 - Upgrade Support Facilities and Infrastructure	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 4,200,000	-	4,200,000	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 9,500,000	\$ 9,500,000
11 - Groundside Improvements - Viaduct Improvements	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 6,315,800	\$ 6,315,800	\$ 6,315,800	-	6,315,800	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 10,337,204	\$ 10,337,204
12 - Groundside Improvements - Roadway Improvements	\$ 9,226,300	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 3,200,000	222,863	2,977,137	\$ 9,003,437	\$ 9,003,437	\$ 9,003,437	\$ 9,003,437
13 - Parking Improvements	\$ 22,387,000	\$ 12,975,000	\$ 12,975,000	\$ 12,975,000	1,609,829	11,365,171	\$ 20,777,171	\$ 20,777,171	\$ 20,777,171	\$ 20,777,171
14 - Terminal Improvements - T2 Boarding Area D	\$ 325,500,000	\$ 322,500,000	\$ 322,500,000	\$ 322,500,000	151,303,309	171,196,691	\$ 174,196,691	\$ 174,196,691	\$ 174,196,691	\$ 174,196,691
15 - Terminal Safety Improvements	\$ 9,838,000	\$ 7,455,000	\$ 7,455,000	\$ 7,455,000	1,283,544	6,171,456	\$ 8,554,456	\$ 8,554,456	\$ 8,554,456	\$ 8,554,456
16 - Terminal Facility Renovations	\$ 34,346,141	\$ 26,143,375	\$ 26,143,375	\$ 26,143,375	1,350,540	24,792,835	\$ 32,995,601	\$ 32,995,601	\$ 32,995,601	\$ 32,995,601
17 - Telecommunication System Improvements	\$ 7,600,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000	24,424	2,775,576	\$ 7,575,576	\$ 7,575,576	\$ 7,575,576	\$ 7,575,576
18 - Water System Improvements	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 2,700,000	\$ 2,700,000	45,222	2,654,778	\$ 5,354,778	\$ 5,354,778	\$ 5,354,778	\$ 5,354,778
19 - Central Plant Improvements	\$ 20,461,800	\$ 9,168,800	\$ 9,168,800	\$ 9,168,800	4,105,650	5,063,150	\$ 16,356,150	\$ 16,356,150	\$ 16,356,150	\$ 16,356,150
20 - Storm Drain Improvements	\$ 8,390,000	\$ 2,640,000	\$ 2,640,000	\$ 2,640,000	30,408	2,609,592	\$ 8,359,592	\$ 8,359,592	\$ 8,359,592	\$ 8,359,592
21 - Utility, Power and Lighting System Improvements	\$ 14,080,000	\$ 5,580,000	\$ 5,580,000	\$ 5,580,000	1,570,955	4,009,045	\$ 12,509,045	\$ 12,509,045	\$ 12,509,045	\$ 12,509,045
22 - Wastewater System Improvements	\$ 42,990,250	\$ 23,162,250	\$ 23,162,250	\$ 23,162,250	7,553,408	15,608,842	\$ 35,436,842	\$ 35,436,842	\$ 35,436,842	\$ 35,436,842
Totals	\$ 593,490,962	\$ 471,536,491	\$ 471,536,491	\$ 471,536,491	179,790,225	291,746,266	\$ 413,700,737	\$ 413,700,737	\$ 413,700,737	\$ 413,700,737

* The Supplemental Appropriation (Ordinance No. 94-08) established 22 groups to appropriate \$593.5 million.



Amy L. Brown
Director of Real Estate

MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 30, 2010
TO: Human Rights Commission
FROM: John Updike, Acting Director, Real Estate Division
SUBJECT: Request for Waiver from Chapter 12B and 14B for Provision of Escrow Services Chicago Title Company

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -2 PM 3:34
BY AK

We are slated to close escrow on the purchase of property on behalf of the PUC on August 5, 2010 through Chicago Title Company. Chicago Title Company is one of three title companies on the Real Estate Division's approved panel of escrow companies, following a competitive selection process in 2008 (the panel expires in 2012). None of the three companies on the panel (the other two being Stewart Title Guaranty Company and First American Title Insurance Company) are 12B or 14B compliant.

We are working with Chicago Title Company to gain compliance with Chapter 12B, the company most willing of the three to make an effort in this regard. I am hopeful this can be the year we secure compliance, although I know that this effort has been on-going for many years.

Please approve the attached Waiver Request Form for this particular closing of escrow wherein Chicago Title Company would be due fees for services in the amount of \$2,525. Note that the actual payment will be in the amount of \$127,225, which is inclusive of the amount owed the property owner for the purchase of their property in Patterson, California. The purchase itself is authorized pursuant to PUC Resolution 09-0119.

Please call me at the number below if you have any questions at all on this matter.

attachments: Waiver Request Form
Closing Statement (2 pages)
PUC Resolution 09-0119

c: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

15



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]
 Name of Department: Real Estate (on behalf of CDC as purchaser)
 Department Address: 25 Van Ness, #400, SF, CA 94102
 Contact Person: John Uddike
 Phone Number: 554-9860 Fax Number: 559-9216

► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Chicago Title Company Contact Person: Tyson Micklebost, Commercial Escrow Associate
 Contractor Address: 455 Market Street, Suite 2100, SF, CA 94105
 Vendor Number (if known): _____ Contact Phone No.: 415-291-5109

► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 7/30/10 Type of Contract: Escrow Services - Professional Services
 Contract Start Date: 8/5/10 End Date: 8/5/10 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$2,525.02

► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 7/30/10
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____
DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____



Chicago Title Company

455 Market Street, Suite 2100, San Francisco, CA 94105 415-291-5118 FAX 415-896-9423

BUYER'S ESTIMATED CLOSING STATEMENT

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Escrow No.: 160300390
Closing Date: August 5, 2010
Property Address: PORTION OF 016-009-021, PATTERSON, CA

	DEBIT	CREDIT
Purchase Price	\$ 124,700.00	
Initial Earnest Money Deposit		
TITLE PREMIUM/FEES:		
CLTA Owner's Policy in the amount of \$1,000	\$ 750.00	
Work Charge	\$ 850.00	
Coordination Fee	\$ 500.00	
Document Prep fee	\$ 100.00	
Miscellaneous Charges		
Estimated Recording Fees 200.00		
Estimated misc fees, doc prep, messenger, copy fee 125.00		
Total	\$ 325.00	
SUBTOTALS	\$ 127,225.00	\$ -
Funds due		\$ 127,225.00
TOTALS	\$ 127,225.00	\$ 127,225.00

Read and Approved on _____ day of _____, _____

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

By: _____
Name:
Its:



Chicago Title Company

455 Market Street, Suite 2100, San Francisco, CA 94105 415-291-5118 FAX 415-896-9423

SELLER'S ESTIMATED CLOSING STATEMENT

R.J.M. VINEYARDS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

Escrow No.: 160300390
Closing Date: August 5, 2010
Property Address: PORTION OF 016-009-021, PATTERSON, CA

	DEBIT	CREDIT
SALES PRICE (land \$1,000 personal \$\$99,200, compensation \$24,500)		\$ 124,700.00
<i>Transfer Tax @ \$1.10 PER \$1,000</i>	EXEMPT	
SUBTOTALS	\$ -	\$ 124,700.00
NET PROCEEDS DUE SELLER	\$ 124,700.00	
TOTALS	\$ 124,700.00	\$ 124,700.00

Read and Approved on _____ day of _____, _____

R.J.M. VINEYARDS, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

By: _____
Name:

By: _____
Name:

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City and County of San Francisco

RESOLUTION NO. 09-0119

WHEREAS, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC") staff have developed a project description for Project No. CUW37301 San Joaquin Pipeline System Project ("SJPL" or "Project") under the Water System Improvement Program ("WSIP") for the improvements to the regional water supply system, and

WHEREAS, The objectives of the Project are to provide for a SJPL System flow of 313 million gallons per day (mgd) with all pipelines in service, and an average flow of 271 mgd when any one segment of the system is taken out of service for maintenance or repairs, or during an emergency, and to meet current customer demands as well as replenish local reservoirs to allow for maintenance activities and for the capability of removing any second pipeline segment from service, after any other segment has already been removed from service for maintenance, without discontinuing deliveries; and

WHEREAS, On July 9, 2009, the Planning Commission reviewed and considered the Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") in Planning Department File No. 2007.0118E, consisting of the Draft EIR, the Comments and Responses document (including a Supplement to the Comments and Responses Document) and an Errata Sheet dated July 1, 2009, and found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and found further that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate, and objective, and that the Comments and Responses document contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and certified the completion of said Final EIR in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines in its Motion No. 17198; and

WHEREAS, This Commission has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Final EIR, all written and oral information provided by the Planning Department, the public, relevant public agencies, SFPUC, and other experts and the administrative files for the Project and the EIR; and

WHEREAS, The Project and Final EIR files have been made available for review by the SFPUC and the public, in File No. 2007.0118E, at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, and those files are part of the record before this Commission; and

WHEREAS, SFPUC staff prepared proposed findings, as required by CEQA, ("CEQA Findings") and a proposed Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program ("MMRP"), which material was made available to the public and this Commission for the Commission's review, consideration and action; and

WHEREAS, The Project is a capital improvement project approved by this Commission as part of the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP); and

WHEREAS, A Final Program EIR (PEIR) was prepared for the WSIP and certified by the Planning Commission on October 30, 2008 by Motion No. 17734; and

WHEREAS, Thereafter, this Commission approved the WSIP and adopted findings and a MMRP as required by CEQA on October 30, 2008 by Resolution No. 08-200; and

WHEREAS, The Final EIR prepared for the Project is tiered from the PEIR, as authorized by and in accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, The PEIR has been made available for review by the SFPUC and the public, and is part of the record before this Commission; and

WHEREAS, The SFPUC staff will comply with Government Code Section 7260 *et seq.* statutory procedures for possible acquisition of interests in real property (temporary or permanent) in: (1) Assessor's Parcel #010-015-062 owned by Ardis Family Partnership, (2) Assessor's Parcel #010-041-026 owned by Emilio Moran, (3) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-014 owned by Frank M. Bettencourt, (4) Assessor's Parcel #016-009-008 owned by Henry Bettencourt, (5) Assessor's Parcel #255-070-08 owned by Clifford W. & Onalee J. Koster, (6) Assessor's Parcel #253-280-03 owned by Triangle Properties, Inc., (7) Assessor's Parcel #011-013-006 owned by Willms Ranch, LLC, (8) Assessor's Parcel #011-013-011 owned by Willms Ranch, LLC, (9) Assessor's Parcel #011-001-028 owned by Richard B. and Alida C. Ardis, (10) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-017 owned by James W. Lopes, (11) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-018 owned by James W. Lopes, (12) Assessor's Parcel # 016-002-044 owned by James W. Lopes, (13) Assessor's Parcel #253-170-05 owned by Garry & Christine DeWolfe, (14) Assessor's Parcel #253-17-06-05 owned by Garry & Christine DeWolfe, (15) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-048 owned by Angela Bogetti-Dumlao, (16) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-012 owned by Gary Oosterkamp, (17) Assessor's Parcel #016-022-014 owned by Frank M. Bettencourt, and (18) Assessor's Parcel #253-270-24 owned by Tracy Golf and Country Club. The total combined purchase price of all such possible acquisitions is estimated to not exceed \$700,000; and

WHEREAS, The Project work is primarily located within the City-owned SFPUC right of way, however, several private or public property owners hold easement interests in portions of the right of way, including El Solyo Water District, Shell Oil, Standard/Chevron Oil, and California Department of Water Resources (California Aqueduct) and it may be necessary for the Project for the General Manager to negotiate and enter into agreements with respect to those easement interests; and

WHEREAS, SFPUC has issued leases, permits, or licenses to certain parties, to use for various purposes, portions of City-owned property along the SFPUC right of way where the Project work will occur, and in some instances, there is apparent use of City-owned property by other parties for which there is no evidence of SFPUC authorization, and it may be necessary for the Project for the General Manager to (a) exercise rights under any such deed, lease, permit, or license or (b) negotiate and execute new or amended lease, permit, license, or encroachment removal agreements (each, a "Use Instrument") with owners or occupiers of property interests on, or adjacent to, City property, including West Stanislaus Irrigation District, Blewett Mutual Water District, PAR Country Estates, Chevron/Getty/Tide Water Oil, Standard/Chevron Oil, and Tracy Golf and Country Club, or other property owners or occupiers of land on, or adjacent to, the SFPUC right of way; and

WHEREAS, The Project will require the SFPUC to obtain various necessary permits and encroachment permits from San Joaquin and Stanislaus Counties, which permits shall be consistent with SFPUC existing fee or easement interests, where applicable, and will include terms and conditions including, but not limited to, maintenance, repair, and relocation of improvements and, possibly, indemnity obligations; and

WHEREAS, The SFPUC intends to obtain a permit or enter into an agreement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for intermittent discharge (e.g., maintenance, emergency) of water from the Pelican Crossover facility to San Joaquin National Wildlife Refuge facilities; and

WHEREAS, The Project will require the SFPUC to augment or amend its existing easement rights, with respect to the proposed fourth pipeline crossing of Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) land at UPRR milepost 93.61 in San Joaquin County, and, pending such amendment, enter into a pipeline crossing agreement with respect to such location with UPRR; and

WHEREAS, The Project will require the SFPUC to obtain a permanent easement from Stanislaus County across River Road in Stanislaus County; and

WHEREAS, Implementation of the Project mitigation measures will involve consultation with, or required approvals by, state and federal regulatory agencies, including but not limited to the following: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, California Department of Transportation, State Historic Preservation Officer, California Department of Fish and Game, and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, This Commission has reviewed and considered the Final EIR, finds that the Final EIR is adequate for its use as the decision-making body for the actions taken herein, and hereby adopts the CEQA Findings, including the Statement of Overriding Considerations, attached hereto as Attachment A and incorporated herein as part of this Resolution by this reference thereto, and adopts the MMRP attached to this Resolution as Attachment B and ~~incorporated herein as part of this Resolution by this reference thereto, and authorizes a request~~ to the Board of Supervisors to adopt the same CEQA Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations and MMRP; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby approves Project No. CUW37301, San Joaquin Pipeline System Project, and authorizes staff to proceed with actions necessary to implement the Project; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to undertake the process, in compliance with Government Code Section 7260 et seq., with the San Francisco Charter and all applicable laws, for possible acquisition of interests in real property (temporary or permanent) in: 1) Assessor's Parcel #010-015-062 owned by Ardis Family Partnership, (2) Assessor's Parcel #010-041-026 owned by Emilio Moran, (3) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-014 owned by Frank M. Bettencourt, (4) Assessor's Parcel #016-009-008 owned by Henry Bettencourt, (5) Assessor's Parcel #255-070-08 owned by Clifford W. & Onalee J. Koster, (6) Assessor's Parcel #253-280-03 owned by Triangle Properties, Inc. (7) Assessor's Parcel #011-013-006 owned by Willms Ranch, LLC, (8) Assessor's Parcel #011-013-011 owned by Willms Ranch, LLC, (9) Assessor's Parcel #011-001-028 owned by Richard B. and Alida C. Ardis, (10) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-017 owned by James W. Lopes, (11) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-018 owned by James W. Lopes, (12) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-044 owned by James W. Lopes, (13) Assessor's Parcel #253-170-05 owned by Garry & Christine DeWolfe, (14) Assessor's Parcel #253-17-06-05 owned by Garry & Christine DeWolfe, (15) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-048 owned by Angela Bogetti-Dumlao, (16) Assessor's Parcel #016-002-012 owned by Gary Oosterkamp, (17) Assessor's Parcel #016-022-014 owned by Frank M. Bettencourt, and (18) Assessor's Parcel #253-270-24 owned by Tracy Golf and Country Club, and to work with the Director of Real Estate to seek Board of Supervisors' approval of, and if approved, to accept and execute final agreements, and any other related documents necessary to consummate the transactions contemplated therein, in such form approved by the City Attorney; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager to negotiate and subject to any applicable approvals, enter into agreements, if necessary for the Project, with parties holding existing easement interests on City property, including El Solyo Water District, Shell Oil, Standard/Chevron Oil, and California Department of Water Resources

(California Aqueduct) in a form that the General Manager determines is in the public interest and is acceptable, necessary, and advisable to effectuate the purposes and intent of this Resolution, and in compliance with the Charter and all applicable laws, and in such form approved by the City Attorney; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager to exercise any right as necessary under any Use Instrument and negotiate and execute new or amended Use Instruments, if necessary for the Project, with owners or occupiers of property interests on, or adjacent to, the SFPUC right of way, including West Stanislaus Irrigation District, Blewett Mutual Water District, PAR Country Estates, Chevron/Getty/Tide Water Oil, Standard/Chevron Oil, and Tracy Golf and Country Club, in a form that the General Manager determines is in the public interest and is acceptable, necessary, and advisable to effectuate the purposes and intent of this Resolution, and in compliance with the Charter and all applicable laws, and in such form approved by the City Attorney; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager, or his designee, to apply for and execute various necessary permits and encroachment permits with the San Joaquin and Stanislaus Counties, which permits shall be consistent with SFPUC's existing fee or easement interests, where applicable. To the extent that the terms and conditions of the permits will require SFPUC to indemnify the respective jurisdictions, those indemnity obligations are subject to review and approval by the San Francisco Risk Manager. The General Manager is authorized to agree to such terms and conditions, including but not limited to those relating to maintenance, repair, and relocation of improvements, that are in the public interest, and, in the judgment of the General Manager, in consultation with the City Attorney, are reasonable and appropriate for the scope and duration of the requested use as necessary for the Project; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to negotiate and, if necessary, to seek Board of Supervisors' approval of, and, if approved, accept and execute a permit or agreement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service with respect to intermittent discharge of water into San Joaquin National Wildlife Refuge facilities, in such form approved by the City Attorney. To the extent that the terms and conditions will require SFPUC to indemnify other parties, those indemnity obligations are subject to review and approval by the San Francisco Risk Manager. The General Manager is authorized to agree to such terms and conditions that are in the public interest, and, in the judgment of the General Manager, in consultation with the City Attorney, are reasonable and appropriate for the scope and duration of the requested use as necessary for the Project; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to negotiate and seek Board of Supervisors' approval of, and if approved, to accept and execute an amended easement deed and, pending such acceptance and execution, a pipeline crossing agreement and any other related documents necessary to consummate the transactions contemplated therein, with UPRR, in such form approved by the City Attorney, with respect to the proposed pipeline crossing at UPRR milepost 93.61 in San Joaquin County; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission hereby authorizes the SFPUC General Manager to undertake the process, in compliance with Government Code Section 7260 *et seq.*, the San Francisco Charter, and all applicable laws, to seek Board of Supervisors' approval of, and if approved, to accept and execute an easement deed and any other related documents necessary to consummate the transactions contemplated therein, in such form approved by the City Attorney, for acquisition of a permanent easement from Stanislaus County across River Road in Stanislaus County; and be it


FURTHER RESOLVED, The General Manager will confer with the Commission during the negotiation process on real estate agreements as necessary, and report to the Commission on all agreements submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager to consult with, or apply for, and, if necessary, seek Board of Supervisors' approval, and if approved, to accept and execute permits or required approvals by state and federal regulatory agencies, including but not limited to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, California Department of Transportation, State Historic Preservation Officer, California Department of Fish and Game, and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, including terms and conditions that are within the lawful authority of the agency to impose, in the public interest, and, in the judgment of the General Manager, in consultation with the City Attorney, are reasonable and appropriate for the scope and duration of the requested permit or approval, as necessary for the Project; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager to work with the Director of Real Estate to seek Board approval, and if approved, to accept and execute the real property agreements authorized herein; and be it

~~FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission authorizes the General Manager to enter into any subsequent additions, amendments, or other modifications to the permits, licenses, encroachment removal agreements, pipeline crossing agreements, leases, easements, and other real property agreements, or amendments thereto, as described herein, that the General Manager, in consultation with the Commercial Land Manager and the City Attorney, determines are in the best interests of the SFPUC and the City, do not materially decrease the benefits to the SFPUC or the City, and do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the SFPUC or the City, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery of any such additions, amendments, or other modifications.~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting of July 14, 2009


Secretary, Public Utilities Commission

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
City and County of San Francisco
www.sfelections.org



JOHN ARNTZ
Director

BOS-11, page
COB

HAND DELIVERED

August 2, 2010

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG - 2 PM 4: 53
BY _____
AK

ANGELA CALVILLO, CLERK OF THE BOARD
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102


RE: CERTIFICATION FOR THE **PETITION FOR EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS TO RETIREMENT AND HEALTH PLANS INITIATIVE PETITION.**

Enclosed is a copy of the letter sent to the proponent of the above named petition, certifying that the petition did contain sufficient valid signatures to qualify for the upcoming November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco.

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (415) 554-5665.

Sincerely,

John Arntz
Director of Elections

By: 
Deborah Brown
Voter Services Manager

Encl.: Copy of Certified letter to Proponent

Cc: Honorable Gavin Newsom; Mayor
John Arntz, Director of Elections
Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

16

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
City and County of San Francisco
www.sfelections.org



JOHN ARNTZ
Director

BY _____
ALC

2010 AUG - 2 PM 4: 53

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

BDS-11, page
COB

CERTIFIED MAIL: 7001 1940 0001 0678 5317

August 2, 2010

Jeff Adachi
P.O. Box 77313
San Francisco, California 94107

Re: CERTIFICATION OF THE INITIATIVE PETITION "**EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS TO RETIREMENT AND HEALTH PLANS**"

Dear Mr. Adachi,


The Department of Elections has completed its review of the initiative petition, "**EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS TO RETIREMENT AND HEALTH PLANS**," that you submitted to this office on July 6, 2010. After reviewing all of the signatures attached to the petition, we have determined that the number of valid signatures on the petition is 49,178 out of the 77,177 signatures submitted with the petition. The minimum number of valid signatures required to place this initiative measure on the ballot for the November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election is 44,533.


I hereby certify that the initiative petition "**EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS TO RETIREMENT AND HEALTH PLANS**" qualifies for the November 2, 2010 Consolidated General Election.

If you should have any questions, please contact Deborah Brown, Manager, Voter Services Division, at (415) 554-5665.

Respectfully,

John Arntz
Director of Elections

By: 
Deborah Brown
Voter Services Manager



cc: Honorable Gavin Newsom; Mayor
John Arntz, Director of Elections
→ Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/03/2010 10:47 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Attorney General Investigation Requested for SFGOV
Salary & Public Safety Abuses



Alvin Johnson
<alvkingtu@gmail.com>
08/03/2010 05:43 AM

To BOS@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org,
cityattorney@sfgov.org, david.campos@sfgov.org,
david.chiu@sfgov.org, sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org,
sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org, sallie.gibson@sfgov.org,
ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org
cc wbrown@sfchronicle.com, Alvkingtu@gmail.com
Subject Attorney General Investigation Requested for SFGOV Salary
& Public Safety Abuses

Dear BOS,

SFGOV is no different than the City of Bell in Southern California when it comes to pension spiking and outrageous salaries paid to Deputy City Attorney Gina Roccanova and DTIS managers and others in light of the supposed "network lockout" of the summer of 2008. Additionally, the actions taken by the BOS concerning the funding provided for the E911 Emergency Communications Network that is being dispensed to unnamed departments for unknown and undocumented purposes and you have a perfect storm made for the Attorney General to either avoid because Gavin is the Mayor or take on because its the right thing to do, in light of the City of Bell scandal. Remember Gavin Newsom claiming that he had no choice considering the current budget crisis, and therefore must require a 37.5 hour work week be implemented while Micki Callahan DHR, could not stop nodding her head in agreement to each and every option Gavin proposed including laying off (except DHR and City Attorney Office personnel) everyone and then hiring some but not all back at a revised lower salary, never once touching the pensions of the SFPD or SFFD, which stands at 90% of their highest salary. All the while removing numerous employees (from 1999-2003) hired specifically to maintain the Emergency Response Fee funded E911 Network. Hope you don't mind if I ask for an investigation since the BOS doesn't know where these funds went over the past 10 years and every time I ask about Emergency Response Fee or why I was told that in 2004 that there was a shortage of funding for E911 but no docs to support this statement made by DTIS managers, GO FIGURE! or let Jerry Brown take a look at the public records that DHR and the Controllers Office refuse to provide on work order contracts for Human Resources paid for with E911 funds from DTIS, neither of whom directly supported this new network or assisted me at any time to keep it up around the clock. I am not happy with the events that led to me being removed from supporting E911 emergency communications after filing a discrimination complaint, I need concrete answers and an investigation from someone other than DHR personnel who have everything to lose if the truth is known. Meanwhile Gavin's only interest is to become Governor

by way of the Lieutenant Governor's Office, he no longer is concerned about public safety in San Francisco, come to think of it when did he show any concern over the past 10 years (1999-2009).



Alvin Johnson Printable version_ Bell slo...pdf



Webster Bones
<peoplesrights1@yahoo.com
>

08/03/2010 07:06 PM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org, Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org,
David.Chiu@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,
cc SOS Heart <sos_heart@yahoo.com>, Chris VerPlanck
<cvp73@yahoo.com>, "Arthur D. Levy"
<arthur@yesquire.com>, Ross Mirkarimi

bcc

Subject SOS HEART

We urge you to support Supervisor Mirkarimi's resolution and save Sacred Heart. The people who are greedy today will not be here tomorrow but God will.

(18)

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/04/2010 12:52 PM

To
cc
bcc
Subject More Images of People Sitting and Lying



Panhandler Boycott
<panhandlerboycott@yahoo.com>
08/03/2010 08:54 PM

To Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
chustaff@sfgov.org, cnevius@sfnchronicle.com,
dalystaff@sfgov.org, David.Campos@sfgov.org,
david.chiu@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org, Gavin
Newsom <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>,
John.Avalos@sfgov.org, Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org,
ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,
Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org

cc
Subject More Images of People Sitting and Lying

Hi,

I take photos of people who sit and lie in San Francisco. This is a casual enterprise but it is becoming apparent that there are hotspots where people generally sit and lie. Don't believe me? The documentation is piling up. I don't see why the police can't make regular patrols and refer people who need services to homeless outreach. If they refuse then perhaps they should be compelled to move if they are blocking the sidewalk and free passage of their fellow citizens on public causeways. Also this is not safe for the people lying on the street. Look at this guy.

- Would you put your face this close to the sidewalk at Post and Polk?
- Do you feel responsible as an elected official to change this effectively.
- What if this were a member of your family: They would say take action that in some cases would result in compelling people to accept treatment for mental health issues.
- Where is the humanity in just letting the issue "lie there" if you will.

Why can't we use all the tools of enforcement, a new ordinance, and refinement and consolidation of mental health services (civil & not for profit) ?

<http://panhandlerboycott.vox.com/library/post/polk-post---7312010-1234pm.html>

I suspect if nobody does anything you may have a tea party right in your back yard.
Food for thought - I would like to keep San Francisco liberal but an outraged populous could create real change.



"Mulkerrin, Martin"
<Martin.Mulkerrin@sfdpw.org>
>

08/03/2010 03:34 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject FW: Board of Supervisors Inquiry #20100727-002

From: Santana, Benjamin
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2010 2:57 PM
To: McDaniels, Chris
Cc: Mulkerrin, Martin
Subject: Board of Supervisors Inquiry #20100727-002

Good afternoon,

After inspection of Inquiry #20100727-002 noted that a patch had been placed very near the location stated. (WOID 116244) this job is still open but I believe that needs to be closed by our office staff. After further inspection I found a small road way defect in front of 107 Fillmore (WOID 116296) completed on 8/3/2010 by our Pot Hole Crew.

There are cracks in the street after contractors trenched roadway is in good shape a good candidate for crack seal with a fresh Flex Seal? As far as side walk condition Mr. C. Wong's group may be a better fit for this inquiry. I feel confident that all street defects are taken care of at this location.

Ben Santana.

20



T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION,
a Delaware Corporation
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, CA 94520

July 19, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG - 3 PM 3:33
BY [Signature]

**RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C).
Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF03148A:**

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because Minor modification to an existing site that is principally permitted and does not require further land use approval per the City Zoning Administrator.

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should the Commission or the local government agency have any questions regarding this project, or if anyone disagrees with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at 415-703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-MOBILE WEST CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation

Enclosed: Attachment A

CC:
Edwin Lee, City Manager, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102
Jon Rahaim, Planning Director, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102
Karen J. Hong Yee, City Clerk, City of San Francisco, 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102

21

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF03148A
 Site Name: Hyatt Regency
 Site Address: 5 The Embarcadero, San Francisco, CA 94111
 County: San Francisco
 Assessor's Parcel Number: Block 0234 Lot 017
 Latitude: 37° 47' 38.19" N
 Longitude: 122° 23' 44.34" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 2
 Tower Design: Concrete Highrise Building
 Tower Appearance: Antennas Flush Mounted to Lower Wall
 Tower Height: Top of Building 200' Top of Antennas 41'
 Size of Building: 10' x 3' Lease Area

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco Edwin Lee, City Manager 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102	City of San Francisco Jon Rahaim, Planning Director 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102	City of San Francisco Karen J. Hong Yee, City Clerk 1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102
---	---	---

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: N/A
 Land Use Permit #: N/A
 If Land use Approval was not required: **Letter of Determination, dated July 30, 2009**
 signed by Larry Badinor, Zoning Administrator,
 stating planning entitlements not required.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

August 20, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

✓ Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11


Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board, with the caveat that the current Reserve of \$1.1 million on the Department's General Fund is released.

Of the Department's total FY 2010-11 General Fund allocation of \$1.4 million, \$1.1 million or 78% of the total General Fund support is currently on Reserve pending the receipt of various Citywide uncertain revenues. Because the Department's various Planning fees are budgeted within the General Fund, the dedicated fees collected by the Planning Department that can only be used for Planning related functions, as well as the General Fund support allocated to the Department, were used to calculate the current \$1.1 million Reserve. Based on the Department's total General Fund allocation of \$1.4 million, less than 5% of our General Fund support should have been placed on Reserve. The Department believes that the Reserve should be reduced to reflect a fairer and accurate Reserve allocation based on our FY 2010-11 budgeted General Fund support.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations during unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


John Rahaim
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 23 PM 3:00

FY _____
AK

22

City and County of San Francisco

Adult Probation Department
Hall of Justice



WENDY S. STILL
Chief Adult Probation Officer

*Protecting the Community, Serving Justice and
Changing Lives*

August 30, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adult Probation Department Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

At this time I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances; however it should be noted that the State budget is pending approval. It is unknown at this time what, if any State Budget decisions will impact local Probation Departments. Ongoing legislative discussions include the potential realignment of State funds to public safety County agencies which could increase caseloads and effect staffing level requirements. There may be legislative mandates or local law requirements that will impact the operations of the Adult Probation Department. APD will continue to evaluate the impacts of State and local mandates throughout the fiscal year and will raise any resource needs necessary to comply with legislation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Still
Chief Adult Probation Officer

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director
Rebekah Krell, Policy and Fiscal Analyst

880 Bryant Street, Room 200
Phone (415) 553-1688

San Francisco

California

94103
Fax (415) 553-1717

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 PM 1:02
BY [Signature]

23



San Francisco International Airport

August 6, 2010

P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128
Tel 650.821.5000
Fax 650.821.5005
www.flysfo.com

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11 for the Airport Commission

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010/11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations to the Airport's FY 2010/11 Operating Budget, barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

John L. Martin
Airport Director

BY _____ Alk

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 13 PM 1:40

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Joe Nurisso, Controller's Office
Leo Chyi, Mayor's Office

23

AIRPORT
COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR
LARRY MAZZOLA
PRESIDENT
LINDA S. CRAYTON
VICE PRESIDENT
CARYL ITO
ELEANOR JOHNS
RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME
JOHN L. MARTIN
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

Certification Letter of Approved Budget
August 6, 2010
Page 2

bcc: Leo Fermin
Cindy Nichol
Julia Dawson



SAN FRANCISCO ARTS COMMISSION

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

August 4, 2010

LUIS R. CANCEL
DIRECTOR OF
CULTURAL AFFAIRS

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

PROGRAMS

CIVIC ART COLLECTION
CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW
COMMUNITY ARTS
& EDUCATION
CULTURAL EQUITY GRANTS
PERFORMING ARTS
PUBLIC ART
STREET ARTISTS LICENSES

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

ARTS COMMISSION GALLERY
401 VAN NESS AVENUE
415.554.6080

WWW.SFARTSCOMMISSION.ORG

ARTSCOMMISSION@SFGOV.ORG

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations, barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,


Luis R. Cancel
Director of Cultural Affairs

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance



CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 AM 11:12
BY _____ AK



200 Larkin Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.581.3500
415.581.4700 fax
www.asianart.org

August 31, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Jay Xu
Director
Asian Art Museum of San Francisco

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 24 PM 12:52
BY

ASIAN ART MUSEUM
CHONG-MOON LEE CENTER
FOR ASIAN ART AND CULTURE

OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR-RECORDER
SAN FRANCISCO



PHIL TING
ASSESSOR-RECORDER

August 16, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kimberlee Kimura".

Kimberlee Kimura
Chief Administrative Officer

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 24 PM 3:11
BY PN

City and County of San Francisco
Department of Building Inspection



Gavin Newsom, Mayor
Vivian L. Day, C.B.O., Director

August 19, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances unless additional funding is identified.

Very truly yours,


A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Vivian L. Day".

Vivian L. Day, C.B.O.
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director
Leo Chyi, Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 25 AM 10: 07
BY Handwritten initials, possibly "VLD", written over a horizontal line.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
1660 Mission Street – San Francisco CA 94103
Office (415) 558-6131 – FAX (415) 558-6225
Email: Vivian.Day@sfgov.org



CALIFORNIA
ACADEMY OF
SCIENCES

55 Music Concourse Drive
Golden Gate Park
San Francisco, California 94118
www.calacademy.org

August 13, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 18 AM 8:58
BY _____

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is to hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the City and County of San Francisco budget for the California Academy of Sciences Steinhardt Aquarium in fiscal year 2010-11, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, is adequate for our department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

We do not anticipate making any requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Also, I would like to express our thanks to the Mayor's and Board of Supervisor's staff and the office of the Controller for their guidance and assistance in submitting our budget.

Sincerely,

Gregory C. Farrington, Ph.D.
Executive Director
California Academy of Sciences
55 Music Concourse Drive
San Francisco, CA 94118
gfarrington@calacademy.org
www.calacademy.org

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

THE POLICE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



August 25, 2010

Joyce M. Hicks
Executive Director

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "JM Hicks", written over a horizontal line.


Joyce M. Hicks
Executive Director

*Note: Higher attrition adjustments and step adjustments may cause the OCC to go over budget.

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 27 AM 9:52
BY _____ *RZ*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

August 4, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Controller's Office
City Hall, Room 316

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010/2011

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010/2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

c: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 23 AM 11:21
BY PN

August 27, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.


Sincerely,



Michael Cohen
Director, Office of Economic and Workforce Development

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



Re: Due 8/31/10: Budget Certification Letters 
Merrick Pascual to: Leo Chyi, Angela Calvillo, Joe Nurisso
This message is digitally signed.

08/27/2010 03:33 PM

Hi all,

Attached is an electronic copy of ECN's Budget Certification Letter.



ECN Budget Certification Letter.PDF

Angela - The hard copy will be provided to you via interoffice mail.

Thanks,
Merrick

Merrick Pascual
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
(415) 701-5511

City and County of San Francisco

Chief Medical Examiner



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

August 31, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

✓Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amy P. Hart, M.D.".

Amy P. Hart, M.D.
Chief Medical Examiner

APH:sk

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 AM 10:16
BY _____



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES

617 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3503 Tel. (415) 356-2700
Child Support Automated Information System 1-866-901-3212



KAREN M. ROYE
DIRECTOR

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316


RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


Karen M. Roye
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

BY _____
AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -5 AM 9:50



Maria Su, Psy.D.
DIRECTOR



Gavin Newsom
MAYOR

August 9, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316


RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


Maria Su
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

BY _____ AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 11 AM 9:43



SF Environment
Our home. Our city. Our planet.



GAVIN NEWSOM
Mayor

DAVID ASSMANN
Acting Director

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

David Assmann
Acting Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

Department of the Environment, City and County of San Francisco
11 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 355-3700 • Fax: (415) 554-6393
Email: environment@sfgov.org • www.sfenvironment.org

100% Post-Consumer Recycled



Budget Certification Letter - Environment
Joseph Salem to: Leo Chyi, Sarah Anders, Angela Calvillo

08/30/2010 10:43 AM

History: This message has been replied to.

Please find attached the signed Budget Certification Letter for fiscal year 2010-2011. A signed original has been forwarded to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Joe Salem
Finance and Administration Manager
Department of the Environment
City and County of San Francisco
Phone: (415) 355-3721
Fax: (415) 554-6393



Budget Certification Letter FY10-11 - Signed.pdf



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

August 17, 2010

BY _____
AK _____

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 19 AM 9:48

E. DENNIS NORMANDY
PRESIDENT

DONALD A. CASPER
VICE PRESIDENT

MORGAN R. GORRANO
COMMISSIONER

LISA SEITZ GRUWELL
COMMISSIONER

MARY Y. JUNG
COMMISSIONER

ANITA SANCHEZ
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

ANITA SANCHEZ
Executive Officer

c: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



Gavin Newsom, Mayor
Edwin M. Lee, City Administrator

August 11, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94110

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ben Rosenfield
Controller, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Dear Mayor Newsom and Members of the Board:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee
City Administrator

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 13 PM 12:41
BY

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney


DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4748

August 11, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 16 AM 10:38
BY 


Re: Adopted Budget for 2010-2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter section 9.115 and Administrative Code section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

If client departments use services beyond those contemplated during the budget process, I anticipate they will reimburse my department for the actual costs incurred. Additionally, I anticipate my department will request appropriations of attorney's fees and costs recovered from non-City sources.

Very truly yours,


DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

cc: Susana Martinez, City Attorney CFO
Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Office



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: City Attorney's Office's Budget Certification Letter

From: Tara Collins/CTYATT@CTYATT
To: Rick Wilson/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Aimee Fribourg/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
Cc: Susana Martinez/CTYATT@CTYATT, Greg Wagner/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 08/12/2010 03:52 PM
Subject: City Attorney's Office's Budget Certification Letter

Please find attached the Budget Certification Letter for the City Attorney's Office.

Best,
Tara Collins
Confidential Assistant to the City Attorney

OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY DENNIS HERRERA
San Francisco City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4682

(415) 554-4748 Direct
(415) 554-4700 Reception
(415) 554-4715 Facsimile



BudgetLtr2011.pdf



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -4 PM 3:23
BY

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
City and County of San Francisco
sfelections.org



John Arntz
Director

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:


I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


John Arntz
Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



Aura
Mendieta/ELECTIONS/SFGOV
V

08/12/2010 12:28 PM

To Rick Wilson/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Joe
Nurisso/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc

.bcc

Subject Fw: Due 8/31/10: Budget Certification Letters



adopted budget fy 2010-11.pdf

Aura Mendieta, Deputy Director

Budget & Personnel

Department of Elections, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, Room 48 San Francisco, California 94102

Phone; 415-554-4347, Cell; 415-215-7580, Fax; 415-554-7666

www.sfelections.org

----- Forwarded by Aura Mendieta/ELECTIONS/SFGOV on 08/12/2010 12:26 PM -----

From: Aimee Fribourg/CON/SFGOV
To: CON- Budget Contacts/CON/SFGOV, CON-Finance Officers/CON/SFGOV
Cc: Joe Nurisso/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Sarah Anders/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Leo
Levenson/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Greg
Wagner/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Leo
Chyi/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Manish Goyal/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rebekah
Krell/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Meghan Wallace/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Renee
Willette/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rick Wilson/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 08/04/2010 09:02 AM
Subject: Due 8/31/10: Budget Certification Letters

Hello,

San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 require that each department head certify that the funding provided in the budget as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet the service levels and operations proposed for the fiscal year. This certification takes the form of a letter addressed to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Controller, and must be issued within 30 days of the Board of Supervisor's adoption of the budget. Certifications are due by **Tuesday, August 31, 2010**.

Please see attached for a template for the certification letter, or refer to page 93 of the Budget Instructions (available at <http://www.sfcontroller.org/index.aspx?page=102>).

The requested distribution is:

- **Controller's Office:** one hard copy or electronic copy to your assigned Budget Analyst,
- **Mayor's Office:** one hard copy or electronic copy to your assigned Budget Analyst,
- **Clerk of the Board:** one hard copy for file and one electronic copy to be sent to Board members

[attachment "Sample Budget Certification Letter FY11.doc" deleted by Aura

2/13/10
CPAGE



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Division of Emergency Communications
Phone: (415) 558-3800 Fax: (415) 558-3843

Division of Emergency Services
Phone: (415) 558-2700 Fax: (415) 503-2098



Vicki L. Hennessy
Executive Director

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller's Office
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Vicki Hennessy
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JAMIENNE S. STUDLEY
CHAIRPERSON

SUSAN J. HARRIMAN
VICE-CHAIRPERSON

EILEEN HANSEN
COMMISSIONER

BENEDICT Y. HUR
COMMISSIONER

CHARLES L. WARD
COMMISSIONER

JOHN ST. CROIX
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

John St. Croix
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

BY _____ AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -5 AM 9:48



COMMISSIONERS:

- Twila Brown**, Director
Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health
Department of Public Health, Community Programs
- Veronica Chavez**, Assistant Superintendent
Elementary Schools
San Francisco Unified School District
- Eric Mar**, Supervisor, District 1
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
- Betty Robinson-Harris**, Chair
Child Development Committee
School Improvement Committee/ER&D
- Michele Rutherford**, Program Manager
Child Care Policy & Planning
Department of Human Services
- Maria Su**, Director
Department of Children, Youth and Their Families
- Nancy Lim Yee**, Psychiatric Social Worker, Program Director
SF City & County Chinatown CDC

- Suzanne Giraud**, Commission Chair
Psychologist Clinical Director
California Pacific Medical Center
- Shannon Thyne**, Commission Vice Chair
Pediatrician, Medical Educator
UCSF Department of Pediatrics
- Laurel Kloomok**, Executive Director

August 4, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Laurel Kloomok
Children and Families Commission

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 SAN FRANCISCO
 2010 AUG - 5 AM 9:49
 BY _____ AK



Fine Arts
Museums of
San Francisco

de Young
Legion of Honor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 PM 3:13
BY _____

August 9, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

John E. Buchanan, Jr.
Director of Museums

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

de Young

Golden Gate Park
50 Hagiwara Tea Garden Drive
San Francisco, CA 94118-4502

Tel 415.750.3600

www.thinker.org

JOANNE HAYES-WHITE
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

August 23, 2010

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 25 PM 3:27
BY _____

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

In conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, I hereby certify that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010-11, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, appears to be adequate for the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) to meet service levels as proposed by the Board.

The SFFD, along with all City Departments, has worked closely with the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Controller's Office throughout the budget cycle to address the difficult realities of the current economic climate.

The SFFD is awaiting developments in the San Francisco Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Agency's plan for the design of the Ambulance Service system in San Francisco. The current open-system model allows for both revenue and expenditure uncertainty throughout the year for the Department, and does not allow the SFFD to provide Emergency Medical Services in the most efficient way possible.

In addition, the SFFD has concerns with salary reductions to the Department's budget in the coming fiscal year, particularly within its overtime allocation. The Department will continue to monitor and track expenditures diligently throughout the fiscal year.

The SFFD has made some difficult budgetary decisions in its FY10-11 budget, but intends to operate within our allocated budget. However, unanticipated costs or unforeseen events or circumstance could result in increased costs for this Fiscal Year and the need for supplemental funding.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Joanne Hayes-White in cursive.
Joanne Hayes-White
Chief of Department

cc: The Honorable Gavin Newsom, Mayor
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director
Aimee Fribourg, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Health Service System

*Delivering your
benefits with choice,
affordability and service*

August 26, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Catherine Dodd".

Catherine Dodd
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



Gavin Newsom,
Mayor

Gregg Sass,
Chief Financial Officer

MEMORANDUM

August 31, 2010

To: Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

Through: Mitchell Katz, Director of Health

From: Gregg Sass, Chief Finance Officer

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board, except as follows:

The budget partially funds structural needs at San Francisco General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital. We are short several million in funding for expenses that we incurred in 2009/10 and expect to incur in 2010/11.

The budget also includes continuing high levels of attrition savings that will require maintenance of high vacancy rates and hiring freezes to meet.

In past years, the department has been able to realize more revenue than budgeted, which has enabled the department to fund overspending with surplus revenue and avoid a general fund supplemental. To the extent we are able to achieve that outcome in the budget year, we shall make no requests for general fund supplemental appropriations. At the present time, budget estimates for FMAP (Federal Medical Assistance Percentage) and for grants from a Hospital Fee (Assembly Bill 188) exceed the amounts we will receive, and additional funding and/or spending reductions will be necessary to address this issue.

Budget Certification Letter
August 31, 2010

Page 2

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Kate Howard, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Leo Levinson, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

City and County of San Francisco
Gavin Newsom
Mayor



Department of Human Resources
Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director

August 9, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Micki Callahan", written over a horizontal line.

Micki Callahan
Director, Department of Human Resources

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 11 PM 3:09
BY _____ *RC*

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Human Rights Commission

Contract Compliance
Dispute Resolution/Fair Housing
Small Micro Local Business Enterprise
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender & HIV Discrimination

Theresa Sparks
Executive Director

August 30, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010/11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010/11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Theresa Sparks
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director



25 Van Ness Avenue
Suite 800
San Francisco
California 94102-6033



TEL (415) 252-2500
FAX (415) 431-5764
TDD (415) 252-2550
www.sfgov.org/sfhumanrights





**City and County of San Francisco
Juvenile Probation Department**

WILLIAM P. SIFFERMANN
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

375 WOODSIDE AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127
(415) 753-7556

August 30, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Dear Mayor Newsom, Ms. Calvillo and Mr. Rosenfield:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the Juvenile Probation Department will work to meet the service levels proposed to the Board and as required by state and federal law with the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Sincerely,

William P. Siffermann
Chief Probation Officer
Juvenile Probation Department

WPS:lta

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 PM 12:35
BY *W*

San Francisco Law Library
www.sfgov.org/sfll
401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 400
San Francisco, California 94102
Direct line: 415-554-6824
Fax 415-554-6194

August 13, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Re: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-2011

Pursuant to its obligation under Administrative Code section 3.14 and Charter section 9.115 to certify the adequacy of funds provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the Law Library hereby submits its certification.

I anticipate that the Law Library shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Marcia R. Bell
Law Librarian

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom

August 23, 2009

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-2011


Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


Julian Low
Director of Operations

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Leo Levenson, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 25 PM 3:27
BY *R*

**MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**



GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

DOUGLAS SHOEMAKER
DIRECTOR

August 24, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316


RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I do not anticipate making a request for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


Douglas Shoemaker
Director, Mayor's Office of Housing

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 17 PM 3:28
BY PM



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Budget Certification Letter FY11- Mayor's Office of Housing

From: Gigi Whitley/OCDHH/MAYOR/SFGOV
To: Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV
Date: 08/16/2010 10:00 AM
Subject: Budget Certification Letter FY11- Mayor's Office of Housing

Angela,
Please find MOH's budget certification letter for the FY10-11 AAO as required under the administrative code. An electronic version for distribution to Board members is attached; a hard copy for the file has been sent via interoffice mail to the Clerk's Office.

Thanks,
Gigi Whitley



DOC020.PDF

Gigi Whitley
Chief Financial Officer
Mayor's Office of Housing
1 South Van Ness, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 701-5562
(415)701-5501Fax

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor

Human Services Agen

Department of Human Services
Department of Aging and Adult Service

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

At this time, I do not anticipate that I shall need to make any requests for supplemental appropriations. However, we are continuing to closely monitor state and local budget developments, particularly with regards to the California state budget, and if necessary, we will be in contact to discuss further changes in state and federal revenue.

Sincerely,

Trent Rhorer
Human Services Agency

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 24 PM 3:12
BY RH

Gavin Newsom | Mayor

Tom Nolan | Chairman

Jerry Lee | Vice-Chairman

Cameron Beach | Director

Malcolm Heinicke | Director

Bruce Oka | Director

Nathaniel P. Ford Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

August 6, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Benjamin Rosenfield, Controller
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-2011

Madam and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section(s) 8.106 and 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the operating budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 is adequate for SFMTA to meet service levels as proposed.

SFMTA anticipates no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,



Nathaniel P. Ford Sr.
Executive Director/CEO

cc: Sonali Bose, Director/CFO, SFMTA
Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 10 PM 2:01
BY _____ AK



August 30, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Lady and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify that, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Monique Moyer
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director
Elaine Forbes
Andres Acevedo

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 PM 3:24
BY PM



Office of the Public Defender
City and County of San Francisco

Jeff Adachi
Public Defender

Teresa Caffese
Chief Attorney

August 5, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.


I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Jeff Adachi
Public Defender

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG - 9 AM 10: 02
BY _____



San Francisco Public Library

August 10, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Luis Herrera
City Librarian

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 16 PM 3:13
BY _____ *W*



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Library Budget Certification

From: John Doidge <jdoidge@sfpl.org>
To: "Joe.Nurisso@sfgov.org" <Joe.Nurisso@sfgov.org>, "Manish.Goyal@sfgov.org" <Manish.Goyal@sfgov.org>, "angela.calvillo@sfgov.org" <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Date: 08/12/2010 11:47 AM
Subject: Library Budget Certification

Attached is the Library's Budget Certification letter for FY11.


A hard copy will be delivered to the Clerk of the Board.

John Doidge
Chief Financial Officer
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-557-4249

Official SFPL use only



100812 Budget Certification Library.pdf



SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

1155 Market St., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 • Tel. (415) 554-3155 • Fax (415) 554-3161 • TTY (415) 554.3488



August 6, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

F.X. CROWLEY
PRESIDENT

FRANCESCA VIETOR
VICE PRESIDENT

ANN MOLLER CAEN
COMMISSIONER

JULIET ELLIS
COMMISSIONER

ANSON B. MORAN
COMMISSIONER

ED HARRINGTON
GENERAL MANAGER

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 13 PM 3:01
BY _____

RE: Adopted Budgets for FY 2010-11 and FY2011-12

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budgets for FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I do not anticipate making any requests for supplemental appropriation for operating costs during FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12.

Sincerely,

Ed Harrington
General Manager

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Leo Levenson, Controller's Office-Budget & Analysis Division
Aimee Fribourg, Controller's Office-Budget & Analysis Division



Mayor Gavin Newsom
Phillip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

August 5, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010 – 2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010 – 2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the Recreation and Park Department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Ginsburg
General Manager

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 11 PM 3:09
BY _____
M



City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women

Mayor Gavin Newsom
Executive Director Emily M. Murase, PhD

August 13, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Emily M. Murase, PhD
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 18 AM 10:42
BY _____ AK



City & County of San Francisco
**Department of
Technology**
Powered by Innovation

One South Van Ness Avenue, 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103-0948
Office: 415-581-4001 • Fax: 415-581-4002

August 23, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Chris A. Vein
Chief Information Officer
Executive Director

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 PM 12:14
BY _____



Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco



José Cisneros, Treasurer

August 30, 2010

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield
Controller, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 316

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 31 PM 2:05
BY _____
AK

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "José Cisneros", written over a horizontal line.

José Cisneros, Treasurer

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

Owned and Operated by the
City and County of San Francisco

War Memorial Veterans Building
Herbst Theatre/Green Room
War Memorial Opera House
Louise M. Davies Symphony Hall
Harold L. Zellerbach Rehearsal Hall

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 110
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone (415) 621-6600
FAX (415) 621-5091

August 4, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Office of the Controller
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2010-11 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the War Memorial department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth Murray
Managing Director

cc: Rebekah Krell, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance
Joe Nurisso, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG -5 PM 3:25
BY *MC*

RECEIVED

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

2010 AUG 10 PM 3:37

Name of Agency: Dept. of Aging & Adult Svcs

Mailing Address: 1650 MISSION ST, 5TH FLR PN

Contact Person: Lashaun Williams Office Phone No: 355-3509

E-mail: Lashaun.Williams@SFGOV.ORG Fax No: 355-6785

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



 Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8-9-10

 Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
 Board of Supervisors
 ATTN: Peggy Nevin
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Fax: 554-5163

(24)



200 Larkin Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.581.3500
415.581.4700 fax
www.asianart.org

Jay Xu
Director

August 10, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

via email: Peggy.Nevin@SFGOF.ORG

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

On behalf of the Asian Art Museum, I am writing to inform you that we have reviewed our museum's conflict of interest code and have determined that it is accurate and does not require any amendments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jay Xu". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Jay Xu
Director
Asian Art Museum of San Francisco

cc: Adine Varah, Deputy City Attorney

ASIAN ART MUSEUM
CHONG-MOON LEE CENTER
FOR ASIAN ART AND CULTURE

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Children and Familie Commuision
Mailing Address: 1390 Market Street, Ste. 318 San Francisco, CA 94102
Contact Person: Kahala Draun Office Phone No: 934-4849
E-mail: Kahala@first5SF.org Fax No: (415) 565 0494

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

Kahala Draun
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8-9-10
Date

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 10 AM 9:37
BY KE

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Department of Children, Youth & Their Families _____
Mailing Address: 1390 Market Street, Suite 900 SF, CA 94102 _____
Contact Person: Genevieve Manalo _____ Office Phone No: 415.554.8991 _____
E-mail: gmanalo@dcyf.org _____ Fax No: 415.554.8965 _____

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____


Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer



Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice for DCYF

Genevieve Manalo

to:

Peggy.Nevin

08/09/2010 03:42 PM

Show Details

Hello Peggy!

Good afternoon! I hope this email finds you well.

Attached is the scanned version of the 2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice. Please let me know if you have any trouble with the pdf or if anything else is needed.

Other than that, I wish you a great rest of the week!

Take care,

-Genevieve-

Genevieve Manalo

Executive Assistant - Department of Children, Youth & Their Families (DCYF)

City & County of San Francisco

1390 Market St. Suite 900

San Francisco, CA 94102

o: 415.554.8991 | f: 415.554.8965

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Office of City Administrator/ General Services Agency

Mailing Address: City Hall, Room 362, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

Contact Person: Olga Ryerson Office Phone No: 554-6927

E-mail: olga.ryerson@sfgov.org Fax No: 554-6927

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8/11/10
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

Purchaser					4
Assistant Purchaser					4
Principal Administrative Analyst	ADD	ADD	ADD	ADD	4
Office of Labor Standards Enforcement					
Manager					1
Contract Compliance Officer I					1
Contract Compliance Officer II					1
Senior Administrative Analyst					1
Office of Public Finance	DELETE - BELONGS TO CONTROLLER'S OFFICE				
Director					4
Bond Associate					4
Compliance and Administrative Officer					4
Real Estate Division					
Director of Real Estate					1
Assistant Director of Real Estate					1
Principal Real Property Officer					1
Senior Real Property Officer					1
Real Property Officer					1
Finance Officer					1
City Hall Building General Manager					4
City Hall Chief Senior Stationary Engineer					4
City Hall Custodial Services Manager					4
City Hall Building Services Manager					4
City Hall Media and Security Services Manager					4
Civic Center General Manager					1
Civic Center Campus Superintendent Chief Engineer					4
Civic Center Campus Custodial Services Manager Client Services Manager					4
Special Projects Manager (See Category #)					4
Farmers Market Manager					4
Risk Management Division					
Risk Manager					1
Deputy Risk Manager					1
Risk Management Analyst					4
Treasure Island Operations					
Director of Island Operations					1
Deputy Director of Real Estate					1
Manager VI					1
Senior Real Property Officer					1
Real Property Officer	DELETE	DELETE	DELETE	DELETE	4

(Added by Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007; Ord. 93-08, File No. 090199, App. 6/10/2009)

Special Projects Manager			1
Special Projects Assistant Manager	DELETE	DELETE	4
County Clerk			
County Clerk			1
Director			1
Assistant Director			1
Entertainment Commission			
Commissioner			1
Executive Director			1
Assistant Executive Director			1
Permit Administrator			1
Sound Technician			1
Grants for the Arts			
Director			1
Assistant Director			1
Finance and Operations Manager			1
Immigrants Rights Commission			
Commission Member			1
Executive Director			1
Main Office			
City Administrator			1
Deputy City Administrator			1
Director of Human Resources			1
Director of Information Technology			1
Director, GSA Strategic Initiatives			1
Immigrant Rights Administrator			4
Director, Office of Language Services			4
Disaster Planning Project Manager			5
Community Challenge Grants Manager			4
Accounting Manager			4
Assistant to the City Administrator			4
Executive Assistant to the City Administrator			4
Mayor's Office on Disability			
Director			1
Deputy Director			1
Sr. Building Inspector			1
Medical Examiner			
Chief Medical Examiner			1
Administrator			1
Office of Contract Administration			
Director of Purchasing			1
Assistant Director			1
Supervising Purchaser			4
Senior Purchaser			4

SEC. 3.1-251. - GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY—CITY ADMINISTRATOR.

- (a) "Disclosure Category 1. Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management."
- (b) Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all interests in real property.
- (c) Disclosure Category 3. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, interests in real property, and income from any source that is subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the General Services Agency.
- (d) Disclosure Category 4. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Purchasing Department to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to the City and County of San Francisco.
- (e) Disclosure Category 5. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to either the Division of the Purchasing Department to which the person is assigned or the Department (other than the Purchasing Department) to which the person is assigned.

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
311 Project	
Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Call Center Manager	1
Animal Control Department	
Executive Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Capital Planning Program	
Director	1
Principal Administrative Analyst	1
Central Shops	
Principal Administrative Analyst	5
Principal Clerk	5
Principal Parts Storekeeper	5
Parts Storekeeper	5
Auto Service Worker	5
Automotive Mechanic Supervisor	5
Automotive Machinist Supervisor	5
Maintenance Machinist Supervisor	5
Manager, Fleet Services	5
Assistant Manager, Fleet Services	5
Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs	
Executive Director	
Commission Member, Immigrant Rights Commission	
Convention Facilities Department	
Director	1
Senior Event Manager	1

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Civil Grand Jury
Mailing Address: 400 McAllister Street

Contact Person: Claire Williams Office Phone No: 5515737

E-mail: _____ Fax No: _____

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8/9/2010

Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

CPV
ASL



Civil Grand Jury
Claire Williams to: 'Peggy.Nevin@sfgov.org'

08/09/2010 10:05 AM

1 attachment



CC205_ECOPYSCAN_EXCHANGE_08092010-100407.PDF

Here you go Peggy. Thanks for the clarification.

Claire A. Williams
Court Executive Officer
San Francisco Superior Court
415-551-4004

-----Original Message-----

From: Claire Williams
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 10:05 AM
To: Claire Williams
Subject: Scanned document from Claire Williams (cwilliams)

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Department of Emergency Management
Mailing Address: 1011 Turk Street
Contact Person: William Lee Office Phone No: 415-558-3866
E-mail: william.lee@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-558-3841

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature of Chief Executive Officer

August 4, 2010
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

SEC. 3.1-218. EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF.

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
Executive Director	1
Deputy Director of Administration and Support	1
Deputy Director of Emergency Communications	1
Deputy Director of Emergency Services	1
Grants Unit Manager	1
Human Resources Manager	1
Interoperability Program Manager	1
IT Manager	1
Plans & Operations-Emergency Services Manager	1
SUASI Director of Strategy and Grant Compliance	1
SUASI General Manager	1
SUASI Interoperability Program Manager	1
SUASI Interoperability Project Manager	1
SUASI Project Manager	1
SUASI Regional Planning Manager	1
UASI Grants Manager	1
UASI Chief Fiscal Officer	1

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 12 pt

(Added by Ord. 35-02, File No. 011875, App. 3/29/2002; amended by Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007; Ord. 93-08, File No. 090199, App. 6/10/2009)

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: S.F. EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
Mailing Address: 30 VAN NESS AVENUE, SF CA 94110
Contact Person: NORM NICKENS Office Phone No: 415-770-2224
E-mail: norm.nickens@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-770-2323

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 18 PM 3:18
M

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8-16-10
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.
Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

(24)

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT
Mailing Address: 698 SECOND ST. 94107
Contact Person: MONICA QUATTRIN Office Phone No: 558-3451
E-mail: monica.l.quattrin@sfgov.org Fax No: 558-3413

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature of Chief Executive Officer

07/30/2010
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than August 1, 2010, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

SEC. 3.1-242. FINANCE CORPORATION.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

President.....	1
Chief Financial Officer.....	1
Secretary.....	1

(Added by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

SEC. 3.1-245. FINE ARTS MUSEUMS.

(a) **Disclosure Category 2.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any business entity, and income from any source, involved in the buying or selling of works of art and which does business with The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, or has done business with the Museums within the two years prior to the date any disclosure statement must be filed, or which may foreseeably do business with the Museums in the future.

(b) **Disclosure Category 3.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any business entity, and income from any source, engaged in the construction trade and which does business with The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, or has done business with the Museums within the two years prior to the date any disclosure statement must be filed, or which may foreseeably do business with the Museums in the future.

(c) **Disclosure Category 4.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any business entity, and income from any source, involved in the sale and/or installation of signalling systems, including fire alarms, burglar alarms and similar systems, which does business with The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, or has done business with the Museums within the two years prior to the date any disclosure statement must be filed, or which may foreseeably do business with the Museums in the future.

(d) **Disclosure Category 5.** Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any business entity, and income from any source, which does business with The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, or has

done business with the Museums within the two years prior to the date any disclosure statement must be filed, or which may foreseeably do business with the Museums in the future.

(e) **Disclosure Category 6.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any business entity, and income from any source, involved in the design and publication of printed material, or the reproduction of works of art, which does business with The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, or has done business with the Museums within the two years prior to the date any disclosure statement must be filed, or which may foreseeably do business with the Museums in the future.

(f) **Disclosure Category 7.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in any art gallery and business entity, and income from any source, which manufacturers or sells art supplies, books, machinery or equipment, or which provides services to the Museums.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Trustee.....	2, 3, 4
Director.....	5, 7
Deputy Director for Operations and Personnel.....	5, 7
Curator-In-Charge, Achenbach Foundation for Graphic Arts.....	2, 6, 7
Curator, Graphic Arts.....	2, 6, 7
Buildings & Grounds Maintenance Superintendent.....	3, 4, 7

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003; Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.210; added by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; amended by Ord. 311-92, App. 10/9/92; Ord. 380-94, App. 11/10/94; Ord. 56-97, App. 3/6/97; Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98)

SEC. 3.1-250. FIRE DEPARTMENT

(a) **Disclosure Category 2.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all interests in real property, and all investments in, income

from, and any business position in any business entity which manufactures or sells supplies, materials, machinery or equipment of the type purchased by the San Francisco Fire Department, or which provides services of the type used by the Department.

(b) **Disclosure Category 3.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, and income from any source, which manufactures or sells supplies, materials, machinery or equipment of the type purchased by the San Francisco Fire Department, or which provides services of the type used by the Department.

(c) **Disclosure Category 4.** Persons in this disclosure category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, and income from any source, which provides personnel training services of the type used by the Department.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Commissioners	1
Chief of Department	1
Deputy Chief of Department	1
Chief Financial Officer	1
Assistant Deputy Chief II	2
Captain, Bureau of Equipment	3
Captain, Bureau of Support Services	3
Assistant Deputy Chief, Airport	1
Fire Marshal	1
Assistant Chief	3
Bureau of Fire Investigation—All personnel	2
Fire Prevention—All ranks Employees	
with inspection responsibilities	1
Water Supply Supervisor	2
Facilities Maintenance Supervisor	2
Utility Plumber Supervisor I	2
Utility Plumber Supervisor II	2
H-53 EMS Chief	2
H-43 EMS Section Chiefs	2
Human Resources Director	3
Public Information Officer	4
Fire Commission Secretary	4

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.215; added by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; amended by Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98)

SEC. 3.1-251. GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY—CITY ADMINISTRATOR.

(a) **Disclosure Category 2.** Persons in this category shall disclose all interests in real property.

(b) **Disclosure Category 3.** Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, interests in real property, and income from any source that is subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the General Services Agency.

(c) **Disclosure Category 4.** Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Purchasing Department to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) **Disclosure Category 5.** Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to either the Division of the Purchasing Department to which the person is assigned or the Department (other than the Purchasing Department) to which the person is assigned.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

311 Project	
Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Call Center Manager	1
Animal Control Department	
Executive Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Capital Planning Program	
Director	1
Principal Administrative Analyst	1
Central Shops	

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Municipal Transportation Agency
Mailing Address: One South Van Ness Ave. 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
Contact Person: Alice Kwong Office Phone No: 415-701-4432
E-mail: alice.kwong@sfmta.com Fax No: 415-701-4731

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- X Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- X Revise the titles of existing positions.
- X Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer

08-02-10

Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

SEC. 3.1-320. MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all sources of income, investments and his or her status as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or holder of a management position in any business entity.

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
Board of Directors	
Member, Board or Directors	1
Board Secretary	1
Municipal Transportation Agency	
Executive Director/CEO (Director of Transportation)	1
Deputy Executive Director	1
CFO/Director of Finance and Information Technology	1
Director of Administration, Taxis and Accessible Services	1
Director of Capital Programs and Construction	1
Director of Safety and Training	1
Director of Sustainable Streets	1
Deputy Director of Information Technology	1
Deputy Director of Planning	1
Deputy Director of Human Resources	1
Deputy Director of Accessible Services	1
Director of Taxis	1
Deputy Director of Security and Enforcement	1
Administrative Engineer	1
Assistant Materials Coordinator	2
Automotive Transit Equipment Supervisor	1
Automotive Transit shop Supervisor I	2
Chief Stationary Engineer	2
Contract Compliance Officer I	2
Contract Compliance Officer II	2
Deputy Director of Bus Service Delivery	1
Deputy Director of Central Operations/Support	1
Director of Service Planning	1
Electrical Transit Shop Supervisor I	2
IS Manager	1
IS Project Director	1
Janitorial service Supervisor	2
LRV Equipment Engineer	2
Manager I	1
Manager II	1
Manager II	1
Manager III	1

Manager IV	1
Manager V	1
Manager VI	1
Manager VII	1
Manager VIII	1
Manager of Project Management	1
Materials Coordinator	1
Planner V	1
Powerhouse Electrician Supervisor II	2
Principal Administrative Analyst	2
Principal Administrative Analyst	2
Principal Engineer	1
Principal Engineer	1
Principal Engineer	1
Project Manager I	1
Project Manager II	1
Project Manager III	1
Project Manager IV	1
Safety Analyst	2
Senior Administrative Analyst	2
Senior Administrative Analyst	2
Senior Engineer	2
Senior Engineer	2
Senior LRV Equipment Engineer	2
Senior Management Assistant	2
Signal and Systems Engineer	2
Superintendent, Buildings and Grounds	2
Transit manager I	1
Transit Manager II	1
Transit Planner IV	1
Transit Power Line Worker Supervisor II	2
Transportation Safety Specialist	2

Added by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; amended by Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003) (Former Section 3.1-320 added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; repealed by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007; Ord. 93-08, File No. 090199, App 6/10/2009)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.265; added by Ord. 3-90, App. 1/5/90; amended by Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98)

SEC. 3.1-325 PARKING AUTHORITY

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
Members of the Parking Authority	1
Director	1

Deputy Director

1

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.270; added by Ord. 3-90, App. 1/5/90; amended by Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98)

Sec. 3.1-330.

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; repealed by Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.275; added by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; amended by Ord. 380-94, App. 11/10/94; Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98; Ord. 340-99, File No. 992046, App. 12/30/99)



RE: Conflict of Interest - Response Required

Kwong, Alice to: Nevin, Peggy

08/02/2010 01:49 PM

**Cc: "Johnson, Debra", "Bose, Sonali", "Kim, Derek Y", "Williams, Terrie",
"Knight, Annie"**

1 attachment



2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice response 8-2010.pdf

Hi Peggy,
Attached is the response to the request sent on 6/1/2010.

Alice Kwong
Acting Deputy Director, HR, SFMTA
Tel: (415) 701-4432; Fax: 701-4731

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson, Debra
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 5:25 PM
To: Bose, Sonali; Kwong, Alice; Kim, Derek Y
Subject: FW: Conflict of Interest - Response Required
Importance: High

Debra A. Johnson
Director of Administration, Taxis & Accessible Services
SFMTA | Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Ave, Seventh Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
*: 415.701.4720
*: 415.701.4502
*: debra.johnson@sfmta.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Nevin [<mailto:Peggy.Nevin@sfgov.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 5:17 PM
To: Johnson, Debra
Subject: Conflict of Interest - Response Required
Importance: High

Please see the attachments below for the biennial Conflict of Interest Review.

The response for your department is due by August 1, 2010.

(See attached file: Conflict of Interest Review Memo.DOC) (See attached file: OP-2006-07-13-SEIS.PDF) (See attached file: Municipal Transportation Agency.PDF) (See attached file: Notice.doc)

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Planning Department

Mailing Address: 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Contact Person: Linda Avery Office Phone No: (415) 558-6407
E-mail: linda.avery@sf.gov Fax No: (415) 558-6409

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated. (See page 2)
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the number of existing positions. (See page 2)
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished
- Other *ides*

Code is current and under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.
The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of government investments; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately include all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and other sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature
John Ralston


Executive Officer
Director of Planning

August 11, 2010
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.
Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

24
AS
98

The Planning Department recommends its Conflict-of-Interest code as follows:

- Add new positions:
 - c. ~~St. Community Development Specialist II~~ Disclosure Category 1
- Revise the list of existing positions as follows:
 - c. ~~Director of Finance~~ Manager Disclosure Category 1
 - c. ~~Assistant Director - Implementation~~ Disclosure Category 1

Conflict of Interest Code: Financial Disclosure

SEC. 3.1-270. PRESERVATION

Category 1. DISCLOSURE CATEGORIES

Designated Positions and Disclosure Categories
Commissioner
(Added by Ord. 9-00, File No. 090199, App. 6/10/2009)

SEC. 3.1-275. HUMAN RESOURCES

Category 2. PERSONS IN THIS CATEGORY

Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Human Resources Department to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Resources Department within the two years prior to the date of any disclosure statement, or which may reasonably do so in the future.

Designated Positions and Disclosure Categories

Table listing various positions such as Commissioner, Executive Director, Accounting Manager, etc., and their corresponding disclosure categories (1 or 2).

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Table listing various positions such as Director of Occupational Health & Safety, Director of Public Housing Operations, etc., and their corresponding disclosure categories (1 or 2).

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003; Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.225; added by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; amended by Ord. 311-92, App. 10/9/92; Ord. 56-97, App. 3/6/97; Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98; Ord. 340-99, File No. 992046, App. 12/30/99)

SEC. 3.1-275. HUMAN RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF.

Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Human Resources Department to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Resources Department.

Sec. 3.1-335 San Francisco - Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code

SEC. 3.1-335 PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

(a) Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all interests in real property, and all investments and business positions in any business entity that is principally involved in real estate development, planning, real estate brokerage, appraisal, or historic preservation.

(b) Disclosure Category 3. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and the Department of Planning to provide, services, supplies, machinery or equipment to the Department of City Planning.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories
Planning Director 1
Chief Administrator 1
Assistant Director 1
0932 Manager 1
Planner Vice President 1
Planner Vice President 1
Environmental Planner 1
Administrative Planner 1
Communications Planner 1
Planner I 1
Planner II 1
Planner III 1
Planner IV 1
Planner V 1
Planner VI 1
Planner VII 1
Planner VIII 1
Planner IX 1
Planner X 1
Planner XI 1
Planner XII 1
Planner XIII 1
Planner XIV 1
Planner XV 1
Planner XVI 1
Planner XVII 1
Planner XVIII 1
Planner XIX 1
Planner XX 1
Planner XXI 1
Planner XXII 1
Planner XXIII 1
Planner XXIV 1
Planner XXV 1
Planner XXVI 1
Planner XXVII 1
Planner XXVIII 1
Planner XXIX 1
Planner XXX 1
Planner XXXI 1
Planner XXXII 1
Planner XXXIII 1
Planner XXXIV 1
Planner XXXV 1
Planner XXXVI 1
Planner XXXVII 1
Planner XXXVIII 1
Planner XXXIX 1
Planner XL 1
Planner XLI 1
Planner XLII 1
Planner XLIII 1
Planner XLIV 1
Planner XLV 1
Planner XLVI 1
Planner XLVII 1
Planner XLVIII 1
Planner XLIX 1
Planner L 1
Planner LI 1
Planner LII 1
Planner LIII 1
Planner LIV 1
Planner LV 1
Planner LVI 1
Planner LVII 1
Planner LVIII 1
Planner LIX 1
Planner LX 1
Planner LXI 1
Planner LXII 1
Planner LXIII 1
Planner LXIV 1
Planner LXV 1
Planner LXVI 1
Planner LXVII 1
Planner LXVIII 1
Planner LXIX 1
Planner LXX 1
Planner LXXI 1
Planner LXXII 1
Planner LXXIII 1
Planner LXXIV 1
Planner LXXV 1
Planner LXXVI 1
Planner LXXVII 1
Planner LXXVIII 1
Planner LXXIX 1
Planner LXXX 1
Planner LXXXI 1
Planner LXXXII 1
Planner LXXXIII 1
Planner LXXXIV 1
Planner LXXXV 1
Planner LXXXVI 1
Planner LXXXVII 1
Planner LXXXVIII 1
Planner LXXXIX 1
Planner XL 1

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/18/2001; Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003; Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)
(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.280; added by Ord. 3-90, App. 1/5/90; amended by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; Ord. 311-92, App. 10/9/92; Ord. 380-94, App. 11/10/94; Ord. 56-97, App. 3/6/97; Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98)

SEC. 3.1-340. POLICE DEPARTMENT.

(a) Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Police Department to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Police Department.

(b) Disclosure Category 3. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, interests in real property, and income from any source subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the Police Department.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories
Police Commissioners 1
Chief of Police 1
Deputy Chief of Police 1
Assistant Chief of Police 1
All Captains of Police 1
Commanders of Police 1
Commanding Officers, District Stations 1
Commanding Officer, Planning Division 2
Commanding Officer, Vice Crimes 1
Commanding Officer, Property Control Division 1
Commanding Officer, Legal Division 1
Legal Officers 1
Commanding Officer, Permits Section 3
Officer in Charge, Permit Section 3
Chief's Permit Hearing Officer 3
Officer in Charge of the Police Law Enforcement Services Unit 1
Officer in Charge of Management Control Division 1

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Department of Public Health _____
 Mailing Address: 101 Grove St., Rm. 210, San Francisco, CA 94102 _____
 Contact Person: Regina Pera _____ Office Phone No: (415) 554-2587 _____
 E-mail: regina.pera@sfdph.org _____ Fax No: (415) 554-2530 _____

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
 (Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) Add: Chief Communications Officer, SFGH _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



 Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8/1/10

 Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
 Board of Supervisors
 ATTN: Peggy Nevin
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Fax: 554-5163

*CPW
ASW*

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Mailing Address: 1155 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
Contact Person: Linda Marini Office Phone No.: 415-554-1632
Email: lmarini@sfgwater.org Office Fax No.: 415-554-2405

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(check all that apply)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Linda Marini for Ed Harrington
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8/6/10
Date

Complete this notice regardless of recently your code was approved or amended.
Please return this notice no later than August 1, 2010 via email (.pdf), interoffice mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
Attn: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 204
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: (415) 554-5163

Public Utilities Commission, Boards and Administration

~~ADD the following titles~~

Deputy General Manager
Chief Operating Officer
Emergency Planning Director
Environmental/Sustainability Coordinator
Manager, Complex Lease Transaction
Principal Administrative Analyst II
Strategic Planning Coordinator

Water Enterprise

~~REMOVE the following working titles~~

Director of Laboratories
Engineer

Senior Stationary Engineer
Water Resources Director

~~ADD the following working titles~~

Chief Laboratory Manager
Chief Water Service Inspector
Division Manager, CDD
Laboratory Manager
Maintenance & Planning Manager
Maintenance and Program Manager, CDD
Manager, Environmental Compliance
Regulatory Specialist
Right of Way Manager
Safety Officer
Senior Administrative Analyst
Senior Management Assistant (Prop Q)
Senior Storekeeper
Storekeeper
Water Conservation Manager
Water Systems Manager

Hetch Hetchy Water

~~REMOVE the following titles~~

Construction Inspector

~~ADD the following titles~~

Parts Storekeeper
Utility Specialist
Senior Power Generation Technician

Wastewater Enterprise

~~ADD the following titles~~

Chief Operating and Maintenance Manager
Executive Director, Southeast Community Facility Commission
Executive Secretary II (Prop Q)
IS Business Analyst (Prop Q)
Laboratory Services Manager
Maintenance Manager, Wastewater Enterprise
Planner IV - Environmental Review
Regulatory Specialist
Secretary II (Prop Q)
Senior Administrative Analyst
Wastewater Planning Division Manager

Power Enterprise

~~REMOVE the following titles~~

Energy Services Manager
Maintenance Manager
Manager, Regulatory Affairs, Power Enterprise
Manager, Retail Services, Power Enterprise
Manager, Strategic Planning, Power Enterprise

Modifications to Published Designated Class Titles Requiring Form 700 Filing

Manager, Streetlight Division, Power Enterprise
Program Manager, Electric & Gas Retail Services
Water & Power Resources Manager
Water Resources Manager

~~ADD the following titles:~~

Account Clerk (Prop Q)
Associate Engineer
Buildings and Grounds Maintenance Superintendent
Energy Efficiency Development Manager
Engineer
Generation & Renewables Development Manager
Hetch Hetchy Energy Data Systems Manager
Maintenance Planner
Manager, Legislative & Regulatory Affairs, Power Enterprise
Manager, Power Field Operations and Business Development
Manager, Special Projects, Power Enterprise
Manager, Strategic and Resource Planning, Power Enterprise
Materials Coordinator
Power Purchasing & Scheduling Manager
Power Wholesale & Retail Services Manager
Redevelopment Manager, Power Enterprise
Regulatory Specialist
Utility Field Services Manager

Infrastructure Bureau

~~REMOVE the following working titles:~~

Deputy Director, Contract Administration
Manager, General Engineering Division
Program and Maintenance Manager
Program Management Advisor
WSIP Manager of Projects

~~ADD the following working titles:~~

Account Clerk
Budgeting Manager, WSIP
Chief Surveyor
Contracting Initiatives Manager
Contractors Help Center Manager
Contracts Manager
Director, Contractors Assistance Center
Director, Waste Water Improvement Program
Director, Water System Improvement Program
Infrastructure Development Manager, WWE
Junior Engineer
Manager, Construction Management
Manager, Program Development and Support
Manager, Program Development and Support
Manager, Project Management Bureau
Principal Engineer
Project Manager 1
Sr. Scada Engineer
WSIP Client Representative, Sunol
WSIP Construction Outreach Manager
WSIP Water System Liaison

Business Services Bureau

~~REMOVE the following working titles:~~

Assistant Manager, EEO
Commercial Division, Assistant Supervisor
Deputy Director, Human Resource Services
Engineer/Architect Principal
Finance Bureau Director
Financial Manager
Fleet Manager
Information Services Manager
IS Manager - Supervisory Control and Data Aggregation

Modifications to Published Designated Class Titles Requiring Form 700 Filing

IS Project Director
Labor Relations Manager
Service Operations Manager
Training Coordinator

~~ADD the following working titles~~

Accountant II (Prop Q)
Accountant III (Prop Q)
Accounting Services Manager
Assurance & Internal Controls Division Manager
Capital Projects Accountant
Chief Financial Officer
Chief Learning Officer
Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor
Customer Service Director
Customer Service Office Operations Manager
Customer Service Operations Manager
Director of Budgets
Director, Human Resource Services
Finance Bureau Director, Deputy CFO(s)
Financial Analysis & Audit Manager
Financial Planning Debt Analyst
General Ledger Financial Reporting
IS Project Director
Labor & Employee Relations Manager
Principal Account Clerk (Prop Q)
Principal Engineer
Risk Manager
Supervising Fiscal Officer
WWE Bond Project Manager

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
Mailing Address: 501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117
Contact Person: Linda Martinez Office Phone No: (415) 831-2761
E-mail: Linda.Martinez@sfgov.org Fax No: (415) 831-2097

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer

7/30/10

Date


Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE REVIEW - 2010

Current Designated Positions	Revise Titles of Existing Positions	New Designated Positions	Disclosure Category
Recreation and Park Commissioners			
General Manager	Superintendent of Parks & Open Spaces		1
Superintendent of City-Wide Services	Superintendent of Recreation & Community Services		1
Superintendent of Neighborhood Services	Princ. Administrative Analyst - Property		2
Property Manager			1
Director of Administration & Finance	Director of Capital and Planning Division		1
Capital Division Manager			
Principal Recreation Supervisor - Permits & Reservation			2
Principal Administrative Analyst - Finance			2
Principal Administrative Analyst - Purchasing			2
Senior Administrative Analyst - Property			2
Senior Administrative Analyst - Purchasing			2
Commission Liaison			1
Director of Operations			1
Director of Partnership & Resources Development		Permits & Reservations Manager	2
		Director of Policy & Public Affairs	1
8/2/2010			



2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice
Linda Martinez to: Peggy Nevin

08/02/2010 02:06 PM

Peggy - Please see attached signed noticed along with a spreadsheet show REC's revisions/new designations and one disclosure category change for 2010.

Please let me know if you need anything further or have any questions. Thank you - L

Linda Martinez
Recreation and Park Department
415.831.2761 (phone)
415.831-2097 (FAX)

----- Forwarded by Linda Martinez/RPD/SFGOV on 08/02/2010 02:04 PM -----

From: scanner@sfgov.org
To: "LINDA MARTINEZ" <linda.martinez@sfgov.org>
Date: 08/02/2010 01:59 PM
Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "RNP06A74B" (Aficio MP 4001).

Scan Date: 08.02.2010 13:58:31 (-0400)



Queries to: scanner@sfgov.org 20100802135831401.pdf

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: RETIRE HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND BOARD
Mailing Address: 30 VAN NESS AVENUE, SF CA 94110
Contact Person: NORM NICKENS Office Phone No: 415-770-25
E-mail: Norm.Nickens@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-770-25

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8-16-10

Date

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 18 PM 3:18
RW

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.
Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Human Services Commission – Department of Human Services _____

Mailing Address: P. O. Box 7988, San Francisco 94120 _____

Contact Person: Dep Dir Phil Arnold__ Office Phone No: 415 557-6431

E-mail: Phil Arnold@sfgov.org Fax No: 415 431-9270

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____


Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer



Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

Human Services Commission
2011
Department of Human Services Employees Designated to File
Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests

<p>MEMBERS, HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION Kelly Dearman Anita Friedman, Ph.D. Scott L. Kahn Pablo Stewart, M.D. George Yamasaki, Jr.</p>	1	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management.</p>
<p>EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Trent Rhorer</p>	1	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management.</p>
<p>DEPUTY DIRECTORS Steve Arcelona Phil Arnold James Buick Debby Jeter Noelle Simmons</p>	1	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management.</p>
<p>MANAGER, CHILDCARE SERVICES Michele Rutherford</p>	2	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p>
<p>MANAGER, BUDGET Derek Chu</p>	1	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management.</p>
<p>MANAGER FISCAL OPERATIONS Joseph Huang Nikki Iroko Rojana Turner Patrick Leung</p>	1	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management.</p>

<p>PROGRAM MANAGER, FAMILY & CHILDREN'S SERVICES Sophia Isom Sharon Bell Tracy Burris John Tsutakawa</p>	<p>2 - 3</p>	<p><u>Disclosure Category 2:</u> Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p> <p><u>Disclosure Category 3:</u> Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which owns or operates any board and care home, foster institution for children or home health agency in the jurisdiction.</p>
<p>PROGRAM MANAGER, COUNTY ADULT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS Dorothy Enisman Megan Elliott Tom Conrow Leo O'Farrell</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p>
<p>DIRECTOR, PERSONNEL Robert Thomas</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p>
<p>MANAGER, INVESTIGATIONS Diana Christensen</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which owns or operates any board and care home, foster institution for children or home health agency in the jurisdiction.</p>
<p>DIRECTOR, SUPPORT SERVICES Nancy Bliss</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p>
<p>DIRECTOR, CONTRACTS David Curto</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.</p>

DIRECTOR, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY Vakil Kuner	4	Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which is engaged in the sale of products or services related to data processing.
MAYOR'S DIRECTOR OF HOMELESS POLICY Dariush Kayhan	2	Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.
DIRECTOR, HOMELESS PROGRAMS Joyce Crum	2	Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.
CONTRACT MANAGERS Esparanza Zapien Johanna Gendelman Stella Wu-Chu Christina Iwasaki Christina Chiong Tahir Shaikh Lou Palileo Larry Chatmon Anna Gorospe Phillip Wong David Flores	2	Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Department of Human Services to provide, services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Human Services Department.

Revised 8.27.2010

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

2010 AUG 30 AM 11:58

Name of Agency: San Francisco Sheriff's Department BY PN
Mailing Address: Rm 456, City Hall
Contact Person: James Hanigan Office Phone No: 415-554-7225
E-mail: _____ Fax No: 415-554-7050

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.


Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8-26-10
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Office of Economic and Workforce Investment (Workforce Investment Board)

Mailing Address: 50 Van Ness Avenue

Contact Person: Nikole Ford Office Phone No: 415-581-2321

E-mail: nikole.ford@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-581-2317

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:


An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer

8/30/10

Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

64

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: Small Business Commission
 Mailing Address: City Hall, RM 101, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, SF, CA 94102
 Contact Person: Regina Dick-Endrizzi Office Phone No: 415-554-6481
 E-mail: regina.dick-endrizzi@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-558-7844

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:

(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other *(describe)* _____


Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer



Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended. Please return this notice no later than **August 1, 2010**, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
 Board of Supervisors
 ATTN: Peggy Nevin
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Fax: 554-5163

Small Business Commission

SEC. 3.1-422. ~~SMALL BUSINESS, OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION.~~

~~Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco or the Small Business Commission to provide services, supplies, materials, machinery, or equipment to the Small Business Commission.~~

Designated Positions	Disclosure Categories
Small Business Commissioner.....	1
Director of the Office of Small Business	1
Secretary to the Small Business Commission	1
Project Manager	1
Supervisor, Small Business Assistance Center	1
<i>Senior Management Assistant</i>	2

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice

Name of Agency: PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO

Mailing Address: PIER 1, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

Contact Person: MONIQUE MOYER Office Phone No: 415-274-0401

E-mail: Monique.Moyer@sfport.com Fax No: 415-274-0412

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)

- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
- Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
- Revise disclosure categories.
- Revise the titles of existing positions.
- Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
- Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.

The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.

Monique Moyer
Signature of Chief Executive Officer

08/31/10
Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than August 1, 2010, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

24

67
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 31 PM 12:09
BY

2010 Local Agency Biennial Notice


Name of Agency: Treasure Island Development Authority Board
Mailing Address: One Ave. of Palms, 2nd Floor, S.F., CA 94130
Contact Person: Mirian Saez Office Phone No: 415-274-0660
E-mail: mirian.saez@sfgov.org Fax No: 415-274-0299

This agency has reviewed its conflict-of-interest code and has determined that:

- An amendment is required. The following amendments are necessary:
(Check all that apply.)
- Include new positions (including consultants) that must be designated.
 - Delete positions that manage public investments from the list of designated positions.
 - Revise disclosure categories.
 - Revise the titles of existing positions.
 - Delete titles of positions that have been abolished.
 - Other (describe) _____

Code is currently under review by the code-reviewing body.

No amendment is required.
The agency's code accurately designates all positions that make or participate in the making of governmental decisions; the disclosure categories assigned to those positions accurately require the disclosure of all investments, business positions, interests in real property, and sources of income that may foreseeably be affected materially by the decisions made by those holding the designated positions; and the code includes all other provisions required by Government Code Section 87302.



Signature of Chief Executive Officer



Date

Complete this notice regardless of how recently your code was approved or amended.

Please return this notice no later than August 1, 2010, via e-mail (PDF), inter-office mail, or fax to:

Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Peggy Nevin
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Fax: 554-5163

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Controller	1
Consultant: Project Management Oversight for Doyle Drive	1
Consultant: On-Call Project Management and Oversight Services	1

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 35-02, File No. 011875, App. 3/29/2002; Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007; Ord. 93-08, File No. 090199, App. 6/10/2009)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.395; added by Ord. 190-90, App. 5/24/90; amended by Ord. 311-92, App. 10/9/92; Ord. 380-94, App. 11/10/94; Ord. 345-98, App. 11/19/98; Ord. 340-99, File No. 992046, App. 12/30/99)

SEC. 3.1-440. TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Members, Board of Directors	1
-----------------------------------	---

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 99-05, File No. 041570, App. 5/25/2005; Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

(Derivation: Former Administrative Code Section 58.400; added by Ord. 340-99, File No. 992046, App. 12/30/99)

SEC. 3.1-445. TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR.

(a) Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose (a) investments, business positions, real property and sources of income if any of these financial interests are subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the Treasurer-Tax Collector; and (b) investments and business positions in and sources of income from a person or an entity that provides services, supplies, materials, machinery or equipment to the Treasurer-Tax Collector Department, or that may foreseeably do so in the future, or that has done so within two years prior to any time period covered by a statement of economic interest.

(b) Disclosure Category 3. Persons in this category shall disclose investments, business positions, real property and sources of income if any of these financial interests are subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the Treasurer-Tax Collector.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories

Treasurer	See Sec. 3.1-500
Chief Assistant Treasurer	See Sec. 3.1-500
Cash Management and Investment Officer	See Sec. 3.1-500
Assistant Cash Management and Invest- ment Officer	See Sec. 3.1-500
Account Clerk	2
Account Intern	2
Accountant	2
Attorney	1
Chief Clerk	2
Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor ...	2
Head Accountant	2
Investigator	2
IS Engineer—Senior	2
Management Assistant	2
Manager I	1
Manager II	1
Manager III	1
Manager IV	1
Manager V	1
Manager VI	1
Manager VII	1
Personal Property Auditor	2
Principal Account Clerk	2
Principal Accountant	2
Principal Administrative Analyst	2
Principal Investigator	1
Principal Personal Property Auditor	2
Senior Account Clerk	2
Senior Accountant	2
Senior Administrative Analyst	2
Senior Collections Officer	2
Senior Investigator	2
Senior Management Assistant	2
Senior Property Auditor	2
Tax Collector Attorney	1

(Added by Ord. 71-00, File No. 000358, App. 4/28/2000; amended by Ord. 58-01, File No. 001951, App. 4/13/2001; Ord. 73-03, File No. 022027, App. 4/25/2003; Ord. 30-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007)

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 10 PM 12:39

BY le

To: Angela Calvillo,
Clerk of the Board
From: Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

BOS-11

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION:

**Audit of Administration of Fixed
Rent Agreements with Revenues
Exceeding \$100,000**



August 10, 2010

**CONTROLLER'S OFFICE
CITY SERVICES AUDITOR**

The City Services Auditor was created within the Controller's Office through an amendment to the City Charter that was approved by voters in November 2003. Under Appendix F to the City Charter, the City Services Auditor has broad authority for:

- Reporting on the level and effectiveness of San Francisco's public services and benchmarking the city to other public agencies and jurisdictions.
- Conducting financial and performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of processes and services.
- Operating a whistleblower hotline and website and investigating reports of waste, fraud, and abuse of city resources.
- Ensuring the financial integrity and improving the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

The audits unit conducts financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. Financial audits address the financial integrity of both city departments and contractors and provide reasonable assurance about whether financial statements are presented fairly in all material aspects in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Attestation engagements examine, review, or perform procedures on a broad range of subjects such as internal controls; compliance with requirements of specified laws, regulations, rules, contracts, or grants; and the reliability of performance measures. Performance audits focus primarily on assessment of city services and processes, providing recommendations to improve department operations.

The audits unit conducts the audits in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards published by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). These standards require:

- Independence of audit staff and the audit organization.
- Objectivity of the auditors performing the work.
- Competent staff, including continuing professional education.
- Quality control procedures to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the auditing standards.

Audit Team: Ben Carlick, Audit Manager



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

August 10, 2010

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
1155 Market Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Ed Harrington, General Manager
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
1155 Market Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

President, Members, and Mr. Harrington:

The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor, presents its report concerning the audit of fixed rent agreements with rents exceeding \$100,000 administered by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC). SFPUC has over 400 leases and permits for lands and properties that it owns, and only 14 of the agreements with fixed rents have annual rents that exceed, or nearly exceed, \$100,000.

Reporting Period: January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009


Rent Paid: \$2,057,682

Results:

SFPUC's Real Estate Services (RES) unit generally administered the leases and permits that it manages in an adequate manner. For example, the audit found that tenant rent payments conformed to agreement terms. However, the audit identified a number of ways that RES can improve its administration of its agreements. The audit found that RES did not ensure that tenants obtained all insurance coverage required by the agreements. In addition, 11 of the 14 tenants reviewed did not pay all required security deposits, resulting in a shortage of \$118,590. SFPUC does not administer its agreements in compliance with the stated terms in part because the agreements do not consider SFPUC's current business processes. For example, the agreements require SFPUC to charge late payment penalties as of the 5th, 10th, or 15th of the month, yet SFPUC's billing system does not have this capability. SFPUC should review its agreements to determine appropriate revisions that would facilitate its administration of the agreements.

The response from SFPUC is attached to this report. The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor, will work with SFPUC to follow up on the status of the recommendations made in this report. We appreciate the assistance and cooperation of SFPUC staff during the audit.

Respectfully submitted,


Tonia Lediju
Director of Audits

cc: Mayor
Board of Supervisors
Budget Analyst
Civil Grand Jury
Public Library

INTRODUCTION

Audit Authority

The Office of the Controller (Controller) is required under the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 10, Article 1, Section 10.6-2, to audit, at regular intervals, all leases of city-owned real property for which rent of \$100,000 or more a year is to be paid to the City and County of San Francisco (City). In addition, the City Charter provides the Controller, City Services Auditor (CSA), with broad authority to conduct audits. This audit was conducted under these authorities, and pursuant to an audit plan agreed to by the Controller and San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC).

Background

SFPUC's Real Estate Services (RES) unit manages the commercial interest in lands and properties owned by SFPUC, including negotiating the agreements with prospective tenants. According to RES staff, as of May 2010, RES administered 403 leases and permits. A number of these agreements require the tenant to pay rent of a fixed amount. The audit identified 14 agreements that had exceeded, or nearly exceeded, the \$100,000 threshold in rent payments to SFPUC.

To perform its services, RES has a total of six staff. RES's primary tool for administering its agreements is a spreadsheet called the Lease and Permit Status Report. RES uses this report to track key agreement information, such as the term, annual rent or fees, and the date of the last rent adjustment. Other SFPUC units perform functions related to lease management. For example, the SFPUC's Customer Services Bureau performs billing and collection activities for these agreements.

Scope and Methodology

The purpose of this audit was to determine if SFPUC has adequate procedures to administer the 14 agreements with annual fixed rent of \$100,000 or more. The audit also determined if the tenants selected for review paid the correct rent in accordance with the terms of their agreements, and complied with other financial terms of the agreements. The audit covered the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009.

To conduct the audit, the audit team:

- Reviewed the adequacy of RES's procedures for administering agreements, and assessed the internal controls over key processes.
- Checked the accuracy of information in the Lease and Permit Status Report by comparing a sample of tenant data to the agreement, and other supporting documentation, such as certificate of insurance documents.
- Determined if the tenants properly paid the rent due to SFPUC for the agreements under review.
- Determined if SFPUC correctly computed rent adjustments based on agreement terms for a selection of the agreements under review.
- Assessed if RES had obtained security deposits required of its tenants according to the terms of the agreements.
- Checked a sample of tenants to verify that RES had properly computed reimbursement of property taxes when required by the agreements.

This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require planning and performing the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives.

AUDIT RESULTS

RES Generally Administered the Agreements Adequately, But Needs to Make Some Improvements

During the audit period, SFPUC's Real Estate Services (RES) unit generally administered the leases and permits that it manages in an adequate manner. The audit found that the Lease and Permit Status Report generally contained accurate information about the agreement terms that RES tracks, except for security deposit amounts and insurance requirements. Audit tests showed that tenant rent payments conformed to agreement terms. However, RES did not always properly compute rent increases because it did not use the correct version of government price index reports, as specified in the agreements. The audit also found that SFPUC's tenants did not always obtain the insurance coverage required by their agreements, and that RES did not collect the full amount of security deposits required by most of the agreements. For a sample of three tenants, RES properly computed property taxes that tenants owed to SFPUC, although SFPUC did not have adequate support for the size of the leased area and the size of the parcel for one tenant.

RES Received Correct Rent Amounts from Tenants

RES received correct rent payments for the 14 agreements under review. Exhibit 1 summarizes the rent paid to SFPUC by the audited tenants during 2009.

EXHIBIT 1 Rent Paid During 2009 by Tenants

Tenant	Agreement Number	Rent Paid for 2009
San Antonio Center Assoc.	3728	\$388,119
Artichoke Joe's	3765A	254,781
SF Community College District	3850	227,734
Cisco Systems	3852A	161,683
Williams Communications ¹	3882	129,161
Northpointe Business Park	3542A	126,823
Cisco Systems	3772A	121,648
All Auto Dismantlers	3911	121,011
Paramount Great America	3844	112,704
Cisco Systems	3752A	100,470
Treasure Island Trailer Court	3980	100,000
Shapell Industries of Northern California	3451	96,551
SF Community College District	3868	77,875
Decorative Plant Services, Inc. ²	3912A	39,122
Totals		\$2,057,682

Notes:

¹ The agreement with Williams Communications is a permit, and the tenant pays SFPUC fees, not rent.

² Decorative Plant Services, Inc. used rent credits totaling \$141,989 to reduce the rent due of \$181,111 for the year.

Source: SFPUC records.

Tenant Insurance Coverage Is Not Always Complete

SFPUC agreements specify the insurance coverage requirements that the tenant is to maintain during the term of the agreement. Some tenants did not always follow the agreement requirements. RES's procedures require tenants to provide RES a certificate of insurance that documents the coverage obtained on an annual basis; however, RES did not ensure that the insurance coverage obtained by the tenants complied with the agreement requirements.

EXHIBIT 2 Tenants With Incomplete Insurance Coverage					
Tenant	Agreement Number	Property Insurance	General Liability	Workers Compensation	Auto Liability
All Auto Dismantlers	3911	No	No	No	No
Cisco Systems	3852A	n/a	Yes	No	Yes
San Antonio Center Assoc.	3728	No	OK	No	No

Source: RES records of insurance coverage.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

1. Verify that the agreements require the tenants to obtain appropriate insurance coverage.
2. Remind its tenants to obtain insurance coverage required by their agreements.
3. Ensure that tenants have required coverage each year.
4. Revise its procedures so that RES staff checks that the insurance coverage submitted by the tenant meets the agreement requirements.

Security Deposits Not Fully Collected From Tenants

Of the 14 agreements, 11 tenants did not pay the full security deposit required by their agreements. For the 14 agreements, SFPUC should have collected a total of \$369,708 in security deposits. SFPUC documented that it received cash or bonds valued at only \$251,117, for a shortage of \$118,590. RES is responsible for collecting the security deposit due from each tenant.

The audit identified several reasons why RES did not obtain the required security deposits:

- RES did not obtain an additional security deposits from tenants when SFPUC increased the rent amounts. The agreements generally require that tenants pay two times the monthly rent as a security deposit. According

to the RES Director, it is time-consuming and cumbersome to collect from tenants the relatively minor additional amounts for security deposits when rents increase.

- RES did not obtain the full deposit required under the agreement terms for 2 of the 14 agreements under review. The lease for Artichoke Joe's (L3765A) required it to pay a security deposit of \$25,000, but SFPUC records show that it paid only \$12,500. According to RES staff, RES did not collect the additional \$12,500 when the new lease, dated August 1, 1997, was entered with SFPUC that required an increase in the security deposit to \$25,000. The audit also found that RES did not obtain the required security deposit of \$12,979 from the San Francisco Community College District (L3868).
- RES did not obtain renewals of deposits that are in the form of bonds that have expiration dates. Specifically, RES did not obtain documentation of bond renewals that had expired for 2 of the 14 agreements under review. RES does not have procedures in place that allow it to effectively track deposits that expire, so that it can request documentation. If bond renewals are not obtained from the tenants, the security deposits may no longer have value.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

5. Obtain the additional \$118,590 in security deposits from the 11 tenants that did not pay the full security deposit amount to SFPUC as required by their agreements.
6. Routinely obtain the additional security deposit due from tenants whose rent is increased and whose security deposit is based on the rent amount.
7. Develop a procedure to identify any deposits that have expiration dates, and obtain renewals from tenants, as needed.

Management Tool for Tracking All Agreements Lacks Functionality and Has Some Incorrect Information

The tracking spreadsheet that RES uses as a management tool for all of its agreements lacks sufficient functionality and has significant errors regarding security deposit amounts. RES uses a spreadsheet called the Lease and Permit Status Report to track key agreement information, including the agreement term, annual rent, rent adjustment

method, and insurance and deposit information. RES needs adequate tools to manage the 403 leases and permits that it administers to ensure that it can monitor the status of key requirements.

According to the RES Director, RES did not have the expertise to develop appropriate functionality of the spreadsheet. Without this functionality, RES is less capable of effectively monitoring its agreements. For example, the date that the insurance expires was not entered in the spreadsheet in a format that would allow RES to sort the agreements by expiration dates. A prior Controller's audit identified this issue, and SFPUC informed the auditor that it implemented an improved spreadsheet in May 2010.

RES did not have the correct information regarding security deposit amounts in the Lease and Permit Status Report. Of the 14 agreements audited, RES incorrectly recorded the amount of the security deposit for 10 of the agreements. For most of these 10 agreements, it appears that the security deposit listed in the report is the deposit made by the tenant at one point during the agreement, and does not reflect additional deposits subsequently made. For one of these 10 agreements, the amount listed in the report was the required deposit amount, and not the actual deposit amount. Without accurate information in the spreadsheet, RES is unable to effectively use it to identify tenants that have not paid the full amount of security deposits due under their agreements.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

8. Improve the Lease and Permit Status Report so that RES staff can use the report to more easily and accurately ensure tenants' compliance with lease terms. SFPUC may want to consider using a database or other application for this information.
9. Verify that the Lease and Permit Status Report has the correct security deposit amounts for all agreements listed in the report.
10. Ensure that RES routinely verifies that the Lease and Permit Status Report has current and correct information.

Late Payment Charges Are Inaccurate

SFPUC's billing system does not provide for assessing penalties on late payments if the due date is earlier than the 15th of the month. SFPUC has agreements that require

late payment penalties after the 5th, 10th, or 15th of the month. All 14 agreements under review have late payment penalties set for one of these three deadlines. The billing system that SFPUC uses, called the Colonial Property Management System, currently has the capability to use only one day of the month for calculating late payment penalties. SFPUC has chosen the first business day after the 15th of the month to determine if late payment penalties are due for rent payments. Because of this, SFPUC is not collecting late payment penalties due from tenants that pay rents after the due date but before the 15th of the month. Typically, SFPUC's agreements require tenants to pay a percentage of the monthly rent as the late payment penalty.

The audit also found that the late payment penalty provision for Decorative Plant Services, Inc. is not recorded in the Lease and Permit Status Report and the Colonial Property Management System. The report and system show that the tenant is not subject to a late payment penalty. The lease includes a late payment penalty, which is 6 percent of the rent if it is paid more than five days late.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

11. Assess its practices, procedures, and systems to ensure it can charge late payment penalties according to the agreement terms.
12. Update the Lease and Permit Status Report and the Colonial Property Management System to include the late payment penalty specified in the lease with Decorative Plant Services, Inc.

Waiving Late Payment Penalties Not Allowed by Agreements

The SFPUC agreements reviewed did not include a provision that would allow SFPUC to waive late payment penalties. However, the RES Director informed the auditor that RES occasionally waived the late payment penalty in instances when the RES Director believed there was a good reason. For the 14 agreements, the auditor identified the rent payments that were received after the 15th of the month. The auditor found that three tenants paid their rent late for a total of nine months for which SFPUC did not collect a late charge. The late payment charges totaled \$6,223. Of these late payment charges, RES waived \$4,602 in charges, SFPUC's Customer Service Bureau did not bill \$1,500 in late payment charges because of a billing error, and the tenant has not paid \$121 in late payment charges. The audit did not analyze the reasons that RES waived late charges. According to the RES Director, RES

does not have a policy that specifies the reasons that a waiver of late payment charges may be granted.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

13. Determine if it is beneficial for the organization to have the authority to waive late payment penalties. If so, SFPUC should change the terms of its agreements to allow it to waive late payment penalties. If not, SFPUC should discontinue its practice of waiving late payment penalties for its tenants.
14. If it changes agreement terms to allow waiver of penalties, SFPUC should develop and implement a policy that specifies the reasons that a waiver of late payment charges may be granted.

Rent Adjustments Based on Wrong Reports

RES often computes the rent adjustment using an earlier price index report than specified in the agreement. SFPUC's agreements that have rent adjustments require RES to use the Consumer Price Index (CPI) report most immediately published preceding the anniversary of the agreement commencement date to compute the rent increase. RES practices have been to use the most current CPI report when calculating the adjustment several months before the agreement anniversary date. This CPI report is usually previous to the report required by the agreements. According to RES staff, it takes a considerable amount of time to adjust all of the agreements that need adjusting for the month. The auditor's test identified that RES used the earlier CPI report for three of the four agreements tested.

Recommendation

SFPUC should:

15. Change agreement terms so that it can administer the CPI adjustments using its existing practices or change its practices and use the CPI published before the agreement anniversary date.

Review of Agreement Terms Needed

As discussed above, SFPUC is not always administering its agreements in compliance with the stated agreement terms. SFPUC needs to undertake a review of its agreements and determine if it can effectively administer them based on its current business processes.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

16. Undertake a review of all of its agreement terms and determine if it can effectively administer them considering its business processes.
17. Where appropriate, modify the standard agreement terms to provide for appropriate requirements.
18. Consider preparing a single modification that covers the identified leases, if SFPUC changes multiple agreements.
19. Administer its agreements according to the specified terms.

Several Agreements Have Minor Issues That Need Resolution

Tenant did not submit required rent credit documentation

Several agreements have relatively minor issues that RES needs to resolve.

Decorative Plant Services, Inc. did not submit to SFPUC the required proof of payment for electrical service to apply for a rent credit. SFPUC applied the rent credit without receiving this documentation. The tenant's lease requires that it submit a proof of payment for electrical service for it to qualify for a \$300 rent credit each quarter. Although rent credits were not applicable to the other 13 leases under review, this finding may apply to other leases administered by RES and potentially indicates internal controls that need to be strengthened.

One permit does not establish the due date for payment of fees

The permit with Williams Communications, Inc. is silent on the due date for payment of fees if the tenant pays the fee monthly. The permit specifies the amount that the tenant is to pay annually or in monthly installments, at the tenant's option. While the permit provides that the payment is due on the first day of each calendar year if paid annually, it does not specify a due date for paying fees in monthly installments. The RES Director explained that this permit may be typical of all of its permits whose purpose is fiber optic installation.

The permit must establish a due date for paying fees in monthly installments. Without a due date, the permit's late payment penalty may be unenforceable, and the SFPUC may not be able to collect a late payment penalty from the tenant when monthly payments are deemed late.

The allocation of property tax reimbursable by one tenant needs to be validated


For the lease with Artichoke Joe's, the documents provided by SFPUC did not support the calculation used to allocate the property taxes of \$767 paid by the tenant for 2009. The agreements with many of SFPUC's tenants require that the tenant reimburse SFPUC for the share of property taxes paid by SFPUC based on the portion of the parcel leased by the tenant. For the lease with Artichoke Joe's, SFPUC's calculation appropriately uses the ratio of the leased area to the parcel area for allocating property taxes and RES correctly used the amount of property taxes that SFPUC paid in its calculation. However, the agreement indicates a different leased area than is used in the calculations to allocate property taxes. In addition, SFPUC could not provide the auditor with documentation of the parcel size. Without supporting documentation of the size of the leased area and the size of the parcel, RES is unable to verify that it properly calculated the property taxes for reimbursement by the tenant.

Recommendations

SFPUC should:

20. Require Decorative Plant Services, Inc. to submit proof of payment for electrical service to SFPUC to receive a rent credit.
21. Verify that it has adequate internal controls over approval of rent credits, including obtaining from tenants any required documentation before it approves rent credits.
22. Amend the permit with Williams Communications to specify the due date if the tenant pays the fee monthly. Because this permit may be typical of all of its permits for fiber optic installation, SFPUC should review all of its fiber optic permits to determine if this change is needed for all of them.
23. Verify that the property tax calculations are supported by appropriate records for the lease with Artichoke Joe's.

APPENDIX A: SFPUC'S RESPONSE



SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL MANAGER
1185 Market St., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
Tel. (415) 554-1600 Fax (415) 554-3424



July 26, 2010

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

P.K. CROWLEY
PRESIDENT

FRANCESCA VIETOR
VICE PRESIDENT

ANN MOLLER CAEN
COMMISSIONER

JULIET ELLIS
COMMISSIONER

ANSON B. MORAN
COMMISSIONER

ED HARRINGTON
GENERAL MANAGER

Tonia Lediju, Audit Director
Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor Division
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Management's Responses to Audit of Administration of Fixed Rent
Agreements with Revenues Exceeding \$100,000


Dear Ms. Lediju,

Thank you for providing your audit report for the SFPUC Administration of Fixed
Rent Agreements with Revenues Exceeding \$100,000. We appreciate the time and
effort that you and your staff have dedicated to the completion of this audit.

Attached for your review and consideration is the completed Audit Recommendation
and Response form containing Management's responses. We concur with your
recommendations and will resolve the outstanding items.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to
contact me at (415) 554-1600.

Sincerely,


ED HARRINGTON
General Manager

cc: Michael Carlin, Deputy General Manager
Todd L. Rydstrom, AGM Business Services and Chief Financial Officer
Gary Dowd, Director Real Estate Services
Nancy L. Horn, Director Assurance & Internal Controls

AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESPONSES

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
1. SFPUC should verify that the agreements require the tenants to obtain appropriate insurance coverage.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs.
2. SFPUC should remind its tenants to obtain insurance coverage required by their agreements.	Real Estate Services	Real Estate Services (RES) staff will perform a thorough review of Insurance Clauses in all Leases and Permits, and compare to Certificates of Insurance (COIs) on file for compliance. Given the large volume of leases and permits, this will be an ongoing task. RES staff will begin this assigned task immediately.
3. SFPUC should ensure that tenants have required coverage each year.	Real Estate Services	
4. SFPUC should revise its procedures so that RES staff checks that the insurance coverage submitted by the tenant meets the agreement requirements.	Real Estate Services	
5. SFPUC should obtain the additional \$118,590 in security deposits from the 11 tenants that did not pay the full security deposit amount to SFPUC as required by their agreements.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. The collection process has already commenced and is expected to be completed within 60 days of this report.
6. SFPUC should routinely obtain the additional security deposit due from tenants whose rent is increased and whose security deposit is based on the rent amount.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. An ongoing monitoring process will be implemented and become a routine review for security deposits as part of rent increases. As part

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>7. SFPUC should develop a procedure to identify any deposits that have expiration dates, and obtain renewals from tenants, as needed.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>of drafting a new Policy and Procedures Manual, RES may also consider gaining authority to not require security deposit increases with every annual rental increase as this will help streamline Lease and Permit management processes and efficiencies. Approval of the Policy and Procedures Manual is anticipated by the end of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.</p> <p>As noted below in the response to #8, the Lease and Permit Status Report has been updated to effectively track any deposits that have expiration dates.</p>
<p>8. SFPUC should improve the Lease and Permit Status Report so that RES staff can use the report to more easily and accurately ensure tenants' compliance with lease terms. SFPUC may want to consider using a database or other application for this information.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>SFPUC concurs.</p> <p>As of June 2010, RES has begun active use of the revised Lease and Permit Status Report that had been modified to better address the needs of the department and provide additional flexibility for data extraction and reporting.</p>
<p>9. SFPUC should verify that the Lease and Permit Status Report has the correct security deposit amounts for all agreements listed in the report.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>RES staff has begun verification of security deposits noted on the Lease and Permit Status Report.</p>
<p>10. SFPUC should ensure that RES routinely verifies that the Lease and Permit Status Report has current and correct information.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>RES staff will routinely verify that data on the Lease and Permit Status Report is current and accurate.</p>

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
11. SFPUC should assess its practices, procedures, and systems to ensure it can charge late payment penalties according to the agreement terms.	Real Estate Services/ Finance	SFPUC concurs. RES will collaborate with Information Technology Services (ITS) to determine whether the current property management system, Colonial, is able to apply late payment fees on various dates according to individual lease agreement terms.
12. SFPUC should update the Lease and Permit Status Report and the Colonial Property Management System to include the late payment penalty specified in the lease with Decorative Plant Services, Inc.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. RES will immediately review and update the Lease Permit Status Report and Colonial to include the late payment penalty, as specified in the lease with Decorative Plant Services, Inc.
13. SFPUC should determine if it is beneficial for the organization to have the authority to waive late payment penalties. If so, SFPUC should change the terms of its agreements to allow it to waive late payment penalties. If not, SFPUC should discontinue its practice of waiving late payment penalties for its tenants.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. As part of drafting a new Policy and Procedures Manual, RES will request to obtain authority to waive late payment penalties when warranted. The specific criteria for when a late payment waiver is allowed will be documented and detailed in the new policies and procedures. Approval is anticipated by the end of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.
14. If SFPUC changes agreement terms to allow waiver of penalties, SFPUC should develop and implement a policy that specifies the reasons that a waiver of late payment charges may be granted.	Real Estate Services	

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>15. SFPUC should change agreement terms so that it can administer the CPI adjustments using its existing practices or change its practices and use the CPI published before the agreement anniversary date.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>SFPUC concurs.</p> <p>As part of drafting a new Policy and Procedures Manual, RES will request approval to change agreement terms so that it can either: 1) better administer CPI adjustments using its existing practices, or 2) change its current practices and use the CPI published before the agreement anniversary date. Approval is anticipated by the end of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.</p>
<p>16. SFPUC should undertake a review of all of its agreement terms and determine if it can effectively administer them considering its business processes.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>SFPUC concurs.</p> <p>RES will undertake a review of all its agreement terms to determine if it can effectively administer its agreements according to specified terms.</p>
<p>17. Where appropriate, SFPUC should modify the standard agreement terms to provide for appropriate requirements.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>As part of drafting a new Policy and Procedures Manual, RES will request approval, where warranted, to change standard and specific agreement terms to provide for appropriate requirements.</p>
<p>18. If SFPUC changes multiple agreements, it should consider preparing a single modification that covers the identified leases.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>RES will consider proposing a blanket amendment to modify multiple agreements to cover identified leases that require changes.</p>
<p>19. SFPUC should administer its agreements according to the specified terms.</p>	<p>Real Estate Services</p>	<p>Completion and approval is anticipated by the end of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.</p>

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
20. SFPUC should require Decorative Plant Services, Inc. to submit proof of payment for electrical service to SFPUC to receive a rent credit.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. RES will immediately notify and require Decorative Plant Services, Inc. to submit proof of payment for electrical services in order to receive a rent credit.
21. SFPUC should verify that it has adequate internal controls over approval of rent credits, including obtaining from tenants any required documentation before it approves rent credits.	Real Estate Services	RES will review current procedures to ensure that adequate internal controls are in place for approval of rent credits and obtainment of required documentation from tenants prior to approval of granting the credit.
22. SFPUC should amend the permit with Williams Communications to specify the due date if the tenant pays the fee monthly. Because this permit may be typical of all of its permits for fiber optic installation, SFPUC should review all of its fiber optic permits to determine if this change is needed for all of them.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. The Williams Communications permit will be reviewed for amendment to specify the proper due date for monthly payment of fees. All fiber optics permits will be reviewed to address the same. The anticipated completion date is within 60-90 days of this report.
23. SFPUC should verify that the property tax calculations are supported by appropriate records for the lease with Artichoke Joe's.	Real Estate Services	SFPUC concurs. RES will verify and ensure that property tax calculations are supported by the appropriate records for the lease with Artichoke Joe's.

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
09/01/2010 05:49 PM

To
cc
bcc
Subject File 100865: Yes on Proposed Alcohol Fee + Specific
recommendation for TM Programs



Peter DuMont
<peterbrucedumont@gmail.com>
08/31/2010 07:14 PM

To Eric Mar <eric.i.mar@sfgov.org>, Michela Alioto-Pier
<michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org>, David Chiu
<david.chiu@sfgov.org>, Carmen Chu
<carmen.chu@sfgov.org>, Ross Mirkarimi
<ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, Chris Daly
<chris.daly@sfgov.org>, Sean Elsbernd
<sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>, Bevan Dufty
<bevan.dufty@sfgov.org>, David Campos
<david.campos@sfgov.org>, Sophie Maxwell
<sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org>, John Avalos
<john.avalos@sfgov.org>, San Francisco Clerk of the Board
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, Keith Carson
<aisha.brown@acgov.org>
cc
Subject Yes on Proposed Alcohol Fee + Specific recommendation for
TM Programs

MEDIA: COPY OF E-MAIL / POST TO "FORUM," KQED-FM, 2010-8-31.

FROM: STAR ALLIANCE FOUNDATION FOR ALL;

Peter B. DuMont, Prime Founder & President

Re: Proposed fee on alcoholic beverages in the City of San Francisco

People need, and will always need, ways to relax and counter the negative effects of stress in life. Unfortunately, the side effects of alcohol as a means to this worthy goal have long proven extremely negative too — slurred thinking and [irresponsible speech and] actions by habitual drinkers are often particularly damaging to innocent children and other vulnerable people.

26

We would strongly support a targeted fee of this kind [on alcohol] but also strongly urge that a goodly portion of the public income gained go to supporting proven, stress-reduction measures that do not induce the negative side effects of alcohol (and drugs, too, by the way).

We are thinking particularly of the Transcendental Meditation (TM) technique, which has been exhaustively researched by science for its positive benefits, and widely used; but is typically out of reach of the poor, who arguably need it most.

TM, supported in this enlightened public way, will once again prove itself enormously cost-effective to society.

[Contact re this recommendation: Peter DuMont: 510-540-8887 at STAR ALLIANCE.

For TM program services, you may contact TM program officers directly.]



File 100865



Request for City Services - Clerk of the Board

Enter Personal Details > Enter Service Request Details > Review & Submit > Attach Photo(s) / File(s) > Print & Track

B+F clerk
B+F comm
cpage

Successfully Submitted

Thank you for your submission. You will receive an email confirmation with a link to follow the progress of your submission.

If you have any additional requests or questions, you can call us 7 days a week, 24 hours a day at 311 (for calls outside of San Francisco please dial (415)701-2311).

Your Tracking Number is: 707008

Aug 4 2010 6:29PM.

Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Location Information:

Location Description:

Request Details:

Category: Other
Department: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: Clerk of the Board

Additional Information:

Additional Request Details:

A five cent alcohol tax is a good start. In Melbourne, Australia, a six-pack of beer at a discount grocery store costs 20 USD, and a crap beer at even dive bars cost 5 USD minimum. Why? In Melbourne the young men get drunk and then become violent. They ringed Melbourne with over 200 arson-set fires a year ago, killing about 200 people. They pour kerosene on people and set them on fire. They gang-up on Asian individuals and beat them nearly to death. This is common stuff over there. Our little five cent tax is long overdue, and you could well afford to increase that tax. Let the merchants and bar owners whine. They're still making crazy money while destroying families.

Customer Contact Information:

First Name: Cheryl
Last Name: Richard
Primary Phone:
Alternate Phone:
Address Number:
Street Name:
City, State:
ZIP Code:
Email: anonymous@sfgov311.org

Customer requested to be contacted by the department servicing their request:

26

File
100865
10 letters

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG -9 PM 3:13

BY pn

DATE August 2, 2010

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall

Re: **OPPOSE Alcohol Mitigation Fee**

Dear Supervisors:

As a business owner that has worked hard to stay afloat during these tough economic times, I want to urge you to vote "no" on a fee that you will be hearing, Supervisor Avolos' alcohol mitigation fee.

The alcohol mitigation fee is proposing an additional tax on alcohol to help fund City services. Raising fees on alcohol, a product that is already heavily taxed, will only cause prices to go up and the spending of customers like mine to go down. That's a situation I simply can't afford.

Should this fee be enacted, you will only be punishing responsible patrons and small business owners like me. Successful restaurants, bars, and stores will help fuel San Francisco's economic recovery, which will in turn help generate the revenues that San Francisco is lacking.

Please vote no on the alcohol mitigation fee so that businesses like mine can continue to contribute to the City's economic recovery.

Sincerely,



27

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



Orig: Joy
c: BOS-II, COB, Leg. Dep, City Attny,
Rules Clerk, Exec Sec, cpage
GAVIN NEWSOM

August 12, 2010

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Administrative Code §§24.7, 24B.1(b), I hereby nominate Harry Kim, Steven Jin Lee, Allen Okamoto, and Natividad M. Ramirez to the San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board.

Harry Kim is nominated for reappointment to the same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Steven Jin Lee is nominated for reappointment same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Allen Okamoto is nominated to fill the seat previously held by Carlos Borromeo for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Natividad Ramirez is nominated to fill the seat previously held by Albert Seto for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resumes which will illustrate the appointees' qualifications allow them to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Gondeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom".

BY _____
Alc

2010 AUG 12 PM 3:35

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

28



Notice of Appointment

August 12, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Administrative Code §§24.7,24B.1(b), I hereby nominate Harry Kim, Steven Jin Lee, Allen Okamoto, and Natividad M. Ramirez to the San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board.

Harry Kim is nominated for reappointment to the same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Steven Jin Lee is nominated for reappointment same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Allen Okamoto is nominated to fill the seat previously held by Carlos Borrromeo for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Natividad Ramirez is nominated to fill the seat previously held by Albert Seto for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

I am confident that these appointments will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

BY _____
AK

2010 AUG 12 PM 3:35

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

FILE NO.

MOTION NO.

1 [Confirming the Appointment of Harry Kim, Steven Jin Lee, Allen Okamoto, and Natividad M.
2 Ramirez to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency]

3 **Motion confirming the appointments of Harry Kim, Steven Jin Lee, Allen Okamoto, and**
4 **Natividad M. Ramirez to the San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board, terms ending**
5 **January 2, 2013.**

6
7 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
8 does hereby confirm the appointment by the Mayor of the following designated persons as
9 members of the San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board pursuant to Administrative Code
10 §§24.7,24B.1(b) for the term specified:

11 Harry Kim reappointed to the same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year term
12 ending January 2, 2013.

13 Steven Jin Lee reappointed to the same seat for the unexpired portion of a four-year
14 term ending January 2, 2013.

15 Allen Okamoto to fill the seat previously held by Carlos Borromeo for the unexpired
16 portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

17 Natividad Ramirez to fill the seat previously held by Albert Seto for the unexpired
18 portion of a four-year term ending January 2, 2013.

Harry W. Kim 25 Corona Street, San Francisco, CA 94127
Tele: 415-921-0999 Mobile: 415-722-5666 E-Mail – webkim8282@yshoo.com // harrykim@usa.com

COMMUNITY SERVICE

Commissioner, San Francisco Relocation Appeals Board
Chairman, San Francisco-Seoul Sister City Committee
Director, Golden Gate Bridge, Highway & Transportation District (1988-1992)
San Francisco Mayoral Transition Team (Mayor Newsom 2004)
Board of Governors, Fisherman's Wharf Association (1979-2004)
Mayor's Commissioner Selecting Committee (1987)/ Coordinator,
Mayor's Economic Mission to Asia (1989 & 1992)
Director, SF Convention and Visitors Bureau (2term) /// 1988 Seoul Olympic SF Representative

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND ASSOCIATIONS

CEO, U.S.-Korea Trade Associates (1990-2009)
Owner & President, Golden Sea Fisheries Co., Pier 45 San Francisco (1978-1993)
Mechanical Service Advisor & Supervisor, Saltnes Volkswagen San Francisco (1971-1978)
Publisher, San Francisco Book for Korean Visitors (1990 & 1992)
Lecturer, Pohang University, Korea (in Local Government System, Globalism & Transportation)
Owner, Golden Gate Café at Cathedral Hill Hotel (1995-2001)
Former Advisor to Bechtel Engineering for ROK High Speed Rail Transit Management Training
Advisor to Korean American Chamber of Commerce
Member, Golden Gate Breakfast Club, Commonwealth Club, Ingleside Homeowners Association
Former Member, San Francisco Fisherman's Wharf Rotary Club
Grand Marshal, San Francisco U.S. Veterans Day Parade 2003
Co-Chair, Korean American Friendship Society (1991-1998 with President of USF)
Former member - International Bridge, Tunnel, Turnpike and Transportation Association
U.S. Navy league Life Member & Former Board of Director and Member of Marine Corps League
Managing Director, Hotel Shilla SF 1994 till year 2000

Military Service: Served 7 Years ROK Navy Communication and Security

EDUCATION

Bailey Technical College, St. Louis MO (Mechanical Engineering)
Hanyang University, Korea (Architectural Studies),- Washington U

LANGUAGES

Fluent in English, Korean, and some Japanese; read & write Chinese

SPECIAL CREDENTIALS/ACHIEVEMENTS

Numerous awards for Community Service
Extensive background and experience in Government & Public Relations
Expertise in the areas of Emergency & Disaster Preparedness and Transportation
Extensive background in business development and strategy consulting
Consulted with major law firms, businesses, and trade associations
Recognized internationally as a business leader, facilitator and educator
Professionally oriented mechanical skills
Excellent organizational, time management and leadership skills
Able to make intelligent and quick business decisions and deal effectively with individuals at all levels

STEVEN JIN LEE
781 Green Street
San Francisco, CA 94133
Phone Number: H 415-392-0195
Cellular Phone #: 415-672-0440
May 20, 2010

EDUCATION:

1. Master of Business Administration from Golden Gate University, 1975.
2. BS in Civil Engineering from San Jose State University, 1964.
3. Army Basic and Advance Training School, 1960-1962.

EXPERIENCE: (Retired)

1. Facility construction engineer for the Federal Government for 7 years.
2. Highway engineer for the State of California for 11 years.
3. Field Engineer for the Construction of the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge.
4. Investment consultant in Real Estate and Stocks for 17 years, part-time.
5. Property Manager

LICENSES:

1. Professional Engineer, Civil.
2. Stock Broker.
3. Real Estate Broker.
4. Insurance Broker.
5. General Contractor.

CIVIC ACTIVITIES:

1. Residential Users Appeals Board Member, Public Utilities Commission.
2. Relocation Appeals Board Commissioner
3. Advisor for the Central Police Station

COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES:

1. Vice President of The Li Family World Association
2. Chairman of the Lee Federal Credit Union Loan Committee.
3. Elder of the Lee Family National Association.
4. Board Director of the Veteran American Legion, Post No. 384

AUTHOR: A Booklet on "Questions and Answers for Naturalization."

LANGUAGES:

1. English
2. Chinese-Dialects: a. Cantonese b. Mandarin c. Taishanese

PERSONAL:

1. Age - 72
2. Married, live with wife, Kammie Lee
3. Have two daughters and one son
 - a. Audrey Lee, Dr. of Pharmacy
 - b. Byron Lee, Dr. of Medicine
 - c. Sonya Lee, Register Nurse



Allen M. Okamoto

Born in Boulder Colorado and raised in the San Francisco Bay Area Allen earned a BA from San Francisco State University in 1965. He obtained his real estate and general insurance broker's licenses in 1965 to become the youngest broker to have earned those licenses at the time. There was never a doubt in his mind about what career path he would pursue.

Allen M. Okamoto is Owner-Broker of T. Okamoto & Co., the oldest and largest Japanese American real estate and insurance agency in the San Francisco Bay Area. Originally founded in 1946 by Allen's father, Takeo Okamoto, T. Okamoto & Co. has grown into the pre-eminent source of information on real estate and insurance needs for the Japanese American community. Although located in San Francisco's Japantown, the firm serves the Bay Area Counties of San Francisco, Marin, Alameda, and San Mateo. The office specializes in the sale and purchase of homes, condominiums and income properties but is also involved in sales focusing on restaurants and other business opportunities. The office staff is bilingual (English & Japanese) and assists many buyers and sellers from Japan.

Allen is active in the community and his current civic responsibilities include: Chairman of the Asian Real Estate Association of America 2006 and 2007 and founding director; Co-Chairman of the San Francisco-Osaka Sister City Association; Vice President of the Nihonmachi Parking Corporation; President of Sakura Matsuri Inc.; Chairman of the 100th Anniversary of Japantown Committee; Co-Chairman of the 150th Anniversary of the Kanrin Maru; Board member of the California Association of Realtors, the National Association of Realtors, the

Japanese Cultural & Community Center of Northern California, the Chinese Real Estate Association of America and a member of the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco Japantown Foundation.

For eight years Allen served as President of the Board of Directors of the Japanese Cultural & Community Center (1996 to 2004); Chair of the Cherry Blossom Festival of Northern California for 9 years (various); President of the San Francisco Association of Realtors, 1990; Board of Trustees California Historical Society, 1995; and Board member of the Japanese American Citizens League 1988. As a member of the San Francisco Association of Realtors he was the chair of virtually every association committee and served as Vice President, President Elect and Chief Financial Officer and in 1990 he was elected as President. Allen was the first Asian president of the San Francisco Association of Realtors. With the California Association of Realtors he served as chair of the following committees Membership, Equal Opportunity Cultural Diversity, Consumer Protection, founding chair of the International Forum and vice chair of Housing Opportunities and served two separate terms on the Executive Committee. With the National Association of Realtors he was chair of the Fair Housing Forum, Chair of Equal Opportunity Cultural Diversity and a member of the President's Executive Committee for two years.

In 1991 Allen was named "Realtor of the Year" by the San Francisco Association of Realtors. He has also been honored by the PBS TV Station KQED as its' Local Hero in 2001 and was awarded the Silver Spur by the San Francisco Planning and Urban Research organization in 2000. In 2006 he was given the Profiles in Excellence Award by KGO TV. He received the President's Award from the California Association of Realtors and was named Director for Life in 2003. He has received a Certificate of Commendation from United States Senator Dianne Feinstein in 2000 and a Certificate of Honor from San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom in 2006. In 2007 Allen was the recipient of the Community Justice Award given by the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League for outstanding community service. In 2006 he was named by the National Association of Realtors as one of the Top 25 Most Influential Realtors in America and was named "A Real Estate Giant" in the National Association of Realtors 100th Anniversary book published in 2007.

Allen and his wife, Patricia Okamoto, celebrated their 44th wedding anniversary this year. They have a son, Scott Okamoto, who is married and works in real estate law in San Francisco, and a daughter, Kara Okamoto, a graduate of the University of San Francisco is the third generation Okamoto to enter the real estate business.

Professional designations currently held:

Chartered Property and Casualty Underwriter CPCU

Graduate Realtor Institute GRI

Senior Residential Specialist SRES

Certified Real Estate Broker CRB

Lifetime Member Certified Residential Specialist CRS

Natividad "Nati" Ramirez

My name is Nati Ramirez and I was born and raised in San Francisco. My parents, Don Ramon and Guadalupe Ramirez, immigrated to the United States from Mexico in 1955 and settled in San Francisco with my sisters, Leo and Lucy, and my brother Jay, who died in 1994. In 1958 my parents opened a small Mexican Deli and Restaurant in the Mission and later moved to our current location on 11th Street in the South of Market Area, where Don Ramon's Restaurant proudly serves the food that my parents made famous and where my sisters and I carry on their legacy.

My parents instilled in me the value of dedication to family and community and the importance of having a good education. I received my Bachelor's Degree from Lone Mountain College/USF in 1978 majoring in Spanish, Psychology and Sociology. At that time, my dream was to teach Spanish and to help others realize their dreams. While I did not become a teacher; I choose another form of public service and have been working for the City and County of San Francisco since 1985.

I started in the District Attorney's Office in the Family Support Division helping the less fortunate. I was responsible for determining the client's eligibility, assisting battered women in relocating, enforcing child support obligations and educating the public on Child Support Law.

Then In 1990 I transferred to the D.A.'s Consumer and Environmental Unit and became an Assistant Investigator. I was able to use my bilingual skills where I served as a community liaison, advising and educating consumers on deceptive and fraudulent practices through public service announcements, community meetings and bulletins. I also worked as a consumer complaint mediator, resolving problems between residents and business people. Complaints involved problems with towing companies, travel agencies, insurance companies, elder abuse, immigration fraud and landlord/tenant disputes. Many of these complaints required extensive research and investigation and involved criminal activity.

After five years I was transferred to the Special Investigation Unit in the Welfare Fraud Division where I used my bilingual and investigative skills to determine welfare fraud abuses, working with other law enforcement agencies to prepare cases for trial. Since 1998 I have supervised the SFDA's Subpoena Unit, managing four employees who process and serve over one hundred thousand subpoenas to sworn peace officers and civilian witnesses.

Besides my professional career I have been involved in many other roles and projects to continue the commitment to community service instilled in me by

my parents. I was appointed as a member of the Superior Court Task Force charged with educating the public on the trial process and spent two years as a member of the Immigration Rights Commission, assisting newcomers with finding the appropriate government contacts for their specific problems. I volunteered with the Special Olympics of Northern California to encourage more dignitaries to participate in events; I served for several years on the Parents Board at St. Brigid's school, and have been associated with various Hispanic organizations. I would very much like to offer my energy, enthusiasm, and my expertise in helping the public as a member of the Relocation Appeals Board.



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

BOS-11
COB, page

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG -5 AM 9:51

BY
AK

E. DENNIS NORMANDY
PRESIDENT

DONALD A. CASPER
VICE PRESIDENT

MORGAN R. GORRANO
COMMISSIONER

MARY Y. JUNG
COMMISSIONER

August 4, 2010

NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

At its meeting of August 2, 2010 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

It was the decision of the Commission to adopt the staff report; Transmit rates to the Retirement System in accordance with Charter Section A8.590.1-A8.590-7; Provide report to the Board of Supervisors.


If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION


ANITA SANCHEZ
Executive Officer

- c: Gary Amelio, Employee Retirement System w/attachment
- Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors w/attachment
- Rich David, Department of Human Resources
- Gary Delagnes, SFPOA, 510 - 7th Street, S.F., CA 94103
- Chief George Gascón, San Francisco Police Department
- Martin Gran, Department of Human Resources
- Chief Joanne Hayes-White, San Francisco Fire Department
- Patti Martin, Department of Human Resources
- Thomas P. O'Connor, San Francisco Firefighters, Local 798, 1139 Mission, S.F., CA 94103
- Steve Ponder, Department of Human Resources
- Rebecca Rhine, MEA, 870 Market Street, Room 450, S.F., CA 94147-4146
- Commission File
- Chron

29



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

1. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION REGISTER NUMBER: 0265-10-1
2. FOR CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION MEETING OF: August 2, 2010
3. CHECK ONE: CONSENT AGENDA
REGULAR AGENDA
4. SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS
AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE
IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5. RECOMMENDATION: ADOPT REPORT; TRANSMIT RATES TO THE
RETIREMENT SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHARTER SECTION
A8.590.1-A8.590-7; PROVIDE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
6. REPORT PREPARED BY: Rich David TELEPHONE NUMBER: 557-4965
7. NOTIFICATIONS: SEE ATTACHED
8. REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR CIVIL SERVICE AGENDA:
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR: 
DATE: 7/13/10
9. SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL TIME-STAMPED COPY OF THIS FORM AND
PERSONS TO BE NOTIFIED (SEE ITEM 7 ABOVE) ALONG WITH THE
REQUIRED COPIES OF THE REPORT TO:

EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
25 VAN NESS, ROOM 720
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

10. RECEIPT-STAMP THIS FORM IN THE "CSC RECEIPT
STAMP" BOX TO THE RIGHT USING THE TIME-
STAMP IN THE CSC OFFICE.

ATTACHMENT

CSC RECEIPT STAMP

NOTIFICATIONS:

Chief Joanne Hayes-White
San Francisco Fire Department
698 2nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

Chief George Gascón
San Francisco Police Department
850 Bryant Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Gary Delagnes, President
San Francisco Police Officers
Association
510 Seventh Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Thomas P. O'Connor, President
San Francisco Firefighters, Local 798
1139 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Steven Ponder
Classification & Compensation Manager
Department of Human Resources
One South Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Martin Gran
Employee Relations Director
Department of Human Resources
One South Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Gary Alan Amelio
General Manager
Employee Retirement System
30 Van Ness Avenue, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Rich David
Sr. Administrative Analyst
Department of Human Resources
One South Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Rebecca Rhine
Executive Director
S.F. Municipal Executives Association
870 Market Street, Rm. 450
San Francisco, CA 94147-4146

Patti Martin
Departmental Personnel Officer
Department of Human Resources
One South Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103



Gavin Newsom
Mayor

Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director

DATE: August 02, 2010

TO: The Honorable Civil Service Commission

FROM: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director

SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

RECOMMENDATION: ADOPT REPORT; TRANSMIT RATES TO THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHARTER SECTION A8.590-1 THROUGH A8.590-7. PROVIDE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

BACKGROUND AND ISSUES

In November 1990, the electorate passed Proposition D, which allows for collective bargaining to set wages and working conditions of the uniformed force of the Police and Fire Departments. Charter Sections A8.590-1 through A8.590-7 require that the rates of pay for retired Police Officers and Firefighters shall be based on rates that are not lower than the rates that would be established if Charter Section A8.405 were still in effect.

Per Section A8.405 of the Charter, the staff has surveyed rates of compensation paid Police Officers and Firefighters in all cities of 350,000 population or more in the State of California based on the 2000 federal decennial census. The cities used in the survey are Fresno, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Oakland, Sacramento, San Diego, and San Jose. Staff also surveyed compensation paid for police two-wheeled motorcycle duty in these same cities. The results of our survey are attached.

THE FINDINGS

As of July 1, 2010, the average maximum monthly wage for Police Officers in our survey is \$6,874 per month. This is \$1,817 less than the maximum monthly wage currently paid to San Francisco Police Officers. This average maximum monthly rate of \$6,874 is 26.43% below the \$8,691 maximum monthly rate for San Francisco Police Officers. The rates of pay for Police Department classes, if A8.405 were in effect, are shown in the tables following the survey results.

For Firefighters, the average maximum monthly rate in our survey, as of July 1, 2010, is \$6,557, or \$1,997 less than the maximum monthly rate paid to San Francisco Firefighters. Since the maximum monthly rate paid to San Francisco Firefighters has long been above the average of the surveyed cities, the A8.405 rates for Firefighters are based on the increase provided to the Police classes, according to A8.405(d). The A8.405 rates of pay for Firefighter classes are shown in the tables following the survey results.

Date: August 02, 2010

Since the Bureau of Labor Statistics changed the reporting period for the San Francisco metropolitan area Consumer Price Index from monthly to bimonthly, we can no longer report the March to March change as provided in the Charter. Therefore, the February CPI rates for San Francisco and the other surveyed cities are indicated in this report. The cost of living for San Francisco increased by 2.42% and the average increase in cost of living for cities surveyed was 1.84%. There is a 0.58% difference between the cost of living increase for San Francisco and the cost of living for the cities surveyed.

Section 4 E of the 2007-2013 Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Officers' Association provides that "members assigned to the Motorcycle and Honda units shall continue to receive a premium in an amount in accord with current practice pursuant to Charter Section A8.405(b)." The survey results show the average monthly Motorcycle Pay for two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty is \$407 per month. The current rate being paid to San Francisco Police Officers is \$408 per month.

In conclusion, the collectively bargained monthly rates for the Police Officers and Firefighters exceed the maximum monthly rates if Charter Section A8.405 were still in effect.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Civil Service Commission approve and transmit to the Retirement System and to the Board of Supervisors this survey of rates certified in the attached report in accordance with Charter Sections A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-7.

Respectfully Submitted,



Steven Ponder
Classification and
Compensation Manager

**City and County of San Francisco
POLICE OFFICER SALARY SURVEY**

Rates of Pay Effective July 1, 2010

City	Class Title	MC Pay*	Number of Positions	Monthly Salary	
				Minimum	Maximum
San Francisco	Police Officer	\$408	1,698	\$6,884	\$8,691
Fresno	Police Officer	\$275	691	\$4,973	\$6,348
Long Beach	Police Officer	\$350	760	\$5,306	\$6,570
Los Angeles	Police Officer	\$771	3,911	\$5,019	\$6,673
Oakland	Police Officer	\$377	608	\$5,987	\$7,546
Sacramento	Police Officer	—	585	\$4,648	\$5,650
San Diego	Police Officer	\$221	1,149	\$5,236	\$6,328
San Jose	Police Officer	\$450	1,030	\$6,720	\$8,999
Average of Other Cities		\$407		\$5,413	\$6,874
* Two-Wheeled Motorcycle Pay					
San Francisco maximum rate exceeds the Average of Other Cities by:					26.43%

**City and County of San Francisco
FIREFIGHTER SALARY SURVEY**

Rates of Pay Effective July 1, 2010

City	Class Title	Number of Positions	Monthly Salary	
			Minimum	Maximum
San Francisco	Firefighter	776	\$6,110	\$8,554
Fresno	Firefighter	116	\$4,726	\$6,035
Long Beach	Firefighter	222	\$4,936	\$6,062
Los Angeles	Firefighter	1,892	\$5,537	\$6,901
Oakland	Firefighter	242	\$6,395	\$8,416
Sacramento	Firefighter	304	\$4,461	\$5,423
San Diego	Firefighter	384	\$4,040	\$4,875
San Jose	Firefighter	302	\$6,107	\$8,187
Average of Other Cities:			\$5,172	\$6,557
San Francisco maximum rate exceeds Average of Other Cities by:				30.46%

CONSUMER PRICE INDEX
Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers

City	February 2009*	February 2010*	Percent Increase
San Francisco	216.8	222.0	2.42%
Long Beach	213.2	217.1	1.78%
Los Angeles	213.2	217.1	1.78%
Oakland	216.8	222.0	2.42%
**San Diego	226.8	228.7	0.82%
San Jose	216.8	222.0	2.42%
The average cost of living in all other cities increased by:			1.84%
The cost of living in San Francisco increased by:			2.42%

Note:

The Bureau of Labor Statistics does not compile cost-of-living indexes for Sacramento and Fresno.

* The reporting period for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose metropolitan area changed several years ago from monthly to bi-monthly. The rates shown reflect the CPI in February of each year. To maintain consistency, the February CPI rates are indicated for Los Angeles and Long Beach.

** The Bureau of Labor Statistics publishes only a semi-annual rate for San Diego. As such, the CPI data listed for San Diego is the 2nd half of 2008 and the 2nd half of 2009, using Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers

A8.405 Rates of Pay for Police Classes

Effective Date	Percent Increase	July 1, 2010	
		Biweekly	Monthly
		0.03%	
0390	Chief of Police	\$8,497	\$18,480
0395	Assistant Chief	\$7,543	\$16,407
0380	Inspector	\$3,665	\$7,972
0381	Inspector II	\$3,810	\$8,287
0382	Inspector III	\$3,886	\$8,451
0400	Deputy Chief	\$7,152	\$15,555
0401	Deputy Chief II	\$7,437	\$16,176
0402	Deputy Chief III	\$7,582	\$16,491
0488	Commander	\$5,813	\$12,644
0489	Commander II	\$6,046	\$13,149
0490	Commander III	\$6,162	\$13,403
Q 35	Assistant Inspector	\$3,387	\$7,366
Q 35	Assistant Inspector (with 2 years svc)	\$3,665	\$7,972
Q 36	Assistant Inspector II	\$3,522	\$7,661
Q 36	Assistant Inspector II (with 2 years svc)	\$3,810	\$8,287
Q 37	Assistant Inspector III	\$3,592	\$7,812
Q 37	Assistant Inspector III (with 2 years svc)	\$3,886	\$8,451
Q 50	Sergeant	\$3,665	\$7,972
Q 51	Sergeant II	\$3,810	\$8,287
Q 52	Sergeant III	\$3,886	\$8,451
Q 60	Lieutenant	\$4,185	\$9,102
Q 61	Lieutenant II	\$4,354	\$9,470
Q 62	Lieutenant III	\$4,437	\$9,650
Q 63	Criminologist	\$4,916	\$10,693
Q 80	Captain	\$4,916	\$10,693
Q 81	Captain II	\$5,112	\$11,118
Q 82	Captain III	\$5,212	\$11,336
Q 90	Dir, Police Psych	\$5,138	\$11,175
Q 2	Police Officer	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
		-- 1st year	\$2,407 \$5,235
		1st year 2nd year	\$2,732 \$5,942
		2nd year 3rd year	\$2,866 \$6,234
		3rd year 4th year	\$3,007 \$6,541
		4th year 5th year	\$3,160 \$6,874
Q 3	Police Officer II	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
		-- 1st year	\$2,503 \$5,445
		1st year 2nd year	\$2,839 \$6,175
		2nd year 3rd year	\$2,980 \$6,482
		3rd year 4th year	\$3,128 \$6,803
		4th year 5th year	\$3,286 \$7,147
Q 4	Police Officer III	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
		-- 1st year	\$2,551 \$5,549
		1st year 2nd year	\$2,893 \$6,293
		2nd year 3rd year	\$3,039 \$6,610
		3rd year 4th year	\$3,187 \$6,932
		4th year 5th year	\$3,350 \$7,286

A8.405 Rates of Pay for Fire Classes

Effective Date	Percent increase	July 1, 2010	
		0.03%	
		Biweekly	Monthly
0140	Chief of Department	\$8,497	\$18,480
0150	Deputy Chief of Department	\$7,152	\$15,555
H 51	Assistant Deputy Chief II	\$5,815	\$12,647
H 53	Emergency Medical Services Chief	\$5,815	\$12,647
H 4	Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$3,779	\$8,220
H 6	Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation	\$3,779	\$8,220
H 10	Chief's Operator	\$3,440	\$7,482
H 16	Technical Training Specialist	\$3,663	\$7,966
H 18	Coordinator of Community Service	\$3,663	\$7,966
H 19	Operations-Training Supervisor, Airport	\$3,663	\$7,966
H 20	Lieutenant	\$3,666	\$7,974
H 22	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$4,141	\$9,007
H 24	Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Investigation	\$4,141	\$9,007
H 28	Lieutenant, Division of Training	\$4,185	\$9,102
H 30	Captain	\$4,186	\$9,104
H 32	Captain, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$4,734	\$10,296
H 33	EMS Captain	\$4,186	\$9,104
H 39	Captain, Division of Training	\$5,027	\$10,933
H 40	Battalion Chief	\$5,029	\$10,937
H 43	EMS Section Chief	\$5,029	\$10,937
H 50	Assistant Chief of Department	\$5,813	\$12,644
H110	Marine Engineer of Fire Boats	\$4,185	\$9,102
H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	\$4,185	\$9,102
H 2	Firefighter		
		Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
		-	1st year
		\$2,407	\$5,235
		1st year	2nd year
		\$2,732	\$5,942
		2nd year	3rd year
		\$2,866	\$6,234
		3rd year	4th year
		\$3,007	\$6,541
		4th year	5th year
		\$3,160	\$6,874
H 3	Firefighter/Paramedic	\$3,651	\$7,941

A8.405 Rates of Pay for Abolished Police and Fire Classes

Effective Date	July 1, 2010	
Percent increase	0.03%	
	Biweekly	Monthly
 Police Department		
0360 Chief of Inspectors	\$7,152	\$15,555
0420 Department Secretary	\$5,813	\$12,644
0460 Secretary, Police Commission	\$4,185	\$9,102
0470 Supervising Captain	\$5,813	\$12,644
0480 Director of Traffic	\$7,152	\$15,555
0485 Supervising Captain of Patrol	\$5,813	\$12,644
0490 Captain of Traffic	\$5,282	\$11,488
0520 Police Surgeon	\$3,160	\$6,874
Q20 Police Woman		
	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
	—	1st year
	\$2,407	\$5,235
	1st year	2nd year
	\$2,732	\$5,942
	2nd year	3rd year
	\$2,866	\$6,234
	3rd year	4th year
	\$3,007	\$6,541
	4th year	5th year
	\$3,160	\$6,874
 Fire Department		
0145 Assistant Deputy Chief	\$7,151	\$15,553
0155 Secretary to the Chief of Department	\$5,627	\$12,239
H 17 Medical Coordinator	\$3,663	\$7,966
H 29 Special Svcs. Officer	\$4,185	\$9,102

Sup 1, 2 + 5



Michael Hutchinson
<michael@oceanplan.com>
Sent by:
michael@mhutchinson.com

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc

08/12/2010 09:00 PM

Subject Opposition to Any Future Target Stores

Please respond to
michael@oceanplan.com


Greetings,

As a long-time Target shopper in Oklahoma, Los Angeles, and West Hollywood, I must vehemently oppose any future Target store in San Francisco. The damage that these mega-stores do to the smaller businesses in the area, coupled with the horrible truth coming to light regarding not only Target's corporate political contributions, but the private political contributions of their corporate leadership, as well as the revelations regarding their facade of supporting LGBT rights while simultaneously actually doing very little and actually being ashamed of that little they did, make Target a very poor choice for San Francisco.

Regards,

Michael Hutchinson
1600 Fell Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

30



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Issued: Airport Commission - Compliance Audit of Air Canada

From: Controller Reports/CON/SFGOV
To: Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, BOS-Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV, BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV, Steve Kawa/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Greg Wagner/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Tony Winnicker/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Starr Terrell/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, ggiubbini@sftc.org, sfdocs@sfpl.info, gmetcalf@spur.org, CON-Media Contact/CON/SFGOV, CON-EVERYONE/CON/SFGOV, John.Martin@flysfo.com, Jean.Caramatti@flysfo.com, Michael Cohen/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Gary.Franzella@flysfo.com, Wallace.Tang@flysfo.com, Diane.Artz@flysfo.com, anna.grant@aircanada.ca
Date: 08/16/2010 01:01 PM
Subject: Issued: Airport Commission - Compliance Audit of Air Canada
Sent by: Patti Erickson

The Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor, has issued a report concerning the compliance audit of Air Canada, covering the period from July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2009. The report indicates that Air Canada reported 6,191 landings at San Francisco International Airport and paid \$2,226,702 in fees to the Airport for operating its air transportation business. The report also indicates that Air Canada under reported the maximum landing weights of two of it's aircraft types. As a result, Air Canada underpaid \$18,238 in landing fees and owes \$5,660 in accrued interest, for a total of \$23,898 due to the Airport.

To view the full report, please visit our website at:
<http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1165>

For questions regarding this report please contact: Helen Storrs at helen.storrs@sfgov.org or 415-554-7649 or call the Audit Division main phone at 415-554-7469.

Thank you.

31

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 17 PM 3:51

Pres Chew - Dist 3,
June 18, 2010 cpage
Aug. 16, 2010

BY

AK

Mayor Gavin Newsom,
of Board of Supervisors,
I am a senior citizen of
the city and county of S.F.
I have lived here for 57
of my 75 years. When I retired
and discovered the Senior
Centers, I thought of them
as a God send to us who
have no family and relatives,
a place we can call our 2nd
home. It was like a
stepping stone before we
either pass away or end
up in a nursing home,
assisted living or a con-
stant home. As a senior
still ambulatory, I feel
these senior citizens are a
responsibility and justify-
able for the city to provide,
no matter what the cost
for the aging population.
It seems to me the city
budget is hurting, however
the city is taking on
too much unnecessary

expense which can be and should be curtailed and possibly the Recreation and Park Dept. may continue to operate Senior Ctr and not close them down.

I implore you to diligently examine the situation just as President Obama and other presidents before him have gone first hand the crisis facing the country (Oil spill) The closing and cutting the services of senior centers is an injustice to the aging population, Especially G. B. P. S Ctr located at Fulton St. S. F. Can you or someone visit the G. B. P. S Ctr with compassion and empathy and speak with our Director Victor and some of our members (800+ membership) about their needs and concerns. Also, visit Central Gardens Ellis St. S. F., Ca

For many seniors, places like
these is our life. Senior
Centers will have been a
place that have enhanced
our lives before the
time God will call each
of us home to our home in
heaven. For now Senior
Centers is our home here on
earth. Questions? please
call me. Ms. Jacqueline
Choy 666 Green St.
(415) 693-0933 Cell 415-374-3339.

Respectfully
Submitted,
Mrs. Choy

P.S.

Please excuse
misspelled
words.

NOTIFICATION OF APPLICATION FILING BY
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PG&E):
DEFAULT RESIDENTIAL RATE PROGRAM APPLICATION

On August 9, 2010, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed its default residential rate design application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The application complies with CPUC Decision 08-07-045, and includes a proposal for residential electric pricing called dynamic pricing, and a request for recovery of implementation costs.

What is Dynamic Pricing?

Dynamic pricing is designed to reflect variations in the cost of electricity at different times of day and on days when temperatures are expected to be high. Dynamic pricing creates economic incentives for customers to actively manage their energy costs by reducing their electricity usage and/or by shifting load from high cost periods to lower cost periods. For example, when notified a day in advance that electricity prices will be high for a short period the next day, customers can arrange to use less electricity during that period.

This is also known as Critical Peak Pricing (CPP). Eligible customers will be automatically placed onto this CPP rate, however, they will be able to opt-out to a standard rate. The approach of automatically switching residential customers to a CPP rate, subject to opt-out, is known as "defaulting" customers to CPP. Effective implementation of dynamic pricing will provide opportunities for customers to lower their electricity costs while increasing electricity system reliability by stabilizing demand over time and by reducing demand when supply is low.

Will rates increase as a result of this application?

In its primary proposal, PG&E requests that the CPUC defer further consideration of this initiative to default residential customers to CPP until PG&E's next general rate design case in 2014. Deferring consideration of this initiative would have no current impact on rates.

If the CPUC does not defer the issue to the next general rate design case, PG&E requests approval of its residential default rate proposal to be implemented beginning in 2014 and authority to recover approximately \$141 million for implementation costs through 2014. If the CPUC approves implementation of this default proposal for residential customers in this case, the cost will be recovered from all customers who receive transmission and distribution service from PG&E, including bundled, Community Choice Aggregation and Direct Access customers. There is the possibility of an increase to each customer class in order to recover these costs. An increase of \$101.4 million is the highest single year recovery for program costs covered by this application and is expected to occur in 2015. Rates actually adopted by the CPUC may be higher or lower than PG&E's proposal.

If the CPUC approves PG&E's application, a typical residential customer using 550 kilowatt-hours (kWh) per month will see the average monthly bill change from \$77.71 to \$78.01, an increase of \$0.30 per month. A residential customer using approximately 850 kWh per month, which is twice the baseline allowance, will see the average monthly bill change from \$175.67 to \$178.04, an increase of \$2.37 per month. Individual bills may differ.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

To request a copy of the application and exhibits or for more details, call PG&E at 1-800-743-5000.
For TDD/TTY (speech-hearing impaired), call 1-800-652-4712.

Para más detalles llame at 1-800-660-6789
詳情請致電 1-800-693-9555

You may request a copy of the application and exhibits by writing to:
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Default Residential Rate Program
P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, CA 94120

THE CPUC PROCESS

The CPUC's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) may review this application. The DRA is an independent arm of the CPUC, created by the Legislature to represent the interests of all utility customers throughout the state and obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. The DRA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. The DRA's views do not necessarily reflect those of the CPUC. Other parties of record will also participate.

The CPUC may hold evidentiary hearings where parties of record present their proposals in testimony and are subject to cross-examination before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). These hearings are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can present evidence or cross-examine witnesses during evidentiary hearings. Members of the public may attend, but not participate in, these hearings. After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearing process, the ALJ will issue a draft decision. When the CPUC acts on this Application, it may adopt all or part of one of PG&E's requests, amend, modify or deny the application. The CPUC's final decision may be different from PG&E's application.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in this proceeding or if you have comments or questions, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor as follows:

Public Advisor's Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2103
San Francisco, CA 94102
1-415-703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 (toll free)
TTY 1-415-703-5282, TTY 1-866-836-7825 (toll free)

If you are writing a letter to the Public Advisor's Office, please include the name of the application to which you are referring. All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned ALJ and the Energy Division staff.

A copy of PG&E's Default Residential Rate Program application and exhibits are also available for review at the California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-noon.

BY
ALK

2010 AUG 17 PM 3:52

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

*change
file*

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:38 AM

To
cc
bcc
Subject File 100407: The need for treatment.



"Patricia Forsdyke"
<forsdyke1@cogeco.ca>
08/15/2010 12:55 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
Subject The need for treatment.

Dear Board,

When people are trapped inside a serious mental illness and are not given appropriate treatment , we leave the ill person in the grip of an illness that may lead and often does to unpredictable actions . Laura's Law would go a long way to prevent avoidable tragedies. Schizophrenia and Bipolar should be seen as no fault illness but they must be dealt with in a humane way which means treatment . People are not choosing freely when they do not appreciate that they are ill .

Please see below.

Patricia Forsdyke .

<http://post.queensu.ca/~forsdyke/mind.htm#Schizophrenia> Follow the link to my presentation at the select Committee

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:31 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Just posted on Examiner.com: Nurses fight St. Luke's
hospital cuts, San Francisco 30 percent skilled nursing bed
shortage



pmonette-shaw
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

08/14/2010 06:14 PM

Please respond to
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;
cc
Subject Just posted on Examiner.com: Nurses fight St. Luke's
hospital cuts, San Francisco 30 percent skilled nursing bed
shortage

Just posted on Examiner.com:

"Nurses fight St. Luke's hospital cuts, San Francisco 30 percent skilled nursing bed shortage"

"Nurses, seniors, neighbors, patients and patient advocates picketed at CPMC's California Street campus on Thursday to protest the hospital's proposed master plan," notes Sherrie Matza, a leading Alzheimer's advocate in San Francisco and former chair of the Alzheimer's Association's public policy committee in California.

"Viable plans for skilled nursing must be an explicit and binding part of CPMC's entire Institutional Master Plan approval process, and not the wink and nod we're getting now [from CPMC]," said Nato Green, a labor representative for the California Nurses Association.

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

(Note: Examiner.com is mid-way through upgrading new features on its web site; there are multiple problems that will hopefully be resolved soon.)

Patrick

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:24 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Look What's Happening on Haight Street



AEvans604@aol.com
08/13/2010 06:50 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Look What's Happening on Haight Street

Dear Friends and Neighbors,

Stanley Roberts of Channel 4 News recently took a stroll down Haight Street, from Stanyan to Masonic, and recorded what he saw (link below). The nomadic addicts and alcoholics who flock to the Haight from across the country have star billing.

These scenes are actually pretty mild, compared to some that I have personally witnessed. But they give you some idea of the ambiance of the street on an uneventful day.

The mayor's proposed civil sidewalks law (sit-lie law) will help prevent migratory addicts and alcoholics from colonizing the city's public spaces as their private turf.

The measure will be on the ballot in November. Homelessness Inc opposes it. People who want their neighborhoods to be safe, clean, and peaceful support it.

Click on the link below and go to the video dealing with Haight Street panhandlers.

<http://www.kron4.com/News/FeaturedReporters/StanleyRobertsPeopleBehavingBadly/tabid/215/Default.aspx>

Yours for rationality in government,

36



AEvans604@aol.com
08/27/2010 08:30 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Prop L & the Coming Political Firestorm

Dear Friends and Neighbors,

Prop L – the Civil Sidewalks Law that goes to San Francisco voters in November – is about to ignite a political firestorm that will sweep across the city.

For years now, pressure has been building among residents to deal with the abusive behavior of the city's throngs of migratory addicts and alcoholics. For the most part, the politicians have looked the other way, pretending there isn't a behavior problem.

The politicians have been afraid to take on Homelessness Inc – the well-funded network of nonprofits that are in a symbiotic relationship with the migratory addicts and alcoholics whom they serve.

Each year, the city pours \$500 million into the nonprofits' coffers. Homelessness Inc receives a big chunk of that amount, free of any required performance standards on their part. They have morphed into a potent political complex, with as much clout over the supes as the military-industrial complex has over Congress.

Despite the clout of Homelessness Inc and the trepidation of the politicians, residents of the Haight last year pushed the issue of neighborhood safety to the fore of public dialog.

They acted because of a surge in abusive public behavior on the part of the migratory addicts and alcoholics.

Most San Franciscans are now familiar with this behavior: physical assaults, verbal abuse, urination and defecation on sidewalks, destruction of parks, setting of fires, around-the-clock noise, ditching of used needles in children's sandboxes, drug dealing, the whole bit.

Although the politicians continued to look the other way, local police rallied to the cause of the Haight's residents. The new chief, George Gascón did

likewise. Finally, the mayor, Gavin Newsom, joined in.

The result was the proposed Civil Sidewalks Law, which will allow police to direct sidewalk squatters to move along, without first having a formal civilian complaint, as is now required. The supes (predictably) opposed it, as they did with Care Not Cash some years ago. So now the measure will go to the voters.

In a last-gasp effort to defuse support for the measure, Homelessness Inc got Ross Mirkarimi, the Haight's supe, to bring forth a counter proposal, Prop M.

As you will recall, Homelessness Inc had used a similar ploy with Care Not Cash. They got then-supe Tom Ammiano to concoct a belated alternative, which failed at the ballot box.

Mirkarimi's Prop M seems like a good idea on the surface: it requires more police foot patrols. However, there's a catch. He inserted a poison pill into the measure. It specifies that if Prop L and Prop M both pass in November, Prop L will nonetheless be null and void if Prop M gets more votes.

Mirkarimi's poisonous ploy has only served to steel the resolve the supporters of neighborhood safety, just as Ammiano's ploy steeled resolve for Care Not Cash.

Supporters of neighborhood safety are about to launch a powerful populist push across the city in favor of Prop L and opposed to Prop M.

This effort will be the vehicle for unleashing all the pent-up frustration of residents for years in the face of ineptitude and denial on the part of the supes. This movement could transform the political dynamic of the city because half the board of supes are now up for election.

The issue at hand is **Neighborhood Safety vs. Homelessness Inc.** Neighborhood safety is an idea whose time has come. Its energy potential, having accumulated for years, is about to be released. Your friends in the Haight are standing by, matches in hand, ready to release the firestorm.

Thanks to this movement, SF may yet become a city with civilized

standards of public conduct, and with a board of supes that cares about neighborhood safety.

Imagine that.

Yours for rationality in politics,

Arthur Evans

* * * * *

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:28 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject illegally parked cars , supposedly broke San Francisco



Carleton Hoffman
<carletonhoffman@gmail.com
>
08/14/2010 09:05 AM

To Board of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,
Gavin Newsom <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>
cc Carleton Hoffman <carletonhoffman@gmail.com>
Subject illegally parked cars , supposedly broke San Francisco

i just got home after walking up Nebraska Street where there was a car blocking the sidewalk at every house. a police car was parallel parked in the middle of the block and as 2 cops walked back to it one of them said "good morning". i said "good morning, i'm glad to see you're here ticketing all these people for blocking the sidewalk". he just laughed. i said "they're all parked illegally and i have to walk on the street".

needless to say, they didn't bother doing anything about the situation. i assume their next stop was Martha and Bros. down the street.

i am sick and tired of the City and Muni whining about having no money while overpaid cops and meter maids don't do their jobs, neglect to cite chronic scofflaws and allow unsafe conditions to continue to exist. and none of you has the guts to address the issue of smug churchgoers blocking the streets illegally while we law-abiding citizens have to steer around them.

as far as i'm concerned you should all resign and make room for people who actually want to improve conditions in this city.

Carleton Hoffman,
Bernal Heights



Joe D'Alessandro
<marketing@sfcvb.messages2.com>

08/04/2010 02:00 PM

Please respond to
marketing@sfcvb.messages2.com

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject San Francisco Featured Destination in November Southwest Spirit Magazine

History

This message has been forwarded.

This message contains graphics. If you do not see the graphics, [click here to view](#).

August 4, 2010

Dear Community Members:

The San Francisco Convention & Visitors Bureau and the City and County of San Francisco are pleased to announce that San Francisco will be the featured destination in the November 2010 issue of Southwest Spirit Magazine, the award-winning in-flight magazine of Southwest Airlines.

This special editorial profile, entitled Meet: San Francisco, will reach 8+ million business and leisure travelers on more than 3,400 daily flights to more than 65 cities in 33 states. Southwest Airlines is also a leading carrier into San Francisco International Airport, accounting for over one million enplanements.

We look forward to working in conjunction with the Southwest Spirit Magazine staff in developing this comprehensive editorial feature. Meet: San Francisco will highlight our local tourism industry and economic development initiatives, and will also focus on education and healthcare resources, real estate, technology and other facets of our area. We are confident that this is an ideal opportunity to showcase the unique combination of culture, business and lifestyle that make San Francisco an unmatched place in which to live, work and play.

In addition to being featured in the November issue of Southwest Spirit, Meet: San Francisco also will be available for one year on Southwest Spirit's website, www.spiritmag.com.

It is phenomenal editorial coverage for our area, and we encourage you to support this effort through the submission of story ideas or through the purchase of advertising space in the publication. Southwest Spirit Magazine's staff will be in San Francisco in the coming weeks to seek substantive editorial input and advertising leads for this feature. We hope you will welcome them and take advantage of this opportunity.

For more information about participation in the editorial and advertising components of Southwest Spirit Magazine, Meet: San Francisco, please contact Heather Buchman at (336) 255-0195 or heather.buchman@paceco.com.

If you have questions or would like more information from the CVB, please contact Angela Jackson, Director of Public Relations with the San Francisco Convention & Visitors Bureau at

38

415.227.2603 or ajackson@sanfrancisco.travel. We look forward to you joining our efforts to promote San Francisco, the wonderful city we are so fortunate to call "home."

Warmest regards,

Joe D'Alessandro
President & CEO

San Francisco Convention & Visitors Bureau

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

City and County of San Francisco



pmonette-shaw
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

08/04/2010 03:16 PM

Please respond to
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;;

cc

bcc

Subject New on Examiner.com: Assisted living discharge locations from San Francisco hospitals appear on life support

New on Examiner.com:

"Assisted living discharge locations from San Francisco hospitals appear on life support"

The number of skilled nursing beds closed since 1997 will reach 912 beds, fully 24 percent of the 3,823 skilled nursing beds open at the time the 1997 bed study was written.

Clearly, eliminating 912 skilled nursing beds must be exacerbating the shortage of discharge locations acute-care hospitals are experiencing. Adding in the dearth of available assisted living facilities Worth documented in her July 29 article, and you get a situation where there is simply no place to discharge low-income patients to who require on-going assistance in order to live safely .

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick

If you haven't already subscribed to receive e-mail alerts from Examiner.com when I post new articles, please do so using the "subscribe" button above the article's title on Examiner.com. To unsubscribe to my own e-mail alerts if my coverage isn't of interest to you, just 8send me an e-mail.

39

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/05/2010 12:26 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Also now on Examiner.com: Two San Francisco hospitals
fail to plan for dialysis treatment in both replacement projects



pmonette-shaw
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

08/04/2010 06:39 PM

Please respond to
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;;
cc
Subject Also now on Examiner.com: Two San Francisco hospitals
fail to plan for dialysis treatment in both replacement projects

Also now on Examiner.com:

"Two San Francisco hospitals fail to plan for dialysis treatment in both replacement projects"

Two replacement public hospitals continue quibbling over which one will house dialysis services.

Apparently, San Francisco General Hospital purposefully omitted including a dialysis unit in its replacement hospital.

Read more ... at <http://www.examiner.com/x-50587-SF-Hospital-Examiner>.

Patrick

If you haven't already subscribed to receive e-mail alerts from Examiner.com when I post new articles, please do so using the "subscribe" button above the article's title on Examiner.com. To unsubscribe to my own e-mail alerts if my coverage isn't of interest to you, just send me an e-mail.

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/12/2010 02:59 PM

To
cc
bcc
Subject File 101096: Restaurant toy measure



"Vicki Tiernan"
<vtiernan@rawbw.com>
08/11/2010 08:55 AM

To <eric.l.mar@sfgov.org>
cc <ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org>,
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject Restaurant toy measure

Dear Supervisor Mar:

I applaud you for your proposed measure to ban the use of toys as incentives with foods containing an excess of fat, sugar, or salt. I think it's a great idea.

I do not have children but am a strong proponent of a move toward healthier, locally grown, humanely and sustainably raised foods for all of society. I think it could make a strong difference in the health and well being of individuals, society as a whole, and also the health and well being of the planet.

The "draw" to fast foods is that they are low cost and taste good to most people, and those are two things that are hard to compete against. Offering toys only with healthier foods is a win-win solution, not a form of deprivation.

Daniel Conway, director of public affairs for the California Restaurant Association, is quoted in this morning's Chron stating that "Toy bans are only proven to disappoint kids, frustrate parents..." That's clearly a spurious argument. To my understanding toys would not be banned as an incentive offered with healthier foods.

We need stronger incentives for ALL of us to make healthier food choices, to combat the cheap and easily available alternatives. I strongly support the ban.

Sincerely,

Vicki Tiernan

40



TimGiangiobbe
<TimGiangiobbe@cheerful.com>

08/05/2010 08:50 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject [John Joebee Homeless in SF] The Bank of America ATMs
Have Better Security.

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Bank of America is Slowly Changing It's ATMs to Let Out a Loud Ringing when they have Left their card and another transaction can be Made.This Loud Binging Noise has worked.The Tweak Crooks however have found other ATMs that have not changed Yet.There is A Real Possibility that The Union Bank Machine on California Street has a similar Window at the end of the transaction that asks Do You want another transaction Yes or No.The Crook Gets there just in time to press YES.I saw This Certain Crook hanging out there TOO.Just a Matter of time and he will be doing TIME.

These Thieves have Ripped of Seniors and Tourists they don't care.Then they use the card elsewhere.Slowly the Banks are getting Smarter.Software changes and Loud Binging noises Help.

When I first Blogged about this at the beginning of the year I had a couple Threatening Comments.I was Called a snitch,OH WELL!!!I also Sent the Bank an Email.They Know! They are fixing the Problem.Bank of America may not be the Only Bank getting Hit.The Policy of having the Choice and That window at the end was a huge security breach.The Customers want The Process to be easy.Too Easy makes for bad security.

I Don't want Tourists to Visit and have their Funds wiped out on Day One because a tweak Ripped them off.When I saw them do it to a senior I was Livid.I have Blogged about it ever since.The ATM on The Corner of Market and New Montgomery next to the Mc Donald's is Still The Old Software.I saw The Tweak Sitting across the street waiting for a Mistake.This was on a weekend.They are shrewd for tweaks. GOD BLESS ALL

--

Posted By TimGiangiobbe to John Joebee Homeless in SF at 8/05/2010 08:25:00 AM

41

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/16/2010 11:33 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject [John Joebee Homeless in SF- Willie Brown Makes a Good
Point about Americas C...



TimGiangiobbe
<TimGiangiobbe@cheerful.com>
08/15/2010 09:55 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject [John Joebee Homeless in SF] Willie Brown Makes a Good
Point about Americas C...

Willie Brown Made a Great Point when he mentioned that here will be Gouging for the Slips around the Bay Area during the Americas Cup. The Rich Yacht owners will be willing to Pay a Premium.

No Doubt Willie you are Right. The Rich Elite will be willing to Pay what would be considered absurd to many Regular People. As Willie Points out These Are Not Your Regular People. They are Filthy Rich and This Issue can either become a Public Relations Boondoggle For The Rich or they Can Take advantage of the Situation and Turn IT Into a Public Relations Gold Mine.

San Francisco Needs to Make a Temporary LAW that allows the Slip Leasers To Put the Slips Up for Bid and The Money(Minus a Reasonable Cut for the Slip Lessee) go to a Charity. This Issue can Became a Boon for The POOR and a Public Relations Boon for the Rich Elite Yacht Owners. They can Be seen as Looking good while they get Front Row Slips. This Can be a WIN/WIN for All Concerned.

No Doubt Willie Hit the Nail on the head. Now I ask what can the Politicians and Citizens Create from this GREED if The Americas Cup comes to the Bay Area? The City doesn't need to ban this they need to Promote slip leasing and Insure that either Non Profits or the City benefit. The People who sneak around and do different risk Ridicule and Being Fined.

San Francisco Can Once again be at cause and Do Something Now to Assure no Personal Profit is Made. There are Too many needs to not Use this as an Opportunity to Make cash for the Poor. The Rich will want to Play and They can For a Price.
The Americas Cup will be Good For the bay Area if It Happens as Long as The Elite Rich Yacht Owners Apathy is Left at Home and They PAY UP to PPlay in OUR Backyard. GOD BLESS ALL

--

42



Milliman Report

Cost of Contraceptive Coverage and Pregnancy Care: An Actuarial Analysis

RECEIVED
BOARD SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 JUL 21 PM 3:19
BY 4

Women's health is a vital issue that affects not only the individual but the family, community, and workplace. Access to care that includes contraception as well as pregnancy care and delivery care is essential to women's health plans. Although most sexually active, fertile women report using contraception, unintended pregnancy may occur due to nonuse or misuse of contraceptive methods or contraceptive failure despite correct use. The attached report analyzes the cost of pregnancy, delivery, and contraceptive coverage for a typical, commercially insured population and provides highlights of publicly available data on unintended pregnancy.

The report was issued by Milliman, Inc., an actuarial firm with expertise in employee benefits and health cost analytics. The authors compare the costs of pregnancy and delivery care with those of oral contraceptives and intrauterine device (IUD) care. The per-female-of-child-bearing-age-per-month costs (PFCPMs) are reported as follows:

- Pregnancy and delivery care: \$50.17 PFCPM, or 13% of total costs
- Oral contraception: \$5.91 PFCPM, or 1.5% of total costs
- IUD care: \$0.90 PFCPM, or 0.2% of total costs

The Milliman report, *Cost of Contraceptive Coverage and Pregnancy Care: An Actuarial Analysis*, provides insight employers can consider regarding contraception access and coverage in context with the public health goal of reducing the number of unintended pregnancies.

The authors may be reached via e-mail at bruce.pyenson@milliman.com or kate.fitch@milliman.com, or by telephone at (646) 473-3000.

Please use the attached business reply card if you are interested in learning more about contraception and the cost of unintended pregnancy.

**Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall**

43





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]
 Name of Department: HRD
 Department Address: 1 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
 Contact Person: Saru A. Cownan, Senior Personnel Analyst
 Phone Number: (415) 557-8947 Fax Number: (415) 551-8945

Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Holiday Inn Golden Gateway Contact Person: Christopher Leong
 Contractor Address: 1500 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94109
 Vendor Number (if known): 09340 Contact Phone No.: (415) 447-3046

Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 08/16/2010 Type of Contract: Purchase Order
 Contract Start Date: 12/05/2010 End Date: 12/11/2010 Dollar Amount of Contract:
 \$10,194.66

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.*

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 08/17/2010
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.
 Date Waiver Granted: _____ Contract Dollar Amount: _____

44

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/18/2010 11:05 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Please Save Sharp Park Golf Course



Steve Cohen
<shivas@shivas.org>
08/17/2010 05:48 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Please Save Sharp Park Golf Course

Dear San Francisco decision makers,

I urge you to do everything in your power to preserve and restore the Sharp Park Golf Course.

Do not allow the misleading histrionics of what seem to be a group of sincere but misinformed environmentalists threaten this historic treasure... I say this as a private citizen who is a lifelong environmentalist who appreciates that modern golf course maintenance (especially in California) is done with environmentally sustainable practices.

Thank you,

Steve Cohen

Stephen M. Cohen, President
The Shivas Irons Society, a 501c(3) charitable-educational organization.
Tel: 831-899-8441
Fax: 831-899-8453
mailto:steve@shivas.org
http://www.shivas.org

46



ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SECTION

HAZARDOUS WASTE RELEASE DISCLOSURE FORM

Date : August 18, 2010

Date of Illegal Release: 8/18/10

Location of Illegal Release : Anchorage #9 San Francisco Bay

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil | <input type="checkbox"/> Sewer | <input type="checkbox"/> Air |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Waterway | <input type="checkbox"/> Garbage | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Name of Person or Business Causing Illegal Release: K-Sea Transportation

Address: (206) 443-9418

Type of Hazardous Waste Released:

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oils | <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides | <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Solvents | <input type="checkbox"/> Acids | <input type="checkbox"/> Radioactive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fuels | <input type="checkbox"/> Caustics | <input type="checkbox"/> Explosive/Reactive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PCB's | <input type="checkbox"/> Heavy Metals | <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |

Physical State of Waste:

- Liquid Solid Gas

Quantity Released:

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> less than 1 gallon | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 to 10 gallons | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10 to 50 gallons |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 50 to 250 gallons | <input type="checkbox"/> more than 250 gallons | <input type="checkbox"/> more than 100 lbs. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 10 lbs. | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 to 100 lbs. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown | | |

Information Source:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Observation | <input type="checkbox"/> Report from public employee |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report from business | <input type="checkbox"/> Public complaint |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other | |

Has Another Public Agency Responded to this Incident?

- NO YES If yes, which agencies: All local Environmental Health Agencies

Comments: A broken hydraulic hose on the barge caused a release of hydraulic fluid. It was reported that approximately 25 gallons was contained in the barge and 25 gallons went in the Bay. A contractor was called to clean-up the spilled material.

Report prepared by:

Submit to:

Name: Patrick Fosdahl

Rajiv Bhatia
1390 Market Street, Suite 210
San Francisco, CA 94102

Department: Dept. of Public Health

Position: Sr. Environmental Health Inspector

and

Phone Number: (415) 252.3904

Angela Calvillo, Clerk
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 SAN FRANCISCO
 2010 AUG 19 AM 9:49
 BY Ak

47

Environmental Health Services
San Francisco Dept. of Public Health
1390 Market St., Suite 210
San Francisco, CA 94102
phone: (415) 252-3992
fax: (415) 252-3959
email: richard.lee@sfdph.org

----- Forwarded by Richard Lee/DPH/SFGOV on 08/18/2010 10:36 AM -----



Warning_Center@oes.ca.gov

To richard.lee@sfdph.org

08/18/2010 10:30 AM

cc

Subject Hazardous Materials Spill Report: Cal EMA Control
#:10-4948

EPA, RWQCB, Env Health, Coastal Com, BCDC, Lands; Please confirm receipt

California Emergency Management Agency
Hazardous Materials Spill Report

DATE: 08/18/2010 | RECEIVED BY Cal EMA: Dana Kay | Cal EMA CNTRL
#:10-4948
TIME: 1013 | RECEIVED BY OSPR: | NRC#:951349

1.a. PERSON NOTIFYING Cal EMA

1. NAME: Peter Pawlicki | 2. AGENCY: K-Sea Transportation
3. PHONE #: 206-443-9418 | 4. EXT: | 5. PAGER #:

1.b. PERSON REPORTING SPILL (If different from above):

1. NAME: | 2. AGENCY:
3. PHONE #: | 4. EXT: | 5. PAGER #:

2. SUBSTANCE TYPE:

a. SUBSTANCE: / b.QTY: / Amount / Measure / c. TYPE / d. OTHER
1. Hydraulic Fluid AW32 / = / 25 / Gal(s) / PETROLEUM /

2.

3.

e. DESCRIPTION: Due to a hose failure on the deck of the barge a release occurred. The release was approximately 50 gallons. Twenty five gallons were contained on the deck and cleaned up. Approximately 25 gallons sprayed over the side of the barge. A contractor is being contacted for clean-up of the water.

f. CONTAINED: No | g. WATER INVOLVED: Yes

h. WATERWAY: San Francisco Bay | i. DRINKING WATER IMPACTED:

j. KNOWN IMPACT: Unknown

3.a. INCIDENT LOCATION: Anchorage #9 Oakland - Lat 37 degrees 45.60

north Long 122 degrees 20.50 west

b. CITY: Oakland | c. COUNTY: Alameda County | d. ZIP:

4. INCIDENT DESCRIPTION:

a. DATE: 8/18/2010 | b. TIME(Military): 0910 | c. SITE:
Ship/Harbor/Port | d. CAUSE: Mechanical
e. INJURIES: No | f. FATALITY: No | g. EVACUATIONS: No | h.
CLEANUP BY: Contractor
e. INJURIES #: | f. FATALS #: | g. EVACS #:

5. SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY:

a. NAME: Peter Pawlicki | b. AGENCY: K-Sea Transportation
c. PHONE#: 206-443-9418 | d. EXT:
e. MAIL ADDRESS: 2700 West Commodore Way
f. CITY: Seattle | g. STATE: WA | h. ZIP: 98199

6. NOTIFICATION INFORMATION:

a. ON SCENE: Peter Pawlicki | b. OTHER ON SCENE: K-Sea
Transportation
c. OTHER NOTIFIED: NRC, USCG
d. ADMIN. AGENCY:
e. SEC. AGENCY:
f. ADDITIONAL COUNTY: Contra Costa County , Marin County , Napa County ,
Sacramento County , San Francisco County , San Joaquin County , San Mateo
County , Santa Clara County , Santa Cruz County , Solano County
g. ADMIN. AGENCY: Contra Costa County Health Services Department , Marin
County Communications Center , Napa County Department Environmental
Management , Sacramento County Environmental Management , San Francisco
County Health Department , San Joaquin County Emergency Services , San
Mateo County Environmental Health , Santa Clara County Health Department ,
Santa Cruz County Environmental Health , Solano County Environmental
Management
h. NOTIFICATION LIST: DOG Unit: | RWQCB Unit: 2

AA/CUPA , DFG-OSPR , DTSC , RWQCB , US EPA , USFWS , COASTAL COM , LANDS ,
PARKS & REC , USCG

Created by Warning Center on 8/18/2010 10:13:33 AM
Modified by Warning Center on 8/18/2010 10:25:39 AM

Last

California State Warning Center
California Emergency Management Agency
Phone: (916) 845-8911
Warning.Center@oes.ca.gov



Jared Roussel
<jared@jaredroussel.com>
08/19/2010 01:46 AM

To "board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org"
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc *

Subject Thank You

Tax big business please. I know it's a delicate balance everywhere, but we have to start seeing SF as a desirable location to be because of the tech industry, not as a location to beg for new business. Businesses should beg to be here. SF has to be autonomous, not a commuter city for the South Bay. I love it here. Please give us a chance to succeed. You guys are all working so hard. Many may take it for granted, but I don't. I appreciate all you are trying to do. I recognize you are the mavericks. Keep it up. I know you guys are working long days to protect us. You have our support, definitely, even if you don't see it. Thank you, sincerely. I appreciate everything, and keep it up.

Jared Roussel

48

Gavin Newsom | Mayor
 Tom Nolan | Chairman
 Jerry Lee | Vice-Chairman
 Cameron Beach | Director
 Malcolm Heinicke | Director
 Bruce Oka | Director
 Nathaniel P. Ford Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 10, 2010

TO: Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors

THROUGH: Nathaniel P. Ford Sr.
 Executive Director/CEO *N. Ford*

FROM: Sonali Bose *SB*
 CFO/Director of Finance and Information Technology

SUBJECT: Parking Meter Revenue for FY 2005-2006 Through FY 2009-2010

At the August 4, 2010 Budget and Finance Committee's meeting, the Supervisors asked for information on parking meter revenues.

The attached document provides this information.

Attachment

BY _____ Ak _____

RECEIVED
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 SAN FRANCISCO
 2010 AUG 19 PM 2:22

49

SFMTA

PARKING METER REVENUE AND ISSUANCE FOR FY 2005-2006 THROUGH FY 2009-2010
(Does not include citations from other parking infractions)

	FY2005-06	FY2006-07	FY2007-08	FY2008-09	FY2009-10
Citation Revenue	\$ 25,225,128	\$ 24,725,634	\$ 25,741,242	\$ 27,829,855	\$ 28,874,036
Percentage Change		-2%	4%	8%	4%
Citation Issuance	544,397	521,601	540,468	494,734	484,739
Percentage Change		-4%	4%	-8%	-2%
Meter Payments (coin/meter card)	\$ 29,623,146	\$ 30,370,022	\$ 31,509,882	\$ 32,139,609	\$ 38,418,735
Percentage Change		3%	4%	2%	16%

Annual Figures Per Meter (25,000 meters)	FY2005-06	FY2006-07	FY2007-08	FY2008-09	FY2009-10
Citation Revenue	\$ 1,009	\$ 989	\$ 1,030	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,155
Citation Issuance	22	21	22	20	19
Meter Payments (coin/meter card)	\$ 1,185	\$ 1,215	\$ 1,260	\$ 1,286	\$ 1,537

25,000 meters

Daily Figures Per Meter	FY2005-06	FY2006-07	FY2007-08	FY2008-09	FY2009-10
Citation Revenue	\$ 3.27	\$ 3.20	\$ 3.33	\$ 3.60	\$ 3.74
Citation Issuance	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06
Meter Payments (coin/meter card)	\$ 3.83	\$ 3.93	\$ 4.08	\$ 4.16	\$ 4.97

309 days

Fine Amount (Dates of adjustment)	7/1/2005	No change	No change	8/1/2008	7/1/2009	4/1/2010
T202 - EXPIRED METER OUTSIDE DOWNTOWN	\$ 40	\$ 40	\$ 40	\$ 50	\$ 53	\$ 55
T202.1 - EXPIRED METER DOWNTOWN	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 60	\$ 63	\$ 65

Meter Rate Changes (Dates of adjustment)	7/1/2005	No change	No change	No change	7/1/2009
Area 1 - Downtown Core	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.00	\$ 3.50
Area 2 - Civic Center and lower portion of South of Market	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 3.00
Area 3 - All Other Areas	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.50	\$ 2.00
Area 4 - Fisherman's Wharf	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 2.50	\$ 3.00

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/03/2010 11:45 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject File 100751 'Laura' s Law'



Carlo Middione
<carlomid@yahoo.com>
08/02/2010 06:46 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org
cc
Subject 'Laura' s Law'

Honorable Mayor:
Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I urge your support of the passage of 'Laura's Law' !

As one who has directly experienced the mental problems of two members of my immediate family, I know that individuals with mental illness need assisted outpatient services with professional intervention to take care of them at times when they are unable to take care of themselves.

These folks need support, - not to be handled as criminals. This can be provided to them in the community at far less public cost than allowing them to harm themselves and others.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth D. Middione, San Francisco; phone (415) 695-0195





"Bob Larive" <bob@fior.com>

08/21/2010 12:36 PM

To ""Bob Larive" <bob@fior.com>, "Schwan, Craig"
<Craig.Schwan@ihrco.com>, <lgoodin1@mindspring.com>,
"Aline Estournes" <aestournes@wbcsf.com>,
cc ""Lee Housekeeper" <NewsService@aol.com>,
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,
<dsaunders@sfchronicle.com>,
bcc

Subject Bums

1 attachment



winmail.dat

Well it has been a few weeks since my last note re the bums in the Fisherman's Wharf and North Beach areas. Obviously, see the communications below, the issue is very emotional to some. Let's get past the emotions and look at the problem. Unlike what Supervisor Dailey believes these are not the "underserved". The residents, visitors, businesses and workers in our city who support our economy and pay the taxes are the real "underserved" because they have to live with the bums and the problems they create for all of us. We shouldn't spend our efforts arguing with each other but should use our energy to solve the problem, clean up our streets and make San Francisco a great city to visit and live in again.

We have about 780,000 residents in San Francisco. We have another equivalent number who come to work here each day. We have millions of visitors to the city every year. All of these are exposed to the bums and are offended by what they see and their aggressive behavior. I have attached a few pictures of what we are exposed to daily on the streets of Fisherman's Wharf and North Beach. The bums are here every day, day and night, and they then wonder the streets exposing themselves, bothering people with their begging and just generally scaring what I call the real "underserved". WHY DOES THIS VERY SMALL MINORITY GET TO RUIN OUR CITY FOR THE REST OF US- THE TAXPAYING, LAW ABIDING CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO?

Why is it the Supervisor Dailey and others in the administration of San Francisco don't recognize the problem or if they do don't want to take action on it? These bums cost us in many different ways. They don't contribute anything to our city. Due to their presence we lose visitors (they are still the number one complaint), they cost us in emergency services and cleaning up after them, the payments we make to them and our police spend untold hours monitoring them.

Just take a few minutes to view the attached pictures. These are examples of what we are exposed to everyday! Not good.

Suggestions? No name calling please!

Fior d' Italia

America's Oldest Italian Restaurant

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/23/2010 11:10 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Nopa Restaurant Access Modifications Update



"Richard Skaff"
<richardskaff@designingacces
siblecommunities.org>

08/22/2010 06:53 PM

Please respond to
<richardskaff@designingacces
siblecommunities.org>

To <jeff@nopasf.com>
cc "Gavin Newsom" <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>,
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,
<steve.kawa@sfgov.org>, <Vivian.Day@sfgov.org>
Subject RE: Nopa Restaurant Access Modifications Update

August 22, 2010

Jeff Hanak
Nopa
560 Divisadero Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mr. Hanak,

Thanks for your most recent update, including the photo of the modified and accessible bar section. I hope you appreciate how much this change means to those of us that can now sit AT the bar comfortably, and with our friends and family and not feel like an afterthought!

I will make an effort to contact the SF Building Department about the permit issues you raised in your letter. Based on the Department's failure to review the original plans for your restaurant, it would seem to me that the Department of Building Inspection should, at the very least, expedite your plan review for the bathrooms, not charge you any permit fees, and provide the necessary site inspection on a timely schedule until the work is completed,

I would also like to say that I greatly appreciate your kind statement that I'm still a welcomed guest. I look forward to being one of your first customers when all the access modifications are completed. Once again,

52

thank you for your efforts to bring your restaurant into compliance with both state and federal access codes and regulations.

Please call or email me if you have any questions regarding your accessibility projects.

Richard Skaff, Executive Director
Designing Accessible Communities
P.O. Box 2579
Mill Valley, CA 94942
Voice/Fax: 415-388-7206
Cell: 415-497-1091
Email: richardskaff@designingaccessiblecommunities.org
Web: www.designingaccessiblecommunities.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this e-mail message and any attachments it contains, are intended only for the individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise not allowed to be disclosed under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, or distribution is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by replying to this message and then permanently deleting the original email.

From: Jeff Hanak <jeff@nopasf.com>
Date: Sun, 22 Aug 2010 08:10:48 -0700
To: Richard Skaff<richardskaff1@gmail.com>
Subject: nopa update

Hello Richard:

Attached is an update of improvements for nopa.

Best,
Jeff

--
Jeff Hanak
Nopa
560 Divisadero Street

San Francisco, CA 94117
415-864-8643



415-350-8620 cell photo.jpg Letter Richard Skaff Aug 22nd.pdf



AEvans604@aol.com
08/21/2010 03:40 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Rose Garden Vandalized in GG Park

Dear Friends and Neighbors,

The *Chron* reports today that the beloved Rose Garden in Golden Gate Park has been vandalized (link below). Recently someone killed dozens of young trees in the park.

Will the vandalizing of parks become an accepted norm of life here, like urinating and defecating on sidewalks, dumping used needles in children's sandboxes, and at-random stabbings on Muni and elsewhere?

How much has to happen until we stand up and say enough is enough?

<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2010/08/21/BA3H1F15KG>
DTL

Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

* * * *

53



"LONG, CHARLES"
<CLONG@wisd.us>
08/20/2010 11:01 AM

To "board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org"
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc
Subject illegals voting

File # 100635
epage

So what do you clowns do when a foreign country sends a whole block of immigrants in to vote and next thing you know we don't have a country any more because we are being controlled by a foreign country? If they are citizens of the United States of AMERICA (born here or naturalized) they have the right to vote. Otherwise whether they have children in school or any other reason they do NOT have the right!!

Weslaco ISD does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, gender, or disability in providing education services, activities, and programs, including vocational programs, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972; section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

BDS-11
C-page



Tee Yvette
<teeyvette@ymail.com>
08/20/2010 12:50 AM

To Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,
michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org, john.avalos@sfgov.org,
david.campos@sfgov.org, david.chiu@sfgov.org,
cc recpark.commission@sfgov.org

bcc

Subject Exposing Rec and Park

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing about the ongoing Corruption within Recreation and Parks Department. Now that the department has done away with everyone jobs such as the class 3284 as of this passed Friday August 13Th 2010. They have put in place the new model in which they have only keep 5-6 black that had been in the Department and hired all new hires which just happen to be White. They have only keep a few token blacks so that it would not look obvious. They have them being trained with this new model in which the GM is trying to preform a brain washing type of training program. This department is trying to get away with doing away with a whole classification and seniority so not only is this illegal it is also immoral. I am not sure on how such of a thing has happen with everybody having a hand in it from the Mayor of the City and Board of Supervisors and Rec and Park Commissioners. My guess that this matter now needs to brought to the attention of the public and the news media as well. Since it seems that no ones wants to get there hands dirty. I guess it leaves no choice I would hope that does not affected anyone that my be running for in seats within Government and City wide once this information hits the public. I know that all parties involved have been aware of what was been taking place within this department but still you guess approved it with the budget so at this point everyone has played a part in this matter as a whole. Thanks for listening and now it has to go to the public and media for there

55

NOTIFICATION OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S
FILING OF AN APPLICATION
TO RECOVER PUMPED STORAGE STUDY COSTS

On August 20, 2010, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed this Application at the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), requesting authorization to recover costs associated with studies performed to evaluate the feasibility of developing new hydroelectric pumped storage projects. If a project (or projects) is found feasible as a result of these studies, PG&E would seek approval from the CPUC to build the project and recover construction costs in a later filing pursuant to the CPUC's standard cost of service ratemaking process. PG&E is also requesting authorization from the CPUC to establish a balancing account to record and recover costs associated with the pumped storage studies.

This application requests recovery of \$33.475 million for costs associated with performing the pumped storage feasibility studies discussed above. Revenues required from customers would be collected in rates over a six-year period, beginning with the next available rate change after CPUC approval of this application.

What is the Pumped Storage Project?

A hydroelectric pumped storage project is a technology used to store electrical energy. Water is pumped from one reservoir to another reservoir at a higher elevation to store energy. When the stored energy is required to meet customer demand, the water is allowed to flow from the upper reservoir through hydroelectric generating equipment to the lower reservoir where it is captured and is once again available for pumping.

Why is PG&E Studying Pumped Storage?

PG&E is looking for ways to support the addition of renewable electricity generation resources. Additional renewable resources will benefit the environment, our customers and meet California's goal of having load-serving entities, such as PG&E, procure 33 percent of retail sales from eligible renewable energy resources by 2020. Some renewable generation technologies like wind and solar are dependent on weather conditions that may not coincide with customer demand. Pumped storage projects can support the use of intermittent renewable resources by using output generated during off-peak hours to pump water for energy storage and then releasing the water for additional electricity generation during peak demand periods. Pumped storage projects can integrate renewable generation resources into the grid, providing for stable grid operation with higher degrees of renewable electricity production.

Will rates increase as a result of this application?

Yes. However, the increase in rates resulting from this application will be spread over a six-year period and will not appear in customer bills until the next available rate change after CPUC approval of this application. Using the highest year costs of \$8.512 million, the bundled system average rate increase will be less than 0.07 percent, relative to current rates.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

To request a copy of the application and exhibits or for more details, call PG&E at 1-800-743-5000.

For TDD/TTY (speech-hearing impaired), call 1-800-652-4712.

Para más detalles llame 1-800-660-6769
詳情請致電 1-800-893-9555

You may request a copy of the application and exhibits by writing to:
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Pumped Storage Project
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120.

THE CPUC PROCESS

The CPUC's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) may review this application. The DRA is an independent arm of the CPUC, created by the Legislature to represent the interests of all utility customers throughout the state and obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. The DRA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. The DRA's views do not necessarily reflect those of the CPUC. Other parties of record will also participate.

The CPUC may hold evidentiary hearings where parties of record present their proposals in testimony and are subject to cross-examination before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). These hearings are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record may present evidence or cross-examine witnesses during evidentiary hearings. Members of the public may attend, but not participate in, these hearings.

After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearing process, the ALJ will issue a draft decision. When the CPUC acts on this application, it may adopt all or part of PG&E's request, amend or modify it, or deny the application. The CPUC's final decision may be different from PG&E's application.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in this proceeding or if you have comments or questions, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor as follows:

Public Advisor's Office
505 Van Ness Avenue
Room 2103
San Francisco, CA 94102
1-415-703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 (toll free)
TTY 1-415-703-5282 or TTY 1-866-836-7825 (toll free)

If you are writing a letter to the Public Advisor's Office, please include the name of the application to which you are referring. All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned Administrative Law Judge and the Energy Division staff.

A copy of PG&E's Pumped Storage Project application and exhibits are also available for review at the California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-noon.

BY _____ AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 24 PM 3:54

56



SF Environment

Our home. Our city. Our planet.

BOS-11
COB, Leg Dep
CPAGE



GAVIN NEWSOM
Mayor

MELANIE NUTTER
Director

August 25, 2010

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

SUBJECT: Charter Section 4.104 Rules and Regulations to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.104 stating that Rules and Regulations are to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, enclosed is the Department of the Environment's Regulation No. SFE-10-02-CPO Cell Phone Retailers Duty to Disclose Specific Absorption Rate Values (SARs) (Ordinance No. 155-10) effective August 23, 2010. If you have any questions, please contact Marjaneh Zarrehparvar, Department of the Environment Program and Policy Coordinator, Toxics Reduction Program, at (415) 355-3756.

Sincerely,

Monica Fish
Commission Secretary to the Environment

Attachments: Regulation No. SFE 10-02-CPO

Cc: Marjaneh Zarrehparvar, Program and Policy Coordinator

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 25 PM 2:17
BY _____ AK

San Francisco Department of the Environment Regulation 10-02-CPO
Requirement for Cell Phone Service Providers
Cell Phones; Retailers' Duty to Disclose Specific Absorption Rate Values
Ordinance No. 155-10, Adopted July 1, 2010

Regulation Effective Date: August 23, 2010

A. Authorization.

San Francisco Environment Code Chapter 11, Section 1102(a) states: Beginning September 1, 2010, any cell phone service provider that sells its service through a retailer in the City must provide a list of those retail locations to the Department of the Environment in a form determined by the Department. The service provider must update the list annually. The Department shall adopt regulations governing the form and submission of the lists.

B. Policy or Findings.

1. Government agencies and scientific bodies in the European Union (EU) and Israel have recognized the potential harm of long-term exposure to radiation emitted from cell phones and, as a result, have issued warnings about their use, especially their use by children.
2. The United States Federal Communications Commission ("the FCC") has established a maximum allowable Specific Absorption Rate ("SAR") rating for portable wireless devices (cell phones) sold in the United States. The SAR is a value that corresponds to the relative amount of radiofrequency energy absorbed in the head or body of a user of a wireless handset. At the time of adoption of these regulations, the FCC limit for public exposure from cellular telephones is an SAR level of 1.6 watts per kilogram (1.6 W/kg) for spatial peak (local) SAR, such as SAR in the user's head, as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.
3. The SAR values for different makes and models of cell phones differ widely, but consumers are not able to make informed purchasing decisions because there is no requirement that the retailer provide the applicable SAR values to the consumer at the point when the consumer is deciding between various makes and models.
4. Cell phones are an important communication tool, especially during emergencies, and radiation exposure from cell phones can be reduced by using a speakerphone or a headset, or by sending text messages.

C. Applicability.

This regulation applies to all San Francisco cell phone service providers, defined by the San Francisco Environment Code Chapter 11, Section 1100(c) as "a telecommunications common carrier authorized to offer and provide cellular service for hire to the general public."


D. Requirements.

By September 1, 2010, and annually thereafter, any cell phone service that sells its service through a retailer in San Francisco must provide a list of those retail locations to the Department of the Environment using the following process:

1. Submit data using the format of the attached spreadsheet.
2. Submit data in an Excel spreadsheet, Microsoft Office 2003.
3. Save data in a format that can be modified by the recipient, i.e. the data may not be in a read-only format.
4. Submit data electronically to: cellphone@sfenvironment.org

The Director of the Department of the Environment hereby adopts these regulations as of the date specified below.

Approved:



Melanie Nutter

Director, Department of the Environment

8/23/2010

Date

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor
Edward D. Reiskin, Director

BOS-11
CPAGE

Phone: (415) 554-6920
Fax: (415) 554-6944
TDD: (415) 554-6900
http://www.sfdpw.com

Department of Public Works
Office of the Director
City Hall, Room 348
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

August 24, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 25 AM 10:08
BY _____ W

**Subject: Administrative Code Article XV.Sec.10.170-1.(i) Certain Transportation Funds
(Proposition 1B Funds)**

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Administrative Code Article XV.Sec.10.170-1.(i), please find attached, a report on the use of funds appropriated from the Local Street and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety Account of 2006 by the Department of Public Works (DPW).

According to the subject Administrative Code, any department receiving an appropriation of Proposition 1B Local Street and Road funds shall report back to the Board of Supervisors beginning six months from the date of the appropriation and at six-month intervals thereafter with the following information:

- the amount of Proposition 1B Local Street and Road (LSR) Improvement Funds expended as of the reporting date
- progress on projects
- projected date of completion

To date, a total of \$33 million has been allocated and received by San Francisco DPW. Of this amount, DPW has expended or encumbered \$26.3 million. Please contact me if you have any questions about this report or would like additional information.

Sincerely,

Edward Reiskin,
Director of Public Works

**Appropriated State Bond (Prop 1B) Funds
For Paving Projects**

Prop 1B FY 2007-2008 (includes State supplemental appropriation)

JO#	Project Name	Budgeted	Expended*	Encumbered	Balance	Project Status
1325J	Various Locations #12	2,568,097	2,538,067	0	30,030	Project is substantially complete.
1327J	Lincoln Way - 3rd Ave/Kezar to 36th Ave.	3,130,925	3,114,240	0	16,685	Project is complete and Job Order to be closed out. Awaiting final charges.
1354J	Local Match, SOMA Pavement Renovation	825,000	805,945	0	19,055	Project is substantially complete.
1393J	North University Mound (Joint PUC Project)	1,579,333	1,290,750	37,145	251,438	PUC lead project. Project is substantially complete. Currently change order work is taking place.
1405J	As-Needed Paving Contract #6	15,000	0	0	15,000	Project is substantially complete.
1440J	Taylor St - Ellis to Pine Sansome St - Sutter to California	1,129,352	1,126,278	0	3,074	Project is complete and Job Order to be closed out.
1442J	Folsom St - 10th to 19th 13th St - South Van Ness to Folsom St	320,000	318,630	0	1,370	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion August 2010.
1443J	11th St - Mission St to Harrison St	181,137	181,137	0	0	Construction has been completed.
1444J	Various Locations #13	300,000	313,638	0	(13,638)	Design is complete. Project is currently in advertising.
1448J	As-Needed PUC/Paving Joint Contracts	133,036	80,413	6,197	46,426	PUC lead project. Currently under construction. Schedule is pending PUC.
1449J	7th Ave Joint SFWD/Paving Project	33,408	5,139	0	28,269	SFWD lead project. Anticipated project construction is pending SFWD schedule
1450J	California St Joint MUNI/Paving	285,000	256,064	0	28,936	Project is lead by MUNI. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule
1474J	Geary Blvd. Intersection Paving	100,000	89,844	0	10,156	Project is substantially complete.
1492J	BSSR Various Locations	4,461,827	4,456,328	0	5,499	Construction has been completed.
1498J	Taylor Street Improvements	46,192	40,504	0	5,688	Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion October 2010.
1501J	Noriega St - 35th Ave to Great Highway	1,886,089	1,880,978	0	5,111	Project is substantially complete.
1527J	Valencia St - 15th St to 19th St (Joint Streetscape Project)	516,592	302,642	65	213,885	Project is lead by DPW Streetscape. Anticipated project construction is pending Streetscape schedule
1543J	Winfield St Joint Sewer Lead	10,000	486	0	9,514	Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion is August 2010
1549J	Leland Ave Joint DPW Great Streets Project	102,806	5,072	97,734	0	Project is lead by DPW Streetscape. Anticipated project construction is pending Streetscape schedule
1564J	Various Locations Preventative Maintenance	599,627	540,554	0	59,073	Project is substantially complete.
1585J	Harrison St Pavement Renovation	45,000	44,947	0	53	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion August 2010.
1582J	St Francis Circle Joint MUNI/Paving	58,544	58,767	0	(223)	Project is lead by MUNI. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule
1583J	Church/Duboce Joint MUNI/Paving	50,531	44,688	0	5,843	Project is lead by MUNI. Project is under design. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule
1584J	Monterey Blvd Pavement Renovation	37,000	36,826	0	174	Design is complete. Project is currently in under construction.

**Appropriated State Bond (Prop 1B) Funds
For Paving Projects**

1586J	Steiner & Broadway Pavement Renovation	33,000	29,366	0	3,634	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion September 2010.
1591J	Various Locations Slurry Sealing 2009 Contract #2	105,000	99,435	0	5,565	Project is substantially complete.
1608J	Balboa Streetscape/Paving	100,000	56,634	0	43,366	Project is lead by DPW Streetscape. Anticipated project construction is pending Streetscape schedule
1609J	SOMA Alleyway Paving	1,000	0	0	1,000	Project is under construction.
1625J	V/L BSSR Street Resurfacing 0910	100,000	74,024	0	25,976	Project is substantially complete.
1642J	Various Locations Slurry Seal FY 10-11	635,476	0	519,112	116,364	Project was Awarded 5/28/10. NTP is 8/2/10.
1671J	Van Ness Ave Interim Paving	262,245	267,189		(4,944)	Project is substantially complete.
1695J	Dolores. 21ST TO 25TH Joint SFWD Project	42,800	0	0	42,800	Project is lead by SFWD. Anticipated project construction is pending SFWD schedule.
1705J	SFWD Joint Projects	63,782	63,782	0	0	Project is lead by SFWD. Anticipated project construction is pending SFWD schedule.
1724J	Various Locations P/R #14	170,000	22,358	0	147,642	Project is currently in the design phase.
1739J	Cabrillo St Pavement Renovation	30,000	0	0	30,000	Project is currently in the design phase.
1744J	Divisadero Joint Streetscape (Local)	36,500	0	0	36,500	Project is substantially complete.
1745J	28th Ave Pavement Renovation	20,000	0	0	20,000	Project is currently in the design phase.
1746J	17th St Pavement Renovation	20,000	3,300	0	16,700	Project is currently in the design phase.
1747J	Parnassus St Pavement Renovation	20,000	0	0	20,000	Project is currently in the design phase.
1748J	2nd Street Pavement Renovations	20,000	0	0	20,000	Project is currently in the design phase.
1749J	Point Lobos Pavement Renovation	20,000	0	0	20,000	Project is currently in the design phase.
FY 07-08 Subtotal		20,094,299	18,148,025	660,253	1,286,021	

**Appropriated State Bond (Prop 1B) Funds
For Paving Projects**

Prop 1B FY 2008-09

JO#	Project Name	Budgeted	Expended*	Encumbered	Balance	Project Status
1393J	North University Mound Water Main Project - Pavement	6,532	0	0	6,532	PUC lead project. Project is substantially complete. Currently change order work is taking place.
1405J	As-Needed Paving Contract #6	31,095	0	0	31,095	Project is substantially complete.
1421J	Battery St Pavement Renovation	1,349,176	966,127	14,814	368,235	Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion August 2010
1625J	BSSR Various Locations 09-10	586,242	531,327	5,599	49,316	Project is substantially complete.
1632J	Bush St Joint Sewer Project	250,000	7,064	0	242,936	Project is lead by PUC Sewer. Anticipated project construction is pending Sewer schedule
1533J	Bush St Pavement Renovation Phase 1	385,224	27,248	0	357,976	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion August 2010.
1442J	Folsom St Pavement Renovation	2,700,000	312,295	1,847,460	540,245	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion August 2010.
1448J	As-Needed PUC/Paving Joint Contracts	146,355	0	0	146,355	PUC lead project. Currently under construction. Schedule is pending PUC.
1664J	Bush St Pavement Renovation Phase 2	495,000	182,187	46,905	265,908	Project is in the design phase. Anticipated design completion is August 2010
1591J	Various Locations Preventative Maintenance #2	291,489	30,206	0	261,283	Project is substantially complete.
1669J	Farragut & Huron PG&E Pilot	250,000	206,697	5	43,298	Project is substantially complete.
1642J	Various Locations Slurry Sealing 2010 contract #1	150,000	0	0	150,000	Project was Awarded 5/28/10. NTP is 8/2/10.
1671J	Van Ness Ave Interim Paving	481,223	259,117	34,732	187,374	Project is substantially complete.
1576J	Eddy St & Ellis St Pavement Renovation	3,095,984	8,552	2,017,136	1,070,296	Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion October 2010
1582J	St Francis Circle Joint MUNI/Paving	1,190,000	8,412	0	1,181,588	Project is lead by MUNI. Anticipated project construction is pending MUNI schedule
1585J	Harrison St Pavement Renovation	410,000	245,653	0	164,347	Project is under construction. Anticipated construction completion August 2010.
1695J	Dolores St, 21st St to 25th St	40,000	33,957	0	6,043	Project is lead by SFWD. Anticipated project construction is pending SFWD schedule.
1498J	Taylor St Improvements	611,603	105,903	299,846	205,854	Project is in the construction phase. Anticipated construction completion October 2010.
1609J	SOMA Alleyway Paving	444,680	9,574	253,771	181,335	Project is under construction.
1737J	N-Line Joint MUNI/Paving Project	10,000	2,819	0	7,181	Project is lead by MUNI. Anticipated project schedule is pending MUNI.
FY 08-09 Subtotal		12,924,603	2,937,138	4,520,268	5,467,197	

Total Prop 1B	33,018,902	21,085,163	5,180,521	6,753,218
----------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------

* As of 2/12/2010 from FAMIS Database

Year of state budget appropriation	Total
FY 2007- 08	18,828,672
FY 2007 - 08 supplemental	1,265,627
FY 2008 - 09	12,924,603
	<u>33,018,902</u>



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Issued: Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center: Model of Care Assessment Report

Controller Reports

----- Original Message -----

From: Controller Reports

Sent: 08/25/2010 01:15 PM PDT

To: Angela Calvillo; BOS-Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV; BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV; Steve Kawa; Greg Wagner; Tony Winnicker; Starr Terrell; ggiubbini@sftc.org; Severin Campbell; Debra Newman; sfdocs@sfpl.info; gmetcalf@spur.org; CON-Media Contact/CON/SFGOV; CON-EVERYONE/CON/SFGOV; Mitch Katz; Pamela Tyson; Mivic Hirose; Anne Kronenberg; Marc Slavin; Tara Collins; Health Commission DPH; Michael Cohen

Subject: Issued: Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center: Model of Care Assessment Report

The Controller's Office is pleased to submit an interim report regarding the assessment that is now underway of Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center's model of care. This interim report was developed by a team of experts led by Lumetra Healthcare Solutions, working under contract to the Controller's Office, and includes recommendations to facilitate the hospital's transition to resident-centered care, foster increased levels of staff engagement, and build on Laguna Honda's role as an integral part of the San Francisco community.

To view the full report, please visit our website at:
<http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1168>

This is a send-only email address.

For questions regarding this report please contact Natasha Mihal at natasha.mihal@sfgov.org or 415-554-7429.

Thank you.

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
08/26/2010 05:50 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Renaming of Third Street



"Nancy Federice"
<nancy.federice@gmail.com>

08/25/2010 02:37 PM

To <Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>,
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
cc
Subject Renaming of Third Street

Re: Proposal to rename Third Street to Willie L. Brown, Jr. Boulevard

Board of Supervisors:

While I don't begrudge the City's desire to honor our former Mayor in a manner suited to his accomplishments, I do think renaming Third Street should not be first on the list of options. With all San Francisco has to offer in terms of public spaces, parks, civic buildings, areas of Mission Bay Mayor Brown helped get developed, etc., surely there must be a way to honor our former Mayor without the disruption renaming Third Street would cause.

I'd like to think Mayor Newsom is more in touch with his constituents and realizes his flattering tribute to former Mayor Brown is out of alignment with the psychology and the wallets of the Third Street community. The financial impact and logistical nightmare Mayor Newsom's gift leaves behind for the residents and businesses who have made their homes and living on Third Street comes at a time when people are struggling with even the most basic of expenses. Imagine the businesses along this corridor having to not only change the street name on their letterhead but to redesign it entirely. Let's face it, Willie L. Brown, Jr. Boulevard takes up some valuable real estate on the page (or the business card, or the website, or the...I could go on) and can interfere with existing logos and brand identity. Residents will have their challenges and financial consequences as well.

So, let's see...that's 5 miles of road multiplied by how many homes and businesses? You can see why this proposed change is unpopular.

By all means, honor our former Mayor. I am beyond certain it can be done in a way that satisfies both former Mayor Brown and Mayor Newsom while leaving the foundation of Third Street, its very name, intact.

Thank you,
Nancy Federice
300 Third Street

60

T-Mobile

T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 26 PM 3:22

August 18, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102


RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF13004C

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,



Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

(61)

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF13004C
Site Name: The Hamilton Building
Site Address: 631 O'Farrell Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 322A-196
Latitude: 37° 47' 07.22" N
Longitude: 122° 24' 54.61" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 2
Tower Design: Rooftop
Tower Appearance: Antennas to be mounted on existing rooftop elevator
penthouse.
Tower Height: 222 feet
Size of Buildings: 130,000 sq feet

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Manager
1650 Mission Street Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 08/09/10
Land Use Permit #: CU2009-0049E
If Land use Approval was not required:



T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 PM 3:23

August 18, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23200C

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF23200C
Site Name: Medical/Dental Center
Site Address: 890 Jackson St, San Francisco, CA 94133
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 0179-016
Latitude: 37° 47' 45.11" N
Longitude: 122° 24' 31.14" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 8
Tower Design: Rooftop
Tower Appearance: Eight (8) panel antennas concealed within an RF-Transparent enclosure atop the stair penthouse of an existing building.
Tower Height: 61 feet
Size of Buildings: 120 sq feet

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Manager
1650 Mission Street Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 07/30/10
Land Use Permit #: CUP 2009.0641C
If Land use Approval was not required:



T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

August 18, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 PM 3:23
BY

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc.
d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23206D

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

63

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF23206D
Site Name: Fire Station 48 T1
Site Address: Avenue D and 10th Street, San Francisco, CA 94130
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1936-001
Latitude: 37° 49' 32.34" N
Longitude: 122° 24' 26.17" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 2
Tower Design: Flagpole
Tower Appearance: Two (2) antennas located inside a radome on top of a flagpole.
Tower Height: 52 feet
Size of Buildings: 403sq feet

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Manager
1650 Mission Street Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: Rich Rovetti, acting on behalf of the Treasure Island Development Authority, approved the application May 19, 2010. Jonas Ionin of the San Francisco Planning Department sent an email on July 27, 2010 indicating that the department did not need to review or approve the application.

Land Use Permit #: n/a

If Land use Approval was not required:



T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

August 18, 2010

Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 PM 3:23
BY [Signature]

RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF23283D

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Hom of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,

Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

(64)

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF23283D
Site Name: Sprint Monopole
Site Address: 100 Armory Rd, San Francisco, CA 94132
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 7281-004
Latitude: 37° 43' 37.50" N
Longitude: 122° 30' 12.60" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 6
Tower Design: Monopole
Tower Appearance: Six (6) panel antennas mounted on a monopole
Tower Height: 53 feet
Size of Buildings: 132 sq feet

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Manager
1650 Mission Street Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 07/02/10
Land Use Permit #: 2009.1002CP
If Land use Approval was not required:

T-Mobile

T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.
Engineering Development
1855 Gateway Boulevard, 9th Floor
Concord, California 94520

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 26 PM 3:23

BY
W

August 18, 2010

Anna Horn
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102


RE: T-Mobile West Corporation as successor in interest to Omnipoint Communications, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile (U-3056-C) Notification Letter for T-Mobile Site No. SF53449D

This letter provides the Commission with notice pursuant to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) that with regard to the project described in Attachment A:

- (a) T-Mobile has obtained all requisite land use approval for the project described in Attachment A.
- (b) No land use approval is required because

A copy of this notification letter is being sent to the local government agency identified below for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with the information contained herein, please contact Joni Norman, Senior Development Manager, for T-Mobile, at (925) 521-5987, or contact Ms. Anna Horn of the CPUC Consumer Protection and Safety Division at (415) 703-2699.

Sincerely,



Joni Norman
Sr. Development Manager
T-Mobile West Corporation
a subsidiary of T-Mobile USA Inc.

Enclosed: Attachment A

cc: City of San Francisco, Attn: City Manager, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: City Clerk, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102
City of San Francisco, Attn: Planning Director, 1650 Mission Street, Ste 400, San Francisco, CA 94102

65

August 18, 2010

Page 2 of 2

ATTACHMENT A

1. Project Location

Site Identification Number: SF53449D
Site Name: US Bank
Site Address: 1844 Irving St., San Francisco, CA 94122
County: San Francisco
Assessor's Parcel Number: 1731-025
Latitude: 37° 45' 49.60" N
Longitude: 122° 28' 40.81" W

2. Project Description

Number of Antennas to be installed: 8
Tower Design: Rooftop
Tower Appearance: Eight (8) panel antennas mounted to the penthouse of an existing building.
Tower Height: 84 feet
Size of Buildings: n/a

3. Business Addresses of all Governmental Agencies

City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco	City of San Francisco
Attn: Planning Director	Attn: City Manager	Attn: City Manager
1650 Mission Street Ste 400	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco, CA 94102

4. Land Use Approvals

Date Zoning Approval Issued: 07/26/10

Land Use Permit #: Conditional Use Authorization 2009.0934C

If Land use Approval was not required:



California Pacific
Medical Center
A Sutter Health Affiliate
With You. For Life.

Dear Board,

I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.


I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

Khalilah Clements
Name
clementk@sutterhealth.org
Email Address



California Pacific
Medical Center
A Sutter Health Affiliate
With You. For Life.

Dear Supervisors



HASLER \$0.382

AUG 28 2010

US POSTAGE

FIRST CL AUT MX AADC

MAILED FROM 64115

011A0413005013

I support California Pacific Medical Center's vision for the future of health care in San Francisco, including the revitalization of St. Luke's and construction of the proposed hospital at Van Ness & Geary. We all deserve earthquake-safe facilities that will provide state-of-the-art health care for future generations.

I urge you to join our community in supporting the plan to Rebuild CPMC.

Rachelle Orque

Name

orquer@sutter.net rachelle_orque@ydhoo.com

Email Address



GAVIN NEWSOM
MAYOR

POLICE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

THOMAS J. CAHILL HALL OF JUSTICE
850 BRYANT STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103-4603

August 12, 2010



GEORGE GASCÓN
CHIEF OF POLICE

Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 27 PM 12: 03
BY _____

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. This certification is made based on a series of assumptions which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- That the \$23,283,979 of salary and fringe funding currently on Controller's Reserve is released;
- That the \$8,158,920 of salary and fringe funding currently on Budget and Finance Committee Reserve is released;
- That there are no significant increases in service deployment, which are directly related to increases in serious criminal activity, natural disasters or elevated homeland security threat levels; and
- That any legislative mandates related to re-deployment of Police Department resources include the appropriation of additional funds to address these mandates.

Based on these assumptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,


GEORGE GASCÓN
Chief of Police

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Budget Director

(67)

City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF



Michael Hennessey
SHERIFF

(415) 554-7225

August 26, 2010
Reference: 10-072

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Controller's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board with four exceptions.

The first exception is an increase in jail population due to reductions to the State Department of Corrections' budget as a result of changes in sentencing and parole policies as well as increased local bookings and other factors. If jail population exceeds budgeted capacity, additional overtime and operating expenditures will be incurred to open more housing units for which we are not presently funded.

BY _____ AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 27 AM 11:15

68


The second exception is associated with possible reduction in court funding for security services due to court closures if included in the State budget. We may need to request additional funding because of the court closures.

The third exception is possible reductions of two state grants, Standards and Training for Corrections (STC) grant and Peace Officer Standards and Training grant (POST). Our current budget assumes \$500,000 in these grants to offset the cost of mandated trainings. We will need additional funding should reductions in these grants occur.

The final exception is the possible inability to achieve projected debt service savings. If we are not able to realize these savings, additional funding will be required to make these mandated payments.

With these exceptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,



MICHAEL HENNESSEY
Sheriff

cc: Greg Wagner, Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance, Leo Levenson, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division, Aimee Fribourg, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division, Rick Wilson, Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

400 McAllister Street, Room 205
San Francisco, CA 94102-4512
Phone: 415-551-4000
FAX: 415-551-5712



CLAIRE A. WILLIAMS
COURT EXECUTIVE OFFICER

August 26, 2010

Hon. Gavin Newsom, Mayor
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Mr. Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-11

Ladies and Gentlemen:

As you are aware, the Superior Court, as the judicial branch of government, is directly funded by the State of California for court operations as defined in Government Code Section 77003, while the City & County funds the Court to manage the City & County-responsible, non-Court functions of indigent defense and the civil grand jury.

During budget hearings in June, the proposed City & County allocation to the Court for indigent defense was reduced by \$1.3 million, resulting in a total allocation of \$8.8 million for indigent defense. This reduction places the City & County at risk of possibly underfunding legal representation for those defendants whom the Public Defender cannot defend due to a conflict of interest. These cases have experienced a 40 percent increase in felonies and a more than 200 percent increase in misdemeanors over the past year. While the Court expects this trend to decline, a residual effect exists where already-declared conflict of interest cases still need to make their way through the court system and will therefore continue to incur costs that could result in the total indigent defense costs for Fiscal Year 2010-11 to exceed the \$8.8 million allocation. Because of this, the Court cannot provide a Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 certification that funding provided to the Court in the City & County's budget for Fiscal Year 2010-11, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors and executed by the Mayor, is adequate for the Court to meet the service levels of the City & County-responsible and constitutionally-mandated function of indigent defense as originally proposed to the Board.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 27 PM 3:55
BY _____ AK

69

Hon. Gavin Newsom
Ms. Angela Calvillo
Mr. Ben Rosenfield
August 26, 2010
Page 2

The Court will attempt to administer indigent defense with the intent on keeping costs within the reduced \$8.8 million allocation. However, if we find that the allocation is too low, the Court will be submitting a mid-year supplemental appropriation request for additional funding for indigent defense. This action will be taken not only because the Court seeks to ensure defendants have adequate legal representation, but also to ensure that the City & County meets its mandated responsibility.

Very truly yours,



Claire A. Williams
Court Executive Officer

cc: Mr. Greg Wagner, Director, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance, City &
County of San Francisco
Ms. Rebekah Krell, Sr. Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance, City
& County of San Francisco
Hon. James J. McBride, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of California, County of San
Francisco
Hon. Katherine Feinstein, Assistant Presiding Judge, Superior Court of California,
County of San Francisco
Hon. Charles F. Haines, Supervising Judge – Criminal Division, Superior Court of
California, County of San Francisco
Mr. T. Michael Yuen, Chief Financial Officer, Superior Court of California, County of San
Francisco



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B

WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

➤ **Section 1. Department Information**

Department Head Signature: *Philip Wong*

Name of Department: Human Services Agency

Department Address: 1650 Mission Street, S. F. CA 94103

Contact Person: Philip Wong

Phone Number: 415-557-5115

Fax Number: 415-557-6504

➤ **Section 2. Contractor Information**

Contractor Name: TARGET Contact Person: Christi

Contractor Address: 3701 Wayzata Blvd., Minneapolis, MN 55416

Vendor Number (if known): C03958

Contact Phone No.: 800-628-6880

➤ **Section 3. Transaction Information**

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 08-27-10

Type of Contract: BPO

Contract Start Date: August 27, 2010
Contract: \$75,000.00

End Date: June 30, 2013

Dollar Amount of

➤ **Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)**

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

➤ **Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)**

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 8/30/10
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14E.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

70

BAS-11
10 page

August 27, 2010

FILE # (D) DE

Attention: Joy Lamug
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

To: Michela.Alioto-Pier	David.Campos
Carmen.Chu	Bevan.Duffy
Eric.L.Mar	Ross.Mirkarimi
John.Avalos	David.Chiu
Chris.Daly	Sean.Elsbernd
Sophie.Maxwell	Mayor Gavin.Newsom

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 30 PM 3:13
BY *[Signature]*

Re: Opposition to Pet Food Express at 3150 California Street

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the application by Pet Food Express, to open up a third store in San Francisco proposed to be located at 3150 California Street, block 1022, lot 013.

I feel that the Fillmore, Richmond, Presidio and Marina are already well served by the existing infrastructure. Within a one-mile radius of the proposed location, there are already 27 pet supply shops and/or groomers. This additional store is not necessary or desired by local pet owners.

As a resident of San Francisco and a faithful customer of small neighborhood pet shops, I urge you to overturn the Conditional Use Permit which has been granted to Pet Food Express. By denying the permit you will be helping to safeguard the variety of smaller, locally owned and independent businesses that make up the backbone of any community.

Regards,

Virginia B. Otis

Virginia B. Otis
Two Townsend Street, Apt 2-913
San Francisco, CA 94107

72

BOS-11
✓ C-page

August 27, 2010

#1010B7

Attention: Joy Lamug
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 AUG 30 PM 3:10
BY _____
Rz

To: Michela.Alioto-Pier	David.Campos
Carmen.Chu	Bevan.Duffy
Eric.L.Mar	Ross.Mirkarimi
John.Avalos	David.Chiu
Chris.Daly	Sean.Elsbernd
Sophie.Maxwell	Mayor Gavin.Newsom

Re: Opposition to Pet Food Express at 3150 California Street

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the application by Pet Food Express, to open up a third store in San Francisco proposed to be located at 3150 California Street, block 1022, lot 013.

I feel that the Fillmore, Richmond, Presidio and Marina are already well served by the existing infrastructure. Within a one-mile radius of the proposed location, there are already 27 pet supply shops and/or groomers. This additional store is not necessary or desired by local pet owners.

As a resident of San Francisco and a faithful customer of small neighborhood pet shops, I urge you to overturn the Conditional Use Permit which has been granted to Pet Food Express. By denying the permit you will be helping to safeguard the variety of smaller, locally owned and independent businesses that make up the backbone of any community.

Regards,



Web Otis
Two Townsend Street, Apt 2-913
San Francisco, CA 94107

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,

File (01087)
File, page
BOS-11

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 SEP -2 PM 3:41

IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT PET FOOD EXPRESS IS AGAIN TRYING TO OPEN A THIRD STORE WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO, THIS TIME AT 3150 CALIFORNIA ST. I FEEL THAT ALL ^{THE} REASONS THAT LED THEM TO BE DENIED IN THEIR PREVIOUS ATTEMPT, STILL STAND.

THERE IS CERTAINLY NO NEED FOR THEM AT THIS LOCATION. THE AREA IS WELL SERVED BY A MIXTURE OF SMALL INDEPENDENT STORES AND ALSO LARGER STORES WITH PARKING, SUCH AS TRADER JOE'S AND SAFEWAY. IN FACT, WITHIN A MILE OF THE STORE THERE ARE 27 BUSINESSES THAT SUPPLY THE NEEDS OF THE AREA PETS.

SAN FRANCISCO TAKES PRIDE IN ITS SMALL BUSINESSES AND PROTECTING NOT ONLY THEM BUT ALSO THE FABRIC OF THE CITY, WAS WHY THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT WAS CREATED. PLEASE LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE WHO LOVE THIS CITY AS MUCH AS YOU DO AND OVERTURN THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT!

THANK YOU!

REGARDS LAUREN LANGFORD + RENEE TEELEY
FOUNDERS OF CITY TAILS PET CARE
19 RANDOLPH AVE STE 4 SF CA 94103



72

August 31, 2010

Board of Supervisors
C/O: Joy Lamug
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

FILE 101087
Bos-u
File page

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 SEP -2 PM 3:41
BY _____

To: Michela Alioto, David Campos, Carmen Chu, Bevan Dufty, Eric L. Mar, Ross Mirkarimi, John Avalos, David Chiu, Chris Daly, Sean Elsbernd, Sophie Maxwell, and Gavin Newsome

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Debra Appleby. It is very difficult for me to type this letter to you because of a physical condition, but I feel it is for a very, very important cause. I lived in San Francisco for 17 years. I come to visit as often as I can, and am seriously considering moving back to the area.

San Francisco is loved and cherished for its diversity and its special character. It is really a conglomeration of little niche communities, each having their own flair. A great deal of its charm has to do with small unique independent business's catering to the needs and whims of its' residents.

Living on the Central Coast has shown me even more of the importance of keeping big box stores away from small communities. For example, Atascadero has been inundated with larger stores and fast food franchises. Even Wal-Mart has been let in. The smaller stores and restaurants are dropping like flies. You can't even find what used to be the cute little center of town. The other little towns like Templeton and Paso Robles make sure that larger stores are on the outskirts of town, allowing them to keep their personality.

Big box stores will destroy ambiance and the livelihoods of many long time residents, loyal to San Francisco and their individual neighborhoods. There truly is something to be said for the personal service and relationship that one receives by walking into a locally owned smaller shop. One finds oneself identifying with the owner and giving back to the community by buying locally. People know each other. It is a friendship that really can't be described easily. But it is very, very vital to the charm and ambiance of San Francisco and its' inhabitants.

Please consider the fact that in this particular incidence there are 27 businesses that serve the needs of the areas pets. Pet Food express has already been denied once. They are not needed.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Sincerely,

Debra Appleby

Debra Appleby

PO Box 581

Avila Beach, California 934224

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
File 101087
Re. C. Page, BOS-U

C/O Jay Zamug, \$ Board of Supervisors
2010 SEP 1 PM 3:10
BY AK

I live in Santa Clara but am a frequent shopper for my pets in San Francisco. It has come to my attention that Pet Food Express is trying to open a third store on California St. (3150) Please consider the impact it has on the small businesses. There is no need to open another store at that location. It has been a source of great pleasure for me to drive to San Francisco and shop. These small businesses are a source of pride for me when I bring my out of state guests. San Francisco is diverse and unique. Putting more chain stores will →

To: President David Chiu and file, Cpage, BPS-11
the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

My name is Gregory Wait and I live in Fresno, CA. I enjoy visiting San Francisco at least half a dozen times a year. What attracts me to your city is the many diverse neighborhoods and the small shops that offer such a great variety of choices and the very personal service you get from small owner-operated businesses.

I know that there has been a real effort by San Franciscans to keep your city small scale and unique and I applaud you for this.

Fresno long ago adopted the Los Angeles model of growth and this can be seen with the large number of mega stores throughout the city. Along with their discount prices they offer very limited variety and virtually no customer service.

It is such a pleasure to visit San Francisco and experience the close personal contact that can only come from a small store.

I sincerely urge you to keep resisting the continual pressure to allow more mega stores into your fine city. I can tell you from experience that Fresno got to be the way it is one mega store at a time.

Please vote against the conditional use permit for Pet Food Express.

Yours Truly
Gregory Wait
2004 N. Maple Ave
Fresno, CA. 93703

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 SEP 11

PM 3:09

File # 101087

File, upage

August, 2010

Attention: Joy Lamug
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

To: Michela.Alioto-Pier David.Campos
Carmen.Chu Bevan.Dufty
Eric.L.Mar Ross.Mirkarimi
John.Avalos David.Chiu
Chris.Daly Sean.Elsbernd
Sophie.Maxwell Mayor Gavin.Newsom

Re: Opposition to Pet Food Express at 3150 California Street

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the application by Pet Food Express, to open up a third store in San Francisco proposed to be located at 3150 California Street, block 1022, lot 013.

I feel that the Fillmore, Richmond, Presidio and Marina are already well served by the existing infrastructure. Within a one-mile radius of the proposed location, there are already 27 pet supply shops and/or groomers. This additional store is not necessary or desired by local pet owners.

As a resident of San Francisco and a faithful customer of small neighborhood pet shops, I urge you to overturn the Conditional Use Permit which has been granted to Pet Food Express. By denying the permit you will be helping to safeguard the variety of smaller, locally owned and independent businesses that make up the backbone of any community.

Regards,



Whitney Wright
962 Carolina St
San Francisco, CA 94107-3337

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 31 PM 4: 32

BR
AK

File 101087
file, cpage

August 27, 2010

Attention: Joy Lamug
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

To: Michela.Alioto-Pier David.Campos
Carmen.Chu Bevan.Dufty
Eric.L.Mar Ross.Mirkarimi
John.Avalos David.Chiu
Chris.Daly Sean.Elsbernd
Sophie.Maxwell Mayor.Gavin.Newsom

Re: Opposition to Pet Food Express at 3150 California Street


Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the application by Pet Food Express, to open up a third store in San Francisco proposed to be located at 3150 California Street, block 1022, lot 013.

I feel that the Fillmore, Richmond, Presidio and Marina are already well served by the existing infrastructure. Within a one-mile radius of the proposed location, there are already 27 pet supply shops and/or groomers. This additional store is not necessary or desired by local pet owners.

As a resident of San Francisco and a faithful customer of small neighborhood pet shops, I urge you to overturn the Conditional Use Permit which has been granted to Pet Food Express. By denying the permit you will be helping to safeguard the variety of smaller, locally owned and independent businesses that make up the backbone of any community.

Regards,


Sue Wright
Two Townsend Street, Apt 2-908
San Francisco, CA 94107

BY _____
AK

2010 AUG 31 PM 4:32

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

August 27th, 2010

File 101087
page: file

To Whom It May Concern,

As a concerned citizen I would like to emphatically state my opposition to the proposed Pet Food Express store for 3150 California Street. Opening this large store would cause many smaller pet shops to lose their businesses. Please do not allow Pet Food Express to have a permit for opening.

Sincerely,

~~TRACY VAN GORDER~~

12508 CHAY ST (APT. # 304)
2010 AUG 31 PM 7:30

San Francisco
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RECEIVED

80149

File 101087

Dear Jay Lanning, file page

We need to support
our local independent
Pet Stores in San Francisco
I am opposed to the
potential opening of
Pet Food Express on California
& Presidio in San Francisco

Sincerely

Denise Shermer

Denise Shermer
2595 CLAY ST #6
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

SAN FRANCISCO

2010 AUG 31 PM 4:31

BY AK

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
09/01/2010 05:50 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject San francisco Firefighters overpaid



Robert Lee
<sffdwatchdog@gmail.com>
09/01/2010 08:27 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, "carmen.chu"
<carmen.chu@sfgov.org>
cc
Subject San francisco Firefighters overpaid

The stories about firefighters getting laid off all over the bay area shows how overpaid the firefighters in San Francisco is paid. Not only are they one of the highest paid departments in the country, they worked the least amount of hours. They work a 48.7 hour week compared to 52 and 56 hours for most other department.

On top of their \$100,000 salary for an average firefighter in SF they also receive a 6% increase to their pay if they have been in for 10 years or have a degree of any kind. They also receive a 6% paid for holiday paid whether you are working them or not. They also receive longevity pay, 2% for working 23 years and another 2 more % for having work 26 years. No firefighter would quit before 23 years because they receive a 90% pension after 30 years..

They also benefit from having parity with the police department. The longevity pay started with the police because they have a lot of members who were burnt out and that was a way to keep the veterans from leaving the department. But historically less than .1% of firefighter quit before their retirement.

These are the kind of benefits that is killing the city. No politician is willing to put a stop to these benefits because they want their support. The SFFD were the first organization to back Mayor Newsom 7 years ago. They received a 24 % raise in their latest contract.

Someone should look into this.



Dean.Stephanos@VerizonWireless.com

08/31/2010 09:55 AM

To <Mtaboard@sfmta.com>, <Gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, <Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject: Parking meters

Hello -

PLEASE no extended meters past 6pm
PLEASE no meters on Sunday or holidays
PLEASE no any increases of parking fees from current

It is hard enough as it is. I think perhaps making sure the meters are operational would be a good way to go with this.

Thanks!

Dean Stephanos
Global Enterprise Manager
Professional Services, Media & Technology
Verizon Wireless
Mobile: 650.279.8049
Email: dean.stephanos@verizonwireless.com



Think before you print

To insure the best network performance, press *228 - option 2

74

clearwire

1900 N. California, Suite 20
Walnut Creek, CA
94596

p: 510-612-2511
www.clear.com

File 101045

BOA-11
C.A. -1
COB, Leg Dep
page
Jey

August 31, 2010

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Attn: Angela Calvillo

Re: file No 101045, Planning Case 2010.0306C, 10 Bernal Hts Blvd. Appeal of CUP

Dear Ms. Calvillo;

The project sponsor, Clearwire, requests a continuance for the scheduled hearing of the subject appeal, currently scheduled for Sept 7, 2010.

Notice of the scheduled hearing has not yet been received and Clearwire was only made aware of the hearing date through calling the Planning Department for the status on 8/26.


We are advised that notices were sent last Friday, 8/27.

We request this continuance in order to have enough time to submit all 40 informational packages to the Planning Dept, which became known to us on Monday, 8/30.

After an 8 month process through the Planning Department to a unanimous approval by the Planning Commission, Clearwire deserves an opportunity to present a full description of services and network requirements to the Board for their review in this matter.

Your immediate attention and consideration are greatly appreciated.

Very respectfully,



William Stephens, Project Mgr, Clearwire
Cell:510-612-2511

BY _____
2010 AUG 31 PM 1:04
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

75

Districts 1, 4, & 5, C-page



Date: 2010-08-28 14:30:00

Service Request Number: 721948

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name: Michael Shaw
Phone:
Address:
Email:

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: * Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: * Clerk of the Board

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:
Street Number:
Street Name:
Street Name 2:
City:
Zip Code:
X coordinate:
Y coordinate:
CNN:
Unverified Address: [checkbox]

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description: The resident is calling regarding the Golden Gate Park and Sunset. (For example, located on the 600-block of Market Street or in front of Rite-Aid)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request: * Request for Service

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

The resident would like to voice concern over the amount of

76

Additional Request
Details: *

activity in Golden Gate park and the surrounding areas that keep regular city citizens from using the park and conveniently getting around the area. Outside lands, Bay to Breakers, Different Walks all cause resident unnecessary conflicts.

Submit Cancel



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Issued: San Francisco Police Commission: Review of the Patrol Specials

Controller Reports

----- Original Message -----

From: Controller Reports
Sent: 09/01/2010 11:19 AM PDT
To: Angela Calvillo; BOS-Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV; BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV; Steve Kawa; Greg Wagner; Tony Winnicker; Starr Terrell; ggiubbini@sftc.org; Severin Campbell; Debra Newman; sfdocs@sfpl.info; gmetcalf@spur.org; CON-Media Contact/CON/SFGOV; CON-CCSF Dept Heads/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV; George Gascon; Joseph Reilly; Tara Collins; Michael Cohen; annrogan@gmail.com; kencraig@gmail.com; melissahandman@gmail.com; Atbyard83@yahoo.com; gpsafetyprogram@gmail.com; glenparkpatrolspecials1@comcast.net; sfpatrol@earthlink.net; info@castropatrol.org; edwardstringham@trincoll.edu; sfpdpsam@yahoo.com; Robert Yick; Katharine.porter@sfgov.org Theresa Sparks/HRC/SFGOV; Molly Stump; swalter@baycitizen.org; Nicolas King; Ben Rosenfield; Maura Lane; Monique Zmuda
Subject: Issued: San Francisco Police Commission: Review of the Patrol Specials

The Controller's Office is pleased to submit a report on a study of the Patrol Specials, performed for the City by Public Safety Strategies Group (PSSG) working on behalf of the Controller's Office and the Police Commission. The study was to determine if the operation of the Patrol Specials fit the mission, vision and values of the San Francisco Police Department, if the Patrol Specials operate in a manner comparable to other private police entities and if the Patrol Specials meet the needs of stakeholders. The report analyzes the function of the Patrol Specials, the City's and Police Commission's oversight role, and makes recommendations on the future and the regulation of the program.

To view the full report, please visit our website at:
<http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1171>

This is a send-only email address.

For questions regarding this report please contact Peg Stevenson peg.stevenson@sfgov.org or 415-554-7522.

Thank you.



To:
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Issued: City Services Auditor Annual Work Plan, Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Controller Reports

----- Original Message -----

From: Controller Reports

Sent: 08/31/2010 10:33 AM PDT

To: Angela Calvillo; BOS-Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV; BOS-Legislative Aides/BOS/SFGOV; Steve Kawa; Greg Wagner; Tony Winnicker; Starr Terrell; ggiubbini@sftc.org; Severin Campbell; Debra Newman; sfdocs@sfpl.info; gmetcalf@spur.org; CON-Media Contact/CON/SFGOV; CON-EVERYONE/CON/SFGOV; CON-CCSF Dept Heads/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV; CON-Finance Officers/CON/SFGOV; Tara Collins; Michael Cohen

Subject: Issued: City Services Auditor Annual Work Plan, Fiscal Year 2010-2011

The Controller's Office is please to submit the annual workplan for the City Services Auditor Division. The plan discusses the audit and project services provided by the Division, outlines the Charter, code and other mandates that drive the workplan and details the City Service Auditor's major strategic priorities for the year. The largest audits and projects, those with an estimated staff, contract, or program value of \$50,000 or more are detailed in a table. The Controller's Office welcomes comments and questions on the workplan.

To view the full report, please visit our website at: <http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1170>

This is a send-only email address.

For questions regarding this report - for audit subjects please contact Tonia Lediju at tonia.lediju@sfgov.org or 415-554-5393, for performance and technical assistance subjects please contact Peg Stevenson at peg.stevenson@sfgov.org or 415-554-7522.

Thank you.

78



SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS

File 100865



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

August 30, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City Hall Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Re: Board of Supervisors File No. 100865 [Establishing an Alcohol Mitigation Fee]

Small Business Commission Recommendation: **Do not approve**

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

On August 9, 2010, the Small Business Commission held a hearing on Board of Supervisors File No. 100865 and recommended that the Board of Supervisors not approve this ordinance.

The intent of this ordinance, according to the legislations chief proponent, the Marin Institute, is to "ensure alcohol wholesalers and importers- not bars, restaurants, or retailers- will pay their fair share to mitigate the tremendous economic costs of alcohol harm in San Francisco."¹ The Marin Institute also claimed that it was "ludicrous" to think that consumers will be less likely to purchase alcohol if the fee is implemented.² These premises were used in part to justify the introduction of this ordinance and both were subsequently discredited by the Controller's Office in the ordinance's Economic Impact Report. The impact of this fee will in fact be shifted directly to small businesses and their customers, and the effect of reduced consumer spending will be significant. Rather than acknowledging these real implications to small businesses, proponents have pitted San Francisco small businesses against important social programs.

Nexus Study and Equitability

While this ordinance proposes cost recovery for unreimbursed alcohol related costs that the City incurs, the fee does not take steps to mitigate alcohol related costs to the City. Furthermore, the collection of this fee will provide dedicated funding to programs which largely serve the City's well known chronic inebriant population, which may disincentivize the City from finding real solutions to address the significant costs incurred by these approximately 300 or less persons. The report failed to work with service providers to identify the types of alcohol consumed by persons in targeted programs and services, prohibiting the City from targeting the alcohol most responsible for these costs. Therefore, if passed, this fee will not equitably "charge for harm" and will instead target a broad range of responsible consumers, including visitors from other cities who contribute to our vibrant and economically significant nightlife and restaurant industries. Additionally, this fee disproportionately impacts working class and low income responsible drinkers.

1. Marin Institute (July 12, 2010). "Charge for Harm' Alcohol Mitigation Fee Deserves San Francisco Small Business Support. Press release.
2. Townsend, Tess (August 5, 2010). "Raise a Glass, Raise Tax Revenue," *The Bay Citizen*

SMALL BUSINESS ASSISTANCE CENTER/ SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 110 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681
(415) 554-6408

79



SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

Loss of Business and Controller Projections

Since this fee is only being charged for alcohol sold in San Francisco, it further increases the competitive disadvantage that San Francisco small businesses already face. The Controller's Office confirms this by stating in the updated Economic Impact Report that since local consumers can more easily shop at non-San Francisco establishments that are not subject to the fee, the reduction in consumption in San Francisco may be greater than projected. Not taking the above into consideration, the projected average loss in spending per alcohol retailer is already significant.

832 Off Sale Licenses¹

\$9.3 Million loss of spending²

=\$11,177 average per business

(Since spirits are majority of loss of sales, liquor stores will be disproportionately affected.)

2,744 On Sale Licenses¹

\$4.3 Million loss of spending²

=\$1,567 average per business

(Since spirits are a majority of loss of sales, bars and restaurants with type 47 and 48 licenses will be disproportionately affected.)

These numbers do not include any markup and additional factors that the SBC has identified, detailed below.

While the SBC believes that the projections used by the Controller's Office are structurally sound, those projections, including loss of sales and job losses, assume that the fee will be passed on to consumers at the same rate collected by the City. Wholesalers and distributors have confirmed that a markup will be added to the fee, which increase the fee by an additional 10 to 20%. In addition, various business sectors that resell or produce and/ or distribute alcoholic beverages face additional factors that will affect the end consumer cost and economic impact. These factors may skew a number of the Controller's projections, including the severity of business losses resulting from drops in consumer spending and the loss of private sector jobs, which will no longer be offset by the increase or retention of public sector employment.

On Sale Retailers

- The Economic Impact Report assumes that beers sold are 12 ounce servings. A significant amount of beer sold in bars and restaurants are in 16 ounce pint glasses. The calculation for the on-sale portion of the Economic Analysis may not be an accurate representation of the fee price and related calculations as it relates to consumer cost and elasticity.

1. California ABC license query August 9, 2010.

2. San Francisco Controllers Office. *Economic Impact Report for BOS File No. 100865*, Ted Egan. August 9, 2010. Page 7.



SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

- The California Board of Equalization has established guidelines to account for spillage and spoilage of beer, wine and spirits when reviewing sales tax revenue calculations. The recovery fee for spilled and spoiled product will need to be spread among the revenue generating alcoholic beverages sold to consumers. This amount is approximately 10%.
- While retail off-sale outlets can increase their prices incrementally to reflect the actual increase in cost of goods, a significant majority of on-sale and bars restaurants use \$.25 and \$1.00 price points. Resellers will either absorb the price increase or raise their prices in excess of the increase and risk a higher reduction in demand and elasticity.
- Sales tax adds 9.5% to the price of this fee. Bars include the sales tax price in the price they advertise to customers. Also, credit card fees add approximately 3% to the fee, when applicable.

San Francisco restaurants are operating at very slim profit margins. According to the Golden Gate Restaurant Association, the average pre-tax profit margin in San Francisco restaurants is 2.8%.

Off Sale Retailers

- Formula retailers will have a significant advantage over local small businesses. These large vendors will be able to spread the increase in alcohol over thousands of products and among dozens of stores in the bay area to keep San Francisco alcohol prices down. Consumers will recognize this price difference and shift spending towards these chain retailers.

Microbreweries and Small Wineries

- Consumers will typically shift their beer spending towards lower price products, confirmed in the elasticity models in the Economic Impact Report. This has the potential to disproportionately hurt the local, small business craft breweries. It may also put San Francisco and other craft brews out of reach of low and moderate income consumers.
- Small wineries and breweries outside of San Francisco, who self distribute, may choose to no longer distribute in San Francisco. Costs of distributing inside San Francisco are already greater due to tolls, parking and other factors. Winemakers and craft breweries seeking to break into the San Francisco market may instead concentrate their efforts elsewhere.
- San Francisco and Bay Area craft brewers and wineries rely on sales of their product in San Francisco to establish and build their brand. This fee will discourage and hinder their growth in this important market and encourage homogenisation as large multi-national alcohol companies leverage their scale and marketing resources to push their own "craft brews" to consumers. This contrasts the direction that the City is moving to in areas such as shop local, farmers markets, and the slow food movement.
- The Small Business Assistance Center has worked with several clients who are proposing to open wineries in the City of San Francisco, partly due to the recent Eastern Neighborhoods



SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

zoning changes. Increased costs of doing businesses in their proposed home City may result in these job producing businesses opening in other nearby cities.

Cost Containment

The Nexus Study states that there are a number of additional unreimbursed expenses that are not included in this ordinance. The SBC is concerned that in five years, the services that this fee provides cost recovery for, including existing and new programs, may result in the fee being significantly increased by the Board of Supervisors. Medical and programmatic cost increases for these programs may outpace inflation and further burden small businesses, should the Board increase the fee following the next Nexus Study in five years. Lastly, the fee itself has the potential to increase significantly should alcohol consumption projections fall short or consumer spending habits in San Francisco change. This would not require approval by the Board of Supervisors, as the Controller's Office will bi-annually adjust the current fee amount to meet the revenue goals of the ordinance.

Ordinance Time Frame

This proposed ordinance has been studied at the local level since June of 2009. Regrettably, the Small Business Commission only became involved in reviewing the ordinance upon referral at the end of June 2010. While the SBC respects that Supervisor Avalos is under a strict time frame to pass this ordinance due to the November election, had the SBC been brought in as a stakeholder from the beginning, the opportunity for collaboration may have allowed for many of our concerns to be addressed in the Nexus Study and for a more equitable ordinance to be before the Board of Supervisors. The small business community shares concerns over both the social and individual impacts of chronic inebriation and we are willing to work with the City to identify solutions that address this important issue in a manner that does not target the broad population of responsible drinkers to address an issue largely limited to a small subset of the population and will not have a significant negative impact to a large number of small businesses.

The Commission thanks Supervisor Avalos and his staff for their informational presentations and work to keep the Commission informed over the past two months. The Commission also thanks Ted Egan of the Controllers Office for his continued support to keep the SBC informed on the economic impact of this and other ordinances. Lastly, the Commission thanks the hundreds of businesses as well as the Marin Institute and other organizations that have provided commissioners with important feedback on this ordinance.

Sincerely,

Regina Dick-Endrizzi
Director, Office of Small Business

cc. Members of the Board of Supervisors
Starr Terrell, Mayors Office

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor
Edward D. Reiskin, Director



Phone: (415) 554-6920
Fax: (415) 554-6944
TDD: (415) 554-6900
http://www.sfdpw.com

Department of Public Works
Office of the Director
City Hall, Room 348
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

August 31, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4845

Subject: Report of the Department of Public Works
Adopt-A-Tree Account

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 SEP -3 AM 9:38
BY PC

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 10.100-227 of the Administrative Code, attached is the Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works Adopt-A-Tree Account for the period April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010.

Sincerely,

[Handwritten signature of Edward D. Reiskin]

Edward D. Reiskin
Director of Public Works


Attachment: As noted

CC: Liz Lerma, BUF
Carla Short, BUF
Robert Carlson, DDFMA
Jocelyn Quintos
Sreed Pisharath

80

**Department of Public Works
Adopt-A-Tree Fund
Quarterly Report
June 30, 2010**

Beginning Fund Balance - April 1, 2010	\$ 346,342.00
Revenues	76,540.00
Expenditures	(51,340.00)
Ending Fund Balance - June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 371,542.00</u>



To:
Cc:
Bcc:

Subject: Fw: SF Rental Subsidy Program for Families Report submitted via the Local Homeless Coordinating Board

Alison Schlageter

----- Original Message -----

From: Alison Schlageter

Sent: 08/30/2010 02:38 PM PDT

To: Eric Mar; Michela Alioto-Pier; David Chiu; Carmen Chu; Ross Mirkarimi; Chris Daly; Sean Elsbernd; Bevan Dufty; David Campos; Sophie Maxwell; John Avalos

Cc: Angela Calvillo; Gavin Newsom; Dariush Kayhan; lauraguzman@mnhc.org; christinetma@gmail.com; Trent Rhorer; Cindy Ward; Joyce Crum

Subject: SF Rental Subsidy Program for Families Report submitted via the Local Homeless Coordinating Board

Ordinance number 229-09, signed and approved on November 10, 2009 states the following (regarding the Family Rental Subsidy Program):

(Section 48.4 lines 10- 23) "The Local Homeless Coordinating Board shall issue a report on the progress of the subsidy to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Human Services Agency two times a year, including the number of families served, length of time on the subsidy, outcomes, number of unused slots, number of families seeking subsidies that were turned away, and recommendations in changes in policy, eligibility, criteria for applicants, and other pertinent information. The Service Providers shall make the best effort to take necessary corrective action in response to all client complaints internally within 30 days. After removing all personal information that could identify the client, the Service Provider or the client may forward the complaint to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board."

This is the first report since the legislation was passed. The report reflects information provided by the participating rental subsidy programs. The report also includes an appendix with additional information.

Ali Schlageter, LHCB Staff can answer any questions you might have.

Thank You



LHCBFamilyRentalSubsidyReport#1.pdf

Ali Schlageter
SF Local Homeless Coordinating Board Policy Analyst
Ten Year Implementation Council, Staff
Phone: 1-415-557-6451
Email: Alison.Schlageter@sfgov.org

81



San Francisco Local Homeless Coordinating Board

MEMORANDUM

To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors
 To: Mayor Gavin Newsom
 To: Trent Rhorer, Human Services Agency
 CC: Angela Calvillo, Board Clerk
 From: San Francisco Local Homeless Coordinating Board
 Date: August 30, 2010
 RE: San Francisco Rental Subsidy Program for Families

I. Background:

Ordinance number 229-09, signed and approved on November 10, 2009 states the following: (Section 48.4 lines 10- 23) "The Local Homeless Coordinating Board shall issue a report on the progress of the subsidy to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Human Services Agency two times a year, including the number of families served, length of time on the subsidy, outcomes, number of unused slots, number of families seeking subsidies that were turned away, and recommendations in changes in policy, eligibility, criteria for applicants, and other pertinent information. The Service Providers shall make the best effort to take necessary corrective action in response to all client complaints internally within 30 days. After removing all personal information that could identify the client, the Service Provider or the client may forward the complaint to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board.

This is the first report since the legislation was passed. The report reflects information provided by the participating rental subsidy programs. The report also includes an appendix with additional information.

II. Data:

A) Families Currently enrolled:

	Current
First Avenues (Hamilton Family Center)	58
SF Home (CCCYO and Compass)	109
THC (Tenderloin Housing Clinic)	57

B) Stats of families since program inception (Note: Program started in July 2006 at Hamilton and February 2007 for SF HOME and THC)

First Avenues: 99 completed*; 10 terminated

SF HOME: 75 completed*; 53 terminated; 15 voluntary exit

THC: 20 completed*; 3 terminated ; 5 voluntary exit

* *Completed means successfully transitioned off the subsidy and the family can pay rent on their own.*

- Demographics of families is provided in Appendix B of the report (reported only by SF HOME and THC)

C) Stats of families in last 7 months: (January – July)

First Avenues: 31 new; 9 completed; 0 terminated; 2 suspended

SF HOME: 2 new (already enrolled before suspension of new enrollments); 5 completed; 1 terminated; 0 suspended

THC: 0 new; 1 completed; 0 terminated; 0 suspended; 1 voluntary exit

D) Length of time on subsidy:

Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC)

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy) = 20
Average of 18 months spent on subsidy

. First Avenues: *Housing Solutions for Families*

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy) = 99
Average of 13 months spent on subsidy

SF HOME

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy) = 61
Average of 14 months spent on subsidy

E) Outcomes of those successfully transitioned off the subsidy:

* Follow up information is requested but voluntary. Families are contacted at 6 months and 12 months after they have transitioned off the subsidy.

SF HOME: CCCYO

6 -Month Outcomes

- 22 out of 28 families were still stably housed six months after last subsidy.
- 6 out of 28 could not be contacted
- 0 out of 28 were not housed

12 -Month Outcomes as of June

- 11 out of 19 families were still stably housed 12 months after last subsidy
- 5 out of 19 could not be contacted
- 3 out of 19 was not housed

SF HOME: Compass

6 -Month Outcomes

- 30 out of 46 families were still stably housed six months after last subsidy.
- 12 out of 46 could not be contacted

- 2 out of 46 were not housed

12 -Month Outcomes as of June

- 16 out of 31 families were still stably housed 12 months after last subsidy
- 14 out of 31 could not be contacted
- 1 out of 31 was not housed

First Avenues:

6 -Month Outcomes

- 32 out of 34 families were still stably housed six months after last subsidy.
- 2 out of 34 could not be contacted
- 1 out of 34 may not still be housed

12 -Month Outcomes as of June

- 88 out of 89 families were still stably housed 12 months after last subsidy
- 1 out of 89 could not be contacted

1 out of 89 was not housed

THC:

No data provided. Due to staff turnover and no capacity to collect additional requested information. Going forward THC data will be collected.

F) Additional Notes:

- Based on the 2010-2011 budget, there are no unused slots
- Budget cuts from 2010-2011 would result in 42 less subsidy slots available;
- Subsidy money was included in 2010-2011 restorations (amount \$264,000)

III. Turn Aways-

Additional Data provided in Appendix A of report

Starting in January providers were asked to provide information (for clients turned away from the program) on the following information: Monthly income; current housing status; reason for turn away.

Note: the information below only speaks to the families that were willing to provide information Agencies already advertised and had outgoing voicemail messages that indicated no new subsidy slots were available.

Context-

As of November 2009 the Human Services Agency instituted a suspension on new enrollments to the program. It is key to have that context in mind when looking at both the new enrollment data and the turnaway data.

The suspension's original purpose was to provide time for the Human Services Agency to calculate program costs associated with the length of the subsidy now being available for up to five years. The suspension stayed in effect when Human Services Agency proposed to reduce the subsidy program's general fund amount, as part of the overall GF reduction required by the Mayor's Office.

As of July 12, 2010 the suspension on new enrollments has not been lifted.

Hamilton: First Avenues

- ❑ 34 families turned away
- ❑ 33 were eligible for the program but there was no capacity; 1 was not eligible (didn't meet AMI requirement)
- ❑ Average monthly income of those requesting service = \$986 (income range = \$0 - \$2,254)
- ❑ Current living situation (of those deemed eligible): 1 with family; 6 doubled up; 22 in shelter; 2 in transitional housing; 1 couch surfing; 1 unknown

* Hamilton reports an estimated 207 people called expressing interest in the program but would not provide additional information after they found out no new enrollments were occurring. We are unable to report how many of those 207 people would have been eligible for the program.

SF HOME (CCCYO and Compass)

- ❑ 37 families turned away
- ❑ 22 were eligible and 15 were not
- ❑ Average Monthly Income of those requesting service = \$750 (income range = \$0- \$2000)
- ❑ Current living situation (of those deemed eligible): 16 w/ family and friends; 1 doubled up; 2 in transitional housing; 1 shelter; 2 other

Tenderloin Housing Clinic

Seven families were turned away because THC had stopped enrollments. Data was not collected. No additional data reported; due to staff turnover and no capacity to collect additional requested information. Going forward THC data will be collected.

***See appendix A for additional information on eligible and ineligible families that were turned away from the program*

IV. Progress of the subsidy:

Providers report that no substantive program change has happened since the legislation was passed. It is advertised in the community that THC and SF HOME are not taking any new enrollments. Hamilton has also reached capacity.

The providers also say that the families they are serving have increased barriers to transitioning off the subsidy, but this may be a result of them accepting families into the program that have challenges to begin with (additional information available in Appendix D).

Since the legislation the federally funded Homeless Prevention and Rapid Rehousing program has ramped up. This program can provide subsidies, up to 18 months for singles or families about to lose their housing or homeless families who need to find and move into housing.

Also, the Housing Access Project started its program in the recent months. This program is also federally funded and provides up to 15 months of rental subsidies to families who live on the streets or in shelters.

V. Complaints:

The LHCB received one complaint from a case manager working with a family who was possibly going to be terminated from the subsidy. Complaint also included claims that staff person was being unprofessional.

Current records show the family was not terminated from the subsidy.

VI. Policy Recommendations:

At the August meeting of the Local Homeless Coordinating Board the LHCB approved the following recommendation:

That the \$264,000 allocated back to the Human Services Agency in the 2010-2011 budget add back process be allocated to the family rental subsidy program which would allow for additional enrollments of families into the program.

Attached:

Appendix A: Turn away data

Appendix B: Demographics

Appendix C: Length of time on subsidy

Appendix D: Narrative information re: challenges clients have

Appendix A: Turn Away Details:

January 2010- June 2010

A) Hamilton First Avenues:

Information tracked for 34 families that were turned away

- 33 of those families met the entire eligibility criterion for the program ie: family composition, residency, income, and need.
- Of the 33 eligible families; they were not able to become enrolled in the program because Hamilton did not have the capacity to take them on
- The 1 family that was not eligible was because they made too much money

Profile:

Eligible families: (33)

Income range was \$329 -\$2,254

Average monthly income: \$986

Current living situation

- 1 with family (3%)
- 6 doubled up (18%)
- 22 in shelter (66%)
- 2 in transitional housing (6%)
- 1 couch surfing (3%)
- 1 unknown (3%)

Ineligible (1)

Monthly income = \$3,500

Current living situation

- 1 in shelter

B) SF HOME- CCCYO and COMPASS

Information tracked for 37 families that were turned away

- 22 of those families met the entire eligibility criterion for the program ie: family composition, residency, income, and need.
- Of those 22 eligible families, they were not enrolled because of the suspension of new enrollments for the SF HOME program
- 15 families that information was gathered from were not deemed eligible (information below)

Profile:

Eligible families: (22)

Income range was \$321 -\$2,000

Average monthly income: \$1,074

Current living situation

- 16 with family/friends (73%)
- 1 doubled up (4.5%)
- 1 in shelter (4.5%)
- 2 in transitional housing (9.0%)
- 2 other (4.5%)

Ineligible (15)

Average Monthly income = \$372

*six were ineligible because they had no income

Current living situation

- 7 in own rental unit (own or shared)
- 4 with family/friends
- 2 garage or in-law
- 1 couch surfing
- 1 streets

Reasons for ineligibility:

- 7 income level was too low
- 5 were had no children
- 2 live out of San Francisco
- 1 unknown

C) Tenderloin Housing Clinic

No data was provided due to staff turnover and no capacity to track the requested data.

Appendix B: Client Demographics

Reported by SF HOME only (as of 8.2.10)

SF HOME- CCCYO

Black: 38%

Latino: 38%

Asian/Pacific Islander/Indian: 9%

Caucasian: 13%

Native American: 2.1 %

SF HOME- Compass

Black: 41%

Latino: 33%

Asian/Pacific Islander/Indian: 12%

Caucasian: 14%

THC

*approximations

Black: 4%

Latino: 40%

Asian: 53%

Hamilton Family Center

No data provided. Note this data was optional and requested by the LHCB, not via the legislation.

Appendix C: Length of Time on Subsidy

I. Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC)

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy): 20

Average of 18 months spent on subsidy

-Clients whose subsidies were terminated: 1

Average of 31 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who lost contact with THC: 1

Average of 13 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who did not comply with plan: 1

Average of 6 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who exited voluntarily: 5

Average of 13 months spent on subsidy

II. First Avenues: *Housing Solutions for Families*

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy): 99

Average of 13 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who exited voluntarily: 24

Average of 10 months spent on subsidy

-Clients whose subsidies were terminated due to non-payment of rent: 6

Average of 6 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who lost contact with First Avenues: 3

Average of 3 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who did not comply with plan: 1

Average of 3 months spent on subsidy

III. SF HOME

-Clients who have completed the program (transitioned off the subsidy): 61

Average of 14 months spent on subsidy

-Clients whose subsidies were suspended: 2

Average of 8 months spent on subsidy

-Clients whose 24 months timed out: 3

Average of 24 months spent on subsidy

-Clients who exited voluntarily: 15

Average of 7 months spent on subsidy (*length of subsidy data not reported for 4 families)

-Clients whose subsidies were terminated due to non-payment of rent: 20

Average of 8 months spent on subsidy (*length of subsidy data not reported for 1 family)

-Clients who lost contact with SF Home: 20

Average of 9 months spent on subsidy (* length of subsidy data not reported for 5 families)

-Clients who did not comply with plan: 14

Average of 10 months spent on subsidy

-Clients whose subsidies were terminated *(total): 69

Average of 9 months spent on subsidy

*Total= all numbers above, minus suspended and timed out clients

Appendix D: Narratives

In response to: "Information that would describe what your client's barriers to maintaining housing are".

SF HOME: CCCYO:

Some of the main barriers for our families include: access to childcare, loss of income, lack of transportation, immigration status, lack of education and skills, physical and mental illnesses and community violence. Many of our families are not on CalWorks and therefore are not eligible for assistance with childcare. This makes it very difficult for them to obtain affordable childcare for their children so that they can get to work. For the families that are on CalWorks the waiting lists for many of the childcare centers are so long, that families are still unable to maintain consistent work. Another issue is that many families have been laid off from positions that they held in the past or are having their hours reduced as a result of budget cuts at their workplaces. In other instances we have had families have to break up as a result of domestic violence situations, which have left only one person able to work. Since many of our families have had to move to the East Bay as a result of the high costs of rents in San Francisco, many have incurred BART and bus fares that make it difficult for them to keep their jobs and therefore their housing. Another major issue has been the number of undocumented families that we have seen in the past couple of months that have not been able to obtain jobs to help pay for their rent. We have also had many families with severe physical and mental illnesses that have made it difficult for them to maintain employment. One final area has been the large number of families that have had issues with burglaries, being robbed or targeted in their community by violence. This has made it very difficult for them to stabilize their families and housing.

SF HOME: Compass

Families situations vary drastically but some of the reasons why some families are not able to transition off the subsidy and pay rent on their own

Market rates for apartments for families in the city are too high. While markets rates are lower in other parts of the Bay areas moving is really difficult for families. It means changing schools, losing services, paying for transportation, and living sometimes and unreasonable length away from already established work and living in areas that are unfamiliar.

The wages that single parents can earn does not suffice for sustaining appropriate apartments. Many of the single parents in our program go to schools or vocational programs to learn a new skill and when they complete their training they still lack experience in those fields so they start out a lower pay scale.

Many of our families face very difficult challenges while trying to complete educational / vocational programs. Many of our families have faced domestic violence from partners that completely disrupt their plans. Most educational programs work on a semester system or cycles. When a mother's plan gets disrupted in the middle of a cycle this usually means at least that

their semester / cycle is lost due to non completion. Mother's who work through these very difficult life experiences aren't able to concentrate and earn poor grades. While they may finish a semester/cycle they may not solidify their knowledge which means when they are taking, for example, a licensing test they do not pass.

Beyond domestic violence there are many other challenges that occur for families. While some challenges are to be expected purely because life happens some challenges become life consuming and can severely disrupt a parent's plan. We have had several families who have faced deportations proceeding with their children or other members of their family, which have forced them to switch their focus from their education to maintaining the integrity of their families. We have had families face very severe violence and tragic accidents.

Some families were accepted under educational/vocational plans and once they entered the program and were placed in apartments the program realized that these were unrealistic expectations when considering these families' very particular circumstances. These circumstance vary from medical issues to mental health issues, developmental issues etc. Rather than closing these families and leaving them homeless we transition them to subsidized housing plans. We work with them to apply for subsidized housing but there are not too many options. The options that are available are limited and take a very long time to receive.

Some families have begun plans and found them untenable after a few months of following through. They then search out new coursework or other programs by doing this they are essentially starting over which extends their time. Some families' plans depended on summer coursework when City college was closed their plans were extended by at least a semester if not more depending on what classes get offered which semesters.

Some families were persuaded to take jobs due to the Jobs Now program this extended their plans as their time is now split between work and their plans.

Tenderloin Housing Clinic:

* THC provided two examples of what a family's situation might be:

Family 'A' has had both adults members working while taking classes to improve their English. In January, the restaurant the father worked in closed. He filed for unemployment but his unemployment check was delayed due to EDD getting his SSN incorrect. Currently the family is living on a very tight budget consisting of his unemployment check, food stamp, and the wife's part time job.

Family "B"'s entire household is undocumented. In January of 2008 the father, left the household. The mother had to resubmit a transition plan based on the new household size. She began intensive therapy services due to the family situation. In 2009 the father returned to the household. In October 2009 the household began to lose employment. In November of 2009 the entire household was unemployed. The family has exhausted all possible resources. In January of 2010 the household returned to an SRO unable to pay their portion for rent.

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
09/02/2010 02:14 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject SFHPC - [North Beach Library] 1pm Weds. Sept. 1st -
UPDATED INFO ON BLIP PROGRAM EFFECTS ON
APPLETON AND WOLFARD LIBRARIES



Aaron Goodman
<amgodman@yahoo.com>
09/02/2010 09:20 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Fw: SFHPC - [North Beach Library] 1pm Weds. Sept. 1st -
UPDATED INFO ON BLIP PROGRAM EFFECTS ON
APPLETON AND WOLFARD LIBRARIES

SF Board of Supervisors

Please review the attached email and .pdf of the updated images I have sent to the SFHPC commission of pre and post images of the Merced and Parkside in relation to your decision on the upcoming North-Beach Library Discussion.

It is critical to recognize the "effects" and poor future planning the BLIP program has promoted vs. sounder preservation based alternatives on the Appleton & Wolfard Libraries.

There is 101 ways to solve a problem, the proposal to destroy a fine example of the principled library designs of Appleton and Wolfard at North Beach deserves a very strong review, and decision that seriously considers the landmarking of the library due to the actions of the SFPL BLIP program.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman (Architect - District 11 Resident)
amgodman@yahoo.com

--- On Wed, 9/1/10, Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Subject: SFHPC - [North Beach Library] 1pm Weds. Sept. 1st - UPDATED INFO ON
BLIP PROGRAM EFFECTS ON APPLETON AND WOLFARD LIBRARIES
To: linda.avery@sfgov.org
Cc: awmartinez@earthlink.net, andrew.wolfram@perkinswill.com, c.chase@argsf.com,
jmbuckley9@comcast.net, cdamkroger@hotmail.com, karlhasz@gmail.com,
diane@johnburtonfoundation.org
Date: Wednesday, September 1, 2010, 12:05 PM

September 1st, 2010

SFHPC Commissioners;

Please find the attached "updated" images of ongoing work related to the Appleton & Wolfard Libraries. The North Beach Branch is under your consideration today, and the recent SF Chronicle Article by John King did little justice to the library, or the related branches. It is KEY to make your decision based in part on the effects on other branch libraries, and the BLIP programs efforts to side-step true preservation based alternatives and review which would have lessened the overall impact on ALL the libraries in question.

The .pdf attached shows the current state as of today of the ongoing work on the MERCED and PARKSIDE branch libraries by Appleton & Wolfard.

It provides additional images of the historical views of the three libraries to denote the variety and play of the libraries shapes, forms, and use of utilitarian materials. The effects on the entrances, landscapes, neighborhood set-backs, open and functional interiors, and inviting low-impact public design concepts are being drastically affected by the work of the BLIP program. New proposed changes on the Merced Branch which I have consistently raised, will reduce seating, increase book stacks, and make the building as UN-INVITING as possible. The increased populations of Parkmerced, SFSU-CSU, Ardenwood and Stonestown, will alone make the bond money expenditures on Merced obsolete in less than a few years. The gutting of the Merced branch was un-sustainable, and the lack of public outreach to the student users at SFSU, and rental community of Parkmerced due to lack of formal 311 notice to tenants was again improper. To not plan for the future density of these neighborhoods through quick use of bond funds that were earmarked for preservation requires a STERN and FOCUSED response due to the rushed effort by the BLIP program to get there teeth into these buildings prior to any SFHPC decision. That makes your decision today on the North Beach Branch ever more important in how the city will approach other sites, buildings and preservation worthy issues.

The need to focus on the overall long-term planning, that includes preservation of these branches intact, is key to seeing and learning from the original designs.

I hope your acute eyes will see the story in the details, and will make a sound judgement on the future preservation efforts of these branches. I do not envy the task, but believe your roles are key in deciding how strongly the preservation issues of this city will be addressed in the future regardless of public opinion, and journalism that refuses to look at the whole, and only addresses the partial story.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman
amgodman@yahoo.com
Cell: 415.786.6929



library_BLIP.pdf

Board of
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
09/02/2010 02:16 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,
cc
bcc
Subject Review ALL the appleton and wolfard's (not just north
beach)..... - A.Goodman



Aaron Goodman
<amgodman@yahoo.com>
09/02/2010 09:23 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
cc
Subject Fw: Review ALL the appleton and wolfard's (not just north
beach)..... - A.Goodman

SF Board of Supervisors;

The recent article by John King in the SF Chronicle paid short shrift to the issues related to the TOTAL impact on the appleton & wolfard libraries.

The north beach, and many others are being proposed by the BLIP program for gutting, and demolition, vs. sound green/sustainable adaptive re-use.

Many of these existing buildings especially the north beach branch was meant to be added on to in concept. To waste a building is the most un-sustainable act you can make currently in the decisions of planning.

Please ensure that preservation takes a strong stand in the future decisions of planning for San Francisco.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman (Architect - District 11 Resident)
amgodman@yahoo.com

--- On Tue, 8/31/10, Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Subject: Review ALL the appleton and wolfard's (not just north beach)..... - A.Goodman
To: jking@sfchronicle.com
Cc: jdiaz@sfchronicle.com
Date: Tuesday, August 31, 2010, 10:32 AM

"A 'dubious' expenditure of bond funds" , that should be the title of the article. The case study

was in assessing ALL the appleton and wolfard libraries. Due to improper and inadequate review by the SF Planning Department and demolition of some of the eligible sites the SFHPC (voter approved) must now review only a pair of eligible buildings post the BLIP program "improvements". There is no reason to enshrine the buildings, but there is reason to require that options per CEQA show adequate preservation based alternatives that utilize preservation and adaptive re-use of the existing buildings. The North Beach was one of the libraries per one of the public comment attendees that had the option to add or change the building. The group proposing landmarking it have proposed significant un-reviewed alternatives that are just as solid proposals as the current architects proposal. The ruining of the other branches has occurred without adequate review and is not even mentioned in the article.

Mr. King you need to show all the libraries affected. The Parkside and Merced branch work has already altered many of the facilities with ZERO discussion of adaptive re-use or new facilities on alternative sites. The need to look seriously at "green-sustainable" preservation inclusive in the discussion is missing in your article in the same vein as how Parkmerced's "Vision" ignores and does not inform people on the numbers of the waste entailed in demolishing and tearing down a whole si

The image of the interior again ignores the fact that many of these libraries (especially the merced branch) were gutted without looking at the details. The shelves, bookcases, library circulation desks, furniture, work-rooms, and in merced's case an all-in-one-kitchen unit were tossed in the garbage heap without a second thought. The lack of any internal review of the money spent by the BLIP program on gutting and tossing libraries is an affront to the environmental claims of these library programs. The Merced branch addition impacts negatively the original concept.

As an architect you cannot go to the Merced branch looking at the new entry box that houses ADA restrooms, and a work-room, and blocks and ruins the entry design and state that it is a "good" addition. There MUST have been alternatives unexplored, or un-reviewed. The need here is to recognize the designs intent, concept and simple utilitarian modern palette of ideas. The libraries can be remodeled IF they include preservation in the discussion. The options to utilize the steel and re-work on a new site across 19th ave. on the Stonestown Parking Lot would have been a NEW facility with larger amenities. The Merced branch could be turned into a community space, or other feature and this option was NOT investigated adequately per CEQA. The steel alone blocks the windows and entry and reduces the north light and open-ness of the original design. Looking at the old photos available on SFPL website and the images of the library again showcase the open feel of the space. The current website showeasing the new designs for Merced show a packed bookcase, and reduced sitting area.

The transition of this Merced branch has already ruined its eligibility for the historic register, regardless of the changes made. There needs to be an accounting of ALL the BLIP programs work, the overall impacts on the different branches and a proper review of why its important to save the branches from demolition.

Your article fails in noting anything significant historically about the spaces, concepts, and the ideas they engendered.

I wish you would stand up, and be an architect that understands the issues of preservation of modernism, and look into the principles that developed these styled libraries. They are NOT ranch homes, they are well designed simple utilitarian spaces that have character, detail, modern lines, and simple forms and finishes. They are meant as opening the discussion on the "social" aspects and access of libraries. When I go to look at the other new library along Ocean Ave. near city college and have to enter through security gates and a volume that appears to refute any entrance or invitation to come in, I believe they have missed the concepts that were part of the original Appleton and Wolfard designs, a library focused on democratic principles of access and open-ness. The preservationists push to see these libraries landmarked is POST the efforts by the BLIP program to ram-rod through changes with little review of alternatives.

This has NOTHING to do with community or preservationist involvement in the options, alternatives, and decisions per CEQA. The system is flawed to begin with and the need to address preservation as an inclusive issue re-inforces the narrative issues discussed by Christine Madrid French (NTHP). Not that you don't understand why preservation of modern sites and buildings is important, I believe you do understand the reason why such buildings have character, however your article FAILS to discuss even one principle seriously on why this North Beach library SHOULD be landmarked....There are many preservationists who have devoted much time and energy in educating and informing the public on the reasons why the North Beach Library is IMPORTANT. Perhaps interviewing some of these people, instead of relying on your own observations will assist your writing more balanced on the principles being discussed. The BLIP program has already done its damage, and influenced as many neighbors and parents about their efforts, un-informed on the damage it causes. They have used the money meant for preservation, to enlarge and engender a construction program that ignores the original approved for bond intent. That should be discussed in more detail than you provide...

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman
amgodman@yahoo.com



AAC-6254.jpg



AAC-6262.jpg



IMG_0979.JPG



IMG_0980.JPG



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

clerk 10 NYC
20091117-001
cc: Sup. Duffy/Campo

12 5 AUG 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Room 244
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

I am writing in response to your November 20, 2009, letter to Attorney General Eric Holder, which was referred to the FBI for response. You wrote concerning the death of Mr. Jorge Steven Lopez Mercado. I apologize for our delay in responding to your request.

The FBI's San Juan Field Office monitored the local investigation into the death of Mr. Mercado, and offered logistical and investigative assistance to the local authorities. On 11/18/2009, Juan Antonio Martinez Matos (Martinez) was arrested and charged with the murder of Mr. Mercado. In May 2010, Mr. Martinez plead guilty to the murder of Mr. Mercado and was sentenced to 99 years in prison.

I appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention, and I hope this information will be helpful to you.

Sincerely yours,

Kevin L. Perkins
Assistant Director
Criminal Investigative Division

83

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor.

TO: Eric Holder
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

FROM: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATE: 9/1/2010

REFERENCE: 20091117-001

FILE NO.

DUE DATE: **December 20, 2009**

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 11/17/2009.

Supervisor Dufty, joined by Supervisor Campos requests the following information:

At the November 17, 2009, Board of Supervisors meeting, Supervisors Bevan Duffy and David Campos inquired about the leadership by the U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation to ensure that the terrible murder of Jorge Steven Lopez Mercado be thoroughly investigated as a possible Hate Crime.

Enactment of the Matthew Shepard Hate Crimes Act provides important tools to ensure that justice occurs in cases involving hate directed violence and murder because of a victim's sexual orientation or gender identity.

It is disturbing that there are reports that the investigating officer made statements that Mr. Lopez Mercado deserved being murdered because of the "type of lifestyle" he was leading.

Supervisors Dufty and Campos request that the Justice Department advise the San Francisco Board of Supervisors of steps to investigate applicability of new federal civil rights violations covered by hate crime protections.

Thank you for addressing these concerns.

(Hard copy will be sent by US Mail)

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by **December 20, 2009**

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 1, 2010
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *Madeleine Licavoli*
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor has submitted appointments to the following Commissions:

- Todd Mavis, Human Rights Commission, term ending September 2, 2012
- Jordan Shlain, Health Service System Board, term ending May 15, 2015

Under the Board's Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within thirty days of the appointment as provided in Section 3.100(17) of the Charter.

Please notify me in writing by 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 7, 2010, if you wish any appointment to be scheduled.

Attachments

84

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



Rules Clerk
COB, Leg. Dep.
GAVIN NEWSOM

September 1, 2010

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have made the following appointments, effective today, September 1, 2010:

Todd Mavis as a member of the Human Rights Commission. Mr. Mavis is appointed to succeed Nora Vargas for a four-year term ending September 2, 2012.

Jordan Shlain as a member of the Health Service System Board. Dr. Shlain is appointed to succeed Mitch Katz for the unexpired portion of a five-year term ending May 15, 2015.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that their qualifications allow them to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom".

BY AK

2010 SEP - 1 PM 1:11

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO



Notice of Appointment

September 1, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Jordan Shlain to serve as member of the Health Service System Board for the unexpired portion of a five-year term and Todd Mavis as a member of the Human Rights Commission for a four-year term, both commencing today, September 1, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17)

I am confident that Dr. Shlain and Mr. Mavis will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Gavin Newsom".

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

BY

AK

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 SEP - 1 PM 1:10

Jordan L. Shlain M.D.

490 Post Street, Suite 710 – San Francisco, CA 94102
o: 415.830-3085 m: 415.823.6363 drshlain@CurrentHealth.com

EXPERIENCE

MEDICAL DIRECTOR & FOUNDER

Current Health Medical Group, A Professional Corporation

Full Service Primary Care Clinic offering acute, chronic and preventative medical services 24 hours/day. House calls and Online.

1997 – Present

MEDICAL ETHICS LECTURER

University of California Berkeley

1997 - Present

SAN FRANCISCO ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSIONER

PRESIDENT & CHAIR OF EXEC. COMMITTEE

Founding commissioner appointed by Willie Brown

2003-2008

TEAM-LEADER (ACUTE CARE)

Hope Street Group, Washington DC

Non-profit, Non-partisan policy 2.0 Think Tank

June 2010 – Present

SAN FRANCISCO MEDICAL SOCIETY

Board of Directors

2003 -2009

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PRIVATE PHYSICIANS

Board of Directors

2007 -present

X-PRIZE

Life Sciences / Medical Prize Design Consultant

2010

ASSISTANT CLINICAL PROFESSOR

University of California San Francisco

1999 – 2008

LUFTHANSA GERMAN AIRLINES

Medical Director – Northern California

1999 – Present

HARVARD UNIVERSITY - WORLDTEACH – KISUMU, KENYA

Secondary School Teacher – Chemistry, Physics, Biology

1989-1990

LOS MEDICOS VOLADORES
English Translator in Mexican Operating Room – Volunteer
1984

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

HEALTHSPOTTR.COM – TOP 100 US HEALTHCARE INNOVATORS 2009
INNER CITY TEACHING – GEORGETOWN MEDICAL SCHOOL - 1993
DEAN'S LIST – UC BERKELEY 1988-1989

LECTURES

HEALTH 2.0 CONFERENCE - 2009
INSTITUTE OF THE FUTURE HEALTH – 2009
WORLD HEALTHCARE CONGRESS – 2008

EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY 1989
B.A. Anatomy/Physiology

HARVARD UNIVERSITY – CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Field Work – Kenya

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY MEDICAL SCHOOL 1994
Medical Doctor

**POST-GRADUATE
TRAINING**

CALIFORNIA PACIFIC MEDICAL CENTER - INTERNSHIP - 1995
CALIFORNIA PACIFIC MEDICAL CENTER/UCSF - RESIDENCY - 1995-1997

**PROFESSIONAL
AFFILIATIONS**

SAN FRANCISCO MEDICAL SOCIETY
CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION
AMERICAN COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS
AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PRIVATE PHYSICIANS
DIPLOMAT, ROYAL COLLEGE OF MEDICINE – LONDON

**BOARD
CERTIFICATION**

US Medical license Exams - Steps I, II, & III
Board Certified - Internal Medicine

HOSPITAL AFFILIATIONS

CALIFORNIA PACIFIC MEDICAL CENTER
UCSF
STANFORD MEDICAL CENTER

PUBLICATIONS & MEDIA

TELEVISION

MEDICAL CORRESPONDENT

ABC-TV

View From the Bay

Medical Correspondent and Consultant

2007-2010

CBS TV- Channel 5

Eye on the Bay – Healthy Nutrition Segment

2005-Present

KRON TV – Channel 4

Morning News – Healthy Lifestyles

2004-2006

PRINT

SAN FRANCISCO MEDICINE

The Changing face of Healthcare

Emergencies in Flight

Consumerism and Medicine

eHealth: the future of the medicine and the Internet

The Healthy Diver

Compassionate Realism – Death and Dying

7x7 MAGAZINE

Standard of Care – Women's Health Maintenance

The Dangers of OTC Tylenol

Health benefits of Chocolate

Standard of Care – Sun & Skin Protection

Standard of Care – Cardiovascular fitness

Standard of Care – Eye Care

TODD MAVIS

P.O. Box 460171
San Francisco, CA 94146

(415) 407-9713 (cell)
ToddMavis@hotmail.com

WORK EXPERIENCE

LIBERTY PROPERTIES GROUP *GENERAL PARTNER*

2002 – Present

- Specializing in End-to-End Real Estate Development, Construction, Management and Brokering of Residential Properties
- Completed over 20 projects primarily in City of San Francisco
- Work with neighborhood associations, various governmental and non-governmental agencies and community development boards
- Responsibilities: construction project management, hiring decisions, human-resources issues and maintaining a diverse workforce

ROLAND BERGER – Management Consulting Firm *PROJECT MANAGER, Strategy and Corporate Development Practice*

1997-May 2002

- Top tier, strategic planning consulting firm (31 international offices with 1,000 consultants)
- Worked in: Germany, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, Austria, Argentina and Brazil
- Led project teams of 3 to 20 people; worked with senior client executives in the Construction and Finance Industries
- Responsibilities: managed project teams, acquired new clients, wrote and analyzed business plans, developed new growth strategies, designed and implemented new business units or products, led post-merger integration teams and implemented re-organization plans.

UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR

GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY, San Francisco, CA *ADJUNCT ASSISTANT PROFESSOR*

1994 - 1997

- Faculty member in the Law School and Graduate School of Business
- Courses taught: International Preservation of Human Rights, Employment Rights, Health Care; Business Law

EDUCATION

University of California, Berkeley, B.A. in Economics and Political Science (honors), 1990

London School of Economics, LL.B. Law Degree (honors), 1993

University of Freiburg, LL.M. Masters in Law (cum laude), Freiburg, Germany, Thesis Topic: Preservation of Economic, Social and Cultural Human Rights, 1994

COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES

- Equity Advisory Committee member to the S.F. Human Rights Commission ('09 – present)
- Human Rights Campaign and Equality California – fundraising and awareness campaign efforts
- Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club – Board of Directors
- San Francisco Coalition for Responsible Growth – Board of Directors
- Pets Are Wonderful Support (PAWS) – Board of Directors



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

BOS-11, COB, Leg Dep

BTF clerk, cpage
Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Monique Zmuda
Deputy Controller

September 2, 2010

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
Room 244, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Budget Certification

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2010 SEP -2 PM 2:29
BY _____ AK

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 require that each department head certify that the funding provided in the budget as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for their department to meet the service levels and operations proposed for the fiscal year. This certification takes the form of a letter addressed to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Controller, and must be issued within 30 days of the Board's adoption of the budget.

At this time, all departments have submitted budget certification letters. All departmental budget certifications assume the release of appropriated reserves placed by the Controller's Office, the Mayor's Office, and the Board of Supervisors. The Superior Court cannot certify its budget is adequate to meet required department service levels. The Adult Probation Department, City Attorney, Department of Public Health, Human Services Agency, Fire Department, Police Department, and Sheriff's Office have expressed concerns that their funding may not be adequate in the current year. The attached table outlines specific information related to these departments.

If you have any questions about this material, please contact me at (415) 554-7500.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

cc: Mayor's Budget Director
Budget Analyst

FY 2010-11 Departmental Budget Certifications

Department


Budget Not Certified

CRT	Cannot certify budget due to already-declared conflict of interest cases that will incur costs that could result in indigent defense costs exceeding the \$8.8M allocation. CRT may request midyear supplemental for indigent defense to ensure CCSF meets mandated responsibility.
-----	---

Department

Budget Certified with Comments/Concerns

ADP	Pending State budget approval and impact on local probation departments. Potential realignment of State funds to public safety county agencies could increase caseloads and affect staffing level requirements.
CAT	If client departments use services beyond those contemplated during the budget process, dept anticipates they will reimburse CAT for the actual costs incurred. Dept anticipates that CAT will request appropriations of attorney's fees and costs recovered from non-City sources.
DPH	Dept is short several million in funding for expenses incurred in 2009/10 and expected to incur in 2010/11. Budget includes continuing high levels of attrition savings that will require maintenance of high vacancy rates and hiring freezes to meet. If dept is able to realize more revenue than budgeted, as in past years, they shall make no requests for general fund supplemental appropriations. Budget estimates for FMAP (Federal Medical Assistance Percentage) and Hospital Fee grants (Assembly Bill 188) exceed the amounts dept will receive and additional funding and/or spending reductions will be necessary to address this issue.
DSS	Dept does not anticipate the need to request supplemental appropriations. However, they are continuing to closely monitor state & local budget developments and, if necessary, will be in contact to discuss further changes in state & federal revenue.
FIR	Concerned with salary reductions to the Dept's budget, particularly within its overtime allocation. The dept is also awaiting developments for the design of the Ambulance Service System. The current model allows for both revenue & expenditure uncertainty throughout the year, and does not allow the dept to provide emergency medical services in the most efficient way.
POL	Certified with assumptions: that there are no significant increases in service deployment, which are directly related to increases in serious criminal activity, natural disasters or elevated homeland security threat levels; that any legislative mandates related to re-deployment of Police department resources include the appropriation of additional funds to address these mandates.
SHF	Certify with 4 exceptions: (1) increase in jail population due to reductions to State budget- if population exceeds budgeted capacity, additional overtime and operating expenditures will be incurred; (2) possible reduction in court funding for security due to court closures if included in State budget; (3) possible reductions of 2 state grants (STC and POST)- budget assumes \$500K in those grants to offset cost of mandated trainings; (4) inability to achieve projected debt service savings.



Corrected Conflict of Interest Categories
Olga Ryerson to: Peggy Nevin
This message is digitally signed.

09/01/2010 11:16 AM

History: This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Peggy:

I'm very sorry, but there is a change to the Treasure Island Operations disclosure categories.

I promise this is correct now.

Olga



Revised Categories for 2010.docx

Olga A. Ryerson
Executive Assistant to the City Administrator
City Hall, Room 362
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-6927
Fax: (415) 554-4849

86

SEC. 3.1-251. - GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY—CITY ADMINISTRATOR.

- (a) "Disclosure Category 1. Persons in this category shall disclose income from any source, interests in real property, investments, and all business positions in which the designated employee is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or holds any position of management."
- (b) Disclosure Category 2. Persons in this category shall disclose all interests in real property.
- (c) Disclosure Category 3. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities, interests in real property, and income from any source that is subject to the regulatory, permit or licensing authority of the General Services Agency.
- (d) Disclosure Category 4. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco and its Purchasing Department to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to the City and County of San Francisco.
- (e) Disclosure Category 5. Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business positions in business entities and income from any source which provides, or contracts with the City and County of San Francisco to provide, or has provided within the last two years, commodities or services to either the Division of the Purchasing Department to which the person is assigned or the Department (other than the Purchasing Department) to which the person is assigned.

Designated Positions Disclosure Categories	
311 Project	
Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Call Center Manager	1
Animal Control Department	
Executive Director	1
Deputy Director	1
Capital Planning Program	
Director	1
Principal Administrative Analyst	1
Central Shops	
Principal Administrative Analyst	5
Principal Clerk	5
Principal Parts Storekeeper	5
Parts Storekeeper	5
Auto Service Worker	5
Automotive Mechanic Supervisor	5
Automotive Machinist Supervisor	5
Maintenance Machinist Supervisor	5
Manager, Fleet Services	5
Assistant Manager, Fleet Services	5
Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs	
Executive Director	
Commission Member, Immigrant Rights Commission	
Convention Facilities Department	
Director	1
Senior Event Manager	1

Special Projects Manager			1
Special Projects Assistant Manager	DELETE	DELETE	4
County Clerk			
County Clerk			1
Director			1
Assistant Director			1
Entertainment Commission			
Commissioner			1
Executive Director			1
Assistant Executive Director			1
Permit Administrator			1
Sound Technician			1
Grants for the Arts			
Director			1
Assistant Director			1
Finance and Operations Manager			1
Immigrants Rights Commission			
Commission Member			1
Executive Director			1
Main Office			
City Administrator			1
Deputy City Administrator			1
Director of Human Resources			1
Director of Information Technology			1
Director, GSA Strategic Initiatives			1
Immigrant Rights Administrator			4
Director, Office of Language Services			4
Disaster Planning Project Manager			5
Community Challenge Grants Manager			4
Accounting Manager			4
Assistant to the City Administrator			4
Executive Assistant to the City Administrator			4
Mayor's Office on Disability			
Director			1
Deputy Director			1
Sr. Building Inspector			1
Medical Examiner			
Chief Medical Examiner			1
Administrator			1
Office of Contract Administration			
Director of Purchasing			1
Assistant Director			1
Supervising Purchaser			4
Senior Purchaser			4

Purchaser	4
Assistant Purchaser	4
Principal Administrative Analyst ADD ADD ADD ADD	4
Office of Labor Standards Enforcement	
Manager	1
Contract Compliance Officer I	1
Contract Compliance Officer II	1
Senior Administrative Analyst	1
Office of Public Finance DELETE - BELONGS TO CONTROLLER'S OFFICE	
Director	4
Bond Associate	4
Compliance and Administrative Officer	4
Real Estate Division	
Director of Real Estate	1
Assistant Director of Real Estate	1
Principal Real Property Officer	1
Senior Real Property Officer	1
Real Property Officer	1
Finance Officer	1
City Hall Building General Manager	4
City Hall Chief Senior Stationary Engineer	4
City Hall Custodial Services Manager	4
City Hall Building Services Manager	4
City Hall Media and Security Services Manager	4
Civic Center General Manager	1
Civic Center Campus Superintendent Chief Engineer	4
Civic Center Campus Custodial Services Manager Client Services Manager	4
Special Projects Manager (See Category #)	4
Farmers Market Manager	4
Risk Management Division	
Risk Manager	1
Deputy Risk Manager	1
Risk Management Analyst	4
Treasure Island Operations	
Director of Island Operations	1
Deputy Director of Real Estate	1
Manager VI	1
Senior Real Property Officer	4
Real Property Officer	1

(Added by Ord. 80-07, File No. 070122, App. 4/19/2007; Ord. 93-08, File No. 090199, App. 6/10/2009)