

1 [Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Accelerating SF Government
2 Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level]

3 **Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury’s request to provide a status update on the**
4 **Board of Supervisor’s response to Recommendation Nos. R2.2, R3.1, R4.1, R6, and R8**
5 **contained in the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Accelerating SF**
6 **Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level.”**

7
8 WHEREAS, The 2016-2017 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
9 “Accelerating SF Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the
10 Next Level” (“Report”) on June 5, 2017; and

11 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors’ Government Audit and Oversight Committee
12 (“GAO”) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on September 6, 2017;
13 and, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 338-17 on September 12, 2017
14 reflecting the GAO responses to the Report; a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the
15 Board of Supervisors in File No. 170661; and

16 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2.2 states: “Commencing in 2018, the Controller’s
17 Office should prepare quarterly updates of the PS framework, inclusive of: (i) Submission of
18 the quarterly update to the Board of Supervisor’s GAO Committee and the Office of the
19 Mayor, inviting comment; and (ii) Posting the quarterly update on the PS website homepage,
20 with comments from the Board of Supervisors and Office of the Mayor included for public
21 reference;” and

22 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
23 Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R2.2 has not yet, but will be implemented in
24 the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
25

1 within six months from June 5, 2017; the Board will work on determining the correct reporting
2 timeline for the performance indicators; and, be it; and

3 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3.1 states: "In consultation with other SFG entities
4 and community groups, the Office of the Controller should propose a narrowed set of PS
5 indicators, likely not exceeding 30 total, by October 1, 2017; the Board of Supervisor's GAO
6 Committee should be invited to comment on the revised indicators prior to submission to the
7 Office of the Mayor for review and approval;" and

8 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
9 Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R3.1 has not yet, but will be implemented in
10 the future, and the Government Oversight and Audit Committee will review the implementation
11 within six months from June 5. 2017; The Board agrees with the recommendation in part, but
12 would like to keep all the indicators and instead work with the Controller's office to develop a
13 narrower set of indicators; and

14 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R4.1 states: "The Mayor's Office should ensure that
15 by January 1, 2018 every PS indicator has a linked goal, with all goals approved by the Mayor
16 – these goals comprise the SFG's overarching annual operational plan;" and

17 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
18 Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R4.1 has not yet, but will be implemented in
19 the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
20 within six months from June 5, 2017; and

21 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R6 states: "Beginning in fiscal year 2018, the
22 revised PS framework should be formally incorporated into the SFG department strategic
23 planning and budgeting process – in particular, the Office of the Mayor should require each
24 department to: (i) Specify within their departmental strategic plans which initiatives directly
25 support the SFG's PS goals most relevant to their operational mandate, and what

1 improvement they project in achieving that goal; and (ii) Specify within their departmental
2 budget submission how their budget request is directly supportive of improved SFG
3 performance against the PS goals most relevant to their operational mandate;” and

4 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
5 Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R6 has not yet, but will be implemented in
6 the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
7 within six months from June 5, 2017; and

8 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R8 states: “In consultation with other SFG entities
9 and community organizations, the Controller’s Office should ensure that, by January 1, 2018,
10 one or more PS indicators are amended or added to ensure the SFG is tracking and reporting
11 on the equitable distribution of government spending and services;” and

12 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
13 Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R8 has not yet, but will be implemented in
14 the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
15 within six months from June 5, 2017; and

16 WHEREAS, The GAO Committee conducted an additional hearing on
17 January 17, 2017, to receive an update from City departments on Recommendation
18 Nos. R2.2, R3.1, R4.1, R6, and R8; now, therefore, be it

19 MOVED, That Recommendation No. R2.2 will not be implemented, as it is not within
20 the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it


21 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R3.1 will not be implemented, as it is
22 not within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

23 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R4.1 will not be implemented, as it is
24 not within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

1 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R6 will not be implemented, as it is not
2 within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

3 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R8 will not be implemented, as it is not
4 within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion: M18-004

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 170660

Date Passed: January 23, 2018

Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the Board of Supervisor's response to Recommendation Nos. R2.2, R3.1, R4.1, R6, and R8 contained in the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Accelerating SF Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level."

September 06, 2017 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - CONTINUED TO CALL OF THE CHAIR

December 06, 2017 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - CONTINUED TO CALL OF THE CHAIR

January 17, 2018 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - PREPARED IN COMMITTEE AS A MOTION

January 17, 2018 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED

January 23, 2018 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Breed, Cohen, Farrell, Fewer, Kim, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Sheehy, Tang and Yee

File No. 170660

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 1/23/2018 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo", written over a horizontal line.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board