

File Copy
Do Not Remove

1 [Ballot Argument]

2
3 **Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Proposition I, a**
4 **proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco**
5 **and the City of Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility**
6 **District.**

7
8 **MOVED**, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of
9 Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition I, a proposal
10 to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of
11 Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District; and, be it

12 **FURTHER MOVED**, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in
13 the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

14 **FURTHER MOVED**, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and
15 directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be
16 mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the special election to
17 consolidated with the election held on Tuesday, November 6, 2001.

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT X FOR or AGAINST MEASURE I FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 6, 2001 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

| Style Notes B, I, or BI | KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES | # of words in each line |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Measure I creates a Municipal Utility District (MUD) in San Francisco and Brisbane. Once created, the MUD has the authority, under state law, to deliver public nonprofit electricity. | 11 12 4 |
| B | Public power is 18% cheaper than private utility power on average in California because: | 12 2 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MUDs don't pay investor dividends; MUDs are exempt from taxes; and MUDs can borrow funds for capital improvements more cheaply. | 5 6 9 |
| | On average, compared to privately owned utilities, MUDs contribute more than twice the amount of local government revenue and other economic benefits to local communities. | 11 12 2 |
| | San Francisco was mandated by the 1913 federal Raker Act and the U.S. Supreme Court to utilize our Hetch Hetchy water and power resources for the benefit of San Francisco residents and businesses. For over 80 years, PG&E's influence has kept San Francisco from realizing this public power mandate, forcing City residents to buy PG&E's expensive power. | 12 13 10 10 9 |
| | On November 6, vote for lower utility bills, energy self-sufficiency and an end to pollution impacts on our southeast neighborhoods. | 15 6 |
| B | The primary opponent to public power is PG&E, a corporation that has given its executives unprecedented bonuses while saddling consumers with \$8 billion in debt. PG&E and its allies are trying to scare ratepayers by arguing that a MUD can't work in San Francisco: but Los Angeles, Sacramento, Palo Alto, and dozens of other California cities already enjoy the benefits of public power. | 14 10 14 9 11 2 |
| B | Please vote Yes on Measure I to establish a MUD and Yes on San Francisco Proposition F to ensure public power. | 14 6 |
| B | Please join State Senators John Burton and Jackie Speier, State Assembly Members Carol Migden and Kevin Shelley, former Mayor Frank Jordan, Board President Tom Ammiano, former Board Presidents Angela Alioto and Harry Britt, the Sierra Club, and the SF Labor Council and visit www.SFBMUD.org for information on MUD Board candidates. | 11 11 10 12 7 |
| | Board of Supervisors | 3 |
| | (continue text on next page) | Total # of Words = 295 |

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

| | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | _____ | _____ |
| | Printed Name | Signature and Date |
| | _____ | _____ |
| | Title to Appear on Argument | Address S.F. 941 |
| 2. | _____ | _____ |
| | Printed Name | Signature and Date |
| | _____ | _____ |
| | Title to Appear on Argument | Address S.F. 941 |

ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails Motion

File Number: 011468

Date Passed: August 27, 2001

Motion authorizing proponent's ballot argument in favor of Measure I, a proposal to form a Municipal Utility District for the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane to be known as the San Francisco-Brisbane Municipal Utility District.

August 20, 2001 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick,
Newsom, Peskin, Yee
Absent: 1 - Sandoval


August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
BEARING NEW TITLE

August 27, 2001 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,
Sandoval, Yee
Absent: 1 - Newsom

File No. 011468

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion
was APPROVED on August 27, 2001 by the
Board of Supervisors of the City and County
of San Francisco.



Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board