FILE NO. 221288

MOTION NO. M23-005

[Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Pacific Gas and Electric Company - January 10, 2023]

Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on January 10, 2023, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1313, petition for review filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with No. 20-1458, petition for review filed November 16, 2020; City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1084, petition for review filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with No. 20-1297, petition for review filed August 3, 2020; in re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company, United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. TX21-04-000, filed August 20, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August

31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER 20-2878-000 et al, filed September 15, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. A.20-04-023, filed April 30, 2020; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. P. 21-07-012, filed July 27, 2021.

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco is a party in each of the following cases:

 City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (United States Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit, Case No. 20-1313, petition for review of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,021, filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with Case No. 20-1458, petition for review of decision 172 FERC 61,237, filed November 16, 2020);

City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (United States Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit, Case No. 20-1084, petition for review of decision 169 FERC ¶ 61,128, filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with Case No. 20-1297, petition for review of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,196, filed August 3, 2020); In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, application for an order requiring Pacific Gas & Electric Company to provide service
of decision 169 FERC ¶ 61,128, filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with Case No. 20-1297, petition for review of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,196, filed August 3, 2020); In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
20-1297, petition for review of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,196, filed August 3, 2020); In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
In re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
January 29, 2019); Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021); City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
application for an order requiring Pacific Gas & Electric Company to provide service
under Federal Power Act sections 210 and 211, Case No. TX21-04-000, filed
August 20, 2021);
Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions
to Wholesale Distribution Tariff (WDT3), Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15,
2020);
City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Federal Energy
Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019);
Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-17 1482-000,
filed April 30, 2018);
Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement

1		No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-21 1102-000,
2		filed March 15, 2018);
3	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
4	0	Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
5		No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-25 790-000,
6		filed, February 2, 2018);
7	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
8		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
9		No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-768-000, filed
10		January 31, 2018);
11	٠	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
12		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
13		No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-198-000, filed
14		October 31, 2017);
15		Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
16		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
17		No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed
18		August 31, 2017);
19	٠	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
20		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
21		No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed
22		July 31, 2017);
23	•	Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,
24		Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement
25		

No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017);

 Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017);

 Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017);

 City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014);

 Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the 1987 CCSF Interconnection Agreement -PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 114 to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014);

 Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the CCSF Facilities Charge Agreement for Moscone to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014);

 Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Wholesale Distribution Tariff Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014);

Supervisor Mandelman; Peskin, Ronen, Chan BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	•	Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing
2		per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Transmission Owner Tariff
3		Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-705-000,
4		filed December 23, 2014);
5	•	Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Notices of
6		Termination of Facilities Charge Agreements between Pacific Gas and Electric
7		Company and the City and County of San Francisco, Case No. ER15-735-000,
8		filed December 23, 2014);
9	•	Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine
10		Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's
11		Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety (California Public Utilities
12		Commission, Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015);
13	•	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Electric Utility Wildfire Mitigation Plans
14		Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No.
15		R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018); and
16	•	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Public Utilities Code Section 451.2
17		Regarding Criteria and Methodology for Wildfire Cost Recovery Pursuant to Senate
18		Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. R.19-01-13 006,
19		filed January 10, 2019);
20	•	Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Consider the
21		Ratemaking and Other Implications of a Proposed Plan for Resolution of Voluntary
22		Case filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, pursuant to Chapter 11 of the
23		Bankruptcy Code, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of
24		California, San Francisco Division, In re Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation and
25		

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Case No.19-30088. (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. R.19-09-016, filed September 26, 2019);

 Petition of the City and County of San Francisco for a Valuation of Certain Pacific Gas & Electric Company Property Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 1401-1421 (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. P. 21-07-012, filed July 27, 2021).

WHEREAS, California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), allow the Board of Supervisors to convene in closed session to confer with or receive advice from legal counsel regarding existing litigation; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors shall convene on January 10, 2023, to hold a closed session on existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding this existing litigation.

n:\govern\as2022\9690021\01641481.docx



City and County of San Francisco Tails Motion: M23-005

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 221288

Date Passed: January 10, 2023

Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on January 10, 2023, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1313, petition for review filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with No. 20-1458, petition for review filed November 16, 2020; City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1084, petition for review filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with No. 20-1297, petition for review filed August 3, 2020; in re: PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company, United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 19-30088-DM, filed January 29, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. TX21-04-000, filed August 20, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER 20-2878-000 et al, filed September 15, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. A.20-04-023, filed April 30, 2020; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. P. 21-07-012, filed July 27, 2021.

January 10, 2023 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 221288

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 1/10/2023 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

0

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board