

[Planning Code - Port of San Francisco Waterfront Plan Update]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to revise certain Waterfront Special Use District controls and to add Waterfront Special Use District No. 4 covering areas east of the Mission Bay and Southern Waterfront areas; and making environmental findings, including adopting a statement of overriding considerations, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.

(a) On March 16, 2023, after a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission, by Motion No. 21277, certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Port of San Francisco's Waterfront Plan (the Project), which updates and amends the Port's 1997 Waterfront Land Use Plan and sets long term goals and policies to guide the use, management, and improvement of properties owned and managed by the Port. The Planning Commission motion finds that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the report and the

1 procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with
2 the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code
3 Sections 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15000 et seq.),
4 and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Copies of the Planning
5 Commission Motion and Final EIR are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
6 No. 230503 and are incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this
7 determination.

8 (b) The Project evaluated in the Final EIR includes the proposed amendments to the
9 Planning Code set forth in this ordinance as well as amendments to the General Plan and the
10 Zoning Map. The proposed Planning Code amendments set forth in this ordinance are within
11 the scope of the Project evaluated in the Final EIR.

12 (c) On April 5, 2023, the Planning Department published Addendum No. 1 to the Final
13 EIR (the "Addendum"), and determined that the additional information in Addendum No. 1
14 does not change the analyses and conclusions presented in the FEIR. The Addendum
15 provides additional language to clarify the CEQA review process for subsequent projects. The
16 Addendum is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230501 and is
17 incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination; and

18 (ed) On April 11, 2023, the Port Commission, in Resolution~~Motion~~ No.
19 23-15, adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental
20 impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a
21 statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings), and adopted a mitigation monitoring
22 reporting program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
23 Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by reference.

24 (de) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution~~Motion~~
25 No. 21304, adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental

1 impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a
2 statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings) and adopted a mitigation monitoring
3 reporting program (MMRP). A copy of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
4 Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by reference.

5 (ef) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No.
6 21304, recommended the proposed Planning Code amendments for approval
7 and adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on
8 balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section
9 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with
10 the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated
11 herein by reference.

12 (fg) On April 20, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No.
13 21304, adopted findings under Planning Code section 302 that the actions
14 contemplated in this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare. The
15 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
16 the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230503, and is incorporated herein by
17 reference.

18 (gh) The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the Final EIR and the
19 environmental documents on file referred to herein. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed
20 and considered the CEQA Findings, and hereby adopts them as its own and incorporates
21 them by reference as though such findings were fully set forth in this ordinance.

22 (hi) The Board of Supervisors adopts the MMRP as a condition of this approval, and
23 endorses those mitigation measures that are under the jurisdiction of other City Departments,
24 and recommends for adoption those mitigation measures that are enforceable by agencies
25 other than City agencies, all as set forth in the CEQA Findings and MMRP.

(j) The Board of Supervisors finds that since certification of the Final EIR no substantial changes have occurred in the proposed Project that would require revisions in the Final EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects, no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the proposed Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions to the Final EIR due to the involvement of new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the Final EIR, and no new information of substantial importance to the proposed Project has become available which indicates that (1) the Project will have significant effects not discussed in the Final EIR, (2) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe, (3) mitigation measure or alternatives found not feasible that would reduce one or more significant effects have become feasible, or (4) mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those in the Final EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

Section 2. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 240, 240.1, 240.2, and 240.3, and adding Section 240.4, as follows:

SEC. 240. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

(a) **Purpose.** In order to provide for certain areas with unique natural and man-made physical characteristics, distinct historic and maritime character, special traffic, parking, and use considerations, recognized development potential, and proximity to residential, public, and commercial areas of regional, national, and international significance which should be protected from adverse adjacent development, there shall be ~~three~~four Waterfront Special Use Districts, Numbers 1, 2, ~~and 3~~, and 4, as designated on Sectional Maps No. 1 SU, 8 SU, and 9 SU* of the Zoning Map. The original copy of said Sectional Maps with these Special Use

Districts indicated thereon is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 171-70-4, pursuant to Ordinance No. 131-70 and subsequent amendments ~~theretounder File No. _____~~. The provisions set forth in Sections 240.1 through ~~240.3~~240.4 shall apply, respectively, within these Special Use Districts, and shall be applicable to all property, whether public or private, including property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission.

(b) **State and Regional Land Use Controls.** Much of the property within Waterfront Special Use District Numbers 1, ~~and 3,~~ and 4 is subject to land use controls in addition to those set forth in this Code. Most of the land under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission is public trust land and is subject to use limitations as provided in California Statutes of 1968, Chapter 1333, as amended (the "Burton Act") and the San Francisco Charter. In the event of a conflict between the provisions of the Burton Act and this Code, the State legislation prevails. A portion of the property under the Port Commission's jurisdiction is further subject to use limitations as provided in the California Government Code, Sections 66600 et seq. (the "McAteer-Petris Act"). The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission is responsible for implementing the provisions of the McAteer-Petris Act. Other property within ~~this/these~~ Waterfront Special Use Districts is subject to redevelopment plans adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

(c) **Waterfront Design Review Process.**

(1) In order to best achieve the public objectives that have been established in law and policy for the property under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission, a waterfront design review process is hereby established to review the urban design of new development on certain land under the Port Commission's jurisdiction within ~~the~~ Waterfront Special Use Districts Numbers 1, 3, and 4, consistent with applicable provisions of the Port's Waterfront ~~Land Use Plan and its Waterfront Design and Access goals, objectives and criteria,~~ urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives, as provided below. The purpose of

1 the waterfront design review process is to identify and integrate the State, regional, and local
2 objectives pertaining to the urban design of major, non-maritime development projects and
3 proposed uses in order to optimize the public enjoyment and beneficial use of this public trust
4 resource.

5 (2) The waterfront design review process shall be conducted by the Waterfront
6 Design Advisory Committee ("Committee"), which shall consist of five members.~~a Design Advisory~~
7 ~~Committee. The Mayor shall appoint a qualified professional urban planner or architect (general,~~
8 ~~historic or landscape) who resides or works in San Francisco as one member.~~ The Director of
9 Planning and the Director of the Port of San Francisco shall each appoint two members who
10 are, consisting of (1) a senior member from their respective staffs and (2) a qualified professional
11 urban planners or architects (general, historic, or landscape) who resides or works in San
12 Francisco, and are not employed within their agency. In addition to these members, the Director of
13 the Port shall also appoint one member who is a historic preservation professional who meets the
14 Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standard. Port of San Francisco staff shall
15 maintain Committee records and administrative procedures reflecting the roster, qualifications, and
16 terms for each Committee member. Of the original appointments, the Mayor's appointment shall serve
17 for a four-year term and the Planning Director and Port Director shall each appoint one member for a
18 two-year term and one member for a four-year term. After expiration of the original terms, all
19 appointments shall be for four-year terms. The Port Commission may~~is granted the authority to~~
20 increase the number of Committee members by adding representatives appointed by the
21 Director of the Bay Conservation and Development Commission, if needed. The
22 ~~Committee~~Design Advisory Committee shall select a chairperson from among its voting
23 members, and shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure.
24 The ~~Committee~~Committee may establish subcommittees to which it may assign Committee
25

1 design review responsibilities. The Committee shall act by vote of a majority of those present
2 at a meeting with a quorum of Committee members.

3 (3) The ~~Design Advisory~~ Committee shall review proposed projects to be developed
4 on property of the Port of San Francisco, as set forth in Sections 240.1, 240.3, and ~~240.34~~ of
5 this Code.

6 (4) The ~~Design Advisory~~ Committee shall be advisory to the Planning Department
7 and Port of San Francisco, and shall provide its design recommendations to the Bay
8 Conservation and Development Commission for proposed projects within its jurisdiction. The
9 Port shall convene and provide staff assistance to the Committee and consult with the
10 Committee on non-maritime development projects as set forth in this Code and at such other
11 times as the Port deems appropriate.

12 ~~(5) The Planning Commission and the Port Commission shall hold a joint hearing within~~
13 ~~two years from the date of adoption of this ordinance to evaluate the design review process and make~~
14 ~~recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for its improvement.~~

15 (65) The Committee shall hold a public hearing on a proposed project and make
16 design recommendations to ensure that the urban design of the proposed project is consistent
17 with applicable provisions of the Waterfront ~~Land Use Plan's Waterfront Design and Access goals,~~
18 ~~objectives and criteria~~ urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and
19 objectives. ~~In addition to any other notice required by law, the~~ Committee shall provide public
20 notice for this hearing by electronic mail to the applicant or other person or agency initiating
21 the action and other parties who have requested ~~mailed notice of such hearing on the project in~~
22 ~~writing~~ notice of such hearing on the project and submitted their contact information to the Port of San
23 Francisco.

24 (76) The Committee, as an advisory board, must review and consider any final
25 environmental documents, or draft documents if final documents are not yet available,

1 prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, before it makes its final
2 recommendations.

3 (87) The determination of the Committee on urban design issues related to the
4 proposed project shall be final as to those design issues, except as provided below. The
5 Committee shall transmit the design recommendations to the Planning Department and Port,
6 and to the Bay Conservation and Development Commission for proposed projects within
7 BCDC's jurisdiction, within ~~five days~~ two weeks following the Committee action for
8 consideration by those agencies prior to any action on the project.

9 (A) For a project that is permitted as a Principal Use, the Planning Commission
10 ~~may~~, by majority vote within 14 days of receipt of the design recommendations of the
11 Committee, make a determination to review the design recommendations. If the item cannot
12 be calendared for Planning Commission consideration within that period due to a canceled
13 meeting, the Commission may consider whether to review the design recommendations at its
14 next available meeting. If the Planning Commission requests review, it shall conduct a public
15 hearing on the matter within 14 days following its determination to review the design
16 recommendations, if legally adequate environmental documents have been completed, or at
17 its first public meeting after such documents have been completed, unless the Port Director
18 agrees to a different date. At the request of the Port Director, the meeting shall be conducted
19 as a joint public hearing of the Planning Commission and the Port Commission. The Planning
20 Commission, by majority vote, may adopt, amend, or reject the design recommendations of
21 the Committee, subject to the same standards and criteria that govern Committee decisions
22 as provided in subsection (c)(56) above.

23 If the Port Commission accepts the design recommendations of the Committee
24 or of the Planning Commission, the Port Commission shall incorporate the design
25 recommendations into the Port action on the project.

1 If the Port Commission objects to or seeks to modify the design
2 recommendations of the Committee, the Port Commission may request Planning Commission
3 review of the design recommendations of the Committee. The Planning Commission shall
4 schedule a public hearing and review the design recommendations of the Committee within
5 20 days following receipt of the request, if legally adequate environmental documents have
6 been completed, or at its first public meeting after such documents have been completed,
7 unless the Port Director agrees to a different date. At the request of the Port Director, the
8 meeting shall be conducted as a joint public hearing of the Planning Commission and the Port
9 Commission.

10 If the Port Commission objects to or seeks to substantially modify design
11 recommendations that have been approved by the Planning Commission as set forth above,
12 the Port Commission may appeal the design recommendations to the Board of Supervisors
13 pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 308.1 of this Code and in Charter Section
14 4.105 for appeals of Conditional Uses. The Board of Supervisors may disapprove the decision
15 of the Commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds of the members of the Board.

16 (B) For a project that requires a conditional use authorization, the Director of
17 Planning shall incorporate the design recommendations of the Committee on urban design
18 issues related to the proposed project into the recommendation to the Planning Commission.
19 The Director of Planning may recommend specific modifications to the Committee's design
20 recommendations, in which case the Director's recommendation shall specify why the
21 Committee's design recommendations should not be considered final. The Director of
22 Planning shall schedule a public hearing before the Planning Commission within 30 days
23 following receipt of the Committee's design recommendations, if legally adequate
24 environmental documents have been completed, or at its first public meeting after such
25 documents have been completed, unless the Port Director agrees to a different date.

(d) A project within a Waterfront Special Use District shall be reviewed under the ~~standards~~~~provisions~~ set forth in Sections 240.1 through 240.4 for the Waterfront Special Use District within which boundaries it is located, and shall not be considered, for review purposes under this Code, as including or being part of a project within an adjoining Waterfront Special Use District, notwithstanding the timing of development, the physical proximity or type of uses associated with any other such projects, or the applicant or other person or agency initiating the action.

SEC. 240.1. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 1.

The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 1:

(a) **Accessory Uses Related to Maritime Uses.** A related minor use that is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront ~~Land Use~~ Plan adopted by the Port Commission and that is either necessary to the operation or enjoyment of a Maritime Use, as defined in Section 102 of this Code, or is appropriate, incidental, and subordinate to any such use, shall be permitted as an accessory use when located on the same lot, provided that the minor use does not itself occupy~~involve the use of~~ more than one-third of the site area occupied by such minor use and the principal or conditional use to which it is accessory, except in the case of accessory off-street parking and loading.

(b) **Principal Uses shall include:**

(1) Maritime Uses as defined in Section 102 of this Code.

(2) Any use that is listed in this Code as a permitted use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved shall be permitted as a principal use if the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront ~~Land Use~~ Plan adopted by the Port Commission.

(c) **Conditional Uses** shall include any use that is listed in this Code as a conditional use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property

involved, provided that the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront ~~Land Use~~ Plan adopted by the Port Commission. The specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the project in its entirety, shall be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article 3.5 of this Code and ~~S~~subsection (d), below.

(d) Any use, other than Maritime Uses defined in Section 102 of this Code, that is listed in this Code as a permitted use or conditional use in the use district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved, that involves (1) new construction or (2) projects with lease terms longer than 10 years that include substantial exterior alterations visible from the street or other major public site, excluding minor changes including but not limited to maintenance, alterations, and repairs involving replacing features with similar features or adding similar features; restoration of preexisting conditions; and signs, awnings, or canopies, shall be subject to review of the urban design of the proposed use under the waterfront design review process, as provided under Section 240(c) of this Code.

(e) In considering any application in this special use district under Section 303 of this Code, the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria in lieu of the criteria set forth in Section 303(c):

(1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront ~~Land Use-Plan (WLUP)~~ adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;

(2) That the design of such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the ~~WLUP Waterfront Design and Access goals, policies, and criteria adopted by the Port Commission~~ Waterfront Plan urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;

1 (3) Provision to the extent feasible, along the sea wall and along the perimeters of
2 piers or platforms, of public access and of open spaces available for public use and suitable
3 for viewing purposes or water-oriented recreation;

4 (4) Limitation of water coverage in the Northern Waterfront area from the Hyde
5 Street Pier to Pier 46 so as not to exceed the degree of coverage by piers as existing ~~at the~~
6 ~~effective date of this Section~~ as of February 16, 1998;

7 (5) Construction of new piers or platforms so that the water's edge shall be
8 maintained at the sea wall where feasible;

9 (6) Provision or maintenance of view corridors along streets into the Bay, and of
10 panoramic views, in accordance with the view policies of the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a
11 part of the General Plan; and

12 (7) Development over the water generally on piers or platforms rather than on fill.

13 (f) The basic Floor Area Ratio limit shall be 5.0 to 1 to the extent provided in Section
14 124(e) of this Code. To calculate the Floor Area Ratio on piers under the jurisdiction of the
15 Port Commission, all building permit applications shall include a map of the lot or lease area
16 with precise boundaries showing its location on the pier under consideration. The proposed lot
17 shall be reviewed and approved as part of the building permit and be the basis for further
18 alterations or expansions of the structure.

19 **SEC. 240.2. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 2.**

20 The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 2:

21 (a) Industrial, commercial, and other operations directly related to the conduct of
22 waterborne commerce or navigation shall be permitted as Principal Uses, except in residential
23 zoning districts.
24
25

1 (b) A Hotel or Motel, if otherwise listed in this Code as a permitted use, shall be
2 permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under
3 Section 303 of this Code.

4 (c) An Automotive Service Station, if otherwise listed in this Code as a permitted use,
5 shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use
6 under Section 303 of this Code.

7 (d) Any building or use which provides a greater number of off-street parking spaces
8 than required under Section 151 of this Code shall be permitted only upon approval by the
9 Planning Commission as a ~~e~~Conditional ~~u~~Use under Section 303 of this Code; provided,
10 however, that this subsection (d) shall not apply in any case where fewer than 10 such spaces
11 are provided. Any building or use which provides 10 or more off-street parking spaces shall be
12 permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under
13 Section 303 of this Code.

14 ~~Any Use, whether Principal or Accessory, not screened from view from adjacent streets and~~
15 ~~other public areas, with the exception of accessory off-street parking areas for nine or fewer~~
16 ~~automobiles, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use~~
17 ~~under Section 303 of this Code.~~

18 * * * *

19 **SEC. 240.3. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 3.**

20 The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 3:

21 (a) Industrial, commercial, and other operations directly related to the conduct of
22 waterborne commerce or navigation shall be permitted as Principal Uses.

23 (b) A wholesale establishment conducted entirely within an enclosed building shall
24 be permitted as a Principal Use.

1 (c) Any development on property not under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission
2 which includes an area (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) of at least three acres
3 shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission according to the
4 procedures for conditional use approval in Section 303 of this Code. In considering any
5 application for such a development under Section 303, the Planning Commission shall
6 consider the following criteria in addition to those stated in Section 303(c):

7 (1) Conformance to the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a part of the General Plan,
8 including streets and roadways as indicated therein;

9 (2) Assurance of a general profile for development having higher portions near
10 Telegraph Hill or other inland areas and lower portions near The Embarcadero;

11 (3) Assurance of view corridors along public streets between Telegraph Hill or
12 other inland areas and the waterfront and Bay;

13 (4) Provision of open spaces available to the public; and

14 (5) Adherence to the character of surrounding areas of the City.

15 (d) Any new development (excluding alterations to existing development) on property
16 under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission that requires a Port lease of more than 10 years,
17 ~~(excluding alterations to existing development) and which~~ includes an area ~~(excluding the area of~~
18 ~~public streets and alleys)~~ of at least ½ acre (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) shall be
19 subject to review of the urban design of the proposed use by the waterfront design review
20 process, as provided under Section 240(c) of this Code.

21 (e) In considering any application for development on property under the jurisdiction
22 of the Port Commission on which a specific use or uses require a conditional use, the specific
23 use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the project in its entirety, shall
24 be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article 3.5 of this Code. The Planning
25 Commission shall consider the following criteria in lieu of those stated in Section 303(c):

(1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront ~~Land Use Plan (WLUP)~~ and the design of the use is consistent with Waterfront Plan urban design, historic preservation, and public access ~~its WLUP Waterfront Design and Access~~ goals, policies, and objectives~~criteria~~, adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission has found to be consistent with the General Plan;

(2) Assurance of a general profile for development having higher portions near Telegraph Hill or other inland areas and lower portions near The Embarcadero;

~~—(3) [Reserved.]~~

(~~3~~4) Assurance of view corridors along public streets between Telegraph Hill or other inland areas and the waterfront and Bay, in accordance with the view policies of the Northeastern Waterfront Plan, a part of the General Plan;

(~~4~~5) Provision of open spaces available to the public consistent with the Waterfront Plan urban design and open space Design and Access goals, policies, and objectives~~criteria~~; and

(~~5~~6) Adherence to the character of surrounding areas of the City.

(f) A Hotel or Motel, if otherwise listed in this Code as a Permitted Use, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.

(g) An Automotive Service Station, if otherwise listed in this Code as a Permitted Use, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.

(h) Any building or use which provides a greater number of off-street parking spaces than required under Section 151 of this Code shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a conditional use under Section 303 of this Code; provided, however, that this subsection (h) shall not apply (1) in any case where fewer than 10 such

spaces are provided, or (2) for property under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, to the extent such off-street parking spaces existed as of ~~the effective date of this Subsection~~ February 16, 1998. Any building or use which provides 10 or more off-street parking spaces shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.

~~(i) Any use, whether Principal or Accessory, not screened from view from adjacent streets and other public areas, with the exception of temporary uses pursuant to Section 205.1, accessory off-street parking areas for nine or fewer automobiles, or off-street parking areas on property under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco in existence as of the effective date of this subsection, shall be permitted only upon approval by the Planning Commission as a Conditional Use under Section 303 of this Code.~~

(ji) The basic Floor Area Ratio limit shall be 5.0 to 1 to the extent provided in Section 124(e) of this Code.

SEC. 240.4. WATERFRONT SPECIAL USE DISTRICT NO. 4.

The following provisions shall apply within Waterfront Special Use District No. 4:

(a) Accessory Uses Related to Maritime Uses. A related minor use that is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan adopted by the Port Commission and that is either necessary to the operation or enjoyment of a Maritime Use, as defined in Section 102 of this Code, or is appropriate, incidental, and subordinate to any such use, shall be permitted as an accessory use when located on the same lot, provided that the minor use does not itself occupy more than one-third of the site area occupied by such minor use and the principal or conditional use to which it is accessory, except in the case of accessory off-street parking and loading.

(b) Principal Uses. Principal uses shall include:

(1) Maritime Uses as defined in Section 102 of this Code.

1 (2) Any use that is listed in this Code as a permitted use in the district established by
2 Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved shall be permitted as a principal use if the
3 use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan adopted by the
4 Port Commission.

5 (c) **Conditional Uses.** Conditional uses shall include any use that is listed in this Code as a
6 conditional use in the district established by Section 201 applicable to the particular property involved,
7 provided that the use is identified as an acceptable, existing, or interim land use in the Waterfront Plan
8 adopted by the Port Commission. The specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project,
9 and not the project in its entirety, shall be subject to the provisions set forth in Section 303 and Article
10 3.5 of this Code and subsection (d) below.

11 (d) Any new development (excluding alterations to existing development) on property under
12 the jurisdiction of the Port Commission that requires a Port lease of more than 10 years, and includes
13 an area of at least 1/2 acre (excluding the area of public streets and alleys) shall be subject to review of
14 the urban design of the proposed use by the waterfront design review process, as provided under
15 Section 240(c) of this code.

16 (e) In considering any application in this special use district under Section 303 of this Code,
17 the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria along with the criteria set forth in
18 Section 303(c). Only the specific use or uses requiring a conditional use within a project, and not the
19 project in its entirety, shall be subject to the following criteria:

20 (1) That such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the Waterfront Plan
21 adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission
22 has found to be consistent with the General Plan; and

23 (2) That the design of such use or feature as proposed is consistent with the
24 Waterfront Plan urban design, historic preservation, and public access goals, policies, and objectives
25

1 adopted by the Port Commission, including any amendments thereto which the Planning Commission
2 has found to be consistent with the General Plan.

3
4 Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
5 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
6 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
7 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

8
9 Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
10 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
11 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
12 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
13 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
14 the official title of the ordinance.

15
16 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
17 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

18 By: /s/ Peter R. Miljanich
19 PETER R. MILJANICH
20 Deputy City Attorney

21 n:\legana\as2023\2300067\01682943.docx
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Ordinance

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 230503

Date Passed: July 25, 2023

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to revise certain Waterfront Special Use District controls and to add Waterfront Special Use District No. 4 covering areas east of the Mission Bay and Southern Waterfront areas; and making environmental findings, including adopting a statement of overriding considerations, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

July 10, 2023 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

July 10, 2023 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

July 18, 2023 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING


Ayes: 11 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

July 25, 2023 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 230503

**I hereby certify that the foregoing
Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on
7/25/2023 by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.**


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board


London N. Breed
Mayor

7/28/23
Date Approved