Resolution opposing California State Assembly Bill 943, authored by Assembly Member Miguel Santiago, which would impose a supermajority voting requirement of 55% on all ballot measures in cities and counties with populations of 750,000 or more that are qualified for the ballot by citizen petition and are designated by the county counsel or city attorney in those cities and counties to inhibit unchecked development.

WHEREAS, Article II, Section 1 of the California Constitution recognizes that “all political power is inherent in the people. Government is instituted for their protection, security, and benefit, and they have the right to alter or reform it when the public good may require”; and

WHEREAS, The people of the City and County of San Francisco voted in 1898 to amend the City Charter to make San Francisco the first city in the nation to recognize the right of citizens in municipal jurisdictions to be able to qualify an initiative ordinance measure for the ballot by gathering sufficient petition signatures from fellow citizens; and

WHEREAS, Initiative ordinances qualified for the ballot by citizen petition gathering have long been an important part of the local democratic process in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Member Santiago has authored Assembly Bill 943 which would impose a supermajority voting requirement of 55% on all ballot measures in cities and counties with populations of 750,000 or more that are qualified for the ballot by citizen petition signature gathering and are designated by the county counsel or city attorney in those cities and counties to “reduce density or stop development or construction of any parcels located less than one mile from a major train stop”; and
WHEREAS, Had the 55% supermajority requirement been in effect in San Francisco in
previous years, critical ballot measures that closed loopholes in rent control and strengthened
tenant protections, established reasonable limits on downtown office development and
required the creation of a Waterfront Land Use Plan, would all have failed to become law,
counter to the will of the voters; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
oppose Assembly Bill 943; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
Francisco urges the members of the State Legislative Delegation to oppose Assembly Bill
943; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this resolution to the City
Lobbyist and the respective offices of the State Legislative Delegation upon its passage.
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July 25, 2017 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED
Ayes: 10 - Breed, Cohen, Farrell, Fewer, Kim, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Sheehy and Tang
Excused: 1 - Yee

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 7/25/2017 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board