[Increasing the requirements of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program.]

Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 315.1, 315.2, 315.3, 315.4, and 315.5, 315.6, and 315.8 related to the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program to increase the percentage of affordable housing required for all residential developments of 10 units or more, and to adjust the way low and median income is calculated from a method using Metropolitan Statistical Area calculations to City and County of San Francisco calculations; to provide, with certain exceptions, that the amendments apply to any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of this legislation and applies to phased projects on separate but adjacent lots; to provide for mandatory lotteries to market new inclusionary units and the maintenance of a list generated from a lottery for units that become available; to require a project sponsor that elects an alternative to providing on-site inclusionary housing to make its election at the time of project approval; to allow project sponsors to use California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bonds to finance inclusionary units under certain circumstances; to build all off-site inclusionary units within one mile of the principal project and to offer those units as rental housing or as ownership housing affordable to households earning no more than 80% of median income; requiring the Mayor's Office of Housing to adjust the in lieu fee annually and no later than July 1 and to report the adjustment to the Board of Supervisors; requiring the Mayor's Office of Housing to evaluate its monitoring procedures and those of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency with the goal of establishing one monitoring system for all inclusionary units in the City and County of San Francisco; requiring the Planning Department to submit a completed impact study to the Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission no later than July 1, 2006October 1, 2006; requiring that the
Planning Department form a Technical Advisory Committee to assist in the impact study; requesting that the Planning Department and Mayor's Office of Housing conduct certain additional studies described herein; and making findings including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the General Plan.

Note: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strikethrough italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined. Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines:

(a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 17187, and incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of said Planning Commission Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 051685.

(b) The Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance is in conformity with the General Plan, amended in the companion legislation, and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 17187, and hereby incorporates those reasons by reference.

(c) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the
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Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 315.1, 315.2, 315.3, 315.4, 315.5, 315.6, and 315.8 to read as follows:

SEC. 315.1. DEFINITIONS.

The following definitions shall govern interpretation of this ordinance:

(1) "Affordable housing project" shall mean a housing project containing units constructed to satisfy the requirements of Sections 315.4 or 315.5.

(2) "Affordable to a household" shall mean a purchase price that a household can afford to pay based on an annual payment for all housing costs, as defined in California Code of Regulations ("CCR") Title 25, Section 6920, as amended from time to time, of 33 percent of the combined household annual net income, assuming a 10 percent down payment, and available financing, or a rent that does not exceed 30 percent of a household's combined annual net income.

(3) "Affordable to qualifying households" shall mean:

(A) With respect to owned units, the average purchase price on the initial sale of all affordable owned units in an affordable housing project shall not exceed the allowable average purchase price. Each unit shall be sold:

(i) Only to households with an annual net income equal to or less than the qualifying limits for a household of median income, adjusted for household size as set forth in CCR Title 25, Section 6932, as amended from time to time;

(ii) On the initial sale, at or below the maximum purchase price; and

(iii) On subsequent sales at or below the prices to be determined by the Director in the Conditions of Approval or Notice of Special Restrictions according to the
formula specified in the Procedures Manual, as amended from time to time, such that the
units remain affordable to qualifying households.

(B) With respect to rental units in an affordable housing project, the average
annual rent, including the cost utilities paid by the tenant according to HUD utility allowance
established by the San Francisco Housing Authority, shall not exceed the allowable average
annual rent. Each unit shall be rented:

(i) Only to households with an annual net income equal to or less than the
qualifying limits for a household of low income as defined in this Section;

(ii) At or less than the maximum annual rent.

(4) "Allowable average purchase price" shall mean a price for all affordable owned
unit of the size indicated below that is affordable to a household of median income as defined
in this Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below as set forth in CCR Title 25,
Section 6932, as amended from time to time, as of the date of the close of escrow:

<p>| Number of Bedrooms (or, for live/work units | Number of Persons in Household |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>square foot equivalency)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Less than 600 square feet)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (601 to 850 square feet)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (851 to 1100 square feet)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (1101 to 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (More than 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5) "Allowable average annual rent" shall mean annual rent for an affordable rental
unit of the size indicated below that is 18 percent of the annual net income of a household of
median income as defined in this Section, adjusted for the household size indicated below as
set forth in CCR Title 25, Section 6932, as amended from time to time.
"Annual net income" shall mean net income as defined in CCR Title 25, Section 6916, as amended from time to time.

"Average annual rent" shall mean the total annual rent for the calendar year charged by a housing project for all affordable rental units in the project of an equal number of bedrooms divided by the total number of affordable units in the project with that number of bedrooms.

"Average purchase price" shall mean the purchase price for all affordable owned units in an affordable housing project of an equal number of bedrooms divided by the total number of affordable units in the project with that number of bedrooms.

"Community apartment" shall be as defined in San Francisco Subdivision Code Section 1308(b).

"Conditional Use" for purposes of this Ordinance means a conditional use authorization which, pursuant to the Planning Code, is required for the residential component of a project.

"Conditions of Approval" shall be a set of written conditions imposed by the Planning Commission or another permit-issuing City agency or appellate body to which a project applicant agrees to adhere and fulfill when it receives a conditional use or planned unit development permit.
development permit for the construction of a principal project or other housing project subject to this Program.

(11) "Condominium" shall be as defined in California Civil Code Section 783.

(12) "Director" shall mean the Director of City Planning or his or her designee, including other City agencies or departments.

(13) "First certificate of occupancy" shall mean either a temporary certificate of occupancy or a Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy as defined in San Francisco Building Code Section 109, whichever is issued first.

(14) "High need area" will mean an area identified by the Mayor's Office of Housing, or its successor, as having a large percentage of low income households.

(15) "Household" shall mean any person or persons who reside or intend to reside in the same housing unit.

(16) "Household of low income" shall mean a household whose combined annual gross income for all members does not exceed sixty (60) percent of median income for the City and County of San Francisco Metropolitan Statistical Area, as calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using data from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and adjusted for household size or, if data from HUD is unavailable, calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using other publicly available and credible data and adjusted for household size.

(17) "Household of median income" shall mean a household whose combined annual gross income for all members does not exceed one hundred (100) percent of the median income for the City and County of San Francisco Metropolitan Statistical Area, as calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using data from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and adjusted for household size or, if data from HUD is unavailable,
calculated by the Mayor's Office of Housing using other publicly available and credible data and adjusted for household size.

(18) "Housing project" shall mean any development which has residential units as defined in the Planning Code, including but not limited to dwellings, group housing, assisted living developments, and other forms of development which are intended to provide long-term housing to individuals and households. "Housing project" for purposes of this Program shall also include the development of live/work units as defined by Planning Code Section 102.13. Housing project for purposes of this Program shall mean all phases or elements of a multi-phase or multiple lot residential development.

(19) "Housing unit" or "unit" shall mean a dwelling unit as defined in San Francisco Housing Code Section 401.

(20) "Live/work unit" shall be as defined in San Francisco Planning Code Section 102.13.

(21) "Live/work project" shall mean a housing project containing more than one live/work unit.

(22) "Long term housing" shall mean housing intended for occupancy by a person or persons for 32 consecutive days or longer.

(23) "Market rate housing" shall mean housing constructed in the principal project that is not subject to sales or rental restrictions.

(24) "Maximum annual rent" shall mean the maximum rent that a housing developer may charge any tenant occupying an affordable unit for the calendar year. The maximum annual rent for an affordable housing unit of the size indicated below shall be no more than 30 percent of the annual net income for a household of low income as defined in this Section, as
adjusted for the household size indicated below as set forth in CCR Title 25, Section 6932, as amended from time to time, as of the first date of the tenancy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bedrooms (or, for live/work units square foot equivalency)</th>
<th>Number of Persons in Household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Less than 600 square feet)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (601 to 850 square feet)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (851 to 1100 square feet)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (1101 to 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (More than 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(25) "Maximum purchase price" shall mean the maximum purchase price for an affordable owned unit of the size indicated below that is affordable to a household of median income, adjusted for the household size indicated below, as set forth in CCR Title 25, Section 6932, as amended from time to time, as of the date of the close of escrow, assuming an annual payment for all housing costs of 33 percent of the combined household annual net income, a 10 percent down payment, and available financing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bedrooms (or, for live/work units square foot equivalency)</th>
<th>Number of Persons in Household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Less than 600 square feet)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (601 to 850 square feet)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (851 to 1100 square feet)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (1101 to 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (More than 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(26) "Notice of Special Restrictions" shall mean a document recorded with the San Francisco Recorder's Office for any unit subject to this Program detailing the sale and resale.
1 or rental restrictions and any restrictions on purchaser or tenant income levels included as a
2 Condition of Approval of the principal project relating to the unit.
3 (27) "Off-site unit" shall mean a unit affordable to qualifying households constructed
4 pursuant to this Ordinance on a site other than the site of the principal project.
5 (28) "On-site unit" shall mean a unit affordable to qualifying households constructed
6 pursuant to this Ordinance on the site of the principal project.
7 (29) "Ordinance" shall mean Planning Code Sections 315.1 through 315.9.
8 (30) "Owned unit" shall mean a unit affordable to qualifying households which is a
9 condominium, stock cooperative, community apartment, or detached single-family home. The
10 owner or owners of an owned unit must occupy the unit as their primary residence.
11 (31) "Owner" shall mean the record owner of the fee or a vendee in possession.
12 (32) "Principal project" shall mean a housing development on which a requirement to
13 provide affordable housing units is imposed.
14 (33) "Procedures Manual" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco
15 Affordable Housing Monitoring Procedures Manual issued by the San Francisco Department
16 of City Planning, as amended.
17 (34) "Program" shall mean the Residential Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program.
18 (35) "Project applicant" shall mean an applicant for a building permit or a site permit
19 or an applicant for a conditional use permit or planned unit development permit, seeking
20 approval from the Planning Commission or Planning Department for construction of a housing
21 project subject to this Section, such applicant's successors and assigns.
22 (36) "Rent" or "rental" shall mean the total charges for rent, utilities, and related
23 housing services to each household occupying an affordable unit.
(37) "Rental unit" shall mean a unit affordable to qualifying households which is not a condominium, stock cooperative, or community apartment.

(38) "Section 6932" shall mean Section 6932 of Title 25 of the California Code of Regulations as such section applies to the County of San Francisco.

SEC. 315.2. FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and declares as follows:

1. Affordable Housing: The findings in Planning Code Section 315.2 of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Ordinance are hereby readopted and updated as follows:

   1. Affordable housing is a paramount statewide concern. In 1980, the Legislature declared in Government Code Section 65580:

   (a) The availability of housing is of vital statewide importance, and the early attainment of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family is a priority of the highest order.

   (b) The early attainment of this goal requires the cooperative participation of government and the private sector in an effort to expand housing opportunities and accommodate the housing needs of Californians of all economic levels.

   (c) The provision of housing affordable to low- and moderate-income households requires the cooperation of all levels of government.

   (d) Local and state governments have a responsibility to use the powers vested in them to facilitate the improvement and development of housing to make adequate provision for the housing needs of all economic segments of the community...

The Legislature further stated in Government Code Section 65581 that:

It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this article:
(a) To assure that counties and cities recognize their responsibilities in contributing to the attainment of the state housing goal.

(b) To assure that counties and cities will prepare and implement housing elements which will move toward attainment of the state housing goal.

(c) To recognize that each locality is best capable of determining what efforts are required by it to contribute to the attainment of the state housing goal...

The California Legislature requires each local government agency to develop a comprehensive long-term general plan establishing policies for future development. As specified in the Government Code (at Sections 65300, 65302(c), and 65583(c)), the plan must (1) "encourage the development of a variety of types of housing for all income levels, including multifamily rental housing"; (2) "assist in the development of adequate housing to meet the needs of low- and moderate-income households"; and (3) "conserve and improve the condition of the existing affordable housing stock, which may include addressing ways to mitigate the loss of dwelling units demolished by public or private action."

2. San Francisco faces a continuing shortage of affordable housing for very low and low-income residents. The San Francisco Planning Department reported that for the four year period between 2000 and 2004, 8,389 total new housing units were built in San Francisco. This number includes 1,933 units for low and very low-income households out of a total need of 3,930 low and very low-income housing units for the same period. According to the state Department of Housing and Community Development, there will be a regional need for 230,743 new housing units in the nine Bay Area counties from 1999—2006. Of that amount, at least 58 percent, or 133,164 units, are needed for moderate, low and very low-income households. The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) is responsible for dividing the total regional need numbers among its member governments which includes both counties and cities. ABAG estimates that San Francisco's low and very low-income housing production need from 1999 through 2006 is 7,370 units out of a total new housing need of
20,372 units, or 36% of all units built. Within the past four years, only 23% of all housing built, or
49% of the previously projected housing need for low and very low-income housing for the same
period, was produced in San Francisco. The production of moderate income rental units also fell short
of the ABAG goal. Only 351 moderate income units were produced over the previous four years, or 4%
of all units built, compared to ABAG's call for 28% of all units to be affordable to households of
moderate income. Given the need for 3,007 moderate income units over the 4-year period, only 12% of
the projected need for moderate income units was built.

3. In response to the above mandate from the California Legislature and the projections of
housing needs for San Francisco, San Francisco has instituted several strategies for producing new
affordable housing units. The 2004 Housing Element of the General Plan recognizes the need to
support affordable housing production by increasing site availability and capacity for permanently
affordable housing through the inclusion of affordable units in larger housing projects. Further, the
City, as established in the General Plan, seeks to encourage the distribution of affordable housing
throughout all neighborhoods and, thereby, offer diverse housing choices and promote economic and
social integration. The 2004 Housing Element calls for an increase in the production of new affordable
housing and for the development of mixed income housing to achieve social and cultural diversity. This
legislation furthers the goals of the State Legislature and the General Plan.

4. The 2005 Consolidated Plan for July 1, 2000 - June 30, 2005, issued by the Mayor's
Office of Community Development and the Mayor's Office of Housing, establishes that extreme housing
pressures face San Francisco, particularly in regard to low- and moderate-income residents. Many
elements constrain housing production in the City. This is especially true of affordable housing. As
discussed in the 2004 Housing Element published by the City Planning Department, San Francisco is
largely built out, with very few large open tracts of land to develop. As noted in the 2000 Consolidated
Plan, its geographical location at the northern end of a peninsula inherently prevents substantial new
development. There is no available adjacent land to be annexed, as the cities located on San Francisco's southern border are also dense urban areas. Thus new construction of housing is limited to areas of the City not previously designated as residential areas, infill sites, or to areas with increased density. New market-rate housing absorbs a significant amount of the remaining supply of land and other resources available for development and thus limits the supply of affordable housing.

There is a great need for affordable rental and owner-occupied housing in the City. Housing cost burden is one of the major standards for determining whether a locality is experiencing inadequate housing conditions, defined as households that expend 30% or more of gross income for rent or 35% or more of household income for owner costs. The 2000 Census indicates that 64,400 renter households earning up to 80% of the area median income are cost burdened. Of these, about 25,000 households earn less than 50% AMI and pay more than 50% of their income to rent. According to more recent data from the American Housing Survey, 80,662 total renter households, or 41%, are cost burdened in 2003. A significant number of owners are also cost burdened. According to 2000 Census data, 18,237 of owners are cost-burdened, or 23% of all owner households. The 2003 American Housing Survey indicates that this level has risen to 29%.

The San Francisco residential real estate market is one of the most expensive in the United States. In May 2005, the California Association of Realtors reported that the median priced home in San Francisco was $755,000. This is 18% higher than the median priced home one year earlier, 44% higher than the State of California median, and 365% higher than the nation average. While the national homeownership rate is approximately 69%, only approximately 35% of San Franciscans own their own home. The majority of market-rate homes for sale in San Francisco are priced out of the reach of low and moderate income households. In May 2005, the average rent for a 2-bedroom apartment was $1821, which is affordable to households earning over $74,000.
These factors contribute to a heavy demand for affordable housing in the City that the private market cannot meet. Each year the number of market rate units that are affordable to low income households is reduced by rising market rate rents and sales prices. The number of households benefiting from rental assistance programs is far below the need established by the 2000 Census. Because the shortage of affordable housing in the City can be expected to continue for many years, it is necessary to maintain the affordability of the housing units constructed by housing developers under this Program. The 2004 Housing Element of the General Plan recognizes this need. Objective 1 of the Housing Element is to provide new housing, especially permanently affordable housing, in appropriate locations which meets identified housing needs and takes into account the demand for affordable housing created by employment demand. Objective 6 is to protect the affordability of existing housing, and to ensure that housing developed to be affordable be kept affordable for 50 – 75 year terms, or even longer if possible.

In 2004 the National Housing Conference issued a survey entitled "Inclusionary Zoning: The California Experience." The survey found that as of March 2003, there were 107 cities and counties using inclusionary housing in California, one-fifth of all localities in the state. Overall, the inclusionary requirements were generating large numbers of affordable units. Only six percent of jurisdictions reported voluntary programs, and the voluntary nature appears to compromise the local ability to guarantee affordable housing production. While there was a wide range in the affordability percentage-requirements for inclusionary housing, the average requirement for affordability in rental developments is 13%. Approximately half of all jurisdictions require at least 15% to be affordable, and one-quarter require 20% or more to be affordable.

5. Development of new market-rate housing makes it possible for new residents to move to the City. These new residents place demands on services provided by both public and private sectors. Some of the public and private sector employees needed to meet the needs of the new residents earn
incomes only adequate to pay for affordable housing. Because affordable housing is in short supply
within the City, such employees may be forced to live in less than adequate housing within the City, pay
a disproportionate share of their incomes to live in adequate housing within the City, or commute ever-
increasing distances to their jobs from housing located outside the City. These circumstances harm the
City's ability to attain goals articulated in the City's General Plan and place strains on the City's ability
to accept and service new market-rate housing development.

6. The development of affordable housing on the same site as market-rate housing
increases social and economic integration vis-à-vis housing in the City and has corresponding social
and economic benefits to the City. Inclusionary housing provides a healthy job and housing balance.
Inclusionary housing provides more affordable housing close to employment centers which in turn may
have a positive economic impact by reducing such costs as commuting and labor costs. However, there
may also be trade-offs where constructing affordable units at a different site than the site of the
principle project may produce a greater number of affordable units without additional costs to the
project applicant. If a project applicant may produce a significantly greater number of affordable units
off-site then it is in the best interest of the City to permit the development of affordable units at a
different location than that of the principle project.

7. Provided project applicants can take these requirements into consideration when
negotiating to purchase land for a housing project, the requirements of this Section are generally
financially feasible for project applicants to meet, particularly because of the benefits being conferred
by the City to housing projects under this ordinance. This ordinance provides a means by which a
project applicant may seek a reduction or waiver of the requirements of this mitigation fees if the
project applicant can show that imposition of these requirements would create an unlawful financial
burden.
8. Conditional Use and Planned Unit Development Permits permit the development of certain uses not permitted as of right in specific districts or greater density of permitted residential uses. As the General Plan recognizes, through the conditional use and planned unit development process, applicants for housing projects generally receive material economic benefits. Such applicants are generally permitted to build in excess of the generally applicable black letter requirements of the Planning Code for housing projects resulting in increased density, bulk, or lot coverage or a reduction in parking or other requirements or an approval of a more intensive use over that permitted without the conditional use permit or planned unit development permit. Through the conditional use and planned unit development process, building standards can be relaxed in order to promote lower cost home construction. An additional portion of San Francisco's affordable housing needs can be supplied (with no public subsidies or financing) by private sector housing developers developing inclusionary affordable units in their large market-rate projects in exchange for the density and other bonuses conferred by conditional use or planned unit development approvals, provided it is financially attractive for private sector housing developers to seek such conditional use and/or planned unit development approvals.

9. Live/work as defined in the Planning Code recognizes that "residential living space" is an integral part of a live/work unit. A substantial portion of new housing development in San Francisco has been live/work units in Mixed Use Districts South of Market and in industrially zoned areas of San Francisco where residential development has not traditionally been permitted as of right. Live/work development projects are subject to less stringent development standards than other types of housing projects in certain Mixed Use Districts and industrially zoned areas. Live/work developments are conferred an equivalent benefit as projects going through the conditional use or planned unit development permit process by virtue of the fact that (1) live/work developments are not required to get a conditional use permit for housing development in some Mixed Use Districts and in all industrially
zoned districts where other residential uses are required to get a conditional use permit; (2) live/work developments receive a five foot height bonus above prevailing height limits for specific neighborhoods; (3) live/work units are permitted to cover 100% of a lot rather than the stricter lot coverage requirements that apply to other residential development, typically requiring rear yards equal to 15 feet in length or 25% of the lot, whichever is greater. Given these benefits conferred by statute which allow live/work developments to exceed the limitations on other housing development in the City, the Board of Supervisors finds that, for purposes of this Program, live/work developments are conferred a private benefit equal to or in excess of housing projects which require a conditional use or planned unit development permit. The relaxed building standards applied to live/work projects promote the ability to include lower cost home production in live/work projects. A unit meets the definition of California Civil Code Section 1940(c) as a "dwelling unit" because it "is used as a home, residence or sleeping place by one person who maintains a household or by two or more persons who maintain a common household." Live/work units shall not be considered "commercial real property" for purposes of Civil Code Section 1954.25 et seq.

10. The City wants to balance the burden on private property owners with the demonstrated need for affordable housing in the City. For the reasons stated above, the Board of Supervisors thus intends to increase the inclusionary housing requirements for all residential projects. In order to balance the burden on property owners, the Board intends to limit the application of an inclusionary housing requirement to 15% for housing projects that do not receive any of the benefits described above through the conditional use or planned unit development process, or in live/work projects. A slightly higher percentage will be applied to projects which generally receive benefits through the conditional use or planned unit development process, or in live/work projects. The Housing Element (Policy 4.2) states: Include affordable units in larger housing developments. It also calls for the City to review its inclusionary housing program regularly to ensure fair burden and not constrain new
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housing production. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the inclusionary affordable housing
program and finds that, for purposes of the Housing Element of the General Plan, increasing the
inclusionary housing requirements ensures more fair burden on all housing development and will not
constrain new housing production.

K. The findings of Planning Code Section 313.2 for the Jobs-Housing Linkage
Program, Planning Code Sections 313 et seq., relating to the shortage of affordable housing, the low
vacancy rate of housing affordable to persons of lower and moderate income, and the decrease in
construction of affordable housing in the City are hereby readopted.

L. The Land Use and Economic Development Committee of the Board of
Supervisors held hearings on this legislation on July 12 and 19, 2006. At those hearings, the
Committee heard testimony from Planning Department staff and consultant Kate Funk of
Keyser Marston and Associates regarding a study undertaken at the direction of the Planning
Department by the consultant Keyser Marston and Associates. The study was entitled
Inclusionary Housing Program Sensitivity Analysis, dated July 7, 2006, and was undertaken to
examine the economic impacts of adjusted inclusionary requirements on market-rate housing
projects ("Sensitivity Analysis"). The study can be found in Board File No. 051685 and is
incorporated herein by reference. The study was guided by the Planning Department and
Mayor’s Office of Housing and informed by a Technical Advisory Committee comprised of a
variety of experts from the San Francisco housing development and affordable housing
advocacy communities. Planning Department staff presented a report summarizing the
findings of the Sensitivity Analysis and the recommendations of the Technical Advisory
Committee. That report, dated July 10, 2006, is found in Board File No. 051685 and is
incorporated herein by reference.
After considering the Sensitivity Analysis and staff report and hearing the recommendations and testimony of the Planning Department, Mayor's Office of Housing, members of the Technical Advisory Committee, and members of the public including representatives of housing developers, community members, and affordable housing advocates, the Land Use and Economic Development Committee considered various amendments to the legislation. The Committee found, among other things, that it was in the public interest to increase the percentage requirements of the ordinance, but not by as much as originally proposed; to modify the application dates of the ordinance to grandfather more existing projects from the increased percentage requirements, but to make most projects subject to the other requirements of the ordinance; and to require further study on some issues by the Planning Department and Mayor's Office of Housing.

SEC. 3.15.2. FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and declares as follows:

A. Affordable housing is a paramount statewide concern. In 1980, the Legislature declared in Government Code Section 65580:

(a) The availability of housing is of vital statewide importance, and the early attainment of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family is a priority of the highest order.

(b) The early attainment of this goal requires the cooperative participation of government and the private sector in an effort to expand housing opportunities and accommodate the housing needs of Californians of all economic levels.

(c) The provision of housing affordable to low- and moderate-income households requires the cooperation of all levels of government.
Local and state governments have a responsibility to use the powers vested in them to facilitate the improvement and development of housing to make adequate provision for the housing needs of all economic segments of the community.

The Legislature further stated in Government Code Section 65581 that:

It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this article:

(a) To assure that counties and cities recognize their responsibilities in contributing to the attainment of the state housing goal.

(b) To assure that counties and cities will prepare and implement housing elements which...will move toward attainment of the state housing goal.

(c) To recognize that each locality is best capable of determining what efforts are required by it to contribute to the attainment of the state housing goal....

The California Legislature requires each local government agency to develop a comprehensive long-term general plan establishing policies for future development. As specified in the Government Code (at Sections 65300, 65302(c), and 65583(c)), the plan must (1) "encourage the development of a variety of types of housing for all income levels, including multifamily rental housing"; (2) "assist in the development of adequate housing to meet the needs of low- and moderate-income households"; and (3) "conserve and improve the condition of the existing affordable housing stock, which may include addressing ways to mitigate the loss of dwelling units demolished by public or private action."

B. San Francisco faces a continuing shortage of affordable housing for very low and low-income residents. The San Francisco Planning Department reported that for the past ten years, 3,199 units of low and very low-income housing were built in San Francisco out of a total need of 15,103 units for the same period. According to the state Department of Housing and Community Development, there will be a regional need for 230,743 new housing units in the nine Bay Area
counties from 1999–2006. Of that amount, at least 58 percent, or 133,164 units, are needed for moderate, low and very low-income households. The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) is responsible for dividing the total regional need numbers among its member governments which includes both counties and cities. ABAG estimates that San Francisco’s low and very low-income housing production need through 2006 is 7,370 units out of a total new housing need of 20,372 units. Within the past ten years, less than 25% of the previously projected housing need was produced in San Francisco. The new ABAG housing goals will require that San Francisco produce more than twice the amount of low and very low-income housing within half the time.

In response to the above mandate from the California Legislature and the projections of housing needs for San Francisco, San Francisco has instituted several strategies for producing new affordable housing units. The General Plan Residential Element recognizes the need to increase the amount of land available and improve building resources for permanently affordable housing through the inclusion of affordable units in larger market-rate housing projects. Further, the City, as established in the General Plan, seeks to encourage the distribution of affordable housing throughout all neighborhoods and, thereby, offer diverse housing choices and promote economic and social integration. The General Plan calls for an increase in the production of new affordable housing and for the development of mixed income housing to achieve social and cultural diversity. As one strategy to achieve these goals, the General Plan states that "inclusion of affordable housing should be required as a condition of approval of housing projects containing 10 or more units which seek Planning Commission approval as conditional uses or planned unit developments." This legislation furthers the goals of the State Legislature and the General Plan. For housing projects to which this legislation applies it is intended to replace the Planning Commission Guidelines for Application of San Francisco’s Inclusionary Affordable Housing Policy. For housing projects to which this legislation
does not apply because of the application date; it is intended that the Planning Commission Guidelines in effect at the time of project approval, where applicable, will apply.

D. The 2000 Consolidated Plan for July 1, 2000–June 30, 2005, issued by the Mayor's Office of Community Development and the Mayor's Office of Housing establishes that extreme housing pressures face San Francisco, particularly in regard to low- and moderate-income residents. Many elements constrain housing production in the City. This is especially true of affordable housing. San Francisco is largely built-out and its geographical location at the northern end of a peninsula inherently prevents substantial new development. There is no available adjacent land to be annexed, as the cities located on San Francisco's southern border are also dense urban areas. Thus new construction of housing is limited to areas of the City not previously designated as residential areas, infill sites, or to areas with increased density. New market rate housing absorbs a significant amount of the remaining supply of land and other resources available for development and thus limits the supply of affordable housing.

There is a great need for affordable rental and owner-occupied housing in the City. The vacancy rate for residential rental property has dropped significantly since 1989-90 when the Residence Element 1992 Annual Evaluation Report reported a 4.2 percent citywide vacancy rate (for 1989), and the 1990 Census showed a 6.9 percent vacancy rate (as of 1990). Data from the San Francisco rental market from RealFacts for 2000 indicates a vacancy rate of 1.9 percent. Rents on newly occupied residential units have risen dramatically. Housing cost burden is one of the major standards for determining whether a locality is experiencing inadequate housing conditions. The Consolidated Plan defines a household expending 30 percent or more of its gross income for housing costs as experiencing a cost burden. According to the 1990 Census, 38.1 percent of San Franciscans experienced a cost burden in 1990 and, according to more recent data from the American Housing Survey, this level had risen to 45 percent in 1993.
The San Francisco residential real estate market is one of the most expensive in the United States. A February 1999 report from the National Association of Realtors found that San Francisco had the highest median price of existing homes in the United States. In the 1980's average home prices in San Francisco rose nearly three times as fast as the overall cost of living in San Francisco according to data from the Bay Area Council and 1990 Census. An analysis of sales data from a three-month period in 1999 gathered by American Real Estate Solutions showed that of 1,420 full, confirmed, and verified sales, the median sales price was $390,000. This study, among others, demonstrates that the majority of market-rate homes for sale in San Francisco are priced out of the reach of low and moderate income households.

These factors contribute to a heavy demand for affordable housing in the City that the private market cannot meet. Each year the number of market-rate units that are affordable to low income households is reduced by rising market-rate rents and sales prices. The number of households benefiting from rental assistance programs is far below the need established by the 1990 Census. Because the shortage of affordable housing in the City can be expected to continue for many years, it is necessary to maintain the affordability of the housing units constructed by housing developers under this Program. The Residential Element of the General Plan (Objective 9, Policy 2) recognizes this need and provides that affordable units should be required to remain affordable for at least 50 years and, where possible, for longer.

In 1994 the California Coalition for Rural Housing Project issued a study entitled "Creating Affordable Communities: Inclusionary Housing Programs in California." The study found that at least 64 jurisdictions in California had inclusionary housing programs and that, overall, the inclusionary requirements were generating large numbers of affordable units. Sixty-six percent of the inclusionary programs studied were mandatory programs and the mandatory programs were proven to be more effective by a number of measures than the voluntary programs. While there was a wide range of mandatory
in the percentage requirements for inclusionary housing, a 10% requirement is the most common,
occuring in 39% of the jurisdictions studied, followed by a 15% requirement that was the second most
common.

--- E. --- Development of new market-rate housing makes it possible for new residents to
move to the City. These new residents place demands on services provided by both public and private
sectors. Some of the public and private sector employees needed to meet the needs of the new residents
earn incomes only adequate to pay for affordable housing. Because affordable housing is in short
supply within the City, such employees may be forced to live in less-than-adequate housing within the
City, pay a disproportionate share of their incomes to live in adequate housing within the City, or
commute ever-increasing distances to their jobs from housing located outside the City. These
circumstances harm the City’s ability to attain goals articulated in the City’s General Plan and place
strains on the City’s ability to accept and service new market-rate housing development.

--- E. --- The development of affordable housing on the same site as market-rate housing
increases social and economic integration vis-à-vis housing in the City and has corresponding social
and economic benefits to the City. Inclusionary housing provides a healthy job and housing balance.
Inclusionary housing provides more affordable housing close to employment centers which in turn may
have a positive economic impact by reducing such costs as commuting and labor costs. However, there
may also be trade-offs where constructing affordable units at a different site than the site of the
principle project may produce a greater number of affordable units without additional costs to the
project applicant. If a project applicant may produce a significantly greater number of affordable units
off-site then it is in the best interest of the City to permit the development of affordable units at a
different location than that of the principle project.

--- G. --- Provided project applicants can take these requirements into consideration when
negotiating to purchase land for a housing project, the requirements of this Section are generally
financially feasible for project applicants to meet, particularly because of the benefits being offered by 
the City to housing projects that comply with this Section. Some of the requirements of this Section are 
being phased in over a period of one year, so that project applicants will have adequate notice of these 
requirements and can take them into consideration when negotiating to purchase land for a project. 
This Section provides a means by which a project applicant may seek a reduction or waiver of the 
requirements of this Section if the project applicant can show that imposition of these requirements 
would create an unlawful financial burden.

———II. Conditional Use and Planned Unit Development Permits permit the 
development of certain uses not permitted as of right in specific districts or greater density of permitted 
residential uses. As the General Plan recognizes, through the conditional use and planned unit 
development process, applicants for housing projects generally receive material economic benefits. 
Such applicants are generally permitted to build in excess of the generally applicable black letter 
requirements of the Planning Code for housing projects resulting in increased density, bulk, or lot 
coverage or a reduction in parking or other requirements or an approval of a more intensive use over 
that permitted without the conditional use permit or planned unit development permit. Through the 
conditional use and planned unit development process, building standards can be relaxed in order to 
promote lower cost home construction. An additional portion of San Francisco's affordable housing 
needs can be supplied (with no public subsidies or financing) by private sector housing developers 
developing inclusionary affordable units in their large market-rate projects in exchange for the density 
and other bonuses conferred by conditional use or planned unit development approvals, provided it is 
financially attractive for private sector housing developers to seek such conditional use and/or planned 
unit development approvals.

———I. The Residential Element of the General Plan (Objective 7, Policy 1) provides 
that as land not previously used for residential space is developed for residential use, such development
should also provide for a minimum of 10% permanently affordable units for all residential development containing more than 10 units. Live/work as defined in the Planning Code recognizes that "residential living space" is an integral part of a live/work unit. A substantial portion of new housing development in San Francisco has been live/work units in Mixed Use Districts South of Market and in industrially zoned areas of San Francisco where residential development has not traditionally been permitted as-of-right. Live/work development projects are subject to less stringent development standards than other types of housing projects in certain Mixed Use Districts and industrially zoned areas. Live/work developments are conferred an equivalent benefit as projects going through the conditional use or planned unit development permit process by virtue of the fact that (1) live/work developments are not required to get a conditional use permit for housing development in some Mixed Use Districts and in all industrially zoned districts where other residential uses are required to get a conditional use permit; (2) live/work developments receive a five foot height bonus above prevailing height limits for specific neighborhoods; (3) live/work units are permitted to cover 100% of a lot rather than the stricter lot coverage requirements that apply to other residential development, typically requiring rear yards equal to 15 feet in length or 25% of the lot, whichever is greater. Given these benefits conferred by statute which allow live/work developments to exceed the limitations on other housing development in the City, the Board of Supervisors finds that, for purposes of this Program, live/work developments are conferred a private benefit equal to or in excess of housing projects which require a conditional use or planned unit development permit. The relaxed building standards applied to live/work projects promote the ability to include lower cost home production in live/work projects. A unit meets the definition of California Civil Code Section 1940(c) as a "dwelling unit" because it "is used as a home, residence or sleeping place by one person who maintains a household or by two or more persons who maintain a common household." Live/work units shall not be considered "commercial real property" for purposes of Civil Code Section 1954.25 et seq.
J. The City wants to balance the burden on private property owners with the demonstrated need for affordable housing in the City. For the reasons stated above, the Board of Supervisors thus intends to apply an inclusionary housing requirement to all residential projects of 10 units or more. In order to balance the burden on property owners, the Board intends to limit the application of an inclusionary housing requirement to 10% for housing projects that do not receive any of the benefits described above through the conditional use or planned unit development process, or in live/work projects. A slightly higher percentage will be applied to projects which generally receive benefits through the conditional use or planned unit development process, or in live/work projects.

K. The findings of Planning Code Section 313.2 for the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program, Planning Code Sections 313 et seq., relating to the shortage of affordable housing, the low vacancy rate of housing affordable to persons of lower and moderate income, and the decrease in construction of affordable housing in the City are hereby readopted.

SEC. 315.3. APPLICATION.

(a) This Ordinance shall apply to any housing project that:

(1) All applications for a building permit or a site permit filed with the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Department on or after June 18, 2001 for housing projects which: Any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of this legislation which:

(A) Consists of ten or more units where an individual project or a phased project is to be undertaken and where the total undertaking comprises a project with 10 or more units, even if the development is on separate but adjacent lots; and

(B) (1) Does not require Planning Commission approval as a conditional use or planned unit development; and:
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(C) Has a project site which was optioned or acquired or an environmental
evaluation application that was filed after June 18, 2001.

(2) All applications for a conditional use or planned unit development permit
filed with the Planning Department on or after June 18, 2001 for housing projects which
Any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of this
legislation which:

(A) Consists of ten or more units where an individual project or a phased project is
to be undertaken and where the total undertaking comprises a project with 10 or more units,
even if the development is on separate but adjacent lots; and

(B) Requires Planning Commission approval as a conditional use or planned unit development;

(3) All applications for a building permit or a site permit filed with the Planning
Department or the Building Department on or after June 18, 2001 for housing projects which
Any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of
this legislation which:

(A) Consists of ten or more units where an individual project or a phased project is
to be undertaken and where the total undertaking comprises a project with 10 or more units,
even if the development is on separate but adjacent lots; and

(B) Consists of live/work units as defined by Planning Code Section 102.13, or

(4) Housing projects which Requires Planning Commission approval of
replacement housing destroyed by earthquake, fire or natural disaster only where the
destroyed housing included units restricted under the Residential Inclusionary Housing
Program or the City's predecessor inclusionary housing policy, condominium conversion
requirements, or other affordable housing program.
(b) This ordinance shall apply to all housing projects that have not received a first site or building permit on or before the effective date of this ordinance with the following exceptions. Until these application dates take effect as described below, the provisions of the ordinance as it exists on July 18, 2006 shall govern.

(1) The amendments to the off-site requirements in Section 315.5(c) and (d) relating to location and type of off-site housing, and Section 315.4(e) relating to when a developer shall declare whether it will choose an alternative to the on-site requirement shall apply only to projects that receive their Planning Commission or Department approval on or after the effective date of this legislation.

(2) The amendments to the percentage-requirements of this ordinance that govern the number of affordable units a housing project is required to provide in Section 315.4(a) and 315.5(a) apply has two application dates depending on the type of project as follows:

(a) For projects that are not in a Residential (R) district and require a conditional-use permit solely for the purpose of building residential units or for projects that require a Zoning Map Amendment, the new percentage requirements shall apply to any project that receives Planning Commission or Department approvals after July 12, 2006; and (b) for all other projects, the new percentages apply only to housing projects that submit their first application, including an environmental evaluation application or any other Planning Department or Building Department application, on or after July 18, 2006. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the amendments to the percentage-requirements of this ordinance also apply to any project that has not received its final Planning Commission or Department approvals before July 18, 2006 for housing projects that receive a zoning map amendment or planning code text amendment related to their project approvals that (A) results in a net increase in the number of permissible residential units, or (B) results in a material increase in the net
permissible residential square footage. For purposes of subsection B above a material increase shall mean an increase of 5 percent or more, or an increase in 10,000 square feet or more, whichever is less.

(3) The amendments in Section 315.1 to the way median income is calculated apply to any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of this ordinance.

(bc) This Ordinance shall not apply to:

(1) That portion of a housing project located on property owned by the United States or any of its agencies or leased by the United States or any of its agencies for a period in excess of 50 years, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental purpose;

(2) That portion of a housing project located on property owned by the State of California or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental or educational purpose; or

(3) That portion of a housing project located on property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency or the Port of San Francisco where the application of this Ordinance is prohibited by California or local law;

(4) That portion of a housing project for which a project applicant can demonstrate that an impact fee under the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program, commencing with Planning Code Section 313, has been paid.

(ed) Waiver or Reduction:

(1) A project applicant of any project subject to the requirements in this Program may appeal to the Board of Supervisors for a reduction, adjustment, or waiver of the requirements based upon the absence of any reasonable relationship or nexus between the
impact of development and either the amount of the fee charged or the inclusionary requirement.

(2) A project applicant subject to the requirements of this Program who has received an approved building permit, conditional use permit or similar discretionary approval and who submits a new or revised building permit, conditional use permit or similar discretionary approval for the same property may appeal for a reduction, adjustment or waiver of the requirements with respect to the number of lots or square footage of construction previously approved.

(3) Any such appeal shall be made in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Board no later than 15 days after the date the Planning Department sends notice to the project applicant of the number of affordable units required as provided in Section 315.4(a) and 315.5(a). The appeal shall set forth in detail the factual and legal basis for the claim of waiver, reduction, or adjustment. The Board of Supervisors shall consider the appeal at the hearing within 60 days after the filing of the appeal. The appellant shall bear the burden of presenting substantial evidence to support the appeal, including comparable technical information to support appellant's position. The decision of the Board shall be by a simple majority vote and shall be final. If a reduction, adjustment, or waiver is granted, any change in use within the project shall invalidate the waiver, adjustment, or reduction of the fee or inclusionary requirement. If the Board grants a reduction, adjustment or waiver, the Clerk of the Board shall promptly transmit the nature and extent of the reduction, adjustment or waiver to the Treasurer.

(de) Except for projects listed in subsection "b" of this Section 315.3, the Planning Commission's Guidelines for Application of San Francisco's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Policy shall apply, where applicable, to housing projects not otherwise covered by this
Ordinance because of the application dates set forth in Section 315.3(a), (b), and (c). For projects that have received a first site or building permit prior to the effective date of this legislation, the requirements in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall apply.

SEC. 315.4. ON-SITE HOUSING REQUIREMENT AND BENEFITS.

Except as provided in Section 315.4(e), all housing projects subject to this Program through the application of Section 315.3 shall be required to construct on-site units subject to the following requirements:

(a) Number of Units:

(1) The Planning Department shall require for housing projects covered by Section 315.3(a)(1), as a condition of Planning Department approval of a project's building permit, and by Section 315.3(a)(2), (3) and (4), as a Condition of Approval of a conditional use or planned unit development permit or as a condition of Planning Department approval of a live/work project, that 15% of all units constructed on the project site shall be affordable to qualifying households so that a project applicant must construct .15 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the tenth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, any inclusionary affordable requirement imposed on housing projects covered by Section 315.3(a)(1) in connection with an application filed with the Department of Building Inspection from the effective date of this legislation and 180 days thereafter shall be 5% so that a project applicant must construct .05 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the tenth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.
The Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Department or Planning Commission.

(2) The Planning Department or the Planning Commission shall require for housing projects covered by Section 315.3(a)(2), (3) and (4), as a Condition of Approval of a conditional use or planned unit development permit or as a condition of Planning Department approval of a live/work project that 12% 20% of all units constructed on the project site shall be affordable to qualifying households so that a project applicant must construct 12–20 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the tenth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.

The Planning Commission or Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Commission or Planning Department.

(2) If the principal project has resulted in demolition, conversion, or removal of affordable housing units renting or selling to households at income levels and/or for a rental rate or sales price below corresponding income thresholds for units affordable to qualifying households, the Planning Commission shall require that the project applicant replace the number of affordable units removed with units of a comparable number of bedrooms or provide that 12% 20% 15% of all units constructed as part of the new project shall be affordable to qualifying households, whichever is greater.

(b) Timing of Construction: On-site inclusionary housing required by this Section 315.4 must be constructed, completed, and ready for occupancy no later than the market rate units in the principal project.
(c) Type of Housing: The type of affordable housing needed in San Francisco is documented in the City's Consolidated Plan and the Residence Element of the General Plan. In general, affordable units constructed under this Section 315.4 shall be comparable in number of bedrooms, exterior appearance and overall quality of construction to market rate units in the principal project. The Notice of Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall include a specific number of units at specified unit sizes for affordable units. The square footage of affordable units and interior features in affordable units do not need to be same as or equivalent to those in market rate units in the principal project, so long as they are of good quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing.

(d) Marketing the Units: The Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor shall be responsible for overseeing and monitoring the marketing of affordable units under this Section. In general, the marketing requirements and procedures shall be contained in the Procedures Manual as amended from time to time and shall apply to the affordable units in the project. The Notice of Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall specify that the marketing requirements and procedures contained in the Procedures Manual as amended from time to time, shall apply to the affordable units in the project.

(1) Lottery: At the initial offering of affordable units in a housing project, the Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor must require the use of a public lottery approved by MOH to select purchasers or tenants. The Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor shall also hold a general public lottery and maintain and utilize a list generated from this lottery or utilize a list generated from a recent lottery at another similar housing project to fill spaces in units that become available for re-sale or occupancy in any housing project subject to this ordinance after the initial offering. The list shall be updated from time to time but in no event less than annually to ensure that it remains current.
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(2) Preferences: The Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor shall consider appropriate preferences for the allocation of inclusionary units to qualifying households and shall, within 90 days, present amendments of the Procedures Manual to the Planning Department for Commission review that require that certain preferences be given in the lottery process. In determining the appropriate preferences, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall hold at least one meeting open to members of the public and shall, as appropriate, consult with other city departments and interested nonprofit organizations.

(e) Alternatives: The project sponsor may elect to satisfy the requirements of Section 315.4 by one of the alternatives specified in this Section. The project sponsor must elect an alternative before it receives project approvals from the Planning Commission or Planning Department and that alternative will be a condition of project approval. If a project sponsor fails to elect an alternative before project approval by the Planning Commission or Planning Department, the provisions of Section 315.4 shall apply. At the project applicant's election, the project applicant may satisfy the requirement of Section 315.4 by: The alternatives are as follows:

(1) Constructing units affordable to qualifying households at an alternative site within the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the requirements of Section 315.5.

(2) Paying an in lieu fee to the Mayor's Office of Housing pursuant to the requirements of Section 315.6.

(3) Any combination of construction of on-site units as provided in Section 315.4, off-site units as provided in Section 315.5, or payment of an in lieu fee as provided in Section 315.6, provided that the project applicant constructs or pays the fee at the appropriate percentage or fee level required for that option.
(4) Using California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bonds under the requirements of Section 315.5(g).

(f) Benefits: If the project applicant elects to satisfy the inclusionary housing requirements through the production of on-site inclusionary housing in this Section 315.4, the project applicant shall at his or her option, be eligible to receive a refund of the following fees:
a conditional use or other fee required by Planning Code Section 352, if applicable; an environmental review fee required by Administrative Code Section 31.46B, if applicable; a building permit fee required by the Building Code and by Planning Code Section 355 for the portion of the housing project that is affordable. The project applicant shall pay the building fee for the portion of the project that is market-rate.

The Controller shall refund fees from any appropriated funds to the project applicant on application by the project applicant. The application must include a copy of the certificate of occupancy for all units affordable to a qualifying household required by the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program. It is the policy of the Board of Supervisors to appropriate money for this purpose from the General Fund.

SEC. 315.5. COMPLIANCE THROUGH OFF-SITE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT.

If the project applicant elects, pursuant to Section 315.4(e), that the project applicant will build off-site units to satisfy the requirements of this Program, the project applicant shall meet the following requirements:

(a) Number of Units: The number of units constructed off-site shall be 1.5 times that of the on-site requirement as follows:

For projects described in Section 315.3(a)(1), (2), (3), and (4) 1.5% 20% so that a project applicant must construct 1.5 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the tenth unit. If the total number of units is...
not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.

The Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Department or Planning Commission. This notice shall also be sent to project applicants who elect to pay an in lieu fee.

For projects described in Section 315.3(a)(2), (3), and (4), 17% - 25% so that a project applicant must construct .17-25 times the total number of units produced in the principal project beginning with the construction of the tenth unit. If the total number of units is not a whole number, the project applicant shall round up to the nearest whole number for any portion of .5 or above.

The Planning Department shall provide written notice by mail to the project applicant of the number of affordable units which shall be required within 30 days of approval by the Planning Department or Planning Commission. This notice shall also be sent to project applicants who elect to pay an in lieu fee.

(b) Timing of Construction: The project applicant shall insure that the off-site units are constructed, completed, and ready for occupancy no later than the market rate units in the principal project.

(c) Location of off-site housing: The project applicant must insure that off-site units are located within one mile of the principal project in either (i) close proximity to the principal project, or (ii) a high need area or a project type identified as a high priority in the Residence Element of the General Plan or the Consolidated Plan published by the Mayor's Office of Housing and the Mayor's Office of Community Development or their successors.
(d) Type of Housing: The type of affordable housing needed in San Francisco is documented in the City's Consolidated Plan and the Residence Element of the General Plan. New affordable rental housing and ownership housing affordable to households earning less than the median income is greatly needed in San Francisco. All off-site units constructed under this Section must be provided as rental housing for the life of the project or, if they are ownership units, must be affordable to households earning no more than 80% of the median income for the City and County of San Francisco Area Median Income (AMI). Nothing in this section shall limit a developer from meeting the requirements of this Section through the construction of units in a limited equity or land trust form of ownership if such units otherwise meet all of the requirements for off-site housing. In general, affordable units constructed under this Section 315.5 shall be comparable in number of bedrooms, exterior appearance and overall quality of construction to market rate units in the principal project. The total square footage of the off-site affordable units constructed under this Section 315.5 shall be no less than the calculation of the total square footage of the on-site market-rate units in the principal project multiplied by the relevant on-site percentage requirement for the project specified in Section 315.4 (.12 for conditional use, planned unit developments or live-work projects, and .10 for all other housing projects). The Notice of Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall include a specific number of units at specified unit sizes - including number of bedrooms and minimum square footage - for affordable units. The interior features in affordable units need not be the same as or equivalent to those in market rate units in the principal project, so long as they are of good quality and are consistent with then-current standards for new housing. If the residential units in the principal project are live/work units which do not contain bedrooms or are other types of units which do not contain...
bedrooms separated from the living space, the off-site units shall be comparable in size
according to the following equivalency calculation between live/work and units with bedrooms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bedrooms (or, for live/work units</th>
<th>Number of Persons in Household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>square foot equivalency)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (Less than 600 square feet)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (601 to 850 square feet)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (851 to 1100 square feet)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (1101 to 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (More than 1300 square feet)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Marketing the Units: They Mayor’s Office of Housing or its successor shall be
responsible for overseeing and monitoring the marketing of affordable units under this Section. In
general, the marketing requirements and procedures shall be contained in the Procedures Manual as
amended from time to time and shall apply to the affordable units in the project. The Notice of
Special Restrictions or Conditions of Approval shall specify that the marketing requirements
and procedures contained in the Procedures Manual as amended from time to time, shall
apply to the affordable units in the project.

(1) Lottery: At the initial offering of affordable units in a housing project, the Mayor’s
Office of Housing or its successor must require the use of a public lottery approved by MOH to
select purchasers or tenants. The Mayor’s Office of Housing or its successor shall also hold a
general public lottery and maintain and utilize a list generated from this lottery or utilize a list
generated from a recent lottery at another similar housing project to fill spaces in units that
become available for re-sale or occupancy in any housing project subject to this ordinance
after the initial offering. The list shall be updated from time to time but in no event less than
annually to insure that it remains current.
(2) Preferences: The Mayor's Office of Housing or its successor shall consider appropriate preferences for the allocation of inclusionary units to qualifying households and shall, within 90 days, present amendments of the Procedures Manual to the Planning Department for Commission review that require that certain preferences be given in the lottery process. In determining the appropriate preferences, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall hold at least one meeting open to members of the public and shall, as appropriate, consult with other city departments and interested nonprofit organizations.

(f) Affordable units constructed under Section 315.5 shall not have received development subsidies from any federal, state or local program established for the purpose of providing affordable housing, and should not be counted to satisfy the affordable housing requirement in the off-site development.

(g) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 315.5(f) above, a developer may use California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bonds to help fund its obligations under this ordinance as long as it provides 20% of the units as affordable at 50% of area median income for on-site housing or 25% of the units as affordable at 50% of area median income for off-site housing. Except as provided in this subsection, all units provided under this section must meet all of the requirements of this ordinance and the Procedures Manual for either on-or off-site housing.

SEC. 315.6. COMPLIANCE THROUGH IN LIEU FEE.

If the project applicant elects, pursuant to Section 315.4(e)(2) that the project applicant will pay an in lieu fee to satisfy the requirements of this Program, the project applicant shall meet the following requirements:
(a) By paying an in lieu fee to the Treasurer for use by the Mayor's Office of Housing for the purpose of constructing at an alternate site the type of housing required by Section 315.5 within the City and County of San Francisco.

(b) The amount of the fee which may be paid by the project applicant subject to this ordinance in lieu of developing and providing housing required by Section 315.4 shall be determined by Mayor's Office of Housing ("MOH") utilizing the following factors:

(1) The number of units required by Section 315.5 if the project applicant were to elect to meet the requirements of this section by off-site housing development.

(2) The affordability gap as identified in the "Jobs Housing Nexus Analysis" prepared by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. in June 1997 for the Maximum Annual Rent or Maximum Purchase Price for the equivalent unit sizes.

(3) Annual adjustments to the affordability gap based upon the percentage increase or decrease in the Average Area Purchase Price Safe Harbor Limitations for New Single Family Residences for the San Francisco Primary Metropolitan Statistical ("PMSA") established by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") since January 1st of the previous year; provided however, that in the event that said percentage increase exceeds 20 percent, the in-lieu fee shall be increased by 20 percent, and the difference between the percentage increase in the Average Area Purchase Price and 20 percent shall be carried over and added to the in-lieu fee adjustment for the following calendar year. In the event that the IRS does not adjust the above figure within 14 months, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall authorize and certify a study for adjusting the last published IRS figure to be effective until IRS revises the figure.

(4) No later than July 1 of each year, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall adjust the in-lieu fee payment option and provide a report on its adjustment to the Board of Supervisors.
Within 30 days of determining the amount of the fee to be paid by the applicant, MOH shall transmit the amount of the fee to the Treasurer. Prior to the issuance by DBI of the first site or building permit for the project applicant, the project applicant must notify the Planning Department and MOH in writing that it has paid in full the sum required to the Treasurer. If the project applicant fails by the applicable date to demonstrate to the Planning Department that the project applicant has paid the applicable sum in full to the Treasurer, DBI shall deny any and all site or building permits or certificates of occupancy for the development project until the Planning Department notifies DBI and MOH that such payment has been made.

Upon payment of the fee in full to the Treasurer and upon request of the project applicant, the Treasurer shall issue a certification that the fee has been paid. The project applicant shall present such certification to the Planning Department, DBI and MOH prior to the issuance by DBI of the first site or building permit or certificate of occupancy for any development subject to this Section. Any failure of the Treasurer, DBI, or Planning Department to give any notice under this Section shall not relieve a project applicant from compliance with this Section. Where DBI inadvertently issues a site or building permit without payment of the fee, DBI shall not issue any certificate of occupancy for the project without notification from the Treasurer that the fee required by this Section has been paid. The procedure set forth in this Subsection is not intended to preclude enforcement of the provisions of this section pursuant to any other section of this Code, or other authority under the laws of the State of California.

All monies contributed pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the special fund maintained by the Controller called the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund. The receipts in the Fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law to be used to (1) increase the
supply of housing affordable to qualifying households subject to the conditions of this Section, and (2) pay the expenses of MOH in connection with monitoring and administering compliance with the requirements of the Program. Monitoring and administrative expenses shall be appropriated through the annual budget process or supplemental appropriation for MOH. The fund shall be administered and expended by MOH, which shall have the authority to prescribe rules and regulations governing the Fund which are consistent with this Section.

(f) Lien Proceedings.

(1) A project applicant’s failure to comply with the requirements of this Section shall constitute cause for the City to record a lien against the development project in the sum of the in-lieu fee required under this ordinance, as adjusted under this Section.

(2) If, for any reason, the fee imposed pursuant to this ordinance remains unpaid following issuance of the permit, the Treasurer shall initiate proceedings to impose the lien in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter 10, Article XX of the San Francisco Administrative Code to make the entire unpaid balance of the fee, including interest, a lien against all parcels used for the development project. The Treasurer shall send all notices required by that Article to the owner of the property as well as the sponsor. The Treasurer shall also prepare a preliminary report notifying the sponsor of a hearing to confirm such report by the Board of Supervisors at least 10 days before the date of the hearing. The report to the sponsor shall contain the sponsor’s name, a description of the sponsor’s development project, a description of the parcels of real property to be encumbered as set forth in the Assessor’s Map Books for the current year, a description of the alleged violation of this ordinance, and shall fix a time date and place for hearing. The Treasurer shall cause this report to be mailed to the sponsor and each owner of record of the parcels of real property subject to lien. Except for the release of lien recording fee authorized by Administrative Code
Section 10.237, all sums collected by the Tax Collector pursuant to this ordinance shall be held in trust by the Treasurer and deposited in the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund established in Section 313.12.

(3) Any notice required to be given to a sponsor or owner shall be sufficiently given or served upon the sponsor or owner or all purposes hereunder if personally served upon the sponsor or owner or if deposited, postage prepaid, in a post office letterbox addressed in the name of the sponsor or owner at the official address of the sponsor or owner maintained by the Tax Collector for the mailing of tax bills or, if no such address is available, to the sponsor at the address of the development project, and to the applicant for the site or building permit at the address on the permit application.

(g) In the event a building permit expires prior to completion of the work on and commencement of occupancy of a housing project so that it will be necessary to obtain a new permit to carry out any development, the obligation to comply with this Program shall be cancelled, and any in-lieu fee previously paid to the Treasurer shall be refunded. If and when the sponsor applies for a new permit, the procedures set forth in this ordinance regarding construction of housing or payment of the in-lieu fee shall be followed.

(h) In the event that a development project for which an in-lieu fee imposed under this Section has been fully paid is demolished or converted to a use or uses not subject to this ordinance prior to the expiration of its estimated useful life, the City shall refund to the sponsor a portion of the amount of an in-lieu fee paid. The portion of the fee refunded shall be determined on a pro rata basis according to the ratio of the remaining useful life of the project at the time of demolition or conversion in relation to its total useful life. For purposes of this ordinance, the useful life of a development project shall be 50 years.

SEC. 315.8. ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS AND MONITORING OF PROGRAM.
(a) A first certificate of occupancy shall not be issued by the Director of the Department of Building Inspection to any unit in the principal project until all of the on-site or off-site housing development requirements of Sections 315.4 or 315.5, if applicable, and Section 315.7 are met. A first site permit for the principal project shall not be issued by the Director of the Department of Building Inspection until the requirements of Sections 315.4(e) and 315.6 regarding payment of the in lieu fee, if applicable, have been met.

(b) If the Planning Commission or Planning Department determines that a project applicant has failed to comply with Sections 315.4 or 315.5 and the recording of reporting requirements of Section 315.7 as detailed in the Procedures Manual, or has violated the Conditions of Approval or terms of the Notice of Special Restrictions, the Planning Commission or Planning Department may, until the violation is cured, (a) revoke the certificate of occupancy for the principal project or required affordable units, (b) impose a penalty on the project pursuant to Section 176(c) of this Code, and/or (c) the Zoning Administrator may enforce the provisions of this Program through any means provided for in Section 176 of this Code.

(c) The Planning Commission or Planning Department shall notify the Mayor's Office of Housing of any housing project subject to this Program, including the name of the project applicant and the number and location of the affordable units, within 30 days of the Planning Commission's or the Planning Department's approval of a building, site, conditional use, planned unit development, or live/work permit application. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall provide all project applicants with information concerning the City's first time home-buyer assistance programs and any other related programs the Mayor's Office of Housing shall deem relevant to this Program.
(d) The Planning Commission shall, as part of the annual Housing Inventory, report to the Board of Supervisors on the results of this Program including, but not limited to, a report on the following items:

1. The number of, location of, and project applicant for housing projects which came before the Planning Commission for a conditional use or planned unit development permit, and the number of, location of, and project applicant for housing projects which were subject to the requirements of this Ordinance;

2. The number of, location of, and project applicant for housing projects which applied for a waiver, adjustment, or reduction from the requirements of this Ordinance pursuant to Section 315.3(c), and the number of, location of, and project applicant for housing projects which were granted such a waiver, adjustment, or reduction and, if a reduction, to what percentage;

3. The number of, location of, and project applicant for every housing project to which this Ordinance applied and the number of market rate units and the number of affordable on- and off-site units provided, including the location of all of the affordable units;

and

(e) A study is authorized to be undertaken under the direction of the Mayor's Office of Housing immediately and to be updated every 5 years thereafter to determine the relationship in nature and amount between the production of market-rate residential housing and the availability and demand for affordable housing in San Francisco. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission regarding any legislative changes to requirements pertaining to housing development, including developments requiring conditional use permits and planned unit development permits and live/work projects. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall also study
the relationship in nature and amount between the production of various types of market rate
residential development including stick frame, steel frame, and concrete construction and the
availability and demand for affordable housing in San Francisco and look at the relationship
between the cost of construction of market-rate housing and the availability and demand for
affordable housing. The Mayor's Office of Housing shall also study the cost of developing
market-rate housing and the market price for sale and rental of such housing. Based on this
data, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall determine the median and average profit margins for
developers of for-profit housing. The Mayor's Office shall also make a determination whether
levels of affordability can be increased pursuant to Finding J, enumerated in Section 315.2.

(f) The Mayor's Office of Housing shall evaluate its monitoring system for
affordable units created under this Section and shall compare its system with that of the San
Francisco Redevelopment Agency with the goal of establishing, to the extent feasible, a single
monitoring system for all inclusionary affordable housing units located in the City and County
of San Francisco. Within 6 months of the effective date of this ordinance, MOH shall make
any changes to its monitoring system necessary to bring its monitoring system into conformity
with the system of the Redevelopment Agency, or, if necessary, MOH shall make
recommendations to the Board of Supervisors to amend this ordinance in order to implement
improvements to the monitoring system. If it is necessary to amend the Procedures Manual to
change its monitoring system to comply with this Section, MOH may make any changes
necessary to the Procedures Manual to comply with this Section 315.8(e). For purposes of
this Section 315.8(e) only and on a one-time basis, MOH may amend the Procedures Manual
without obtaining approval from the Planning Commission. If MOH determines that some or
all of the aspects of its system are more effective than the Redevelopment Agency's system, it
shall inform the Board of Supervisors and recommend that the Board urge the
Redevelopment Agency to conform its procedures to the City's.

Section 3: The Mayor's Office of Housing shall study and propose revisions to the way
that the current in-lieu fee is calculated and propose legislation recommending an updated
method of calculation to the Planning Commission on or before September 1, 2006. The
Planning Department shall submit a completed impact study analyzing the impact of the
production of new market rate housing on affordable housing to the Board of Supervisors and
the Planning Commission no later than July 1, 2006-October 1, 2006 with input from the public
as deemed appropriate by the Department. The Planning Department shall establish a
Technical Advisory Committee comprised of appropriate stakeholders to assist in the scoping
and work of this study. At the time the Planning Department submits a completed impact
study, the Department shall also propose a schedule to monitor and analyze the inclusionary
housing levels and procedures over the next ten years. In addition, the Planning Department
shall submit a list of projects that are, under Section 315.3(b)(2), potentially subject to the
new, higher percentage requirements of this ordinance and shall update this list on a quarterly
basis to show which projects the City ultimately requires to provide inclusionary units at the
higher level.

Section 4: The Board of Supervisors recognizes that the Planning Department is
currently engaged in community planning in numerous San Francisco neighborhoods,
including areas in the South of Market, Mission, Potrero Hill, Bayview Hunters Point, and
Visitacion Valley, that may result in greater densities and expedited approvals than are
currently permissible by law, thereby conferring an economic benefit to landowners. The
Board of Supervisors further recognizes that these areas are targeted in the City's Housing
Element to bear a significant percentage of San Francisco's affordable housing production.
As such, the Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department to conduct the analysis necessary to incorporate affordable housing requirements above and beyond those proscribed in this Ordinance for projects within those community planning areas that are targeted for greater densities and expedited approvals, including those projects that have already submitted applications.

Section 5: A current study commissioned by the Planning Department indicates that existing proposed projects for buildings over 120 feet in height may be less economically able to incorporate the new proposed inclusionary housing percentages. The Planning Department and Mayor's Office of Housing shall consider proposals to distinguish between buildings below 120 feet in height and above 120 feet in height and, if appropriate, propose legislation to the Planning Commission on or before September 1, 2006 to account for the different financial situations of these two types of buildings.

Section 6: It is the Board of Supervisor’s intent in adopting this legislation to incorporate, rather than supersede, any changes made to Planning Code Sections 315.2, 315.3 and 315.6 by the legislation in Board File No. 051668.

Specifically, it is the Board’s intent in adopting this legislation to incorporate the expansion of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program to include projects of 5 units or more, including related amendments to the findings, application date, and calculation of the in lieu fee, if the legislation in Board File No. 051668 is enacted.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

[Signature]

Susan Cleveland-Knowles
Deputy City Attorney

Supervisors Daly, Maxwell, Mirkarimi, Duffy, Peskin

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Ordinance amending Planning Code Sections 315.1, 315.2, 315.3, 315.4, and 315.5, 315.6, and 315.8 related to the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program to increase the percentage of affordable housing required for all residential developments of 10 units or more; to adjust the way low and median income is calculated from a method using Metropolitan Statistical Area calculations to City and County of San Francisco calculations; to provide, with certain exceptions, that the amendments apply to any housing project that has not received a first site or building permit by the effective date of this legislation and applies to phased projects on separate but adjacent lots; to provide for mandatory lotteries to market new inclusionary units and the maintenance of a list generated from a lottery for units that become available; to require a project sponsor that elects an alternative to providing on-site inclusionary housing to make its election at the time of project approval; to allow project sponsors to use California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) tax-exempt bonds to finance inclusionary units under certain circumstances; to build all off-site inclusionary units within one mile of the principal project and to offer those units as rental housing or as ownership housing affordable to households earning no more than 80% of median income; requiring the Mayor's Office of Housing to adjust the in-lieu fee annually and no later than July 1 and to report the adjustment to the Board of Supervisors; requiring the Mayor's Office of Housing to adjust the in-lieu fee annually and no later than July 1 and to report the adjustment to the Board of Supervisors; requiring the Mayor's Office of Housing to evaluate its monitoring procedures and those of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency with the goal of establishing one monitoring system for all inclusionary units in the City and County of San Francisco; requiring the Planning Department to submit a completed impact study to the Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission no later than October 1, 2006, requesting that the Planning Department and Mayor's Office of Housing conduct certain additional studies described herein; and making findings including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the General Plan.

July 25, 2006 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE
   Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

July 25, 2006 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED
   Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

August 1, 2006 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED
   Ayes: 10 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin
   Excused: 1 - Sandoval
I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on August 1, 2006 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Date Approved

Mayor Gavin Newsom