

[Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Pacific Gas and Electric Company - July 8, 2025]

Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on July 8, 2025, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1313, petition for review filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with No. 20-1458, petition for review filed November 16, 2020; City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1084, petition for review filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with No. 20-1297, petition for review filed August 3, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER25-209, filed October 25, 2024; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. TX21-04-000, filed August 20, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed, February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31,

1 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017;
2 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017;
3 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017;
4 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014;
5 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23,
6 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December
7 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed
8 December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000,
9 filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-
10 000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER 20-
11 2878-000 et al, filed September 15, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case
12 No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case
13 No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No.
14 R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; California Public Utilities Commission Case No.
15 A.20-04-023, filed April 30, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. P. 21-
16 07-012, filed July 27, 2021; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. A. 24-
17 08-013, filed August 30, 2025.

18
19 WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco is a party in each of the following
20 cases:

- 21 • City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
22 (United States Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit, Case No. 20-1313, petition for review
23 of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,021, filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with Case No.
24 20-1458, petition for review of decision 172 FERC 61,237, filed November 16,
25 2020);

- City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (United States Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit, Case No. 20-1084, petition for review of decision 169 FERC ¶ 61,128, filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with Case No. 20-1297, petition for review of decision 171 FERC ¶ 61,196, filed August 3, 2020);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Wholesale Distribution Tariff (WDT4), Case No. ER25-209, filed October 25, 2024);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Service Agreement, Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021);
- City and County of San Francisco (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, application for an order requiring Pacific Gas & Electric Company to provide service under Federal Power Act sections 210 and 211, Case No. TX21-04-000, filed August 20, 2021);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, revisions to Wholesale Distribution Tariff (WDT3), Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15, 2020);
- City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-17 1482-000, filed April 30, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement

No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-21 1102-000, filed March 15, 2018);

- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-25 790-000, filed, February 2, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERG Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and Country of San Francisco's Service Agreement

No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017);


- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and County of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017);
- Pacific Gas & Electric Company (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Proposed revisions to the City and County of San Francisco's Service Agreement No. 275 under FERC Electric Tariff Volume No. 4, Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017);
- City and County of San Francisco v. Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the 1987 CCSF Interconnection Agreement - PG&E Rate Schedule FERC No. 114 to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Tariff Withdrawal per 35.15: Notice of Termination of the CCSF Facilities Charge Agreement for Moscone to be effective June 30, 2015, Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014);
- Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Wholesale Distribution Tariff Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014);

- 1 • Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, §205(d) rate filing
2 per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): City and County of San Francisco Transmission Owner Tariff
3 Replacement Agreements to be effective July 1, 2015, Case No. ER15-705-000,
4 filed December 23, 2014);
- 5 • Pacific Gas & Electric (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Notices of
6 Termination of Facilities Charge Agreements between Pacific Gas and Electric
7 Company and the City and County of San Francisco, Case No. ER15-735-000,
8 filed December 23, 2014);
- 9 • Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine
10 Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's
11 Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety (California Public Utilities
12 Commission, Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015);
- 13 • Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Electric Utility Wildfire Mitigation Plans
14 Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No.
15 R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018); and
- 16 • Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Public Utilities Code Section 451.2
17 Regarding Criteria and Methodology for Wildfire Cost Recovery Pursuant to Senate
18 Bill 901 (2018) (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. R.19-01-13 006,
19 filed January 10, 2019);
- 20 • Petition of the City and County of San Francisco for a Valuation of Certain Pacific
21 Gas & Electric Company Property Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 1401-
22 1421 (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. P. 21-07-012, filed July 27,
23 2021);
- 24 • Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U39E) for a Determination Under
25 Section 851 of Whether a Condemnation of Assets by the South San Joaquin

Irrigation District Would Serve the Public Interest (California Public Utilities Commission, Case No. A. 24-08-013, filed August 30, 2025); and

WHEREAS, California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), allow the Board of Supervisors to convene in closed session to confer with or receive advice from legal counsel regarding existing litigation; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors shall convene on July 8, 2025, to hold a closed session on existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding this existing litigation.



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Motion: M25-084

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 250708

Date Passed: July 01, 2025


Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on July 8, 2025, pursuant to California Government Code, Section 54956.9(a), and San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 67.10(d)(1), for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation in which the City is a petitioner and Pacific Gas & Electric Company is an adverse party: City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1313, petition for review filed August 17, 2020, consolidated with No. 20-1458, petition for review filed November 16, 2020; City and County of San Francisco v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (United States Court of Appeals, D. C. Circuit), No. 20-1084, petition for review filed March 23, 2020; consolidated with No. 20-1297, petition for review filed August 3, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER25-209, filed October 25, 2024; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER22-620-00, filed December 13, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. TX21-04-000, filed August 20, 2021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER20-2878, filed September 15, 2020; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 19-38-000, filed January 28, 2019; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1482-000, filed April 30, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-1102-000, filed March 15, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-790-000, filed February 2, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-768-000, filed January 31, 2018; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER18-198-000, filed October 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2406-000, filed August 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2181-000, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-2204, filed July 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-1509-000, filed May 1, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER17-910-000, filed January 31, 2017; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. EL 15-3-000, filed October 10, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-702-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-703-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-704-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-705-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER15-735-000, filed December 23, 2014; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Case No. ER 20-2878-000 et al, filed September 15, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. 1.15-08-019, filed February 25, 2015; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.18-10-007, filed October 25, 2018; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. R.19-01-006, filed January 10, 2019; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. A.20-04-023, filed April 30, 2020; California Public Utilities Commission Case No. P. 21-07-012, filed July 27, 2021; and California Public Utilities Commission Case No. A. 24-08-013, filed August 30, 2025.

July 01, 2025 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Chen, Dorsey, Engardio, Fielder, Mahmood, Mandelman, Melgar, Sauter, Sherrill and Walton

File No. 250708

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion
was APPROVED on 7/1/2025 by the Board of
Supervisors of the City and County of San
Francisco.



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board