

1 [Amending Planning Code Section 703.3 to prohibit formula retail uses in the North Beach
2 Neighborhood Commercial District.]

3 **Ordinance to amend the Planning Code by amending section 703.3 to prohibit formula**
4 **retail uses in the North Beach Neighborhood Commercial District and making findings**
5 **of consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the**
6 **General Plan.**

7
8 Note: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
9 deletions are ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman~~.
10 Board amendment additions are double underlined.
11 Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

12 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

13 Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
14 hereby finds and determines that:

15 (a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that this
16 ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare.

17 (b) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1, the Board of Supervisors finds that this
18 ordinance is consistent with the Priority Policies of Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code and
19 with the General Plan.

20 Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section
21 703.3, to read as follows:

22 Sec. 703.3 Formula Retail Uses

23 (a) Findings

24 (1) San Francisco is a city of diverse and distinct neighborhoods identified in large
25 part by the character of their commercial areas.

1 (2) San Francisco needs to protect its vibrant small business sector and create a
2 supportive environment for new small business innovations. One of the eight Priority Policies
3 of the City's General Plan resolves that "existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be
4 preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership
5 of such businesses enhanced."

6 (3) Retail uses are the land uses most critical to the success of the City's
7 commercial districts.

8 (4) Formula retail businesses are increasing in number in San Francisco, as they
9 are in cities and towns across the country.

10 (5) Money earned by independent businesses is more likely to circulate within the
11 local neighborhood and City economy than the money earned by formula retail businesses
12 which often have corporate offices and vendors located outside of San Francisco.

13 (6) Formula retail businesses can have a competitive advantage over independent
14 operators because they are typically better capitalized and can absorb larger startup costs,
15 pay more for lease space, and commit to longer lease contracts. This can put pressure on
16 existing businesses and potentially price out new startup independent businesses.

17 (7) San Francisco is one of a very few major urban centers in the state in which
18 housing, shops, work places, schools, parks and civic facilities intimately co-exist to create
19 strong identifiable neighborhoods. The neighborhood streets invite walking and bicycling and
20 the City's mix of architecture contributes to a strong sense of neighborhood community within
21 the larger City community.

22 (8) Notwithstanding the marketability of a retailer's goods or services or the visual
23 attractiveness of the storefront, the standardized architecture, color schemes, decor and
24 signage of many formula retail businesses can detract from the distinctive character of certain
25 neighborhood commercial districts.

1 (9) The increase of formula retail businesses in the City's neighborhood commercial
2 areas, if not monitored and regulated, will hamper the City's goal of a diverse retail base with
3 distinct neighborhood retailing personalities comprised of a mix of businesses. Specifically,
4 the unregulated and unmonitored establishment of additional formula retail uses may unduly
5 limit or eliminate business establishment opportunities for smaller or medium-sized
6 businesses, many of which tend to be non-traditional or unique, and unduly skew the mix of
7 businesses towards national retailers in lieu of local or regional retailers, thereby decreasing
8 the diversity of merchandise available to residents and visitors and the diversity of purveyors
9 of merchandise.

10 (10) If, in the future, neighborhoods determine that the needs of their neighborhood
11 commercial districts are better served by eliminating the notice requirements for proposed
12 formula retail uses, by converting formula retail uses into conditional uses in their district, or
13 by prohibiting formula retail uses in their district, they can propose legislation to do so.

14 (b) Formula Retail Use

15 Formula retail use is hereby defined as a type of retail sales activity or retail sales
16 establishment which, along with eleven or more other retail sales establishments located in
17 the United States, maintains two or more of the following features: a standardized array of
18 merchandise, a standardized façade, a standardized décor and color scheme, a uniform
19 apparel, standardized signage, a trademark or a servicemark.

20 (1) Standardized array of merchandise shall be defined as 50% or more of in-stock
21 merchandise from a single distributor bearing uniform markings.

22 (2) Trademark shall be defined as a word, phrase, symbol or design, or a
23 combination of words, phrases, symbols or designs that identifies and distinguishes the
24 source of the goods from one party from those of others.
25

1 (3) Servicemark shall be defined as word, phrase, symbol or design, or a
2 combination or words, phrases, symbols or designs that identifies and distinguishes the
3 source of a service from one party from those of others.

4 (4) Décor shall be defined as the style of interior finishings, which may include
5 but is not limited to, style of furniture, wallcoverings or permanent fixtures.

6 (5) Color Scheme shall be defined as selection of colors used throughout, such as
7 on the furnishings, permanent fixtures, and wallcoverings, or as used on the façade.

8 (6) Façade shall be defined as the face or front of a building, including awnings,
9 looking onto a street or an open space.

10 (7) Uniform Apparel shall be defined as standardized items of clothing including but
11 not limited to standardized aprons, pants, shirts, smocks or dresses, hat, and pins (other than
12 nametags) as well as standardized colors of clothing.

13 (8) Signage shall be defined as business sign pursuant to Section 602.3 of the
14 Planning Code.

15 (c) "Retail sales activity or retail sales establishment" shall include the following
16 uses, as defined in Article 7 of this code: "bar," "drive-up facility," "eating and drinking use,"
17 "liquor store," "restaurant, large fast-food," "restaurant, small self-service," "restaurant, full-
18 service," "sales and service, other retail," "sales and service, retail," "movie theatre," "video
19 store," "amusement and game arcade," and "take-out food."

20 (d) Formula Retail Uses Permitted. Any use permitted in a Neighborhood
21 Commercial District, which is also a "formula retail use" as defined in this section, is hereby
22 permitted.

23 (e) Formula Retail Uses Prohibited. Notwithstanding subsection (d), any use
24 permitted in the Hayes-Gough Neighborhood Commercial District, or the North Beach
25

1 Neighborhood Commercial District, which is also a "formula retail use" as defined in this section,
2 is hereby prohibited.

3 (f) Conditional Uses. Notwithstanding subsections (d) or (e), any use permitted in
4 the Neighborhood Commercial Cluster Districts located at Cole and Carl Streets (Block 1267,
5 Lot 9, Block 1268, Lots 26, 27, 29, and 29, Block 1271, Lots 24, 24A, 24B, 25 and 26, Block
6 1272, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 1278, Lot 22), and at Parnassus and Stanyan Streets
7 (Block 1276, Lot 21), which is also a "formula retail use" as defined in this section, is hereby
8 permitted only as a conditional use. Additional criteria to be used by the Planning
9 Commission when considering granting conditional use permits to formula retail uses in these
10 districts are listed in Section 303(i.)

11 (g) Neighborhood Commercial Notification and Design Review. After the effective
12 date of this ordinance, any building permit application for a use permitted in a Neighborhood
13 Commercial District which is also a "formula retail use" as defined in this section shall be
14 subject to the neighborhood commercial notification and design review procedures of Section
15 312 of this Code.

16 (h) Discretionary Review Guidelines. The Planning Commission shall develop and
17 adopt guidelines, which it shall employ when considering any request for discretionary review
18 made pursuant to this section. These guidelines shall include but are not limited to
19 consideration of the following factors:

20 (1) Existing concentrations of formula retail uses within the neighborhood
21 commercial district.

22 (2) Availability of other similar retail uses within the neighborhood commercial
23 district.

24 (3) Compatibility of the proposed formula retail use with the existing architectural
25 and aesthetic character of the neighborhood commercial district.

1 (4) Existing retail vacancy rates within the neighborhood commercial district.

2 (5) Existing mix of Citywide-serving retail uses and neighborhood-serving retail uses
3 within the neighborhood commercial district.

4 (i) Determination of Formula Retail Use. After the effective date of this ordinance,
5 in those areas in which "formula retail uses" are prohibited, any building permit application
6 determined by the City to be for a "formula retail use" that does not identify the use as a
7 "formula retail use" is incomplete and cannot be processed until the omission is corrected.
8 Any building permit approved after the effective date of this ordinance that is determined by
9 the City to have been, at the time of application, for a "formula retail use" that did not identify
10 the use as a "formula retail use" is subject to revocation at any time.

11 After the effective date of this ordinance, in those areas in which "formula retail uses"
12 are subject to the Neighborhood Commercial Notification and Design Review provisions of
13 subsection (e), any building permit application determined by the City to be for a "formula
14 retail use" that does not identify the use as a "formula retail use" is incomplete and cannot be
15 processed until the omission is corrected. After the effective date of this ordinance, any
16 building permit approved that is determined by the City to be for a "formula retail use" that
17 does not identify the use as a "formula retail use" must complete the Neighborhood
18 Commercial Notification and Design Review required in subsection (e).

19 If the City determines that a building permit application or building permit subject to this
20 section of the Code is for a "formula retail use," the building permit applicant or holder bears
21 the burden of proving to the City that the proposed or existing use is not a "formula retail use."
22

23 Section 3. The Planning Department concluded environmental review of this ordinance
24 pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Documentation of that review is on file
25 with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 041071.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: Theodore R. Galley
Sarah Ellen Owsowitz
Deputy City Attorney



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails Ordinance

File Number: 041071

Date Passed: January 27, 2005

Ordinance to amend the Planning Code by amending section 703.3 to prohibit formula retail uses in the North Beach Neighborhood Commercial District and making findings of consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the General Plan.

March 22, 2005 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin,
Sandoval

Noes: 2 - Alioto-Pier, Elsbernd

March 29, 2005 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED


Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Dufty, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin

Noes: 2 - Alioto-Pier, Elsbernd

Excused: 2 - Daly, Sandoval

File No. 041071

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was **FINALLY PASSED** on March 29, 2005 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.



Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board


APR 01 2005

Date Approved

Mayor Gavin Newsom

Date: April 8, 2005

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.



Clerk of the Board

File No.
041071