FILE NO. 240039

[Grant of Non-Exclusive Easement - Midtown Lands LLC - Assessor's Parcel Block No. 2781, Lot No. 022]

Resolution approving and authorizing an Easement Agreement and Quitclaim between the City and Midtown Lands LLC, fixing and perfecting the location and terms of an easement reserved in a 1957 subdivision instrument, for vehicular and pedestrian access and subsurface utilities within Assessor's Parcel Block No. 2781, Lot No. 022, a City parcel located in the Twin Peaks neighborhood; adopting findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and to authorize the Director of Property to enter into amendments or modifications to the Easement Agreement that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities to the City and are necessary to effectuate the purposes of the Easement Agreement or this Resolution.

WHEREAS, The City owns and the Recreation and Parks Department ("RPD") has jurisdiction over 19 acres of undeveloped land across four parcels in the Twin Peaks neighborhood (Supervisor District 7), commonly known as the "Interior Greenbelt;" the Interior Greenbelt includes approximately 6,947 square feet of land located generally southeast of the intersection of Palo Alto and Dellbrook Avenues in the Twin Peaks neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, The original subdivider of the area conveyed a portion of the Interior Greenbelt to the City in 1957, and reserved a blanket access easement along and within the Interior Greenbelt, from a parcel adjacent to the Interior Greenbelt identified as Assessor's Parcel Block No. 2724, Lot No. 002 (the "Parcel") to Clarendon Avenue, which was the closest street access point at that time; the 1957 deed contemplated that a more precise location of the easement would be fixed at a later date, but the Parcel was never developed and the

precise easement location was not fixed; currently, the entire section of the Interior Greenbelt from the Parcel to Clarendon Avenue is encumbered by the reservation of easement; the Parcel does not have direct access to a public right-of-way without the existing easement over the Interior Greenbelt; and

WHEREAS, The City staff and the Parcel owner have agreed to fix the location of the easement as contemplated in the original deed, and to fully describe the rights and obligations of the parties; the proposed easement agreement allows for vehicular and pedestrian access as well as subsurface utilities, and imposes significant stewardship covenants on the Parcel owner; a copy of the easement agreement is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 240039 (the "Easement Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, Since 1957, La Avanzada Street has been built, which can provide access from La Avanzada Street straight across the Interior Greenbelt to the Parcel rather than all the way through and down the Interior Greenbelt to Clarendon Avenue; the City and the Parcel owner desire to fix and perfect the location of the easement to provide access from the Parcel directly across the Interior Greenbelt to La Avanzada Street; as specified in the Easement Agreement, the easement will encumber 2,500 square feet of the Interior Greenbelt rather than 6,947 square feet, and the Parcel owner will quitclaim its interest in the remainder of the Interior Greenbelt; and

WHEREAS, The Easement Agreement benefits the City by preserving far more of the Interior Greenbelt as open space in its natural condition, eliminating the encumbrance of the 1957 deed over the Interior Greenbelt, and providing stewardship over a portion of the Interior Greenbelt; this agreement also benefits the Parcel owner, by providing more direct and efficient access to the Parcel from the street system, and more certainty with respect to the terms and conditions of the easement; and

WHEREAS, The Parcel owner has agreed to significant perpetual open space stewardship covenants for the Interior Greenbelt area within and adjacent to the easement area, including complying with a certified arborist's findings regarding necessary removal of any dangerous and/or invasive trees within 150 feet of the easement area, replacing such trees with RPD approved species, and maintaining newly planted trees; in addition, the Parcel owner is required to remove dangerous and invasive plant species and underbrush within 50 feet of the easement area, replace such plants with RPD approved species, and maintain newly planted plants; and

WHEREAS, On July 8, 2021, the Planning Department determined the project to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA", Pub. Resources, Code Sections 21000 et seq.) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15061(b)(3) and Chapter 31 of the City's Administrative Code (Planning Case No. 2021-006620 ENV), which determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 240039, and incorporated herein by reference; by letter dated April 28, 2023, the Planning Department found that the project is consistent with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1 (Planning Case No. 2021-006620GPR), which letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 240039, and incorporated herein by reference; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, This Board affirms the Planning Department's determination under CEQA and finds that the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan, and with Planning Code, Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in the General Plan Referral letter; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that 1) the public interest or necessity demands, or will not be inconvenienced by the transactions contemplated in the Easement Agreement, 2) competitive bidding is impractical or impossible given that the Easement Agreement is clarifying property rights as contemplated in the 1957 deed, and 3)

the Easement Agreement will further a proper public purpose by ensuring a larger parcel of open space owned by the City, and providing valuable environmental stewardship; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That an appraisal isn't required by Administrative Code, Section 23.3 because the Easement Agreement clarifies the terms of the 1957 reserved easement, and is not a Conveyance as defined in Administrative Code, Section 23.2; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the General Manager of RPD and the Director of Property, the Board of Supervisors approves the Easement Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby in substantially the form presented to the Board, and authorizes the Director of Property to execute the Easement Agreement and to accept the quitclaim deed of the remainder of the Interior Greenbelt upon the closing in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Easement Agreement, and to take any and all steps (including, but not limited to, the execution and delivery of any and all certificates, agreements, notices, consents, escrow instructions, closing documents and other instruments or documents) as the Director of Property, in consultation with the City Attorney, deems necessary or appropriate in order to consummate the conveyances described in the Easement Agreement, or otherwise to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Resolution, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery by the Director of Property of any such documents; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Director of Property to enter into any amendments or modifications to the Easement Agreement that the Director of Property determines, in consultation with the City Attorney and the General Manager of the Recreation and Parks Department, are in the best interest of the City, do not otherwise materially diminish the benefits to the City or materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the City, are necessary or advisable to effectuate the purposes of the Easement

Agreement, and are in compliance with all applicable laws, including the City Charter; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That within thirty (30) days of the execution and recordation of the Easement Agreement, the Director of Property shall provide a copy of the recorded Easement Agreement to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for inclusion into the official file.

RECOMMENDED:

REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

<u>/S/</u>

Andrico Q. Penick, Director of Property

RECREATION & PARKS DEPARTMENT

<u>/S/</u>

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager



City and County of San Francisco Tails Resolution

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 240039

Date Passed: May 21, 2024

Resolution approving and authorizing an Easement Agreement and Quitclaim between the City and Midtown Lands LLC, fixing and perfecting the location and terms of an easement reserved in a 1957 subdivision instrument, for vehicular and pedestrian access and subsurface utilities within Assessor's Parcel Block No. 2781, Lot No. 022, a City parcel located in the Twin Peaks neighborhood; adopting findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and to authorize the Director of Property to enter into amendments or modifications to the Easement Agreement that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities to the City and are necessary to effectuate the purposes of the Easement Agreement or this Resolution.

May 13, 2024 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED

May 21, 2024 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 240039

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 5/21/2024 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

London N. Breed Mayor

Date Approved