



City and County of San Francisco
Meeting Minutes
Government Audit and Oversight Committee

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Members: Dean Preston, Connie Chan, Rafael Mandelman

Clerk: John Carroll (415) 554-4445

Thursday, February 18, 2021

10:00 AM

Regular Meeting

Present: 3 - Dean Preston, Connie Chan, and Rafael Mandelman

The Government Audit and Oversight Committee met in regular session through videoconferencing, and provided public comment through teleconferencing, on Thursday, February 18, 2021, with Chair Dean Preston presiding. Chair Preston called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

Remote Access to Information and Participation

In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Order No. N-33-20 declaring a State of Emergency regarding the COVID-19 outbreak and Mayor London N. Breed's Proclamation declaring a Local Emergency issued on February 25, 2020, including the guidance for gatherings issued by the San Francisco Department of Public Health Officer, aggressive directives were issued to reduce the spread of COVID-19. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely (via Microsoft Teams) and will allow remote public comment via teleconference. Visit the SFGovTV website at (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand.

Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via detailed instructions on participating via teleconference available at: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>. Members of the public may participate by phone or may submit their comments by email to: john.carroll@sfgov.org; all comments received will be made a part of the official record. Regularly-scheduled Government Audit and Oversight Committee Meetings begin at 10:00 a.m. on the first and third Thursdays of each month. Committee agendas and their associated documents are available at <https://sfbos.org/committees>.

ROLL CALL AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

On the call of the roll, Chair Preston, Vice Chair Chan, and Member Mandelman were noted present. A quorum was present.

AGENDA CHANGES

There were no agenda changes.

REGULAR AGENDA

201388 [Administrative Code - Protections for Occupants of Residential Hotels During COVID-19 Pandemic]**Sponsors: Peskin; Preston, Mandelman and Chan**

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish protections for occupants of residential hotels ("SRO Residents") during the COVID-19 pandemic by, among other things: making it City policy to place in solitary hotel rooms SRO residents who meet the criteria for isolation or quarantine established by the County Health Officer, and requiring the Department of Public Health to post a notice in the common area and notify the operator of a residential hotel when an SRO Resident has tested positive for COVID-19, to facilitate contact tracing, testing for COVID-19, and cleaning; establish a telephone hotline for SRO Residents, to respond to questions about accessing COVID-19 health screenings, testing, and solitary hotel rooms; provide face coverings to SRO Residents and workers in residential hotels; and provide daily aggregate data concerning the incidence of COVID-19 among SRO Residents, access to quarantine rooms by such residents, and the number of such residents who have died due to complications from COVID-19.

(Fiscal Impact)

12/15/20; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to Land Use and Transportation Committee, expires on 1/14/2021.

12/22/20; REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT. Referred to Rent Board and the Department of Public Health for informational purposes.

12/22/20; TRANSFERRED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee. President Yee transferred this matter from the Land Use and Transportation Committee to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

02/04/21; CONTINUED. Heard in Committee. Speaker: Supervisor Aaron Peskin (Board of Supervisors); presented information and answered questions raised throughout the discussion.

Heard in Committee. Speakers: Supervisor Aaron Peskin (Board of Supervisors); Severin Campbell (Office of the Budget and Legislative Analyst); Dr. Deborah Borne (Department of Public Health); Anne Pearson (Office of the City Attorney); presented information and answered questions raised throughout the discussion. Matthias Mormino (Chinatown Community Development Center); spoke on various concerns relating to the hearing matter.

Supervisors Preston, Mandelman, and Chan requested to be added as co-sponsors.

Member Mandelman moved that this Ordinance be AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE, on Page 1, Lines 11-12, by striking 'provide face coverings to SRO Residents and workers in residential hotels;' on Page 6, Line 12, by striking 'and face coverings'; on Page 6, Lines 15-22, by striking '(2) Within 48 hours of such confirmation, contact all occupants of the Residential Hotel in which the SRO Resident resides and all Close Contacts of the SRO Resident, to offer and initiate COVID-19 testing for such individuals on the site of the Residential Hotel and/or a suitable off-site facility located not more than one block from the Residential Hotel. Where necessary to facilitate contact tracing and testing, the Residential Hotel's Owner or Operator shall provide DPH with access to a list of all SRO Residents, including name and contact information, who have occupied, and individuals who have worked at, the Residential Hotel during the previous two-week period since such confirmation.'; on Page 7, Line 2, by inserting 'and provide a list of City-approved cleaning services'; on Page 7, Lines 21-22, by striking 'The City shall provide face coverings to all SRO Residents and Residential Hotel employees who lack face coverings'; on Page 8, Lines 6-7, by striking 'zip code' and inserting 'census tract'; and on Page 8, Lines 12-22, by inserting '(I) To the extent consistent with state and federal laws governing the confidentiality of medical information, in the event there is a COVID-19 outbreak at an SRO, as defined by California Department of Public Health guidance governing outbreaks in non-healthcare congregate facilities to mean at least three probable or confirmed COVID-19 cases within a 14-day period in epidemiologically-linked residents and/or staff, DPH shall work as quickly as feasible to notify SRO Residents of a possible exposure, and refer them to COVID-19 testing and resources to support placement in I/Q Hotel Rooms. Where necessary to facilitate contact tracing and testing, the Residential Hotel's Owner or Operator shall provide DPH with access to a list of all SRO Residents, including name and contact information, who have occupied, and individuals who have worked at, the Residential Hotel during the previous two-week period since confirmation of the COVID-19 outbreak.' The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish protections for occupants of residential hotels ("SRO Residents") during the COVID-19 pandemic by, among other things: making it City policy to place in solitary hotel rooms SRO residents who meet the criteria for isolation or quarantine established by the County Health Officer, and requiring the Department of Public Health to post a notice in the common area and notify the operator of a residential hotel when an SRO Resident has tested positive for COVID-19, to facilitate contract tracing, testing for COVID-19, and cleaning; establish a telephone hotline for SRO Residents, to respond to questions about accessing COVID-19 health screenings, testing, and solitary hotel rooms; and provide daily aggregate data concerning the incidence of COVID-19 among SRO Residents, access to quarantine rooms by such residents, and the number of such residents who have died due to complications from COVID-19.
(Fiscal Impact)

Member Mandelman moved that this Ordinance be RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

201186 [Police Code - Grocery Store, Drug Store, Restaurant, and On-Demand Delivery Service Worker Protections]**Sponsors: Haney; Walton, Peskin, Safai, Chan, Mar, Ronen, Preston and Mandelman**

Ordinance amending the Police Code to require grocery store, drug store, restaurant, and on-demand delivery service employers to provide health and scheduling protections related to COVID-19 to employees; and to sunset an emergency ordinance with similar requirements.

10/20/20; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to Government Audit and Oversight Committee, expires on 11/19/2020.

10/28/20; REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT. Referred to the Office of Labor Standards Enforcement, the Office of the City Administrator, the Department of Public Health, the Controller, the Police Department, and the Office of Racial Equity for informational purposes. Referred to the Small Business Commission for review and comment.

Heard in Committee. Speakers: Supervisor Matt Haney (Board of Supervisors); Pat Mulligan (Office of Labor Standards Enforcement); Anne Pearson (Office of the City Attorney); presented information and answered questions raised throughout the discussion. Sean Smith; Daniel Menzies; John; Claudia Lee; Kung Feng, Executive Director (Jobs With Justice); Tyler Breisacher; Martin; Sally Okawa; Eddie Hernandez; Speaker; Barry; Lucas Chamberlain; Sophia Lima; Juanna; spoke on various concerns relating to the hearing matter.

Supervisors Preston and Mandelman requested to be added as cosponsors.

AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE, throughout the ordinance text by striking 'employee' and inserting 'worker'; by striking 'employees' and inserting 'workers'; and by striking 'employer' and inserting 'entity'; on Page 2, Line 6-7, by striking 'October 20, 2020' and inserting 'February 18, 2021'; on Page 2, Lines 11-14, by inserting 'were permitted during a period when case rates had declined. Although both were subsequently suspended because of a rise in case rates, outdoor dining was permitted again starting on January 27, 2021, as case rates started to fall'; on Page 3, Lines 4-7, by inserting 'With the adoption by the voters of Proposition 22 (November 3, 2020), delivery drivers and shoppers may be properly classified as independent contractors if certain conditions are met, but these workers remain uniquely vulnerable because they lack the employment protections described in the preceding sentence'; on Page 3, Lines 16-23, to read '(f) Although the Local Health Officer's Orders and Directives have the force of law, and their violation can be punished by fines and misdemeanor criminal prosecution, both employees and workers who are independent contractors whose health may be jeopardized by violations of Health Orders and Directives, may have no effective remedy. This Article 33M is required to provide effective remedies to workers, including employees and independent contractors, whose rights are violated, and in turn to reduce the likelihood of COVID-19 infection among workers at grocery stores, drug stores, restaurants, and on-demand delivery services and the members of the public with whom they interact.'; on Page 4, Lines 9-17, to read "'Covered Entity" means any person, as defined in Section 18 of the California Labor Code, including corporate officers or executives, who directly or indirectly or through an agent or any other person, including through the services of a temporary services or staffing agency or similar entity, employs, suffers or permits to work, or exercises control over the wages, hours, or working conditions, or facilitates contracting for delivery services of a Worker for any of the following: (a) a grocery store, supermarket, convenience store, restaurant, cafe, or other establishment primarily engaged in the retail sale of food; or (b) a drug store, pharmacy, or other establishment primarily engaged in the retail sale of medication, pharmaceuticals, or medical supplies; or (c) an On-Demand Delivery Service.'; on Page 4, Lines 18-22, by striking "'Employee" means any person who in a particular week performs at least two hours of work for a Covered Employer within the geographical boundaries of the City, without regard to whether the Covered Employer classifies the person as an employee for any other purpose. "Employee" includes, without limitation, shoppers and drivers for an On-Demand Delivery Service'; on Page 5, Lines 4-8, by inserting "'Worker" means any person who in a particular week performs at least two hours of work within the geographical boundaries of the City for, or contracted through the online or mobile application of, a Covered Entity, without regard to whether the Covered Entity classifies the person as an employee or an independent contractor. "Worker" includes, without limitation, shoppers and drivers for an On-Demand Delivery Service'; on Page 6, Lines 3-4, to read '(4) Covered Entities must require Workers to regularly disinfect high-touch surfaces during their work and must pay the Workers for doing so'; on Page 6, Lines 10-12, by striking 'or emergency paid sick leave under the Families First Coronavirus Response Act, Public Law No. 116-127, Section 5102(a) and implementing regulations, 29 CFR § 826.20, as may be amended from time to time'; on Page 7, Lines 14-24, by inserting '**SEC. 3300M.6. NOTICE TO WORKERS.**

(a) The Agency shall, within seven days of the effective date of this Article 33M, publish and make available on its website and through electronic communication to Covered Entities a notice suitable for Covered Entities to inform Workers of their rights under this Article.

(b) Every Covered Entity shall, within 10 days after the Agency has published and made available the notice described in subsection (a), provide the notice to Workers in a manner calculated to reach all Workers: by posting in a conspicuous place at the workplace, via electronic communication, and/or by posting in a conspicuous place in a Covered Entity's web-based or app-based platform. Every Covered Entity shall provide the notice in English, Spanish, Chinese, Filipino and any language spoken by at least 5% of the Workers who are, or prior to the Public Health Emergency were, at the workplace or job site.'; on Page 8, Lines 13-23, to read 'Further, the Agency may order the payment of an additional sum as an administrative penalty of \$25 to each Worker or other person whose rights under this Article 33M were violated for each day or portion thereof that the violation occurred or continued. For

any violation committed by a Covered Entity that either (a) is an On-Demand Delivery Service or (b) has 500 or more employees in any location worldwide, including at least 20 Workers, the minimum total administrative penalty for a violation shall be not less than \$1,000 for the Covered Entity's first violation, \$5,000 for the second violation, and \$10,000 for the third and subsequent violations. For the purpose of this calculation of the minimum penalty, if multiple Workers are impacted by the same violation at the same time, the Agency shall treat the violation as a single violation rather than multiple violations'; on Page 13, Lines 5-7, to read 'This Article 33M shall expire by operation of law the sooner of (a) two years from its effective date or (b) the Local Health Officer's termination of the local health emergency. Upon expiration of this Article, the City Attorney shall cause it to be removed from the Police Code'; and making conforming and clerical changes throughout; by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

Ordinance amending the Police Code to require grocery store, drug store, restaurant, and on-demand delivery service employers to provide health and scheduling protections related to COVID-19 to workers; and to sunset an emergency ordinance with similar requirements.

CONTINUED AS AMENDED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting of March 4, 2021, by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210038 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Revise Shelter-in-Place Hotels' Standard Agreements with Existing Owners to Include an Option to Purchase the Hotels and/or a Long-Term Lease]

Sponsors: Safai; Walton, Haney and Preston

Resolution urging the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to work with the Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee, Planning Department, City Attorney, Mayor's Office of Housing Community Development, and California Department of Housing and Community Development to identify and determine possible hotels to purchase, that includes the City's current 25 leased Shelter-in-Place Hotels to consider if these hotels can be permanently acquired through state and local funds and/or offer a long-term lease option that shall not be less than ten years.

01/12/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

01/20/21; REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT. Referred to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to work with the Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee, Planning Department, City Attorney, and the Mayor's Office of Housing Community Development for informational purposes.

Heard in Committee. Speakers: Supervisor Ahsha Safai (Board of Supervisors); Jennifer Freidenbach, Executive Director (Coalition on Homelessness); Emily Cohen (Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing); Andrico Penick, Director (Real Estate Division); Eric Shaw, Director (Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development); Ben Rosenfield, City Controller (Office of the Controller); Anne Pearson (Office of the City Attorney); presented information and answered questions raised throughout the discussion. Rebecca Tang (Tenderloin Housing Clinic); spoke on various concerns relating to the hearing matter.

Supervisor Preston requested to be added as a co-sponsor.

Vice Chair Chan moved that this Resolution be **AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE**, on Page 1, Line 16, by inserting 'Unsheltered'; on Page 1, Line 24, by striking 'housing' and inserting 'sheltering'; on Page 2, Lines 5-9, by striking 'WHEREAS, On December 8, 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved the acquisition of the Granada Hotel at 1000 Sutter Street for permanent housing using the Homekey match funding by Resolution No. 554-20, and the Mayor signed the legislation on December 11, 2020, which was three days after Board approval and less than 30 days from when item was initially heard at the Budget and Finance Committee; and'; on Page 2, Line 10, through Page 3, Line 3, by inserting 'WHEREAS, On August 11, 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved the Department to apply for Homekey grant funds in an amount not to exceed \$45,000,000 from the California Department of Housing and Community Development on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; and WHEREAS, On October 6, 2020 the Board of Supervisors unanimously approved the the Department to execute a Standard Agreement for up to \$45,000,000 of Homekey grant funds to the California Department of Housing and Community Development to Episcopal Community Services for the acquisition of the Hotel Granada at 1000 Sutter Street for Supportive Housing; and WHEREAS, On November 17, 2020 the Board of unanimously approved the Department to execute a Standard Agreement for up to \$30,000,000 of Homekey grant funds to the California Department of Housing and Community Development to Episcopal Community Services for the acquisition of a 130-unit tourist hotel located at 440 Geary Street for use of future Permanent Supportive Housing; and WHEREAS, On December 8, 2020 the Board of Supervisors approved the revised Standard Agreement for up to \$49,000,000 of Homekey grant funds for the acquisition of the Granada Hotel at 1000 Sutter Street for Permanent Supportive Housing; and'; on Page 3, Lines 13-16, by inserting 'and to purchase or long-term lease existing sites that meet the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing's criteria for potential future permanent supportive housing sites as determined in the Request For Information (RFI) 100-A'; on Page 3, Line 18, through Page 4, Line 2, to read 'WHEREAS, Precedent has been established through the acquisition of the 130-unit tourist hotel at 440 Geary Street that the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), Committee, Planning Department, City Attorney's Office, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, and Department of Real Estate can identify and determine which of the 25 Shelter-in-Place Hotels and any other existing and appropriate sites that can be permanently acquired through the California Homekey Program and other recently passed ballot measures; and through Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing's RFI 100-A that is open for submissions through April 30, 2021; and'; on Page 4, Line 9, by inserting 'and any other appropriate sites to purchase or long-term lease'; on Page 4, Lines 14-15, by inserting 'and any other existing appropriate sites saves cost'; on Page 4, Lines 24-25, by inserting 'and any other existing and appropriate sites for Permanent and Supportive Housing'; on Page 5, Line 4, by striking 'California Department of Housing and Community Development' and inserting 'Department of Real Estate'; on Page 5, Line 6, by inserting 'federal'; and making other conforming and clerical changes throughout the legislation. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

Resolution urging the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to work with the Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee, Planning Department, City Attorney, Mayor's Office of Housing Community Development, and California Department of Housing and Community Development to identify and determine possible hotels to purchase, that includes the City's current 25 leased Shelter-in-Place Hotels to consider if these hotels can be permanently acquired through federal, state and local funds and/or offer a long-term lease option that shall not be less than ten years.

Vice Chair Chan moved that this Resolution be **RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED**. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

LITIGATION

Chair Preston requested that File Nos. 210049, 210050, 210065, 210112, 210066, and 210067 be heard together.

Heard in Committee. Speakers: None.

Conference with City Attorney

[Convene in Closed Session - Existing Litigation - City as Plaintiff and/or Defendant]

Motion that the Government Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session with the City Attorney for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation and anticipated litigation. Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) permit this closed session. Discussion in open session concerning these matters would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the pending lawsuits and claims listed below.

After a closed session, if one occurs, the Committee shall adopt a motion either to disclose or not to disclose.

The Deputy City Attorney reported that the Government Audit and Oversight Committee has met in closed session with the City Attorney, under the provisions of Government Code Section 54956.9 (a) and Administrative Code Section 67.8 (3), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding settlements in the lawsuits or claims listed above.

Persons in attendance: Anne Pearson (Office of the City Attorney); Charlene Angsuco and Scott Grindy (Recreation and Parks Department); Alisa Somera and John Carroll (Office of the Clerk of the Board).

Chair Preston moved to convene in closed session. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210049 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Benito Taylor - \$85,000]

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Benito Taylor against the City and County of San Francisco for \$85,000; the lawsuit was filed on October 18, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-570702; entitled Benito Taylor v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury when plaintiff tripped and fell over an uncovered utility box on the sidewalk. (City Attorney)

01/05/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

01/26/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210050 [Settlement of Unlitigated Claims - A2Z Development USA, Inc. - \$240,000]

Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claims filed by A2Z Development USA, Inc. against the City and County of San Francisco for \$240,000; the claims were filed on February 14, 2020; the claims involve a refund of payroll expense and gross receipts taxes. (City Attorney)

01/08/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

01/26/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210065 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Gayle L. Brownlee - \$65,000]

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Gayle L. Brownlee against the City and County of San Francisco for \$65,000; the lawsuit was filed on October 11, 2019, in United States District Court, Case No. 4:19-cv-06554-YGR; entitled Gayle L. Brownlee v. Kris Mohler, et al.; the lawsuit involves an employment dispute. (City Attorney)

01/22/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

02/02/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210112 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Maurice Caldwell - \$2,500,000]

Ordinance authorizing entry of Judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 68, in favor of Plaintiff Maurice Caldwell, and against the City and County of San Francisco, in the amount of \$2,500,000 in settlement of the lawsuit filed on April 16, 2012 in United States District Court, Case No. 12-cv-1892 DMR, entitled Maurice Caldwell v. City and County of San Francisco, et al; the lawsuit alleges fabrication of evidence and wrongful conviction. (City Attorney)

01/26/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

02/09/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210066 [Settlement of Grievance - Service Employees International Union, Local 1021- \$54,167.32]

Resolution approving settlement of the grievance by Service Employees International Union, Local 1021, against the City and County of San Francisco for \$54,167.32; the grievance was filed on June 27, 2019; the grievance involves an employment dispute under the Memorandum of Understanding. (City Attorney)

01/22/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

02/02/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

210067 [Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Pacific Gas & Electric Company - Not to Exceed \$190,000,000]

Resolution approving settlement of the City's claims against Pacific Gas & Electric Company ("PG&E") concerning environmental pollution at the Marina East Harbor; City to dismiss claims against PG&E pursuant to cost-sharing agreement whereby PG&E and City will cooperate on the environmental cleanup and reconstruction of the Marina Yacht Harbor; PG&E to pay up to \$190 million toward the cleanup and reconstruction, with the Recreation and Park Department to repay PG&E up to \$29.4 million over 30 years solely out of Marina revenues; other material terms include possible recording of land use covenants, mutual indemnity, and each party to bear its own legal costs. (City Attorney)

01/25/21; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

02/02/21; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

RECOMMENDED by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

[Elect Not To Disclose]

Motion that the Committee finds that it is in the best interest of the public that the Committee elect at this time not to disclose its closed session deliberations listed above.

Chair Preston moved not to disclose closed session deliberations. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Preston, Chan, Mandelman

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Government Audit and Oversight Committee adjourned at the hour of 1:26 p.m.

N.B. The Minutes of this meeting set forth all actions taken by the Government Audit and Oversight Committee on the matters stated, but not necessarily in the chronological sequence in which the matters were taken up.