

FILE NO. 130065

Petitions and Communications received from January 21, 2013, through January 28, 2013, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on February 5, 2013.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

*From Planning, submitting Notice of Availability of Initial Study for Transit Effectiveness Project. Copy: Each Supervisor, Clerk of the Board. (1)

*From Controller, submitting Annual Financial Report for Year Ended June 30, 2012. Copy: Each Supervisor, Clerk of the Board. (2)

From Clerk of the Board, reporting the following individuals have submitted a Form 700 Statement: (3)

Norman Yee – Supervisor – Assuming
Esther Lee – Legislative Aide – Assuming
Vallie Brown – Legislative Aide – Assuming
Bruce Oka – SOTF – Assuming
Alexander Volberding – Legislative Aide – Leaving

From Laguna Honda Hospital, submitting quarterly report showing compliance with reversal of the Admission Policy Priorities. (4)

From UCSF Medical Center, regarding relocation of two clinics from 400 Parnassus Avenue to 350 Parnassus Avenue. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Veterans Affairs Commission, submitting 2012 Annual Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From Public Health, submitting annual report on Treatment on Demand Assessment. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Public Health, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code, Chapter 12B, for 455 Golden Gate Avenue. (8)

*From Controller, submitting the San Francisco Park Maintenance Standards Annual Report, FY 2011-12. (9)

From concerned citizens, regarding Sutter/CPMC Development Proposal. File Nos. 120550 and 120551. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From SFMTA, submitting MTA Tow Refund Report for 3rd and 4th Quarters of 2012. (11)

From Sierra Club, regarding car-share legislation. File No. 120900. (12)

From Shannon Seaberg, regarding naming the airport. File No. 130037. (13)

From concerned citizens, regarding Condominium Conversion Impact Fee. File No. 120669. 3 letters. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding Woodhouse on Marina Green. File No. 120987. 7 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Siobhan Williams, regarding Beach Chalet fields. (16)

From Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council, regarding 780 Frederick Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From California Regional Water Quality Control Board, regarding Pacifica Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Level. (18)

From Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office, submitting report on Domestic Violence Policies and Costs. (19)

From Environment, submitting response to BOS Inquiry for plan to address neighborhood and environmental impacts by small-scale scavengers, District 11. (20)

*(An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is available at the Clerk's Office, Room 244, City Hall.)



**SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 JAN 23 PM 1:35

BOS-11
COB
C-pages

MEMO

Notice of Electronic Transmittal

Notice of Availability of an Initial Study (NOA)

**CASE NO. 2011.0558E, Citywide
Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP)**

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

DATE: January 23, 2013
TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Bill Wycko, Environmental Review Officer – (415) 558-9048
Debra Dwyer, Case Planner – Planning Department (415) 575-9031
RE: Planning Department Case File No. 2011.0558E
Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP)

In compliance with San Francisco’s Administrative Code Section 8.12.5 “Electronic Distribution of Multi-Page Documents”, the Planning Department has submitted a multi-page Notice of Availability of an Initial Study (NOA) and an Initial Study (IS) for the proposed project, Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) in digital format. This notice is provided to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, Section 31.12.

There is no hearing on this matter at this time. Please note that written comments on the information in the Initial Study will be accepted by the Planning Department from January 24, 2013 through 5:00PM on February 22, 2013. The documents will also be available online at <http://tepeir.sfplanning.org>. The NOA and Initial Study documents follow this transmittal memorandum and are being provided electronically on CDs to the Clerk of the Board for distribution to the Supervisors.

If you have any questions related to this project’s environmental evaluation, please contact me at (415)575-9031 or Debra.Dwyer@sfgov.org.

**Document is available
at the Clerk’s Office
Room 244, City Hall**

①

BDS-11 - delivered
COB
pages
by Controller's
office

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2012

Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall



Prepared by:
Office of the Controller

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 23 PM 1:55

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: January 23, 2013
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individuals have submitted a Form 700 Statement:

Norman Yee – Supervisor – Assuming
Esther Lee – Legislative Aide – Assuming
Bruce Oka – SOTF – Assuming
Esther Lee – Legislative Aide – Assuming
Vallie Brown – Legislative Aide – Assuming
Alexander Volberding – Legislative Aide – Leaving

page

City and County of San Francisco
Department of Public Health

Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center
Mivic Hirose, RN, CNS, Executive Administrator

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

January 18, 2013

Honorable Carmen Chu ✓
Committee Chair, Board of Supervisors

Honorable Malia Cohen ✓
Committee Vice Chair, Board of Supervisors

Honorable David Campos ✓
Member, Board of Supervisors

Government Audit and Oversight Committee ✓
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 22 PM 12:01

Re: Resolution #050396

Dear Supervisors Chu, Cohen and Campos:

In response to Resolution #050396, I am enclosing a quarterly report to show Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center's compliance with the reversal of the Admission Policy priorities that became effective February 22, 2005.

On February 17, 2005, Mayor Newsom directed DPH to allow Laguna Honda (LH) to reverse the Admission Policy priorities back to the pre-March 2004 priorities. Since that time, the annual percentage of patients coming to Laguna Honda from San Francisco General Hospital (SFGH) has ranged from 59-63%. The annual percentage and current year rates are as follows:

2003: 54%	2007: 58%	2011: 59%
2004: 73%	2008: 57%	2012: 61%
2005: 63%	2009: 60%	
2006: 59%	2010: 59%	

The age distribution shows an increased trend of residents over 50 years of age. In 2004, 83% of the residents were over 50 years of age, compared to 89% of the residents in this category for 2012.

I am available to answer any questions you may have. I can be reached at 759-2363.

Sincerely,

Mivic Hirose
Executive Administrator, Laguna Honda Hospital

Attachments:

A. Sources of New SNF Admissions to Laguna Honda

A-1	2012
A-2	2011
A-3	2010
A-4	2009
A-5	2008
A-6	2007
A-7	2006
A-8	2005
A-9	2004
A-10	2003

B. Laguna Honda Distribution of Residents by Race

B-1	12/31/12 and 12/31/11 Snapshot
B-2	12/31/10 and 12/31/09 Snapshot
B-3	12/31/08 and 12/31/07 Snapshot
B-4	12/31/06 and 12/31/05 Snapshot
B-5	12/31/04 and 12/31/03 Snapshot
B-6	12/31/02 Snapshot

C. Laguna Honda Gender Distribution 2002 - 2012

D. Laguna Honda Age Distribution 2002 - 2012

cc: Honorable Norman Yee, Board of Supervisors
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Barbara A. Garcia, Director of Health

SOURCES OF NEW ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL *
JANUARY 2012 – DECEMBER 2012

	% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		% SFGH		Total	%		
Source of Admission	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec														
Board and Care			1	2						1												1	5	1%		
Cal Pac Acute	5	2	2	4	2	2	2	3	1	2		2											30	7%		
Cal Pac SNF											1												1	0%		
Chinese Hospital Acute									1														1	0%		
Chinese Hospital SNF																							0	0%		
Home	2	2	4	3	2	3	8	4	4	4	5	9											50	12%		
Home Health																							0	0%		
Kaiser Acute	1											1											2	0%		
Kaiser SNF																							0	0%		
Mt. Zion Acute		1		1	1	3			2	3													11	3%		
Other Misc				1	1																	2	4	1%		
Other SNF		1	1	1																			3	1%		
Seton Acute																							0	0%		
SFGH Acute	14	44%	12	50%	25	60%	23	56%	26	70%	22	69%	24	63%	14	50%	20	61%	25	63%	22	59%	24	55%	251	59%
SFGH SNF		0%		0%	2	5%		0%	1	3%		0%		0%	5	18%		0%	1	3%		0%		0%	9	2%
St. Francis Acute	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1									2	14	3%	
St. Francis SNF																								0	0%	
St. Luke's Acute	1		1								1											2	5	1%		
St. Luke's SNF																								0	0%	
St. Mary's Acute	3		2	1	2	1			1	3	1	1											1	15	4%	
St. Mary's SNF	1																							1	0%	
Seton Acute																								0	0%	
Seton SNF																								0	0%	
UC Med Acute	4	3	3	3	1		1	1	2	1	3	2												24	6%	
UC Med SNF																								0	0%	
VA Hospital Acute		1																						2	0%	
VA Hospital SNF																								0	0%	
TOTAL	32	44%	24	50%	42	64%	41	56%	37	73%	32	69%	38	63%	28	68%	33	61%	40	65%	37	59%	44	55%	428	100%

*Effective 12/8/2010, all Laguna Honda Hospital residents were relocated to the new building and the total licensed bed capacity is 780.

ATTACHMENT A-1

SOURCES OF NEW ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL *
JANUARY 2011 – DECEMBER 2011

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	June	% SFGH	July	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sept	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	% SFGH	Total	%
Board and Care					2				1		1				1		2		1						8	2%
Cal Pac Acute	3										2				1		1				2		1		10	3%
Cal Pac SNF									1				2												3	1%
Chinese Hospital Acute							1		1						1										3	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF																									0	0%
Home	8		3		1		4				5		3		3		3		3		7		2		42	11%
Home Health																									0	0%
Kaiser Acute																			1						1	0%
Kaiser SNF																									0	0%
Mt. Zion Acute	1		1		1		1				3						1				1		2		11	3%
Other Misc	3		1		1		1		1		1				4		5		3		1		1		22	6%
Other SNF	1								1				1						2				2		7	2%
Seton Acute																									0	0%
SFGH Acute	23	49%	12	46%	17	65%	13	57%	16	53%	15	43%	10	43%	17	61%	21	58%	17	55%	19	49%	23	64%	203	53%
SFGH SNF	2	4%	1	4%	2	8%	2	9%	4	13%	4	11%	2	9%		0%		0%	1	3%	2	5%		0%	20	5%
St. Francis Acute	1		2						1		1		1		1		1				3		1		12	3%
St. Francis SNF																									0	0%
St. Luke's Acute	1		1		1				2											1					6	2%
St. Luke's SNF	1		2										1												4	1%
St. Mary's Acute	1		3								1								1						6	2%
St. Mary's SNF																									0	0%
Seton Acute																									0	0%
Seton SNF																									0	0%
UC Med Acute	2				1		1		1		2		3				2		1		4		3		20	5%
UC Med SNF									1																1	0%
VA Hospital Acute																							1		1	0%
VA Hospital SNF																									0	0%
TOTAL	47	53%	26	50%	26	73%	23	65%	30	67%	35	54%	23	52%	28	61%	36	58%	31	58%	39	54%	36	64%	380	100%

*Effective 12/8/2010, all Laguna Honda Hospital residents were relocated to the new building and the total licensed bed capacity is 780 (15 for General Acute Care and 765 for SNF).

SOURCES OF NEW ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2010 – DECEMBER 2010

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	June	% SFGH	July	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sept	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	% SFGH	Total	%
Board and Care	1		2		2								1		2				1				1		10	3%
Cal Pac Acute															2		1								3	1%
Cal Pac SNF											2														2	1%
Chinese Hospital Acute					1								1												2	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF																									0	0%
Home	3		1		1		3		1		4		4		2		2		2		6		2		31	10%
Home Health																									0	0%
Kaiser Acute									1										1						2	1%
Kaiser SNF																									0	0%
Mt. Zion Acute	2						2								2		1				2				9	3%
Other Misc	1				3		1		1		4				2						1		4		17	5%
Other SNF	1		2		2								1						1						7	2%
Seton Acute																									0	0%
SFGH Acute	16	52%	15	52%	13	43%	15	45%	12	60%	16	59%	13	43%	14	41%	18	75%	14	56%	8	36%	11	55%	165	51%
SFGH SNF	4	13%	2	7%	1	3%	4	12%	1	5%	1	4%	3	10%	5	15%		0%	2	8%	2	9%		0%	25	8%
St. Francis Acute	1		3		1		1						2		2		2		2		1				15	5%
St. Francis SNF																									0	0%
St. Luke's Acute							1		2				2		2										7	2%
St. Luke's SNF			1				2		1																4	1%
St. Mary's Acute	1				1								1		1				1						5	2%
St. Mary's SNF																									0	0%
Seton Acute																									0	0%
Seton SNF																									0	0%
UC Med Acute	1		3		5		4		1				2						1		2		2		21	6%
UC Med SNF																									0	0%
VA Hospital Acute																									0	0%
VA Hospital SNF																									0	0%
TOTAL	31	65%	29	59%	30	47%	33	58%	20	65%	27	63%	30	53%	34	56%	24	75%	25	64%	22	45%	20	55%	325	100%

*Due to budgetary and construction related issues, LHH is decreasing admissions effective 1/1/2008. General SNF Admissions are being denied while Hospice, Rehab and AIDS/HIV are still being admitted based upon bed availability.

SOURCES OF NEW ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2009 – DECEMBER 2009

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	June	% SFGH	July	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sept	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	Total	%
Board and Care													2		1									3	1%
Cal Pac Acute	1		2		2		2				2		1		1								1	12	4%
Cal Pac SNF							1										1		1					3	1%
Chinese Hospital Acute																								0	0%
Chinese Hospital SNF																								0	0%
Home	1		1				3		2		1		3				2		2		2		2	19	7%
Home Health																								0	0%
Kaiser Acute													1											1	0%
Kaiser SNF																								0	0%
Mt. Zion Acute							1		1		1				1		2							6	2%
Other Misc					1				1						2				2		2			8	3%
Other SNF					1		1		3		3		3		1				2				1	15	5%
Seton Acute							1						1											2	1%
SFGH Acute	8	53%	17	74%	11	55%	12	38%	10	42%	16	47%	15	50%	17	63%	12	67%	5	33%	17	65%	12	152	53%
SFGH SNF	2	13%	1	4%		0%	2	6%	4	17%	5	15%		0%		0%	1	6%	1	7%	2	8%	3	21	7%
St. Francis Acute			1				4		1		1		1		1				1		1			11	4%
St. Francis SNF																								0	0%
St. Luke's Acute	1						1				1		1		1				1				2	8	3%
St. Luke's SNF									1															1	0%
St. Mary's Acute	1		1				1																	3	1%
St. Mary's SNF					1																			1	0%
Seton Acute																								0	0%
Seton SNF																								0	0%
UC Med Acute	1				4		3		1		4		2		2						2			19	7%
UC Med SNF																								0	0%
VA Hospital Acute																								0	0%
VA Hospital SNF																								0	0%
TOTAL	15	67%	23	78%	20	55%	32	44%	24	58%	34	62%	30	50%	27	63%	18	72%	15	40%	26	73%	21	285	100%

*Due to budgetary and construction related issues, LHH is decreasing admissions effective 1/1/2008. General SNF Admissions are being denied while Hospice, Rehab and AIDS/HIV are still being admitted based upon bed availability.

** Data re-run March 2011

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2008 – DECEMBER 2008

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	Jun	% SFGH	Jul	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sep	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	Total	%
Board and Care			1		1				1		1										1			5	2%
Cal Pac Acute	1						3					1		1		1			1		1		1	10	4%
Cal Pac SNF																							1	1	0%
Chinese Hospital Acute			1				1					1												3	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF																								0	0%
Home	1		3		1		1		1		2		3		3		1		1		2		1	20	8%
Home Health																								0	0%
Kaiser Acute							1																	1	0%
Kaiser SNF																								0	0%
Mt. Zion Acute																								0	0%
Other Misc									2						1						1			4	2%
Other SNF															2		2		1		1			6	3%
Seton Acute																								0	0%
SFGH Acute	7	58%	12	60%	8	53%	18	60%	18	64%	10	45%	8	53%	13	57%	10	53%	13	68%	7	47%	10	134	57%
SFGH SNF		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0	0%
St. Francis Acute	2		1		3		1		1		1		2		1		1		1					14	6%
St. Francis SNF																								0	0%
St. Luke's Acute			1		1		1								1									4	2%
St. Luke's SNF																	1							1	0%
St. Mary's Acute					1				1		2						1				1		1	7	3%
St. Mary's SNF																								0	0%
Seton Acute																								0	0%
Seton SNF																								0	0%
UC Med Acute	1		1				4		4		6				1		2		2		1		3	25	11%
UC Med SNF																								0	0%
VA Hospital Acute																							1	1	0%
VA Hospital SNF																								0	0%
TOTAL	12	58%	20	60%	15	53%	30	60%	28	64%	22	45%	15	53%	23	57%	19	53%	19	68%	15	47%	18	236	100%

*Due to budgetary and construction related issues, LHH is decreasing admissions effective 1/1/2008. General SNF Admissions are being denied while Hospice, Rehab and AIDS/HIV are still being admitted based upon bed availability.

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2007 – DECEMBER 2007

Source of Admission	Jan	SFGH	Feb	SFGH	Mar	SFGH	Apr	SFGH	May	SFGH	Jun	SFGH	Jul	SFGH	Aug	SFGH	Sep	SFGH	Oct	SFGH	Nov	SFGH	Dec	SFGH	Total	%	
Board and Care	1		2						1		2		3		1						2		1		13	3%	
Cal Pac Acute	1		3						5		2		4		1		3		5		5		1		30	6%	
Cal Pac SNF			1																1						2	0%	
Chinese Hospital Acute	4						1		1				1		1				2		2				12	3%	
Chinese Hospital SNF																									0	0%	
Home	1		1		4		5		2		4		3		4		3				3				30	6%	
Home Health																									0	0%	
Kaiser Acute			1		1				1						1										4	1%	
Kaiser SNF																			1								
Mt. Zion Acute																									0	0%	
Other	2		1		3		1		2		1						3		1		2				16	3%	
R.K. Davies Acute									1								1								2	0%	
R.K. Davies SNF																									0	0%	
SFGH Acute	22	63%	28	54%	25	56%	20	63%	17	43%	26	57%	27	61%	19	53%	22	63%	30	71%	22	51%	16	80%	274	58%	
SFGH SNF	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
St. Francis Acute	3		4		3		3		1		5				3		2		1		4		1		30	6%	
St. Francis SNF																									0	0%	
St. Luke's Acute			2		5				2		1		1		2						1				14	3%	
St. Luke's SNF																									0	0%	
St. Mary's Acute					3		1		3		2										1				10	2%	
St. Mary's SNF			2																						2	0%	
Seton Acute																									0	0%	
Seton SNF																									0	0%	
UC Med Acute	1		6		1		1		2		3		5		4		1		1		1		1		27	6%	
UC Med SNF																									0	0%	
VA Hospital Acute			1						2																3	1%	
VA Hospital SNF																									0	0%	
TOTAL	35	63%	52	54%	45	56%	32	63%	40	43%	46	57%	44	61%	36	53%	35	63%	42	71%	43	51%	20	80%	469	100%	

*Excluding internal transfers

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2006 – DECEMBER 2006

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	Jun	% SFGH	Jul	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sep	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	% SFGH	Total	%
Board and Care			2		3				1		2		2				2		1						13	3%
Cal Pac Acute	8		4		3		3		2		1		2		3		1		2				2		31	6%
Cal Pac SNF	2		1		1										2								2		8	2%
Chinese Hospital Acute					1						1		1						1				1		5	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF																									0	0%
Home	6		5		9		2		6		7		1				2		2		5		4		49	10%
Home Health																									0	0%
Kaiser Acute	2				1		1		2										1						7	1%
Mt. Zion Acute									1												1				2	0%
Other			2		2		1		1		1		2						1		1		1		12	2%
Out of County**																									0	0%
R.K. Davies Acute																									0	0%
R.K. Davies SNF																									0	0%
SFGH Acute	23	43%	31	58%	33	52%	27	64%	25	57%	24	53%	19	54%	29	69%	21	62%	15	52%	24	71%	23	59%	294	57%
SFGH SNF	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	3	8%	8	2%
St. Francis Acute	3		2		2		2		2		4		2				3		2				1		23	4%
St. Francis SNF					1										1										2	0%
St. Luke's Acute	1						1		2		1		1		1		1		2						10	2%
St. Luke's SNF							1										1						1		3	1%
St. Mary's Acute			2		2		1						2		4		1				1				13	3%
St. Mary's SNF			1																						1	0%
Seton Acute											1								1						2	0%
Seton SNF															1										1	0%
UC Med Acute	6		3		3		3		2		3		1						2		1				24	5%
UC Med SNF																									0	0%
VA Hospital Acute	1				1										1								1		4	1%
VA Hospital SNF																					1				1	0%
TOTAL	53	45%	53	58%	63	54%	42	64%	44	57%	45	53%	35	60%	42	69%	34	62%	29	55%	34	71%	39	67%	513	100%

*Excluding internal transfers

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2005 – DECEMBER 2005

Source of Admission	Jan	% SFGH	Feb	% SFGH	Mar	% SFGH	Apr	% SFGH	May	% SFGH	Jun	% SFGH	Jul	% SFGH	Aug	% SFGH	Sep	% SFGH	Oct	% SFGH	Nov	% SFGH	Dec	% SFGH	Total	%
Board and Care			1					1		1												2		5	1%	
Cal Pac Acute	1		1				1			4						2		7		2		6		24	4%	
Cal Pac SNF													1					1				1		3	1%	
Chinese Hospital Acute			1		1			1				1		1		3				2				10	2%	
Chinese Hospital SNF																								0	0%	
Home	3		3		5		8		5		7		7		5		5		4		7		6		65	11%
Home Health																									0	0%
Kaiser Acute												1									1				2	0%
Mt. Zion Acute																							1		1	
Other	1		2		2		1		1		1		2						2				2		14	2%
Out of County**									1		3				3		1								8	1%
R.K. Davies Acute																									0	0%
R.K. Davies SNF																									0	0%
SFGH Acute	38	79%	34	68%	38	68%	27	60%	26	57%	33	60%	24	55%	29	63%	31	62%	27	60%	26	54%	22	47%	355	61%
SFGH SNF	2	4%	1	2%	2	4%		0%	1	2%	2	4%	2	5%		0%		0%		0%	1	2%			11	2%
St. Francis Acute			2		1		4		1		4		4		2		3		1		4		3		29	5%
St. Francis SNF					1				1																2	0%
St. Luke's Acute	1		1		1		1		1				1										2		8	1%
St. Luke's SNF			1																			1			2	0%
St. Mary's Acute							1		1						1		2								5	1%
St. Mary's SNF															1										1	0%
Seton Acute					1												1								2	0%
Seton SNF							1																		1	0%
UC Med Acute	2		3		2		1		5				2		2		2		3		2		4		28	5%
UC Med SNF																									0	0%
VA Hospital Acute					2				1						1										4	1%
VA Hospital SNF																									0	0%
TOTAL	48	.83%	50	70%	56	71%	45	60%	46	59%	55	64%	44	59%	46	63%	50	62%	45	60%	48	56%	47	47%	580	100%

*Excluding internal transfers

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2004 – DECEMBER 2004

Source of Admission	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	%
Board and Care					1	1	1						3	0%
Cal Pac Acute		4		2	3	3	1		2	2	1	2	20	3%
Cal Pac SNF												1	1	0%
Chinese Hospital Acute						1	1	1	2	1			6	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF													0	0%
Home	4	7	3	7	8	1	2	6	6	2	5	3	54	9%
Home Health													0	0%
Kaiser Acute	1				1			2		1			5	1%
Other	1	2			1	5	3	3	1				16	3%
Out of County**										1			1	0%
R.K. Davies Acute													0	0%
R.K. Davies SNF													0	0%
SFGH Acute	40	36	64	37	24	35	33	34	31	41	39	42	456	73%
SFGH SNF							1					1	2	0%
St. Francis Acute		1		5	1	1	2	2	1				13	2%
St. Francis SNF						1		1					2	0%
St. Luke's Acute		1				1	2		1	2			7	1%
St. Luke's SNF			1	1									2	0%
St. Mary's Acute	1		3		1	3	5	1	1			2	17	3%
St. Mary's SNF													0	0%
Seton Acute						1		1				1	3	0%
Seton SNF													0	0%
UC Med Acute		5	1		1	2	1		1	3	1		15	2%
UC Med SNF													0	0%
VA Hospital Acute						2							2	0%
VA Hospital SNF													0	0%
TOTAL	47	56	72	52	41	57	52	51	46	53	46	52	625	100%

* Excluding internal transfers


** Out-of-county count begins in October 2004

SOURCES OF NEW SNF ADMISSIONS TO LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL*
JANUARY 2003 – DECEMBER 2003


Source of Admission	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	%
Board and Care		3	2	1	2	2				1			11	2%
Cal Pac Acute		2	2	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	21	4%
Cal Pac SNF	5	3	1	3			2	2		1			17	3%
Chinese Hospital Acute	1			3							2		6	1%
Chinese Hospital SNF			1										1	0%
Home	4	6	6	9	5	10	1	5	5	6	1	5	63	11%
Home Health										1			1	0%
Kaiser Acute		1	1				1	1					4	1%
Other		1	2	3	4			4	1	3	1	2	21	4%
R.K. Davies Acute													0	0%
R.K. Davies SNF													0	0%
SFGH Acute	27	19	29	20	32	20	20	23	24	23	24	29	290	52%
SFGH SNF	3	2	4	2		1				1			13	2%
St. Francis Acute	1	1	1			3	4	2			1	2	15	3%
St. Francis SNF	2	2	2			2	3			3	1	2	17	3%
St. Luke's Acute		1	1	2	2	1	1	1		1	3		13	2%
St. Luke's SNF	1		2		1		2			1	1	1	9	2%
St. Mary's Acute		4	4	2	1	1	1	2		2	2		19	3%
St. Mary's SNF		1	1										2	0%
Seton Acute					1		2	1		1			5	1%
Seton SNF	1												1	0%
UC Med Acute	1	1	1	1	3	5	2	2	3	3	4	2	28	5%
UC Med SNF													0	0%
VA Hospital Acute							1						1	0%
VA Hospital SNF					1			1					2	0%
TOTAL	46	47	60	47	54	46	42	47	34	48	43	46	560	100%

* Excluding admissions from Unit M7


**Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2012
(n = 756)**




**Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2011
(n = 748)**




**Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2010
(n = 738)**




**Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2009
(n = 762)**




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2008
(n = 811)




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2007
(n = 1005)




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2006
(n = 1034)




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2005
(n = 1023)




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2004
(n = 1083)




Laguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2003
(n = 1080)




Leguna Honda Hospital Distribution of Residents by Race as of 12/31/2002
(n = 1071)



Laguna Honda Hospital Gender Distribution of Residents 2002 – 2012



**Laguna Honda Hospital
Age Distribution of Residents
2002 – 2012**



Bos-11
pages

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 JAN 23 PM 2:54

Department of Regulatory
Affairs

Mailing Address:
505 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0208
San Francisco, CA 94143-0208

Physical Address:
3330 Geary Boulevard, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94143-1818

Tel: 415.353.8497
Fax: 415.353.8645

University of California
San Francisco

January 15, 2013

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the SF Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

RE: Relocation of the UCSF Medical Center Clinics

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

UCSF Medical Center is providing notification to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors that two of its clinics are re-locating effective January 21, 2013 as described below:


Positive Health Practice is relocating from 400 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor to 350 Parnassus Avenue, 9th floor.

Anticoagulation Practice is relocating from 400 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor to 350 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor.

At your convenience, we would like to request that this notification be distributed to each of the Board of Supervisors.

If you have any questions or need further information, please contact me at (415) 353-1967.

Sincerely,



Jolene G. Carnagey, RN, MS
Director, Regulatory Affairs
UCSF Medical Center

Cc: Diana Marana, Manager, SF CDPH District Office

Bos-11
pages

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 JAN 23 PM 2:54

Department of Regulatory
Affairs

Mailing Address:
505 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0208
San Francisco, CA 94143-0208

Physical Address:
3330 Geary Boulevard, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94143-1818

Tel: 415.353.8497
Fax: 415.353.8645

University of California
San Francisco

January 15, 2013

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the SF Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

RE: Relocation of the UCSF Medical Center Clinics

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

UCSF Medical Center is providing notification to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors that two of its clinics are re-locating effective January 21, 2013 as described below:

Positive Health Practice is relocating from 400 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor to 350 Parnassus Avenue, 9th floor.

Anticoagulation Practice is relocating from 400 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor to 350 Parnassus Avenue, 4th floor.

At your convenience, we would like to request that this notification be distributed to each of the Board of Supervisors.

If you have any questions or need further information, please contact me at (415) 353-1967.

Sincerely,

Jolene G. Carnagey, RN, MS
Director, Regulatory Affairs
UCSF Medical Center

Cc: Diana Marana, Manager, SF CDPH District Office



Veterans Affairs Commission
City and County of San Francisco
War Memorial Veterans Building
401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 101
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

BOS-11
cpage

2013 JAN 22 PM 4:50

JV

The Honorable Edwin Lee, Mayor
Office of the Mayor of San Francisco
#1 Carlton Goodlett Place
Room 200 – City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

January 11, 2013

Dear Mayor Lee

As one of my first official acts as the 2013 President of the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission, I am pleased to present the enclosed 2012 ANNUAL REPORT, of the SFVAC, as ratified by the Commission at it's 1/8/13 General Meeting.

I urge you to take a moment to read through the REPORT, as it provides a synopsis of the surprising number of issues that we worked on last year, on behalf of our San Francisco Veterans and their families.

We look forward to meeting with you and your staff to both review the status of our Advice Letters in the past year, and to articulate our plans and hopes for further progress on the many relevant issues before the San Francisco Veterans Community, in 2013.

Respectfully Submitted,

Eduardo A. Ramirez

Commissioner Eduardo Ramirez
2013 VAC President

Official B.O.S. Copy
Copy to San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Supervisor David Chiu (D3), President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Eric L. Mar (D1)
Supervisor Mark Farrell (D2)
Supervisor Carmen Chu (D4)
Supervisor London Breed (D5)
Supervisor Jane Kim (D6)
Supervisor Norman Yee (D7)
Supervisor Scott Wiener (D8)
Supervisor David Campos (D9)
Supervisor Malia Cohen (D10)
Supervisor John Avalos (D11)

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 22 PM 4:50

2012 ANNUAL REPORT
of the Veterans Affairs Commission, 9
City and County of San Francisco

57 _____ 

Report INDEX

Sec. 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Sec. 2: Commission LEADERSHIP and PERSONNEL CHANGES of 2012


Sec. 3: Significant ACTIONS taken by the VAC in 2012

Sec. 4: ANCILIARY EVENTS undertaken by the VAC in 2012

Sec. 5: General Meetings – Attendance & Guests (With attached Document: MEETING ATTENDANCE RECORD)

Sec. 6: Heading into 2013 – the New Leadership Year

This document, approved and Ratified at the VEC General Meeting of 1/8/13, Respectfully Submitted, By and For the Veterans Affairs Commission, City and County of San Francisco
this 11th Day of January, 2013.



Eduardo Ramirez, 2012/13 President

Sec. 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Significant Events/Accomplishments of the VAC 2012 Administration Year are summarized in items 'a' through 'e', below.

(a) A year of TRANSITION

2012 was once again a year of significant transition for the VAC. It included significant changes in both Commission Personnel as well as mid-term Leadership changes, (See Sec., 2, below). By the end of 2012, Commission strength stood at 15 members, with TWO vacancies (i.e. a Mayoral appointed seat, and BOS Seat #2).

(b) Commission DIVERSITY

In its current configuration, the SFVAC meets or exceeds all diversity requirements as per City Code and our By-Laws. We have Female veteran appointees by both the BOS and by the Mayor. We have disabled vets as required. Aside from specific requirements our commission includes a strong mix of ages, eras of service, racial/ethnic diversity, and LGBT Community representation.

(c) Major GOALS for the 2012 Administrative Year

The primary GOALS of the Commission (for 2012), were articulated in the January General Meeting. They included:

- Review/Update VAC By-laws. (Accomplished in November, 2012 – see item 'e' of Sec. 1, below).
- Lobby for Veterans use of the SF Veterans Building. (See item 'a', Section 5, below).
- Increase effectiveness & visibility of the VAC (See Section 6, below)

(d) First VAC Committee Meetings

Early in 2012, a BYLAWS REVISION COMMITTEE was established, with Comm. S. Noetzel as Chair. The Committee accomplished its work during five (5) Public Meetings during the year, each scheduled and run in accordance with all Public Notice requirements – thereby representing the first formally structured Public-access Committee Meetings in the history of the SFVAC. (See item 'e', Sec. 1, below, Re: *By-Laws Revision as submitted by Committee*).

In December of 2012, the COMMITTEE on WOMEN'S ISSUES Within the Veterans and Military Community (Chaired by Comm. D. GUY) also met in a formal Public Meeting. During their initial meeting they established that their initial priority issues would be Women's' Health care and Sexual Trauma in the military. Additional issues would include Homeless Female veterans, and the high rate of unemployment for female veterans. Comm. Guy announced that the Committee plans to re-form during the 2013 Administrative year, for continuing work on these issues.

(e) Major By-Laws Revision

In the November 2012 General Meeting, after considerable study and discussion of the extensive RECCOMENDATIONS of the BYLAWS REVISION COMMITTEE, the Commission voted to ADOPT the REVISED COMMISSION BYLAWS, as per Committee recommendation. The new By-Laws include re-distribution of duties and responsibilities of the various Officers to 'spread the work-load', and encourage greater participation among the membership. A copy of the COMMISSION BYLAWS (as adopted) are now available in the SFVAC WEBSITE.

Sec. 2: Commission LEADERSHIP and PERSONNEL CHANGES of 2012

As per VAC By laws, we started with our annual **Election of Officers** process, which eventually included an election **resulting in the installation of Commissioner JOHN CALDERA as President; Comm. Anthony ALFIDI, Vice President, and Comm. Stephen NOETZEL as Secretary.**

In April **Commissioner Amber ROSE resigned from the Commission** stating that her personal responsibilities required her full attention and she could not devote the required time and commitment to the VAC.

In May, **Commissioner and Vice President Anthony ALFIDI resigned**, (from BOS Seat #3) indicating that he was unhappy with the recent direction of the Commission. **During the June VAC meeting**, replacement nominations were made, an election was held and **Commissioner Eduardo Ramirez was elected as interim Vice-President for the balance of the 2012 term.** On 5/16/12 Ms. Ann WEEBY was appointed to the Commission (by Mayor Lee) to fill the **seat vacated by Comm. ROSE.**

In June, **Commissioner Michael THOMPSON resigned** (from BOS Seat #2) of the Commission due to personal reasons. At end of 2012, Seat #2 remains opened.

During the September VAC meeting, **Commissioner John CALDERA resigned as President.** **During the October VAC meeting**, after nominations were made, an election was held and **Commissioner Eduardo Ramirez was elected as interim PRESIDENT for the balance of the 2012 term.**

On 12/4/12 the BOS appointed **three new Commissioners, as follows.** Commissioner **Michael MAFFEI** was appointed to BOS Seat #9, replacing **Comm. Dara WONG**, whose Commission had expired. Commissioner **John GALLAGHER** was appointed to BOS Seat #6, replacing **Comm. George GIBBS** whose Commission had expired, and Commissioner **Lee BURNETTE** was appointed to BOS Seat #3, replacing **Comm. Anthony ALFIDI** who had retired in May.

Commissioner Chris GRUWELL resigned from the Commission, effective 12/31/12. At end of 2012, this Mayor-appointed seat remains opened.

In summary, the 'transition of 2012' included appointment of four (4) new Commissioners. By the end of 2012, Commission strength stood at 15 members, with a Mayoral appointed seat, and BOS Seat #2 vacant.

The attached **ATTENDANCE RECORD** provides a full view of Commissioners participation during the calendar year.

NOTE: A current Commission ROSTER is available at two locations:

- Within the City '311' Information Website 'Community' link (then under Centralized Commission, Task Force, Committee DATABASE) at URL: <http://www.sf311.org/index.aspx?page=92>
- Within the WEBSITE for the SAN FRANCISCO VETERANS AFFAIRS COMMISSION, 'About Us' page, at URL: <http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1689>

Sec. 3: Significant ACTIONS taken by the VAC in 2012

(a) 2011 ANNUAL REPORT

On 16 January, 2012, the SFVAC submitted its **ANNUALREPORT (for 2011)** as required by its Charter, and by City Code. The 6-page report, signed by (retiring) President Raymond WONG, included an Executive Summary, and 5 additional elements to describe the work of the Commission during the 2011 Administrative year.

(b) RESOLUTIONS, ENDORSEMENTS and ADVICE LETTERS to Mayor and Board of Supervisors (2012)

- **RESOLUTION** advocating for the addition of a VETERANS FITNESS AND WELLNESS CENTER in the Retrofit Plans for the War Memorial Veterans Building. In the February 2012 General Meeting, after considerable investigation and discussion, the VAC approved the aforementioned **RESOLUTION.** Subsequently, a **Letter of Advice** (dtd. 30 April, 2012), signed by VAC President John Caldera, was forwarded to the Mayor Edwin Lee and Board of Supervisors, **urging their support for this important plan, and more specifically, to facilitate a Joint Meeting to bring together all parties with a stake in the future of the building.** Copies of the letter, with its attached RESOLUTION in Support of Construction of a Fitness and Wellness Center in the War Memorial Veterans Building, were forwarded to the War Memorial Board of Trustees, the leadership of the American Legion War Memorial Commission, and the director of the VA medical Center at Ft. Miley. ***There has been no formal response on this matter, from either the Office of the Mayor, or the Board of Supervisors.***
- **Endorsement of initiative to name US Naval ship THE USS HARVEY MILK.** In the May, 2012 General Meeting, after considerable investigation and discussion, the VAC approved a **RESOLUTION** in support of

a Board of Supervisors Resolution (as authored by Supervisor Weiner) which urged the **Secretary of the Navy to christen a ship as the "USS Harvey Milk"**

- **Censure of Commission President.** In the June, 2012 General Meeting, after considerable investigation and discussion, the VAC approved a **RESOLUTION CENSURE its President (Comm. Caldera)** for *overstepping his authority* in the matter of seeking Office Space for the SFVAC in City Hall. The Resolution directed the President to **"cease and desist from any action that exceeds specific authority provided by the Commission, on this and all other matters."** By a raised-hand vote, the **MOTION to CENSURE President Caldera overwhelmingly passed, with all Commissioners voting in favor, and one abstention.**

(c) VETERANS RELATED LEGISLATION

During 2012, the SFVAC voted its **SUPPORT (in the form of Resolutions, Endorsements, and Advice Letters to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors), for several specific pieces of legislation, as summarized below:**

- **US House Resolution 3435:** Proposed Federal Legislation titled: **Sexual Assault Training Oversight and Prevention Act. (i.e. the S.T.O.P. Act)** as introduced by Congresswoman Jackie Speier. Passage would institute new rules within the military to protect service members against rape, sexual harassment, hazing and all remaining abusive practices experienced by service-members.
- **CA Senate Bill SB661:** Proposed State Legislation that **Restricts Protests and Demonstrations at Military Funerals.** This bill is a Re-write of an earlier Bill that was **VETOED** by Governor Brown under Freedom of Speech consideration. The **NEW Bill (SB661)** includes greater protection for grieving families to honor and bury their fallen loved ones in private and respectful ceremonies.
- **US House Bill 210:** Proposed Federal Legislation titled: **The Fairness to Filipino Veterans Bill** (which now has over 90 co-sponsors in the House). In its General Meeting of 3/5/12, the **VAC passed a Resolution to Advise the City Government** of San Francisco to support a US SENATE version of the House Bill, so that this matter can move forward to passage. In this regard, a **Letter of Advice** (dtd. 25 April, 2012), signed by VAC President John Caldera, was forwarded to the Mayor Edwin Lee and Board of Supervisors, **urging them to author a Resolution in support of Senate Companion Bill to match House Version of Filipino Veterans Fairness Act. There has been no formal response on this matter, from either the Office of the Mayor, or the Board of Supervisors. Copies of the letter were also sent to Senators Feinstein and Boxer, in the hope that they might take action and draft the requested Senate Bill.**

(d) ETHICS TRAINING

On 2/28/12 the City of San Francisco offered an evening of training for City Employees and members of its various Commissions, Agencies and Boards. A total of **seven (7) VAC Commissioners** (Alfidi, Caldera, Crichlow, Noetzel, Ramirez, D. Wong and R. Wong) attended the training session, thereby earning a **Certificate of Ethics Training with respect to the California Brown Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.** The training is offered every two years and it represents a **base line of critical knowledge in the little-known laws and regulations that govern interaction between Commissioners.**

(e) SPRING RESOURCE FAIR in VETERANS BUILDING

On 3/2/12 the entire War Memorial Veterans Building was transformed into a major Resource and Job Fair. The event was fully endorsed by the SFVAC as several Commissioners worked closely with the Community Covenant organizations that planned and sponsored the event. **Some 55 vendors (i.e. Service Providers)** attended and participated, including **some 25 Employers.** Additional Resource vendors included **tables for all Bay Area Colleges and Universities** (public and Private). Some 400 participants attended at least a portion of the proceedings as **numerous Résumé's were circulated; Initial employment interviews conducted (including 2 veterans "hired on the spot"), and at least 6 veterans were signed up for VA services.** In short, an extremely successful Event, by any standard of measurement.

Sec. 4: ANCILIARY EVENTS undertaken by the VAC in 2013

(a) City Sponsored Events for MEMORIAL DAY, and VETERANS DAY.

By long-standing tradition, (if not by specific mandate), members of the SFVAC have organized and produced, (individually and working in concert), the TWO major Annual San Francisco EVENTS that honor the military service and sacrifice of its Veterans and Military Community.

- **On Monday, the 28th of May, 2012 (Memorial Day), led by Comm. Wallace LEVIN,** members of the Commission organized planned and participated in the **annual MEMORIAL DAY ceremonies at the S.F. Presidio.** Once again, Comm. Levin presided over a stirring event in commemoration of the many Armed Services men and women who have paid the ultimate sacrifice in defense of our nation. Many of the Commissioners participated in various roles to assure that the parade and ceremonies proceeded exactly as planned. The Mayor and several S.F. Supervisors commended Comm. Levin for another outstanding memorial event at the Presidio.

- **On Sunday, the 11th of November, 2012 (Veterans Day), led by Comm. Wallace LEVIN, members of the Commission (and a variety of volunteers) organized planned and participated in another highly successful annual VETERANS DAY PARADE in downtown San Francisco.**

(b) TOWN HALL Meetings.

Throughout the year, in addition to his many other duties, **Comm. Eddie Ramirez** has continued to run his **TOWN HALL MEETINGS on the 4th Wednesday of each month, in the War Memorial Veterans Building.** Hosting a morning session (mostly for Homeless and/or Job Seeking Veterans), as well as an Evening Session, **Comm. Ramirez has featured dozens of Speakers, Educators, and Service Providers for small-group settings with Veterans who need help to improve their lives**

(c) Mid-Term Presidential ELECTION.

At the outset of the **September, 2012 General Meeting**, Commission **President John Caldera resigned** as President of the Commission. **Vice-President Eduardo RAMIREZ** was elevated to the position of **Acting President.** In a subsequent **ELECTION** (during the October General Meeting), **Comm. Ramirez** was **ELECTED** as Interim President, to serve in that capacity for the remaining portion of the 2012 Administration Year.

(d) Re-Establishment of City Policy on Fee Exemption for Veterans.

During the **September, 2012 General Meeting**, Guest (and Veteran) **David Glass** explained a problem he has recently experienced with the **City Health Department.** As a **long-term food vendor** (at numerous public Concerts/Events) he has, for many years, enjoyed the **State of California VETERANS EXEMPTION (of fees)** in connection with his business, as provided in the **California Code (Sec. 16102).** Mr. Glass claimed that in contradiction to the law, **City Health Department has arbitrarily leveled substantial "inspection fees" to his Veteran Owned business.** After making his case before the Commission, **President Eduardo Ramirez** appointed **Comm. Jordan TOWERS** to be the **LEAD person to fully research this item, and report his findings to the Commission in the next meeting.** In the **December General Meeting**, **Comm. Towers** reported a **very positive outcome in this case.** Specifically, he reported that he had first asked the **City Attorney to look at the matter and issue a Legal Opinion** on whether the City Health Department was in compliance with the State mandated Veterans Fee Exemptions in this matter. **Comm. Towers** further reported that the **City Attorney issued a Legal Opinion that completely SUPPORTED the fee exemption, and that the City Health Department was NOT IN COMPLIANCE with State Law in attempting to levee inspection fees on Mr. Glass or other Vendors who have proven their status as Veterans of US Military Service.** Finally, **Comm. Towers** reported that an **Administrator from the City Health Department** has informed Mr. Glass of their error, and will discontinue its recent improper practices in this regard. The Commission expressed its collective thanks to **Comm. Towers** for his excellent work in gaining this victory on behalf of the Veterans Community in San Francisco.

Sec. 5: General Meetings – Attendance and Guests

(a) MEETING GUESTS and PUBLIC COMMENTARY.

The monthly General Meetings of the VAC, traditionally held at 6pm, in room 104 of the War Memorial Veterans Building, on the first Monday of every month, **were once again attended by a wide variety of guests at every meeting.** They came to **initiate and strengthen connection** between a variety of public and private organizations (and the Commission) to **provide enhanced services to the Veterans Community of San Francisco.** Some of the most frequent meeting guests were bay area Veterans who were already active in Veterans issues. **As in the past, some guests were later appointed to seats on the VAC.** Officers of the **American Legion War Memorial Commission** attended frequently to act as liaison between the **ALWMC and the VAC** on important issues pertaining to **Retrofit Plans and Post-Retrofit Building Use Plans.** Other guests represented liaison between organizations such as **Swords to Plowshares, Veterans hiring and career advancement programs, including representatives of the VETERANS RESOURCE CENTER (later re-named as the VETERANS SUCCESS CENTER), Veterans Campus Clubs, Veterans Housing Programs, and the S.F. Arts Commission.** We look forward to follow-up and further development of these 'mutual interest liaison contacts in the new Administration Year.

(b) Commissioners ATTENDANCE at General Meetings.

ATTACHED as part of this REPORT, is the official ATTENDANCE RECORD for the VAC Monthly Meetings of 2012.

This document serves to meet our annual Attendance & Participation requirement. A Summary Statistics from that Report follows

- **Comm. CALDERA** achieved a **Perfect Attendance Record for 2012 VAC meetings.**
- **Comm. WEEBY** achieved **Perfect Attendance for all meetings after appointment.**
- As he is unable to attend Commission meetings, **Comm. GRUWELL** resigned from the Commission at end of '12
- **Commissioners not mentioned above, compiled an aggregate 87% attendance record.**

Sec. 6: Heading into 2013 – the New Leadership Year

The Commission will begin the New Administration Year (2013) with completion of the traditional **Nominations and Public Elections process** as per its by-laws mandate. **Once the new Leadership Team is elected, we will work productively to address new issues and continue to make progress on all issues of concern to the Veterans Community of San Francisco.**

Of the many issues, the over-arching, and continuing **PRIMARY ISSUE** regarding *Future use of the War Memorial Veterans Building, remains*. More specifically the **Question: *How Much of the War Memorial Veterans Building Can Be/Should Be Preserved for Use By and For Veterans?***

We enter the New Administration Year confident that the City of San Francisco Administration (both Mayor's Office and Board of Supervisors) will join with us in a productive and inclusive process to insure a fair and equitable solution to this all-important 2013 issue.

REPORT authored by VAC Secretary Stephen S. Noetzel. Submitted for approval at VAC General Meeting of 1/8/13, and **RATIFIED** by unanimous Commission vote on 1/8/13.

ATTENDANCE RECORD – VAC Monthly Meetings, 2012

(Official ATTACHMENT to the 2012 ANNUAL REPORT of the VAC)

NAME	Meeting of:												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Alfidi	P	P	P	P	P	Resigned from Commission effective 5/14/12.....							
Baldonado	A2	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	A2	
Caldera	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	100%
Crichlow	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	A1	A2	P	
Dacumos	P	P	A1	P	P	P	X	A2	A4	P	A1	P	
Gibbs	P	P	P	A1	P	A1	X	P	P	A4	P	P	
Gruwell	A1	A1	P	A1	A4	A4	X	A4	A4	A4	A4	A4	
Guy	A2	P	P	P	P	A1	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Levin	P	P	P	A1	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Noetzel	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	A1	A1	P	P	
Ramirez	P	A1	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Rose	P	P	A1	A1	Resigned from Commission effective 3/3/12.....								
Thompson	P	P	P	P	P	P	Resigned from Commission effective 6/15/12						
Towers	P	P	P	A4	P	P	X	P	P	P	P	P	
Weebyappointed by Mayor effective 5/16/12					P	X	P	P	P	P	P	100%
Wilkerson	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	P	A4	P	A2	
D. Wong	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	A1	P	P	P	
REPLACED on Commission by Comm. Michael Maffei, effective 12/4/12.....													
R. Wong	P	P	P	P	P	P	X	P	A1	P	P	P	


Notes:

- X = No Meeting
- A1 = Absent – Excused by President
- A2 = Absent – due to illness
- A3 = Absent – Family illness
- A4 = Absent – NO NOTIFICATION (unexcused)
- 100% (A) – Perfect attendance for ALL 2012 VAC meetings
- 100% (B) – Perfect meetings attendance after appointment

NOTES on Commissioners RETIRED in 2012, (with REPLACEMENT and new appointment notations)

Comm. A. ALFIDI, Resigned BOS Seat #3, effective 5/14/12. REPLACED By Comm. L. BURNETTE 12/4/12
 Comm. G. GIBBS (BOS Seat #6) Commission EXPIRED 1/31/12. REPLACED By Comm. J. GALLAGER 12/4/12
 Comm. C. GRUWELL Resigned Mayoral appointment effective 12/31/12. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
 Comm. A. ROSE, Resigned Mayoral appointment effective 4/3/12. REPLACED by Comm. A. WEEBY 5/16/12
 Comm. M. THOMPSON Resigned BOS Seat #2 effective 6/15/12. Seat CURRENTLY VACANT
 Comm. D. WONG (BOS Seat #9) Commission EXPIRED 1/31/12. REPLACED By Comm. M. MAFFEI 12/4/12

1305-11
page



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Community Behavioral Health

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Feb 1, 2013

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 22 PM 2:56
ALC

RE: Treatment on Demand Assessment

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

As required by Section 19A.30 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Department of Public Health reports annually to the Board of Supervisors an assessment of the demand for substance abuse treatment.

Please accept and file this report, as enclosed. If you have any questions, please call me at 255-3717.

Sincerely,

James Stillwell
Deputy Director
Community Behavioral Health Services
1380 Howard Street #410
San Francisco, CA 94103

(415) 255-3717
James.stillwell@sfdph.org

(415) 255-3722
Alice.Gleghorn@sfdph.org



City and County of San Francisco
Department of Public Health
COMMUNITY PROGRAMS
COMMUNITY BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

February 1, 2013

AVAILABILITY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT IN SAN FRANCISCO

The Department of Public Health, Community Behavioral Health Services, funds, supports and oversees a broad network of more than 50 community-based substance abuse treatment programs. The following capacity is funded for FY 2012-2013.

Treatment Modality	Funded Capacity	2011-12	2012-13
Residential Treatment, BEDS		363	363
Residential Detox BEDS		59	59
Outpatient & Day Treatment, and Case Mgt			
Unduplicated Clients Per Year		9,895	9,263
Opioid Replacement; methadone, Buprenorphine			
Unduplicated Clients Per Year		3,069	3,600

CALIFORNIA DRUG ABUSE TREATMENT ACCESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DATAR)

Each certified drug/alcohol treatment provider is required to make monthly reports to the state through the Drug Abuse Treatment Access Reporting (DATAR) System at the end of every month. The summary reports give some indication of the status of treatment demand. For the month of December 2012, the DATAR summary report for San Francisco showed:

Treatment Modality	Slots Open at End of the Month	Clients Waiting
Residential Treatment	33	76
Residential Detoxification	6	0
Outpatient Treatment	43	45
Opioid Replacement Treatment	10	0

Note: Methadone slots are readily available for clients with Medi-Cal or who can afford to pay fees. The County funded low cost/no cost slots are routinely 98%+ full, but there is rarely a waiting list.

For residential and outpatient treatment, the simultaneous existence of open slots and a waiting list is due to the neighborhood locations, cultural specificity of programs, and client preferences, as well as the time delay between client notification and client registration.

Many people seek treatment after they have become homeless, and therefore face two immediate needs: housing and treatment. Many seek to fill both needs by applying for residential treatment, although housing plus outpatient treatment would work just as well. The unmet demand for low cost housing in San Francisco is so great that it is unlikely that the number of residential slots in San Francisco will ever match the demand. To address the need to maintain access to treatment for those in need, the Behavioral Health Access Center has instituted Utilization Review of residential beds/slots. There are two goals: first, to limit access to clients who need residential treatment rather than outpatient, and second, to assist clients to make a more timely transition to aftercare and housing.

City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health



Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

January 24, 2013

Ms Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2013 JAN 24 PM 1:41

Dear Ms Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Human Rights Commission's instructions, the Department of Public Health (DPH) wishes to notify the Board of Supervisors that DPH has requested the following waiver from compliance with Chapter 12B of the City's Administrative Code:

- **Judicial Council of California (State of California):** Meeting room rental for San Diego A/B/C room in the State of California building at 455 Golden Gate Avenue. For "Health Reform, Implementation, Education and Outreach" community meeting sponsored by San Francisco Department of Public Health on January 31, 2013.

The attached 12B Waivers were prepared in accordance with the instructions from the Human Rights Commission.

Please contact Contracts Management and Compliance at 554-2839 should you have questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Jacquie Hale
Director, Office of Contract Management and Compliance



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: <u>6714</u>
01-22-13A11:34 RCVD

Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]
 Name of Department: Public Health
 Department Address: 101 Grove St. Rm. 307 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Contact Person: Jacquie Hale
 Phone Number: 554-2607 Fax Number: 554-2555

Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: State of California, Judicial Council Vendor No.: 44914
 Contractor Address: 455 Golden Gate Ave. San Francisco CA 94102
 Contact Person: _____ Contact Phone No.: _____

Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: JAN 22 2013 Type of Contract: _____
 Contract Start Date: 1/22/2013 End Date: 2/28/2013 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 250.00

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 1-22-13
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of this request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
- G. Subcontracting Goals
- H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14B Waiver Granted: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: <u>No compliant vendor is available at required time that meets transportation accessibility requirements.</u>	
HRC Staff: <u>Tamra Winchester</u>	Date: <u>1-22-13</u>
HRC Staff: <u>Maria L. Borden</u>	Date: <u>1-22-13</u>
HRC Director: <u>[Signature]</u>	Date: <u>1-23-13</u>
DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

CMD
CMB



MEMORANDUM

TO: Maria Cordero, Director, Contract Monitoring Division
THROUGH: Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Director of Health *BTU*
FROM: Jacquie Haie, Director, DPH Office of Contracts Management *JH*
DATE: January 18, 2013
SUBJECT: 12B and 14B Waiver

The Department of Public Health (DPH) respectfully requests approval of the attached 12B and 14B Waiver for the following:

**State of California (v#44914)
Judicial Council of California**

Commodity/Service: Meeting room rental for San Diego A/B/C room in the State of California building at 455 Golden Gate Avenue. For "Health Reform, Implementation, Education and Outreach" community meeting sponsored by San Francisco Department of Public Health on January 31, 2013.

Amount: \$250.00*

Funding Source: General Funds

Term: 1/~~28~~²²/13 - 2/28/13

Rationale for this sole source waiver:

This is a large community meeting which requires a central location to the San Francisco civic center with convenient MUNI and BART access. Other meeting rooms in city owned buildings in the civic center area were not available at the required time and date.

*This one time request is for \$250. Accounting notified us that other city agencies use this space and the annual charges city wide will be over the \$5,000 threshold and requires HRC approval.

For questions concerning this waiver request, please call the Office of Contract Management at 554-2839.

Thank you for your consideration.



"Glass, David"
<David.Glass@jud.ca.gov>
01/16/2013 12:41 PM

To Kristina Nelly <Kristina.Nelly@sfdph.org>
cc "tangerine.brigham@sfdph.org"
<tangerine.brigham@sfdph.org>, "Ip, Cyrus"
<Cyrus.Ip@jud.ca.gov>
bcc
Subject 1-31 Meeting Confirmation for 'Health Reform Community Meeting'

Hello,

The following meeting has been confirmed:

Meeting Title: **Health Reform Community Meeting**
Meeting Start: **1/31/2013 11:00:00 AM**
Meeting End: **1/31/2013 1:30:00 PM**
Meeting Room: **San Diego A/B/C**
Location: **MMCC**

- You may use two or three of the San Diego Rooms (just let me know which you prefer), regardless the rental fee will be at the discounted rate of just one room (\$250).
- There is a projector in B you may use or you may bring your own.
- You may bring in any catering you wish.

Rental Fee: \$250.00

- Rental and service fees must be paid by check or money order no later than five working days before the event.
- A check or money order made payable to "State of California" must be given to the conference center staff (455 Golden Gate Avenue, 3rd Floor), who will forward it to the building manager.
- If the payment is not received on time, notice will be given via e-mail, that this reservation has been withdrawn or canceled.

Conference Center Guidelines:

To ensure that your meeting is successful, please familiarize yourself with the following guidelines.

- The building opens to the public at 7:30 a.m. Your conference room will be available at that time. Please do not try to access the building or room before those times.
- Your room will be set up as noted on your conference room reservation form. Conference center staff may not be available on the day of the event to change the room setup or to provide additional tables or chairs. ***All room set-up requests and/or changes must be made in writing 72 hours in advance.***
- Use of the auditorium foyer or other common areas for meeting registration or catering must be arranged in advance. According to the Fire Marshal's rules, no tables or chairs may be placed in the hallways.
- Please note that A/V support is limited and may not be available. ***All requests for audio-visual equipment and support must be made in writing 72 hours in advance.*** Conference center staff may not be able to accommodate requests on the day of the event. It is your responsibility to knowledgeably operate the A/V equipment.

It is your responsibility to familiarize yourself and the attendees at your meeting with the Emergency Evacuation Information posted on the back of your meeting room's door. Please review this information with your attendees at the start of your meeting. In the event of an emergency, additional information may

be available by calling the Emergency Notification number (800) 660-8144. Meeting Coordinators may distribute this number to all their attendees for up to the minute emergency information.

- Materials may not be delivered in advance or left after the conclusion of your meeting. All materials left after the meetings are subject to recycling unless you have made a prior arrangement with conference center staff.
- Your meeting will be posted on the conference center's notice boards as well as at your assigned room. All other notices and flyers must be approved before posting.

Thank you

David Glass
Supervising Administrative Coordinator
Conference Center Support Services
Center for Judiciary Education & Research (CIER)
Judicial Council of California - Administrative Office of the Courts
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
415-865-7848, Fax 415-865-4205, david.glass@jud.ca.gov
www.courts.ca.gov

"Serving the courts for the benefit of all Californians"

From: Chapin-Rienzo, Shanda on behalf of Reports, Controller
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 11:09 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela; Nevin, Peggy; BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Kawa, Steve; Howard, Kate; Falvey, Christine; Elliott, Jason; Campbell, Severin; Newman, Debra; sfdocs@sfpd.info; gmetcalf@spur.org; CON-Media Contact; ggiubbini@sftc.org; CON-EVERYONE; CON-CCSF Dept Heads; CON-Finance Officers; Ginsburg, Phil; Kern, Dennis; Zaverukha, Lydia; Alvarez, Ana; Rockwell, Steve
Subject: Issued: San Francisco Park Maintenance Standards Annual Report FY 2011-12

The Controller's Office has issued the San Francisco Park Maintenance Standards Annual Report fiscal year (FY) 2011-12. On average, scores increased slightly from last year. The majority of parks (90 percent) continue to score above 80 percent, but more than half (80 parks) had lower scores in FY 2011-12 than in FY 2010-11. Citywide scores for different park features (e.g., lawns, children's play areas, trees, athletic fields, etc.) were consistent within three percentage points from last fiscal year, though the majority of features fell slightly. Restrooms continued to score highly, especially in comparison to initial scores in FY 2005-06.

The Controller's Office would like to thank the staff of the Recreation and Parks Department for their cooperation on the Park Maintenance Standards Program.

To view the full report, please visit our website at: <http://co.sfgov.org/webreports/details.aspx?id=1529>

You can also access the report on the Controller's website (<http://www.sfcontroller.org/>) under the News & Events section.

This is a send only email. For more information, please contact:

Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor Division
Phone: 415-554-7463
Email: CSA.ProjectManager@sfgov.org

**Document is available
at the Clerk's Office
Room 244, City Hall**



CPMC
File 001 170501-812051
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2019 JAN 25 PM 1:01
BY _____

To: Mayor Edwin M. Lee and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
From: Member Groups and Allies of San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs, and Justice
Re: Sutter/CPMC Development Proposal

Dear Mayor Lee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The mediation process initiated to address the deficiencies of Sutter/CPMC's development proposal for new hospital campuses on Cathedral Hill and at St. Luke's is near complete, with a revised plan scheduled to be brought before the Board of Supervisors on January 29.

As the date for your consideration of a revised plan draws near, we, the undersigned member groups and allies of San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs, and Justice, wish to reiterate the basic standards we believe any Sutter/CPMC development proposal must meet in order to serve the best interests of San Francisco residents and to merit your support.

In our view, any successful development proposal must ensure that:

- Sutter/CPMC provides its fair share of charitable care proportional to the performance of the City's other private non-profit hospitals, including appropriate levels of support for San Francisco's network of community health clinics and the growing number of Medi-Cal managed care consumers they will serve under healthcare reform;
- Sutter/CPMC builds and operates a strong and sustainable St. Luke's that attracts an improved payer mix, while also replacing the key services being discontinued there;
- Sutter/CPMC joins San Francisco's other private non-profit hospitals in agreeing to charge the same prices for both in-network and out-of-network patients covered by the City's Health Service System, and limiting price increases to the rate of medical inflation;
- Sutter/CPMC commits to steps that will enable it to reach a goal of 50% local hires, parallel to that of the City's First Source Ordinance;
- Sutter/CPMC provides at least \$8.5 million for a Workforce Development Fund, parallel to the Lennar Corporation's commitment for a development project with fewer permanent jobs and fewer workforce development challenges to meet in filling them;
- Sutter/CPMC's total housing contribution is consistent with both major housing policies relevant to the proposed project – the housing element of the General Plan and the housing requirement of the Van

Ness Special Use District – and is reduced only to the extent that CPMC increases its commitment to local hiring for permanent jobs and thereby decreases the affordable housing demand that will be created by the project;

- Sutter/CPMC commits no less than 80% of its total housing contribution to affordable housing production, and no more than 20% to down payment assistance;
- Sutter/CPMC's down payment assistance contribution goes into the city's existing down payment assistance loan program and access to it is not be limited to CPMC employees;
- The Cathedral Hill hospital campus is sufficiently downsized, the number of parking spaces there sufficiently reduced, and Sutter/CPMC's transportation demand management program sufficiently enhanced to adequately mitigate the negative traffic, transit, pedestrian safety, noise, and air quality impacts of the proposed project on the surrounding neighborhoods, while the project also makes long needed streetscape and pedestrian improvements.

Finally, we believe it equally critical that Sutter/CPMC establish constructive relationships with all of the unions representing its workforce, and achieve labor peace by settling collective bargaining agreements that embody the healthcare industry's best job standards, including transfer rights with retraining assistance and income security for its incumbent employees, and respect for of all its employees' rights to choose a union without interference.

Sincerely,

San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs & Justice
Alliance of Californians for Community Empowerment (ACCE)
American Federation of Teachers (AFT 2121)
Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center (BHNC)
California Nurses Association (CNA)
Cathedral Hill Neighbors Association
Chinese Progressive Association (CPA)
Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth.
Community Housing Partnership (CHP)
Council of Community Housing Organizations (CCHO)
District 5 Action
Dolores Street Community Services
Filipino Community Center (FCC)
Jacob Moody Executive Director, Bayview Hunters Point Neighborhood Foundation*
Jobs With Justice - San Francisco
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC)
National Union of Healthcare Workers (NUHW)
Older Woman's League (OWL) -SF Political Advocacy Committee
San Francisco Gray Panthers
San Francisco Neighborhood Network
Senior Disability Action (SDA)
South of Market Community Action Network (SOMCAN)
UNITE HERE Local 2
United Educators of San Francisco Local 61 (UESF 61)

* (for ID purposes only)

From: Marlayne Morgan [marlayne16@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 10:46 AM
To: Chiu, David; Farrell, Mark; FarrellStaff; Chu, Carmen; Chustaff; Cohen, Malia; CohenStaff; Yee, Norman (BOS); YeeStaff; Kim, Jane; KimStaff; Breed, London; Brown, Vallie; Mar, Eric; MarStaff; Campos, David; CamposStaff; Avalos, John; AvalosStaff; Wiener, Scott; WienerStaff; Rahaim, John; Rich, Ken; Board of Supervisors
Subject: Re: Sutter Health/CPMC Long Range Development Plan (LRDP)
Attachments: SFforHealthcareHousingJobsJustice-012413standards letter-3.pdf

January 25, 2013

President David Chiu
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear President Chiu:

Enclosed is a letter from the *Coalition on Healthcare, Housing, Jobs and Justice* and a number of community organizations that endorse the principles of the **Rebuild CPMC the Right Way** campaign. We expect additional signatories to be added after their boards or leadership councils can schedule endorsement votes.

We understand that Board and Mayoral representatives continue to meet with Sutter/CPMC and are assisted by a mediator, with the expectation that an amended plan to rebuild seismically safe hospitals in San Francisco can achieve much broader community support than the LRDP proposal currently before the Board.

We urge you and all Board Members, Mayoral representatives and departmental staff to strongly support a revised proposal that will provide equity in healthcare access across our city, while providing housing, jobs and transportation benefits for our residents proportionate to the impacts of the LRDP on the City of San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Marlayne Morgan
Cathedral Hill Neighbors Association

c. Members, Board of Supervisors
Ken Rich, MOEWD
John Rahaim, Director, SF Planning Department
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

**San Franciscans For
Healthcare
Housing
Jobs
And Justice**

To: Mayor Edwin M. Lee and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: Member Groups and Allies of San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs, and Justice

Re: Sutter/CPMC Development Proposal

Dear Mayor Lee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The mediation process initiated to address the deficiencies of Sutter/CPMC's development proposal for new hospital campuses on Cathedral Hill and at St. Luke's is near complete, with a revised plan scheduled to be brought before the Board of Supervisors on January 29.

As the date for your consideration of a revised plan draws near, we, the undersigned member groups and allies of San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs, and Justice, wish to reiterate the basic standards we believe any Sutter/CPMC development proposal must meet in order to serve the best interests of San Francisco residents and to merit your support.

In our view, any successful development proposal must ensure that:

- Sutter/CPMC provides its fair share of charitable care proportional to the performance of the City's other private non-profit hospitals, including appropriate levels of support for San Francisco's network of community health clinics and the growing number of Medi-Cal managed care consumers they will serve under healthcare reform;
- Sutter/CPMC builds and operates a strong and sustainable St. Luke's that attracts an improved payer mix, while also replacing the key services being discontinued there;
- Sutter/CPMC joins San Francisco's other private non-profit hospitals in agreeing to charge the same prices for both in-network and out-of-network patients covered by the City's Health Service System, and limiting price increases to the rate of medical inflation;
- Sutter/CPMC commits to steps that will enable it to reach a goal of 50% local hires, parallel to that of the City's First Source Ordinance;
- Sutter/CPMC provides at least \$8.5 million for a Workforce Development Fund, parallel to the Lennar Corporation's commitment for a development project with fewer permanent jobs and fewer workforce development challenges to meet in filling them;
- Sutter/CPMC's total housing contribution is consistent with both major housing policies relevant to the proposed project – the housing element of the General Plan and the housing requirement of the Van

Ness Special Use District – and is reduced only to the extent that CPMC increases its commitment to local hiring for permanent jobs and thereby decreases the affordable housing demand that will be created by the project;

- Sutter/CPMC commits no less than 80% of its total housing contribution to affordable housing production, and no more than 20% to down payment assistance;
- Sutter/CPMC's down payment assistance contribution goes into the city's existing down payment assistance loan program and access to it is not be limited to CPMC employees;
- The Cathedral Hill hospital campus is sufficiently downsized, the number of parking spaces there sufficiently reduced, and Sutter/CPMC's transportation demand management program sufficiently enhanced to adequately mitigate the negative traffic, transit, pedestrian safety, noise, and air quality impacts of the proposed project on the surrounding neighborhoods, while the project also makes long needed streetscape and pedestrian improvements.

Finally, we believe it equally critical that Sutter/CPMC establish constructive relationships with all of the unions representing its workforce, and achieve labor peace by settling collective bargaining agreements that embody the healthcare industry's best job standards, including transfer rights with retraining assistance and income security for its incumbent employees, and respect for of all its employees' rights to choose a union without interference.

Sincerely,

San Franciscans for Healthcare, Housing, Jobs & Justice
Alliance of Californians for Community Empowerment (ACCE)
American Federation of Teachers (AFT 2121)
Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center (BHNC)
California Nurses Association (CNA)
Cathedral Hill Neighbors Association
Chinese Progressive Association (CPA)
Coleman Advocates for Children & Youth.
Community Housing Partnership (CHP)
Council of Community Housing Organizations (CCHO)
District 5 Action
Dolores Street Community Services
Filipino Community Center (FCC)
Jacob Moody Executive Director, Bayview Hunters Point Neighborhood Foundation*
Jobs With Justice - San Francisco
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC)
National Union of Healthcare Workers (NUHW)
Older Woman's League (OWL) -SF Political Advocacy Committee
San Francisco Gray Panthers
San Francisco Neighborhood Network
Senior Disability Action (SDA)
South of Market Community Action Network (SOMCAN)
UNITE HERE Local 2
United Educators of San Francisco Local 61 (UESF 61)

* (for ID purposes only)

To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: MTA Tow Refund Report 3rd and 4th Quarter of 2012
Attachments: 20130118150258572.pdf

From: Rosenberg, Julie [<mailto:Julie.Rosenberg@sfmta.com>]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 03:17
To: Board of Supervisors
Cc: Calvillo, Angela; Bose, Sonali
Subject: FW: MTA Tow Refund Report 3rd and 4th Quarter of 2012

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code section 10C.12, I am submitting the MTA Tow Refund Report for the third and fourth quarters of 2012.

If you need further information or have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Julie Rosenberg
Manager, SFMTA Hearing Section
(415) 701-5444

-----Original Message-----

From: null [<mailto:null@sfmta.com>]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 12:03 PM
To: Rosenberg, Julie
Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "CIT-Mailroom" (Aficio MP 5000).

Scan Date: 01.18.2013 15:02:58 (-0500)
Queries to: null@sfmta.com

**SFMTA HEARING SECTION
TOW REFUNDS 7/1/12 - 9/30/12**

Plate	State	Decision Date	Amount	Claim #	Famis #	FAMIS Date	Rationale
5EJV077	CA	25-Jun-12	\$507.50	11099	RRPK12000605	23-Jul-12	INVALID 33C TOW, VALID PERMIT SHOWN
MZUNGU1	CA	05-Jul-12	\$492.00	11100	RRPK12000607	23-Jul-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6TNU431	CA	06-Jul-12	\$392.75	11101	RRPK12000608	23-Jul-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6HEB716	CA	21-Jun-12	\$439.00	11102	RRPK12000609	23-Jul-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
SPC29L	NJ	13-Jul-12	\$392.75	11103	RRPK12000610	23-Jul-12	INVALID 22651B TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
3CJE155	CA	11-Jun-12	\$492.00	11104	RRPK12000611	23-Jul-12	INVALID 33C TOW, OFFICER ERROR
4RPU411	CA	02-Jul-12	\$392.75	11105	RRPK12000612	23-Jul-12	INVALID 22502A TOW, NOT IN VIOLATION
6NGH419	CA	27-Jun-12	\$492.00	11106	RRPK12000613	23-Jul-12	INVALID 22500E TOW, NOT BLOCKING
6AJJ427	CA	16-Jul-12	\$439.00	11107	RRPK12000614	23-Jul-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5VBL830	CA	12-Jul-12	\$392.75	11108	RRPK12000615	23-Jul-12	INVALID 38C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5BAR152	CA	12-Jun-12	\$439.00	11109	RRPK12000617	30-Jul-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6CXV318	CA	13-Jul-12	\$492.00	11110	RRPK12000618	30-Jul-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
3LEK175	CA	22-Jun-12	\$492.00	11111	RRPK12000606	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
0641UDP	CA	24-Jul-12	\$439.00	11112	RRPK12000620	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, NOT DROPPED AS REQUIRED
6RYH162	CA	18-Jul-12	\$492.00	11113	RRPK12000621	10-Aug-12	INVALID 32A TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6SZR123	CA	16-Jul-12	\$445.75	11114	RRPK12000622	10-Aug-12	INVALID 32.A.2 TOW, OFFICE ERROR
6PWG461	CA	09-Jul-12	\$439.00	11115	RRPK12000623	10-Aug-12	INVALID 32.A.2 TOW, NOT DROPPED AS REQUIRED
3PLG120	CA	03-Jul-12	\$439.00	11116	RRPK12000625	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5FLX364	CA	24-Jul-12	\$439.00	11117	RRPK12000626	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, NOT DROPPED AS REQUIRED
4XON074	CA	24-Feb-12	\$392.75	11118	RRPK12000627	10-Aug-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5ZGM303	CA	11-Jul-12	\$439.00	11119	RRPK12000628	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5UYU398	CA	07-Aug-12	\$508.25	11120	RRPK13000063	10-Aug-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6FGS772	CA	25-Jul-12	\$392.75	11121	RRPK13000073	21-Aug-12	INVALID 32A TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6RGU289	CA	05-Jul-12	\$492.00	11122	RRPK13000074	21-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6RKH075	CA	20-Jul-12	\$445.75	11123	RRPK13000091	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6SLJ930	CA	15-Mar-12	\$186.50	11124	RRPK13000092	24-Aug-12	INVALID ADMIN FEE, STOLEN VEHICLE
6JTE729	CA	09-Aug-12	\$481.75	11125	RRPK13000093	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6FMP738	CA	02-Aug-12	\$492.00	11126	RRPK13000094	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6TYN326	CA	08-Jun-12	\$392.75	11127	RRPK13000095	24-Aug-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
4LDE838	CA	07-Aug-12	\$641.00	11128	RRPK13000096	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, OFFICER ERROR
6GBX383	CA	08-Aug-12	\$392.75	11129	RRPK13000097	24-Aug-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6HAR375	CA	19-Jul-12	\$508.25	11130	RRPK13000098	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5Y90618	CA	21-Aug-12	\$501.00	11131	RRPK13000099	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
FORNOIL	CA	07-Aug-12	\$492.00	11132	RRPK13000100	24-Aug-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5BUY326	CA	31-Jul-12	\$445.75	11133	RRPK13000101	24-Aug-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5EIN116	CA	17-Jul-12	\$453.75	11134	RRPK13000111	06-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6RSM254	CA	23-Aug-12	\$392.75	11135	RRPK13000121	10-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6LHW366	CA	27-Aug-12	\$439.00	11136	RRPK13000119	10-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6Wfy325	CA	21-Aug-12	\$392.00	11137	RRPK13000118	10-Sep-12	INVALID 32A TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING

**SFMTA HEARING SECTION
TOW REFUNDS 7/1/12 - 9/30/12**

Plate	State	Decision Date	Amount	Claim #	Famis #	FAMIS Date	Rationale
4NVR844	CA	29-Aug-12	\$453.75	11138	RRPK13000117	10-Sep-12	INVALID 38B TOW, NOT A 38B ZONE
6TMJ210	CA	27-Aug-12	\$453.75	11139	RRPK13000116	10-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6PUE093	CA	29-Aug-12	\$508.25	11140	RRPK13000115	10-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
WAF4900	CA	14-Aug-12	\$439.00	11141	RRPK13000114	10-Sep-12	INVALID 32A TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
DP932BV	CA	10-Aug-12	\$453.75	11142	RRPK13000113	10-Sep-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5WJF810	CA	12-Jun-12	\$439.00	11143	RRPK13000124	14-Sep-12	INVALID 22500E TOW, NOT DROPPED AS REQUIRED
8H97382	CA	14-Aug-12	\$555.50	11144	RRPK13000123	14-Sep-12	INVALID 32A.2 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6NMT616	CA	22-Aug-12	\$555.50	11145	RPK130002125	14-Sep-12	INVALID 38A TOW, NOT IN ZONE
4HKG148	CA	22-Aug-12	\$453.75	11146	RRPK13000126	14-Sep-12	INVALID 38B.1 TOW, ZONE NOT IN EFFECT
6KFW356	CA	10-Sep-12	\$243.00	11147	RRPK13000127	14-Sep-12	INVALID ADMIN FEE, STOLEN VEHICLE
6PTN273	CA	04-Sep-12	\$453.75	11148	RRPK13000128	14-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5WOT786	CA	11-Sep-12	\$501.00	11149	RRPK13000129	14-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5L15642	CA	13-Sep-12	\$186.50	11150	RRPK13000130	14-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW , INADEQUATE POSTING
5EMA245	CA	13-Sep-12	\$186.50	11151	RRPK13000131	14-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
192WW1	CA	15-Aug-12	\$501.00	11152	RRPK13000140	21-Sep-12	INVALID 32A.2 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6PQM346	CA	31-Aug-12	\$492.00	11153	RRPK13000134	21-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, NO VALID PERMIT
6UQM276	CA	17-May-12	\$492.00	11154	RRPK13000135	21-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5UEX302	CA	13-Sep-12	\$186.50	11155	RRPK13000136	21-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
KOQUITA	CA	12-Sep-12	\$186.50	11156	RRPK13000138	21-Sep-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6LRJ421	CA	07-Aug-12	\$507.50	11157	RRPK13000139	21-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5SOE627	CA	20-Sep-12	\$453.75	11158	RRPK13000159	28-Sep-12	INVALID 38B TOW, CURB NOT PAINTED
VHU146	OR	16-Aug-12	\$453.75	11159	RRPK13000160	28-Sep-12	INVALID 38B.1 TOW, ZONE NOT IN EFFECT
5CLH868	CA	13-Sep-12	\$508.25	11160	RRPK13000161	28-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6WNC371	CA	19-Sep-12	\$501.00	11161	RRPK13000162	28-Sep-12	INVALID 33C TOW, SFPD ERROR
6ABX019	CA	25-Sep-12	\$54.50	11162	RRPK13000164	28-Sep-12	INVALID STORAGE FEE, SFPD ERROR

Total Refunds

\$27,650.75

SFMTA HEARING SECTION
TOW REFUNDS 10/1/12-12/31/12

Plate	State	Decision Date	Amount	Claim #	Famis #	FAMIS Date	Rationale
6UUV650	CA	14-Sep-12	\$453.75	11163	RRPK13000178	05-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
19Z4908	CA	20-Sep-12	\$453.75	11164	RRPK13000179	05-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
2TZA836	CA	25-Sep-12	\$243.00	11165	RRPK13000206	05-Oct-12	INVALID ADMIN FEES, STOLEN VEHICLE
6CXZ440	CA	19-Sep-12	\$453.75	11166	RRPK13000181	05-Oct-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6VZF711	CA	17-Sep-12	\$453.75	11167	RRPK13000180	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6AKU524	CA	27-Sep-12	\$453.75	11168	RRPK13000196	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5NCJ402	CA	13-Sep-12	\$453.75	11169	RRPK13000197	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6MMG09	CA	17-Oct-12	\$453.75	11170	RRPK13000199	19-Oct-12	INVALID 32A2 TOW , INADEQUATE POSTING
0332WDP	CA	17-Oct-12	\$501.00	11171	RRPK13000200	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
13G2122	CA	18-Oct-12	\$453.75	11172	RRPK13000204	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
3SJP374	CA	04-Oct-12	\$555.50	11173	RRPK13000201	19-Oct-12	INVALID 32A TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6RCT638	CA	12-Sep-12	\$392.75	11174	RRPK13000203	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5XTD343	CA	01-Oct-12	\$501.00	11175	RRPK13000202	19-Oct-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
09008E1	CA	14-Aug-12	\$501.00	11176	RRPK13000182	02-Nov-12	INVALID 32A.1 TOW, CONS. PERMIT VALID
FORNOIL	CA	23-Aug-11	\$430.50	11177	RRPK13000198	02-Nov-12	INVALID 38B TOW, CURB NOT PAINTED
4HAP974	CA	15-Oct-12	\$555.50	11179	RRPK13000222	02-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5HTJ688	CA	17-Oct-12	\$508.25	11180	RRPK13000223	02-Nov-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6TUR672	CA	07-Nov-12	\$555.50	11181	RRPK13000245	16-Nov-12	INVALID VC22651(I) TOW, CITATIONS PREVIOUSLY
8C46248	CA	27-Sep-12	\$555.50	11182	RRPK13000240	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6RYG730	CA	26-Oct-12	\$501.00	11183	RRPK13000241	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
9114MDP	CA	06-Nov-12	\$698.75	11184	RRPK13000242	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5FGW183	CA	16-Oct-12	\$508.25	11185	RRPK13000243	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
94980		11-Oct-12	\$501.00	11186	RRPK13000022	16-Nov-12	INVALID V22650-O TOW, VEHICLE WAS
6VOU226	CA	08-Nov-12	\$435.75	11187	RRPK13000246	16-Nov-12	INVALID 38B TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6DYY345	CA	30-Oct-12	\$508.25	11188	RRPK13000247	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
7Y78153	CA	24-Oct-12	\$501.00	11189	RRPK13000248	16-Nov-12	INVALID V22500E, NOT IN CURB CUT OR
4JKA896	CA	11-Oct-12	\$453.75	11190	RRPK13000249	16-Nov-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6WUD228	CA	15-Nov-12	\$555.50	11191	RRPK13000280	03-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6PRL076	CA	22-Oct-12	\$661.25	11192	RRPK13000281	03-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, VEH. NOT IN SPACE POSTED
5HDP209	CA	28-Sep-12	\$501.00	11193	RRPK13000282	03-Dec-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
3BAK311	CA	19-Oct-12	\$619.00	11194	RRPK13000283	03-Dec-12	INVALID 38A TOW, FADED CURB
DE9946	CA	02-Nov-12	\$659.00	11195	RRPK13000284	03-Dec-12	INVALID 651J TOW.VALID T.O.P. DISPLAYED
5KRY572	CA	16-Nov-12	\$571.75	11196	RRPK13000285	03-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
4ZHF531	CA	28-Nov-12	\$501.00	11197	RRPK13000287	04-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
5KVJ015	CA	16-Nov-12	\$508.25	11198	RRPK13000288	07-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6PVB851	CA	28-Nov-12	\$501.00	11199	RRPK13000309	14-Dec-12	INVALID 33.1 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
8R92904	CA	20-Nov-12	\$501.00	11200	RRPK13000286	14-Dec-12	INVALID 33.5 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
2ENM412	CA	20-Nov-12	\$555.50	11201	RRPK13000307	14-Dec-12	INVALID 33 C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
6KLD018	CA	07-Nov-12	\$555.50	11202	RRPK13000308	14-Dec-12	INVALID 32A.2 TOW, NOT IN ZONE
BRW178	TX	15-Nov-12	\$619.00	11203	RRPK13000310	14-Dec-12	INVALID 32A.2 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING

SFMTA HEARING SECTION
TOW REFUNDS 10/1/12-12/31/12

20L9979	CA	21-Nov-12	\$521.75	11204	RRPK13000311	14-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATED POSTING
4LEC927	CA	11-Dec-12	\$243.00	11205	RRPK13000312	14-Dec-12	INVALID 22500E TOW, STOLEN VEHICLE
6VIF722	CA	28-Nov-12	\$746.00	11206	RRPK13000313	14-Dec-12	INVALID 500/5001 TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING
4GMN115	CA	28-Nov-12	\$501.00	11207	RRPK13000314	14-Dec-12	INVALID 33C TOW, INADEQUATE POSTING

Tow Refunds Q4 2012 \$22,357.75

C - pages

From: on behalf of Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Car-Share Legislation
Attachments: SC Letter Car Share Spaces Amendments 01-16-2013.pdf

From: Miller, Alisa
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 11:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: FW: Car-Share Legislation

Re: File No. 120900

Alisa Miller

Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4447 | (415) 554-7714 fax
alisa.miller@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking [HERE](#).

From: susan vaughan [mailto:susan_e_vaughan@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 11:00 AM
To: Miller, Alisa
Cc: rebecca evans; Karen Babbitt; michelle myers
Subject: Car-Share Legislation

Dear Ms. Miller,

Attached is the Sierra Club letter on Supervisor Scott Wiener's legislation regarding car-share parking spots, File Number 120900. I thank you in advance for your help with this and for making sure that it gets into the appropriate packet.

Susan Vaughan
San Francisco Group Secretary
SF Group of the SF Bay Chapter



SIERRA
CLUB
FOUNDED 1892

San Francisco Group of the San Francisco Bay Chapter

85 Second Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
January 24, 2013

Reply to:
Susan Vaughan
2120 Clement Street, Apartment 10
San Francisco, CA 94121

Dear Supervisors:

The Sierra Club supports car share programs in general, and members of the Sierra Club are appreciative of efforts to change the overall planning and transportation context to accommodate car share programs. However, we do not believe the proposed legislation, File Number 120900, to permit increases in the allowable number of parking spaces in new developments in order to accommodate more car share spaces, will help achieve overall goals of decreasing traffic congestion and meeting greenhouse gas reductions to be in compliance with by SB 375.

Climate change concerns are urgent – oceanographer John Englander writes in his recent book, *High Tide on Main Street*, “The last truly abrupt changes in the Earth’s climate occurred more than 50 million years ago. During that period, carbon dioxide levels increased by about 100 ppm over a million years. The global temperature spiked by about nine degrees F over 10,000 years. ... At our current rate of carbon emissions, we will increase carbon dioxide levels by that same 100 ppm in just 30 to 40 years.” In the same book, Englander notes “... in San Francisco the statistical once-in-100-year flooding event is now a once-in-ten-year event.”

In addition, the Sierra Club notes that increasing the number of allowable parking spaces – even car share spaces – violates the Transit First policy of the city, as doing so will add to overall congestion and negatively impact the flow of transit and air quality. The Sierra Club also notes that private developments, because of security issues, are not ideal places for car-share pods.

The Sierra Club encourages the Planning Commission, the Municipal Transportation Agency, and members of the Board of Supervisors to adopt best practices from elsewhere, and create dedicated accessible on-street or street-level parking for car-share vehicles, as has been done in Hoboken, New Jersey and Emeryville, California.

Sincerely,
Sue Vaughan
San Francisco Group Secretary
SF Group of the SF Bay Chapter

C- pages

From: Board of Supervisors
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 11:47 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: FW: Renaming SFO

From: Shannon Seaberg [mailto:sseaberg@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 12:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Renaming SFO

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I do not believe that it is a good idea to rename the San Francisco International Airport after Harvey Milk. The current eponymous name is logical, useful, and efficient for travelers. Milk was a great man and fortunately he has been honored by having many other sites named for him. If Supervisor Campos believes Milk requires more honor, please consider naming something else after him. Keep our airport's name simple and descriptive of the destination.

It is a shame that much of the press regarding the suggested re-naming suggests that those who oppose it are do so because they are anti-gay. I can assure you that many gay, lesbian, and bisexual San Franciscans--including myself--would prefer for the airport to remain San Francisco International Airport.

Regards,

Shannon Seaberg
222 Theresa Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

From: Arlene [arlenefilippi@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 2:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors; Chiu, David; jan.kim@sfgov.org; Wiener, Scott; Miller, Alisa
Subject: Public Testimony In Support Of File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Attachments: Condominium Conversion Impact Fee.pdf

Please accept this public comment in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee which is to be heard on 1/28/13 by the Land Use and Economic Development Committee. I ask that the attached comment be included in the minutes. Thank you.

Arlene Filippi

January 24, 2013

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Re: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Public Testimony


Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms. Cavillo,

I was born and raised in San Francisco and have owned a home in this City for many years. I am in favor of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee and ask that you support this proposal. I ask this for the following reasons:

- The Proposal will offer a solution to the lottery backlog. I was very much surprised to learn that many Tenancy-In-Common Owners have participated in the condo conversion lottery for more than ten years and have had no success.
- The Proposal will allow Tenancy-In-Common owners the opportunity to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with predictable payments. I understand that financing or refinancing for TIC's is extremely difficult and that the interest rates are high on such loans. However, the rate for condos is much lower. This will undoubtedly prevent foreclosures and preserve our neighborhoods.

I ask that you support this legislation.

Respectfully:


Arlene Filippi
42 Wood Street
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: lois wander [loiswander@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 9:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors; Chiu, David; Kim, Jane; Wiener, Scott; Miller, Alisa
Subject: Public Testimony in Support of File # 120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Attachments: CondoLetter12313.docx

Dear Supervisors,

Please accept this 150 word public comment in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee being heard on 1/28/13 by the Land Use and Economic Development Committee. I request that the attached comment be included in the minutes.

Sincerely,

Lois Wander

January 23, 2013

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

RE: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Public Testimony

Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms Cavillo,

As a member of an owner-occupied TIC group, I urge you to vote in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee. This legislation will allow TIC owners, who are often entry level buyers in San Francisco, the chance to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with stable predictable payments instead of short-term adjustable mortgages that are the only option for financing TICs. This will allow us to keep our properties, prevent foreclosures and stabilize our neighborhoods.

The proposed fees will help to finance low income housing and tenants will be protected. This is a win-win for everyone in San Francisco. Please support this important piece of legislation.

Thank you,
Lois Wander

Lois Wander

From: Maria Rivero [missforties@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 6:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors; Chiu, David; Kim, Jane; Wiener, Scott; Miller, Alisa
Subject: Public Testimony in Support of File # 120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Attachments: Public Test Condo Conversion Fee.doc

Please accept this 150 word public comment in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee being heard on 1/28/13 by the Land Use and Economic Development Committee. I request that the attached comment be included in the minutes.

Sincerely,

Maria V. Rivero

January 23, 2013

Supervisor Scott Wiener
Supervisor Jane Kim
President David Chiu
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the BOS
Alisa Miller, Clear of Land Use and Economic Development Committee

RE: File #120669 Condominium Conversion Impact Fee
Public Testimony

Dear Supervisors Wiener and Kim, President Chiu and Ms Cavillo,

As a member of an owner-occupied TIC group, I urge you to vote in support of the Condominium Conversion Impact Fee. This legislation will allow TIC owners, who are often entry level buyers in San Francisco, the chance to refinance into fixed 30 year mortgages with stable predictable payments instead of short-term adjustable mortgages that are the only option for financing TICs. This will allow us to keep our properties, prevent foreclosures and stabilize our neighborhoods.

The proposed fees will help to finance low income housing and tenants will be protected. This is a win-win for everyone in San Francisco. Please support this important piece of legislation.

Thank you,

Maria V. Rivero

18 January 2013

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2013 JAN 22 PM 2:57

Mr. David Chiu, President, Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

BOS-11
File 120987
cpage

Re: Marina Green restaurant proposal

Dear Mr. David Chiu, President, Board of Supervisors:

As a very concerned resident of San Francisco and the Marina District, I am in a quandary as to how this proposed restaurant ever got on the drawing board. I think these plans for this restaurant were drawn before the idea was first communicated to the residents of San Francisco.

(It is another example of how the Golden Gate Yacht Club went from their original one story building to the current two-story building.)

The Marina Green is a park in a residential district. The door should not be opened to become another Fisherman's Wharf. The restaurant will bring about concerns of people and noise, traffic and parking, and garbage.

In the proposal there is to be alcohol served in a public playground.

There are plenty of restaurants on and around Chestnut Street. Chestnut Street went from a neighborhood-shopping district taking care of the needs of the residents living nearby to what it is today. If you are hungry or want your nails done, you have come to the right place. That is another story.

I think the restaurant is being promoted to make a fast buck because of the up coming America's Cup races.


The use of the Marina Green as a park for the public has been slowly eroded. What used to be a destination for families for a day of enjoyment, for events like Fleet Week, has now, within the last few years, become a fenced off area for high rollers.

The plans call for “using” the current building. The building is going to be moved, and in the process, it is going to be found NOT USABLE, too old. A plaque is to be installed “commemorating” the use of the original building. Big deal!!!!

I personally would rather see the degaussing building torn down and the Marina Green left as open space, with unobstructed view, rather than see it turned into a restaurant.

To get to the point that this proposal has reached, I think much was done without having input by concerned citizens.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ronald N. Peterson".

Ronald N. Peterson

Cc: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors: Please place this letter in the General File # 120987, to be shared with all 11 Board members

From: on behalf of Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: File 120987 Woodhouse Email

From: Tim Kelley [mailto:mail@change.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 5:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- This is a great

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "[Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!](#)" on Change.org.


Here's why I signed:

This is a great idea!

Sincerely,
Tim Kelley
San Francisco, California

There are now 557 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Tim Kelley [mailto:change.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 5:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- This is a great

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "[Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!](#)" on Change.org.

Here's why I signed:

This is a great idea!

Sincerely,
Tim Kelley
San Francisco, California

There are now 557 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Bruce Colman [mailto:change.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 6:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- the building is derelict;

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "[Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!](#)" on Change.org.

Here's why I signed:

the building is derelict; this is a solid plan, the operators have a good reputation, I would look forward to lifting a glass and eating decent seafood with that view on a nice afternoon.

Sincerely,
Bruce Colman
San Francisco, California

There are now 559 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Danielle Comer [mailto:change.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 6:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- This looks great. Make

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!" on Change.org.

Here's why I signed:

This looks great. Make it even bigger!

Sincerely,
Danielle Comer
San Francisco, California

There are now 561 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Charna Ball [mailto:change.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 8:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- i think it will

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!" on Change.org.

Here's why I signed:

i think it will be a wonderful addition to our neighborhood

Sincerely,
Charna Ball
SAN FRANCISCO, California

There are now 562 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Susan Ruhne [mailto:change.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 11:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Why I signed -- I sail & enjoy

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dylan MacNiven's petition "[Yes to Woodhouse on Marina Green!](#)" on Change.org.

Here's why I signed:

I sail & enjoy most of my weekend time in the Marina area & would love to have this restaurant open.

Sincerely,
Susan Ruhne
San Francisco, California

There are now 565 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dylan MacNiven by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/yes-to-woodhouse-on-marina-green?response=9272c59f571d>



From: Siobhan Williams [s25williams@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 7:56 PM
To: Lee, Mayor; Avalos, John; Campos, David; sfoceanedge@earthlink.net; Chiu, David; Chu, Carmen; Cohen, Malia; Board of Supervisors; Elsbernd, Sean; Farrell, Mark; Kim, Jane; Mar, Eric (BOS); Olague, Christina; Wiener, Scott
Subject: Ocean beach - beach chalet fields

I am writing to add my voice to the opposition to the proposed artificial turf and sports lights at the Beach Chalet playing fields. This world needs nature, real nature, more than ever. There is already too much plastic, too much artificial light, and ever-increasing loss of habitat for birds and animals. Please, keep the park and Ocean Beach natural, for people who can not get out of the city and need and treasure exposure to real grass, bird life, and night skies.

My husband and I treasure our ventures into the park from the east bay. The park as a real park is part of the fabric of San Francisco. Keep it as it should be.

Respectfully,
Siobhan Williams
San Leandro, CA

From: Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council [info@hanc-sf.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 8:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors; Avalos, John; Campos, David; Chiu, David; Chu, Carmen; Cohen, Malia; Yee, Norman (BOS); Farrell, Mark; Kim, Jane; Mar, Eric (BOS); Breed, London; Wiener, Scott
Attachments: HANC 1-24-13 Letter to RPC re 780 Frederick.pdf; Board of Supervisors Resolution 121-11.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached your copy of a letter the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council delivered to the Recreation and Parks Commission today, along with the resolution referred to in the letter.

Sincerely,
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

HAIGHT ASHBURY NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

January 24, 2013

San Francisco Recreation and Parks Commission
501 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

Kevin Bayuk
President

Richard Ivanhoe
Vice-President

Jim Rhoads
Recording Secretary

Robert M. Leon
Corresponding Secretary

Tes Welborn
Treasurer

Joey Cain
Nominating Chair

Michelle Welch
Membership Chair

Calvin Welch
Housing and Land Use Chair

Karen Fishkin
Recycling Chair

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE

Michael Behrens

Karen Masonheimer

Burt Phillips

Colleen Rivecca

Bruce Wolfe

Ed Dunn
Recycling Center Manager

Bobby Vardakastanis
Merchant Liaison
(ex-officio)

For Delivery at January 24, 2013 Special Meeting
City Hall, Room 416

Re: 780 Frederick Street

Dear Commissioners:

The Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council (HANC) has a number of concerns regarding the site of its former Recycling Center / Native Plant Nursery / Community Garden at 780 Frederick Street. Although we will try to address these concerns during public comment at the January 24 Commission meeting, the concerns may be too numerous to be fully addressed at the hearing, so we are supplementing our comment with this letter.

1) As far as we know, the last time the site was discussed at a Commission meeting was on December 2, 2010. At that time the action item was to approve a **preliminary concept** design for community garden plots at 780 Frederick Street. As nothing beyond a preliminary concept design has been approved, why has the Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) been working on the site since early this month? Why is work continuing without further discussion or approval of the scope of work or of any final design?

2) Why is there presently no community involvement in the planning process for the site? The Golden Gate Park Community Garden Committee, which was chaired by Isabel Wade with assistance from Marvin Yee of RPD met from January, 2011 through June, 2011. Now that RPD has possession of the site, shouldn't this committee continue meeting to plan and manage the community garden? Why has there been no communication with the neighbors or the local community about specific plans for the site? Why has there been no communication about the site with PROSAC or with the Kezar Advisory Committee?

3) Why did RPD bulldoze a thriving community garden to build a community garden? Where are the funds for the current work coming from? Why hasn't RPD spent the funds to improve the pedestrian path in the Panhandle, which has been in dire need of repair for many years?

4) Shouldn't the immediate neighbors be notified that RPD is jack hammering asphalt that covers potentially toxic soil? The site used to be a train depot and we believe there are potentially heavy metals, oil, and other toxins being released into the atmosphere. Has the soil been tested? Should there be an environmental impact report before further work continues?

5) The HANC Recycling Center provided recycling services for Golden Gate Park. Although new recycling containers have recently appeared in Golden Gate Park, it is not clear whether RPD has any established plan for recycling within the Park. On March 8, 2011, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 121-11 which called for, among other things, that the Recreation and Parks Department and the Department of the Environment collaborate with the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council in developing and implementing a recycling program in Golden Gate Park. We have attached a copy of the resolution to this letter. Although HANC no longer runs a recycling center, we still have expertise in recycling and can contribute to the development and implementation of such a plan. In any event, Golden Gate Park (and other RPD facilities) should have a recycling plan.

6) RPD needs to work with the small business community, and especially the small businesses in the vicinity of the 780 Frederick Street site that sell beverages in recyclable containers, to ensure that the effects of the closure of the HANC recycling center are mitigated. At the very least, there needs to be a process to notify each of these businesses that they will be required to recycle any recyclable containers brought to them or they may face potential \$100 per day fines.

7) The HANC recycling center was closed as a non-conforming, non-recreational use of Golden Gate Park. Has RPD examined other potentially non-conforming, non-recreational uses of the Park? Does the Kezar Parking Lot fit into this category? What about the Park Police Station? Should the RPD and RPC be moved out of McLaren Lodge, so that the building can be converted into a park visitor information center and museum, or be used for other recreational purposes?

Sincerely,

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council



By: Richard Ivanhoe, Vice-President

cc: Mayor Edwin Lee (via email)
Board of Supervisors (via email)

121-11

1 [Recycling Program]

2
3 **Resolution requesting the Recreation and Parks Department and the Department**
4 **of the Environment collaborate to establish a comprehensive Parks recycling**
5 **program utilizing the expertise, volunteer base and facilities of the HANC**
6 **Recycling Center in Golden Gate Park, for the Department of the Environment to**
7 **establish an Independent Recycling Center Master Plan, and requesting the**
8 **Recreation and Parks Department to rescind the eviction of the HANC Recycling**
9 **Center from Golden Gate Park.**

10
11 WHEREAS, AB 2020, The California Recycling and Litter Reduction Act of 1986
12 (the "Bottle Bill") requires the City to provide residents with convenient means to redeem
13 recyclables, a requirement not met by curbside-pick up alone; and

14 WHEREAS, The Department of Environment ("DOE") has confirmed the Bottle
15 Bill is an effective incentive that has increased diversion rates since the program was
16 initiated; and

17 WHEREAS, State law requires the location of redemption centers for bottles and
18 cans every half mile, and such centers have proven effective in reducing landfill as well
19 as providing the capacity to recycle materials ineligible for the curbside program; and

20 WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco lacks a comprehensive,
21 independent recycling plan which would complement the curb side recycling program
22 and bring the City closer to its goal of zero waste by the year 2020, a component which,
23 according to the Director of the Department of the Environment, is an "integral" part of
24 reaching zero waste; and

1 WHEREAS, Of the nineteen existing independent recycling centers in San
2 Francisco, ten reside on the east side of San Francisco in Supervisorial District Ten,
3 disproportionately burdening the district while failing to provide equitable access for San
4 Franciscans to independent recycling centers to properly dispose of recyclables
5 ineligible for the curbside recycling program; and,

6 WHEREAS, According to the DOE's Waste Characterization study, a full 30% of
7 the material the City sends to landfill should be recycled instead thereby demonstrating
8 the need for independent recycling centers to augment the curbside recycling program;
9 and

10 WHEREAS, The Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council ("HANC") recycling
11 center located in Golden Gate Park ("GGP") serves that state-mandated purpose by
12 providing redemption for bottles and cans in addition to collecting of a vast array of
13 recyclable materials ineligible for curbside recycling, thereby offering San Francisco
14 residents a means to achieve their ambitious landfill diversion goals; and

15 WHEREAS, The Recreation and Parks Department ("RPD") recently announced
16 a pilot recycling program for the east end of GGP centered around popular attractions
17 and open space including the Conservatory of Flowers, Doughboy Meadow, Stow Lake
18 and the Big Rec ball field, and

19 WHEREAS, According to the San Francisco Department of the Environment
20 ("DOE") Zero Waste Coordinator, public, unmonitored recycling bins have had a low
21 success rate meaning that improperly sorted recyclables, compostables and waste are
22 commingled and must therefore be disposed of in landfill; and

23 WHEREAS, RPD provided HANC with notice that its lease for its recycling center
24 will terminate at precisely the time when RPD could benefit from the volunteers,
25 expertise and facilities the HANC Center provides in GGP; and

1 WHEREAS, The Department of the Environment's current proposal for replacing
2 the capacity of the HANC recycling center with reverse vending machines-- which
3 inefficiently process only one bottle or can at a time—and would require 27 machines to
4 accommodate the volume HANC currently handles potentially creating frustratingly long
5 lines to redeem recyclables thus contradicting the state mandate that recycling be easy
6 and convenient; and

7 WHEREAS, The policy decision of installing reverse vending machines has not
8 been analyzed in terms either the impacts on current grocery stores or merchants that
9 would be required to implement in-store redemption or an analysis of the siting,
10 implementation, or the street-level efficacy of the policy; and

11 WHEREAS, As a consequence, neither RPD nor DOE have a viable, proven
12 alternative plan for absorbing the volume of bottles and cans and other recyclable
13 materials collected at the HANC GGP site; and

14 WHEREAS, Objective One, Policy I, of the Golden Gate Park Master Plan
15 Objectives and Policies calls upon the HANC Recycling Center "to increase its benefits
16 to the Park" by focusing "on the recycling needs of Golden Gate Park and the
17 immediate neighborhoods" , and

18 WHEREAS, Golden Gate Park Master Plan Objective VI, Policy H states:
19 "Recyclable material generated within the park should be recycled within a designated
20 area", further stating " Volunteer programs to remove litter from the park and to increase
21 public awareness about recycling and the impact of litter on the park should be
22 increased"; and

23 WHEREAS, The HANC Recycling Center has been engaging and educating the
24 public over the past 35 years as stewards of the built environment and continues to be a
25 resource for recycling, taking initiative on bottle redemption, keeping the Park and

1 adjacent neighborhood clean and providing job opportunities and is thus ideally suited
2 to fulfill Objective VI, Policy H of the GGP Master Plan; now, therefore, be it

3 RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors calls upon the Department of
4 Environment to assemble a task force to create an Independent Recycling Center
5 Master Plan that will outline the unmet recycling needs of the City and County of San
6 Francisco which will complement the curb side pickup program and support both the
7 intent of California's "Bottle Bill" as well as assure San Francisco's recycling programs
8 are both sustainable, efficacious and provide a clear strategy for the City to reach its
9 goal of zero waste by 2020; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors requests the Recreation and
11 Parks Department and the Department of the Environment collaborate with the Haight
12 Ashbury Neighborhood Council in developing and implementing a recycling program for
13 Golden Gate Park; and be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to direct
15 RPD to negotiate in good faith the termination of HANC's lease allowing the Recycling
16 Center to continue to serve Golden Gate Park, continue to fulfill its mission as state-
17 required redemption center and continue to provide the San Franciscans the capacity to
18 recycle materials ineligible for curbside pick-up and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors, in the event of the loss or
20 downsizing of the HANC GGP site, urges the Mayor's Office, RPD and DOE to work
21 with HANC to develop a plan to provide alternative recycling services to absorb the
22 recycling capacity of the HANC Center, or preferably, find a suitable property to relocate
23 the recycling services provided by HANC if RPD proceeds to evict the HANC center in
24 such a manner as to assure the uninterrupted continuity of the center's operations and
25 employment.



City and County of San Francisco

Tails
Resolution

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 110186

Date Passed: March 08, 2011

Resolution requesting the Recreation and Parks Department and the Department of the Environment collaborate to establish a comprehensive Parks recycling program utilizing the expertise, volunteer base, and facilities of the HANC Recycling Center in Golden Gate Park, for the Department of the Environment, to establish an Independent Recycling Center Master Plan, and requesting the Recreation and Parks Department to rescind the eviction of the HANC Recycling Center from Golden Gate Park.

February 28, 2011 City Operations and Neighborhood Services Committee -
RECOMMENDED

March 08, 2011 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED


Ayes: 6 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Kim, Mar and Mirkarimi
Noes: 5 - Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell and Wiener

March 08, 2011 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 6 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Kim, Mar and Mirkarimi
Noes: 5 - Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell and Wiener

File No. 110186

I hereby certify that the foregoing
Resolution was ADOPTED AS AMENDED on
3/8/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

UNSIGNED

Mayor Edwin Lee

3/18/11

Date Approved

Date: March 18, 2011

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.


Clerk of the Board

File No.
110186

Subject: NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT - PACIFICA BACTERIA TMDL
Attachments: notice_pacifica st beach.pdf

From: lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov [<mailto:lyris@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2013 05:47
To: Calvillo, Angela
Subject: NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT - PACIFICA BACTERIA TMDL

This is a message from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region(2).



This is a message from the State Water Resources Control Board.

Attached is a Notice of Opportunity to Comment on the:

PROPOSED APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION (BASIN PLAN) TO ESTABLISH A TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD FOR BACTERIA IN SAN PEDRO CREEK AND AT PACIFICA STATE BEACH, AND NEW IMPLEMENTATION PROVISIONS FOR BACTERIA WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Comment Deadline is February 18, 2013 by 12 noon.

This notice is also posted on our website at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/comments/index.shtml

Thank you,

Farhad Ghodrati
Environmental Scientist
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
Phone: 510-622-2331
E-mail: fghodrati@waterboards.ca.gov

You are currently subscribed to reg2_tmdl_basinplanning as: angela.calvillo@sfgov.org.

To unsubscribe click here: leave-431855-248079.8183712791a0c9284ba3a3bfeb729995@swrcb18.waterboards.ca.gov



EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR



MATTHEW RODRIGUEZ
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

State Water Resources Control Board

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

PROPOSED APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION (BASIN PLAN) TO ESTABLISH A TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD FOR BACTERIA IN SAN PEDRO CREEK AND AT PACIFICA STATE BEACH, AND NEW IMPLEMENTATION PROVISIONS FOR BACTERIA WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) will accept comments on the proposed approval of the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's (San Francisco Bay Water Board's) amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin (Basin Plan) that would establish a total maximum daily load for bacteria in San Pedro Creek and at Pacifica State Beach, and new implementation provisions for bacteria water quality objectives (Basin Plan amendment). The Basin Plan amendment was adopted by the San Francisco Bay Water Board on November 14, 2012, and is available for review at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/pacificabacteria_tmdl.shtml.

A copy of the Basin Plan amendment can also be received by mail by contacting Farhad Ghodrati at (510) 622-2331.

REQUEST NOTICE OF STATE BOARD MEETINGS. The State Water Board will separately publish an agenda for the meeting at which it will consider adopting a resolution approving the Basin Plan amendment. Oral comments at the State Water Board meeting generally will be limited to a summary of the written comments submitted during the written comment period. Persons interested (including those who submit oral or written comments to the San Francisco Bay Water Board and State Water Board) in receiving notice of the meeting at which the State Water Board will consider approving the Basin Plan amendment **must subscribe to:** http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resources/email_subscriptions/reg2_subscribe.shtml. The State Water Board encourages use of its electronic mailing list. Persons who require notice by regular mail must submit such request to the San Francisco Bay Water Board contact identified below.

SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN COMMENTS. Persons interested in the Basin Plan amendment are encouraged to submit comments electronically. Comment letters **must be received by 12:00 noon on February 18, 2013**. Comment letters received after that deadline will not be accepted unless the State Water Board determines otherwise.

CHARLES R. HOPPIN, CHAIRMAN | THOMAS HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 | Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812-0100 | www.waterboards.ca.gov

Send comments to Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the State Water Board, by email to commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov (must be no more than 15 megabytes); fax to (916) 341-5620; or mail or hand delivery to:

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board
State Water Resources Control Board
P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000 (mail)
1001 I Street, 24th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 (hand delivery)


Please also indicate in the subject line: "Comment Letter—Pacifica Bacteria TMDL."

REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMITTING COMMENTS (23 Cal. Code Regs., § 3779, subd. (f)).
The State Water Board may refuse to accept any comments that do not satisfy all of the following requirements:

1. Comments must specifically address the final version of the Basin Plan amendment adopted by the San Francisco Bay Water Board.
2. If the San Francisco Bay Water Board previously responded to a similar or identical comment, the commenter must explain why and in what manner the commenter believes each of the responses provided by the San Francisco Bay Water Board to each comment was inadequate or incorrect.
3. The commenter also must include either a statement that each of the comments was timely raised before the San Francisco Bay Water Board, or an explanation of why the commenter was unable to raise the specific comment before the San Francisco Bay Water Board.

Please direct any questions about this notice to Farhad Ghodrati, Environmental Scientist, San Francisco Bay Water Board, at (510) 622-2331 or fghodrati@waterboards.ca.gov; or Tamarin Austin, Staff Counsel, at (916) 341-5171 or taustin@waterboards.ca.gov.

Date January 18, 2013



Jeanine Townsend
Clerk to the Board

C. Page

From: Brousseau, Fred
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2013 1:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: FW: Domestic Violence BLA Cost Report
Attachments: BLA.DomesticViolence.112112.pdf

Jme

REFERENCE: 20121016-006

Please see email below showing that the report was sent to the requesting Supervisors on November 21, 2012. Thank you.

Fred Brousseau
Principal
Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office
1390 Market Street, Suite 1150
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 553-4627: direct
(415) 552-9292: office
(415) 252-0461: fax

From: Brousseau, Fred
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2012 3:59 PM
To: Mar, Eric; Cohen, Malia
Cc: Rimland Flower, Marisa; Rose, Harvey
Subject: Domestic Violence BLA Cost Report

Dear Supervisor Mar and Supervisor Cohen,

Attached please find the Budget and Legislative Analyst's report on Domestic Violence Policies and Costs, as requested at the Board of Supervisors meeting on October 16, 2012 (Reference 20121016-006).

At this time, we are only providing the report to the two of you and the Board of Supervisors email box, consistent with the request we received for this assignment from the Clerk of the Board. We will only distribute it to other City personnel and post it on the Budget and Legislative Analyst's website after your request.

We are available to respond to any questions or provide briefings on this report at your convenience.


Fred Brousseau
Principal
Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office
1390 Market Street, Suite 1150
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 553-4627
Office: (415) 552-9292
Fax: (415) 252-0461

Clage

From: Nutter, Melanie
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

This was handled. The letter that was sent last year will be re-sent momentarily. Thank you.

Melanie Nutter | Director
San Francisco Department of the Environment
11 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
melanie.nutter@sfgov.org
T: (415) 355-3701 F: (415) 554-6393
Twitter: @melnut



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org [mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 9:13 AM
To: Nutter, Melanie
Subject: Fw: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

Please see below for a notice due on a BOS Inquiry.

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
(415) 554-5163 fax
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

----- Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 01/22/2013 09:14 AM -----

From: Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV
To: Melanie.Nutter@sfgov.org,
Date: 01/18/2013 11:04 AM
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

20

TO: Melanie Nutter
Environment

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 1/18/2013
REFERENCE: 20121030-001
FILE NO.

Due Date: 12/8/2012
Reminder Sent: 12/7/2012

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Avalos was made at the Board meeting on 10/30/2012 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting that the Department of the Environment coordinate with the office of Supervisor Avalos, District 11 residents, the Department of Public Works, the Office of Small Business, and Recology to develop a plan for addressing the neighborhood and environmental impacts caused by small-scale scavengers who collect and sort junk and recyclable materials in residential neighborhoods.