1

[Settlement of Lawsuit – Icenogle IMR Joint Venture - \$1,330,000]

2

3 4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Icenogle IMR Joint Venture against the City and County of San Francisco for \$1,330,000; the lawsuit was filed on September 24, 2007, in San Francisco County Superior Court, and subsequently transferred to Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG08391219; entitled Icenogle IMR Joint Venture v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; other material terms of said settlement are: (1) mutual releases except for latent defects, (2) dismissal of all complaints and cross-complaints with prejudice, (3) each side to bear its own attorneys fees and costs, and (4) plaintiff to be responsible for resolving outstanding stop notices.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Icenogle IMR Joint Venture, v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.," Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG08391219 by the release of retention and contract balance of \$547,000 and the additional payment of \$783,000 for a total of \$1,330,00; mutual releases except for latent defects, dismissals of all complaints and cross complaints with prejudice, each side to bear its own attorneys fees and costs, and the plaintiff to be responsible for resolving outstanding stop notices.

///

///

///

///

///

20

21

22

23

24

25



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

File Number:

100958

Date Passed: September 14, 2010

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Icenogle IMR Joint Venture against the City and County of San Francisco for \$1,330,000; the lawsuit was filed on September 24, 2007, in San Francisco County Superior Court, and subsequently transferred to Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG08391219; entitled Icenogle IMR Joint Venture v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; other material terms of said settlement are: (1) mutual releases except for latent defects, (2) dismissal of all complaints and cross-complaints with prejudice, (3) each side to bear its own attorneys fees and costs, and (4) plaintiff to be responsible for resolving outstanding stop notices.

August 10, 2010 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 10 - Alioto-Pier, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell and

Mirkarimi

Excused: 1 - Avalos

ที่ Newsom

September 14, 2010 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell and Mirkarimi

File No. 100958

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 9/14/2010 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board