### FILE NO. 130954

Petitions and Communications received from September 23, 2013, through September 30, 2013, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on October 8, 2013.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From Clerk of the Board, reporting the following individuals have submitted Form 700 Statements: (1)

Ahmad El-Najjar - Legislative Aide - Leaving Lauren Kahn - Legislative Aide - Assuming

From Mayor, designating Supervisor Malia Cohen as Acting-Mayor from September 28, 2013, until October 1, 2013. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From Clerk of the Board, regarding the following appointments by the Mayor: (3) Richard Guggenhime - Airport Commission Marlene Sharon Saritzky - Film Commission Melanie Blum - Film Commission

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding fiber broadband. 35 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From Mayor, submitting notification that the adopted budget for FYs 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 is adequate for the Department to meet service levels. (5)

From Clerk of the Board, regarding the following appointment by the Mayor: (6) Al Perez - Entertainment Commission

From concerned citizens, regarding the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy. File No. 130945. 24 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding 480 Potrero Avenue project environmental impact report. 102 signatures. File No. 130843. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Ivan Edgar Pratt, regarding volunteer workers at the Folsom Street Festival. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From James Chaffee, regarding the Public Library. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Patrick Otellini, regarding Soft Story Retrofit Financing Workshop. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, regarding Masonic Avenue cycle track project. File No. 120974. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding San Francisco's Opportunity to Compete campaign. 230 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue Transit Study meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Paul Nisbett, regarding Ellis Act evictions. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From John Barry, regarding America's Cup. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Howard Wong, regarding Muni. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From concerned citizens, regarding the appeal of exemption from environmental review for 435-437 Potrero Avenue project. File No. 130805. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

\*From concerned citizen, regarding air quality. File No. 130805. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Port, submitting annual gift report for FY2012-2013. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

\*(An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is available at the Clerk's Office, Room 244, City Hall.)

# **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: September 30, 2013

To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individuals have submitted a Form 700 Statement:

Ahmad El-Najjar – Legislative Aide – Leaving Lauren Kahn – Legislative Aide – Assuming



: C pages C: BOS-11, LOB, 30 EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

September 27, 2013

Ms. Angela Calvillo San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Malia Cohen as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the State of California on Saturday, September 28, 2013 at 9:20 a.m., until I return on Tuesday, October 1, 2013 at 1:50 p.m.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Cohen to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely Edwin M. Lee Mayor

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney All Members, Board of Supervisors OFFICE OF THE MAYOR



Clark eg. Des. Deste EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

September 25, 2013

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointments:

Richard Guggenhime to the Airport Commission, for a term ending August 31, 2017

Marlene Sharon Saritzky to the Film Commission, for a term ending March 19, 2016

Melanie Blum to the Film Commission, for a term ending March 19, 2017

I am confident that Marlene, Melanie, and Richard, an elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are their qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee Mayor



EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

September 25, 2013

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointments:

Richard Guggenhime to the Airport Commission, for a term ending August 31, 2017

Marlene Sharon Saritzky to the Film Commission, for a term ending March 19, 2016

Melanie Blum to the Film Commission, for a term ending March 19, 2017

I am confident that Marlene, Melanie, and Richard, an elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are their qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee

Mayor

# Richard J. Guggenhime

#### **Business:**

Schiff Hardin LLP One Market Street Spear Street Tower, 32<sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 901-8726 rguggenhime@schiffhardin.com **Residence:** 

1000 Mason Street, Apt. 401 San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 392-7337

#### **Employment:**

Schiff Hardin LLP 2008 – Present Of Counsel

Heller Ehrman LLP1987 - 2008Chairman Trusts and Estates Group and Wealth Management Group2006 - 2008Of Counsel1972 - 2005Partner (Shareholder)1965 - 1972Associate

#### Education:

Grant School, 1953 Lowell High School, San Francisco, 1957 Stanford University, A.B., 1961 with Distinction in Political Science and Economics Harvard Law School, J.D., 1964

#### **Personal:**

Born and raised in San Francisco	
Born:	March 6, 1940, San Francisco, California
Married:	Judith H. Swift – 1992
Children:	Andrew L. Guggenhime (40), Graduate of Middlebury College;
	Kellogg Business School; Senior Vice President and CFO-PDL, BioPharma, Inc.
	Lisa J. Hauswirth (38), Graduate of Brown University,
	Stanford Business School; Brand Manager-Clorox
	Molly E. Howson (36), Graduate of Wellesley College;
	Consultant – Bank of America – New York City
Grandchildren:	Ten

#### **Diplomatic Experience:**

Honorary Consul General of Finland in Northern California 1988-2003

#### Legal Organization and Activities:

Member of American College of Trust and Estate Counsel San Francisco Bar Association California State Bar Association American Bar Association

Lecturer -- Continuing Education of the Bar on Estate Administration and Estate Planning

#### Charitable Affiliations:

Past Chairman of the Board of Trustees, San Francisco University High School Past Director and Member of Executive Committee, San Francisco Opera Association President, Board of Trustees, Stanley S. Langendorf Foundation Past Member of Board of Regents, St. Ignatius College Preparatory School Stanford Associates President, The Olympic Club 2002

#### **Corporate Boards:**

Past Director, Commercial Bank of San Francisco Past Director, Global Savings Bank Past Director, North American Trust Company Director, Maier, Siebel Baber, Real Estate Funds

#### **Political Activities:**

Commissioner, Airport Commission 2006 -Commissioner, Recreation and Parks Commission 1989-1993 (Vice President 1993), 2003-2004 Commissioner, Fire Commission 1985-1989 (Vice President 1989) Commissioner, Board of Permit Appeals 1978-1985 (President three years) Commissioner, Parking Authority 1975-1978

Who's Who in American Law® Who's Who in America® Who's Who in the World® Law & Politics® 2005 and 2006 named a "Northern California Super Lawyer"

# MARLENE SHARON SARITZKY

205 W. Blithedale Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941 (415) 713-1241 (mobile) <u>marmv@comcast.net</u>

#### DIRECTOR, CORPORATE PUBLIC RELATIONS, LUCASFILM LTD.

San Francisco, CA

Serve as company spokesperson and direct internal and external communications for Lucasfilm Ltd., one of the world's leading integrated entertainment companies. Key projects since joining the company include all media relations and activities surrounding the opening of the Letterman Digital Arts Center in the Presidio. Other major initiatives include the announcement of and opening of Lucasfilm Animation Singapore, re-branding with new graphical identity of all Lucasfilm divisions. Responsible for executive communications, writing and editing of company documents and supervising both fulltime and contracted staff

# COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR, BUSINESS 2.0 MAGAZINE, TIME INC.

San Francisco, California (October 2001 – present)

Serve as company spokesperson and direct internal and external communications/PR efforts for this 550,000 paid subscriber-based magazine about business, technology, and innovation. Report to Publisher and Editor. Write and edit company documents, press releases, executive and other official communications. Manage internal and external agency teams. Work closely with editor and publisher, sales and marketing teams on speaking engagements, conference bookings, presentations, media appearances and special projects. Work on cross-company efforts within Time Inc. Responsible for production of Business 2.0 Live!, the magazine's series of reader-outreach events in the cities across the U.S. Act as liason to trade organizations, civic and community organizations.

#### DIRECTOR, MARKETING AND COMMUNICATIONS, ICM BREAKPOINT

San Francisco, California (December 2000 – September 2001)

Serve as company spokesperson and manage external and internal communications for this strategic services firm, working in the converging industries of media, technology and entertainment. Serve in strategic role during original positioning of company. Work directly with clients in the areas of market, channel program and transactional business development. Write and edit company documents, presentations and partner communications. Develop corporate identify materials. Work in partnership with staff at ICM, a global talent/literary agency, to leverage partner company assets.

#### DIRECTOR, COMMUNICATIONS AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS, COMMUNICATIONS AND MARKETING, SONY DEVELOPMENT/METREON

San Francisco, California (May 1998 – November 2000)

Manage all aspects of communications and marketing strategy and implementations for Sony Development and for Metreon-A Sony Entertainment Center, open June 1999. Areas of responsibility include media relations, strategic alliances/sponsor relations, advertising, marketing, community relations, group sales, Metreon-wide and partner special events and programming. Manage relationships with outside public relations firm, advertising agency and consumer research agencies. Hire and supervise inhouse team, manage all communications and marketing-related budgets, create executive communications materials. Represent Sony Development/Metreon at Sony Corporation of America Marketing Strategy Council and Communications Council. Serve as Sony Development and Metreon spokesperson. In its first year, Metreon enjoyed more than 7 million guests. Special projects include: working with Sony colleagues in Tokyo and Berlin to create/execute US media outreach efforts for opening of Sony urban entertainment centers in both cities; North American launch of PlayStation 2 at Metreon, in collaboration with Sony Computer Entertainment America (SCEA); television and radio programming on location at Metreon including *The Show* (BayTV), *Fresh Gear* and *Gamespot* (TechTV), *West Coast Live* (KALW radio weekly show).

### PRINCIPAL, NetEffects PR/COMMUNICATIONS

Austin, TX (August 1996 - May 1998)

Provide complete range of communications, public relations and public affairs services including strategic counsel, crafting of key messages and company positioning, media relations, liaison with outside consultants/agencies, special events production/advance. Clients/projects include: Texas Guaranteed Student Loan Corporation, Warner Bros., Ronald H. Brown Foundation, Motion Picture Association of America, Global Green USA and former Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev, BackWeb Technology, Micrografx, Democratic National Committee.

#### **CONTRIBUTING EDITOR, GEORGE MAGAZINE**

New York, NY (May 1995 – January 1996)

Consult with Editor and magazine staff in advance of magazine's launch to provide story ideas, analysis and contacts, with emphasis on Texas/Southwest and California/entertainment industry.

### CONSULTANT, MEM and ASSOCIATES

Austin, TX (March 1995 – July 1996)

Provide corporate, political and legislative account services, including legislative tracking and strategy. Supervise client campaigns including creative, production, editing and placement of television, radio and print. Clients and projects include: Democratic National Committee Chairman Senator Chris Dodd, Texans for Competitive Rail, House of Representatives Appropriations Chairman Robert Junnell, Artists for a New South Africa.

#### PRODUCER, ANN RICHARDS COMMITTEE

Austin, TX (1994)

Hire and coordinate technical and production staff for several statewide fundraising events starring Don Henley, Willie Nelson, Nancy Griffith, Tish Hinojosa, Lyle Lovett, Robin Williams, Alfre Woodard, Larry L. King. Responsible for all talent relations.

### DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MUSIC, FILM TELEVISION AND MULTIMEDIA INDUSTRIES, OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR (Texas Film Commission, Texas Music Office)

Austin, TX (September 1991 – February 1995)

Appointed by Governor Ann W. Richards. Manage operations of ten-person team for the Texas Film Commission and Texas Music Office. Act as state's chief liaison to attract and service location filming statewide. During the Richards administration, Texas enjoyed three consecutive record years, with revenues exceeding \$500 million and development and implementation of new agreements with state agencies for filming on state property and highways. Serve as the industry's liaison to the Texas Legislature. Create and implement aggressive marketing and press strategy, schedule and advance Governor Richards to Los Angeles, location sites, meetings and special events.

#### DONOR ADVISOR/FUNDRAISING CONSULTANT

Los Angeles, CA (September 1990 – September 1991)

Create and manage philanthropic and political giving programs for individual and corporate clients. Fundraising and special events consulting and production. Clients and projects include: Westside Children's Center, Sony Pictures Entertainment, Texas Department of Commerce, UBU Productions.

# VICE PRESIDENT, CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS, UBU PRODUCTIONS, PARAMOUNT PICTURES

Los Angeles, CA (February 1989 – September 1990)

Develop and mange philanthropic and political giving program for private donors. Serve as Executive Director of Jean Street Fund, a private family foundation dedicated to the causes of women, children and families. Create foundation guidelines, develop application and evaluation process, perform foundation budget management and schedule/execute all site visits. Analyze proposals for presentation and approval to donors. Serve as Assistant to the Executive Producer on "Women in War: Voices From the Front Lines," a two-hour documentary broadcast on the A & E Network. Assist in organizational strategy and startup of VU Productions, a documentary film company.

#### **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, HOLLYWOOD WOMEN'S POLITICAL COMMITTEE**

Los Angelés, CA (August 1985 – December 1988)

Serve as first full-time hire of this entertainment industry-based Political Action Committee (PAC). Work closely with HWPC Policy Committee to recruit members and raise funds for candidates nationwide (PAC raised over \$6 million in its 12-year history). Responsible for initial screening of candidates applying for contributions and creation of legislative/political updates on issues of interest to members. Participate in HWPC human rights delegation to Central America. Work with policy committee, HWPC membership and artists on all aspects of fundraising including events featuring Barbara Streisand, Robin Williams, Bette Midler. Draft, edit and present congressional testimony on behalf of the organization in Washington, D.C. Maintain membership records and databases, plan schedule and program all meetings, candidate interviews, annual retreats.

#### CONGRESSIONAL AIDE, CONGRESSWOMAN FORTNEY H. (PETE) STARK, JR.

Washington, D.C. and Oakland, CA (June 1981 – June 1985)

Constituent caseworker: serve as liaison between constituents and federal agencies on individual cases, represent Congressman at community and district events, hearings (1981-82). Campaign Field Director: oversee field campaign activities, volunteer recruitment (1982). Campaign Manager: supervise campaign staff/volunteers, work with political and media consultants, pollsters and supporters, serve as spokesperson, develop and implement targeted field program (1984). Senior legislative assistant: draft/edit legislative and constituent correspondence, perform legislative tracking and research on domestic issues; advance and travel with Congressman Start (1984-85)

#### **EDUCATION**

. . .

Bachelor of Arts, Social Sciences, University of California, Berkeley (with honors) 1981

#### ACTIVITIES

- Board of Directors, Artists for a New South Africa (since founding in 1989)
- Board of Directors, The Kitchen Sisters (joined in 2005)
- Member, Workforce Investment San Francisco (appointed by Mayor Newsom 2004)
- Member, Executive Committee, California State Alliance (appointed by California First Lady Maria Shriver, 2004)
- Board of Directors, JVS (2001 2005), Chair, Strategic Communications Task Force
- PR Committee, Magazine Publishers of America (2003-2004)
- Speaker programming committee, California Governor's Conference for Women (2002-2004)
- Event Committee, 30<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Chez Panisse, for Chez Panisse Foundation (2001)
- Media Outreach and event production for Anna Deavere Smith, in advance of the PBS broadcast of the film adaptation of *Twilight: Los Angeles* 1992 (February April 2001)
- Member, Sustainable Funding for School Arts and Music Task Force, San Francisco (2000)
- Organizer, benefit screening of *Steal this Movie*, for the San Francisco Film Society (2000)

Melanie Blum, President of Blum Inc works as a consultant to a variety of clients in the political and film industries. In the early 1970's she started her career in Massachusetts state politics and then served as Chief of Staff to a Massachusetts congressman in Washington, DC from 1974 to 1977.

Blum moved to San Francisco in 1977 to create and administer the product licensing division of Hip-o-potamus Creations, an apparel manufacturer. Blum established the licensed retail division which included properties such as Star Wars, Saturday Night Fever, The Bee Gees, Pink Panther, Recycled Paper Products Animal Farm working with all the major film studios in Los Angeles and major retailers around the country such as Sears, Macy's, JC Penneys, Montgomery Wards, K-Mart and Target.

Blum then started Blum Inc with her husband Lawrence Blum to set up a national sales and marketing company to develop licensed products for the retail market. Licenses included the Star Wars sequels, Raiders of the Lost Ark series, Los Angeles Olympics, Statue of Liberty, and M\*A\*S\*H.

In 1988 Blum reentered the world of politics to help elect John Burton to the California State Assembly. She served as campaign administrator and after the election served as Burton's Chief of Staff for eight years working with him through his election to the California State Senate in 1996.

Returning to the consulting arena in 1996 Blum engaged her political skills to develop properties in the Presidio for the film community. She spearheaded the 70,000 square foot historic renovation of the former 6<sup>th</sup> Army Headquarters Building 39 for the San Francisco Film Centre for George Gund III, where she continues to serve as Vice President of Operations. She also consulted for Lucasfilm on the 800,000 square foot Letterman Digital Arts Center; worked with Robert Redford, Sundance Cinemas and Landmark Theatres on the potential historic rehabilitation of the Presidio Theatre. She currently is participating in the Supplemental Environmental Impact Study for the Main Post of the Presidio of San Francisco, which includes the rehabilitation of the former post Theatre for the San Francisco Film Society presenter of the San Francisco International Film Festival for its future home.

Having worked with the film community for over twenty years she was asked in 1996 to join the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Film Society. She served as Board President from 2003 until 2009 during which time the organization hired a new Executive. Director and underwent a significant growth and transformation from a two week a year festival to a year round film arts organization with a strong education outreach component and almost tripled its budget.

Blum is currently serving as the Project Manager for the Family Violence Prevention Fund project to complete a historic renovation of Building 100, the former 6<sup>th</sup> Army band barracks, in the San Francisco Presidio to serve as an international center to end violence. It will house office space for the organization, a state of the art training and conference center and a public exhibit and interactive learning center.

Blum lives in Sonoma with her husband Larry Blum.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Judith Pierre [mail@changemail.org] Tuesday, September 24, 2013 9:56 PM Board of Supervisors I just signed "Make fiber broadband a priority for San Francisco"

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Dana S's petition "Make fiber broadband a priority for San Francisco" on Change.org.

As other cities embrace high-speed fiber broadband, San Francisco is getting left behind. Our city has underutilized public fiber and several local Internet Service Providers eager to deploy gigabit speed broadband to businesses and households, yet this is stymied by rules and regulations that have not kept pace with technology. Deployment of fiber and ultra-high speed broadband provides a unique opportunity to create innovation and new jobs, extend public access and develop valuable infrastructure that would serve our city for decades to come. I encourage you to develop policy to encourage fiber deployment and make ultra fast broadband a priority for San Francisco.

#### Sincerely,

Judith Pierre Oakland, California

There are now 35 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Dana S by clicking here:

http://www.change.org/petitions/make-fiber-broadband-a-priority-for-san-francisco/responses/new?response=9272c59f571d



# EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

September 12, 2013

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller City Hall, Room 316

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the adopted budget for Fiscal Year 2013-14 and Fiscal Year 2014-15 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Le Mayor

cc: Kate Howard, Mayor's Budget Director Michelle Allersma, Controller's Budget and Analysis Division Director



Orig: Leg Clerk Bos-II, COB, Leg Dep EDWIN M. LEE Dep. City Otting Mayor Rules Cluch, Cpage Acple

PM 4: 3

# Notice of Appointment

September 23, 2013

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Section 4.117 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Al Perez to the Entertainment Commission, for a term ending July 1, 2017

I am confident that Mr. Perez, an elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely Edwin M. I Mayor





EDWIN M. LEE Mayor



September 23, 2013

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 4.117 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Al Perez to the Entertainment Commission, for a term ending July 1, 2017

I am confident that Mr. Perez, an elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are his qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. L

Mayor

#### **Commissioner/Neighborhood Representative - Al Perez**



Al Perez is the principal and founder of Creative i Studio, which specializes in innovative and impactful design solutions for marketing campaigns and corporate identity programs. He has over 23 years' experience translating marketing objectives into award-winning creative strategies for Fortune 500, small business and nonprofit organizations.

Al keeps active as a community organizer in the Asian American community by being involved in various non-profit organizations and community events. As President of the Filipino American Arts Exposition, he leads a core staff and an army of volunteers in the planning and execution of their annual Pistahan Parade and Festival at the Yerba Buena Gardens – the largest celebration of Filipino culture and cuisine outside of the Philippines. He also spearheads the Filipino Heritage Game series with the San Francisco Giants, Golden State Warriors, Oakland Raiders and Oakland Athletics. Al is also a member of steering committees for the Asian Heritage Street Celebration, the San Francisco Hep B Free Campaign and the Filipina Women's Network:

Al was appointed to the San Francisco Entertainment Commission by Mayor Gavin Newsom in December 2009. For his laudable leadership initiatives, he was awarded a Presidential Citation by Her Excellency Gloria Macapagal Arroyo (2010), and His Excellency Benigno Aquino III (2012), Presidents of the Philippines. From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130945: JCHESS

From: Paul Osaki [mailto:POsaki@jcccnc.org] Sent: Monday, September 30, 2013 4:52 AM To: Board of Supervisors Subject: JCHESS

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to thank you for your continued support of Japantown. At this time I respectfully ask for your support of the JCHESS resolution as submitted in your packets and to support the other JCHESS resolutions as adopted by the Historical Preservation Commission and the Planning Commission.

The Japanese Cultural and Community Center of Northern California (JCCCNC) is the largest Japanese American community owned facility in Northern California with over 10,000 members, program participants and supporters, serving approximately 185,000 program and facility users annually. This year represents our 40th anniversary of serving San Francisco Bay Area.

Thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Paul Osaki Executive Director Japanese Cultural and Community Center of Northern California.

File \$130945

Bos - Il CoB C Page



**Board of Directors** 

John Noguchi President

> Emily Mitra Vice President

John Henry Treasurer

Kathryn Nelsen Secretary

> Hagen Choi Member

Nancy Gribler Member

Robert Hamaguchi Member

> Jerry Ono Member

Floyd Trammell Member

Monetta White Member CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO JAPAN CENTER GARAGE CORPORATION

August 15, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Japan Center Garage Corporation (JCGC) is a non-profit communitybased organization and, is the lessee of the Japan Center Garage. The mission of the corporation is to insure effective management of the Cityowned garage and promote the economic development of Japantown and the Fillmore Jazz District.

The board of directors has followed the progress of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. The JCGC recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

As members of the community, we support both the cultural and economic growth of Japantown and realize that a document such as this is one imperative step toward the holistic future of this historic neighborhood.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown and the Fillmore Jazz District.

Respectfully,

mit

Emily Mitra Vice President

cc: JCGC Board of Directors

1610 Geary Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94115 Tel (415) 567-4573 Fax (415) 567-1004

File \$135845 B-5-1

ç

ഗ

COB LRAR



August 15, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Japantown Merchants Association is a non-profit business organization with the mission to promote commerce for businesses and, in helping to make the City of San Francisco a destination for visitors. We are also in support of any economic development for the betterment of the business community.

We have been involved and followed the progress of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and we support the vision and goals of JCHESS and we ask for your endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

We further support both the cultural and economic growth of Japantown and realize that a document such as this plan is an imperative step toward the holistic future of this historic neighborhood.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown and the Fillmore Jazz District.

Respectfully Seil

Richard Hashimoto President

Japanese hamber of commerce northern california

1875 South Grant Street, Suite 760, San Mateo, CA 94402 T (650) 522-8500 F (650) 522-8300 mail@jccnc.org www.jccnc.org

August 20, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

 $\square$ 

日日年1309-15

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Japanese Chamber of Commerce of Northern California (JCCNC) is a 501(c)6 nonprofit which was founded in 1951 in Japantown San Francisco, the same year as the historic Treaty of Peace with Japan.

JCCNC has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. JCCNC recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully submitted,

(Visoshi Jamita

Hiroshi Tomita President Japanese Chamber of Commerce of Northern California

COR CPage

File #130945 B35-11 COIS C Rege

# August 20, 2013

ADMINISTRATION 2012 Pine Street Son Frontisco, CA 94115 Tel: 415.202.7900 Fax: 415.921.1841 www.jcyc.org Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

 $\subset$ လ္ сл

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Japanese Community Youth Council ("JCYC") is a non-profit communitybased organization serving the youth and families throughout the City and County of San Francisco.

JCYC has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. JCYC recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully,

Jon Ösaki /

Executive Director



Envisioning a Japantown that inspires respect for the past, embrace of the present, and a commitment to a place and a community that is culturally vibrant, prosperous, safe, inclusive, engaging, and attractive to residents and to visitors.

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Hatsuro Aizawa Robert E. Hamaguchi Richard Hashimoto Nilionmachi Merchants Association Jack Hirose (in memoriam) Tomoe Huribuchi Keith Kamisugi Diane Matsuda Sandy Mori Japantown Task Force Inc. June-ko Nakagawa Japanese Chamber of Commerce of Northern California Allen M. Okamoto Sakura Matsuri Inc. Jerry Ono Union Bank Jon Ösaki Japanese Community Youth Council Donald K. Tamaki Minami Tamaki, LLP

A 501(c)(3) nonprofit taxexempt organization Federal Tax ID: 33-1144757

THE SAN FRANCISCO JAPANTOWN FOUNDATION c/o Minami Tamaki LLP 360 Post Street, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-4903 415.830.4165 info@sfjapantownfoundation.org

www.sljapantownfoundation.org

NAME OF CONTRACTOR OF CONT

August 20, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The San Francisco Japantown Foundation is a non-profit community-based organization. Since its formation in 2006, the Foundation has distributed hundreds of thousands of dollars in grants to support community, business, and cultural activities and festivals, which promotes Japantown and Japanese culture. Including the renovation of the Japanese Tea Garden, support of the Cherry Blossom Festival, cultural performances, and services to the youth and the elderly.

The Foundation has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. The Foundation recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully Donald Tamaki

President of the Board of Directors

# F1/0 # 1309-15 B05-11 2013 CP82

0

ŵ

СЛ

August 23, 2013

BOARD OF SUPERVISO

O X C 835-11 COIS CRAD

F110世130745

To: Historic Preservation Commission Planning Commission Board of Supervisors

c/o Steve Wertheim, San Francisco Planning Department

I write as President of The Sequoias-San Francisco Resident Association whose membership includes the 290 individuals who reside at The Sequoias, 1400 Geary Blvd., San Francisco 94109. We are proud that our home is within the boundaries of Japantown. Many of us have family or business ties with the community and all of us are concerned with its future.

Because of this, we are delighted to endorse JCHESS which articulates a comprehensive vision of the steps vital to preserving the cultural heritage of the community. As residents, we are well aware of the careful study which has produced a plan as comprehensive and constructive as JCHESS. For that reason, we respectfully urge the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission, the San Francisco Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors to take the necessary steps to approve and implement the recommendations so compellingly described in the JCHESS proposal.

Kathie Cheatham, President The Sequoias-San Francisco Resident Association KCheat4349@aol.com

pantown Task Force ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING & PRESERVATION OF JAPANTOWN

### August 26, 2013

Executive Director Robert Hamaguchi

Board Members Sandy Mori, President Caryl Ito, Vice President Mark Monguetal, Treasurer Doug Dawkins, Secretary δείκα Εψιπροίο Denis Henmi Lik Matsuha Benh Nakajo Rosalyn Jonar Eish Watada

Advisory Board Насяно Акаwa Steven Dor Michael Clowe Rev Richard Grange Rod Henmi Daryt Hegaste Ya Huonaka David Ishida Kaen Ka Travis Riyota Hen Kobashigawa Tusa Kunihara Charl e Morminio Janie ko Nakagawa Jeny Ono Jongiam Paela Kathy Reyes Kenji Jaguma Panel; Wu JE Yamamore

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Japantown Task Force, Inc. is a non-profit community-based organization formed in 2002 focused on the preservation, planning, and promotion of Japantown San Francisco, one of the last three remaining Japantowns in the United States.

日本町の経済発展・計画・保住

The corporation has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

The Japantown Task Force, Inc. recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions adopting it as City Policy.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully,

Sandy Mori President of the Board of Directors

B33-11

6,10 \$ 135945

Robert Hamaguchi Executive Director

1765 Sutter Street. 1st Floor, San Francisco, CA 94115 • 415.346.1239 • Fax 415.346.6703 japantowntaskforce@gmail.com · www.jtowntaskforce.org

August 26,2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco. Ca 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

My name is Robert K. Sakai. My family has run a business in Japantown, which I recently closed, for over hundred years. I continue to be a property owner in Japantown San Francisco.

I have followed and been involved with the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and support the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. I recommend the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

I and my family sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully submitted

Robert Kiichi Sakai

.

ç الميني 12 مالي 14 مالي i No 만에 3: ഗ

File #130945 Bob-11

CUB ( Dege

# National Japanese American Historical Society



#### August 27, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors C/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The National Japanese American Historical Society, Inc. (NJAHS) is a 501 c 3 non-profit membership organization formed in 1983 which is dedicated to the collection, preservation; interpretation and sharing of the Japanese American experience for a global community.

The NJAHS has been involved in the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS) through the active participation of our Executive Director and Board member / businessman Kenneth Kaji. We support the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

The Japantown Task Force, Inc. recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions adopting it as City Policy.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown, as one of the last three remaining Japantowns in the United States.

Respectfully,

Hon. Bryan Yagi /(Ret.) President, Board of Directors

Rosalyn Ponai Executive Director

1684 Post Street San Francisco, CA 94115-3604 РНОМЕ (415) 921-5007 FAX (415) 921-5087 ED EMAIL njahs@bjahs.org Web WWW.bjahs.org 2 7 CF SUPERVIS 2 7 PN SUPE



Springs රී ම්pa

 $\dot{c}$ 

UN.

### August 28, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

Kabuki Springs & Spa is a venerable and historic business in San Francisco's Japan Town.

As director of Kabuki and long time business owner in the neighborhood I have followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and I support the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. Kabuki Springs & Spa, a Joie de Vivre spa, recommends the Commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Peace,

1 nelas

Kathy Nelsen

Japan Center 1750 Geary Blvd. San Francisco CA 94115 TEL 415.922.6000 FAX 415.922.6005 kabukisprings.com

File #130445 B35-11

- C E

تب

വ

# PACIFIC HEIGHTS RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

2585 PACIFIC AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115 TELEPHONE: (415) 922-3572

28 August 2013

San Francisco Board of Supervisors <u>Via e-mail</u> c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

#### Dear Supervisors:

The Pacific Heights Residents Association, representing residents living in the area bounded by Bush, Presidio, Union and Van Ness, was founded in 1972 with a focus on preserving the character of our neighborhood. As such, PHRA has long recognized the importance of both the role of historic preservation and the role of the commercial districts on the character and quality of life.

PHRA endorses the JCHESS plan, noting the following key points:

1) JCHESS recognizes that for a community cultural resource, it is important to recognize and protect not only the historically significant built environment, but also the cultural history – the organizations, festivals and other community activities that underlie any community cultural resource. The Cultural Heritage component of JCHESS provides a comprehensive approach to both the physical/architectural and the culturally significant features of Japantown.

2) JCHESS recognizes that a healthy community also requires a thriving small independent business and merchant base, and that such a base is closely linked to the health of cultural preservation. In support of this, JCHESS creates a named Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, providing flexible zoning controls appropriate to specific needs of the Japantown community. The benefits of named NCDs, and the fine grained controls this permits, have demonstrated their value in NCDs across San Francisco.

3) JCHESS recognizes that, while governmental actions can damage community, as experienced by the Japanese-American community at multiple times in the 20th century, preserving and maintaining a cultural community is primarily the responsibility of the community. While local government support is essential, a community needs to have a common vision and goals. JCHESS clearly articulates a community vision and goals, identifies a range of possible strategies and tactics to achieve those goals, and identifies how and where San Francisco's agencies play a role in supporting the Japantown 1 Drav

File # 13:2945

PH 3:50

C>13 c Dyc

1305-11

community. In addition to providing guidance to Japantown, the groundbreaking JCHESS approach also offers a roadmap to other communities.

4) JCHESS articulates a balanced approach to growth and sustainability, reflecting the community's view that large scale development can pose a danger to the community's cultural preservation and conservation efforts.

JCHESS is an innovative approach to fostering a sustainable community, and PHRA urges support of the proposal.

Sincerely yours,

lem

Paul H. Wermer Board Member, Pacific Heights Residents Association

Cc: Japantown Organizing Committee, c/o Robert Hamaguchi

135-11 File # 130945 COB



# Japanese American Religious Federation

Mailing Address: 1909 Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94115-3204 "To serve our community in good faith."

August 29, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission **Board of Supervisors** c/o Steve Wertheim SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners & Supervisors,

The Executive Board of the Japanese American Religious Federation of San Francisco (JARF), a collection of 12 Japanese heritage congregations in San Francisco, wish to register support for the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy JCHESS program. We agree that Japantown is worthy of the city's attention, with its many buildings, businesses and organizations and cultural events.

Japantown is also in a desirable location in the city, and needs to have a plan in place to deal with potential economic development. The plan proposed by JCHESS has involved many parts of our community, and reflects the need to protect our cultural heritage.

Our organization, JARF, agrees with the vision of the JCHESS that Japantown will thrive as a culturally rich, authentic and economically vibrant neighborhood, serving as the cultural heart of the community, and with the goals to secure Japantown's future as the historical and cultural heart of the community, secure its future as a thriving commercial and retail district, secure its future as a home to residents and community-based institutions and to secure its future as a physically attractive and vibrant environment.

We encourage you to consider the 19 recommendations proposed by JCHESS carefully, as they are designed to encourage economic development and re-investment in the community.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Stina Pope

**JARF** President & Board of Directors

C RAC

August 29, 2013

Historic Planning Commission Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Mr. Steve Wertheim SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Sent via USPS and Email - <u>steve.wertheim@sfgov.org</u>

**RE: JCHESS** 

Dear Commissioners and the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Michiko Yamada and my family and I are property owners and long-time residents of Japantown. I grew up in this neighborhood and my parents owned a small Japanese confectionery store for thirty-six years in the Western Addition/Japantown area. We have been a part of this community and neighborhood for close to fifty years.

In July, Mr. Hamaguchi, Japantown Organizing Committee, introduced the JCHESS, a proposed plan designed to protect and preserve the cultural heritage and promote economic development of Japantown, to the Historic Planning Commission and to the Planning Commission. In September, you will be asked to endorse the JCHESS. I am writing to ask for your support and endorsement of the JCHESS.

The JCHESS is the culmination of thirteen years of careful planning, thought, dialogue and input from community leaders, neighbors, business and property owners, and Planning Department staff. It is a comprehensive, systematic plan that outlines recommendations and goals that may be used as a guideline for Japantown's future economic sustainability. This plan includes marketing ideas; proposed resolutions for continued maintenance, repairs and public improvements; identifying potential funding sources; and strategies for continued neighborhood and community development and visibility.

As you are aware, there are only three remaining Japantowns left in the United States. San Francisco is fortunate to be included as one of those cities. However, it is apparent that measures must be taken to protect and preserve our Japantown. This is an ongoing project that requires commitment and continued hard work. Your support and endorsement will be the first step in achieving this important goal. Together, with your support and involvement from our community, we can ensure that San Francisco will always have a strong, vibrant and culturally-relevant Japantown for future generations.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Michiko Yamada 1959 Sutter Street # B San Francisco, CA 94115 (415) 775-0860

cc: Shelley Caltagirone, Planning & Historic Preservation Robert Hamaguchi, Executive Director, Japantown Task Force



GU \$13545

B05-11

# File \$ 132445

SHI SEP

¢

C,

Bか・11 いほ し Papp

# The Carlisle Homeowners Association BOARD OF DIRECTORS

August 29, 2013

TO: Historic Preservation Commission Planning Commission Board of Supervisors

#### **RE: JCHESS**

On behalf of the 100+ residents of the Carlisle, a senior residential community located at 1450 Post Street, San Francisco, 94109, we are pleased to write this letter of endorsement and strong support of JCHESS.

We are close neighbors of Japantown and many of us have personal and business ties with the community.

JCHESS is a thoughtfully prepared plan that describes clearly and comprehensively the steps required to preserve the cultural identity of this community. We believe that the adoption of this plan will benefit not only our communities, but also the entire City.

For these reasons, we strongly urge the Historical Preservation Commission, the San Francisco Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors to adopt the JCHESS plan and take the necessary steps to implement the recommendations of the plan.

Sincerely,

S/Sigmund Freeman President S/Gabriel Gregoratos, MD Vice-President August 31, 2013

To: Mr. Steve Wertheim, SF Planning Department

Attention: SF Historic Preservation Commission SF Planning Commission SF Board of Supervisors

Dear Commissioners and Board Members:

The extensive community planning process sponsored by the Japantown Organizing Committee and the JCHESS recommendations that have resulted from many years of input, research and analysis are strongly supported by the *Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association (CHNA)*.

Comprehensive land use planning will benefit Japantown as well as positively impact sustainable planning efforts for other projects in our city. A robust Japantown is important to Cathedral Hill residents who support their services and merchants as well as the community and cultural events in this important historical district.

We urge the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC), Planning Commission(PC) and Board of Supervisors (BOS) to support the JCHESS by endorsing it as City Policy.

Of primary concern to *CHNA* members is for the Planning Commission and the BOS both prioritize the adoption of the Japantown Design Guidelines and to to proactively work with other agencies, including the Department of Public Works, The Recreation and Parks Department, and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, to implement those recommendations of the JCHESS which involve capital outlay for infrastructure projects.

As a neighborhood with many seniors concerned with public transit and pedestrian safety, we also strongly endorse the recommendation that the San Francisco County Transportation Authority implement the Geary Bus Rapid Transit project in a manner that provides better access to Japantown, and creates better crossing across Geary Boulevard.

Thank you in advance for your support of JCHESS.

Regards,

Marlayne Morgan Cathedral Hill Neighbors C 213 C P44

00

FILE BISOTHIS

 $\dot{\omega}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}^{n}$ 

Ó

# SUNDANCE

September 2, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission **Board of Supervisors** c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

C.FI

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

On behalf of Sundance Cinemas, an anchor retailer in San Francisco's Japantown as the historic Sundance Kabuki Cinemas, would like to confirm our whole-hearted support of 'JCHESS', currently under consideration.

We at Sundance Cinemas, in operation in Japantown since 2006, have followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

As a presenter of art, art film, and a gathering place for the community, we feel that 'JCHESS' is a perfect fit for the community.

Sundance Cinemas recommends the commissions and Board of Supervisors approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

Thank you for your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully submitted,

Klay Gw

Nancy Klasky Gribler Vice President of Marketing Sundance Cinemas

610 1 3345 13:05-11

103

REGE


September 3, 2013

Kokoro Assisted Living Inc. Board of Directors

Douglas Nomura President

Bob Obana Vice-President

Andy Nakahata Secretary

Bill Baird *Treasurer* 

Stephanie Fujii Gary Hoshiyama John Kikuchi John Muranishi Jeff Mori Sumiko Sheaffer Sharon L. Yow

Kirk Miyake Executive Director San Francisco Planning Commission c/o Steve Wertheim SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Historic Preservation Commission

Dear Commissioners,

Kokoro Assisted Living Inc. located in Japantown is a nonprofit organization that provides affordable housing and assistance with activities of daily living for the elderly.

File # 135945

On behalf of the Kokoro Board of Directors I am pleased to offer support for the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS).

We have been closely monitoring the development of the JCHESS, formerly the Japantown Better Neighborhood Plan and strongly support the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

Thank you to the Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission and the Planning Department for your continued support and encouragement.

Kokoro Assisted Living Inc. therefore, strongly recommends the commission to approve the endorsement resolutions.

Respectfully,

r.

Myake

Kirk Mlyake U Executive Director Kokoro Assisted Living

Kokoro Assisted Living 1881 Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94109 (415) 776-8066 www.KokoroAssistedLiving.org

RCFE #385600235

File # 130945

1305-11 CO13 U Dago

September 3, 2013

# Dear Supervisors

Kimochi Inc. is a nonprofit organization that provides culturally sensitive care for seniors serving the Japanese American Community and seniors in San Francisco at large. I have been personally involved in the development of the JCHESS formerly the Japantown Better Neighborhood Plan and on behalf of Kimochi Inc. I am pleased to offer support for the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economics Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS).

Kimochi Inc. particularly supports the vision & goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy. Kimochi therefore recommends the Board of Supervisors to approve the endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown San Francisco.

Best Regards,

Steve Nakajo

 $\omega$ ഗ

PHONE/FAX: (415) 931-0453

sanfrancisco@konkofaith.org

# KONKO CHURCH OF SAN FRANCISCO

金光教サンフランシスコ教会

1909 BUSH STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115-3226

September 5, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

స్త ហ

Gle # 130A45 B35-11

WB

e leac

Dear Commissioners & Supervisors:

The Konko Church of San Francisco's Board of Directors and congregation strongly support the Japantown Cultural Heritage Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS). We believe the JCHESS will provide the best plan for the future of San Francisco's Japantown.

San Francisco's Japantown has survived both the 1942 Federal government's World War II Internment of Japanese Americans to concentration camps, and the San Francisco Redevelopment's eviction of Japanese Americans from their homes and businesses from Japantown in the 1960's. The present Japantown is a vibrant, thriving community, but a fragile one that can be threatened with gentrification.

The recent plan to demolish the Japan Center and Garage would have greatly diminished or even converted Japantown to an International town. There are only three Japantowns in the entire United States. The JCHESS will help preserve the Japanese and Japanese American culture and heritage for future generations. The San Francisco Japantown serves as the Japantown for the entire Bay Area.

We, at the Konko Church of San Francisco, strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors, Historic Preservation Commission, and the Planning Department to endorse the JCHESS.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

2 smooth

Rev. Joanne Tolosa, Konko Church of San Francisco Head Minister, The Board of Directors & Congregation



دِب

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

Bos-11

C, VRAU



September 6, 2013 ·

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors c/o Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The African American Art & Culture Complex (AAACC) is a non-profit in the Japantown area of San Francisco.

AFRICAN AMERICAN

&

M

О

CIIIT

URE

Х

F

The AAACC has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

The AAACC recommends the commission's and Board of Supervisors' approval of the JCHESS endorsement resolutions.

We sincerely appreciate your continued support and encouragement for the future of Japantown.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly Hayes

Executive Director

762 FULTON STREET, SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 Phone 415.922.2049 Fax 415:922.5130 WWW.AAACC.ORG

Fle \$130445

# Bob-11 UDB URAD

September 9, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission c/o Steve Wertheim SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners,

Good morning. My name is Greg Viloria, a San Francisco born resident and a Community Aide for the Japantown Task Force, Inc.

My family grew up in San Francisco and has used Japantown as a source of Japanese foods and goods for many years and is concerned about the longevity of Japantown.

I have been a part of the community outreach of the JCHESS and have personally presented our JCHESS at numerous community groups. We have painstakingly recorded all the comments and posted them to the Japantown Planning Department website and addressed each one with existing tools in the JCHESS. All group's comments have been positive and supportive.

As all of you know, balancing cultural heritage preservation and economic sustainability is complicated. I believe the elements in the JCHESS does this.

Thank you to the Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission and the Planning Department for their continued support and encouragement.

I, therefore, recommend the commission to approve the endorsement resolutions.

Respectfully,

Greg Ø. Viloria 3758 Sacramento St. San Francisco, CA 94118

2913 SEP	RECEIVED SAN FRAMOISCO
27	
မှ ကို	

September 9, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission c/o Steve Wertheim SF Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR SAN FRANCISCO 2013 SEP 27 FM 3: 59

File # 13:245 13:35-11 Col3 Col3

Dear Commissioners,

Nakayoshi Young Professionals is a program operating under the Japanese American Citizen League's Northern California Western Nevada Pacific District. Nakayoshi is an organization of young adults from all backgrounds with the purpose of promoting involvement in the Japanese American and Asian Pacific Islander Communities in the Bay Area, to address social justice issues, and to engage in social activities, career development and philanthropy.

On behalf of Nakayoshi I am pleased to offer support for the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS).

We have been closely monitoring the development of the JCHESS formerly the Japantown Better Neighborhood Plan.

Nakayoshi particularly supports the vision and goals of JCHESS and the endorsement of JCHESS as City Policy.

Thank you to the Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission and the Planning Department for their continued support and encouragement.

Nakayoshi, therefore, recommends the commission to approve the endorsement resolutions.

Respectfully,

Mont Z

Atsushi Miyamoto Chair Nakayoshi Young Professionals

file Elsonus

ల్లు గా 1305-11 COB NRAC



September 10, 2013

Historic Preservation Commission San Francisco Planning Commission Board of Supervisors Attn: Steve Wertheim San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Dear Commissioners and Supervisors,

The Parent Teacher Community Council – Japanese Bilingual Bicultural Program at Rosa Parks Elementary is a non-profit community-based association that is a longstanding member of the San Francisco Japantown community.

The PTCC-JBBP has followed the development of the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy ("JCHESS") and has hosted a presentation by the planning Organizing Committee to update the board on the development and progress of the planning effort. We support the JCHESS's vision and goals. Our program provides young San Franciscans a complete language and cultural education within an active vital neighborhood. The long-term success of this quality education depends on the strength of the Japantown community for both cultural and financial support.

We urge that the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and Historical Preservation Commission endorse JCHESS's vision and adopt its recommendations as City Policy.

### Respectfully submitted,

Board of Directors PTCC-JBBP at Rosa Parks Elementary

Susie Kagami Ed Castellanos Kristy Topham Peter Tobias Kristen Hata Lynn Muscat Raymond Lum Naomi Nishioka Aileen ichikawa Taeko Morioka Augle Phillips Jade Nelson Glynis Nakahara Deborah Hamilton From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130843: 102 signers: 480 Potrero must have an EIR petition

From: MUNA [mailto:petitions@moveon.org]
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2013 8:27 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 102 signers: 480 Potrero must have an EIR petition

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I started a petition to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, the petition has 102 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here: http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131013-OVpRFW

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=988977&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271

Thank you.

--MUNA

If you have any other questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

<u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> 3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586.

MUNA [petitions@moveon.org] Friday, September 27, 2013 4:49 AM Board of Supervisors 61 signers: 480 Potrero must have an EIR petition

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I started a petition to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, the petition has 61 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here: http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131011-Wx2jN5

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987544&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Thank you.

--MUNA

If you have any other questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb 3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586.

Stephanie Fung [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:12 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 56th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 56 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

All developments in a metropolitan area need an EIR to ensure any changes do not gravely alter the existing community. Preserve the character of Potrero Avenue. Conduct an EIR!

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987448&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Stephanie Fung San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Roberto Bonilla sr. [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:41 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 55th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 55 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

serpentine contains asbestos and there are several other issues that need careful analysis

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987438&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Roberto Bonilla sr. san francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Julie Lewis [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:15 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 52nd signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 52 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

480 Potrero must have an EIR.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987417&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Julie Lewis San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> <u>3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Erika Ehmsen [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:21 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 47th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 48 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

We care about the Verdi Club, the property next door to this proposed development. Please take the appropriate step of conducting an EIR to make sure that the Verdi Club--and those who use it--won't be adversely impacted by this proposed construction. Thank you.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987376&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Erika Ehmsen San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Karyn Campbell [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:03 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 46th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 46 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

I think the Historic preservation board needs to be contacted for the sake of the Verdi club.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987370&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Karyn Campbell San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Hajdeja Ehline [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:58 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 45th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 45 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

I'm against over building in our neighborhood.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987368&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271

Hajdeja Ehline San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Joyce M Lavey [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:30 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 34th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 34 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

There needs to be an environmental review of this project and its potential effects on neighborhood residents.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987358&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Joyce M Lavey San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Warren Huegel [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:26 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 31st signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 33 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

Have some respect for our fair city and it's residents!

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987355&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Warren Huegel San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> <u>3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

Zrants [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:06 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 19th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 19 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

Too many details were ignored in the Neg Dec, including shadows on Franklin Park and open space areas, protection for the Verdi Club, traffic issues on a major arterial street, and lack of a proper soil analysis based on the current plan. We need an EIR on the 480 Potrero project.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987284&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271

Zrants San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> <u>3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>. From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130843: I'm the 15th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

From: James Poulos [mailto:petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 15th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 15 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

I believe that a full and just environmental report is fully necessary before this project is allowed to go forward.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987222&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

James Poulos San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

 $\label{eq:http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb\\ 3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=51586.$ 

From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130843: I'm the 14th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

From: Kristen Black [mailto:petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 14th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 14 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

This project does NOT fit the character of the charming Victorian quiet neighborhood. In addition, there are NOT enough parking spots available!!!

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987192&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271

Kristen Black San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

 $\label{eq:http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb\\ \underline{3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--\&petition_id=51586}.$ 

From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130843: I'm the 12th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

From: Olga Kist [mailto:petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 12th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 13 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

### The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

I object to the height of the building which makes it alien to the character of the neighborhood. The proposed building is six stories with a rooftop with elevator shafts intended by virtue of an exception to the code to the open space. That makes it almost 7 stories. It will be one block south of 17th Street on Potrero. The next 6 story building is the SF General Hospital at 22nd Street and none to the North to the Bay; or to downtown for 1/4 miles. The shadow cast by the building will reach as far as the middle of the back yards between Potrero and Utah Street residents. I am one of those residents. The density is so disproportionate to the space that 41% of the units have no exposure to natural light by way of windows. This was another exception granted to the developer. The previous industrial building it is replacing was two stories with a penthouse that enclosed the single elevator. Though the zoning was for a taller building, the previous building was no more than 3 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> stories. Before the building was demolished there was a geotechnical report done in 2004. That is the report the developer submitted and was accepted by the planning department. One of the previous businesses on the site cut acrylic plastics and used various solvents. Yet, no Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was required. We on the other side of Potrero Ave (Potrero Hill) are in the direct path of the West winds when the fog comes in and they will be digging into Serpentine Rock that has not been properly sampled since there was a building over the whole the site when the only single sample was taken. Yet no EIR was required which was the determination by the SF planning department and the SF planning commissioners. We are requesting an EIR. As a 28-year

resident and witness to the whole process, I felt that it was not transparent and not fair from the way the EN plan was adopted to this so radical project being proposed and accepted. It so radically undermines every quality of life issue San Franciscans have fought for. As a witness to the whole process, I felt that it was not transparent and not fair since it was so radical and so radically undermining every quality of life issue San Franciscans stand for. Some San Franciscans believe that limiting cars is good idea which is the goal of the Eastern Neighborhood (EN) plan but how can it be reconciled with housing for families. Our community is for families. How can these dictums be reconciled with freedom of choice and the recent innovations in the auto industry in the last 10 years since the E N plan was adopted? Please sigh our petition.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987177&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Olga Kist San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> 3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586.

From: To: Subject: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130843)I'm the 3rd signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

From: Rena B Meyer [mailto:petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 3rd signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

Height, view and shadows on our building across the street will negatively affect our property value, and of course we're concerned about parking and health impacts!

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987157&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271

Rena B Meyer San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

<u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb</u> 3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586.

Kim [petitions-noreply@moveon.org] Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:25 PM Board of Supervisors I'm the 7th signer: "480 Potrero must have an EIR"

File 130843

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>480 Potrero must have an EIR</u>. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/target\_talkback.html?tt=tt-51586-custom-28271-20131010-2Ngj8v</u>

The petition states:

"Why Does 480 Potrero Project Need an EIR? The Mitigated Neg Dec created by the planning department is not complete and to satisfy CEQA, the project needs: 1) Mitigation to protect the Verdi Club, a historic resource, 2) A full analysis of the environmental effects of digging down 16 feet in the soil containing serpentine and industrial history, 3) Full analysis of the traffic and parking conditions, 4) Full analysis of the shadow effects on parks and public ways, 5) An evaluation of the aesthetics of a building that does not fit the character of the neighborhood. Without improvements to the infrastructure and transportation this building contributes to a reduction in the quality of life for old and new residents in the neighborhood. "

My additional comments are:

I am against the construction of tall apt buildings in this area as it would negatively impact parking, property value, not to mention traffic congestion.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver\_pdf.html?job\_id=987158&target\_type=custom&target\_id=28271</u>

Kim San FRancisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email <u>petitions@moveon.org</u>. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: <u>http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery\_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition\_id=51586</u>.

From: To: Subject:

Board of Supervisors **BOS-Supervisors** A Volunteer Worker At the Folsom Street Annual Festival

# From: Ivan E Pratt [mailto:prattbuddhahood@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:22 AM

To: Becky Bond, CREDO Action; Board of Supervisors; bcoa; David Baker; bill; dmitri@alrp.org; jimm@alrp.org; Nick Caskey; christopher.nguyen; chiman lee; chico.garza; NoReply, Planning; VOICES for REASON; shin mochizuki; Edward Evans; ecomerritt; feedback@rttv.ru; fraas@rff.org; goldoor5; Gold's Gym; Gavin Newsom; Michael Hann; Michael Pacheco III; harrington@rff.org; Heald College; info; Yun Lin Temple; Kim, Jane; Jennifer Schuster; queerancestorsproject.org; Rabbi Dr Katherine Hans Von Rotes Schild Zitler; Ellen Lent; lutter@rff.org; Montantes, Richard; outreach; pchen@tndc.org; pelosi; reiko; rfreeman; sf district6; stevenandrew; tony; SFUAA@yahoogroups.com; yourtakemytake@gmail.com

Subject: A Volunteer Worker At the Folsom Street Annual Festival

# COMMUNITY VOLUNTEER WORKERS SHOULD ALSO BE CELEBRATED September 29 2013

Recently, on September 29 2013, at the Folsom Street Festival, I was a volunteer worker, being a worker in gathering and monitoring recyclable items discarded during the Folsom Street Festival Celebrations. This was an important activity in that it was in keeping with Mayor Chiman Lee of San Francisco to create minimum waste by the years 2016. And certainly this has everything to do with the social psychology in sustainable systems evironmental ecology as an immediate community advocation, that all individuals in the community need to participate in their daily consumption of product commoditys produced by corporate America.

How many of us in the community have been to volunteer activities where it was said, 'that this particular activity of participation would not be a success if it where not for the community volunteers, who are not given a paycheck or salary for their hard and diligent work in support of community needs'. However, at the 'Folsom Street Festival', the volunteers where given really shabby lunch, food, and beverage support – we volunteers where given sunscreen, and water, but the food menu was really bad, it consisted of two little greasy sandwiches of mystery meat that where the size of two cookies in a package. Poorly prepared vegetables, that was not even a good salad preparation, and these foods where rationed out – two little cookie shaped greasy sandwiches of mystery meat per-person – of something that actually made me sick when I finally committed to my shift schedule of volunteer work at the 'Folsom Street Festival on September 29, 2013', for six hours consistent work, non stop.

In my opinion, and I'm sure a great deal of volunteer workers in San Francisco would agree with me, that volunteer workers supporting 'The San Francisco City and County' is in and of itself a celebration that is not celebrated - not when the 'Folsom Street Festival' would feed it's volunteer workers such poor food faire and call it lunch and refreshments for these volunteers very hard work at the 'Folsom Street Festival' that razes money for local community support social programs, that are dependent on many of the moneys generated by activities like the 'Folsom Street Festival on September 29, 2013 and annually for thirty years.

Like I said, 'The Folsom Street Festival' actually served it's volunteer workers two greasy sandwiches of mystery meat that they rationed out (two per person), lots of water, poorly prepared vegetables and fruit, and lots of candy. I'm really surprised at the propriety of the 'Folsom Street Festival' treating their volunteer workers in such a shabby manner, when the work at the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' is indeed very hard work, that a normal person would want to be paid for doing – as a matter of fact, there should have been a

festival social gathering for the volunteer workers who remained consistent in support of the 'Folsom Street Festival' considering that it's a very hard activity in control of almost half a million people who participate in attendance at the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' – finese and gratuity in appreciation should be an annual festival of volunteer workers in the City and County of San Francisco, who do work very hard and are paid absolutely nothing for their hard work – which during these hard work festival like the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' could at least be given a decent meal that is not rationed out to these volunteer workers like dogs living a kennel.

As a matter of fact, I, Ivan Edgar Pratt, was approached by many of the volunteer workers on my team, The Green Team at the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' who where responsible for recycling activity – gave me their tools for collecting refuse disposed of on Folsom Street, asking me if I would return these instruments, that they could not deal with the heavy crowds of the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival'. I obliged them, because all you had to do is look in their faces, and you realized that they where highly discouraged as volunteer workers. And this when you consider how poorly the administrators of the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' provided a very poor lunch for its volunteer workers which they had a nerve to ration out. Two greasy sandwiches the size of small cookies of mystery meat, water & some soda, and poorly prepared fruits & vegetables – this certainly exhibited what the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival Coordinators' thought of their volunteer workers, which couldn't have been rated very highly when they did not feed their volunteer workers very well – who asked for no money for their hard work.

I would have, if the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' was short of funds, provided my own personal food preparations as a volunteer worker, had I not been told by the constituency I did volunteer work for, 'that food would be provided at the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' for volunteer workers. I could have packed a healthy lunch provided at my own cost from 'Safeway Foods' of a healthy submarine sandwich (which 'Safeway' makes wonderful sandwiches' & salad preparations - I could have packed a thermus full of my own beverage of soy protein smoothie) and as a volunteer worker I still would have brought my own food, and supported 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' in support and financing community social programs, in support of the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival' endeavor to provide donated moneys to the social programs in the City and County of San Francisco, that the Folsom Street Faire generates in finances.

I am a little shocked at the narrow insight of the coordinators of the 'Folsom Street Annual Festival', in particular since most of the administrators of this charity festival to raze money for local community social programs are gay people – who need truly to consider that their volunteer workers are also very important and also should be celebrated by at least a healthy and well prepared meal for volunteer workers at the 'Annual Folsom Street Festival'.

A Volunteer Worker Representative At The Folsom Street Annual Festival for the AIDS Legal Referal Panel, IVAN EDGAR PRATT Email: <u>prattbuddhahood@gmail.com</u> September 29, 2013 NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO http://www.sgi-usa.org

BOS 11 Cpage 

THE PUBLIC LIBRARY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOUNDED A.D. NUCCCLXXVIII ENECTED A.D. NUCCCCXVI MAY THIS STRUCTURE THRONED ON IMPERISHABLE BOOKS BE MAINTAINED AND CHERISHED FROM GENERATION TO GENERATION FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND DELIGHT OF MANKIND



September 30, 2013

James Chaffee 63 Stoneybrook Avenue San Francisco, CA 94112

> 00 00

Member, Board of Supervisors City Hall San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Conflict of Interest -- The Library Raises Money for the Friends

Dear Supervisor:

The mechanism by which public assets are converted to private benefit is a continuing scandal in every sector of our society. It is commonly recognized that income inequality is a growing problem that threatens the social fabric and community values of our society. One of the ways that problem manifests itself is that public assets themselves are being converted to private benefit.

The principle of democracy is that a combination of transparency of governance and the power to vote will produce accountability for the misuse of public assets. If the San Francisco Public Library is considered an example, this principle is a complete failure.

On September 19, 2013, the California Fair Political Practices Commission accepted the Stipulation of City Librarian Luis Herrera (Exhibit A) based on his admission of guilt for failure to report gifts for three years on the required forms, submitted under penalty of perjury, for a \$200 fine for each year.

There is a common temptation to regard this as a technical violation and indeed the minuscule fine would seem to indicate that it is a technical violation. What must not be forgotten is that gift regulations are an integral part of conflict of interest laws. Conflict of interest is the principle that a public officer is obligated by virtue of his office to discharge his responsibility with integrity and fidelity primarily for the benefit of the public. (As you can imagine, that is out of a lawbook.) Board of Supervisors September 30, 2013 Page 2

If we find that gifts are not reported we look first at whether the public duty is being discharged with fidelity. What we find is alarming. In 1999, the Library Foundation and the Friends of the Library merged into an entity formally named the Friends and Foundation of the San Francisco Public Library and that loosely refers to itself as "The Friends." The new organization declined to renew its Memorandum of Understanding with the City because the renewal would require financial disclosures.

Finally, at least partially because some people thought that the complaints of library activists regarding the complete lack of oversight made some sense, the Friends signed an agreement in 2005, that was called "The Framework." That agreement was signed with the City Librarian based on the assumption that the City Librarian was representing the public interest. That Framework included in its provisions, "Friends will provide the Library or its designee with quarterly reports of its cash, pledges and other sources of funding." After extensive obfuscation the Library has admitted in response to records requests from Mr. Ray Hartz that no such quarterly reports exist or were ever produced.

Now if we compare the annual reports required to be submitted to the California Attorney General (Exhibit B) during the last 12 years with the reporting of all "any money, or any goods or services worth more than one hundred dollars in aggregate, for the purpose of carrying out or assisting any City function" required under SF Admin. Code §67.29-6 (Exhibit C) what do we find? The Friends' total expenditures during those twelve years from 2000 to 2012 was \$53 Million but the money expended for the library was barely \$5.1 Million. I have attached my table of the figures from those publicly available documents (Exhibit D).

Now the question is, does it look a little less technical if the City Librarian swears under penalty of perjury on his gift disclosure "None - No reportable interests on any schedule"? Does it look a little less technical that each year the City Librarian declared under penalty of perjury that he completed both a Sunshine Training and an Ethics Training that included education on gift reporting requirements?

What do we conclude from this? Most people would come to the conclusion that the City Librarian assumed that the unreported gifts would never be discovered. Most people would assume that they were unreported because it would place his well known activities to endorse and support the Friends in a different light. Most people would also assume the unreported gifts to the City Librarian facilitate the minimal benefit that the public receives from the Library's "public-private partnership" with the Friends. In any city but San Francisco, people would assume that these facts call for a public investigation.

Very truly yours,

James Chaffee cc: Interested citizens & media

# FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER Statement of Economic Interest Violations (Streamlined Program)

Complainant, the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondent(s) hereby agree that this stipulation will be presented to the Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, as a final disposition of the reporting violation(s) described herein.

FPPC CA	SE NO.	13/255
---------	--------	--------

**RESPONDENT:** Luis Herrera, City Librarian, San Francisco Public Library

VIOLATION: Government Code section 87300 – Failure to report gifts on annual Statements of Economic Interests (SEI's)

Count	Type of Statement	Unreported Interest
1	Annual SEI for calendar year 2009	Gifts from Friends of the San Francisco Public Library
2	Annual SEI for calendar year 2010	Gifts from Friends of the San Francisco Public Library
3	Annual SEI for calendar year 2011	Gifts from Friends of the San Francisco Public Library

# MONETARY PENALTY: \$600 NUMBER OF COUNTS: 3

#### STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT(S):

I acknowledge that the violation(s) of the Political Reform Act described above have occurred and voluntarily request that the Fair Political Practices Commission resolve this matter by imposition of the monetary penalty specified above. I acknowledge receipt of the *Statement of Respondent's Rights* and voluntarily waive any and all procedural rights to contest this matter in an administrative hearing. All outstanding reports, in connection with these violations, have now been filed. I have attached a **cashier's check or money order** made payable to the General Fund of the State of California in the amount of the penalty described above.

Dated: X

Printed Name:

#### STATEMENT BY ENFORCEMENT CHIEF:

I have reviewed the above stipulation and recommend its approval.

Dated:

GARY S. WINUK, ENFORCEMENT CHIEF

\_\_\_\_

# **ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:**

The foregoing stipulation has been adopted by a majority vote of the Fair Political Practices Commission as its final decision and order and is effective upon execution below by the Chair.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

ANN RAVEL, CHAIR

Beginning of Current Year       End of Year         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       10,290,138.       10,132,555.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,355,687.       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8,934,451.       8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block	A For the B Check if applicab	Interseasury       ► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to a copy of the c	atisfy state	D Employer identific	Inspection						
A For the 2011 calendary year, or tax year beginning       JUL 1, 2011       and ending       JUN 30, 2012         B Constation       Friend3 and Foundation of the San       Friend3 and Foundation of the San         Privated       Friend3 and Foundation of the San       Demployer identification number         June       To Van Ness Avenue       94-6085452         June       City or town, state or country, and 2P + 4       G cross respect       9,585,273.         June       San Francisco, CA 94102       H(s) is this group return for entities?       Yes X No         San Francisco, CA 94102       H(s) is this group return for entities?       Yes X No         San Francisco, CA 94102       H(s) is this group return for entities?       Yes X No         Itaxeacconstaturi. XI Sol(K)       Joi(c) I        (instrino)       9447(a)(1) or is 27       H(b) for alfillates include?       Yes X No         Yes       Nome of address of pricipal of theory subsiston or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation 1961 M Sills or ling adminie; CA         Part II Summary       I Briefy describe the organization ideocinitude is operations or disposed or more than 23% of its net assets.       28         A Number of individuals employed in calendary year 2011 (Part V, line 12)       1       21       27         State of individuals employed in calendary year 2011 (Part V, line 22)       6       38 <td>A For the B Check if applicab Chang Chang Chang Initial Termi ated Amen Termi Appli Chang Initial Termi Atom Permi</td> <td>2011 calendar year, or tax year beginning       JUL 1, 2011 an         C Name of organization       Friends and Foundation of the San         Francisco Public Library       Doing Business As         Number and street (or P.0. box if mail is not delivered to street address)       710 Van Ness Avenue         City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4</td> <td>d ending</td> <td>D Employer identific</td> <td></td>	A For the B Check if applicab Chang Chang Chang Initial Termi ated Amen Termi Appli Chang Initial Termi Atom Permi	2011 calendar year, or tax year beginning       JUL 1, 2011 an         C Name of organization       Friends and Foundation of the San         Francisco Public Library       Doing Business As         Number and street (or P.0. box if mail is not delivered to street address)       710 Van Ness Avenue         City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	d ending	D Employer identific							
B       Overset explosion       C Nome of organization       D Employer identification number         Prancisco Public Library       94-6085452         Universe       Doing Business As       E Telephone number         Prancisco Public Library       94-6085452         Universe       Sam Francisco Public Library       94-6085452         Prancisco Public Scott Staub       RoomAulte       E Telephone number         Prancisco City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4       G consenses as       9,585,273.         Sam Francisco C, A 94102       Hall Is this a group return       for all affiliates full decide?       Yee X No         I Bredy decrebe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation on more P       Yee (X) No       Yee (X) No         I Bredy decrebe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation of San       Francisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         I Bredy decrebe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation of San       9 (A San	B Check if applicab Addre chang Chang Chang Initial return Applik Argent Applik Iton pendi	C Name of organization Friends and Foundation of the San Francisco Public Library Doing Business As Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) 710 Van Ness Avenue City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	Room/suite	94-6	cation number						
Doing Business As       Doing Business As       Daing Business       Daing Business As       Dain	Chang Initial Termi Ated Amen return Catego Amen Appli tion pendi	Number and street (or P.0. box if mail is not delivered to street address)           710         Van         Ness         Avenue           Idd         City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	Room/suite	·							
Number and street (of P.D. box if mails ind delivered is street address)       proofficults	Termi ated Amen return Control Applie tion pendi	City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	Room/suite		Doing Business As 54-0003432						
City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4       G Gooseneepin 5       9,585,273.         Sam Francisco, CA 94102       High sthis a group return for diffuences of principal officer-Soctt Staub       High sthis a group return for diffuences of principal officer-Soctt Staub         I Break as C above       I (Insert no.)       4447(a)(1) or (527)       High Are all affiliates included? Yee No if No, attach a list, (see instructions)         I Brefly describe the organization:       Z (Coporation )       Trust       Association )       Other Internation:       1961 M State of legal dominel: CA         Part I] Summary       I Brefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities:       Friancisco Fublic Libraries of San         I Check this box II if the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       I accessed of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       I accessed of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       I accessed of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       I accessed of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)         I Ontibutions and grants (Part VIII, the accessary)       I contributions and grants (Part VIII, the 2a)       I accessed of the accessed of the governing body (Part V, line 2a)       I accessed of the	Amen Amen return Applie tion pendi	City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	•	Number and street (of P.O. Dox if mail is not delivered to street address)   Room/suite   E Telephone number							
San Francisco, CA 94102       H(s) is this a group rotum for diffustor?         Private       F Name and address of principal officer:Scott Staub       for diffustor?       Yes X No         I Texexempt status: X1501(0/3) 501(0) ★ (insetno.) 4947(a)(1) or	Applie tion pendi										
penders       F Name and address of principal officer:SCOTT Staub       for affiliates?       ves       No         Salle as C above       No       Sale	pendi	'I San Francisco. CA 94102									
Same as C above       Htb) Are al affiliates included? \ves \nc         1 Taxexempt status; \vest 501(c)(3) \sigma(instruct) \vest 4947(a)(1) or \vest 100, "attach a list. (see instructions) Htb; record scenario number \vest 100, "attach a list. (see instructions) to organization; \vest 1 clore scenario number \vest 100, "attach a list. (see instructions) to organization; \vest 1 clore scenario number \vest 100, "attach a list. (see instructions) to organization; \vest 1 clore scenario number \vest 100, "attach a list. (see instructions) is a number of vicing members of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)         1 Birlify describe the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         2 Check this box \vest 11 the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3 Number of vicing members of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       4         2 A transfer of independent voting members of the governing body (Part V, line 2a)       5         3 Total number of vicing members of the governing body (Part V, line 2a)       6         4 Total number of vicing members of the governing body (Part V, line 2a)       6         5 Total number of vicing remover of more from Form 990-T, line 34.       76         6 Total number of vicing remover from Form 990-T, line 34.       Prior Yeer         9 Prior Yeer       Current Yeer         9 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, column (A), line 3.4, and 7c)       1, 216, 867.       1, 394, 516.         10 Investement incorme (Part VII, column (A), lines 3.4, and				<b>-</b> · · · ·							
J Website: ▶ WWW friendssfpl.org       H(c) Group exemption number ▶         K Form of organization: [X] Corporation ] Trust ] Association ] Other ▶       L Year of formation: 1951 [M State of legal domicile; CA         Part I] Summary       1 Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation of San         Prancisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         2 Check this box ▶ ] if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3 Number of volumers of the governing body (Part V, line 1a)       4         4 Number of independent volumers estate if necessary)       6         5 Total number of volunters (estimate if necessary)       6         7 Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12       7a         0 Number of individuals employed in calendar year 2011 (Part V, line 2a)       5         8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, ionum (A), lines 3.4, and 7c)       7a         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3.4, and 7c)       1.216, 867.1.394, 516	I Tak av	same as C above		H(b) Are all affiliates inc	luded? Yes No						
K form of organization:       X Corporation       Trust       Association       Other       L Year of formation:       1 State of legal domicile:       CA         Part II       Summary       I Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities:       Friends and Foundation of San         Francisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         2 Check this box >       If the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3 Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)       3       28         4 Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)       3       28         5 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)       6       906       3         6 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)       7a       0.       7a       0.         7 a Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)       80,0266       0.       0.       0.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, ine 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.       0.       0.         1 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, cc, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867       1,394,516.       0.         12 Total revenue add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VII, column (A), lines 1.0)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.       0.       0. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>) or 🛄 527</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			) or 🛄 527								
Part I Summary         1       Briefy describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation of San         Francisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         2       Check this box ▶ □ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3       Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b)       4         5       Total number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 2a)       5         6       Total number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 2a)       5         6       Total number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 2a)       5         7       Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2011 (Part V, line 2a)       6         6       Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2011 (Part V, line 2a)       6         7       Total unrelated business taxable income from Form 9907. Jine 34.       7         9       Prior Year       Current Year         2       A 35, 888.       3, 368, 186.         9       Prior Year       80, 0.266.       0.         10       investiment income (Part VIII, incourn (A), lines 3.4, and 7d)       1, 216, 867.       1, 334, 516.         12       Total revenue. Part VIII, colu											
Image: Binefity describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: Friends and Foundation of San         Francisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         2 Check this box ▶if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3 Number of volting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)       3         4 Number of volunteers (estimate in necessary)       5         5 Total number of volunteers (estimate in necessary)       6         7 a Total number of volunteers (estimate in necessary)       7a         7 a Total number of volunteers (estimate in necessary)       7a         8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, column (C), line 12       7a         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578, 269, 79, 986.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1, 216, 867.       1, 334, 516.         12 Total revenue: add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2, 714, 744.       1, 509, 853.         16 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 5:40, 364.       0.       0.       0.         15 Salarles, other compensation, employes benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5:10)       2, 391, 973.       1, 438, 453.       6         16 Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.       0.       0.			L Year	of formation: 1961 N	State of legal domicile: CA						
Francisco Public Library supports the free public libraries of San         2       Check this box ▶ ☐ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.         3       Number of volungements of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)       3       28         4       Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b)       4       28         5       Total number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 2a)       5       38         6       Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2011 (Part V, line 2a)       6       9005         7       a Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)       6       9005         7       a Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)       6       9005         7       a Total number of negoverning body (Part VI, line 2a)       5       38         9       Program service revenue (rom Part VIII, column (C), line 34       7b       0         9       Program service revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7c)       17       278, 269       79, 986.         11       Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 6c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1, 216, 867.       1, 394, 516.         12       Total revenue add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VII, column (A), lines 1-10)       2, 391, 973.       1, 905,	La parte		anda ar	d Foundation	of San						
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5	2 '	Francisco Public Library supports the fi	ree pul	olic librari	es of San						
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5											
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5	8 3										
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5	<u>ت</u> 4										
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5	S 5										
b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34       (7b)       0.         B Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 1:9)       0.       0.       0.       0.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       10,290.       4.854,160.         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.5	₩ E										
B       Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       Prior Year       Current Year         9       Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10       Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11       Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1.3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1.3)       2,714,744.       1,905,853.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5.10)       2,391,973.       1,438,453.         16       Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6,442,690.       4,854,160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       2,111,472.       8eginning of Current Year       End of Year         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       1,355,687.       1,313,948.											
8       Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)       2,435,888.       3,368,186.         9       Program service revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       80,026.       0.         10       Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1.3)       4,311,050.       4,842,688.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1.3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       0.       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.       0.       0.         16       Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.       0.       0.       0.         18       Total fundraising expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       2,131,640.       <11,472.>       2         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       10,290,138.       10,132,555. <td></td> <td>Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34</td> <td><u></u></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34	<u></u>								
9       Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)       80,026.       0.         10       investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)       578,269.       79,986.         11       Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 8d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1,216,867.       1,394,516.         12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), lines 1.3)       4,311,050.       4,842,688.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1.3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a       Professional fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17       Other expenses. Clart IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       2,131,640.       <11,472.		Pantitutions and grants (Dart )(11) line th)									
11       Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1, 216, 867.       1, 394, 516.         12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)       4, 311, 050.       4, 842, 688.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)       2, 714, 744.       1, 509, 854.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), line 5-10)       2, 391, 973.       1, 905, 853.         16a       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549, 344.       0.       0.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)       1, 335, 973.       1, 438, 453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6, 442, 690.       4, 854, 160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2, 131, 640.											
11       Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)       1, 216, 867.       1, 394, 516.         12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)       4, 311, 050.       4, 842, 688.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)       2, 714, 744.       1, 509, 854.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), line 5-10)       2, 391, 973.       1, 905, 853.         16a       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549, 344.       0.       0.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)       1, 335, 973.       1, 438, 453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6, 442, 690.       4, 854, 160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2, 131, 640.		•									
12       Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)       4, 311,050.       4,842,688.         13       Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1:3)       2,714,744.       1,509,854.         14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.       0.         15       Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       0.       0.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 25)       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6,442,690.       4,854,160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       22,131,640.       <11,472.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
14       Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)       0.0.0.         15       Salarles, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       2,391,973.1,905,853.         16a       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.0.0.         b       Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a.11d, 11f.24e)       1,335,973.1,438,453.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6,442,690.4,854,160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       22,131,640.>       <11,472.>         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       1,355,687.1,313,948.       1,313,948.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,355,687.1,313,948.       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20.       RECEIVED       8,934,451.8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block	1			4,311,050.	4,842,688.						
15       Salarles, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)       2,391,973.       1,905,853.         16a       Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.       0.       0.         b       Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       >       549,344.       1,335,973.       1,438,453.         17       Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)       1,335,973.       1,438,453.       6,442,690.       4,854,160.         18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6,442,690.       4,854,160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12        22,131,640.       <11,472.>         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       1,355,687.       1,313,948.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,355,687.       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8,934,451.       8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Norme Canoria Other       Norme Canoria Other       Norme Canoria Other         Under penaitiles of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	13	Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)			1,509,854.						
16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)       0.       0.         b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549, 344.       1, 335, 973.       1, 438, 453.         17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a.11d, 11f.24e)       1, 335, 973.       1, 438, 453.       6, 442, 690.       4, 854, 160.         18 Total expenses. Add lines 13.17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6, 442, 690.       4, 854, 160.       <2, 131, 640>       <11, 472>         19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       <21, 131, 640>       <11, 472>         20 Total assets (Part X, line 16)       10, 290, 138.       10, 132, 555.         21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1, 355, 687.       1, 313, 948.         22 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8, 934, 451.       8, 818, 607.         Part II       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block					0.						
Image: Wight of the sequences of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	ຜູ 15	alarles, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)									
Image: Wight of the sequences of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	5 16a	Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) 0. 0.									
18       Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)       6,442,690.       4,854,160.         19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.>         10       20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       Beginning of Current Year       End of Year         10       200,138.       10,132,555.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8,934,451.       8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Norme Centrate Office       Under penaities of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is		Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)       549,344.         Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), line 114, 114,24a)       1,335,973,1,1,439,453									
19       Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12       <2,131,640.>       <11,472.>         53       Beginning of Current Year       End of Year         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       10,290,138.       10,132,555.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8,934,451.       8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Norme Concrete Office       Under penaities of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is											
Beginning of Current Year       End of Year         20       Total assets (Part X, line 16)       10,290,138.       10,132,555.         21       Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)       1,355,687.       1,313,948.         22       Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20       RECEIVED       8,934,451.       8,818,607.         Part II       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block       Signature Block	19										
Part II Signature Block Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	2 S S		Be	ginning of Current Year	End of Year						
Part II Signature Block Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	<del>ଞ୍ଚି</del> ଲ୍ଲ 20	fotal assets (Part X, line 16)									
Part II Signature Block Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	<b>2</b> 21										
Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is	<u> <sup>2</sup>한 22</u>		WED -	8,934,451.	<u>8,818,607.</u>						
				and to the bast of m	function and bolled it in						
		, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of									
Signature of officer Registry of Date	Sign	Signature of officer Regis	rv of	Date							
Here Bob Daffeh, Controller Charitable Trusts			e Trusts								
Type or print name and title		Type or print name and title									
Print/Type preparer's name Paid Carmen D. Mosley, CPA	Paid	Carmen D. Mosley, CPA			P00475769						
Preparer Firm's name Harrington Group, PAS, LLP Firm's EIN 95-4557617				Firm's EIN 🕨	95-4557617						
Use Only Firm's address 234 East Colorado BlvdV; Suite M150	Use Only		M120		COC) 400 COO4						
Pasadena, CA 91101     Phone no. (626) 403-6801       May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)     X yes				Phone no. (							
May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)			Hone								
		e Schedule O for Organization Mission &		ent Continuat	Exhibit B						

								Log in
Sar	ı Francisco	Public Lib						Search options
Home	Books & Materials	eLibrary	Services	tūds	Teens	Calendar	Libraries	About

Home Hout Library Hoministration Gifts and Constions Fiscal Year 2011-2012

# Gifts/Donor Disclosure Form: Fiscal Year 2011-2012

Gifts and Bonations				<u>F</u> Share	
Fiscal "ear 2000-2001				L	
Fiscal Tear 2001-2002	Davas Massa	Dete	0:#	Malara	
Fiscal "ear 2002-200?	Donor Name	Date	Gift	Value	Financial Interest
Fiscal "ear 200?-2004					literest
Fiscal ∵ear 2004-2005	Friends of	July 2011	Cash	S213 683	None
Fiscal Tear 2005-2008	SFPL	June 2012	Casil	3213 003	NUTE
Fiscal "ear 2008-2007	0112				
Fiscal Trear 2007-2008					
Fiscal `fear 2008-2009	Anonymous	July 2011	Cash	S100	Info Not
Fiscal ``sar 2009-2010					Available
Fiscal Year 2010-2011					
Fiscal Year 2011-2012		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b> .		
Fiscal	Sara Burke	September 2011	Cash	S10D	info Not Available
	Michael Kurihara	October 2011	Cash	S250	None
	KQED	October 2011	Cash	S100	None
	KQED	October 2011	Cash	S400	None
	Heritage	November	Cash	S150	Info Not
	Resident's Council	2011	Guan	5100	Available
	Rotty	December	Cook	6150	into hint
	Betty Hempstead	December 2011	Cash	S150	Info Not Available
	Michael Kurihara	January 2012	Cash	S250	None
	Michael Kurihara	March 2012	Cash	S250	None
	Robert & Eva Bloch	April 2012	Cash	S200	Info Not Available
	Michael Kurihara	June 2012	Cash	S250	None

Friends & Foundation -- 990 Forms

Year	F&F Income	F&F Expense	Library Donation	Director	Top Seven Employees
00-01	\$2,914,532.00	\$3,081,462.00	\$491,968.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$222,000.00
01-02	\$3,097,785.00	\$2,595,704.00	\$278,928.00	\$ 204,278.00	\$511,209.00
02-03	\$3,274,385.00	\$2,853,252.00	\$120,390.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$560,066.00
03-04	\$3,437,032.00	\$2,713,162.00	\$90,748.00	\$ 162,314.00	\$605,455.00
04-05	\$2,956,935.00	\$3,108,695.00	\$182,867.00	\$ 138,821.00	\$633,827.00
05-06	\$3,578,252.00	\$3,854,069.00	\$225,914.00	\$ 167,241.00	\$710,663.00
06-07	\$4,052,502.00	\$5,191,841.00	\$929,664.00	\$ 178,839.00	\$739,859.00
07-08	\$5,001,719.00	\$6,364,142.00	\$498,121.00	\$ 179,928.00	\$889,738.00
08-09	\$3,391,558.00	\$5,738,276.00	\$373,332.00	\$ 212,163.00	\$653,343.00*
09-10	\$4,022,792.00	\$6,255,958.00	\$940,819.00	\$ 190,095.00	\$588,939.00*
10-11	\$4,311,050.00	\$6,422,690.00	\$777,020.00	\$ 159,324.00	\$629,704.00**
11-12	\$4,842,688.00	\$4,854,160.00	\$213,683.00	\$ 210,102.00	\$632,565.00*
Total	\$44,881,230.00	\$53,033,411.00	\$5,123,454.00	\$ 2,053,105.00	\$7,377,368.00
Average	\$3,740,102.50	\$4,419,450.92	\$426,954.50		

\*Top four \*\*Top five

Exhibit D

1	
From:	Otellini, Patrick [patrick.otellini@sfgov.org]
Sent:	Monday, September 30, 2013 10:24 AM
То:	BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Supervisors
Subject:	Soft Story Retrofit Finacing Workshop - October 23, 2013

# SOFT STORY FINANCE WORKSHOP

Co-hosted with the San Francisco Apartment Association Fort Mason Center, Building A Golden Gate Room, October 23, 2013, 6 PM to 8 PM

Good morning Supervisors,

Thank you again for supporting the Soft Story Retrofit Program. As some of you may know, the Earthquake Safety Implementation Program will be hosting a financing workshop for members of the public to help them secure funding for retrofitting their buildings. We are expecting a crowd of around one thousand property owners at this event and this will be a chance for the public to meet with our partnering private banks and learn more about the exciting new changes to the City's Green Finance Program.

We would appreciate your support in helping us get the word out for this event. We would also like to extend this invitation to all the Supervisors and staff to attend and say a few words to the crowd if you wish.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions. For more information please also visit www.sfcapss.org/softstory

1

Thank you,

#### **Patrick Otellini**

Director of Earthquake Safety Earthquake Safety Implementation Program Office of the City Administrator

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 12A San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-5404 www.sfcapss.org
From:Board of SupervisorsTo:BOS-Supervisors; Miller, AlisaSubject:File 120974: Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

From: Thor Hibbeler [mailto:thorhibbeler@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 3:40 PM
To: Lee, Mayor; Board of Supervisors; Breed, London; Farrell, Mark; Mar, Eric (BOS); ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; mtaboard@sfmta.com; maria.lombardo@sfcta.org; tilly.chang@sfcta.org; info@mtc.ca.gov
Cc: info@savemasonic.com
Subject: Masonic Avenue Cycle Track Project

Mayor Ed Leemayoredwinlee@sfgov.org>Board of Supervisorsboard.of.supervisors@sfgov.orgSupervisor London Breed (District 5)london.breed@sfgov.orgSupervisor Mark Farrell (District 2)mark.farrell@sfgov.orgSupervisor Eric Mar (District 1)eric.l.mar@sfgov.orgEd Reiskin, MTA Director of Transportationed.reiskin@sfmta.comMTA Boardmtaboard@sfmta.comMaria Lombardo, Interim Executive Director, SFCTAmaria.lombardo@sfcta.orgTilly Chang, Deputy Director of Planning, SFCTAtilly.chang@sfcta.orgMetropolitan Transportation Commissioninfo@mtc.ca.gov

cc: info@savemasonic.com

Dear Mayor Lee, Supervisors, MTA Board members, Mr. Reiskin, MTC, :

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the Masonic Avenue cycle track project. This project will increase congestion on Masonic, especially during rush hour and especially with the increased traffic that will be generated by the new Target store, result in the loss of parking spaces for nearly 3/4 of a mile, increase pollution in the area, jeopardize public safety, and create a great hardship for neighborhood residents, especially those who live on or near Masonic. Also, San Francisco cannot afford to spend \$18 million on this project.

32,000 automobiles use Masonic daily, but only a small amount of cyclists. Rather than encourage cyclists to use one of the busiest north-south thoroughfares in San Francisco, they should be encouraged to use the route along nearby Baker Street, a safer route with far fewer motor vehicles.

Masonic can be improved by planting new trees, improving lighting and adding bus shelters, with much less hardship to the neighborhood and cost than the cycle track project.

I am also concerned about the way the cycle track project was developed and approved. I live in the area but did not receive notice that this project was being considered, nor have I received notice of any meetings about it, including the MTA Board meeting at which it was approved.

Please stop this project ASAP, go back to the drawing board and consider a much smaller project to improve Masonic that does not involve the loss of parking spaces, the reduction of travel lanes and the outlay of \$18 million.

Thank you for considering this e-mail.

Sincerely,

Thor Hibbeler

1908 Fell

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 120974: agendaitem9(a)-OBAG-Masonic Avenue complete streets-MTG 9/25/2013

From: Jean Purcelli [mailto:jeanpurcelli@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:35 PM
To: Campos, David; scott.weiner@sfgov.org; mtaboard@sfmta.com; Board of Supervisors; ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; info@mtc.ca.gov
Cc: info@savemasonic.com
Subject: agendaitem9(a)-OBAG-Masonic Avenue complete streets-MTG 9/25/2013

I am a member of the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition and love the greening of San Francisco, the encouragement to get out of our cars and onto our bicycles and use our feet. I live on Twin Peaks Blvd and work on Masonic Avenue. I am 74 years old and I do not ride my bike to work-Masonic Avenue is a main north-south route for cars, it is too congested, drivers too impatient and drive too fast. It is a nightmare. However, the proposed plan for \$18 million is NOT the answer. There are streets where bicyclists just should not be on. There are alternative routes. Masonic is hilly and has a stop light or stop sign at nearly every intersection, you just can't keep up momentum, so it is not worth it to ride on Masonic. I feel sorry for the people who live on Masonic and have to somehow back out of their driveways. It will be a disaster for cyclists, Masonic dwellers, and pedestrians. Think of the accidents and road rage that will ensue. Please, please re-think this ill-advised plan. Masonic can be improved, but not for bicyclists. Bicyclists should use an alternate route.

1

Sincerely,

Thelma J Purcelli

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa File 120974: Opposition to Masonic Avenue project

-----Original Message-----From: ms [mailto:sestina@pacbell.net] Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 6:51 PM To: Lee, Mayor Cc: Breed, London; Board of Supervisors; Farrell, Mark; ed.reiskin@sfmta.com; mtaboard@sfmta.com; maria.lombardo@sfcta.org; tilly.chang@sfcta.org; info@mtc.ca.gov; Mar, Eric (BOS)

Subject: Opposition to Masonic Avenue project

Dear Mayor Lee, Supervisors, MTA Board members, Mr. Reiskin, MTC, :

I'm writing to express my strong opposition to the highly short-sighted Masonic Avenue cycle-track project. I live two blocks from Masonic and use that street nearly every day.

This project will increase congestion on Masonic, especially during rush hour (those extra lanes are a godsend and completely necessary!) and especially with the increased traffic that will be generated by the new Target store (which local residents are already very worried about, with good reason). It will result in the loss of parking spaces for nearly 3/4 of a mile, jeopardize public safety, and create a great hardship for neighborhood residents, especially those who live on or near Masonic. The proposed construction schedule alone will wreak havoc on several neighborhoods and on all of those commuters who use the Geary/Masonic/Fell artery to get to and from their downtown jobs. San Francisco does not need to and cannot afford to spend \$18 million on this project.

I rarely see cyclists on Masonic, which is a steep hill with fast commuter traffic. Rather than encourage cyclists to use one of the busiest north-south thoroughfares in San Francisco, they should be encouraged to use the route along nearby Baker Street, a safer route with far fewer motor vehicles.

I'm also concerned about the way the cycle track project was developed and approved. Although I live two blocks away, I didn't receive notice that this project was being considered, nor have I received notice of any meetings about it, including the MTA Board meeting at which it was approved.

Please stop this project ASAP, go back to the drawing board and consider a much smaller project to improve Masonic that does not involve the loss of parking spaces, the reduction of travel lanes (it's shocking to me that this is even being considered!), the creation of horrible congestion problems during and after construction, and the outlay of \$18 million. I appreciate your attention to this request and the requests of hundreds of residents who did not get a chance to weigh in on this proposal.

2

Sincerely, Melissa Stein

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors 5 new petition signatures: Jonathan Bix, SANDRA SMITH...

From: Kelley Cutler [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Jonathan Bix, SANDRA SMITH...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "<u>San Francisco's "Opportunity to</u> <u>Compete" Campaign</u>" on Change.org.

There are now 230 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

http://www.change.org/petitions/san-francisco-s-opportunity-to-competecampaign/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

1

Sincerely,

226. Jonathan Bix Ann Arbor, Michigan
227. SANDRA SMITH Antioch, California
228. Robin Weidman Suisun City, California
229. Jennifer Clark san jose, California
230. Kelley Cutler SAN FRANCISCO, California

From: Subject: Board of Supervisors 5 new petition signatures: betty Lee, Ariana Jostad-Laswell...

From: Andrew matika [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: betty Lee, Ariana Jostad-Laswell...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "<u>San Francisco's "Opportunity to</u> <u>Compete" Campaign</u>" on Change.org.

There are now 225 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers'. Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here: <u>http://www.change.org/petitions/san-francisco-s-opportunity-to-compete-</u> campaign/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

1

Sincerely,

221. betty Lee Vallejo, California

222. Ariana Jostad-Laswell Berkeley, California

223. Frances Nowve Berkeley, California

224. Jesse Stout SF, California

225. Andrew matika kitale,

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors 5 new petition signatures: Spencer Resnick, Dani Scoville....

From: Hailey Joy Scandrette [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 4:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Spencer Resnick, Dani Scoville...

5 new people recently signed Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights's petition "<u>San Francisco's "Opportunity to</u> <u>Compete" Campaign</u>" on Change.org.

There are now 220 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights by clicking here:

http://www.change.org/petitions/san-francisco-s-opportunity-to-competecampaign/responses/new?response=c64e3110b135

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I support Supervisor Jane Kim's new legislation to standardize guidelines for considering background checks by San Francisco employers and affordable housing providers. As many as 200,000 San Franciscans face barriers to employment and housing based on past arrests and convictions. Although they may have great qualifications, their applications are often screened out at the initial stages, leaving them with few job or housing options. Yet, research shows that access to jobs and housing is linked to successful community reintegration and reduced recidivism. The proposed legislation will create a meaningful opportunity to compete, allowing applicants with past arrests and conviction records to demonstrate their qualifications as an employee or tenant, while also balancing the needs of employers and housing providers. There are ten states and over 50 local jurisdictions across the United States that have embraced this type of policy reform aimed at supporting economic self-sufficiency. It's time for San Francisco to become a leader on this issue and take reform to the next level. Please support Supervisor Kim's new legislation.

1

Sincerely,

216. Spencer Resnick Poughkeepsie, New York

217. Dani Scoville San Francisco, California

218. Marla Berry Dinuba, California

219. Bill Gandy San Francisco, California

220. Hailey Joy Scandrette San Francisco, California

From: To: Subject: Attachments: Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors 19th Ave Transit Study Meeting Today (\*SFSU-CSU) 09242013\_memoSFCTA19th.pdf

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 11:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Cc: Albert, Peter; Chester Fung
Subject: 19th Ave Transit Study Meeting Today (\*SFSU-CSU)

Reminder memo on the current litigation on Parkmerced and the concerns that alternatives are being rejected without adequate review and proposals that do not look at running the transit line through parkmerced.

Please do look at the prior alternatives for the northern segment that were summarily rejected on the aireal line along ocean ave. We submitted an alternative looping and linking segment by routing the L-Taraval back up Sloat to Stern Grove, and the M-Line down Sloat to 20th and through Stonestown for a future plaza and aireal platform across 19th from Mercy H.S. and between the two churches to provide a better new urban plaza and future development opportunity.

To eliminate alternatives where housing proposals and density can be achieved seems to be counter-productive in terms of planning.

Sincerely

A.Goodman

Aaron Goodman 25 Lisbon St. San Francisco, CA 94112 T:415.786.6929 E: <u>amgodman@yahoo.com</u>

## September 24, 2013 RE: Meeting on the 19<sup>th</sup> Ave Transit Study

To the city agencies sponsoring the meeting tonight (SFCTA/SFMTA)

- San Francisco Tomorrow SFT
- PmAC Parkmerced Action Coalition
- Sierra Club
- California Preservation Foundation

The above listed organizations have sued the city and county of San Francisco based on the concerns of the proposed Parkmerced project. The appeal has the potential to not only require reconsideration of the project's environmental impacts and possible alternatives, but to determine that the the project, as approved, conflicts fundamentally with voter-initiated general plan policies, and therefore cannot move forward. If the court of appeal made that determination, either the project would need major changes that would affect the feasibility of the proposed transit improvements or San Francisco voters would need to approve major changes to the general plan, undoing changes they made in 1985. In either case, the proposed transit improvements could not move forward. It therefore would seem prudent to table the current transit improvement proposal until the court of appeal makes its decision. To do otherwise risks wasting large amounts of scarce public transit dollars on improvements that would never happen. At the very least, the portions of the study that depend on the M line going through Parkmerced should be put on hold. Alternatives that look at the northern segment being re-routed from ocean along Sloat Blvd. with an L-Taraval and M-Line connection at Stern Grove down 20<sup>th</sup> st. and brought to an aireal platform have not seriously been considered vs. the Ocean Ave routing. The concerns raised by residents on an aireal platform was used to reject the alternative submitted to the SFCTA that was a very feasible alternative, that provided for additional density and worked with the west-side re-alignment of the transit along 19<sup>th</sup>. Alternative drawings were also submitted for a Parkmerced Cambon Drive Stop along 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue that would prevent seperation of the METNA and Parkmerced areas from access to a non-shown extension Tier-5 Level future connectivity up front. The station design again for this proposed stop shows a better connectivity to the surrounding neighborhoods and promotes grade seperation with traffic below and transit above or at grade which is a far superior alternative for green-environmental transit orientated development. Please consider the serious alternatives submitted to you and vet them accordingly with a study of those scenarios. I have asked to meet to discuss these as feasible alternatives that should be studied.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors Ellis Act Evictions

From: Paul Nisbett [mailto:pnisbett@hotmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 11:11 AM To: Board of Supervisors; Lee, Mayor; Chiu, David Subject: Ellis Act Evictions

Hi,

It's a pity this cycle of evicting tenants so speculators can sell buildings as TIC units has returned to SF.

I think we can thank Ed Lee for being a major contributor to this disgrace. He and certain members of the Board of Supervisors have actively courted tech companies to the point of giving them tax breaks to locate in the city.

The evictions were predictable when Ed Lee proudly announced agreements with Twitter and others to stay in the city in return for getting paid by the city not to leave.

It is clear that Ed Lee is cut from the same cloth as Willie Brown . Quality of life doesn't matter as long as business is good.

For those of you on the board that actually care about this issue ,I have a proposal .

Why don't you put a limit of 1 on the number of times and individual or corporation can use the Ellis Act ? This allows long time landlords to get out of the landlord business and discourages speculation from the scum that are repeatedly buying up residential buildings and reselling them.

-Paul Nisbett

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors clipped from America's cup article

From: john barry [mailto:jackbarry99@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:08 PM
To: Dennis Minnick
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS); Board of Supervisors
Subject: Re: clipped from America's cup article

They should have a ticker tape Parade down Market Street...

This is a bigger thing around the world, than here.

j.b. On Sep 26, 2013, at 9:04 AM, Dennis Minnick wrote:

Read carefully <Am Cup story.jpg>

john barry, realtor "Fees 20% to 70% lower" jackbarry99@gmail.com



Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors VIGILANCE! Simple Muni Solutions: Best Practices in the World

From: WongAIA@aol.com [mailto:WongAIA@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 12:58 AM
To: wongAIA@aol.com
Subject: VIGILANCE! Simple Muni Solutions: Best Practices in the World

# SaveMuni.com = FRISC

Fast, Frequent, Reliable, Inexpensive, Safe, Clean and "Cool".

Emphasizing best transportation practices in the world, SaveMuni.com is dedicated to improving the entire Muni transit system in every neighborhood of San Francisco---quickly and inexpensively--rather than wasteful projects, like the Central Subway, that decrease transit service levels and take money from the rest of the Muni system.

BE VIGILANT! The shrinking zero-sum game: More losers than winners. Muni riders will lose more transit service, while bad projects take more money. Be vigilant of a ballooning 2014 General Obligation Bond (\$120 million to \$250 million to \$500 million) and plans to decrease neighborhood transit. Be aware of more stealth Central Subway funds as cost overruns rocket and schemes to push the subway north to the waterfront, taking more money from the rest of Muni.

# SIMPLE MUNI SOLUTIONS: BEST PRACTICES IN THE WORLD



## TRANSIT EFFECTIVENESS PROJECT (TEP) = TRANSIT-PRIORITY STREETS (TPS)

Starting in 2003, with voter approval of Prop K and its citywide TPS policy, a world-class transit system could have been initiated--instead of bad priorities that caused service cuts, route eliminations, shortened bus lines, switchbacks, missed runs, deferred maintenance, "holiday" schedules, increased fares/ fees/ fines/ meters.... The current TEP cuts service levels for the neighborhoods, seniors, disabled, low-income and disenfranchised. SFMTA can modernize transit in every neighborhood---rather than usurping funds for limited expensive projects at the expense of citywide quality. First, the TEP should provide a record of Muni service degradation since 2003. Then, instead of shuffling funding and service levels as a zero-sum game, Prop K's TPS should be planned for the entire Muni system. By adopting best practices in the world, the entire Muni system can be transformed----quickly.



## SAN FRANCISCO AS A MEDITERRANEAN VILLAGE

San Francisco is geographically compact with a relatively small population. San Francisco is a livable city and a world-class destination because of its Mediterranean village-like quality, geographic beauty, topographic splendor, historicism, culture, diversity and a human scale rich with creativity. San Francisco's uniqueness drives its largest industry of tourism--- the economic-engine that attracts 16 million visitors and \$8.5 billion annually. Buttressing what already now occurs, good urban design gets people to pass by every street, café, restaurant, storefront, park, scenic vista, landmark... People should activate every street and every neighborhood---walking, shopping, sitting, biking and riding buses/ cable cars/ streetcars. Smart planning amplifies social connectivity, chance encounters and diverse interactions---stirring the economic and creative primordial pot throughout the day and night.

#### SIMPLE SOLUTIONS FOR MUNI

We need world-class transit for every street and every neighborhood---quickly and inexpensively. Simplicity is quicker. Paint is cheap. Elegance is efficient. Even developing countries can move millions of daily riders with limited funding. Forty years ago in Curitiba (Brazil), Mayor Jaime Lerner (an architect and urban planner) integrated public transportation into a comprehensive urban plan. Curitiba's transit-priority streets and bus rapid transit were consistently implemented in stages, avoiding large-scale and expensive projects in favor of modest initiatives. In 1973, Zurich's voters rejected an expensive subway project and voted instead to implement a less costly transit-priority program----leading to one of the world's highest per capita ridership rates because its transit service is fast,

frequent, reliable and inexpensive. While regional-metropolitan transit authorities are commonplace globally, even more prevalent are citywide integrated transit systems. Meanwhile, Muni has fewer riders now than it did a decade ago---the only major transit agency to lose customers among the nation's top six transit districts. Only 17% of all trips within the city are by public transit, 21% are by biking/ walking and 62% are by motorized vehicles. Transit-Priority Streets are flexible and easily phased. Muni has already adopted TPS elements of all-door boarding, traffic light synchronization, bus-only lanes and color-coded lanes---expandable with parking/ traffic management, peak hour management, delivery management, neighborhood loop buses, pedestrian-bicycle enhancements, street beautification and a citywide comprehensive plan.



#### BEST TRANSIT PRACTICES IN THE WORLD

Unless the SFMTA reprioritizes funding to improve Muni throughout the city, most people will rely on their automobiles----to meet complex multi-tasking in their daily lives. Many cities have transformed public transit through conventional innovations to meet people's needs.

#### YOUTUBE: Epic Bus Ad from Denmark

#### http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=75F3CSZcCFs

DENMARK: Design is important! Taking the bus has never been cooler than this funny Danish TV commercial for Midttrafik. Good transit has to be safe, clean and "cool" too. Cable cars and historic streetcars are desirable "slow" transit because they're "cool".

### YOUTUBE: Take The Bus, De Lijn, Funny Video

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yQJZUHm0Hxo

BELGIUM: Transit can demonstrate collective benefits. Cute Bus Ads by Belgium's De Lijn, which transports over 508 million passengers annually, for an area population of 6.5 million.

#### PLANETIZEN: Zurich, The World's Best Transit City

#### http://www.planetizen.com/node/53044

"The thing that sets Zurich apart is not just the frequency of the individual bus lines, but the density and interconnectedness of the overall network of buses, trams, commuter rail, funicular railroads and ferries on Lake Zurich."

#### MINETA TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE: Implementation of Zurich's Transit Priority Program

http://www.andynash.com/nash-publications/Nash2001-Zurich-PT-MTI-01-13.pdf

"Zurich is famous for the quality of its public transportation system and it has one of the highest levels of per capita transit ridership in the world. This is because its transit service is fast, frequent, reliable and inexpensive due in large part to its transit priority program."

#### YOUTUBE: Swiss Streetcars, Trolleybuses and Trains

#### http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=280mYXdci4w

By year 2000, Zurich was a leader in ecological, environmentally-friendly, integrated transit systems.

#### NEW YORK MAGAZINE: Subway On The Street

http://nymag.com/news/features/67027/

"To a large extent, flexibility remains the bus's chief advantage—unrailed, they can go wherever we want them to go—and they're a relative bargain. But over the last decade, in a few transit-enlightened cities around the world, the bus has received a dramatic makeover. It has been reengineered to load passengers more quickly. It has become much more energy-efficient. And, most important, the bus system—the network of bus lines and its relationship to the city street—has been rethought. Buses that used to share the street with cars and trucks are now driving in lanes reserved exclusively for buses and are speeding through cities like trains in the street. They are becoming more like subways."

#### CRI ENGLICH: Guangzhou Wins Sustainable Transport Prize

#### http://english.cri.cn/6909/2011/01/25/189s617349.htm

A bike-sharing program, wide bicycle lanes lined with trees, and a huge bus system that ties into the municipal rail network are all part of the recipe for a winning transportation system in Guangzhou. The bus rapid transit system which opened in February 2010 carries as many as 800,000 people a day, making it one of the world's largest. More importantly, the new bus system "hooks up seamlessly" with rail and "idyllic" bicycle paths and bike-sharing stations and helps to make the city.

#### STREETFILMS: MBA: Bus Rapid Transit

http://www.streetfilms.org/mba-bus-rapid-transit/

"Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) provides faster and more efficient service than an ordinary bus. These systems operate like a surface subway but cost far less than building an actual metro. Watch this chapter of 'Moving Beyond the Automobile' to learn about the key features of bus rapid transit systems around the world and how BRT helps shift people out of cars and taxis and into buses."

#### URBAN HABITAT: Curitiba's Bus System is a Model for Rapid Transit

#### http://urbanhabitat.org/node/344

"The bus system of Curitiba, Brazil, exemplifies a model Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system, and plays a large part in making this a livable city. The buses run frequently—some as often as every 90 seconds—and reliably, and the stations are convenient, well-designed, comfortable, and attractive. Consequently, Curitiba has one of the most heavily used, yet low-cost, transit systems in the world. Around 70 percent of Curitiba's commuters use the BRT to travel to work, resulting in congestion-free streets and pollution-free air for the 2.2 million inhabitants of greater Curitiba."

#### HUFFINGTON POST: China Plans Huge Buses That Can DRIVE OVER cars

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/08/02/3d-express-coach-pictures\_n\_667452.html#s121540&title=Traffic\_Flow

"The innovation will allow cars less than 2 meters high to travel underneath the upper level of the vehicle, which will be carrying passengers. The 6meter-wide 3D Express Coach will be powered by a combination of electricity and solar energy, and will be able to travel up to 60 kilometers per hour carrying some 1200 to 1400 passengers."

In the coming future, transportation technology will develop quickly, requiring planning flexibility and adeptness.



## TRANSIT-PRIORITY STREETS HAVE GREAT FLEXIBILITY

Transit-Priority Streets (TPS) is a flexible concept that can be adapted to individual neighborhoods and phased in incremental steps. Under-developed countries implement variations of TPS as funding allows.

#### TRANSIT-PRIORITY STREETS MITIGATE DEVELOPMENT AND GENTRIFICATION

Subway projects, which connect to regional commuter rail, drive up land values, up-zoning, special use districts, development, densification and gentrification---threatening affordability, evictions, diversity and neighborhoods. Modern surface transit suits the Mediterranean village of San Francisco---strengthening all neighborhoods equally.

#### SAN FRANCISCO HAS EXISTING TPS PLANS THAT CAN BE IMPLEMENTED

Transit-Priority Streets are city policy by 2003's Proposition K. TPS can be built in parts or in phases---quickly with performance specifications that use field-directed work and unit costs. Thousands of jobs for every neighborhood would stimulate the economy----with massive local hiring of a wider range of workers.

SFMTA has already implemented elements of TPS, such as all-door boarding, dedicated bus lanes, color-coded lanes, sidewalk widening, bulb-outs, traffic signal synchronization.... With a global city plan, every neighborhood can implement TPS---with street beautification, parking/ traffic/ delivery management, BRT stations, pre-boarding payment, low-floor buses, neighborhood loop buses... SFMTA has already developed a host of TPS plans and trial projects. By example, for northeastern San Francisco, small amounts of funding could revolutionize transit guickly:

#### CHINATOWN AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY, 1996

Following the 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake, this study evaluated a host of transit solutions. Besides a subway, quicker alternatives included new bus routes, F-Line loops, street/ traffic management.....

#### STOCKTON STREET ENHANCEMENT PROJECT, 2003, By CHS Consulting Group

http://nonorthbeachdig.org/docs/sfmta/misc/STOCKTON%20ST.%20ENHANCEMENT%20PROJECT%202003.pdf

In 2003, this study of a Stockton Street TPS program could be built for under \$10 million---including dedicated bus lanes, sidewalk widening, bulbouts, street beautification....

#### STREETSBLOG: Chinatown Businesses Thrive During a Week Without Car Parking

http://sf.streetsblog.org/2012/01/24/chinatown-businesses-thrive-during-a-week-without-car-parking/

During the 2012 and 2013 Chinese New Year, Stockton Street's parking removal, no double parking, delivery restrictions and "widened" sidewalk instituted TPS elements that allowed buses to fly through the busy commercial corridor.

#### SAVEMUNI.COM: STOCKTON STREET IMPROVEMENT PLAN

www.SaveMuni.com ---under "2010 Milestones")



#### **BETTER MUNI PRIORITIES FREES UP FUNDS**

Usurping \$595 million in state and local funds, the Central Subway Project has drained Muni budgets. With upcoming cost overruns, as high as \$500 million, the Central Subway will take more funds---state, local, transportation tax dollars, debt load, revenue bonds and general obligation bonds. The 2014 TEP General Obligation Bond may be tapped for the Central Subway.

To subsidize the Central Subway, SFMTA has taken Muni operating/ maintenance funds---causing service cuts, route eliminations, shortened lines, deferred maintenance, crumbling infrastructure, missed runs, switchbacks, "holiday" schedules, increased fares/ fees/ fines/ meters.... Major commercial streets like Columbus Avenue, Clement Street and Valencia Street have diminished as transit corridors. In 2007, the new T-Line (*Central Subway Phase 1*) eliminated the 15-Kearny Bus/ 20 Columbus Bus and cut hours for the 41-Union Bus. In 2009-10, SFMTA eliminated 6 routes, shortened 16 routes and reduced operating hours on 22 routes.

If built, according to FEIR and FTA documents, the Central Subway will take \$15 million <u>annually</u> from Muni operating funds and cut <u>34,000-76,000 bus hours/ year</u> from the 8X, 30, 45 bus lines---decreasing service to many northern and southern neighborhoods. With <u>elimination</u> of the T-Line's Embarcadero/ Waterfront loop and direct connectivity to Market Street's BART/ Metro Stations, the Central Subway will <u>cut</u> transit service for hundreds of thousands of riders. The Central Subway <u>decreases</u> net Muni service to the transit-starved southeast corridor, Waterfront, Market Street Corridor, Chinatown and northeast guadrant.

Moreover, the Central Subway's unnecessary 2,000 foot, empty tunnels from Chinatown to Washington Square will waste \$70 million. And the Pagoda Theater Project will waste another \$9 million---taken from Muni operating funds.



#### CENTRAL SUBWAY DECREASES NET TRANSIT SERVICE

The Central Subway Project takes \$595 million of state and local funding from the rest of Muni---as well as \$942 million of federal funds, much needed for the Downtown Caltrain Extension. With impending cost overruns, as high as \$500 million, stealth plans are to take more funds from Muni. Little known, the Central Subway eliminates the existing T-Line's Embarcadero Loop (dashed line)---causing a net service decrease into Market Street's BART/ Metro Stations and less connectivity to the waterfront, Transbay Terminal and future High-Speed Rail.

Expensive projects override TPS improvements in every neighborhood of San Francisco.

Regards, Howard Wong, AIA www.SaveMuni.com www.NoNorthBeachDig.org



4

From:Board of SupervisorsTo:BOS-SupervisorsSubject:File 130805: 435-437 Potrero Ave not exempt from air standards appeal

From: jamesbullard@jamesbullard.com [mailto:jamesbullard@jamesbullard.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 10:26 AM To: Board of Supervisors Subject: 435-437 Potrero Ave not exempt from air standards appeal

Hi,

I'm writing in support of the appeal that the planning for 435-437 Potrero Ave is not exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.

1

Please vote that the project should conform to project environmental reviews.

Diesel exhaust should not be allowed especially since there are clean backup energy alternatives.

thanks, jhb James Bullard 514 Utah St. SF, CA 94110

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130805 : Appeal on data center

From: Emily Wilson [mailto:exj@speakeasy.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 9:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Appeal on data center

I live at 514 Utah and I'm very concerned about the data center going in on Potrero with no environmental review. I support the appeal. Thanks,

Emily Wilson

Sent through the air like magic

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130805: Appeal of Internet Services Exchange (Data Center) project planned for 435-437 Potrero

 $\cup$ 

From: Neil Campbell [mailto:nosnaab@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 12:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Appeal of Internet Services Exchange (Data Center) project planned for 435-437 Potrero

1

i am in support of the appeal to this project.

neil campbell 475 utah st, sf ca 94110

Board of Supervisors BOS-Supervisors File 130805: 435-437 Potrero Ave

From: c fh [mailto:potrerohome@hotmail.com] Sent: Monday, September 23, 2013 12:33 PM To: Board of Supervisors Subject: 435-437 Potrero Ave

Hello,

This email is to show my support of the **appeal of the data center located at 435-437 Potrero Avenue**. I am deeply concerned about the air quality and noise levels in the neighborhood as it affects me.

They plan to use a large 4000 KW Diesel generator located on site. This would never have been allowed to be installed under the current air quality regulations because they are known emitters of Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC). TAC has been known to be a direct health risk contributing to asthma, heart attacks, strokes, hyper tension and even shorter life spans.

Potrero avenue is already an industrial area and the proximity to the freeway equates to poor air quality and even high noise levels.

As a native San Franciscan who has lived on Utah street for over 28 years, I am deeply disheartened that city planners and supervisors are not CAREFULLY planning growth and are happy to increase the density of already the second densest city in the country without thinking about quality of life.

1

Please reconsider.

Sincerely, Carol Fager-Higgins 500 Utah Street San Francisco, CA

In Health and Prosperity, C. Fager-Higgins potrerohome@hotmail.com

# Document is available at the Clerk's Office Room 244, City Hall

	Bos-1
·	COB
	C.A.
	C Page

File # 130605

Interoffice Memorandum September 19, 2002

To: Nicholas C. Maiden From: Jane Lundquist JHし Via: Brian Bateman Barry Young & #

Subject: Risk Screen for RCN Telecom Services, Inc., P# 14083, A# 4739, Emergency Generator Diesel Engine

At your request, a risk screening analysis was performed for the operation of an emergency generator diesel engine. For 100 hours of operation per year, excluding periods when operation is required due to emergency conditions, the maximum cancer risk is

#### 25 in a million.

This level of risk is not acceptable under our risk management policy and the operation of this source, as currently proposed, fails the risk screen.

To bring the risk down to an acceptable level, one in a million cancer risk, the applicant should consider.

- 1. Limiting the hours of operation, excluding periods when operation is required due to emergency conditions, to no more than 4 hours per year.
- 2. Installing a diesel particulate filter that can reduce diesel particulate emissions to a level equivalent to TBACT or installing an engine that meets the TBACT requirement. This would result in lower emissions and allow for a ten in a million cancer risk to pass the risk screen.

EMISSIONS: Diesel particulate emissions used in the analysis are based on 2.20 E-3 lbs/hp-hr for the 517 hp engine operating 100 hours per year. The annualized diesel particulate emission rate is 1.64 E-3 g/s.

MODELING: The ISCST3 and ISCPrime models were run with SCREEN3 meteorological data and the urban land use option to determine the maximum one-hour average ground-level concentrations. The annual average concentrations were calculated by applying a persistence factor to the one-hour average concentrations.

CANCER RISK: Estimates of residential risk assume continuous 70-year exposure to annual average pollutant concentrations.

Cancer risk = (emission rate g/s) \* (one-hour average X/Q ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) / (g/s)) \* (persistence factor) \* (exposure adjustment factor) \* (unit risk ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>)

Receptor	Emissions (g/s)	X/Q (μg/m³) / (g/s)	persistence factor	exposure adjustment factor	unit risk (µg/m³) <sup>-1</sup>	Cancer risk in a million
Residential	1.64 E-3	499	0.1	1	3.0 E-04	25

BOS-11

To: Subject: Attachments: BOS-Supervisors Port of San Francisco Gift Reporting BOS FY12.13 Report of Gifts.docx

From: Stephenson, Megan
Sent: Thursday, October 03, 2013 2:01 PM
To: Nevin, Peggy
Cc: Forbes, Elaine
Subject: Port of San Francisco Gift Reporting

Hi Peggy,

Elaine requested that I send over the attached Port of San Francisco gift reporting document. The signed copy is to follow.

Thank you,

Megan



Megan Stephenson Port of San Francisco 415-274-0478

## MEMORANDUM

October 3, 2013

TO: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

FROM:	Monique Moyer	Elaine Forbes
	Executive Director	Deputy Director of Finance and Administration

SUBJECT: Port of San Francisco FY 2012-13 Acceptance of Gift Funds

In compliance with Administration Code Section 10.100 305B, please find a report of gifts that the Port of San Francisco received in fiscal year 2012-2013:

Donor Organization	Purpose	Gift Received	In-kind
AECOM	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10k	
AMB/Prologis	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10K	
Architectural Resources Group	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$3K	
Autodesk	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10K	
Bauers iCars	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$7.5K	\$7.5K Limo Services
CA Foundation on the Environ. & the Econ.	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$3K	
Crab Station	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$3K	
Hanson Aggregates	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$5k	
Hornblower Yachts	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$5K	\$5K Signage and catered open house
Impark	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$7.5K	
Pacific Waterfront Partners	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10K	
Pier 39 Limited Partnership	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$3K (Recognized at \$5K)	
Princess Cruises	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10K	
Recology	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$10K	
SF Giants	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$15K	Home Plate Ceremony
Sing Tao	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$3K	
Wells Fargo	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	\$30K	
Pier 39	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary	· ·	Press Event
Blue and Gold	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary		Boat Rides

Red and White	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary		\$15K Boat Rides
Paula LeDuc	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary		Catering Services
Equity Offices	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary		Grand Hall Ferry Building
Davis and Associates	150 <sup>th</sup> Anniversary		Event Coordination
Exploratorium	Mayor's and Port Staff Tour		Entrance for 125 Port staff to the museum
Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District			
and the Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association	Port improvements	\$90,000	Crab sign