BOARD COMMITTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Membership</th>
<th>Meeting Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget and Finance Committee</strong></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Fewer, Walton, Mandelman</td>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Audit and Oversight Committee</strong></td>
<td>1st and 3rd Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Mar, Peskin, Haney</td>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint City, School District, and City College Select Committee</strong></td>
<td>2nd Friday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Haney, Fewer, Mar (Alt), Commissioners Moliga, Collins, Cook (Alt),</td>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustees Randolph, Williams, Selby (Alt)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Use and Transportation Committee</strong></td>
<td>Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston</td>
<td>1:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee</strong></td>
<td>2nd and 4th Thursday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Walton</td>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rules Committee</strong></td>
<td>Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors Ronen, Stefani, Mar</td>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First-named Supervisor is Chair, Second-named Supervisor is Vice-Chair of the Committee.
Agenda Item Information
Each item on the Consent or Regular agenda may include the following documents:

1) Legislation
2) Budget and Legislative Analyst report
3) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report
4) Public correspondence

These items will be available for review at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, Reception Desk.

Meeting Procedures
The Board of Supervisors is the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board has several standing committees where ordinances and resolutions are the subject of hearings at which members of the public are urged to testify. The full Board does not hold a second public hearing on measures which have been heard in committee.

Board procedures do not permit: 1) persons in the audience to vocally express support or opposition to statements by Supervisors or by other persons testifying; 2) ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices; 3) bringing in or displaying signs in the meeting room; and 4) standing in the meeting room.

Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the President or Chair at the beginning of each item or public comment, excluding City representatives, except that public speakers using interpretation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. If simultaneous interpretation services are used, speakers will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers not requesting interpretation assistance. Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk of the Board (bos.legislation@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION: The public is encouraged to testify at Committee meetings. Persons unable to attend the meeting may submit to the City, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the agenda items. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written communications expected to be made a part of the official file should be submitted to the Clerk of the Board or Clerk of a Committee: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Communications which are not received prior to the hearing may be delivered to the Clerk of the Board or Clerk of the Committee at the hearing and you are encouraged to bring enough copies for distribution to all of its members.

COPYRIGHT: All system content that is broadcasted live during public proceedings is secured by High-bandwidth Digital Content Protection (HDCP), which prevents copyrighted or encrypted content from being displayed or transmitted through unauthorized devices. Members of the public who wish to utilize chamber digital, audio and visual technology may not display copyrighted or encrypted content during public proceedings.


LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS: Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino at all regular and special Board and Committee meetings if made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services: Contact Wilson Ng or Arthur Khoo at (415) 554-5184.

所有常規及特別市參事委員會會議（Board meetings）除委員會會議（Committee meetings）將予以提供西班牙文, 菲律賓文，及中文的語言服務，但須在會議前最少48小時作出請求，旨在確保服務屆時可予以提供。更多資訊或請求有關服務, 請致電 (415) 554-7719聯絡Linda Wong.

AVISO EN ESPAÑOL: Los servicios de idiomas están disponibles en español, chino, y filipino en todas las reuniones regulares y reuniones especiales de la Junta, de los Comités, si se solicita por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión para ayudar a garantizar su disponibilidad. Para más información o solicitar servicios, por favor contactar a (415) 554-5184.
PAUNAWA: Mayroong serbisyong pang-wika sa Espanyol, Tsino at Pilipino para sa lahat ng mga regular at espesyal na pagpupulong ng Board, at Komite ng Board. Sa kasalukuyan, mayroong serbisyo sa wikang Pilipino na maaaring hilingin, 48 oras (o mas maaga) bago ng pagpupulong upang matiyak na matutugunan ang inyong kahilingan. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon o para humiling ng serbisyo pang-wika, tawagan lamang ang (415) 554-5184.

**Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**
The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different types of disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have an equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.

Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the public of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly to such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to people with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train staff to respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and that requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical assistance to City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable modifications.

The Board of Supervisors and Office of the Clerk of the Board support the Mayor’s Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where all people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal discrimination under disability rights laws.

Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Board and Committee meeting agendas and minutes are available on the Board’s website (www.sfbos.org) and adhere to web development guidelines based upon the Federal Access Board's Section 508 Guidelines. To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact Wilson Ng at (415) 554-5184 (wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org).

**Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance**
Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at sotff@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free company of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine.

**Ethics Requirements**
Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; web site http://www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit sfethics.org.
Remote Access to Information and Participation

In accordance with Governor Newsom’s Executive Order No. N-33-20 declaring a State of Emergency regarding the COVID-19 outbreak and Mayor London N. Breed’s Proclamation declaring a Local Emergency issued on February 25, 2020, including the guidance for gatherings issued by the San Francisco Department of Public Health Officer, aggressive directives were issued to reduce the spread of COVID-19. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely (via Microsoft Teams) and will allow remote public comment via teleconference. Visit the SFGovTV website at (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via detailed instructions on participating via teleconference available at: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call.

Members of the public may participate by phone or may submit their comments by email to: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org; all comments received will be made a part of the official record. Board of Supervisors Regular Meetings begin at 2:00 p.m. on Tuesdays. Board Agendas and their associated documents are available at https://sfbos.org/meetings/42.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN
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As the COVID-19 disease progresses, please visit the Board’s website (www.sfbos.org) regularly to be updated on the current situation as it affects the legislative process. For more information contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

COMMUNICATIONS

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Approval of the June 30, 2020, and July 7, 2020, Regular Board Meeting Minutes.

AGENDA CHANGES
CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed hereunder constitute a Consent Agenda, are considered to be routine by the Board of Supervisors and will be acted upon by a single roll call vote of the Board. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board so requests, in which event the matter shall be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item.

Questions on the Consent Agenda are on final passage, first reading, adoption, or approved, as indicated.

Items 1 through 18

Recommendations of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Present: Supervisors Mar, Haney

1. 200661 [Settlement of Lawsuit - David Timothy Rudolf - $120,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by David Timothy Rudolf against the City and County of San Francisco for $120,000; the lawsuit was filed on January 15, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-572800; entitled David Timothy Rudolf v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury on a City street. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

2. 200662 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Jonathan Garcia Valle - $850,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Jonathan Garcia Valle against the City and County of San Francisco for $850,000; the lawsuit was filed on December 19, 2017, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-17-563210; entitled Jonathan Garcia Valle v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury from vehicle collision. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

3. 200663 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Anthony Economus - $275,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Anthony Economus against the City and County of San Francisco for $275,000; the lawsuit was filed on December 19, 2017, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-17-563210; entitled Anthony Economus v. Flint Paul; the lawsuit involves an alleged civil rights violation. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

4. 200664 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Oliver Barcenas, Sofia Barcenas - $180,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Oliver Barcenas and Sofia Barcenas against the City and County of San Francisco for $180,000; the lawsuit was filed on May 10, 2019, in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-575696; entitled Oliver Barcenas, et. al v. City and County of San Francisco, et. al; the lawsuit involves alleged civil rights violations. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
5. **200692**  
   **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Lina Lu, Alexandra Anderson, and Stella Osborne - $49,000]**  
   Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Lina Lu, Alexandra Anderson, and Stella Osborne against the City and County of San Francisco for $49,000; the lawsuit was filed on May 6, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-19-516659; entitled Lina Lu et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; the lawsuit involves a challenge to the San Francisco Police Department’s procedures for producing incident reports in domestic violence cases; other material terms of the settlement are the adoption of changes in City protocols for producing domestic violence incident reports. (City Attorney)

   **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

6. **200693**  
   **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Erin Sheeran, as Conservator for Cara Sheeran - $97,500]**  
   Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Erin Sheeran, as Conservator for Cara Sheeran, against the City and County of San Francisco for $97,500; the lawsuit was filed on July 25, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-568392; entitled Erin Sheeran, as Conservator for Cara Sheeran v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury by San Francisco Fire Department employees. (City Attorney)

   **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

7. **200694**  
   **[Settlement of Lawsuit - San Francisco Museum of Modern Art; Yerba Buena Arts & Events - City to Receive $1,200,000]**  
   Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit brought by the City and County of San Francisco against San Francisco Museum of Modern Art and Yerba Buena Arts & Events for $1,200,000; the lawsuit was filed on April 27, 2017, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-17-558438; entitled Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco, commonly known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII) v. San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, Yerba Buena Arts & Events, and Does 1-20; the lawsuit involves damage to the Crescent Pool at the Yerba Buena Gardens Plaza allegedly caused by an SFMOMA fundraiser. (City Attorney)

   **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

8. **200695**  
   **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Rashad Abdullah, Individually and as the Guardian for Minor T.A.; and T.A., a Minor, By and Through Her Guardian - $150,000]**  
   Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Rashad Abdullah, individually and as the guardian for minor T.A.; and T.A., a minor, by and through her guardian against the City and County of San Francisco for $150,000; the lawsuit was filed on September 3, 2019, in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-cv-05526-LB; entitled Rashad Abdullah, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged civil rights violations. (City Attorney)

   **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
9. **200726** [Settlement of Lawsuit - Christian Miller - $750,000]
   Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Christian Miller against the City and County of San Francisco for $750,000; the lawsuit was filed on December 28, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-572391; entitled Christian Miller v. Juan Francisco Ceballos, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury from a vehicle collision. (City Attorney)
   
   **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

10. **200727** [Settlement of Lawsuit - Christopher Kurrle - $50,000]
    Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Christopher Kurrle against the City and County of San Francisco for $50,000; the lawsuit was filed on May 11, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-566499; entitled Christopher Kurrle v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury in connection with a bicycle collision. (City Attorney)
    
    **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

11. **200758** [Settlement of Lawsuit - Hastings College of the Law - $0]
    Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Hastings College of the Law against the City and County of San Francisco for $0 and a Stipulated Injunction; the lawsuit was filed on May 4, 2020, in United States District Court, Case No. 20-cv-3033; entitled Hastings College of the Law, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves alleged civil rights violations, Federal Americans with Disabilities and California Disabled Persons Act violations, Negligence; Public Nuisance; Private Nuisance and Inverse Condemnation. (City Attorney)
    
    **Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

12. **200665** [Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Chez Maman West - $36,664.99]
    Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by Chez Maman West against the City and County of San Francisco for $36,664.99; the claim was filed on January 8, 2020; the claim involves alleged property damage due to sewer flooding. (City Attorney)
    
    **Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

13. **200666** [Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Francisco Rivero - $39,700]
    Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by Francisco Rivero against the City and County of San Francisco for $39,700; the claim was filed on January 7, 2020; the claim involves property damage arising from sewer main pipe overflow as a result of heavy rain. (City Attorney)
    
    **Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

14. **200696** [Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - GSW Arena LLC - $440,318]
    Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by GSW Arena LLC against the City and County of San Francisco for $440,318; the claim seeks a refund of stadium operator admission tax (“Tax”) paid for events occurring in September and October 2019; additional material terms of the settlement are an agreement by GSW Arena LLC to file and pay the Tax for all events on or after November 1, 2019, and a waiver of penalties and a portion of the interest for late-filed returns for events in November 2019 through March 2020. (City Attorney)
    
    **Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?
15. **200697** [Settlement of Grievance - San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798 - $68,420.98]

Resolution approving settlement of the grievance by the San Francisco Fire Fighters, Local 798, against the City and County of San Francisco for $68,420.98; the grievance was filed on August 27, 2017; the grievance involves a compensation dispute under the Memorandum of Understanding. (City Attorney)

**Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

---

**Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee**

*Present: Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston*


**Sponsor:** Ronen

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide that in the Mission Area Plan portion of the Urban Mixed Use District all office uses not in a landmark building are prohibited, except that a Professional Service, Financial Service, or Medical Service is allowed as a conditional use on the ground floor when primarily open to the general public on a client-oriented basis; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

07/28/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

**Question:** Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

17. **200421** [Planning Code - Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots - Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District]

**Sponsor:** Ronen

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

07/28/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

**Question:** Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?
Recommendation of the Rules Committee

Present: Supervisors Ronen, Stefani, Mar

18. 200244 [Administrative Code - Food Purchasing Standards and Departmental Goals]
Sponsors: Fewer; Mandelman
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to introduce standards and goals for food purchasing by the Department of Public Health and Sheriff’s Department in hospitals and jails.

07/28/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

REGULAR AGENDA

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Recommendation of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee

Present: Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Walton

19. 191283 [Police and Public Works Codes - Administrative Penalties and Fines for Illegal Dumping]
Sponsors: Walton; Fewer, Haney, Safai, Stefani and Mandelman
Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to authorize the Public Works Director to impose administrative penalties and fines for illegal dumping, provide for additional enforcement remedies for illegal dumping, expand the definition of illegal dumping to address electronic waste and hazardous waste, and clarify that each act of illegal dumping constitutes a separate violation subject to abatement or enforcement actions; amending the Police Code to provide procedures for assessment and collection of administrative penalties for illegal dumping; and affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

07/21/2020; CONTINUED ON FIRST READING.

07/28/2020; AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE.

07/28/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?
NEW BUSINESS

Recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee

Present: Supervisors Fewer, Walton, Mandelman

20. **200708**  
   [Supporting the Intent to Fund COVID -19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and Social Housing Program Fund]  
   Sponsors: Preston; Walton  
   Resolution supporting housing stability by indicating the Board of Supervisors’ intent to appropriate revenue generated by a November 2020 transfer tax ballot measure to a COVID-19 Rent Resolution and Relief Fund and a Social Housing Program Fund.  
   Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

21. **200768**  
   [Maintenance Agreement - Installation and Maintenance of Transportation Art - California Department of Transportation]  
   Sponsor: Mayor  
   Resolution approving a maintenance agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the California Department of Transportation (“Caltrans”) for the City’s maintenance of artwork on right-of-way within Caltrans jurisdiction located at the north and south boarding platforms of the Van Ness Avenue and Geary Street station between Geary and O’Farrell Streets for the Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit Project; and the City’s indemnification of Caltrans for any claims occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by City under the agreement.  
   Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee

Present: Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston

22. **200213**  
   [Planning Code - 100% Affordable Housing and Educator Housing Streamlining Program]  
   Sponsors: Fewer, Mar, Peskin, Haney, Walton, Mandelman, Ronen, Safai and Preston  
   Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow extra height, exceeding otherwise applicable height limitations, for 100% Affordable Housing and Educator Housing projects, and to allow such projects to be constructed on parcels greater than 8,000 square feet or which contain only surface parking lots and do not demolish any existing buildings; making findings that the Ordinance furthers the purpose of Planning Code, Section 206.9; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.  
   (Pursuant to Planning Code, Section 206.9(h), this matter requires two-thirds vote of the full membership of the Board of Supervisors (8 votes) for passage.)  
   Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
23. **200214**

[Planning Code - Conditional Use Review and Approval Process - Priority Processing and Reduced Application Fee for Certain Uses of Commercial Space]

**Sponsors:** Peskin; Ronen, Fewer and Haney

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to expedite the Conditional Use authorization review and approval process and reduce the application fee for certain uses of commercial space; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

**Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

24. **200215**

[Planning Code - Arts Activities, Social Service or Philanthropic Facilities, and COVID-19 Recovery Activities as Temporary Uses; Fee Waiver for COVID-19 Recovery Activities]

**Sponsors:** Ronen; Fewer, Walton, Haney and Peskin

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow Arts Activities, and Social Service or Philanthropic Facilities, and COVID-19 Recovery Activities as a temporary use in vacant ground-floor commercial space; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

**Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

25. **200270**

[Bi-Annual Housing Balance Report No. 10]

**Sponsor:** Mar

Resolution receiving and approving the bi-annual Housing Balance Report No. 10, dated April 1, 2020, submitted as required by Planning Code, Section 103.

**Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

**Recommendations of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee**

*Present: Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Walton*

26. **200075**

[Liquor License Issuance - 706 Mission Street - 706 Mission Residences Owners Association]

Resolution determining that the issuance of a Type-57 special on-sale general beer, wine, and distilled spirits liquor license to the 706 Mission Residences Owners Association, to do business as the Four Seasons Private Residences on the 5th floor of 706 Mission Street (District 6), will serve the public convenience or necessity of the City and County of San Francisco. (Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee)

**Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?
27. **200711**  

[Declaring Anti-Black Racism as a Human Rights and Public Health Crisis]  
**Sponsors: Walton; Ronen, Haney, Stefani, Peskin, Mandelman, Safai, Fewer and Preston**  
Resolution declaring anti-Black racism as a human rights and public health crisis in San Francisco; requesting that City and County of San Francisco departments and agencies prioritize racial equity in all programs; and advocating for local, state, regional, and federal anti-racist policies that advance efforts to dismantle systemic racism.

**Question:** Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

**SPECIAL ORDER 2:30 P.M. - Recognition of Commendations**

In accordance with the "Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)," special commendations have been suspended.
SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

APPEAL PROCEDURES

Board Rule 4.18 provides that public hearings on appeals shall be scheduled for 3:00 p.m. If more than one public hearing is scheduled, then the Clerk, in consultation with the President, may determine the order in which the appeals will be scheduled. Second and later appeals may be scheduled at specified times later than 3:00 p.m. An appeal shall not be heard prior to its scheduled time on the calendar, and it may not be called until the Board's consideration of appeals scheduled earlier on the calendar is completed. It is the policy of the Clerk of the Board to schedule multiple appeals in the following order at 3:00 p.m:

1. Appeals where all parties have agreed to request a continuance or a tabling of the appeal.
2. Continued appeals from previous Board meetings (continued appeals will be listed in order of those closest to deadlines for Board decision).
3. Appeals appearing on the calendar for the first time, in chronological order of receipt by the Clerk.
4. Multiple appeals appearing on the calendar may be staggered at times specific, beginning at 3:00 p.m.
5. Appeals involving participants who have ADA considerations may be set for specific times beginning at 3:00 p.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN
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(On April 27, 2020, the Clerk of the Board received correspondence from the appellant requesting this appeal be withdrawn.)

28. 200103 [Hearing - Appeal of Determination of Exemption From Environmental Review - 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the determination of exemption from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act issued as a Categorical Exemption by the Planning Department on December 24, 2019, for the proposed project at 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3516, to reconfigure an existing motor vehicle repair operation by converting approximately 9,691 square feet of existing surface vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 56 and 64 to four-level parking stackers; converting approximately 8,069 square feet of existing surface vehicle storage on Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, and 42 to four-level parking stackers, and constructing an approximately 1,283-gross-square-foot car wash on Lot No. 64; install metal screening on portions of the Kissling Street frontage and on portions of the Howard Street frontage; reduce the existing 42-foot-wide curb cut on the Howard Street frontage to approximately 29 feet wide and remove the existing curb cut on the Kissling Street frontage; and amending the Zoning Map by changing the zoning district for Lot Nos. 39, 40, 41, and 42 at the project site from RED (Resident Enclave) to RED-MX (Residential Enclave-Mixed). (District 6) (Appellant: Stephen Williams of the Law Office of Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of William Hedden) (Filed January 23, 2020) (Clerk of the Board)

03/03/2020; CONTINUED.
04/14/2020; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.
Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.

29. **200104**  [Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street]
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review. (Clerk of the Board)

03/03/2020; CONTINUED.
04/14/2020; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

30. **200105**  [Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street]
Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

03/03/2020; CONTINUED.
04/14/2020; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

31. **200106**  [Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination - 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street]
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed 1531-1581 Howard Street and 118-134 Kissling Street Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review. (Clerk of the Board)

03/03/2020; CONTINUED.
04/14/2020; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?
SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN
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32. 200800 [Hearing - Appeal of Revised Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project]
Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Revised Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project, identified in Planning Case No. 2013.1383ENV, and issued by the Planning Department on March 25, 2020. (District 9) (Appellant: Kathleen Angus, on behalf of the Bernal Heights South Slope Organization) (Filed April 24, 2020) (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.

33. 200801 [Affirming the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project]
Motion affirming the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by the Planning Department under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed project located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

34. 200802 [Conditionally Reversing the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project]
Motion conditionally reversing the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by the Planning Department under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed project located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

35. 200803 [Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Revised Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project]
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the Planning Department’s preparation of a Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed project located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?
SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN
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36. 200804 [Hearing - Appeal of Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Proposed Balboa Reservoir Project]
Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the certification of a Final Environmental Impact Report for the proposed Balboa Reservoir Project, identified in Planning Case No. 2018-007883ENV, issued by the Planning Commission through Motion No. 20730, dated May 28, 2020; to construct up to approximately 1,800,000 gross square feet of uses, including between approximately 1,300,000 and 1,500,000 gross square feet of residential space; approximately 10,000 gross square feet of community space, approximately 7,500 gross square feet of retail, up to 550 residential parking spaces and 750 public parking spaces, in the developer’s proposed option, and up to 650 residential parking spaces in the additional housing option; the buildings would range in height from 25 to 78 feet in the developer’s proposed option, and from 25 to 88 feet in the additional housing option. (District 7) (Appellants: Stuart Flashman of the Law Offices of Stuart M. Flashman, on behalf of Madeline Mueller, Alvin Ja, and Wynd Kaufmyn.) (Filed: June 18, 2020) (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.

37. 200805 [Affirming the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Proposed Balboa Reservoir Project]
Motion affirming the Planning Commission’s certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for the proposed Balboa Reservoir project. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

38. 200806 [Conditionally Reversing the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Proposed Balboa Reservoir Project]
Motion conditionally reversing the Planning Commission’s certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for the proposed Balboa Reservoir Project, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board of Supervisors in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?
39. **200807** [Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Proposed Balboa Reservoir Project]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings related to reversing the Planning Commission’s certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report prepared for the proposed Balboa Reservoir Project. (Clerk of the Board)

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

Referred Without Recommendation from the Land Use and Transportation Committee

Present: Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston

40. **200635** [General Plan Amendments - Balboa Reservoir Project]

Ordinance amending the General Plan to revise the Balboa Park Station Area Plan, the Recreation and Open Space Element, and the Land Use Index, to reflect the Balboa Reservoir Project; amending the Housing Element in regard to the design of housing for families with children; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and general welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 340. (Planning Commission)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

41. **200422** [Planning Code and Zoning Map - Balboa Reservoir Special Use District]

Sponsor: Yee

Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the Balboa Reservoir Special Use District and rezone the Balboa Reservoir west basin project site generally bounded by the City College of San Francisco Ocean Campus to the east, Archbishop Riordan High School to the north, the Westwood Park neighborhood to the west, and a San Francisco Public Utilities Commission parcel containing a water pipeline running parallel to a mixed-use multifamily residential development along Ocean Avenue to the south; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency under the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

(Economic Impact)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
Referred Without Recommendation from the Budget and Finance Committee

Present: Supervisors Fewer, Walton, Mandelman

42. **200423**

[Development Agreement - Reservoir Community Partners, LLC - Balboa Reservoir Project]

Sponsor: Yee

Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Reservoir Community Partners, LLC, for the Balboa Reservoir Project (at the approximately 17.6-acre site located generally north of the Ocean Avenue commercial district, west of the City College of San Francisco Ocean Campus, east of the Westwood Park neighborhood, and south of Archbishop Riordan High School), with various public benefits, including 50% affordable housing and approximately four acres of publicly accessible parks and open space; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of conformity with the General Plan, and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b), and findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302; approving development impact fees and waiving any conflicting provision in Planning Code, Article 4, or Administrative Code, Article 10; confirming compliance with or waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code, Section 6.22 and Chapters 14B, 23, 41B, 56, 82, and 83, Planning Code, Sections 169, 138.1, 414A, 415, and 422, Public Works Code, Section 806(d), Subdivision Code, Section 1348, and Health Code, Article 12C; and ratifying certain actions taken in connection therewith, as defined herein.

(Economic Impact)

(Fiscal Impact)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

43. **200740**

[Sale of Real Estate - Reservoir Community Partners, LLC - Balboa Reservoir - $11,400,000]

Sponsor: Yee

Resolution approving and authorizing the execution of an Agreement for Sale of Real Estate for the conveyance by the City, acting through the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to Reservoir Community Partners, LLC of approximately 16.4 acres of real property in Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3180, Lot No. 190, located near Ocean Avenue and Frida Kahlo Way, for $11,400,000; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the conveyance is consistent with the General Plan, and the priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; authorizing the Director of Property and/or the SFPUC's General Manager to execute the Agreement for Sale of Real Estate and related documents for the sale of the property, including an Open Space License, Promissory Note, Deed of Trust, Amended and Restated Easement Agreement and Deed, Declaration of Restrictions, and Recognition Agreement; and authorizing the Director of Property and/or the SFPUC's General Manager to make certain modifications, as described herein, and take certain actions in furtherance of this Resolution, as described herein.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?
COMMITTEE REPORTS

Reports from committees, if any, recommending emergency or urgent measures.

The following item will be considered by the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, August 10, 2020, at 1:30 p.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following item as a Committee Report on Tuesday, August 11, 2020.

44. **191249**

**[Planning Code - 100% Affordable Housing and Educator Housing Streamlining Program]**

**Sponsor:** Yee

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require that in Educator Housing projects at least 10% of residential units have three or more bedrooms; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings that the Ordinance furthers the purpose of Planning Code, Section 206.9; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

(Pursuant to Planning Code, Section 206.9(h), this matter requires two-thirds vote of the full membership of the Board of Supervisors (8 votes) for passage.)

12/10/2019; DUPLICATED.

12/10/2019; RE-REFERRED to the Land Use and Transportation Committee.

**Question:** Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

45. **ROLL CALL FOR INTRODUCTIONS**

Roll call for introduction of ordinances, resolutions, charter amendments, requests for hearings, letters of inquiry, letters of request to the City Attorney and Board Members’ reports on their regional body activities.

46. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

An opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Board on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board, including items being considered today which have not been considered by a Board committee and excluding items which have been considered by a Board committee. Members of the public may address the Board for up to three minutes. Each member of the public will be allotted the same number of minutes to speak, except that public speakers using translation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. If simultaneous translation services are used, speakers will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers not requesting translation assistance. The President or the Board may limit the total testimony to 30 minutes.

Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk of the Board ([bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN
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FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE

These measures were introduced for adoption without committee reference. A unanimous vote is required for adoption of these resolutions today. Any Supervisor may require any resolution to go to committee.

Questions on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference Agenda are on for adoption, or approved, as indicated.

(PUBLIC COMMENT for Items 47 through 51 will be taken during Item 46 - General Public Comment.)

Items 47 through 51

47. 200817 [Apply for Grant - California Department of Housing and Community Development - Homekey Grant - Not to Exceed $45,000,000]  
Sponsor: Mayor  
Resolution authorizing the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to apply for Homekey grant funds in an amount not to exceed $45,000,000 from the California Department of Housing and Community Development on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

07/28/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

48. 200823 [Black Business Month - August 2020]  
Sponsors: Walton; Preston and Yee  
Resolution urging the City and County of San Francisco to declare the month of August as Black Business Month, and to recognize the 17th Annual National Black Business Month beginning on August 1, 2020.

07/28/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

49. 200813 [Committee of the Whole - Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project, Block 1 - September 22, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.]  
Sponsor: Haney  
Motion scheduling the Board of Supervisors to sit as a Committee of the Whole on September 22, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., to hold a public hearing to consider amendments to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project in regard to Block 1 (also known as the site of SOMA Hotel) at the intersection of Third Street and Channel Street.

07/28/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?
50. **200877**  
[Final Map No. 9216 - 390 First Street]  
Motion approving Final Map No. 9216, a 180 residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 390 First Street, being a subdivision of Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3749, Lot No. 058; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

07/20/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/05/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

**Question:** Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

51. **200878**  
[Final Map 9802 - 25 and 37 Grace Street]  
Motion approving Final Map 9802, comprised of an 11 unit residential condominium project in each of lots 1 and 2, located at 25 and 37 Grace Street, being a merger and re-subdivision of Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3509, Lot Nos. 024 through 026; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

07/22/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/05/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

**Question:** Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

52. **IMPERATIVE AGENDA**

Resolution(s), if any, to be adopted within limits imposed by the Sunshine Ordinance and the Ralph M. Brown Act, introduced today, not on the printed agenda. For such resolutions to be considered, the Board must first adopt the Serious Injury Finding or the Purely Commendatory Finding and the Brown Act Finding. Each motion requires eight (8) votes or a unanimous six (6) or seven (7). A unanimous vote is required for the resolution(s).

[Serious Injury Finding]  
Motion that the Board find that for the resolution(s) being considered at this time "the need to take action is so imperative as to threaten serious injury to the public interest if action is deferred to a later meeting."

[Purely Commendatory Finding]  
Motion that the Board find that the resolution(s) being considered at this time are purely commendatory.

[Brown Act Finding]  
Motion that the Board find by roll call vote that, for the resolution(s) being considered at this time, there is a need to take immediate action. The need to take action came to the attention of the City and County of San Francisco after the agenda was posted.

(PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN on any Imperative Agenda item introduced.)  
1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 283 3792 # #
LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT ROLL CALL

Introduced by a Supervisor or the Mayor
Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.105, an Ordinance or Resolution may be introduced before the Board of Supervisors by a Member of the Board, a Committee of the Board, or the Mayor and shall be referred to and reported upon by an appropriate Committee of the Board.

Legislation Introduced will appear on the Final Minutes for this meeting. Once the Legislation Introduced is approved, it will be available on http://www.sfbos.org/legislation_introduced.

Introduced at the Request of a Department
Pursuant to Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors, Section 2.7.1, Department Heads may submit proposed legislation to the Clerk of the Board, in which case titles of the legislation will be printed at the rear of the next available agenda of the Board.

PROPOSED ORDINANCES

200852  [Planning Code, Zoning Map - Rezone Certain Industrial Parcels]
Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to rezone certain parcels in Industrial Use Districts to Production, Distribution, and Repair and other Use Districts; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302. (Planning Department)

07/21/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to the Land Use and Transportation Committee.

200853  [Settlement of Lawsuit - Bradley Novak - $247,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Bradley Novak against the City and County of San Francisco for $247,000; the lawsuit was filed on February 8, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC 19-573659; entitled Bradley Novak v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves an employment dispute. (City Attorney)

07/27/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
200854  [Settlement of Lawsuit - Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility - City to Receive $2,161,773]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed on behalf of the State of California, the City and County of San Francisco, and 189 other California governmental entities against Verizon Wireless for $839,356 and against AT&T Mobility National Accounts LLC for $1,322,417 for a total settlement of $2,161,773; the lawsuit was filed on July 5, 2012, in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2012-00127517; entitled State of California et al., ex rel. OnTheGo Wireless, LLC v. Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility National Accounts LLC; the lawsuit involves alleged violations of the California False Claims Act. (City Attorney)
07/27/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200855  [Settlement of Lawsuit - Dennis Caruso - $70,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Dennis Caruso against the City and County of San Francisco for $70,000; the lawsuit was filed on November 8, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-580664; entitled Dennis Caruso v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves an alleged injury when Plaintiff hit a pothole on a City Street and was thrown from his scooter. (City Attorney)
07/29/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200856  [Settlement of Lawsuit - Thaïs Yafet - $110,000]
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Thaïs Yafet against the City and County of San Francisco for $110,000; the lawsuit was filed on October 29, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-570939; entitled Thaïs Yafet v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves an alleged injury when Plaintiff tripped and fell on a City sidewalk. (City Attorney)
07/29/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200857  [Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Chris Tilton and Blane Bachelor - $210,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Chris Tilton and Blane Bachelor against the City and County of San Francisco for $210,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Chris Tilton and Blane Bachelor, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney’s fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Ho Tan - $295,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Ho Tan against the City and County of San Francisco for $295,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Ho Tan, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney’s fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Peter So and Diane Chung - $235,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Peter So and Diane Chung against the City and County of San Francisco for $235,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Peter So and Diane Chung, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney’s fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Victoria Sanchez - $220,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Victoria Sanchez against the City and County of San Francisco for $220,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Victoria Sanchez, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney’s fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Rick Popko and Nancy Huff - $150,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Rick Popko and Nancy Huff against the City and County of San Francisco for $150,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Rick Popko and Nancy Huff, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney’s fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
200862  **[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Donato and Aurora Ponferrada - $257,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Donato and Aurora Ponferrada against the City and County of San Francisco for $257,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Donato and Aurora Ponferrada, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200863  **[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - John and Maria Naniola - $290,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by John and Maria Naniola against the City and County of San Francisco for $290,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs John and Maria Naniola, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200864  **[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Suzanne Houlihan - $250,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Suzanne Houlihan against the City and County of San Francisco for $250,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Suzanne Houlihan, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

200865  **[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Carlos Gross - $210,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Carlos Gross against the City and County of San Francisco for $210,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Carlos Gross, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Susan Garduno - $235,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Susan Garduno against the City and County of San Francisco for $235,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Susan Garduno, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Rizadly Gache - $235,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Rizadly Gache against the City and County of San Francisco for $235,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Rizadly Gache, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Ruth Patricia Del Castillo - $150,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Ruth Patricia Del Castillo against the City and County of San Francisco for $150,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Ruth Patricia Del Castillo, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - John Clay - $250,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by John Clay against the City and County of San Francisco for $250,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff John Clay, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
### [Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Elaine Choy - $221,150](#)

Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Elaine Choy against the City and County of San Francisco for $221,150; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Elaine Choy, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

---

### [Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Armando and Maria Castillo - $200,000](#)

Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Armando and Maria Castillo against the City and County of San Francisco for $200,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Armando and Maria Castillo, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

---

### [Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Joy Caguimbaga and Zenida C. Restauro - $240,000](#)

Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Joy Caguimbaga and Zenida C. Restauro against the City and County of San Francisco for $240,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Joy Caguimbaga and Zenida C. Restauro, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

---

### [Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Sally Seid - $207,000](#)

Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Sally Seid against the City and County of San Francisco for $207,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Sally Seid, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - Cesar and Fe Ponferrada - $250,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Cesar and Fe Ponferrada against the City and County of San Francisco for $250,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Cesar and Fe Ponferrada, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

[Partial Settlement of Lawsuit - David and Jessica Alfaro - $290,000]
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by David and Jessica Alfaro against the City and County of San Francisco for $290,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs David and Jessica Alfaro, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

08/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Resolution approving the Sheriff Office’s home detention and electronic monitoring program rules and regulations; and approving evidence of financial responsibility demonstrated by program administrator, Sentinel Offender Services, LLC, for FY2020-2021. (Sheriff)

07/28/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
08/11/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.
[Petitions and Communications]

Petitions and Communications received from July 23, 2020, through August 6, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on August 11, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Mayor’s FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-22 Proposed Budget, including the Proposed Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for FYs 2020-2021 and 2021-202 and the Mayor’s Proposed Salary Ordinance for FYs 2020-2021 and 2021-2022. File Nos. 200567, 200568, and 200831 through 200851. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2020-21 Budget. File No. 200570. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.132, submitting a Notice of Transfer of Function. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12P.3, submitting the Minimum Compensation Ordinance. Copy Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, issuing the following Health Directives and Orders from the Health Officer: Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Twenty-Third and Twenty-Fourth supplements to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor (6)

From the President of the Board of Supervisors, calling for a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors on August 7, 2020, to discuss approving the Submission of Sales Tax to Support Caltrain Service. File Nos. 200881 and 200879. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Assessment Appeals Board, submitting information regarding vacancies on Assessment Appeals Board Nos. 1, 2 and 3. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Department of Election, submitting the Ballot Simplification Committee Agendas. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Office of the Controller’s City Services Auditor, submitting an audit of Airport tenants and airlines to determine whether they complied with the reporting payment, and selected other provisions of their agreements with the Airport. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting the City and County of San Francisco’s Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Ordinance No. 101-20, submitting the Quarterly Green Infrastructure Grant Program Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD, regarding radiation exposure in Hunters Point. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)
From Robert Reinhard, regarding the Census 2020. Copy: Each Supervisors. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Eviction Moratorium. Copy: Each Supervisors. (15)

From Norton Life Lock, pursuant to the WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of elimination of positions. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Rocky Chau, regarding hospital suppliers in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From concerned citizen, regarding homeless encampment in District 10. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Christopher E. Cann, PhD, regarding the Senior's school property tax exemption. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Eileen Boken, regarding the proposed legislation to support California State Assembly Bill No. 310 (Santiago). File No. 200788. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Robert Alvernaz, RN, submitting a petition to remove the Sheriff's Department from General Hospital. 2,934 signatures. File No. 200532. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Junko Shimizu, regarding the United States President's deployment of federal officers to San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Juan Carlos Garcia, regarding the resolution promoting medical and scientific collaboration between San Francisco and Cuba. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Nicole Bohn, Director of the Mayor's Office of Disability, regarding the Proclamation of Disability Pride on the 30th Anniversary of the American's with Disabilities Act. File No. 200798. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Sarah Boka, submitting public comment on legislation for restroom and hand washing facilities for unsheltered people. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From West Area California Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, submitting a CPUC Notification. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding the nomination of Jane Natoli to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors. File No. 200389. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Joe Kelly, regarding church closures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Fred Winograd, regarding the proposed settlement of a lawsuit filed by Hastings College of the Law. Copy: Each Supervisors. (29)

From Lindsey Stroud, regarding teen vaping. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From concerned citizens, regarding the impacts of COVID-19 on the City's response to the behavioral health needs of unhoused San Franciscans. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Jamey Frank, regarding the closure of Church Street to through traffic. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)
From Matt, regarding internet access. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Carlos Cueva Alegria, regarding the rent increase freeze. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Shelley Lee, regarding the La Pulgita flea market and the spread of COVID-19. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From Paul DeMello, regarding masks at Dolores Park. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From Chris W. regarding pigeon nests at Balboa Station. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From Josie Halpern-Finnerty, M.P.P, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.170-1(H), submitting notice of a State grant line item budget revision in excess of 15% requiring funding agency approval. (38)

From concerned citizens, regarding defunding the San Francisco Police Department. 243 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Department of Sanitation and Streets. 8 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (40)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Balboa Reservoir Project. 28 letters. File Nos. 200804, 200635, 200422, 200423, and 200740. Copy: Each Supervisor. (41)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed legislation considering a sales tax to support Caltrain service. File No. 200792. Copy: Each Supervisor. (42)


From concerned citizens, regarding proposed legislation amending the Building Code to require new construction to utilize only electric power. 4 letters. File No. 200701. Copy: Each Supervisor. (44)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed legislation amending the Administrative Code to introduce standards and goals for food purchasing by the Department of Public Health and Sheriff's Department in hospitals and jails. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (45)

ADJOURNMENT