Resolution urging the City Attorney and the General Counsel of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency to cooperate with the League of California Cities, the California Redevelopment Association, and other cities and counties in possible litigation challenging the constitutionality of any seizure by state government of the City’s street maintenance and redevelopment funds.

WHEREAS, The current economic crisis has placed California’s cities under incredible financial pressure and caused city officials to make painful budget cuts, including layoffs and furloughs of city workers, decreasing maintenance and operations of public facilities, and reductions in direct services to keep spending in line with declining revenues; and

WHEREAS, Since the early 1990s the state government of California has seized over $10 billion of city property tax revenues statewide, now amounting to over $900 million each year to fund the state budget even after deducting public safety program payments to cities by the state; and

WHEREAS, Since the early 1990s the state government also has seized $1.04 billion of redevelopment tax increment statewide, and the Governor and Legislature are now considering seizing $350 million each year for three years, beginning in the current fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, On April 30, 2009, in the case of CRA v. Genest, the Sacramento Superior Court found similar efforts by the State to seize redevelopment tax increment for the state general fund to be in direct violation of Article XVI, Section 16 of the State Constitution, added by the voters in 1952 as Proposition 18, which requires that tax increment be used exclusively for the benefit of redevelopment project areas; and
by the voters in 1952 as Proposition 18, which requires that tax increment be used exclusively for the benefit of redevelopment project areas; and

WHEREAS, In his proposed FY 2009-10 budget the Governor has proposed transferring $1 billion of local gas taxes and weight fees to the state general fund to balance the state budget, and over $700 million in local gas taxes permanently in future years, immediately jeopardizing the ability of the City to maintain the City's streets, bridges, traffic signals, streetlights, sidewalks and related traffic safety facilities for the use of the motoring public; and

WHEREAS, The loss of almost all of cities' gas tax funds will seriously compromise cities' ability to perform critical traffic safety related street maintenance, possibly including, but not limited to, drastically curtailing patching, resurfacing, street lighting/traffic signal maintenance, payment of electricity costs for street lights and signals, bridge maintenance and repair, and sidewalk and curb ramp maintenance and repair; and

WHEREAS, Cities and counties maintain 81% of the state road network while the state directly maintains just 8%, and according to a recent statewide needs assessment on a scale of zero (failed) to 100 (excellent), the statewide average pavement condition index (PCI) is 68, or "at risk;" and

WHEREAS, In both Proposition 5 in 1974 and Proposition 2 in 1998 the voters of our state overwhelmingly imposed restrictions on the state's ability to do what the Governor has proposed and the Legislature is considering, and any effort to permanently divert the local share of the gas tax would violate the state constitution and the will of the voters; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco hereby urges the City Attorney and the General Counsel of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency to take all necessary steps to cooperate with the League of California Cities, California Redevelopment Supervisor Maxwell BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Association, and other cities, counties and redevelopment agencies in planning for and supporting possible litigation against the state of California if the legislature enacts and the governor signs into law legislation that unconstitutionally diverts the redevelopment tax increment and the City's share of funding from the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA), also known as the "gas tax," to fund the state general fund; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board shall send this resolution, with the accompanying signature from the Mayor, to the Governor and each of the city's state legislators, informing them of the City's adamant resolve to oppose any effort to frustrate the will of the electorate as expressed in Proposition 18 (1952), Proposition 5 (1974) and Proposition 2 (1998) concerning the proper use and allocation of the redevelopment tax increment and the gas tax.
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Major Gavin Newsom