

1 [Resolution For 75% Waste Diversion Goal]

2
3 **Resolution adopting a goal of 75% landfill diversion by the year 2010 and a long term**
4 **goal of zero waste, with the date set by the San Francisco Commission on the**
5 **Environment once the 50% diversion goal is met, and adopting a policy of promoting**
6 **the highest and best use of discarded materials and only allowing the use of alternative**
7 **daily cover to be counted as credit toward meeting the diversion goals if there are no**
8 **higher and better uses available, and urging the State of California to adopt similar**
9 **goals.**

10
11 WHEREAS, The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 ("Act") requires
12 cities and counties to reduce, reuse and recycle (including composting) solid waste generated
13 in the state to the maximum extent feasible before incineration or landfill disposal of waste to
14 conserve water, energy and other natural resources, and to protect the environment; and

15 WHEREAS, The California Integrated Waste Management Board's 2001 Strategic Plan
16 has a goal of a "zero-waste," but the Act mandates only that California cities and counties
17 divert 50% from landfills and does not set any subsequent waste reduction goals; and

18 WHEREAS, The landfill diversion rate increased statewide from 10% to 42% between
19 1989 and 2000, while the amount of waste dumped in landfills only decreased by 13% during
20 that period; and San Francisco's diversion rate increased from 35% to 46% between 1990
21 and 2000 (and is expected to exceed 50% diversion by 2003), while the tonnage from San
22 Francisco disposed in landfills actually increased by over 30%; and

23 WHEREAS, For every ton of municipal waste landfilled, 71 tons of manufacturing and
24 production waste are disposed of in other ways; and

1 WHEREAS, Disposing of waste in landfills or incinerators endangers public health and
2 the environment by polluting the land, water and air with methane and other greenhouse
3 gases, toxic metals, dioxins, acid gases and toxic ash residue; and

4 WHEREAS, Landfill and incinerator disposal fees do not reflect true costs, because
5 taxpayers pay billions of dollars in tax subsidies for virgin resource material extraction and
6 processing, as well as bear the costs of the subsequent environmental and public health
7 damage; and

8 WHEREAS, Waste reduction, reuse and recycling conserve natural resources, reduce
9 pollution and are cheaper than disposal when all costs are considered and create more jobs in
10 local communities; and

11 WHEREAS, To maximize waste reduction, reuse and recycling, product manufacturers
12 must share responsibility for their product and packaging waste and participate in redesign of
13 the product lifecycle process to ensure that all material can be safely recycled; and

14 WHEREAS, Alameda County has set a goal of achieving a 75% waste diversion rate
15 by 2010, and several jurisdictions have adopted zero waste as a long term goal, including
16 Santa Cruz and Del Norte Counties in California; Seattle, Washington; Toronto, Canada;
17 Canberra, Australia; New South Wales, Australia and 45% of New Zealand's local
18 governments; and

19 WHEREAS, Under the Waste Disposal Agreement for San Francisco's waste at the
20 Altamont landfill, approximately 7 million tons capacity remained as of January 1, 2001, which
21 is less than 10 years capacity at 2000 disposal levels, and a new landfill contract could
22 significantly increase San Francisco disposal costs; and

23 WHEREAS, A variety of businesses have adopted zero waste goals or have reduced
24 landfilled waste by more than 80%, with some over 90%, including Amdahl Corporation,
25 Collins & Aikman, Fetzer Winery, Herman Miller Inc., Hewlett Packard, Mad River Brewing,

1 Interface, Inc., Pillsbury, Xerox Corp., San Diego Wild Animal Park, and in San Francisco,
2 restaurants including Jardiniere, Lulu and Scoma's; and

3 WHEREAS, A zero waste goal can act as a guiding principle to eliminate waste and
4 pollution in resource and materials management by promoting: redesign of manufacture, use,
5 and recycling of materials, extended producer responsibility, valuing the highest and best use
6 of materials, and creating a whole system closed loop material cycling based economy for
7 long term true sustainability; and

8 WHEREAS, On April 16, 2002, the San Francisco Commission on the Environment
9 adopted a Resolution urging, among other things, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of
10 the City and County of San Francisco to adopt a goal of 75% landfill diversion by the year
11 2010 and a goal of zero waste by 2020; and

12 WHEREAS, AB 1647 (1996) allows communities unlimited diversion or recycling credit
13 for covering landfills (called "alternative daily cover" or ADC) with landscape trimmings
14 ("green") material or other "beneficial reuses" at landfills; and

15 WHEREAS, Giving communities diversion credit for putting green material in landfills
16 has contributed to the quadrupling of ADC use at some landfills, with as much as 45% of the
17 material in the landfill now being ADC; and

18 WHEREAS, The use of ADC in the state has increased from 394,000 tons in 1995 to
19 over 1.7 million tons in 2000, with an additional 2.5 million tons in 2000 used for landfill
20 construction and erosion control; and

21 WHEREAS, Using green material as compost or landscaping mulch is more beneficial
22 than putting it in a landfill, the demand for compost and mulch continues to exceed the supply
23 available, there is excess capacity at composting facilities and there are alternative materials
24 available to cover landfills; and therefore, be it
25

1 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors adopts a goal for San Francisco of 75%
2 landfill diversion by the year 2010; and authorizes the San Francisco Commission on the
3 Environment to adopt a long term goal of zero waste, ~~with the date set once~~ when the 50%
4 diversion goal is met, ~~and will establish~~ including a timeline to achieve ~~a goal of~~ zero waste
5 once the 50% diversion goal is met; and, be it

6 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the state legislature to
7 adopt a zero waste goal with an interim goal of 75% by the year 2010; and, be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors adopts a policy of promoting
9 the highest and best use of discarded materials and only allowing the use of alternative daily
10 cover to be counted as credit toward meeting the diversion goals if there are no higher and
11 better uses available, and urges the state to adopt similar policies.



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Resolution

File Number: 021468

Date Passed:

Resolution adopting a goal of 75% landfill diversion by the year 2010 and a long term goal of zero waste, with the date set by the San Francisco Commission on the Environment once the 50% diversion goal is met, and adopting a policy of promoting the highest and best use of discarded materials and only allowing the use of alternative daily cover to be counted as credit toward meeting the diversion goals if there are no higher and better uses available, and urging the State of California to adopt similar goals.

September 30, 2002 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE


Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

September 30, 2002 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin, Sandoval, Yee


File No. 021468

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED AS AMENDED on September 30, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.


Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board

OCT 11 2002

Date Approved


Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.