

1 [Rebuttal Argument]

2

3

Motion authorizing rebuttal to opponent’s ballot argument against Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding assistance to the Elections Department.

5

6

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 550 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent’s ballot argument against Proposition G, a Charter amendment (Fifth Draft) to amend sections 13.103.5, 13.104.5, 15.100 and 6.102 to clarify that said section does not prohibit City personnel from providing to the Department of Elections services that are indistinguishable from services provided to other City departments and unrelated to the conduct of elections; authorize the Board of Supervisors to grant a waiver, applicable before, during and after election day, to the prohibition on use of City employees; eliminate the requirement that, prior to seeking a waiver from the Board of Supervisors, the Elections Commission make a finding that the Department of Elections will not have adequate staffing to conduct an election without the waiver; specify that the Sheriff is responsible for transporting voted ballots and other documents and devices used to record votes from the polls to the central counting location and approving a security plan; and eliminate some of the restrictions that apply to members of the Ethics Commission, Elections Commission, and the City Attorney. , (File 020888); and, be it

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

22

23

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be

24

25

Supervisor Ammiano
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Rebuttal Argument Motions

1 mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on
2 Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) of this BALLOT ARGUMENT **FOR** or **AGAINST** PROPOSITION G FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, I, or BI	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Last year, the Department of Elections overspent its budget by \$5 million. We	13
	need to find ways to reduce costs while maintaining the integrity of our	13
	elections.	1
B	Proposition G is supported by:	5
	• the San Francisco League of Women Voters;	6
	• the San Francisco League of Conservation Voters;	6
	• the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce;	5
	• SPUR (San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association);	7
	• the San Francisco Democratic Party; and	5
	• every member of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.	8
	Under Proposition G, City personnel would still be prohibited from assisting the	12
	Elections Department, except that: 1) City personnel may provide ordinary	10
	general support services, normally provided to other Departments that are	10
	unrelated to the conduct of an election (such as services relating to human	13
	resources, personnel processing, payroll, workers compensation, budgeting,	7
	accounting, procurement, contracting, and the maintenance of telephone and	9
	voice mail systems); and 2) the Board of Supervisors, upon the request of the	14
	Elections Commission and the recommendation of the Director of Elections,	10
	may waive this prohibition and allow City personnel to assist the Director of	13
	Elections in election related services.	5
	Proposition G will also reduce overtime spent on elections by allowing the	12
	Sheriff to approve a security plan that doesn't rely on overtime.	11



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails
Motion

File Number: 021387

Date Passed: August 26, 2002


Motion authorizing rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition G, a Charter Amendment regarding assistance to the Elections Department.

August 26, 2002 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick,
Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

File No. 021387

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 26, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.


Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board