[Limitation Period for Filing Tax Claim]

AMENDING ARTICLE 6 OF PART III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY
AMENDING SECTION 6.15-1 TO PROVIDE THAT ANY CLAIM FOR REFUND OF TAXES
PAID BE FILED WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM THE TIME THE RETURN WAS FILED OR
THE TAX WAS PAID, WHICHEVER PERIOD EXPIRES LATER, WITH THE EXCEPTION
THAT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH PERIOD EXPIRE PRIOR TO THE SHORTEST PERIOD
ALLOWABLE FOR FILING TAX REFUND CLAIMS UNDER TITLE 1, DIVISION 3.6, PART 3,
SECTION 911.2 OF THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The following are findings of the Board of Supervisors regarding the purpose
of prior law:

The specific legislative intent of the Board of Supervisors in enacting the 90-day
limitation period previously set forth in Section 6.15-1(a) of the San Francisco Municipal Code
was to provide prompt notice to the City and County of San Francisco of challenges to the
validity of taxes imposed under Part III of the Municipal Code to enable the City and County of
San Francisco to cure any defect in such tax in the current taxable year, and thereby protect
the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco. The City and County of San Francisco
selected that 90-day period believing, and still believes, that, as a Charter City, it has
sovereign power over municipal affairs under article XI, section 5, subdivision (a) of the
California Constitution to adopt claim-filing procedures and periods of limitations independent
of the enabling legislation contained in Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3 (sections 900 et seq.) of the
California Government Code.

Section 2. The following are findings of the Board of Supervisors regarding the purpose
of amending prior law:

CITY ATTORNEY
The specific legislative intent of the Board of Supervisors in enacting the limitation periods now set forth in Section 6.15-1(b) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is to conform the local limitations period with the periods established by the California Tort Claims Act. The Tort Claims Act limitations periods have been in place since 1963, are familiar to the bench and bar, and are the subject of an established body of case law that will aid in the interpretation and application of this ordinance. Further, reliance on the limitations scheme long established by the Tort Claims Act is recommended by the League of California Cities and it is likely that many cities throughout the state will follow that recommendation.

Accordingly, this ordinance promotes uniform limitations periods both with respect to similar provisions of State law and with respect to the practice of other local governments in the State.

Section 3. Article 6 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 6.15-1, to read as follows:

SEC. 6.15-1. REFUNDS. (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c) below, whenever the amount of any tax, interest or penalty has been overpaid or paid more than once, or has been erroneously or illegally collected or received by the City and County under Part III of the Municipal Code, ((that amount)) so much of the tax as has been overpaid or paid more than once or has been erroneously collected or received by the City and County of San Francisco may be refunded, provided a verified claim in writing therefor, stating under penalty of perjury the specific grounds upon which the claim was founded, with specificity sufficient to enable the Tax Collector to understand and evaluate the claim, is filed with the Tax Collector within ((three years of the day of payment. Any claim for refund of taxes paid based on a violation of the United States or California Constitutions or a violation of a United States or California statute must be made within 90 days of the payment of the taxes for which the refund is claimed and must state the grounds on which the claim is based)) six months.
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from time the return was due or the tax was paid, whichever of such periods expires later;
provided, however, that in no event shall the period to file such claim expire prior to shortest
period allowable for filing a tax refund claim under Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3, section 911.2 of
the California Government Code or any successor provision as amended from time to time.
For purposes of this section 6.15-1, a claim shall be deemed to accrue on the later of the date
the return was due or the tax was paid.

(b) The claim shall be on a form furnished by the Tax Collector. A refund claim may
only be signed by the taxpayer or other person determined to be liable for the tax or said
person's guardian or conservator. No other agent, including the taxpayer's attorney, may sign
a refund claim. Class claims for refunds shall not be permitted. If the claim is approved by the
department which collected said tax and by the Controller, the excess amount collected or
paid may be refunded or may be credited on any amount due and payable, from the person
from whom it was collected or by whom paid and the balance may be refunded to such
person, his administrator or executors.

(c) The Tax Collector, in his or her discretion and upon good cause shown, may
waive the requirement set forth in subdivision (a) above that a taxpayer file a verified claim in
writing stating under penalty of perjury the specific grounds upon which a claim for refund is
founded in any case in which the Tax Collector can and does determine on the basis of other
evidence presented to him or her that (i) an amount of tax, interest or penalty has been
overpaid or paid more than once, or has been erroneously or illegally collected or received by
the City and County under Part III of the Municipal Code, and (ii) all other conditions
precedent to the payment of a refund to the taxpayer have been satisfied.

(d) Transitional Rule. The period of limitation for filing claims as amended
by this Ordinance shall not be applied to extinguish existing causes of action.

However, pursuant to Brown v. Bleiberg (1982) 32 Cal. 3d 426, a cause of action that is not
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time-barred as of the effective date of this amendment shall expire on the date six months
after the effective date of this amendment or on the date such cause of action would have
expired in the absence of this amendment, whichever of such dates occurs first. The Clerk of
the Board of Supervisors is directed to remove this subdivision (d) from this section 6.15-1
upon the expiration of a 42-month period commencing on the effective date of this
amendment.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: GEORGE W. PUTRIS
   Deputy City Attorney
Ordinance amending Article 6 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code by amending Section 6.15-1 to providing that any claim for refund of taxes paid be filed within six months from the time the return was filed or the tax was paid, whichever period expires later, with the exception that in no event shall such period expire prior to the shortest period allowable for filing tax refund claims under Title 1, Division 3.6, Part 3, Section 911.2 of the California Government Code.
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