[Findings Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 476 Lombard Street] 1 2 3 Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that 4 the proposed project at 476 Lombard Street is categorically exempt from further 5 environmental review. 6 7 WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Planning Department ("Department") issued a 8 CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 476 Lombard 9 Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, 10 Section 2100 et seq., "CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 11 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), and San Francisco Administrative Code, 12 Chapter 31; and 13 WHEREAS, The Project site is located on the north side of Lombard Street between 14 Stockton Street and Grant Avenue, in the North Beach neighborhood, on a 27'-6" wide by 100' 15 deep 2,750 square-foot (sf) up-sloping lot; and 16 WHEREAS, The lot contains an existing three-story, 1,638 sf single-family residence 17 built in 1926, which the Department determined is an individual historic resource for the 18 purpose of CEQA review; and

2324

25

19

20

21

22

WHEREAS, The Project proposes horizontal additions to the existing single-family dwelling; the second-floor residential addition would increase the building depth toward the rear of the property and also include a rear-facing roof deck; the third-floor addition would enlarge the existing third floor toward the front of the building with a setback of 12'-10" from the front façade, capture space in front of the addition for roof deck area, and provide a third floor rear-facing deck; above the third-floor addition would be a rooftop deck; the Project

Clerk of the Board
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 would include the infill of two light wells on the west façade; the Project would also include a 2 new elevator and two off-street parking spaces; and 3 WHEREAS, As part of its review of the Project, the Department issued a Historic Resource Evaluation Response, Part II, which concluded that the Project would meet the 4 5 Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation; therefore, the Department determined 6 that the Project would not result in an impact on historical resources; and 7 WHEREAS, The Historic Resource Evaluation Response, Part II did not identify the 8 two light wells, the west façade or the rear roof structure as character-defining features of the 9 historic resource; and WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Department issued a Categorical Exemption for the 10 Project, finding that the proposed project is exempt from CEQA as a Class 1 Categorical 11 12 Exemption (alteration and addition to an existing structure) and that no further environmental 13 review was required; and 14 WHEREAS, On January 28, 2021, the San Francisco Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Discretionary Review 15 Application No. 2018-017283DRP; the Commission did not find exceptional or extraordinary 16 17 circumstances surrounding the Project and did not take discretionary review on the Project, 18 which constituted the approval action for the project under CEQA; and 19 20 21

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2021, Susan Brandt-Hawley of Brandt-Hawley Law Group, on behalf of Barbara and Arrigo Sturla (hereinafter Appellant) filed an appeal of the categorical exemption determination, relying on the exception provided in Section 15300.2 (f) of the CEQA Guidelines that a "categorical exemption shall not be used for a project which may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource;" and

24

22

23

25

| 1 | |
|----|------|
| 2 | Pla |
| 3 | file |
| 4 | |
| 5 | cor |
| 6 | |
| 7 | the |
| 8 | stru |
| 9 | or i |
| 10 | his |
| 11 | |
| 12 | CE |
| 13 | ma |
| 14 | |
| 15 | rev |
| 16 | app |
| 17 | the |
| 18 | the |
| 19 | |

20

21

22

23

24

25

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 3, 2021, the Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On April 13, 2021, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and

WHEREAS, Appellant and members of the public presented evidence and testimony at the hearing that the two light wells on the west façade, the west façade and the rear roof structure may be character-defining features of the building, and that the Project, by altering or removing those features, may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of the historic resource; and

WHEREAS, Under Section 21084 of CEQA and Sections 15064.5 and 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines, a categorical exemption cannot be relied upon to approve a project that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historic resource; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, in Motion No. M21-60, the Board of Supervisors reversed the determination that the Project is categorically exempt, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the

| 1 | Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of |
|----|--|
| 2 | the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 210235 and is |
| 3 | incorporated in this Motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it |
| 4 | MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning |
| 5 | Department that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA, as the record before the |
| 6 | Board of Supervisors includes substantial evidence that the light wells on the west façade and |
| 7 | the roof structure are character-defining features of the Project that would be altered or |
| 8 | destroyed by the Project and that, therefore, the Project may cause a substantial adverse |
| 9 | change in the significance of a historic resource; and, be it |
| 10 | FURTHER MOVED, That this Board directs the Planning Department to set aside the |
| 11 | Categorical Exemption and require an appropriate level of environmental review to comply |
| 12 | with CEQA before further consideration of Project approval. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | n:\land\as2021\1900434\01539486.docx |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

25



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Motion: M21-100

File Number: 210621 Date Passed: June 29, 2021

Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 476 Lombard Street is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

June 29, 2021 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Chan, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani

and Walton

Excused: 1 - Haney

File No. 210621

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 6/29/2021 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board