Ordinance amending the Police Code to prohibit employers and housing providers from inquiring about, requiring disclosure of, or basing housing and employment decisions on convictions for decriminalized behavior, including the non-commercial use and cultivation of cannabis; reduce from 20 to 5 the number of employees required for an employer to be covered by the Fair Chance Ordinance (Article 49); prohibit employers and housing providers from inquiring about, requiring disclosure of, or basing housing and employment decisions on a person's conviction history until after a conditional offer of employment; authorize the City to impose penalties for the first violation of that Ordinance, increase the penalties for subsequent violations, and authorize the payment of penalties to the victims of those violations; create a private right of action for the victims; and amend the Administrative Code to, among other things, as defined herein, require City contractors and subcontractors to adhere to the above requirements when making decisions regarding employment of persons for work on City contracts and subcontracts.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. The Police Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 4903, 4904, 4906, 4909, and 4911 of Article 49, to read as follows:

SEC. 4903. DEFINITIONS.
For the purposes of this Article 49, the following words and phrases shall mean and include:

* * * *

"Employer" shall mean any individual, firm, corporation, partnership, labor organization, group of persons, association, or other organization however organized, that is located or doing business in the City, and that employs five or more persons regardless of location, including the owner or owners and management and supervisorial employees. "Employer" includes job placement and referral agencies and other employment agencies. "Employer" does not include the City and County of San Francisco, any other local governmental unit, or any unit of the state government or the federal government.

"Employment" shall mean any occupation, vocation, job, or work, including but not limited to temporary or seasonal work, part-time work, contracted work, contingent work, work on commission, and work through the services of a temporary or other employment agency, or any form of vocational or educational training with or without pay. The physical location of the employment or prospective employment of an individual as to whom Section 4904 applies must be at least eight (8) hours per week within the City.

* * * *

SEC. 4904. PROCEDURES FOR USE OF CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION IN EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS.
(a) Regarding applicants or potential applicants for employment, or employees, an Employer shall not, at any time or by any means, inquire about, require disclosure of, or if such information is received base an Adverse Action in whole or in part on:

(1) An Arrest not leading to a Conviction, excepting under circumstances identified in this Section an Unresolved Arrest;

(2) Participation in or completion of a diversion or a deferral of judgment program;

(3) A Conviction that has been judicially dismissed, expunged, voided, invalidated, or otherwise rendered inoperative, by way of example but not limitation, under California Penal Code sections 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41;

(4) A Conviction or any other determination or adjudication in the juvenile justice system, or information regarding a matter considered in or processed through the juvenile justice system;

(5) A Conviction that is more than seven years old, the date of Conviction being the date of sentencing, except that this restriction and any limitations imposed in this Article based on the limitation in this subsection (a)(5) shall not apply where the applicant or employee is or will be (A) providing services to or have supervisory or disciplinary authority over a minor, (B) providing services to or have supervisory or disciplinary authority over a "dependent adult," as that phrase is defined in California Welfare and Institutions Code Section 15610.23 or any successor state law, or (C) providing support services or care to or has supervisory authority over a person 65 years or older; or

(6) Information pertaining to an offense other than a felony or misdemeanor, such as an infraction, except that an Employer may inquire about, require disclosure of, base an Adverse Action on, or otherwise consider an infraction or infractions contained in an
applicant or employee's driving record if driving is more than a de minimis element of the
employment in question, or

(7) A Conviction that arises out of conduct that has been decriminalized since the date
of the Conviction, the date of the Conviction being the date of sentencing. Examples of statutes that
have decriminalized particular conduct include but are not limited to California Health and Safety
Code Sections 11362.1 and 11362.2.

Accordingly, the matters identified in this subsection (a) may not be considered in any
manner by the Employer.

(b) The Employer shall not require applicants or potential applicants for employment
or employees to disclose on any employment application the fact or details of any Conviction
History, any Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(67). Nor shall
the Employer inquire on any employment application about the fact or details of any
Conviction History, any Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(67).
An Employer may ask on an employment application for an applicant, potential applicant, or
employee's written consent for a Background Check so long as the application includes a
clear and conspicuous statement that the Employer will not itself conduct or obtain from a
third party the Background Check until either after the first live interview with the person or
after a conditional offer of employment in accordance with subsection (c) of this Section 4904.

(c) The Employer shall not require applicants or potential applicants for employment,
or employees, to disclose, and shall not inquire into or discuss, their Conviction History or an
Unresolved Arrest until either after the first live interview with the person (via telephone,
videoconferencing, use of other technology, or in person) or, at the Employer's discretion,
after a conditional offer of employment. The Employer may not itself conduct or obtain from a
third party a Background Check until either after the first live interview with the person or after
a conditional offer of employment.
SEC. 4906. PROCEDURES FOR USE OF CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION IN HOUSING DECISIONS.

(a) Regarding applicants or potential applicants for Affordable Housing, and their household members, a Housing Provider shall not, at any time or by any means, inquire about, require disclosure of, or if such information is received base an Adverse Action in whole or in part on:

(1) An Arrest not leading to a Conviction, excepting under circumstances identified in this Section an Unresolved Arrest;

(2) Participation in or completion of a diversion or a deferral of judgment program;

(3) A Conviction that has been judicially dismissed, expunged, voided, invalidated, or otherwise rendered inoperative, by way of example but not limitation, under California Penal Code sections 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41;

(4) A Conviction or any other determination or adjudication in the juvenile justice system, or information regarding a matter considered in or processed through the juvenile justice system;

(5) A Conviction that is more than seven years old, the date of Conviction being the date of sentencing; or

(6) Information pertaining to an offense other than a felony or misdemeanor, such as an infraction; or

(7) A Conviction that arises out of conduct that has been decriminalized since the date of the Conviction, the date of the Conviction being the date of sentencing. Examples of statutes that
have decriminalized particular conduct include but are not limited to California Health and Safety
Code Sections 11362.1 and 11362.2.

Accordingly, the matters identified in this subsection (a) may not be considered in any
manner by the Housing Provider.

(b) The Housing Provider shall not require applicants for Affordable Housing to
disclose on any housing application the fact or details of any Conviction History, any
Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(6Z). Nor shall the Housing
Provider inquire on any housing application about the fact or details of any Conviction History,
any Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(6Z)).

* * * *

SEC. 4909. IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF EMPLOYMENT
PROVISIONS.

(a) Administrative Enforcement.

(1) With regard to the employment provisions of this Article 49, the OLSE is
authorized to take appropriate steps to enforce this Article and coordinate enforcement,
including the investigation of any possible violations of this Article. Where the OLSE has
reason to believe that a violation has occurred, it may order any appropriate temporary or
interim relief to mitigate the violation or maintain the status quo pending completion of a full
investigation or hearing. The OLSE shall not find a violation based on an Employer's decision
that an applicant or employee's Conviction History is Directly Related, but otherwise may find
a violation of this Article, including if the Employer failed to conduct the individualized
assessment as required under Section 4904(f).

(2) Where the OLSE determines that a violation has occurred, it may issue a
determination and order any appropriate relief, provided however, that for a first violation, or
for any violation during the first twelve months following the operative date of this Article, the
OLSE must issue warnings and notices to correct, and offer the Employer technical
assistance on how to comply with the requirements of this Article. For a second violation, the
OLSE may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $50.00 that the Employer must
pay to the City for each employee or applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued.
Thereafter, for subsequent violations, the penalty may increase to no more than $100,
payable to the City for each employee or applicant whose rights were, or continue to be,
violated. Such funds shall be allocated to the OLSE and used to offset the costs of
implementing and enforcing this Article.

(3) If multiple employees or applicants are impacted by the same procedural
violation at the same time (e.g. all applicants for a certain job opening are asked for their
Conviction History on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as a single violation
rather than multiple violations.

(4) Where prompt compliance is not forthcoming, the OLSE may refer the
action to the City Attorney to consider initiating a civil action pursuant to Subsection (b).

(5) Subsections (a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(4) apply to violations occurring prior to the
effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending this Section 4909.
Subsections (a)(6) and (a)(7) apply to violations occurring on or after the effective date of that
ordinance.

(6) Where the OLSE determines that a violation has occurred, it may issue a
determination and order any appropriate relief. If multiple employees or applicants are impacted by
the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g., all applicants for a certain job opening are asked
for their Conviction History on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as one violation
for each impacted employee or applicant.
(7) For a first violation on or after the effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending this Section 4909, the OLSE may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $500 for each employee or applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. For a second violation on or after the effective date of that ordinance, the OLSE may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $1,000 for each employee or applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Thereafter, for subsequent violations on or after the effective date of that ordinance, the OLSE may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $2,000 for each employee or applicant whose rights were, or continue to be, violated. The administrative penalties for each violation on or after the effective date of that ordinance shall be paid to the employee or applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Notwithstanding the previous sentences in this subsection (a)(7), if multiple employees or applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g., all applicants for a certain job opening are asked for their Conviction History on the initial application), the Employer shall be assessed the same administrative penalty for each of the employees or applicants affected by that procedural violation.

(58) An employee, applicant or other person may report to the OLSE any suspected violation of this Article 49 within 60 days of the date the suspected violation occurred. The OLSE shall encourage reporting pursuant to this subsection by keeping confidential, to the maximum extent permitted by applicable laws, the name and other identifying information of the employee, applicant or person reporting the violation; provided, however, that with the authorization of such person, the OLSE may disclose his or her name and identifying information as necessary to enforce this Article or for other appropriate purposes.

(62) The Director of the OLSE shall establish rules governing the administrative process for determining and appealing violations of this Article 49. The Rules shall include procedures for:
(A) providing the Employer with notice that it may have violated this Article;

(B) providing the Employer with a right to respond to the notice;

(C) providing the Employer with notice of the OLSE's determination of a violation;

(D) providing the Employer with an opportunity to appeal the OLSE's determination to a hearing officer, who is appointed by the City Controller or his or her designee.

(710) If there is no appeal of the OLSE's determination of a violation, that determination shall constitute a failure to exhaust administrative remedies, which shall serve as a complete defense to any petition or claim brought by the Employer against the City regarding the OLSE's determination of a violation.

(811) If there is an appeal of the OLSE's determination of a violation, the hearing before the hearing officer shall be conducted in a manner that satisfies the requirements of due process. In any such hearing, the OLSE's determination of a violation shall be considered prima facie evidence of a violation, and the Employer shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the OLSE's determination of a violation is incorrect. The hearing officer's decision of the appeal shall constitute the City's final decision. The sole means of review of the City's final decision, rendered by the hearing officer, shall be by filing in the San Francisco Superior Court a petition for writ of mandate under Section 1094.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. The OLSE shall notify the Employer of this right of review after issuance of the City's final decision by the hearing officer.

(b) Civil Enforcement. The City or any employee or applicant whose rights under this Article 49 have been violated may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the Employer or other person violating this Article, and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to
such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, but not
limited to: reinstatement; back pay; the payment of benefits or pay unlawfully withheld; the
payment of an additional sum as liquidated damages in the amount of $50.00 to each
employee, applicant or other person whose rights under this Article were violated for each day
such violation continued or was permitted to continue; appropriate injunctive relief; and,
further shall be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs. An employee or applicant may
institute a civil action under this subsection (b) only if:

(1) The employee or applicant has filed a complaint with the Director of the
OLSE;

(2) 90 days have passed since the filing of the complaint;

(3) After such 90-day period has passed, the employee or applicant provides 30-
day written notice to the Director of the OLSE and the City Attorney's Office of his or her intent to
initiate civil proceedings; and

(4) The City Attorney's Office has not provided notice to the employee or
applicant of the City's intent to initiate civil proceedings by the end of the 30-day period.

(f) Tracking of Complaints. OLSE shall maintain a record of the number and types of
complaints it receives alleging violations of this Article, and the resolution of those complaints.
This information shall be compiled on an annual calendar year basis and reported to the
Board of Supervisors by January 31 of each year. OLSE shall report this information to the
Board of Supervisors within six months of the operative date of the ordinance in Board of
Supervisors File No. 171106 and then annually thereafter.

* * * *
SEC. 4911. IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF HOUSING PROVISIONS.

(a) Administrative Enforcement.

(1) With regard to the housing provisions of this Article 49, the HRC, in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, is authorized to take appropriate steps to enforce this Article and coordinate enforcement, including the investigation of any possible violations of this Article 49. The HRC shall not find a violation based on a Housing Provider's decision that an applicant's Conviction History is Directly Related, but otherwise may find a violation of this Article, including if the Housing Provider failed to conduct the individualized assessment as required under Section 4906(f).

(2) Where the Director of HRC determines that a violation has occurred, he or she may issue a determination and order any appropriate relief; provided, however, that for a first violation, or for any violation during the first twelve months following the operative date of this Article 49, the Director must issue warnings and notices to correct, and offer the Housing Provider technical assistance on how to comply with the requirements of this Article 49. For a second violation, the Director may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $50.00 that the Housing Provider must pay for each applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Thereafter, for subsequent violations, the penalty may increase to no more than $100, payable to the City for each applicant whose rights were, or continue to be, violated. Such funds shall be allocated to the HRC and used to offset the costs of implementing and enforcing this Article.

(3) If multiple applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g. all applicants for a certain housing unit are asked for their Conviction History on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as a single violation rather than multiple violations.
(4) Subsections (a)(2) and (a)(3) apply to violations occurring prior to the effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending this Section 4911. Subsections (a)(5) and (a)(6) apply to violations occurring on or after the effective date of that ordinance.

(5) Where the Director of HRC determines that a violation has occurred, he or she may issue a determination and order any appropriate relief. If multiple applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g., all applicants for a certain housing unit are asked for their Conviction History on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as one violation for each impacted applicant.

(6) For a first violation on or after the effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending this Section 4909, the Director of HRC may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $500 for each applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. For a second violation on or after the effective date of that ordinance, the Director of HRC may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $1,000 for each applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Thereafter, for subsequent violations on or after the effective date of that ordinance, the Director of HRC may impose an administrative penalty of no more than $2,000 for each applicant whose rights were, or continue to be, violated. The administrative penalties for each violation on or after the effective date of that ordinance shall be paid to the applicant as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Notwithstanding the previous sentences in this subsection (a)(6), if multiple applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g., all applicants for a certain housing unit are asked for their Conviction History on the initial application), the Housing Provider shall be assessed the same administrative penalty for each of the applicants affected by that procedural violation.

(47) An applicant or other person may report to the HRC any suspected violation of this Article 49 within 60 days of the date the suspected violation occurred. The HRC shall encourage reporting pursuant to this subsection by keeping confidential, to the
maximum extent permitted by applicable laws, the name and other identifying information of
the employee, applicant or person reporting the violation; provided, however, that with the
authorization of such person, the HRC may disclose his or her name and identifying
information as necessary to enforce this Article 49 or for other appropriate purposes.

(5§) The Director of the HRC, in consultation with the Mayor’s Office of Housing
and Community Development, shall establish rules governing the administrative process for
determining and appealing violations of this Article 49. The Rules shall include procedures for:

(A) providing the Housing Provider with notice that it may have violated
this Article 49;

(B) providing the Housing Provider with a right to respond to the notice;

(C) providing the Housing Provider with notice of the Director’s
determination of a violation;

(D) providing the Housing Provider with an opportunity to appeal the
Director’s determination to the HRC.

(6§) If there is no appeal of the Director’s determination of a violation, that
determination shall constitute a failure to exhaust administrative remedies, which shall serve
as a complete defense to any petition or claim brought by the Housing Provider against the
City regarding the Director’s determination of a violation.

(713) If there is an appeal of the Director’s determination of a violation, the City
Controller or his or her designee shall appoint a person, other than a member of the
Commission, to serve as a hearing officer. The hearing before the hearing officer shall be
conducted in a manner that satisfies the requirements of due process. In any such hearing,
the Director’s determination of a violation shall be considered prima facie evidence of a
violation, and the Housing Provider shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of
the evidence, that the Director’s determination of a violation is incorrect.
If the hearing officer finds that the Housing Provider has engaged in conduct in violation of this Article 49, the hearing officer shall issue an order requiring the Housing Provider to cease and desist from the practice and to offer the housing accommodation to the applicant or applicants under the terms for which the unit was offered to the public. The Housing Provider shall not be required to offer the housing accommodation if the unit has already been rented or leased to a tenant, but the Housing Provider shall be required to offer a comparable unit, if available, to the applicant or applicants.

The decision of the hearing officer shall be final unless the Commission vacates his or her decision on appeal.

Either party may file an appeal of the hearing officer's decision with the Commission. Such an appeal to the Commission from the determination of the hearing officer must be made within 15 days of the mailing of the decision and findings of fact. The appeal shall be in writing and must state the grounds for appellant's claim that there was either error or abuse of discretion on the part of the hearing officer. Each appeal shall be accompanied by a $15 filing fee; provided, however, the fee shall be waived for an individual who files an affidavit under penalty of perjury stating that he or she is an indigent person who does not have and cannot obtain the money to pay the filing fee without using money needed for the necessities of life. The filing of an appeal will not stay the effect of the hearing officer's decision.

Upon receipt of an appeal, the entire administrative record of the matter, including the appeal, shall be filed with the Commission.

The Commission may in its discretion determine to hear an appeal. In deciding whether to hear an appeal, the Commission shall consider, among other things, fairness to the parties, hardship to either party and promotion of the policies and purposes of this Article 49. In determining whether to hear an appeal the Commission may also review
material from the administrative record of the matter as it deems necessary. A vote of the
majority of the Commission shall be required for an appeal to be heard.

\[(H316)\] In those cases where the Commission is able to determine on the basis
of the documents before it that the hearing officer has erred, the Commission may without
determining whether to hear the appeal remand the case for further hearing in accordance
with its instructions without conducting an appeal hearing. Both parties shall be notified as to the
time of the re-hearing, which shall be conducted within 30 days of the remand by the
Commission. In those cases where the Commission is able to determine on the basis of the
documents before it that the hearing officer's findings contain numerical or clerical
inaccuracies, or require clarification, the Commission may continue the hearing for purposes
of referring the case back to said hearing officer in order to correct the findings.

\[(H417)\] Appeals accepted by the Commission shall be heard within 45 days of
the filing of an appeal. Within 30 days of the filing of an appeal, both parties shall be notified in
writing as to whether the appeal has been accepted. If the appeal has been accepted, the notice shall state the time of the hearing and the nature of the hearing. Such notice must be
mailed at least 10 days prior to the hearing.

\[(H518)\] At the appeal hearing, the parties shall have an opportunity to present
oral and written argument in support of their positions. The Commission may in its discretion allow the parties to present additional evidence that was not considered by the hearing officer. After such hearing and after any further investigation which the Commission may deem necessary, the Commission may, upon hearing the appeal, affirm, reverse or modify the hearing officer's decision or may remand the case for further hearing in accordance with its findings. The Commission's decision must be rendered within 45 days of the completion of the hearing and the parties must be notified of such decision.
In accordance with the above subsection, the Commission shall give the parties written notice of the decision. The notice shall state that the decision is final.

**:**

(b) Civil Enforcement. The City or any applicant whose rights under this Article 49 have been violated may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the Housing Provider or other person violating this Article 49, and, upon prevailing, shall be entitled to such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, but not limited to: reinstatement; back pay; the payment of benefits or pay unlawfully withheld; the payment of an additional sum as liquidated damages in the amount of $500 to each employee, applicant or other person whose rights under this Article 49 were violated for each day such violation continued or was permitted to continue; appropriate injunctive relief; and, further shall be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs. An applicant may institute a civil action under this subsection (b) only if:

(1) The applicant has filed a complaint with the Director of HRC;
(2) 90 days have passed since the filing of the complaint;
(3) After such 90-day period has passed, the applicant provides 30-day written notice to the Director of HRC and the City Attorney's Office of his or her intent to initiate civil proceedings; and
(4) The City Attorney's Office has not provided notice to the applicant of the City's intent to initiate civil proceedings by the end of the 30-day period.

**:**

(f) Tracking of Complaints. HRC shall maintain a record of the number and types of complaints it receives alleging violations of this Article, and the resolution of those complaints. This information shall be compiled on an annual calendar year basis and reported to the Board of Supervisors by January 31 of each year. HRC shall report this information to the
Board of Supervisors within six months of the operative date of the ordinance in Board of
Supervisors File No. 171170 and then annually thereafter.

* * * *

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 12T.1, 12T.4, 12T.6, and 12T.8 of Chapter 12T, to read as follows:

SEC. 12T.1. DEFINITIONS.

For the purposes of this Chapter 12T, the following words and phrases shall mean and include:

* * * *

"Contract" shall mean an agreement for public works or improvements to be performed, or for goods or services to be purchased or grants to be provided, at the expense of the City or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control or collected by the City. "Contract" does not include (1) Property Contracts, (2) agreements entered into pursuant to settlement of legal proceedings, (3) contracts for urgent litigation expenses as determined by the City Attorney, or (4) contracts for a cumulative amount of $5,000 or less per vendor in each fiscal year, or (5) Excluded Contracts.

* * * *

"Property Contract" shall mean a written agreement, including a lease, permit, or license or easement, through which the City gives to a person or entity the right to exclusively use or occupy real property owned or controlled by the City for a period of more than 29 days in any calendar year, but excluding the following (collectively, "Excluded Property Contracts"): (1) an agreement through which the City gives the right to use or occupy real property owned or controlled by the City to with a public entity or public utility; (2) a revocable at-will permit
regardless of the ultimate duration of such permit, unless the permittee engages in a for-profit activity on the City property; (3) regulatory permits, including street or public right of way construction, excavation, and use permits; (4) agreements governing the use of City property which constitutes a public forum for activities that are primarily for the purpose of espousing or advocating causes or ideas and that are generally recognized as protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; or (5) agreements for activities which are primarily recreational in nature, unless the user engages in a for-profit activity on the City property.

* * * *

SEC. 12T.4. PROCEDURES FOR CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR USE OF CRIMINAL HISTORY INFORMATION IN EMPLOYMENT DECISIONS.

(a) Regarding applicants or potential applicants for employment, or employees, a Contractor or Subcontractor shall not, at any time or by any means, inquire about, require disclosure of, or if such information is received base an Adverse Action in whole or in part on:

(1) An Arrest not leading to a Conviction, excepting under circumstances identified in this Section an Unresolved Arrest;

(2) Participation in or completion of a diversion or a deferral of judgment program;

(3) A Conviction that has been judicially dismissed, expunged, voided, invalidated, or otherwise rendered inoperative, by way of example but not limitation, under California Penal Code sections 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41;

(4) A Conviction or any other determination or adjudication in the juvenile justice system, or information regarding a matter considered in or processed through the juvenile justice system;

(5) A Conviction that is more than seven years old, the date of Conviction being the date of sentencing;
(6) Information pertaining to an offense other than a felony or misdemeanor, such as an infraction, except that a Contractor or Subcontractor may inquire about, require disclosure of, base an Adverse Action on, or otherwise consider an infraction or infractions contained in an applicant or employee's driving record if driving is more than a de minimus element of the employment in question; or

(7) A Conviction that arises out of conduct that has been decriminalized since the date of the Conviction, the date of the Conviction being the date of sentencing. Examples of statutes that have decriminalized particular conduct include but are not limited to California Health and Safety Code Sections 11362.1 and 11362.2.

Accordingly, the matters identified in this subsection (a) may not be considered in any manner by the Contractor or Subcontractor.

(b) A Contractor or Subcontractor shall not require applicants or potential applicants for employment or employees to disclose on any employment application the fact or details of any Conviction History, any Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(67). Nor shall the Contractor or Subcontractor inquire on any employment application about the fact or details of any Conviction History, any Unresolved Arrest, or any matter identified in subsections (a)(1)-(67). A Contractor or Subcontractor may ask on an employment application for an applicant, potential applicant, or employee's written consent for a Background Check so long as the application includes a clear and conspicuous statement that the Contractor or Subcontractor will not itself conduct or obtain from a third party the Background Check until either after the first live interview with the person or after a conditional offer of employment in accordance with subsection (c) of this Section 12T.4.

(c) A Contractor or Subcontractor shall not require applicants or potential applicants for employment, or employees, to disclose, and shall not inquire into or discuss, their Conviction History or an Unresolved Arrest until either after the first live interview with the
person (via telephone, videoconferencing, use of other technology, or in person) or, at the discretion of the Contractor or Subcontractor, after a conditional offer of employment. A Contractor or Subcontractor may not itself conduct or obtain from a third party a Background Check until either after the first live interview with the person or after a conditional offer of employment.

* * * *

SEC. 12T.6. IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT.

(a) The OLSE is authorized to take appropriate steps to enforce and coordinate enforcement of this Chapter 12T, including the investigation of possible violations of this Chapter. The OLSE shall not find a violation based on a Contractor or Subcontractor's decision that an applicant or employee's Conviction History is Directly-Related, but otherwise may find a violation of this ArticleChapter, including if the Contractor or Subcontractor failed to conduct the individualized assessment as required under Section 12T.4(f). If multiple employees or applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g. all applicants for a certain job opening are asked for their conviction history on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as a single violation rather than multiple violations.

(b) An employee, applicant or other person may report to the OLSE any suspected violation of this Chapter 12T. The OLSE shall encourage reporting pursuant to this subsection (b) by keeping confidential, to the maximum extent permitted by applicable laws, the name and other identifying information of the employee or person reporting the violation; provided, however, that with the authorization of such person, the OLSE may disclose his or her name and identifying information as necessary to enforce this Chapter or for other appropriate purposes.
(c) A Contractor or Subcontractor shall be deemed to have breached the provisions regarding the procedures for use of Conviction History in employment upon a finding by the OLSE that the Contractor or Subcontractor has willfully violated these provisions, provided, however, that for a first violation, or for any violation during the first twelve months following the operative date of this Chapter, the OLSE must issue warnings and notices to correct, and offer the Contractor or Subcontractor technical assistance on how to comply with the requirements of this Chapter except as provided in the second sentence of subsection (f) of this Section 12T.6.

(d) Upon a subsequent finding of a violation of this Chapter, the awarding authority shall notify the Contractor or Subcontractor that unless the Contractor or Subcontractor demonstrates to the satisfaction of the OLSE within such reasonable period as the OLSE shall determine, that the violation has been corrected, action will be taken as set forth in subparagraphs (g) through (j) hereof.

(ed) The Director of the OLSE shall establish rules governing the administrative process for determining and appealing violations of this Chapter 12T. The rules shall include procedures for:

1. providing the Contractor or Subcontractor with notice that it may have violated this Chapter;
2. providing the Contractor or Subcontractor with a right to respond to the notice;
3. providing the Contractor or Subcontractor with notice of the OLSE's determination of a violation;
4. providing the Contractor with an opportunity to appeal the OLSE's determination to a hearing officer, who is appointed by the City Controller or his or her designee.

(fg) If there is an appeal of the OLSE's determination of a violation, the hearing before the hearing officer shall be conducted in a manner that satisfies the requirements of due
process. In any such hearing, the OLSE's determination of a violation shall be considered prima facie evidence of a violation, and the Contractor or Subcontractor shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the OLSE's determination of a violation is incorrect. The hearing officer's decision of the appeal shall constitute the City's final decision.

This subsection (j) applies to violations occurring during the term of a Contract entered into prior to the effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending this Chapter 12T. For a first violation, or for any violation during the first twelve months following the operative date of this Chapter, the OLSE must issue warnings and notices to correct, and offer the Contractor or Subcontractor technical assistance on how to comply with the requirements of this Chapter. Upon a subsequent finding of a violation of this Chapter, the awarding authority shall notify the Contractor or Subcontractor that unless the Contractor or Subcontractor demonstrates to the satisfaction of the OLSE within such reasonable period as the OLSE shall determine, that the violation has been corrected, action will be taken as set forth in this subsection (f) and subsections (h) through (j) of this Section 12T.6. For a second violation, the awarding authority may deduct from the amount payable to the Contractor or Subcontractor by the City under any Contract subject to this Chapter, or the OLSE may impose upon the Contractor or Subcontractor, a penalty of $50 for each employee, applicant or other person as to whom the violation occurred or continued. Thereafter, for subsequent violations, the penalty may increase to no more than $100, for each employee or applicant whose rights were, or continue to be, violated. Such funds shall be allocated to the OLSE and used to offset the costs of implementing and enforcing this Chapter. If multiple employees or applicants are impacted by the same procedural violation at the same time (e.g. all applicants for a certain job opening are asked for their conviction history on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as a single violation rather than multiple violations.
(g) This subsection (g) applies to violations occurring during the term of a Contract entered
into on or after the effective date of the ordinance in Board of Supervisors File No. 171170 amending
this Chapter 12T. For a first violation, the awarding authority may deduct from the amount payable to
the Contractor or Subcontractor by the City under any Contract subject to this Chapter, or the OLSE
may impose upon the Contractor or Subcontractor, a penalty of $500 for each employee, applicant or
other person as to whom the violation occurred or continued. For a second violation, the awarding
authority may deduct from the amount payable to the Contractor or Subcontractor by the City under
any Contract subject to this Chapter, or the OLSE may impose upon the Contractor or Subcontractor, a
penalty of no more than $1,000 for each employee, applicant or other person as to whom the violation
occurred or continued. Thereafter, for subsequent violations, the awarding authority may deduct from
the amount payable to the Contractor or Subcontractor by the City under any Contract subject to this
Chapter, or the OLSE may impose upon the Contractor or Subcontractor, a penalty of no more than
$2,000, for each employee or applicant whose rights were, or continue to be, violated. The
administrative penalties for each violation shall be paid to the employee or applicant as to whom the
violation occurred or continued. If multiple employees or applicants are impacted by the same
procedural violation at the same time (e.g. all applicants for a certain job opening are asked for their
Conviction History on the initial application), the violation shall be treated as one violation for each
impacted employee or applicant.

** **

SEC. 12T.8. NONAPPLICABILITY, EXCEPTIONS, AND WAIVERS.

** **

(k) Waivers granted to a Contractor pursuant to this Section 12T.8 shall relieve that
Contractor of any obligations it may have under Article 49 of the Police Code, but only with respect to
work performed under the Contract or Property Contract for which the waiver was granted.

** **
Section 3. Effective and Operative Dates.

(a) Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

(b) Operative Date. This ordinance shall become operative on July October 1, 2018.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: JOSHUA S. WHITE
Deputy City Attorney
City and County of San Francisco
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